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ABSTRACT

The effects of moisture and surface finish on the mechanical and physical properties of the interfacial bond between the carbon/phenolic (C/P) and glass/phenolic (G/P) composite materials are presented in this paper.

Four flat panel laminates were fabricated using the C/P and G/P materials. Of the four laminates, one panel was fabricated in which the C/P and G/P materials were cured simultaneously. It was identified as the cocure. The remaining laminates were processed with an initial simultaneous cure of the three C/P billets. Two surface finishes, one on each half, were applied to the top surface. Prior to the application and cure of the G/P material to the machined surface of the three C/P panels, each was subjected to the specific environmental conditioning. Types of conditioning included: (a) nominal fabrication environment, (b) a prescribed drying cycle, and (c) a total immersion in water at 160°F.

Physical property tests were performed on specimens removed from the C/P materials of each laminate for determination of the specific gravity, residual volatiles and resin content. Comparison of results with shuttle solid rocket motor (SRM) nozzle material specifications verified that the materials used in fabricating the laminates met acceptance criteria and were representative of SRM nozzle materials.

Mechanical property tests were performed at room temperature on specimens removed from the G/P, the C/P and the interface between the two materials for each laminate. The double-notched shear strength test was used to determine the ultimate interlaminar shear strength. Results indicate no appreciable difference in the C/P material of the four laminates with the exception of the cocure laminate, where a 20 percent reduction in the strength was observed. The most significant effect occurred in the bondline specimens. The failure mode was shifted from the C/P material to the interface and the ultimate strength was significantly reduced in the wet material. No appreciable variation was noted between the surface finishes in the wet laminate.
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Introduction

The Space Shuttle’s solid rocket motor (SRM) nozzle is constructed from carbon/phenolic (C/P) and glass/phenolic (G/P) composite material. The C/P is used as the outer or ablative material of the nozzle ring and it is backed by a thin G/P insulator. These composite rings are adhesively bonded to a structural metal housing, forming the nozzle. Anomalies in performance of the ablative material during flight and static testing have stimulated research to examine the effects of moisture, cure cycles and surface finish on the integrity of the interfacial bond between G/P and the C/P rings.

It is well recognized and reported that the high performance composites absorb moisture from their surrounding environments, especially under warm and humid conditions [1-6]. In epoxy-based composites, absorbed moisture has been shown to affect the matrix and the interface dominated properties of the composite. Degradation is evident in the interlaminar shear strength and ultimate strength specifically, as well as other mechanical properties [1-11]. In contrast to the fairly large literature base for the epoxy-based composites, the phenolic-based composites have essentially remained unstudied with respect to the effect of moisture.

The objective of this research was to assess the integrity of the interfacial bond between the C/P and the G/P material as a function of the moisture/volatile content present in the C/P material prior to the bonding of the G/P material. Another variable, the effect of surface finish applied to the bonding surface of the C/P prior to the bonding of the G/P material, was also investigated.

Materials

Materials were obtained from the Wasatch Division of Morton Thiokol Incorporated (MTI) for use in nozzle material development research. MTI purchased the materials in the form of 48-inch width preimpregnated (prepreg) broadgoods from the Fiberite Corporation. The C/P and G/P materials are designated by Fiberite as MX-4926 and MXB-6001, respectively. The constituent materials of the MX-4926 prepreg were Polycarbon CSA, an eight-harness satin rayon-based carbon fabric, Borden’s SC1008 phenolic resin system and Fiberite 1148 carbon black filler. The constituent materials of the MXB-6001 were United Merchant 184 weave S-glass fabric and Borden’s SC1008 phenolic resin system.

A series of physical property tests were conducted on the prepreg materials to ensure conformity with MTI material acceptance specifications, STW5-2651F and STW5-3279A from the G/P and C/P materials, respectively [12,13]. The critical properties measured to assess the quality of the materials were the resin content, filler content, volatile content, resin flow, sodium content and cloth content.

A summary of the prepreg acceptance test results may be found elsewhere [14]. All prepreg physical properties met the acceptance criteria stated in MTI specifications. Based on the compliance of all the prepreg physical properties with the specification limits, the materials were accepted for use in the program.
Carbon-phenolic and glass-phenolic 10 inch (fill) by 12 inch (warp) plies were arranged in stacks which when cured yielded an inch thick laminate. The C/P and G/P stacks contained 84 and 40 plies respectively with the J-type 30 gage thermocouples placed at the designated plies to monitor temperatures during debulking and subsequent curing.

The C/P and G/P stacks were individually debulked in a tetrahedron press to 86 percent of their cured density simulating the nominal debulk obtained during the tape wrapping of the materials during the processing of SRM nozzle components.

3. Fabrication of Glass-Phenolic and Carbon-Phenolic Test Articles:

a) Lay-up and Cure of Carbon-Phenolic Laminates:

Three C/P laminates were assembled using the six debulked stacks CP-1 thru CP-6 (figure 1) as follows: (a) Panel A consisted of stacks CP-1 and CP-2, (b) Panel B consisted of stacks CP-3 and CP-4, and (c) Panel C consisted of stacks CP-5 and CP-6. The three panels were assembled and bagged on a trilateral cure tool. The hydroclave trilateral tool was designed to simultaneously cure three flat panel laminates with cured thickness of 2.5 inches each. The laminates were cured according to the hydroclave cure cycle designed by MTI [15].

After the cure, the laminates were nondestructively examined using X-rays to ensure the quality of each. No low density indications or delaminations were observed in any of the laminates.

b) Surface Preparation:

For investigation of the interfacial properties, precise and reproducible duplications of the surface finishes are critical to the creditability and validity of the results. Surface finishes of 125 and 250 microinches were precisely duplicated to match the finishes produced at MTI. The 250 microinch surface finish (maximum upper limit) is applied by MTI to cured C/P surfaces of SRM nozzle components, prior to over-wrap of the G/P insulator.

c) Conditioning:

The panels were subjected to prescribed environmental conditioning detailed in the following sections.

Panel A. Panel A (also identified as the as-received panel) was the control. This laminate was fabricated according to nominal processing conditions and environments. In this manner, it represented the typical state of the SRM C/P material prior to the overwrap and curing of the G/P insulator.

Panel B. Many authors have noted that microcracking was induced in polymeric materials when the material was subjected to extreme thermal gradients [2,8,11,16]. This damage to the material was irreversible and resulted in degradation of the ultimate interlaminar shear strength and ultimate tensile strength. Such damage can be unintentionally created in materials during conditioning if sufficient precautions are not observed.
In the phenolic-based composites, there are additional concerns relating to potential effects on the properties by changing the state of cure when these materials are subjected to extreme thermal gradients.

A research program was initiated at Southern Research Institute (SRI) [17] to determine an effective and nondamaging drying process for C/P materials, which provided accelerated weight loss without altering the cured state or microcracking in one-half inch cube samples.

Panel B (also identified as the dry panel) was subjected to a modified SRI drying cycle which included corrections for size and shape of the laminates. The drying cycle was designed to yield drying of the bonding surface to a depth of 0.50 inches into the thickness of the laminate. The cycle was as follows:

a. Dessicate for 66 days,
b. Dry at 100 °F and 0.1 Torr vacuum for 11 days,
c. Dry at 140 °F and 0.1 Torr vacuum for 6 days,
d. Dry at 160 °F and 0.1 Torr vacuum for 13 days.

Panel C (also identified as the wet panel) was subjected to controlled moisture conditioning. It was originally placed into an environmental chamber maintained at 160 °F and 95% relative humidity (RH). After 47 days in the chamber, the panel was relocated and submerged in water at 160 °F with the machined surface placed upward in a pan. This was done to accelerate the absorption process.

Percent weight gain versus time data were plotted as shown in Figure 2. The conditioning resulted in a 0.96 percent weight gain.

d) Lay-up and Cure of Glass/Phenolic to Carbon/Phenolic Laminates:

Lay-up and cure of the G/P immediately followed the conditioning of the C/P laminates. Prior to the lay-up of the G/P debulked stacks, the stacks GP-1 thru GP-3 (Figure 3) were machined to equal the dimensions of their respective C/P laminates. The lay-up and bagging sequences for cure may be found in reference [14]. The composite laminates were machined and test sections removed.

e) Lay-up and Cure of Cocure Laminate:

A COCURE laminate was fabricated using the debulked C/P stacks CP-7 and CP-8 and the debulked G/P stack GP-4. The laminate was assembled and cured according to the autoclave cure cycle presented in [18]. The cured laminate was machined and test sections removed.

Experimental Testing and Examination

a) Physical Property Tests:

A series of physical property test were conducted on the C/P and G/P materials of each cured laminate to ensure the quality of the materials after curing. The tests were performed according to the procedures contained in the MTI cured material acceptance specification STW5-2845D, which con-
sisted of residual volatile content, specific gravity and resin content [19]. The MTI specifications require that resin content tests be performed using the tested residual volatile specimens. The same test geometry was used for each of the above three tests. The specimen geometry is shown in Figure 4.

b) Optical Photomicroscopy:

Optical photomicroscopy examinations were conducted on specimens taken from the C/P, G/P and at the interfaces of each laminate. The objectives were to: (a) observe material for possible microstructural damage; (b) characterize the appearance of the microstructure of the C/P and G/P materials; and (c) characterize the appearance of the different interfaces between the C/P and G/P laminates. A typical final machined specimen is presented in Figure 5. Prior to the examination, each specimen was mounted in epoxy and then polished. Photomicrographs were taken of each specimen at several magnifications.

c) Interlaminar Shear Strength Test:

Matrix/interface dominated properties are considered to be among the most critical to solid rocket motor nozzles and the critical failure mode for phenolics was determined to be delamination [20]. Observations made in the past after static and flight tests, which support delamination as being the critical failure mode, include ply-lift in the virgin C/P material and separation of the insulating (G/P) material from the ablative (C/P) material. The critical properties for prediction of the margin of safety for delamination at the G/P and C/P interface are interlaminar shear and across-ply tension. In this program, the property selected for evaluation of surface finish and moisture effects on the interface between the C/P and G/P materials was interlaminar shear strength. A double-notched shear specimen was used for the determination of the ultimate interlaminar shear strength at room temperature (70°F) for the C/P, G/P and at the interface between these materials.

The basic cutting plans for the specimen removal may be found elsewhere [14]. Specimens were first excised as blanks, then machined to the specified dimensions shown in the schematic presented in Figure 6. The gage section was maintained at 0.375 inches (fill) by 0.300 inches (warp) by 0.050 inches (A/P), allowing four plies to be isolated in the gage section for the C/P specimens and two plies in the gage section for the G/P specimens. The number of plies isolated in the gage section was determined by dividing the A/P dimension of the gage section by the cured ply thickness of each material. For the interface specimens, approximately 2 plies of C/P and 1 ply of G/P were isolated in the gage section with the bondline centered in the gage section. The specimens were loaded in axial compression to provide pure shear at the gage section. Each specimen was loaded at a rate of 10,000 pounds per minute [21]. The load versus time plot obtained from the Instron for each specimen was transformed into a stress versus time plot by dividing load values by the shear (gage) area. The data obtained from the linear stress versus time plot were used to determine the ultimate interlaminar shear strength.

Results and Discussion

a) Physical Property Tests:

A summary of the physical property test results is presented in Table 1. Based on the compliance of all the physical property test results with the specification requirements, the C/P and G/P materials of the four laminates were accepted as representative nozzle materials.
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b) Photomicroscopy:

Examination of the photomicroscopy specimens at a magnification of 1000 revealed no evidence of microstructural damage such as microcracks, voids or delaminations in any of the materials. The shape of the fibers and presence of fillers in the matrix materials were used in characterizing the appearance of the materials. The C/P fibers exhibited the typical non-uniform crenulated cross-section, while the G/P fibers exhibited uniform circular cross-sections. The matrix material of the C/P material revealed the presence of the carbon-black filler; no fillers were present in the G/P matrix material. The carbon-black filler appears in the photomicrograph as the light spots in the matrix material.

The different C/P to G/P interfaces were also characterized based upon their appearances. Three different interfaces were observed: (a) 125 microinch; (b) 250 microinch; and (c) the cocure interface. Surface finish is defined as the mean distance between peak and valley measured in microinches. Observed from the examination was that the distance from peak to valley for the 125 microinch finish was half that of the 250 microinch interface. The appearance of the cocure interface was unmachined with evidence of the carbon-black filler migration across the interface. The migration was attributed to the cocuring of both the G/P and C/P materials.

c) Interlaminar Shear Strength:

The results of the interlaminar shear strength tests are summarized and presented in Table 2 for the four laminates. Analysis of the data yielded the following results:

(a) There were no appreciable differences in the ultimate strength observed for the G/P material among the four laminates.

(b) There was no significant difference in the ultimate strength of the C/P material of the 3 laminates which received the hydroclave cure. There was, however, a 20 percent reduction in ultimate strength in the C/P material of the cocure laminate. The reduction in the C/P material of the cocure when compared with the strengths of the 3 other laminates was attributed to the differences in the pressures of the autoclave and hydroclave cure cycles. The cocure laminate was cured using the autoclave cure cycle where the maximum pressure obtained was 240 psig, while the hydroclave cured laminates experienced maximum pressure of 1050 psig.

(c) The results from the evaluation of the interfacial bond strength showed for the cocure, dry and as-received laminates, that the ultimate strength of the interface was at least as high as that of the C/P material of each laminate, because failure occurred in the C/P material at the gage section. The most significant effect occurred in the interface specimens of the wet laminate, where the failure mode was shifted from the C/P (observed for the as-received, dry and cocure interface specimens) to the interface and the ultimate strength was significantly reduced. The effect of moisture at the interface is believed to have masked any potential variations in surface finish, and to have caused the shift in failure mode.

(d) There was no appreciable difference between the 125 and 250 microinch surface finishes for the wet laminate. A test [22] was performed to determine if there was any difference in the values of the ultimate strength for the two interfaces of the wet laminate. It was found that the individual specimen results for the 125 surface finish were within the bounds of the 95 percent confidence interval of the 250 surface finish results. The results obtained from the test showed that there was no significant difference in the ultimate strengths of the two populations.
The test results (mean value) for the C/P material of the as-received laminate were compared against the mean values of the existing MX-4926 C/P material databases [20,23] to assess the reliability of the results obtained in the program. The mean value for the ultimate strength obtained from the existing databases with curing and test parameters identical to those of this program, clearly indicates that the results are in agreement with those of previous programs.

(d) SEM Examination:

The double-notched shear specimens were visually examined after testing to determine the mode of failure. Of the specimens tested, only two distinguished modes were observed. These were across laminar and interlaminar failure.

Examination of the fracture surfaces by the conventional technique of optical microscopy rendered accurate observations impractical and therefore the scanning electron microscopy was required. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) examination was performed using a Cambridge 250 mk2 scanning electron microscope. The fractured surfaces were etched with gold prior to their examination.

Interlaminar failure (presented in Figure 7) was characterized by a fracture surface exhibiting one or more of the following features: (a) large areas of exposed denuded fibers, (b) thin cracks between the fibers and matrix, and (c) clear imprints in the matrix where fibers were removed. This type of failure was characteristic of the interface specimens of the wet laminate and the glass phenolic material of all laminates.

Across-laminar failure (presented in Figure 8) was characterized by a fracture surface exhibiting similar features on interlaminar failure with the addition of some extensive fiber breakage across individual plies of material. This type of failure was common to all carbon phenolic material and the bondline specimens of the dry, as-received and the cocure laminates. From analysis of fracture surfaces of failed DNS C/P specimens by SRI, it was found that the predominate mode of failure was interlaminar although the presence of across-laminar failure was observed [21].

Conclusions

The following conclusions were based upon the test results presented in the previous section.

(1) Moisture substantially degrades the integrity of the interfacial bond between C/P and G/P materials.

(2) The apparent effect of autoclave curing of the C/P material reduces the ultimate interlaminar shear strength of the C/P material by nearly 20% as compared to the hydroclave curing of the C/P material.

(3) The variation in applied surface finishes had no appreciable effect on the ultimate interlaminar shear strength of the interface in the wet laminate. The variation in the applied surface finishes on the remaining three laminates was not conclusively determined due to the failure having occurred in the C/P material of the gage section for the interface specimens.
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## TABLE 1: SUMMARY OF THE PHYSICAL PROPERTY TEST RESULTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Panel Status</th>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Residual Volatiles</th>
<th>Resin Content</th>
<th>Specific gravity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>As - received</td>
<td>C/P</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>30.9</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>**</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>30.8</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>**</td>
<td>G/P 1.1</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry</td>
<td>C/P</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>31.1</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>**</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>30.7</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>**</td>
<td>G/P 1.1</td>
<td>22.1</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wet</td>
<td>C/P</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>31.1</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>**</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>29.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>**</td>
<td>G/P 1.1</td>
<td>22.6</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cocure</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>C/P 2.8</td>
<td>32.4</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>G/P 1.2</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* - Proceeding C - P cure and prior to conditioning
** - Proceeding the G - P cure
# TABLE 2: SUMMARY OF INTERLAMINAR SHEAR TEST RESULTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Panel</th>
<th>Glass - phenolic (Psi)</th>
<th>Carbon - phenolic (Psi)</th>
<th>Interface (125 S.F.)</th>
<th>Interface (250 S.F.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cocure</td>
<td>3650 (5.71)</td>
<td>2988 (2.93)</td>
<td>3374 CP *</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>As - received</td>
<td>3650 (2.58)</td>
<td>3672 (3.49)</td>
<td>3838 CP</td>
<td>3840 CP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry</td>
<td>4226 (5.89)</td>
<td>3790 (4.96)</td>
<td>3734 CP</td>
<td>3726 CP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wet</td>
<td>3832 (3.01)</td>
<td>4008 (12.22)</td>
<td>1843 BL</td>
<td>2038 BL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

( ) - coefficient of variation
* - Surface finish not applicable
CP - Failed in carbon - phenolic
BL - Bondline failure
NOTES:

1. CUT 3 FOOT SWATCHES AT THE BEGINNING AND AFTER EVERY 168 PLIES UNTIL 504 PLIES ARE CUT.

2. CUT 504 [10 IN BY 12 IN (WARP)] PLIES OF C/P MATERIAL. MAKE 6 STACKS (84 PLIES PER STACK), BY STACKING THE FIRST 4 PLIES, SMOOTH SIDE UP, IN STACK CP - 1, THE NEXT 4 IN STACK CP - 2, ETC. UNTIL EACH STACK CONTAINS 84 PLIES.

Figure 1. Cutting and stacking plan for carbon phenolic material (Roll 1B).
Figure 2. Percent weight gain for wet panel.
NOTES:

1. CUT 160 10 IN BY 12 IN (WARP) PLIES.

2. MAKE 4 STACKS BY STACKING THE FIRST 4 PLIES IN STACK GP - 1, THE NEXT 4 IN GP - 2, ETC., UNTIL EACH STACK CONTAINS 40 PLIES.

Figure 3. Cutting and stacking plan for glass phenolic material (Roll 1).
Figure 4. Specimen geometry of specific gravity, residual volatile, and resin content tests.
Figure 5. Specimen geometry for photomicroscopy evaluation.
Figure 6. Specimen geometry for DNS test.
Figure 7a. SEM photomicrograph at 15X of fracture surface depicting interlaminar failure.

Figure 7b. SEM photomicrograph at 200X of fracture surface depicting interlaminar failure.
Figure 7c. SEM photomicrograph at 200X of fracture surface depicting interlaminar failure.
Figure 8a. SEM photomicrograph at 15X of fracture surface depicting across-laminar failure.

Figure 8b. SEM photomicrograph at 200X of fracture surface depicting across-laminar failure.
Figure 8c. SEM photomicrograph at 200X of fracture surface depicting across-laminar failure.