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Proportional counters are important instruments used in sensing "hard" x rays. This document describes the possibility of doubling the number of readout channels in the detector without increasing the electronics needed to amplify channel signals. This suggests that it should be possible, conversely, to reduce the number of amplifiers, thereby reducing the weight and energy budget of the instrument. Various numerical multiplexing schemes are analyzed, and a computer program is presented that can reconstruct multiplexed channel outputs with very good accuracy.
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TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM
MULTIPLEXING READOUT CHANNELS IN PROPORTIONAL COUNTERS

PROBLEM STATEMENT

Proportional counters for x-ray astronomy under development at MSFC can use improved cathode planes constructed through a photolithographic process. Consequently, there is the opportunity to double the number of readout channels without incurring significant cost. The signal from each channel must still be amplified before it can be processed. The problem is that there is no convenient way to make a corresponding increase in the number of amplifiers used in the instrument. This report describes and illustrates an idea for multiplexing pairs of channels into amplifiers, which allows the determination, with high degree of confidence, of the channel numbers and amplitudes that caused the signal. The instrument under consideration will have 140 readout channels and 70 amplifiers in each of the x and y directions.

DIFFICULTIES WITH MULTIPLEXING SCHEMES

No multiplexing scheme can be completely successful. For example, suppose that an x-ray event interacting with the gas in the detector causes two distinct clusters of channels to produce signals, where one cluster consists of four adjacent channels (labeled using X's below) and the other consists of two adjacent channels (labeled using Y). Each wire must be multiplexed with another wire in the array. The following situation can therefore occur. One of the wires in the four-channel cluster is multiplexed as shown:

```
XXXX  A
```

The channel after A, B, must be multiplexed somewhere. If it is multiplexed with one of the wires from the two-channel cluster,

```
XXXX  AB  Y
```

it may be impossible to determine whether a photoelectron caused a two channel cluster AB or the legitimate two-channel cluster containing Y. Any multiplexing scheme is subject to this problem involving the creation of spurious two-channel clusters.

Besides the occurrence of spurious two-channel clusters, multiplexing schemes are subject to two additional problems. A legitimate cluster can be enlarged when a channel adjacent to an
endpoint of the cluster is multiplexed with a channel that is part of another cluster. Also, the
amplitude of a channel that is part of a cluster can be increased if the channel is multiplexed with
a channel that is part of another cluster. Both problems lead to error in the determination of the
position of the event. In summary, any multiplexing scheme must face three problems:

1. Spurious two-channel clusters
2. Cluster enlargement
3. Amplitude increase

ASSUMPTIONS AND REQUIREMENTS

Before describing the proposed multiplexing scheme, assumptions and requirements are given, as
well as the general procedure for "decoding" the amplifier outputs. It is assumed that a
legitimate x-ray event induces signals in two clusters of channels, where each cluster has width
between two and five (although some clusters may be larger than five channels). We assume that
if there were a continuum of channels, a cluster would appear as a Gaussian curve. In the
detector within a single time slice (microseconds), one, two, or (rarely) three clusters of channels
may produce signals. It is important to identify those situations where two clusters are activated.

The general idea in reconstructing the actual channel clusters and amplitudes from the amplifier
outputs involves first determining all the possible channels that might have been activated. Any
channels that are "isolated" can be eliminated from further consideration ("isolated" means that
neither adjacent channel was possibly activated by the event). For this idea to work, it is
necessary that adjacent channels NOT be multiplexed with adjacent channels. Furthermore,
channels that are multiplexed together must be separated by at least five channels. Otherwise,
even a single cluster cannot be unambiguously reconstructed, as the following example shows:

```
AXXXXB
```

If A and B are both possible active channels that are multiplexed together, it is impossible to
determine whether a five-channel cluster starts at A or ends at B.

NUMERICALLY BASED MULTIPLEXING SCHEMES

There are many approaches to obtaining a multiplexing scheme that will be good enough to allow
the reconstruction of at least single clusters. The simplest idea is to multiplex channels in the
first half of the array with channels in the second half of the array according to some numerical
pattern. In this way, it is easy to guarantee separation of multiplexed pairs. With 140 channels in
the array numbered from 0 to 139, we can consider the following scheme:
Given channel \( I \) for \( I \) between 0 and 69, multiplex channel \( I \) with channel \( k \cdot I \pmod{70} + 70 \).

If \( k \) is chosen to be any integer relatively prime to 70, then the set of multiples of \( k \pmod{70} \) will cover all of the integers between 0 and 69 (since \( k \) is invertible in the integers mod 70 if and only if \( k \) is relatively prime to 70). Note also that in this multiplexing scheme with \( k \) relatively prime to 70, given channel \( I \) for \( I \) between 70 and 139, the channel multiplexed with \( I \) is given by \( I \cdot (1/k) \pmod{70} \). For example, if \( k = 9 \), \( 1/k = 39 \pmod{70} \), so the channel multiplexed with channel 88 is \( 88 \cdot 39 = 2 \pmod{70} \). Good choices for \( k \) are discussed below.

The quality of the choice of \( k \) can be assessed using two measures. The first measure is the maximum number of consecutive channels in half of the array, which, if activated, can still be unambiguously reconstructed. This maximum unambiguously differentiable length (MUDL) is the number of "consecutive multiples" of \( k \) or \( 1/k \pmod{70} \) that can be written in the integers mod 70 without obtaining any consecutive integers. For example, if \( k = 9 \), the progression of multiples

\[
0, 9, 18, 27, 36, 45, 54, 63, 2, 11, 20,....
\]

does not possess any consecutive integers until the 39th multiple, 1, is obtained. Notice, however, that for the multiples of \( 1/9 = 39 \pmod{70} \), the progression proceeds

\[
0, 39, 8, 47, 16, 55, 24, 63, 32, 1,....
\]

Thus for \( k = 9 \), in channels 0 through 69 MUDL is 39, while in channels 70 through 139 MUDL is 9. The MUDL is not simply \( k \) or \( 1/k \pmod{70} \). For example, if \( k = 41 \), then \( k = 1/k \pmod{70} \) and it turns out that MUDL is 29.

The other measure of quality for \( k \) involves determining the maximum number of consecutive channels spanning the center of the array, which, if activated, can still be unambiguously reconstructed. This central unambiguously differentiable length (CUDL) is simply

\[
\min \{ b-a : (a,b) \text{ is a multiplexed pair and } a < b \}.
\]

For example, when \( k = 9 \) CUDL is 14 because (63,77) is a multiplexed pair. For \( k = 41 \), CUDL is 10 since 65 and 75 are multiplexed together. Use of the value computed for CUDL should perhaps be tempered by the determination of how many multiplexed pairs have the same CUDL and the next highest minimum separation. For example, though CUDL is 10 for \( k = 41 \), only one multiplexed pair is separated by 10. The next highest separation is 20. In contrast, for \( k = 29 \), CUDL is 14 with three pairs (58,72), (63,77), and (68,82) having the same separation, and the next highest separation is 28.

In the schemes with \( k \) chosen to be 9 or 29 or 41, it is easily verified that any single cluster of width less than 9 can be unambiguously reconstructed from amplifier outputs. The best choice of
k to deal with pairs of clusters depends on characteristics of the detector's operation. For example, if most clouds land near the middle of the detector, it would be best to use k = 9 and arrange to have channels 0 through 69 centered around the middle of the detector (since MUDL in that region is 39). If instead, clouds land in all portions of the detector with equal likelihood, it would be better to choose k to be either 29 or 41, because in each case k = 1/k (mod 70) and MUDL is 29. Then depending on the expected separation of clouds, a choice could be made based on CUDL.

TECHNIQUES FOR RECONSTRUCTING ACTUAL CHANNELS

With any of the numerically based methods described above, the reconstruction of actual channels and amplitudes involved in the event requires more than eliminating isolated channels. If we assume that triple events involving two-channel clusters are rare, it is possible to eliminate all occurrences of spurious two-channel clusters. The problem of cluster enlargement can be dealt with using a kind of smoothing.

ELIMINATING SPURIOUS TWO-CHANNEL CLUSTERS

The program called RECON.FOR in the appendix contains two subroutines used to eliminate spurious two-channel clusters. ELIM2A is invoked when a two-channel cluster is detected with two larger clusters. If both channels in the two-channel cluster are found to be multiplexed with channels that are part of the larger clusters, the two-channel cluster is eliminated. The reasoning is that triple events are rare to begin with, and the probability is remote that a triple event would occur with a two-channel cluster having both channels multiplexed into larger clusters. In fact, using actual data from a prior flight, we can obtain an empirical probability of 0.00518 for triple events. We can combine this with the probability that a channel will be multiplexed into one of two, four-channel clusters (8/138). Thus we obtain an estimate less than 0.00002 for the probability that a triple event has taken place and both channels of a two-channel cluster are multiplexed into the larger clusters. (This estimate should be reduced by the currently unknown conditional probability that one of the clusters of the triple event has width 2.)

The subroutine ELIM2B is invoked when there are at least three clusters, at least two having width 2, and at least one having larger width. To handle this situation, we have the following result:
If a channel in a two-channel cluster is multiplexed under a numerical scheme as described above with \( k = 41 \) or \( k = 29 \) or \( k = 9 \), and its multiplexed pair is part of a cluster of width 3, 4, or 5, then the multiplexed pair of its neighboring channel cannot be adjacent to any of the other channels of the larger cluster or their multiplexed pairs.

This situation is illustrated as follows:

\[
\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\end{array}
\]

The claim is that \( X \) cannot cause a spurious two-channel cluster with the multiplexed pairs of the larger cluster. So, if there are spurious two-channel clusters, then any legitimate two-channel cluster would be multiplexed into only spurious clusters. Thus when invoked, ELIM2B eliminates all two-channel clusters containing a channel that is multiplexed into a larger cluster.

The result described above can be proved by considering cases. One case is presented here; the others are similar. Suppose \( C > 69 \) is part of a two-channel cluster and is multiplexed (using \( k = 41 \)) with \( A \), a channel that is part of a five-channel cluster. Then \( A = 41C \pmod{70} \), and the channels multiplexed with \( C + 1 \) and \( C - 1 \) are \( 41(C + 1) \) and \( 41(C - 1) \), respectively. Clearly, neither of these can be equal to \( A + i \) or \( A - i \) for \( i = 1, 2, 3, \) or \( 4 \). Also, the channels multiplexed with \( C + 1 \) and \( C - 1 \) are less than 70, while channels multiplexed with \( A + i \) and \( A - i \) are greater than 70 (unless one cluster contains channel 70). Hence the multiplexed pairs of the channels in the two given clusters cannot be near each other to form a spurious two-channel cluster. (The case where one cluster contains channel 70 is verified by exhausting all the possibilities.)

**ALLEVIATING CLUSTER ENLARGEMENT**

To deal with the problem of cluster enlargement, subroutine ZAPEND identifies endpoints of clusters that have larger amplitudes than their neighbor channels. When a large amplitude endpoint is discovered, subroutine EXTRAPOLATE is called to determine what the expected endpoint value should be. This predicted value is computed by calculating the center of the cluster omitting the endpoint, looking at the amplitudes on the opposite end of the cluster, and using a linearly interpolated value. Exploiting the symmetry of the data in this way leads to a highly computationally efficient routine as well as excellent accuracy. The extrapolation subroutine was tested separately on a large set of clusters by comparing the actual cluster centers with the centers obtained using EXTRAPOLATE on those same clusters with an endpoint removed. The absolute difference between the predicted and actual centers of clusters had a mean of 4% of a channel width, and a standard deviation approximately equal to the mean. The maximum absolute difference was 46% of a channel width. A histogram showing the
distribution of the absolute differences is given in the appendix. Over 99% of the predictions are within 20% of a channel width of the actual center. Further testing results are given below.

AMPLITUDE AMPLIFICATION

The problem of amplitude amplification was not directly addressed. If the amplitude of an endpoint of a cluster turns out to be increased sufficiently, subroutine ZAPEND will adjust it appropriately. Errors in endpoint amplitudes have the largest significance in the computation of the event position. An amplitude increase caused by multiplexing in a central channel of a cluster obviously has negligible influence on the computation of the center of the cluster. But errors in multiplexed channels that are neither central nor on the end of a cluster lead to some error in the computed position of the center. Future work may involve assessing the magnitude of this type of error empirically, and perhaps designing a broader form of Gaussian smoothing to reduce the error.

RESULTS

The multiplexing scheme using $k = 41$ was tested using data derived from a previous balloon flight and randomly generated data. Single events were always correctly reconstructed, so they are not included in the results below. In addition, the program also correctly reconstructed the event state (single, double, or triple event) in all cases.

The detector in the previous balloon flight used 70 readout channels. The data from that flight were adjusted slightly to make it appear as if they came from a detector with 140 channels. This was done by doubling the beginning channel number of each cluster and building new clusters with the same widths as the old ones, but starting at the doubled locations.

Testing was done by using existing MSFC analysis software to determine the centers of the events. Centers were first obtained for the actual data and placed in file CENTERSA. Then the actual data were supplied to a program that created file COD1ED consisting of the multiplexed amplifier outputs (file formats are given in the appendix). The reconstruction program, using only file COD1ED, built a file, PREDRAW, that contained the predicted channel numbers and their amplitudes. The analysis software was then run on file PREDRAW to obtain file CENTERSP. Finally summary statistics and a histogram were generated from the differences between CENTERSA and CENTERSP. One channel width on the 140 channel detector is 2 mm.
Using the 54 double events in the file from the previous balloon flight, 216 computations of cluster centers were made in each of CENTERSA and CENTERSP. The results are given below:

 Mean absolute difference = 0.024 mm  
  Standard deviation = 0.126 mm  
  Maximum absolute difference = 1.28 mm 
  Number of overestimates = 12  
  Number of underestimates = 11  
  Number of exactly (*) correct estimates = 193  

(*) to the limit of single precision arithmetic

The file of simulated data contained 934 double events scattered uniformly on the detector. This gave rise to 3736 computations of cluster centers in each of the files CENTERSA and CENTERSP. The results are as follows:

 Mean absolute difference = 0.026 mm  
  Standard deviation = 0.103 mm  
  Maximum absolute difference = 1.28 mm 
  Number of overestimates = 179  
  Number of underestimates = 231  
  Number of exactly (*) correct estimates = 3326  

A histogram shows these differences to be quite concentrated in the first 0.1 mm range:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bin Range (mm)</th>
<th>Number of Observations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 - 0.1</td>
<td>3456</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1 - 0.2</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2 - 0.3</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3 - 0.4</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4 - 0.5</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5 - 0.6</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6 - 0.7</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.7 - 0.8</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8 - 0.9</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.9 - 1.0</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0 -</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Evidently multiplexing readout channels will not lead to significant error in the computation of x-ray event locations.
File Formats

RAW and PREDRAW
Each event is associated with five records:
(1) number of x channels  number of y channels
(2) x channel numbers active (range 1-140)
(3) x amplitudes corresponding to active channels
(4) y channel numbers active (range 1-140)
(5) y amplitudes corresponding to active channels

CODED
Each event is associated with one record containing:
   number of x amplifiers active
   x amplifier numbers active
   x amplitudes corresponding to active amplifiers
   number of y amplifiers active
   y amplifier numbers active
   y amplitudes corresponding to active amplifiers
$DECLARE$

PROGRAM RECON
INTEGER MAICHAN
PARAMETER(MAICHAN=140)
INTEGER PX,PY,IOUT,NX,MY,PSUBX,PSUBY,NXCLUMPS,NYCLUMPS
INTEGER XSTART,YSTART,WIDTH,YWIDTH,COUNT,SUM,SUM1,I,J,N
DIMENSION PX(0:69),PY(0:69),IOUT(0:MAICHAN-1),YOUT(0:MAICHAN-1)
DIMENSION PSUBX(50),PSUBY(50)

C Reconstructor events in raw form from events passing through the
C preamplifiers and coded in file CODED. The program proceeds by
C assigning the observed amplitude from a preamplifier to both of
C the channels multiplexed to it. Then all isolated channels are
C eliminated. An attempt is made to determine whether a single
C event took place. If this cannot be established, subroutine
C FIND2 is invoked, which is structured like an expert system.
C It contains rules which deal with clump enlargement and spurious
C length 2 clumps.
C
OPEN(32,FILE='CODED',STATUS='OLD')
OPEN(33,FILE='PREDRAW',STATUS='UNKNOWN')

C Obtain preamplifier levels for each record in CODED file
C Beginning of main loop
C First initialize all preamps to 0 since not all are in CODED
10 DO 20 I=0,69
   PX(I)=0
   PY(I)=0
20 CONTINUE
DO 30 I=0,MAICHAN-1
   XOUT(I)=0
   YOUT(I)=0
30 CONTINUE

READ(32,1,END=999)NX,(PSUBX(I),I=1,NX),(PX(PSUBX(I)),I=1,NX),
   NY,(PSUBY(I),I=1,NY),(PY(PSUBY(I)),I=1,NY)

C Convert preamplifier outputs to all channels theoretically possible
DO 40 I=0,69
   IF (PX(I).GT.0) THEN
      XOUT(I)=PX(I)
      N=MOD(41*I,70)+70
      XOUT(N)=PX(I)
   ENDIF
   IF (PY(I).GT.0) THEN
      YOUT(I)=PY(I)
      N=MOD(41*I,70)+70
      YOUT(N)=PY(I)
   ENDIF
40 CONTINUE
C
C Eliminate islands
IF ((XOUT(0).GT.0).AND.(XOUT(1).LE.0)) XOUT(0)=-XOUT(0)
IF ((YOUT(0).GT.0).AND.(YOUT(1).LE.0)) YOUT(0)=-YOUT(0)
IF ((XOUT(MAXCHAM-1).GT.0).AND.(XOUT(MAXCHAM-2).LE.0))
+ XOUT(MAXCHAM-1)=-XOUT(MAXCHAM-1)
IF ((YOUT(MAXCHAM-1).GT.0).AND.(YOUT(MAXCHAM-2).LE.0))
+ YOUT(MAXCHAM-1)=-YOUT(MAXCHAM-1)
DO 50 I=1,MAXCHAN-2
  IF ((XOUT(I).GT.0).AND.(XOUT(I-1).LE.0).AND.
+ (XOUT(I+1).LE.0)) XOUT(I)=-XOUT(I)
  IF ((YOUT(I).GT.0).AND.(YOUT(I-1).LE.0).AND.
+ (YOUT(I+1).LE.0)) YOUT(I)=-YOUT(I)
50 CONTINUE
C
C Find the number of active channel clumps in each direction
CALL COUNTCLUMPS(XOUT,NXCLUMPS)
CALL COUNTCLUMPS(YOUT,NYCLUMPS)
C
IF ((NXCLUMPS.GT.1).OR.(NYCLUMPS.GT.1)) THEN
  CALL FIND2(XOUT,YOUT,NXCLUMPS,NYCLUMPS)
ELSE
  C In this case, the number of clumps in each direction is 1
  CLUHPINFO returns start channel numbers, widths, and amplitude
  C sums for the clump found
  CALL CLUHPINFO(XOUT,0,XSTART,XWIDTH,XSUM)
  CALL CLUHPINFO(YOUT,0,YSTART,YWIDTH,YSUM)
  IF ( (XWIDTH.NE.YWIDTH).OR.(XSUM.NE.YSUM).OR.
+ (XWIDTH.GT.5) ) THEN
    CALL FIND2(XOUT,YOUT,NXCLUMPS,NYCLUMPS)
  ELSE
    WRITE(33,'(2I3)')XWIDTH,YWIDTH
  ENDIF
ENDIF
C
C Write out x channels triggered in raw format
WRITE(33,81)(XSTART+J,J=1,XWIDTH)
C Write out y channels triggered in raw format
WRITE(33,81)(YSTART+J,J=1,YWIDTH)
C
81 FORMAT(100I4)
999 STOP
END
C
SUBROUTINE FIND2(XCHAN,YCHAN,NXCLUMPS,NYCLUMPS)
C Top level processor for case where double events are suspected
INTEGER MAXCHAN
PARAMETER(MAICHAN=140)
INTEGER ICHAN,YCHAN,NXCLUMPS,NYCLUMPS,I,INIT
INTEGER X(10),Y(10),XSTART(10),YSTART(10),XSUM(10),YSUM(10)
LOGICAL ELIMZA,ELIMZB
DIMENSION XCHAN(0:MAXCHAN-1),YCHAN(0:MAXCHAN-1)
DO 1 I=1,10
  XSUM(I)=0
  YSUM(I)=0
1 CONTINUE

C Accumulate information about the clumps
   INIT=0
   DO 10 I=1,NXCLUMPS
      CALL CLUMPINFO(ICHAN,INIT,XSTART(I),MtI),ISUlim)
      INIT=XSTART(I)+MI(I)
10 CONTINUE
   INIT=0
   DO 20 I=1,NYCLUMPS
      CALL CLUMPINFO(YCHAN,INIT,YSTART(I),MY(I),YSUH(I))
      INIT=YSTART(I)+MY(I)
20 CONTINUE
C Eliminate spurious endpoints of clumps with width GT 2
   CALL ZAPEND(NXCLUMPS,ICHAN,INSTART,MX,XSUN)
   CALL ZAPEND(NYCLUMPS,YCHAN,YSTART,NY,YSUH)
C Sort the clump info pointers by clump width
   IF (NXCLUMPS.GT.1) CALL SORT(NXCLUMPS,ICHAN,INSTART,ISUN)
   IF (NYCLUMPS.GT.1) CALL SORT(NYCLUMPS,YCHAN,YSTART,YSUH)
C The following code operates like an expert system; each condition
C of the case structure represents a known situation, and a low
C confidence catch-all condition occurs at the end
   IF ((NXCLUMPS.EQ.2).AND.(NYCLUMPS.EQ.2).AND.((X(1).LT.Xr(2))
      .AND.(X(1).EQ.WY(1)).AND.(X(2).EQ.WY(2))) THEN
C Case where there are clearly 2 events of different widths
      CALL WRITER(ICHAN,YCHAN,NXCLUMPS,NYCLUMPS,INSTART,INSTART,NX,NY)
   ELSEIF ((NXCLUMPS.EQ.2).AND.(NYCLUMPS.EQ.1).AND.
      (X(1).EQ.X(2)).AND.(WY(1).EQ.WY(1))) THEN
C Case where events line up in the y dimension, but widths equal
      CALL WRITER(ICHAN,YCHAN,NXCLUMPS,NYCLUMPS,INSTART,INSTART,NX,NY)
   ELSEIF ((NXCLUMPS.EQ.1).AND.(NYCLUMPS.EQ.2).AND.
      (WY(1).EQ.WY(2)).AND.(WY(1).EQ.WY(1))) THEN
C Case where events line up in the x dimension, but widths equal
      CALL WRITER(ICHAN,YCHAN,NXCLUMPS,NYCLUMPS,INSTART,INSTART,NX,NY)
   ELSEIF ((NXCLUMPS.GT.2).AND.(WY(NXCLUMPS-1).GT.2).AND.
      (ELIM2A(ICHAN,NXCLUMPS,WY,YSTART,YSUH))) THEN
C Case where a width 2 clump is multiplexed with other clumps of
C larger width. ELIM2A checks the duals, and if successful, reduces
C the number of clumps, resets the width, start, and sum pointers,
C and returns TRUE.
       GO TO 30
   ELSEIF ((NYCLUMPS.GT.2).AND.(WY(NYCLUMPS-1).GT.2).AND.
      (ELIM2B(YCHAN,NYCLUMPS,WY,YSTART,YSUH))) THEN
60 TO 30
C ELSEIF ((NXCLUMPS.GT.2).AND.(WY(2).EQ.2).AND.
C Case where a width 2 clump is multiplexed with other width 2
C clumps. ELIM2B looks for width 2 clumps multiplexed with a larger
C clump and eliminates them. If any clumps are eliminated, ELIM2B
C returns TRUE.
       GO TO 30
   ELSEIF ((NYCLUMPS.GT.2).AND.(WY(2).EQ.2).AND.
       (ELIM2B(YCHAN,NYCLUMPS,WY,YSTART,YSUH))) THEN
60 TO 30
C ELSEIF ((NXCLUMPS.GT.2).AND.(WY(2).EQ.2).AND.
C Case where a width 2 clump is multiplexed with other width 2
C clumps. ELIM2B looks for width 2 clumps multiplexed with a larger
C clump and eliminates them. If any clumps are eliminated, ELIM2B
C returns TRUE.
       GO TO 30
   ELSEIF (NYCLUMPS.GT.2).AND.(WY(2).EQ.2).AND.
       (ELIM2B(YCHAN,NYCLUMPS,WY,YSTART,YSUH))) THEN
60 TO 30
ELSE
      CALL WRITER(ICHAN,YCHAN,NXCLUMPS,NYCLUMPS,INSTART,INSTART,NX,NY)
ENDIF
RETURN
LOGICAL FUNCTION ELIH2A(CHAN,NCLUHPS,WIDTH,START,SUM)
INTEGER MAICHAN
PARAMETER(MAICHAN=140)
INTEGER CHAN,WIDTH,START,SUM,NCLUHPS,DUAL,I
DIMENSION CHAN(0:MAICHAN-1),WIDTH(10),START(10),SUM(10)

C When there are more than 2 clumps in one dimension, determine
C whether one of the width 2 clumps is spurious by seeing if
C the duals of its members are part of other clumps
C
ELIH2A=.FALSE.
IF ( (WIDTH(1).EQ.2).AND.
+ (CHAN(DUAL(START(1))).EQ.CHAN(START(1))).AND.
+ (CHAN(DUAL(START(1)+1)).EQ.CHAN(START(1)+1))) THEN
CHAN(START(1))=-CHAN(START(1))
CHAN(START(1)+1)=-CHAN(START(1)+1)
NCLUHPS=NCLUHPS-1
DO 100 I=1,NCLUHPS
WIDTH(I)=WIDTH(I+1)
START(I)=START(I+1)
SUM(I)=SUM(I+1)
100 CONTINUE
ELIH2A=.TRUE.
ENDIF
RETURN
END

C
LOGICAL FUNCTION ELIH2B(CHAN,NCLUHPS,WIDTH,START,SUM)
INTEGER MAICHAN
PARAMETER(MAICHAN=140)
INTEGER CHAN,WIDTH,START,SUM,NCLUHPS,DUAL,CLNO,I,J,K
DIMENSION CHAN(0:MAICHAN-1),WIDTH(10),START(10),SUM(10)
LOGICAL MEMBER

C If a width 2 clump is found which is multiplexed with a larger
C clump, it is eliminated. This function is only invoked when
C there are at least 2 width 2 clumps
ELIH2B=.FALSE.
CLNO=1
10 IF ( (WIDTH(CLNO).EQ.2).AND.(CLNO.LT.NCLUHPS) ) THEN
I=DUAL(START(CLNO))
J=DUAL(START(CLNO)+1)
C See if I or J is multiplexed into the larger clump
IF ( (MEMBER(I,START(NCLUHPS),WIDTH(NCLUHPS))).OR.
+ (MEMBER(J,START(NCLUHPS),WIDTH(NCLUHPS))) ) THEN
C Eliminate the current clump
C Do not increment CLNO in this case.
CHAN(START(CLNO))=-CHAN(START(CLNO))
CHAN(START(CLNO)+1)=-CHAN(START(CLNO)+1)
C Move width, start, and sum pointers down
DO 30 K=CLNO,NCLUHPS-1
WIDTH(K)=WIDTH(K+1)
START(K)=START(K+1)
SUM(K)=SUM(K+1)
30 CONTINUE
NCLUHPS=NCLUHPS-1
ELIH2B=.TRUE.
ELSE
CLNO=CLNO+1
ENDIF
GO TO 10
ENDIF
RETURN
END
LOGICAL FUNCTION MEMBER(CHNO,START,WIDTH)
INTEGER CHNO,START,WIDTH
C Determine whether CHNO is part of the clump of length WIDTH
C beginning at channel number START
IF ( (CHNO.LT.START).AND.(CHNO.LT.START+WIDTH) ) THEN
  MEMBER=.TRUE.
ELSE
  MEMBER=.FALSE.
ENDIF
RETURN
END

SUBROUTINE WRITER(ICHAN,YCHAN,NXCLUMPS,NYCLUMPS,ISTART,ISTART,MI,MY)
INTEGER MAICHAN
PARAMETER(MAICHAN=140)
INTEGER ICHAN,YCHAN,NXCLUMPS,NYCLUMPS,TOTICH,TOTYCH
INTEGER MI(10),MY(10),ISTART(10),ISTART(10),I,J
DIMENSION ICHAN(0:MAICHAN-1),YCHAN(0:MAICHAN-1)
TOTICH=0
TOTYCH=0
DO 10 I=1,NXCLUMPS
  TOTICH=TOTICH+MI(I)
10 CONTINUE
DO 20 I=1,NYCLUMPS
  TOTYCH=TOTYCH+MY(I)
20 CONTINUE
WRITE(33,'(213)')TOTICH,TOTYCH
C Write out x channels triggered in raw format
WRITE(33,81)((ISTART(I)+J,J=1,MI(I)),I=1,NXCLUMPS)
C Write out x amplitudes
WRITE(33,81)((ICHAN(ISTART(I)+J),J=0,MI(I)-1),I=1,NXCLUMPS)
C Write out y channels triggered in raw format
WRITE(33,81)((ISTART(I)+J,J=1,MY(I)),I=1,NYCLUMPS)
C Write out y amplitudes
WRITE(33,81)((YCHAN(ISTART(I)+J),J=0,MY(I)-1),I=1,NYCLUMPS)
RETURN
81 FORMAT(100I4)
END

SUBROUTINE CLUMPINFO(CHAN,INIT,START,WIDTH,SUM)
INTEGER MAICHAN
PARAMETER(MAICHAN=140)
INTEGER CHAN,INIT,START,WIDTH,SUM,I
DIMENSION CHAN(0:MAICHAN-1)
C Find consecutive active channels and their amplitude sum
C starting search at position INIT
C
C Find the first non-zero amplitude channel
START=INIT
5 IF (CHAN(START).LE.0) THEN
  START=START+1
  IF (START.LT.MAXCHAN) THEN
    WIDTH=0
    SUM=0
    RETURN
  ELSE
    GO TO 5
  ENDIF
ENDIF

23
C Find total width and accumulate sum
  SUM=CHAN(START)
  WIDTH=1
  I=START+1
10  IF (CHAN(I).GT.0) THEN
      WIDTH=WIDTH+1
      SUM=SUM+CHAN(I)
      I=I+1
      IF (I.GT.MAXCHAN-1) RETURN
      GO TO 10
  ENDF
  RETURN
END

C SUBROUTINE SORT(NCLUMPS,WIDTH,START,SUM)
INTEGER NCLUMPS,WIDTH(10),START(10),SUM(10),I,J
C Sort the clump width, start, and sum pointers by width
C Bubble sort is appropriate here since NCLUMPS is 5 or less
DO 10 I=1,NCLUMPS-1
    DO 20 J=1,NCLUMPS-I
        IF (WIDTH(J).GT.WIDTH(J+1)) THEN
            CALL SNAP(WIDTH(J),WIDTH(J+1))
            CALL SWAP(START(J),START(J+1))
            CALL SNAP(SUM(J),SUM(J+1))
        ENDIF
    20  CONTINUE
10  CONTINUE
RETURN
END

C SUBROUTINE SWAP(I,J)
INTEGER I,J,TEMP
TEMP=I
I=J
J=TEMP
RETURN
END

C SUBROUTINE COUNTCLUMPS(CHAN,NCLUMPS)
INTEGER MAXCHAN
PARAMETER(MAXCHAN=140)
INTEGER CHAN(NCLUMPS),START,WIDTH,SUM
DIMENSION CHAN(0:MAXCHAN-1)
NCLUMPS=0
I=0
10  CALL CLUMPINFO(CHAN,I,START,WIDTH,SUM)
    IF (WIDTH.EQ.0) RETURN
    NCLUMPS=NCLUMPS+1
    I=START+WIDTH
    IF (I.LT.MAXCHAN-1) GO TO 10
RETURN
END

C INTEGER FUNCTION DUAL(N)
INTEGER N
IF (N.LT.70) THEN
  DUAL=MOD(414N,70)+70
ELSE
  DUAL=MOD(414N,70)
ENDIF
RETURN
END
SUBROUTINE ZAPEND(NCLUHPS,CHAN,START,WIDTH,SUH)
INTEGER MAICHAN
PARAMETER(MAICHAN=140)
INTEGER I,NCLUHPS,ENDPT,CHAN,START,WIDTH,SUH,DUAL,6START,WSUB
INTEGER PREDICT
DIMENSION CHAN(O:MAICHAN-1),WIDTH(10),START(10),SUH(10)
DO 25 I=1,NCLUHPS
  IF ( (WIDTH(I).GT.2).AND. + (CHAN(START(I)).GT.CHAN(START(I)+1)).AND. + (CHAN(DUAL(START(I))).NE.0) ) THEN
    C Extract the Gaussian shaped clump from a possibly large clump
    C from the left side of the clump
    CALL GETSUBCLUHP(CHAN,START(I),WIDTH(I),6START,WSUB,1)
    CALL EXTRAPOLATE(CHAN,WSUB,START(I)+1,START(I),PREDICT)
    SUN(I)=SUH(I)-CHAN(START(I))+PREDICT
    CHAN(START(I))=PREDICT
    CHAN(DUAL(START(I)))=CHAN(DUAL(START(I)))-PREDICT
  C Readjust width and start pointers if necessary
  IF (PREDICT.EQ.0) THEN
    WIDTH(I)=WIDTH(I)-1
    START(I)=START(I)+1
  ENDIF
ENDIF
ENDPT=START(I)+WIDTH(I)-1
IF ( (WIDTH(I).GT.2).AND. + (CHAN(ENDPT).GT.CHAN(ENDPT-1)).AND. + (CHAN(DUAL(ENDPT)).NE.0) ) THEN
  C Extract the Gaussian shaped clump from a possibly large clump
  C from the right side of the clump
  CALL GETSUBCLUHP(CHAN,START(I),WIDTH(I),6START,WSUB,-1)
  CALL EXTRAPOLATE(CHAN,WSUB,START,ENDPT,PREDICT)
  SUN(I)=SUH(I)-CHAN(ENDPT)+PREDICT
  CHAN(ENDPT)=PREDICT
  CHAN(DUAL(ENDPT))=CHAN(DUAL(ENDPT))-PREDICT
  C Readjust width pointer if necessary
  IF (PREDICT.EQ.0) WIDTH(I)=WIDTH(I)-1
ENDIF
CONTINUE
RETURN
END

C SUBROUTINE GETSUBCLUHP(CHAN,START,WIDTH,6START,WSUB,INCR)
INTEGER MAICHAN
PARAMETER(MAICHAN=140)
INTEGER CHAN,START,WIDTH,6START,WSUB,INCR,POS
DIMENSION CHAN(O:MAICHAN-1)
C Find the Gaussian subclump starting from the left if INCR=1 or
C from the right if INCR=-1
WSUB=1
C Set starting position for Gaussian clump search; endpoint is bad
IF (INCR.EQ.1) THEN
  POS=START+1
ELSE
  POS=START+WIDTH-2
ENDIF
C Find other end of Gaussian subclump by climbing one hill until
C the next hill is detected or end of given clump
C First uphill
10 IF (CHAN(POS).LE.CHAN(POS*INCR)) THEN
   WSUB=WSUB+1
   POS=POS*INCR
   IF ( (POS.GT.START+1).AND.(POS.LT.START+WIDTH-2).AND.
       + (CHAN(POS).GT.O) ) GO TO 10
ENDIF
C Then downhill
20 IF ( (POS.GT.START).AND.(POS.LT.START+WIDTH-1).AND.
     + (CHAN(POS).GE.CHAN(POS*INCR)) ) THEN
   WSUB=WSUB+1
   POS=POS*INCR
   GO TO 20
ENDIF
C Set starting pointer for the Gaussian subclump
IF (INCR.EQ.1) THEN
   GSTART=START
ELSE
   GSTART=START+WIDTH-WSUB-1
ENDIF
RETURN
END
C
SUBROUTINE EXTRAPOLATE(CHAN,WIDTH,XSTART,SEARCH,PREDICT)
INTEGER HAXCHAN,OPP1,OPP2,Y1,Y2
REAL C,XOPP,CENTER
PARAMETER(HAXCHAN=140)
INTEGER CHAN,WIDTH,XSTART,SEARCH,PREDICT
DIMENSION CHAN(0:HAXCHAN-1)
C Find the center C of the distribution
C=CENTER(CHAN,WIDTH,XSTART)
C Compute the two x values closest to the opposite from SEARCH
C These formulas are correct whether SEARCH is to the left or the
C right of C.
OPP1=2*C-SEARCH
OPP2=OPP1+1
C Get the opposite value from SEARCH relative to C as a real number
XOPP=2*C-SEARCH
C Set PREDICT to the linear interpolated value
IF ( (OPP1.LT.XSTART).OR.(OPP1.GE.XSTART+WIDTH) ) THEN
   Y1=0
ELSE
   Y1=CHAN(OPP1)
ENDIF
IF ( (OPP2.GE.XSTART+WIDTH).OR.(OPP2.LT.XSTART) ) THEN
   Y2=0
ELSE
   Y2=CHAN(OPP2)
ENDIF
PREDICT=(1.0*Y2-Y1)/(1.0*OPP2-OPP1)*(XOPP-OPP1)+Y1
RETURN
END
REAL FUNCTION CENTER(CHAN, WIDTH, START)
INTEGER MAICHAN, I, WIDTH, START, CHAN
REAL YSUM, IDOTY
PARAMETER(MAXCHAN=140)
DIMENSION CHAN(0:MAICHAN-1)

C Determine the center of the clump starting at START of size WIDTH
YSUM=0
IDOTY=0
DO 10 I=START, START+WIDTH-1
   YSUM=YSUM+CHAN(I)
   IDOTY=IDOTY+I*CHAN(I)
10 CONTINUE
IF (YSUM.EQ.0) THEN
   WRITE(*,*)'*** ERROR -- YSUM = 0'
   CENTER=9999
   RETURN
ENDIF
CENTER=IDOTY/YSUM
RETURN
END
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