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ABSTRACT

This report presents an algorithm that incorporates real-time data from two infrared cameras and computes the attitude parameters of the Spacecraft COntrol Laboratory Experiment (SCOLE), a laboratory apparatus representing an offset-feed antenna attached to the Space Shuttle by a flexible mast. The algorithm utilizes camera position information of three miniature light emitting diodes (LEDs), mounted on the SCOLE platform, permitting arbitrary camera placement and an on-line attitude extraction. The continuous nature of the algorithm allows identification of the placement of the two cameras with respect to some initial position of the three reference LEDs, followed by on-line six degrees of freedom attitude tracking, regardless of the attitude time history. The report provides a description of the algorithm in the camera identification mode as well as the mode of target tracking. Experimental data from a reduced-size SCOLE-like laboratory model, reflecting the performance of the camera identification and the tracking processes, are presented. Computer code for camera placement identification and SCOLE attitude tracking is listed.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The development of control laws for flexible structures has received considerable attention. To support research in this area, the NASA Langley Research Center has developed the Spacecraft COntr0l Laboratory Experiment (SCOLE) (refs. 1-2). This facility provides researchers with a highly flexible test article, sensors, actuators, and digital control processing capability. The test article mimics the Space Shuttle with a large, flexible, offset-feed antenna attached to the payload bay as shown in figure 1. Much interest has been expressed by the research community concerning SCOLE. This is reflected in the technical output of five workshops conducted by the NASA Langley Research Center (refs. 3-7). Using SCOLE, control laws for a multi-input/multi-output structural dynamics system can be implemented in real time from any remote site that has a computer terminal and an asynchronous serial communications capability. Recent real-time experiments, representing a wide variety of applications related to dynamics and control of flexible structures, revealed good agreement with simulation results (refs. 8-9).

Two main control objectives are stated for SCOLE (ref. 1). The first is a minimum-time slew through a large angle (20 degrees) reorienting and maintaining the "Shuttle" platform attitude to within a prescribed offset from an arbitrary line-of-sight reference. The second is a rotation of 90 degrees about the line-of-sight while preparing for another slew maneuver. Two research and design stages are presented
by the SCOLE challenge. The first deals with the development of control
laws for a mathematical model of the structure in Earth orbit, while the
second is the design and implementation of the control laws on a
laboratory representation of the structural dynamics system. This
report supports, in part, the second stage of the design challenge by
presenting an algorithm to accommodate rigid-body motion tracking.
To detect slew maneuver and rotation about the line-of-sight, it is
proposed to utilize the capabilities of an infrared detector system
comprised of two cameras and three light emitting diodes (LEDs).
Figure 2 shows the laboratory upper level with the two camera towers
and the SCOLE LED target system. The use of such a non-inertial sensing
device reduces the complexity inherent in any inertial sensor system
and provides direct displacement measurements. In this report, a
description of the test system and the algorithm for the camera self-
calibration mode and target tracking mode are provided. Experimental
data and test results from a reduced-size SCOLE-like laboratory model,
shown in figures 3 and 4, are presented. The results reflect the
performance of the camera identification and tracking processes.
II. THE TEST SYSTEM

A detailed description of the SCOLE hardware and support software is provided in (ref. 2). It proved convenient to develop and test the proposed infrared detector system for SCOLE attitude extraction in a separate optics laboratory. To this end, a reduced size SCOLE-like model was designed. Figure 3 shows the model, mounted to a computer-controlled single degree-of-freedom precision rotary stage, as well as the infrared detector cameras. This setup provides a reduced dimension testbed that simulates the actual configuration of the SCOLE system, shown in figure 2. The three external LEDs, shown in figure 4, used in the experiment, resemble the isosceles-triangle SCOLE-LED configuration. This particular LED placement reduces the complexity of the nonlinear, rigid-body, transformation equations, permitting closed-form solution for attitude determination.

Two infrared cameras were arbitrarily positioned to detect the three LED images during the maneuver of the SCOLE model, as shown in figure 5. The camera lens assembly focuses any light source within its field of view onto a special photodiode. The two-axis "lateral effect photodiode" has four electrodes on its edges. When a light spot is imaged onto its surface, electric current is generated, divided up among the four electrodes in proportion to the distance of the light spot from these electrodes. Denoting the detector outputs as $y_1, y_2, z_1, \text{ and } z_2$, for the positive $y$, negative $y$, positive $z$, and negative $z$, respectively, one can determine the normalized $(y,z)$ coordinates of the image spot on
the detector plane, as described in figure 6, using the following expressions (ref. 10):

\[ y = \frac{y_1 - y_2}{y_1 + y_2}, \quad z = \frac{z_1 - z_2}{z_1 + z_2} \]

The resulting algebraic quantities are independent of the light intensity. Both cameras are interfaced to a PC-AT computer, which processes the sensor information and computes the rigid-body attitude angles and translation displacement of the SCOLE model center of mass.
III. A SUMMARY OF ESSENTIAL TRANSFORMATION EQUATIONS

Assuming that light rays travel in straight lines, enter a distortionless camera lens system through a single point, then a projective relationship exists between the photographic coordinates of the image points and the ground coordinates of the corresponding object points, as illustrated in figure 7. To describe the orientation of the camera relative to the inertial frame, \( x, y, z \), it suffices to state the orientation of the body-fixed camera coordinates, \( x_c, y_c, z_c \), relative to the SCOLE model reference frame \( x_0, y_0, z_0 \). At this stage, the SCOLE is fixed to the inertial frame such that reference frames \( x, y, z \) and \( x_0, y_0, z_0 \) coincide. This projective relationship can be accomplished by means of three consecutive rotations. Since the transformation law deals with large rotations, emphasis is placed on "consecutive" rotation because the order in which these rotations are carried out is important.

The following rotations are applied:

1. Coordinate system \( x_1, y_1, z_1 \) is rotated about the \( z_0 \) axis over an angle \( \alpha \), positive as indicated in figure 7. The angle \( \alpha \) is referred to as the heading or yaw angle.

2. Coordinate system \( x_2, y_2, z_2 \) is rotated about the \( y_1 \) axis over an angle \( \beta \), positive as indicated in figure 7. The angle \( \beta \) is referred to as the attitude or pitch angle.
3. Coordinate system \( x_c, y_c, z_c \) is rotated about the \( x_2 \) axis over an angle \( \epsilon \), positive as indicated in figure 7. The angle \( \epsilon \) is referred to as the bank or roll angle.

The angles \( \alpha, \beta \) and \( \epsilon \) are frequently referred to as Euler angles.

Defining \( v^{(j)}_i \) as the 3x1 coordinate vector of point \( j \) projected onto coordinate system \( i \), the transformation law that relates the camera frame to the reference frame can be written as

\[
v^{(j0)}_c = R v^{(j)}
\]  

\( v^{(j)} \) is the Newtonian coordinate vector of LED \( j \), positioned on the SCOLE model as shown in figure 8. The relative camera measurement vector \( v^{(j0)}_c \) in equation 1 indicates the coordinates vector of LED \( j \) image on the camera's detector plane with respect to the computed camera origin \( 0_c \), shown in figure 9.

The ratio between sections along a straight line is known to be invariant under coordinate transformation. This observation facilitates the determination of the origin coordinates in the camera's frame, based on the given triangular geometry that appears in figure 10. The extended lines (1,2) and (0,3) intersect at point \( M_{12} \). Similarly, lines (0,1) and (2,3) intersect at point \( M_{23} \). Since the distance ratios: \( \text{dist}(1,M_{12})/\text{dist}(1,2) \), and \( \text{dist}(2,M_{23})/\text{dist}(2,3) \) are unaltered, camera image coordinates of points \( M_{12} \) and \( M_{23} \) can be determined, based upon these ratios and camera measurement of LED-image coordinates at points 1, 2, and 3, as shown in figure 9. Coordinates of the intersection
point of lines \((3,M_{12})\) and extension of \((1,M_{23})\), constitute the image origin \(O_c\) on the detector plane.

The matrix \(R\) in equation 1 is the 3x3 transformation matrix, based upon the three consecutive rotations \(\alpha, \beta, \) and \(\varepsilon\)

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\alpha & a & 0 \\
-sa & c & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\beta & 0 & -s\beta \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
s\beta & 0 & c\beta
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\varepsilon & 1 & 0 \\
0 & e & 0 \\
0 & -se & e
\end{bmatrix}
\]

where the notations "s" and "c" abbreviate the trigonometric functions "sin" and "cos", respectively. In particular, the global transformation matrix can be expressed as

\[
R = [\varepsilon][\beta][\alpha] = \begin{bmatrix} R_{11} & R_{12} & R_{13} \\ R_{21} & R_{22} & R_{23} \\ R_{31} & R_{32} & R_{33} \end{bmatrix}
\]

(2a)

where

\[
R_{11} = \cos(\beta)\cos(\alpha) \\
R_{12} = \cos(\beta)\sin(\alpha) \\
R_{13} = -\sin(\beta) \\
R_{21} = -\cos(\varepsilon)\sin(\alpha) + \sin(\varepsilon)\sin(\beta)\cos(\alpha) \\
R_{22} = \cos(\varepsilon)\cos(\alpha) + \sin(\varepsilon)\sin(\beta)\sin(\alpha) \\
R_{23} = \sin(\varepsilon)\cos(\beta) \\
R_{31} = \sin(\varepsilon)\sin(\alpha) + \cos(\varepsilon)\sin(\beta)\cos(\alpha) \\
R_{32} = -\sin(\varepsilon)\cos(\alpha) + \cos(\varepsilon)\sin(\beta)\sin(\alpha) \\
R_{33} = \cos(\varepsilon)\cos(\beta)
\]

(2b)
IV. CAMERA PLACEMENT IDENTIFICATION

Utilizing the symmetry property of the LED configuration shown in figure 10 and introducing the camera scale factor $\lambda$ as the unknown ratio between the dimensions of the SCOLE model and the camera image, the following relationships for LEDs 1, 2, and 3 are proper:

$$v^{(1)} = [l 0 0]^T, \quad v_c^{(10)} = v_c^{(1)} - v_c^{(0)}$$

$$v^{(10)} = \frac{1}{\lambda} Rv^{(1)} = \frac{1}{\lambda} [R_{11} R_{21} R_{31}]^T$$

(3a)

$$v^{(2)} = [-h 0 0]^T, \quad v_c^{(20)} = v_c^{(2)} - v_c^{(0)}$$

$$v^{(20)} = \frac{1}{\lambda} Rv^{(2)} = \frac{1}{\lambda} [-R_{11}h + R_{12}b - R_{21}h + R_{22}b - R_{31}h + R_{32}b]^T$$

(3b)

$$v^{(3)} = [-h -b 0]^T, \quad v_c^{(30)} = v_c^{(3)} - v_c^{(0)}$$

$$v^{(30)} = \frac{1}{\lambda} Rv^{(3)} = \frac{1}{\lambda} [-R_{11}h - R_{12}b - R_{21}h - R_{22}b - R_{31}h - R_{32}b]^T$$

(3c)

where $l$, $h$, and $b$ are the SCOLE LED placement measurements, as indicated in figure 10. Introducing the relative measurement vector components $v_c^{(0)} = [x_c^{(0)} y_c^{(0)} z_c^{(0)}]^T$ and substituting equations 2b into 3b and 3c, the following expressions can be derived

$$- \cos(\epsilon)\sin(\alpha) + \sin(\epsilon)\sin(\beta)\cos(\alpha) = -\lambda \frac{v_c^{(20)} + v_c^{(30)}}{2h}$$

(4a)

$$\sin(\epsilon)\sin(\alpha) + \cos(\epsilon)\sin(\beta)\cos(\alpha) = -\lambda \frac{z_c^{(20)} + z_c^{(30)}}{2h}$$

(4b)
\[
\cos(\varepsilon)\cos(\alpha) + \sin(\varepsilon)\sin(\beta)\sin(\alpha) = \lambda \frac{y_c^{(20)} - y_c^{(30)}}{2b}
\] (4c)

\[
-\sin(\varepsilon)\cos(\alpha) + \cos(\varepsilon)\sin(\beta)\sin(\alpha) = \lambda \frac{z_c^{(20)} - z_c^{(30)}}{2b}
\] (4d)

An explicit expression for \( \varepsilon \) can be obtained by eliminating \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) from equations 4, yielding the \((\varepsilon, \lambda)\) polynomial

\[
F(\varepsilon, \lambda) = A \cos^2(\varepsilon) + B \cos(\varepsilon)\sin(\varepsilon) + C \sin^2(\varepsilon) - 1 = 0
\] (5a)

where

\[
A = \lambda^2 \left\{ \left( \frac{y_c^{(20)} + y_c^{(30)}}{2h} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{y_c^{(20)} - y_c^{(30)}}{2b} \right)^2 \right\} = R_{21}^2 + R_{22}^2
\]

\[
B = -\lambda^2 \left\{ \left( \frac{y_c^{(20)} + y_c^{(30)}}{2h^2} \right) \left( \frac{z_c^{(20)} + z_c^{(30)}}{2b} \right) + \left( \frac{y_c^{(20)} - y_c^{(30)}}{2b^2} \right) \left( \frac{z_c^{(20)} - z_c^{(30)}}{2b} \right) \right\}
= -2(R_{21}R_{31} + R_{22}R_{32})
\]

\[
C = \lambda^2 \left\{ \left( \frac{z_c^{(20)} + z_c^{(30)}}{2h} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{z_c^{(20)} - z_c^{(30)}}{2b} \right)^2 \right\} = R_{31}^2 + R_{32}^2
\] (5b)

are constants, based on the SCOLE configuration parameters \( h, b \), camera measurements \( y_c^{(j0)}, z_c^{(j0)}, (j=2,3) \), and the unknown camera constant scale factor \( \lambda \). Substitution of expressions 2b for \( R_{mn} \) (\( m,n = 1,2,3 \)) in equations 5b and then in equation 5a, must satisfy the identity \( F(\varepsilon, \lambda) = 0 \). Moreover, differentiating equation 5a with respect to \( \varepsilon \) and
using equations 2b and 5b again, reveals \( \partial F(\varepsilon, \lambda)/\partial \varepsilon = 0 \). These observations constitute the method for the determination of the camera roll angle \( \varepsilon \) and scale factor \( \lambda \). A typical solution to equation 5 is displayed in figure 11. Camera yaw angle \( \alpha \) and pitch angle \( \beta \) are obtained from equations 4

\[
\alpha = \sin^{-1} \left[ s_1 \cos(\varepsilon) - s_2 \sin(\varepsilon) \right]
\]

(6)

\[
\beta = \sin^{-1} \left[ \frac{c_2 + \sin(\varepsilon) \cos(\alpha)}{\cos(\varepsilon) \sin(\alpha)} \right]
\]

(7)

where

\[
s_1 = (y_c^{(20)} + y_c^{(30)}) \left\{ \text{sgn} \left[ v_0^{(2)}(1) \right] \right\}/(2h)
\]

\[
s_2 = (z_c^{(20)} + z_c^{(30)}) \left\{ \text{sgn} \left[ v_0^{(2)}(1) \right] \right\}/(2h)
\]

\[
c_2 = (z_c^{(20)} - z_c^{(30)})/(2b)
\]

\[
\text{sgn} \left[ v_0^{(2)}(1) \right]
\]

is the standard sign function with the first entry of vector \( v_0^{(2)} \) from equation 3b as an argument.

The method of determination of the orientation angles \( \alpha, \beta, \) and \( \varepsilon \), for both cameras described herein, permits arbitrary camera placement. The three-LED system mounted on the initially fixed SCOLE model during this process constitutes the reference Newtonian frame for the attitude tracking mode.
V. SCOLE ATTITUDE TRACKING

The SCOLE tracking process is related to the identification of the three displacement components, \( x^{(0)}, y^{(0)}, z^{(0)} \), of the SCOLE center of mass, and the yaw, pitch, and roll attitude angles \( \psi, \theta, \phi \), of its platform, respectively, as shown in figure 12, and can be regarded as the reversed version of the camera placement identification algorithm.

Substitution of the identified camera orientation angles \( \alpha_i, \beta_i, \) and \( \varepsilon_i \), \((i=1,2)\), yields the transformation relations between each camera and the SCOLE model

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{v}_{c1}^{(j0)} &= R_1 \mathbf{v}_0^{(j)} , \\
\mathbf{v}_{c2}^{(j0)} &= R_2 \mathbf{v}_0^{(j)}
\end{align*}
\]

where

\[
\mathbf{v}_0^{(j)} = \mathbf{v}(j) - \mathbf{v}(0)
\]

In these equations \( R_1 \) and \( R_2 \) are the constant coefficient transformation matrices in the form of equation 2, evaluated for camera 1 and 2 angles \( \alpha_1, \beta_1, \varepsilon_1 \), and \( \alpha_2, \beta_2, \varepsilon_2 \), respectively. In a similar manner \( \mathbf{v}_{c1}^{(j0)} \) and \( \mathbf{v}_{c2}^{(j0)} \) indicate the relative coordinate vector of LED \( j \) image onto camera 1 and 2 body frame with respect to the instantaneous computed image of the SCOLE model origin 0. \( \mathbf{v}(j) \) and \( \mathbf{v}(0) \) in equation 8c are the Newtonian coordinate vector of LED \( j \) and the SCOLE model origin 0, respectively. \( \mathbf{v}_0^{(j)} \) is the LED \( j \) coordinate vector in the translated frame \( x_0,y_0,z_0 \). Eliminating \( \mathbf{v}_0^{(j)} \) from
equations 8a,b, yields the transformation relation between the two cameras

\[ v_{c1}^{(j0)} = R^* v_{c2}^{(j0)}, \quad R^* = R_1 R_2^{-1}, \quad j = 0,1,2,3 \]  

(9)

\( j=0 \) represents the displacement of the image instantaneous center of mass with respect to the computed image fixed origin. Expressing \( v_{ci}^{(j0)} \) \( i=1,2 \) in terms of the Cartesian components \( v_{c1}^{(j0)} = [x_{c1}^{(j0)} \ y_{c1}^{(j0)} \ z_{c1}^{(j0)}]^T \) and \( v_{c2}^{(j0)} = [x_{c2}^{(j0)} \ y_{c2}^{(j0)} \ z_{c2}^{(j0)}]^T \), an explicit expression for \( x_{c1}^{(j0)} \) and \( x_{c2}^{(j0)} \) can be derived

\[ A x_j = b_j, \quad j = 0,1,2,3 \]  

(10)

where

\[ A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -R_{11}^* \\ 0 & -R_{21}^* \\ 0 & -R_{31}^* \end{bmatrix}, \quad x_j = [x_{c1}^{(j0)} \ x_{c2}^{(j0)}]^T, \quad b_j = \begin{bmatrix} R_{12}^* & R_{13}^* \\ R_{22}^* & R_{23}^* \\ R_{32}^* & R_{33}^* \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y_{c2}^{(j0)} \\ z_{c2}^{(j0)} \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ y_{c1}^{(j0)} \\ z_{c1}^{(j0)} \end{bmatrix} \]

Because this set of three equations contains only two unknown quantities, \( x_{c1}^{(j0)} \) and \( x_{c2}^{(j0)} \), it is said to be overdetermined and any subset of two equations may, in principle, be solved to recover \( x_{c1}^{(j0)} \) and \( x_{c2}^{(j0)} \). In practice, however, the detector plane image coordinates \( y_{ci}^{(j0)} \), \( z_{ci}^{(j0)} \) are known within some error bound. Consequently, solutions to the various subsets of two equations will yield somewhat different results. In such a case, a preferable practice is to employ the method of Least Squares (LS) to extract from the entire set of three
equations a reduced set of two equations leading to results of greatest possible accuracy. Using standard LS approximation, the recovered unknown vectors $x_j$ are obtained by

$$x_j = (A^T A)^{-1} A^T b_j, \quad j = 0, 1, 2, 3$$

(11)

and the amount of error in this approximate solution is indicated by

$$\| e_j \|^2 = b_j^T [I - A (A^T A)^{-1} A^T] b_j$$

(12)

With the recovered $x_j$ vectors, the camera information is complete and available for the determination of the global coordinates of the three SCOLE LEDs. This can be accomplished by using the inverse transformation

$$[x_0^{(j)} \ y_0^{(j)} \ z_0^{(j)}]^T = R_i^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} x_{c_i}^{(j)} & y_{c_i}^{(j)} & z_{c_i}^{(j)} \end{bmatrix}, \text{ for } i=1 \text{ or } i=2, \quad j=1, 2, 3$$

(13)

Subsequently, the angular motion parameters, $\psi$, $\phi$, and $\psi$ are calculated, based on the above information and the geometry of figure 12:

$$\psi = \tan^{-1} \frac{y_0^{(1)}}{x_0^{(1)}}$$

(14)

$$\phi = \tan^{-1} \left[ \frac{z_0^{(1)}}{\sqrt{(x_0^{(1)})^2 + (y_0^{(1)})^2}} \right]$$

(15)
where superscripts in equations 14 through 16 indicate LED index number and the subscripts are associated with the related coordinate system. Similarly, the displacement vector of the SCOLE center of mass is obtained by the inverse transformation

\[
\begin{bmatrix} x^{(0)} \\ y^{(0)} \\ z^{(0)} \end{bmatrix} = R_i \begin{bmatrix} x_{ci}^{(00)} \\ y_{ci}^{(00)} \\ z_{ci}^{(00)} \end{bmatrix}, \text{ for } i=1 \text{ or } i=2
\]

where \( x^{(0)}, y^{(0)}, z^{(0)} \), are absolute displacement components of the SCOLE center of mass and \( x_{ci}^{(00)}, y_{ci}^{(00)}, z_{ci}^{(00)} \), represent the camera frame displacement of the instantaneous center-of-mass image with respect to the camera-fixed origin.

The continuous nature of the algorithm permits instantaneous tracking of the SCOLE attitude regardless of the trajectories time history. Using tracking techniques based on classical methods (ref. 11), current attitude estimate depends on the preceding estimate. With this approach, in a case when the target signal is temporarily lost or corrupted during operation, it will be most difficult, if not impossible, to relocate the model without process interruption.
VI. NUMERICAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Rigid body attitude maneuver tracking was tested on a reduced-size SCOLE-like laboratory model using the methods and equipment previously described. For this work, due to hardware limitations, the model was attached at its center of mass to a single degree-of-freedom, precision, rotary stage, as shown in figure 4, resulting in rotation about the yaw axis only. The rotary stage controller was able to provide motion with a resolution of 0.001 degrees. This laboratory setup provides a dynamics-free environment, where the sole testing of software and sensor hardware is performed. The experiment consists of two phases. During phase I, both cameras were oriented such that all of the light sources appear within the field of view of each camera, but otherwise arbitrarily. The SCOLE model was oriented to a desired initial position where the SCOLE body frame at that instant constitutes the system inertial reference. Camera identification, based upon the procedure previously described, yields camera orientation angles with respect to Newtonian frame, as well as camera scale factors. Sensor measurements, summarized in Table I, are consistent with results based on external measurement. Figure 13 displays a typical scaled LED image on the detector plane in the camera identification mode.

Phase II of the experiment deals with attitude tracking of the SCOLE model. During this phase, the rotary stage was turned and LED position measurements were detected by both cameras simultaneously at every 5-degree increment. Figure 14 displays camera scaled images
Figure 15 depicts sensor outputs for a rotation range of 50 degrees, where each measurement is based on the average of six samples. Due to the system constraints, yaw was the only attitude change expected. However, as shown in figure 15, non-zero pitch and roll motion were detected as well. This is due to misalignment between the LED-plane normal vector and the rotary stage axis of rotation. The same offset also affects the yaw measurements resulting in deviation from the expected linear characteristics. Defining linearity error as the difference between a data point and the associated linear least-squares approximation of the entire data set, the average error over the range of 50 degrees was 0.56 degrees. Figure 16 displays the yaw error characteristics, spanned over the experimental range. Although motion of the model center of mass was constrained by the experimental setup, non-zero displacement components were detected by both cameras, as shown in figures 17 and 18. The first figure exhibits a camera scaled view of the image center-of-mass motion while the second provides a description of the motion components in a Newtonian frame. These unexpected readings are due primarily to detector non-linearities.

Error reduction can be achieved through system upgrading. This amounts to sensor random-noise level reduction by replacement of the existing LEDs with more powerful ones along with amplifier gain reduction. Uncertainties in measurements of the LED configuration on the SCOLE model, and possible offset between the location of the camera image computed origin and the axis of rotation of the rotary stage, contribute to error accumulation. Another error source is encountered.
with sensor distortion. Because of geometrical considerations involving detector electrode placement, the most linear region of the detector is the 25-percent zone around its center. However, for maximum linearity, the center 6.25-percent zone of the detector should be used (ref. 10). Minimum linearity zone is observed at the corner of the detector; however, as the image spot moves towards the center, linearity is improved. Applications needing the maximum accuracy require distortion mapping of the detector surface and lens calibration. Such procedures were presented in (ref. 12), where a distortion correction was determined by fitting the sensor data to scanner data, using least-squares approximation. Correction of misalignment associated with the scanning operations and a table lookup procedure to map the detector surface can improve image distortion correction, and, therefore, increase camera output accuracy.
VII. CONCLUDING REMARKS

An attitude tracking algorithm, utilizing position information of three selected fixed points on the SCOLE platform, was introduced. The data acquisition system utilizes the capabilities of an infrared detector system comprised of two cameras, fixed to a Newtonian frame, and three light emitting diodes, attached to three selected points on SCOLE. Both cameras are interfaced to a PC-AT computer which processes sensor information and computes the SCOLE rigid-body attitude angles and displacement vector of its center of mass. The experiment consists of two phases. During phase I, both cameras are operating in the self-calibration mode, where orientation angles for each camera body frame with respect to the Newtonian frame are determined. In addition, the scale factor for each camera, defined as the ratio between the physical dimensions of the object and its image on the detector surface, is computed. During phase II, both cameras are operating in the tracking mode, where position information of the three LEDs is acquired and instantaneous yaw, pitch, and roll angles, as well as centroid displacement components, are determined. Yaw maneuver tests, performed on a reduced-size SCOLE-like laboratory model, revealed an average linearity error of 0.56 degrees over the range of 50 degrees. The results obtained here agree with other results obtained when a nonlinear tracking method was tested. Momentary loss of signal or signal corruption does not affect the tracking process. The continuous nature of the algorithm presented here permits instantaneous tracking of the SCOLE attitude parameters regardless of the attitude time history.
System upgrading can contribute to error reduction. More powerful LEDs along with amplifier gain reduction will improve the signal-to-noise ratio. Improving sensor distortion mapping techniques will yield more accurate sensor output and, therefore, also more accurate attitude measurements.
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Table I.- Cameras orientation angles $\alpha$, $\beta$, and $\epsilon$
and scale factors $\lambda$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$\alpha^0$</th>
<th>$\beta^0$</th>
<th>$\epsilon^0$</th>
<th>$\lambda$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CAMERA 1</td>
<td>89.58</td>
<td>-43.80</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>95.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAMERA 2</td>
<td>-87.58</td>
<td>-39.07</td>
<td>-1.52</td>
<td>102.54</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1. The Spacecraft COntrl Laboratory Experiment - SCOLE
Figure 2.- SCOLE laboratory upper level view with two camera towers
Figure 3.- Laboratory setup of infrared detector cameras and the SCOLE reduced-size model
Figure 4.- SCOLE reduced-size model with LED configuration
Figure 5 - Camera placement angles in Newtonian coordinates system
Figure 6 - True position \((y,z)\) of a light spot on detector plane
Figure 7. - Coordinate system transformation
Figure 8 - LED placement configuration on SCOLE
Figure 9.- LED image location and origin determination geometry on detector plane
Figure 10.- LED triangular configuration on SCOLE
Figure 11.- A typical solution to Eq.(5): polynomial first root $\varepsilon_0$
Figure 12: SCOLE attitude angle extraction
Figure 13. A typical scaled-image in the camera placement mode

* Scaled LEDs absolute image
+ Scaled computed absolute image origin
o Scaled LEDs relative image
Figure 14.- Camera scaled-image at various model orientations
Figure 15.- Yaw, pitch, and roll measurements versus rotary stage position
Figure 16.- Yaw attitude error via linear least-squares approximation
Figure 17.- Camera scaled view of center-of-mass motion
Figure 18. - $x(0), y(0), z(0)$ components of center-of-mass motion
APPENDIX

PC-MATLAB COMPUTER CODE FOR CAMERA PLACEMENT IDENTIFICATION AND SCOLE ATTITUDE TRACKING

PC-MATLAB computer language (ref.13) was used for the coding of the two-phase algorithm. The code consists of two main programs and thirteen sub-programs "m" files. The first main program, "camid.m", provides a procedure for identification of the two camera attitude parameters with respect to a Newtonian frame. The second, "scoilid.m", computes the instantaneous attitude parameters of the SCOLE platform with respect to that Newtonian frame. The sub-programs listed in the sequel, appear according to their first occurrence in the main calling program. The task of a program or a sub-program is explained under the NAME statement in each code.
% NAME: camid.m (main program)
%=====================================================================
%CAMERA IDENTIFICATION <<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<
%=====================================================================
% Program computes camera placement parameters with
% respect to a Newtonian reference frame
%
clear
%
% Import on-line camera data from 8751 micro processor
%
[x1,x2,y1,y2]=flyy
format compact
scolpar
preorigin
%
% Determine CAM1 ABC coefficients
%
y1=-y1;
y2=-y2;
%
% Distortion corrector for CAM1
%
[x11,y11]=cammap1(x1,y1);
x1=x11;
y1=y11;
cla
sf10=50;
echo Please wait ...
ycl=x1(1);
zcl=y1(1);
yc2=x1(2);
zc2=y1(2);
yc3=x1(3);
zc3=y1(3);
origin
yc01=yc0;
zc01=zc0;
sf0=sf10;
rel
abc
scale
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eps1=xmax;
alpha1=alpha;
beta1=beta;
sf1=sf;
A1=A;B1=B;C1=C;
%
sf0=sf1;
rel
cam=1;
relplot
pause
%
% Determine CAM2 ABC coefficients
%
x100=x1;
y100=y1;
x1=x2;
y1=y2;
%
% Distortion corrector for CAM2
%
[x22,y22]=cammap2(x1,y1);
x2=x22;
y2=y22;
x1=x100;
y1=y100;
sf20=50;
yc1=x2(1);
zc1=y2(1);
yc2=x2(2);
zc2=y2(2);
yc3=x2(3);
zc3=y2(3);
origin
yc02=yc0;
zc02=zc0;
sf0=sf20;
rel
abc
scale
cla
clg
eps2=xmax;
alpha2=alpha;
beta2=beta;
sf2=sf;
A2=A;B2=B;C2=C;

% sf0=sf2;
rel
cam=2;
relplot
pause
%
!echo CAMERA 1 IDENTIFICATION
!echo**************************
!echo .
sprintf('ALPHA-1 = %g',alpha1/dpr)
sprintf('BETA-1 = %g',beta1/dpr)
sprintf('EPSILON-1 = %g',eps1/dpr)
sprintf('SCALE FACTOR-1 = %g',sf1)
%
!echo .
!echo .
!echo CAMERA 2 IDENTIFICATION
!echo**************************
!echo .
sprintf('ALPHA-2 = %g',alpha2/dpr)
sprintf('BETA-2 = %g',beta2/dpr)
sprintf('EPSILON-2 = %g',eps2/dpr)
sprintf('SCALE FACTOR-2 = %g',sf2)
% NAME: scolid.m (main program)
% %%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%
% SCOLE TRACKING
% %%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%
% Program identifies the attitude parameters of SCOLOE with respect to the
% Newtonian frame established by the CAMID program
% alpha=alphal;
beta=betal;
eps=eps1;
tmatrix % sub-program
R1=R;
alpha=alpha2;
beta=beta2;
eps=eps2;
tmatrix % sub-program
R2=R;
%
% Construct matrices for the recovery of the missing cameras components xc1, xc2
% INVR2=inv(R2);
R=R1*INVR2;
M1=[1 -R(1,1);0 -R(2,1)];
INVM1=inv(M1);
M11=INVM1*R(1:2,2:3);
%
% Import on-line camera data from 8751 micro processor
%
[xl,x2,yl,y2]=flyy % sub-program
%
cla
!echo Please wait . . .
format compact
%
y1=-y1;
y2=-y2;
%
% Distortion corrector for CAM1
%
[x11,y11]=cammap1(x1,y1); % sub-program
x1=x11;
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% Distortion corrector for CAM2

% sub-program

xcleast

v1(1,1:3)=v1(1,1:3)+xc(1,1:3);  
v2(1,1:3)=v2(1,1:3)+xc(2,1:3);  
vreal=INVR2*v2;
cla
sprintf('SCOLE CURRENT ATTITUDE:')
sprintf('******************************')
angles

% NAME: flyy.m (sub-program)
% Routine activates the 8751 micro processor for
% camera data acquisition and storage in data arrays
%
function [x1,x2,y1,y2]=flyy()
data=camera(3);
for x=1:6;
    xa(x)=data((x-1)*8+1);
    xb(x)=data((x-1)*8+2);
    ya(x)=data((x-1)*8+3);
    yb(x)=data((x-1)*8+4);
    xa2(x)=data((x-1)*8+5);
    xb2(x)=data((x-1)*8+6);
    ya2(x)=data((x-1)*8+7);
    yb2(x)=data((x-1)*8+8);
end;

x1=(xa-xb)./(xa+xb); %-.14187;
x2=(xa2-xb2)./(xa2+xb2);+%+.0702;
y1=(ya-yb)./(ya+yb); %+.0287;
y2=(ya2-yb2)./(ya2+yb2); %-.07604;

% NAME: scolpar.m (sub-program)
% SCOLE's Hardware parameters input
%
l=7.0;
h=(3+3/16);
b=4.5;
v0=[l -h -h
    0 b -b
    0 0 0];
%dpr=pi/180;
% NAME: preorigin.m (sub-program)
% Routine computes parameters related to the
% LEDs placement geometry
%
% Subscript "s" means SCOLE, "c" means CAMERA
%
% Coordinates on SCOLE:
%
% SCOLE platform triangular geometry
%
% M12 coordinates:
%
x12=-x1*x2/(x1-2*x2);
y12=y2*x1/(x1-2*x2);
%
% M23 coordinates:
%
x23=x2;
y23=0;
bar1M12=sqrt((x1-x12)^2+(y1-y12)^2);
bar12=sqrt((x1-x2)^2+(y1-y2)^2);
ratio12=bar1M12/bar12;
ratio23=0.5;

% NAME: cammapl.m (sub-program)
% CAM1 detector map for distortion correction
%
function [x11,y11]=cammapl(x1,y1)
for x=1:6;
    xp(x)=-.0137+.1702*x1(x)-.0003*y1(x)+.0018*(x1(x)*y1(x));
    xpp(x)=xp(x)-.0113*x1(x)^2-.0052*y1(x)^2;
end;
\[ x_{1\text{p}}(x) = x_{\text{pp}}(x) - 0.019x_1(x)^2y_1(x) + 0.0212x_1(x)y_1(x)^2; \]
\[ x_{11}(x) = x_{1\text{p}}(x) + 0.0884x_1(x)^3 - 0.0036y_1(x)^3; \]
\[ y_{\text{p}}(x) = 0.0035 - 0.0006x_1(x) + 0.1721y_1(x) - 0.0019x_1(x)y_1(x); \]
\[ y_{\text{pp}}(x) = y_{\text{p}}(x) + 0.0085x_1(x)^2 + 0.0006y_1(x)^2; \]
\[ y_{1\text{p}}(x) = y_{\text{pp}}(x) + 0.0423x_1(x)^2y_1(x) - 0.003x_1(x)y_1(x)^2; \]
\[ y_{11}(x) = y_{1\text{p}}(x) + 0.0107x_1(x)^3 + 0.0678y_1(x)^3; \]
end;

% NAME: origin.m (sub-program)
% Routine computes the coordinates of SCOLE's origin
% in the camera frame.
% % CAMERA frame triangular geometry
% yc_12 = yc_1 + (yc_2 - yc_1) * ratio_12;
zc_12 = zc_1 + (zc_2 - zc_1) * ratio_12;
yc_23 = yc_2 + (yc_3 - yc_2) * ratio_23;
zc_23 = zc_2 + (zc_3 - zc_2) * ratio_23;

% Equation of line 3-M12
% m_{12} = (zc_3 - zc_12) / (yc_3 - yc_12);
b_{12} = (yc_3 * zc_12 - zc_3 * yc_12) / (yc_3 - yc_12);

% Equation of line 1-M23
% m_{23} = (zc_1 - zc_23) / (yc_1 - yc_23);
b_{23} = (yc_1 * zc_23 - zc_1 * yc_23) / (yc_1 - yc_23);

% Coordinates of origin in camera's frame
% zc_0 = (m_{12} * b_{23} - m_{23} * b_{12}) / (m_{12} - m_{23});
yc_0 = -(b_{12} - b_{23}) / (m_{12} - m_{23});
% NAME: rel.m (sub-program)  
% Routine computes the projections of the position vectors of SCOLE's LEDs  
%  
yc10=(yc1-yc0)*sf0;  
zc10=(zc1-zc0)*sf0;  
yc20=(yc2-yc0)*sf0;  
zc20=(zc2-zc0)*sf0;  
yc30=(yc3-yc0)*sf0;  
zc30=(zc3-zc0)*sf0;  
%  
% NAME: abc.m (sub-program)  
% Routine computes the polynomial coefficients of equation (5a).  
%  
A=((yc20+yc30)/2/h)^2+((yc20-yc30)/2/b)^2;  
B=(yc20+yc30)*(zc20+z3c0)/2/h/h+(yc20-yc30)*(zc20-zc30)/2/b/b;  
C=((zc20+zc30)/2/h)^2+((zc20-zc30)/2/b)^2;  
%  
% FILE NAME: scale.m (sub-program)  
% Routine computes camera scale factor and the camera placement parameters, the angles ALPHA, BETA,  
% and EPSILON  
%  
dpr=pi/180;  
A0=A;B0=B;C0=C;  
maxold=0;  
delta=1;  
i0=31;  
ifin=61;  
xbegin=0;  
convrg=0.0000001;  
convdel=0.001; %degrees  
flag=0;  
sf=sf0;  
for j=1:1000  
%  
clear xx yy  
for i=1:ifin  
   x=xbegin+(i-i0)*delta;  
}
xx(i)=x;
x=x*dpr;
yy(i)=A*(cos(x))^2-B*sin(x)*cos(x)+C*(sin(x))^2-1;
x=x/dpr;
end
%
[maxyy,ii]=max(yy);
xmax=xx(ii);
%
beg=xmax-2*delta;
delta=delta/2;
i0=0;
ifin=8;
if delta<=convdel&abs(maxyy)<=convrg
  break
end
%
maxold=maxyy;
sf=sf*sqrt(1/(1+maxyy));
sfr=sf/sf0;
sfrsq=sfr^2;
A=A0*sfrsq; B=B0*sfrsq; C=C0*sfrsq;
end
%
s1=(yc20+yc30)/2/h*(-sign(v0(1,2)));
s2=(zc20+z2c30)/2/b*(-sign(v0(1,2)));
c1=(yc20-yc30)/2/b;
c2=(zc20-zc30)/2/b;
s1=s1*sfr; s2=s2*sfr; c1=c1*sfr; c2=c2*sfr;
xmax=xmax*dpr;
%
alpha=(asin(s1*cos(xmax)-s2*sin(xmax)));
beta=asin((c2+sin(xmax)*cos(alpha))/cos(xmax)/sin(alpha));

% NAME: relplot.m (sub-program)
% Routine plots the relative coordinates of the LEDs
% image on the detector plane
%
yyrel=[yc10 yc20 yc30];
zzrel=[zc10 zc20 zc30];
yy=[yc1 yc2 yc3];
zz=[zc1 zc2 zc3];
axis('square')
axis([-8,8,-8,8])
plot(yyrel,zzrel,'o')
hold

yy=yy*sf0;
zz=zz*sf0;
yc0=yc0*sf0;
zc0=zc0*sf0;

plot(yy,zz,'+',yc0,zc0,'*')
num=['1' '2' '3'];
setstr(num)
for i=1:3
    text(yyrel(i),zzrel(i),num(i))
end
if (cam==1);title('CAMERA 1 ACTUAL DATA');end
if (cam==2);title('CAMERA 2 ACTUAL DATA');end
grid
hold off

% NAME: cammap2.m
% CAM2 detector map for distortion correction
% function [x11,y11]=cammap2(x1,y1)
for x=1:6;
    xp(x)=.0215+.1463*x1(x)-.0051*y1(x)-.0021*(x1(x)*y1(x));
    xpp(x)=xp(x)+.0017*x1(x)^2+.0133*y1(x)^2;
    xlp(x)=xp(x)-.0005*x1(x)^2*y1(x)+.0074*x1(x)*y1(x)^2;
    x11(x)=xlp(x)+.076*x1(x)^3-.0074*y1(x)^3;
    yp(x)=-.0193+.0027*x1(x)+1.881*y1(x)+.0033*x1(x)*y1(x);
    ypp(x)=yp(x)-.0166*x1(x)^2+.0066*y1(x)^2;
    ylp(x)=ypp(x)+.0327*x1(x)^2*y1(x)-.0088*x1(x)*y1(x)^2;
    y11(x)=ylp(x)+.0024*x1(x)^3+.0711*y1(x)^3;
end;
% NAME: tmatrix.m (sub-program)
% Routine computes the R=EPS*BETA*ALPHA
% transformation matrix
% 
salpha=sin(alpha);
calpha=cos(alpha);
sbeta=sin(beta);
cbeta=cos(beta);
seps=sin(eps);
ceps=cos(eps);
ALPHA=[calfa 5alpa 0;5als alpha 0 0 1];
BETA=[cbeta 0 -sbeta;0 1 0; sbeta 0 cbeta];
EPS=[1 0 0;0 ceps seps;0 -seps ceps];
R=EPS*BETA*ALPHA;

% NAME: xcleast.m (sub-program)
% Routine computes the xcl, xc2 components via
% least squares approximation
% 
D=[1 -R(1,1)
 0 0 -R(2,1)
 0 -R(3,1)];
DTR=D';
F=inv(DTR*D);
E=[R(1,2) R(1,3)
 R(2,2) R(2,3)
 R(3,2) R(3,3)];
subv2=v2(2:3,1:3);
subv1=[0 0 0
 v1(2,1) v1(2,2) v1(2,3)
 v1(3,1) v1(3,2) v1(3,3)];
xc=F*DTR*(E*subv2-subv1);

% FILE NAME: angles.m (sub-program)
% routine computes the attitude parameters based upon
% the (xi,yi,zi), i=1,2,3 coordinates
% 
x=vreal(1,1:3);
y=vreal(2,1:3);
z = vreal(3,1:3);

% Compute the attitude angles PSI, THETA, PHI

psi = atan(y(1)/x(1));
theta = atan(-z(1)/sqrt(x(1)^2 + y(1)^2));
hh = h * (-sign(v0(1,2)));
xbar = x(2) + hh * cos(theta) * cos(psi);
ybar = y(2) + hh * cos(theta) * sin(psi);
zbar = z(2) - hh * sin(theta);
b1 = sqrt(xbar^2 + ybar^2 + zbar^2);
phi = asin((z(2) - hh * sin(theta))/cos(theta)/b1);

sprintf('YAW ........... PSI (degrees) = %g', psi/dpr);
sprintf('PITCH ...... THETA (degrees) = %g', theta/dpr);
sprintf('ROLL ......... PHI (degrees) = %g', phi/dpr)
### Abstract

This report presents an algorithm that incorporates real-time data from two infrared cameras and computes the attitude parameters of the Spacecraft Control Laboratory Experiment (SCOLE), a laboratory apparatus representing an offset-feed antenna attached to the Space Shuttle by a flexible mast. The algorithm utilizes camera position information of three miniature light emitting diodes (LEDs), mounted on the SCOLE platform, permitting arbitrary camera placement and an on-line attitude extraction. The continuous nature of the algorithm allows identification of the placement of the two cameras with respect to some initial position of the three reference LEDs, followed by on-line six degrees of freedom attitude tracking, regardless of the attitude time history. The report provides a description of the algorithm in the camera identification mode as well as the mode of target tracking. Experimental data from a reduced-size SCOLE-like laboratory model, reflecting the performance of the camera identification and the tracking processes, are presented. Computer code for camera placement identification and SCOLE attitude tracking is listed.