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Abstract

Increasing the pressure and temperature of the engines of new generation of supersonic airliners increases the emissions of nitrogen oxides (NOx) to a level that would have an adverse impact on the Earth's protective ozone layer. In the process of evolving the implementing low emissions combustor technologies, NASA Lewis Research Center has pursued a combustion analysis program to guide combustor design processes, to identify potential concepts of greatest promise, and to optimize them at low cost, with short turn-around time. The approach is to upgrade and apply advanced computer programs for gas turbine applications. Efforts have been made in further improving the code capabilities of modeling the physics and the numerical method of solution. Then test cases and measurements from experiments are used for code validation.

Introduction

NOx emissions from current technology combustors are produced in the near stoichiometric primary zone at a rate that increases with flame temperature and residence time. As new engine cycles increase combustor inlet pressure and temperature, the NOx emissions increase correspondingly. Most low NOx combustor design concepts reduce NOx emissions by reducing flame temperature and oxygen availability for NOx formation. This can be accomplished by burning either fuel lean or fuel rich in the primary zone, thus avoiding high NOx formation at near stoichiometric conditions.

In the process of evolving and implementing low emission combustor technologies, NASA Lewis has pursued a combustion analysis code program to guide combustor design processes, to identify potential concepts of greatest promise, and to optimize them at low cost, with short turn-around time. The computational analyses are evaluated at actual engine operating conditions. The approach is to upgrade and apply advanced computer programs for gas turbine applications. Efforts have been made in further improving the code capabilities for modeling in the physics and the numerical method of solution. The test cases and measurements from experiments are used for code validation.

Due to schedule constraints, the High Speed Research (HSR) analytical research program is being conducted over a period of a little over 5 years as shown in Fig. 1 and involves the major milestones. The first milestone was accomplished with the development and use of two-dimensional and three-dimensional codes, KIVA-II and LeRC3D, to guide low emissions combustion experiments. These codes will be updated based on results obtained from combustion concept experiments by the end of fiscal year 1995.

The overall combustion analytical codes evolution plan involves in-house research and contracts and grants; and it provides strong collaborative relationships and technology transfer between industry, universities, and government agencies. Figure 2 lists the
activities and the key participants for the HSR Combustion Analytical Research Program.

The remainder of the report describes in greater detail a description of computer codes used and representative results on low emission combustor calculations.

Description of Computer Codes

To provide insight into the combustion process and combustor design, KIVA-II and LeRC3D have been used. These codes are operational and calculations have been performed to guide low emissions combustion experiments.

Description of Computer Code KIVA-II

KIVA-II (Ref. 1) developed by Los Alamos National Laboratory, is one of the most developed and validated codes of the available for multi-dimensional prediction of the in-cylinder combustion dynamics in internal combustion engines. There are features of KIVA-II that make it well suited for other applications, so KIVA-II has been adapted for gas turbine combustor applications.

In terms of modeling the physics, the major features of KIVA-II are as follows (see Fig. 3):

- KIVA-II is two- and three-dimensional turbulent compressible flow solver of reacting multi-component gas mixture with liquid spray using an Eulerian-Lagrangian approach.
- The turbulence is modeled using the k-ε model.
- Combustion is modeled by a chemical-kinetics-controlled model using global or detailed chemical reactions (Ref. 2) or by a mixing-controlled model (Ref. 3). The user can conveniently provide a chemical kinetics mechanism by making appropriate modification to the input data file (Ref. 2).
- The extended Zeldovich NOx mechanism is included.
- Stochastic particle spray model includes vaporization, coalescence, and breakup.
- A soot formation/oxidation and a radiative heat transfer model are also included:

In terms of numerics, KIVA-II is based on the following (see Fig. 3):

- Time-dependent finite-difference code with arbitrary mesh capability, using an implicit continuous Eulerian technique with conjugate residual iteration for the flow solver.

Description of Computer Code LeRC3D

LeRC3D is highly advanced code for gas turbine combustor applications. LeRC3D was developed by Carnegie Mellon University with the collaboration/sponsorship of Lewis. In terms of modelling the physics, the major capabilities of LeRC3D (Ref. 4) are as follows (see Fig. 4):

- LeRC3D is two- and three-dimensional code that solves the N-S equations for turbulent compressible flow of reacting multi-component gas mixture with liquid spray using an Eulerian-Lagrangian approach.
- The turbulence is modeled by using a k-ε turbulence model with wall functions, or by using low Reynolds number k-ε model of Chen and Patel, or by using a RNG-based k-ε model.
- Modeling of combustion is done by two different models: the chemical-kinetics-controlled model governed by global or detailed chemical kinetics mechanisms of hydrocarbon combustion, and the mixing-controlled model of Magnussen and Hjertager. The user can conveniently provide a chemical kinetics mechanism by making appropriate modification to the input data file.
• The chemical kinetics model used to study NO\textsubscript{x} is the Zeldovich mechanism.

• The spray model includes the fuel vaporization model of Raju and Sirinano.

In terms of the numerical method of solution, LeRC3D is based on the following (see Fig. 4):

• The flow algorithm is a finite-volume LU algorithm utilizing van Leer flux-vector splitting with the HOPE algorithm of Liou and Steffan. Source terms are treated implicitly using Shih and Chyu method, diffusion terms are treated implicitly using the procedure of Shih and Steinthorssson.

• A grid system is generated by using an algebraic grid generation method based on transfinite interpolation.

Results and Discussion

Fuel Injection and Mixing

Figure 5 shows the prediction of a swirling fuel spray in a nonreacting airstream using the KIVA-II code and a comparison with the experimental results (Ref. 5). The model air-assist atomizer embodies a nonswirl inner airstream and a swirling outer airstream which help to atomize and distribute fuel injected from a core tube. Predicted and measured air azimuthal velocity profiles are in good agreement. Fuel injection and mixing become increasingly important as more air is used for the combustion process. Detailed models of the interaction of the swirling air and the fuel spray can provide valuable insight into the effect of different variables that presently can only be evaluated experimentally on a global scale at laboratory test conditions.

As an integral part of the fuel nozzle calculations, two-dimensional and three-dimensional analysis (Refs. 6 and 7) were done to provide the swirling air profiles through the swirlers of the airblast fuel nozzle. The swirl vane cascade analysis provides inlet air profiles to the combustor analyses. A systematic design procedure of advanced airblast fuel nozzle swirl vane assemblies resulted in low-loss, high-turning swirl vane designs were done. Figure 6(a) shows the velocity profile just downstream of the nozzle inlet. Note the velocity is high with a large swirling component. This is required for good fuel atomization. Figure 6(b) shows the velocity field further downstream in the combustor. The recirculation zone is evident by the negative axial velocity component.

Low NO\textsubscript{x} Combustion Analyses

Figure 7 summarizes the comparison of experimental NO\textsubscript{x} and CO emission index of a lean premixed prevaporized (LPP) burner (Ref. 9) with KIVA-II code predictions. The simplified kinetics mechanism (Ref. 2) was used. The predictions agree very well with the test data over the range of equivalence ratio and residence time reported. Calculations using KIVA-II have been performed to guide current low NO\textsubscript{x} combustion experiments.

KIVA-II was used to perform two-dimensional analysis of the Rich Burn/Quick Quench/Lean Burn (RQL) combustor to provide detailed information on the combustor internal flow fields, fuel-air mixing, combustor emissions, gas temperature distribution, and pattern factor. A two-dimensional axisymmetric model was used with propane and primary air injected at the inlet of the rich burn section and quick quench air supplied to the two-dimensional slot in the quick quench section. The upper half above the combustor centerline showing the gas temperature profile is shown in Fig. 8. The rich zone and lean zone equivalence ratios were set at 1.4 and 0.65, respectively. The gas temperature contours show core hot gas regions that occur in the rich zone and lean flame fronts. This figure also indicates that the penetration of the two-dimensional jet reaches near the combustor centerline and that thermal quenching occurs in the quick quench section.
Three-dimensional analyses of the RQL combustor were performed using KIVA-II and the LeRC3D. Figure 9 shows velocity vectors typical of the quick quench-tenure section examined at an axial plane at a downstream location of half a slot length from the slot centerline (Ref. 10). There are twelve 45° slanted slots located symmetrically around the perimeters of the quick quench section. The slots were rectangular in shape with a radius at both ends and with an aspect ratio of four and the momentum flux ratio considered for this case is 60. The quick quench section was sandwiched between the converging section of the rich burner exit and the diverging section of the lean burner inlet. One of the most striking physical features of quick quench jet injected normally into the mainstream from 45° slanted slots in the appearance of the swirling flow field shown in Fig. 9. This swirling flow field is responsible for the quick mixing required for low NOx formation in the quick quench section of the RQL combustor calculated using KIVA-II. Figure 10 shows the velocity vectors and a strong central recirculation zone downstream of the airblast fuel nozzle. Calculations using LeRC3D have been performed to guide low NOx combustion experiments.

Concluding Remarks

As physical submodels and numerics accuracy improve, analytical models play a vital role in the design and development process of low emissions combustors. In spite of deficiencies such as accurate chemical kinetics modeling of hydrocarbon combustion, modeling of turbulence and turbulence combustion interaction, etc. in the current models, the computations that were performed using KIVA-II and LeRC3D show that these codes are capable of producing reasonable results. The qualitative insight and trends result from parametric variation of combustor design variables provided by these codes prediction is considered to be a prime factor for reducing development iterations and guiding low emission combustion experiments. The lack of more detailed experimental data has precluded more detailed validation of the codes. As reliable experimental data becomes available, further computations will reveal the capabilities and limitations of these codes and the modifications necessary to overcome the limitations.
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Figure 3.—Key features of computer code KIVA-II.
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Figure 4.—Key features of computer code LeRC3D.
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Figure 5.—Comparison of predicted and measured air azimuthal velocity of an experimental air assist atomizer.

Figure 6.—Velocity profiles.
Figure 7.—Comparison of experimental and predicted NO\textsubscript{x} and CO emission index of a lean premixed prevaporized burner.
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Figure 8.—Predicted gas temperature profile of a rich burn/quick quench/lean burn combustor.
Figure 9.—Predicted velocity vector profile at an axial plane at a downstream location of half a slot length from the slot centerline of a quick quench design of the rich burn/quick quench/lean burn combustor.

Figure 10.—Predicted rich burner flow field characteristics of a rich burn/quick quench/lean burn combustor.
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