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ABSTRACT

An analytical method has been developed to determine gear tooth surface coordinates of face-milled spiral bevel gears. The method combines the basic gear design parameters with the kinematical aspects for spiral bevel gear manufacturing. A computer program was developed to calculate the surface coordinates. From this data a three-dimensional model for finite element analysis can be determined. Development of the modeling method and an example case are presented.

INTRODUCTION

Spiral bevel gears are currently used in all helicopter power transmission systems. This type of gear is required to turn the corner from a horizontal engine to the vertical rotor shaft. These gears carry large loads and operate at high rotational speeds. Recent research has focused on understanding many aspects of spiral bevel gear operation, including gear geometry [1-12], gear dynamics [13-15], lubrication [16], stress analysis and measurement [17-21], misalignment [22], and coordinate measurements [24,25], as well as other areas.

Research in gear geometry has concentrated on understanding the meshing action of spiral bevel gears [8-11]. This meshing action often results in much vibration and noise due to an inherent lack of conjugation. Vibration studies [26] have shown that in the frequency spectrum of an entire helicopter transmission, the highest response can be that from the spiral bevel gear mesh. Therefore if noise reduction techniques are to be implemented effectively, the meshing action of spiral bevel gears must be understood.

Also, investigators [18,19] have found that typical design stress indices for spiral bevel gears can be significantly different from those measured experimentally. In addition to making the design process one of trial and error (forcing one to rely on past experience), this inconsistency makes extrapolating over a wide range of sizes difficult, and an overly conservative design can result.

The objective of the research reported herein was to develop a method for calculating spiral bevel gear-tooth surface coordinates and a three-dimensional model for finite element analysis. Accomplishment of this task requires a basic understanding of the gear manufacturing process, which is described herein by use of differential geometry techniques [2]. Both the manufacturing machine settings and the basic gear design data were used in a numerical analysis procedure that yielded the tooth surface coordinates. After the tooth surfaces (drive and coast sides) were described, a three-dimensional model for the tooth was assembled. A computer program was developed to automate the calculation of the tooth surface coordinates, and hence, the coordinate for the gear-tooth three-dimensional finite element model. The basic development of the analytical model is explained, and an example of the finite element method is presented.

DETERMINATION OF TOOTH SURFACE COORDINATES

The spiral gear machining process described in this paper is that of the face-milled type. Spiral bevel gears manufactured in this way are used extensively in aerospace power transmissions (i.e., helicopter main/tail rotor transmissions) to transmit power between horizontal gas turbine engines and the vertical rotor shaft. Because spiral bevel gears can accommodate various shaft orientations, they allow greater freedom for overall aircraft layout.

In the following sections the method of determining gear-tooth surface coordinates will be described. The manufacturing process must first be understood and then analytically described. Equations must be developed that relate machine and workpiece motions and settings with the basic gear design data. The simultaneous solution of these equations must be done numerically since no closed-form solution exists. A description of this procedure follows.

Gear Manufacture

Spiral bevel gears are manufactured on a machine like the one shown in Fig. 1. This machine cuts away the material between the concave and convex tooth surfaces of adjacent teeth simultaneously. The machining process is better illustrated in Fig. 2. The head cutter (holding the cutting blades or the grinding wheel) rotates about its own axis at the proper cutting speed,
allow rotation and translation of vectors simply by multiplying the matrix transformations. The method used for the coordinate transformation can be found in Refs. 1, 5, 8 to 11, and 27.

To begin the process we start with the head cutter (Fig. 3). In this report it is assumed that the cutters are straight-sided. The parameters $u$ and $\theta$ determine the location of a point in question [1].

The coordinate transformation procedure that will now be described is required to locate any point from the head cutter into a coordinate system rigidly attached to the gear being manufactured. Homogeneous coordinates are used to
current point in the cutter coordinate system $S_c$. Angles $\psi$ and $\phi_c$ are the blades that cut the convex and concave sides of the gear tooth respectively. Thus $\mathbf{r}_c$ is given by the following equation:

$$
\mathbf{r}_c = \begin{bmatrix}
\cot \psi - u \cos \phi \\
u \sin \psi \sin \theta \\
u \sin \psi \cos \theta \\
1
\end{bmatrix}
$$

Once we have $\mathbf{r}_c$ we then transform from one coordinate system to the next for the coordinate systems as shown in Figs. (4) and (5) [27].

Using matrix transformations we can determine the coordinates in $S_w$ of a point on the generating surface by:

$$
\mathbf{r}_w = [M_{i\rightarrow j}] \mathbf{r}_c = [M_{i\rightarrow j}] [M_{j\rightarrow m}] [M_{m\rightarrow w}] [M_{w\rightarrow i}] \mathbf{r}_c (u, \phi_c)
$$

Here $[M_{i\rightarrow j}]$ describes the required homogeneous coordinate transformation from system "$i$" to system "$j$". Therefore Eq. (2) describes the location of a point in the gear fixed coordinate system based on machine settings $L_m$, $E_m$, $q$, $s$, $r$, $\psi$, and gear design information $\mu$ and $\delta$. At this point the machine settings and the gear design values are known. Parameters $u$, $\phi$, and $\phi_c$ are the unknown variables that are solved for numerically.

Tooth Surface Coordinate Solution Procedure

In order to solve for the coordinates of a spiral bevel gear-tooth surface, the following items must be used simultaneously: the transformation process, the equation of meshing, and the basic gear design information. The transformation process described previously is used to determine the location of a point on the head cutter in coordinate system $S_w$. Since there are three unknown quantities ($u$, $\phi$, and $\phi_c$), three equations relating them must be developed.

Values for $u$, $\phi$, and $\phi_c$ are used to satisfy the equation of meshing given by Refs. 1 and 9.

$$
\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{v} = 0
$$

where $\mathbf{n}$ is the normal vector to the cutter and workpiece surfaces at the specified location of interest, and $\mathbf{v}$ is the relative velocity between the cutter and workpiece surfaces at the specified location.

Gear design information is then used to establish an allowable range of values of the radial ($F$) and axial ($Z$) positions that are known to exist on the gear being generated. This is shown in Fig. 6.

First the equation of meshing must be satisfied. This is given as [9]:

$$
(u - r \cot \psi \cos \phi_c \cos \gamma \sin \theta) \pm \Delta_r = 0
$$

where

$$
\Delta_r = \sin \gamma \sin \phi_c \cos \theta \mp \cos \gamma \sin \phi_c 
$$

and

$$
\Delta_Z = \sin \gamma \cos \sin \theta \mp \cos \gamma \sin \phi_c \mp \phi_c
$$

Figure 4.—Orientation of cutter, cradle, and fixed coordinate systems $S_c$, $S_s$, and $S_m$ respectively.
In summary the procedure is as follows. Known locations $x$ and $z$ on the active profile are used along with the equation of meshing to determine unknown parameters $u$, $\theta$, and $\phi$. The parameter values, machine tool settings, and gear design values are used in the coordinate transformation shown earlier to find the radial and axial positions in the gear fixed coordinate system $S'$. This procedure results in the solution of three simultaneous nonlinear algebraic equations that are solved numerically.

**APPLICATION OF SOLUTION TECHNIQUE**

An application of the techniques previously discussed will now be presented. The component to be modeled was from the NASA Lewis Spiral Bevel Gear Test Facility. A photograph of the spiral bevel gear mesh is shown in Fig. 8, and the design data for the pinion member are shown in Table 1.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gear design data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of teeth (pinion, gear)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dedendum angle, β, deg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Addendum angle, µ, deg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pitch angle, µ, deg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shaft angle, deg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean spiral angle, deg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Face width, mm (in.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean cone distance, mm (in.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inside radius of gear blank, mm (in.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Top land thickness, mm (in.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clearance mm (in.)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Generation machine settings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Concave</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radius of cutter, r, mm (in.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blade angle, γ, deg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vector sum, L mm, in.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Machine offset, E, mm (in.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cradle to cutter distance, s, mm (in.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cradle angle, q, deg</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 9.—Cross section of calculation grid.

Figure 10.—Boundary conditions for the constant fillet/root radius model for the example application.
model shown in Fig. 10 is that for a constant fillet and root radius (also called full fillet) model. The fillet and root radius on the convex side has been added along with the tooth section (without the tooth) to make the model symmetric about the tooth centerline. Figure 10 shows a hidden line plot of the finite element mesh with eight-noded isoperimetric three-dimensional solid continuum elements. This model has 765 elements and 1120 nodes. The boundary conditions are also shown in Fig. 10. A 1724-MPa (250 ksi) constant pressure load was applied normal to the tooth surface of nine elements, and the two edge surfaces of the gear rim had all degrees of freedom constrained.

The results were calculated by MSC/NASTRAN and were subsequently displayed by PATRAN. Figure 11 shows the major principle stresses for the boundary conditions shown in Fig. 10.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>psi</th>
<th>MPa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-34,000</td>
<td>-234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-22,000</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-10,000</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14,000</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26,000</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38,000</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62,000</td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74,000</td>
<td>J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86,000</td>
<td>K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98,000</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110,000</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 11.—Major principle stress for the boundary conditions specified in figure 10.

SUMMARY OF RESULTS

A method has been presented that uses differential geometry techniques to calculate the surface coordinates of face-milled spiral bevel gear teeth. The coordinates must be solved for numerically by a simultaneous solution of nonlinear algebraic equations. These equations relate the kinematics of manufacture to the gear design parameters. Coordinates for a grid of points are determined for both the concave and convex sides of the gear tooth. These coordinates are then combined to form the enclosed surface of one gear tooth. A computer program, was developed to solve for the gear-tooth surface coordinates and provide input to a three-dimensional geometric modeling program (i.e., PATRAN). This enables an analysis by the finite element method. An example of the technique was presented.
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