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ABSTRACT

This report contains the research results from 1988 research grant NAG8-641 from NASA/MSFC and a follow on 1989 contract NAS8-36955. Therefore, some of the results in this report were documented in the final report, *Automatic Programming of Simulation Models*, UAH Report 725, September 1988.
1.0 INTRODUCTION

The concepts of software engineering offer an approach to minimizing software development problems and to improving the overall simulation modeling environment. Software engineering encompasses the entire life cycle process by which a program is conceptualized, structured, programmed, verified, validated, and maintained. The goal of software engineering is to develop quality code, on time, and within budget. To meet this goal requires a variety of programming tools such as a good language with a library of reusable modules, a flexible editor, and a potent debugger.

The focus of this research project is on using the concepts of software engineering to improve the simulation modeling environment. Of special interest is to apply an element of rapid prototyping, or automatic programming, to assist the modeler define the problem specification. Then, once the problem specification has been defined, an automatic code generator is used to write the simulation code.

The following two domains were selected for evaluating the concepts of software engineering for discrete event simulation: manufacturing domain and a spacecraft countdown network sequence.

The specific tasks for this follow-on contract were to:

1. Define the software requirements for a graphical user interface to the Automatic Manufacturing Programming System (AMPS) system.
2. Develop a graphical user interface for AMPS.
3. Compare the AMPS graphical interface with the AMPS interactive user interface.
2.0 MODELING LIFE CYCLE

There has been considerable interest in improving the process for developing simulation models. One area of interest is the development of simulation support environments. Henriksen (1983) suggests a simulation software development environment composed of a set of integrated software tools. Standridge (1983) proposes the integration of software tools and database management techniques on each stage of the simulation model development process. Pidd (1984) also outlines a simulation support environment concept for handling one simulation problem at a time.


More recently, the Semiconductor Manufacturing Technology Initiative (SEMATECH) is developing a coherent modeling environment called CHIPS (Coherent Intergrated Planning System). CHIPS consists of five major modules: process flow analysis module, queueing network analysis module, system simulation module language, and a cost analysis module (Phillips, et al 1989). SEMATECH is a cooperative project between industry and government with the goal to recover the world leadership in semiconductor manufacturing.
Figure 1 outlines the phases of the model life cycle (Balci 1986 and Nance 1988). Basically, the modeling process is iterative rather than sequential as indicated in Figure 1. That is, the modeler goes back and forth between the various phases during the modeling process.

Figure 1 can be considered as the traditional approach to simulation modeling (Balci 1986 and Nance et al 1988). The same process also applies to general modeling problems. The process consists of six stages described on the left side of the figure. On the right side, different types of models generated at different stages through the process are listed. For example, a conceptual model of a manufacturing system may be the understanding of the system by the modeler and in the mind of the modeler. A communicative model of the manufacturing system may be a graphic representation of the system in the form of a block diagram, flowchart, or network diagram. A GPSS simulation program of the manufacturing system is a programmed model. The model results are generated by executing the program.

3.0 SOFTWARE ENGINEERING IN THE MODELING LIFE CYCLE

Rapid prototyping is a technique used in software engineering for capturing system requirements early in the modeling life cycle so that these requirements can be evaluated, tested, verified and validated early in the process before starting the actual coding. The end result of rapid prototyping is the potential for large increases in productivity.

An element of rapid prototyping is the automatic conversion of the communicative model into executable code. Automatic Programming (AP) is defined as the automation of some aspects of the computer programming process (Barr 1982). This automation is accomplished by developing another
Figure 1. Phases in the modeling life cycle
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Figure 1. Phases in the modeling life cycle
program, an automation programming system, that raises the level of satisfying computer program instructions. In other words, an AP system helps programmers write programs. AP systems improve the overall environment for defining and writing programs (Brazier and Shannon 1987). Consequently, there should be a reduction in the amount of detail that the programmer needs to know.

To write simulation programs automatically, two phases in the simulation modeling process are usually automated. The first phase is the automation of the process of specifying the problem. The second phase, and the more difficult phase, is the automatic generation of executable code in the target simulation language.

3.1 Problem Specification

Figure 2 shows the overlaying of automatic programming onto the modeling life cycle in Figure 1. Phase II, model development, has been replaced by a user interface program that assists the modeler in defining the problem specification.

The automatic problem specification can be considered as an intelligent assistant to the user in defining the simulation model. Some authors call this approach the specification acquisition element of the simulation model construction (Murray and Sheppard 1988).

Three approaches for assisting the user in defining the simulation model or problem specification are:

° Natural language interface
° Interactive graphical interface
° Interactive dialogue interface
Figure 2. Software engineering imbedded in the modeling life cycle
There is a fourth approach for assisting in the definition of problem specification, which is the use of a high-level specification language. This approach is less domain specific. However, the use of a high-level specification language requires the user to learn another language in order to define a problem.

3.1.1 Natural language interface

The Natural Language Interface (NLI) allows the user to specify the problem in free text format to the computer via a keyboard. The NLI then attempts to parse the text and automatically generate the simulation code in the target language. Most NLI's communicate interactively with the user to identify missing information and possible inconsistencies. The Natural Language Programming for Queueing Simulations (NLPQ) (Heidorn 1974) and the Electronic Manufacturing Simulation System (EMSS) (Ford and Schroer 1987) are two examples of a NLI.

3.1.2 Interactive graphical interface

The second approach to assist the user in specifying the problem is an Interactive Graphical Interface (IGI), which is less difficult than the NLI. An IGI consists of a menu of icons that are mouse selectable by the user in constructing a graphical representation of the system being simulated. Once the system has been constructed, the user inputs the attributes corresponding to the icons through the keyboard.

An example of an IGI is by Khosnevis and Chen (1986) who developed an object-oriented approach for graphically modeling a system. This system is rule-based and written in common LISP on an IBM PC. Once the graphical
description of the model is completed, the system automatically generates the equivalent SLAM simulation code.

3.1.3 Interactive dialogue interface

The third approach to assist the user in defining the problem specification is the Interactive Dialogue Interface (IDI). An IDI consists of a series of questions that are asked the user. Among the three approaches for defining the problem specification, the interactive dialogue interface is the one most commonly used by developers.

Several systems have been developed using the interactive dialogue approach. Haddock and Davis (1985) have developed a Flexible Manufacturing System (FMS) simulation generator. Brazier and Shannon (1987) have developed an automatic programming system for modeling Automated Guided Vehicle System (AGVS). Murray and Sheppard (1988) have developed a Knowledge Based Model Construction (KBMC) system to automate model definition and code generation. These last three systems generate SIMAN code.

3.2 Automatic simulation code generation

In Figure 1, Phase III, write model, has been replaced in Figure 2 by an automatic code generation program. Basically, two approaches exist for taking the internal problem specification and then automatically generating executable code in the target simulation language. The first approach is to generate simulation code directly from the internal representation of the problem specification.

A second approach is to use a library of predefined macros to assist in the automatic generation of the simulation code. The advantage of such
an approach is the ability to solve more specialized problems than those previously discussed in the literature. The disadvantage is that most macros are domain specific. As a result, additional macros are needed to solve another problem domain.

4.0 AUTOMATIC MANUFACTURING PROGRAMMING SYSTEM (AMPS)

4.1 Introduction

The Automatic Manufacturing Programming System (AMPS) is a software engineering tool for rapidly prototyping selected phases of the simulation process for domain specific manufacturing systems. The AMPS system consists of the following elements:

°A set of generic manufacturing modules written in GPSS/PC (Minuteman 1986)
°An interface program for extracting the problem from the user and for creating a problem specification file
°An automatic code generator program for creating the code in the target simulation language GPSS/PC

The AMPS system domain is those manufacturing systems that can be described as having:

°Assembly and subassembly lines where parts are being added to an assembly.
°Manufacturing cells that are providing parts to the assembly and subassembly lines.
°Inventory of parts being moved between the manufacturing cells and subassembly lines.
4.2 AMPS System Overview

Figure 3 is an overview of the AMPS system operation. Once the user has scoped the problem domain, the user sits at a workstation and responds to the questions from the interface program. Based on the responses, the interface program creates an internal problem specification file. This file includes the manufacturing process network flow and the attributes for all stations, cells and stock points. The problem specification file is then used as input to the automatic code generator program which generates the simulation program in the target language GPSS/PC.

The user then adds the experimental frame, such as the run statements, and the GPSS/PC simulation program is executed. To change the GPSS/PC model, the user recalls the problem specification. The user interface then provides the simulationist with a number of options to change or modify the problem specification. The code generator will then rewrite the GPSS program.

4.3 Library of GPSS Macros

In analyzing most manufacturing systems at the macro level, the following function are generally similar in nature:

- Assembly - adding part X to part Y resulting in part Z
- Fabrication - making of part X from part Y
- Inspection - inspecting part X
- Inventory transfer - moving part X or a cart of part X from stock point A to stock point B
- Simple operation - performing an operation on part X resulting in a modified part X
Figure 3. AMPS system overview
These five functions represent the current domain of manufacturing functions within the AMPS system. Once the manufacturing functions have been defined, GPSS subroutines are written for the functions (see Appendix A). These routines constitute a library of predefined GPSS subroutines, or macros. This library of macros is then called, when needed, in the construction of the GPSS simulation model. Currently, the AMPS system has the following five GPSS subroutines:

- Assembly
- Manufacturing
- Inventory transfer
- Inspection
- Task

In a recent article on SEMATECH (Phillips, et al 1989), researchers have identified ten machine modules for the semiconductor manufacturing domain. Furthermore, the SEMATECH group has indicated that possibly no more than 16-20 generic machine modules may be required to completely represent the semiconductor manufacturing environment.

Figure 4 briefly describes each of these macros. For example, the assembly station macro has the capability of simulating the adding of a variety of different items to the incoming part resulting in a modified part that is then transferred to the next destination, a station or stock point. For example, in Figure 4, station STA1 may assemble two part C's and three part D's to the incoming part A resulting in Part B.

The manufacturing cell makes a cart of specified parts when an order is received. The cell can make multiple part types. For example, in Figure 4, cell MCI may make one part A from two part C's and three part D's.
Figure 4. GPSS manufacturing macros
and one part B from one part D. The task station performs an operation on a part. For example, in Figure 4 an operation is performed at station STA4 on part E resulting in a modified part E. The inspection station inspects a defined percentage of parts. Of those inspected, a defined percentage is defective. Of those defective, a defined percentage is scrapped.

The inventory transfer macro grants part requests from an assembly station or a manufacturing cell and checks if the inventory system is a push or pull. For a pull system the macro orders a cart of parts by sending an empty cart back to the source and sends a full cart of parts to the demand stock point from the source stock point.

4.4 Sample Problem

Figure 5 is an example of a typical manufacturing system that can be modeled by the AMPS system. The manufacturing system consists of one assembly line, two subassembly lines, and two manufacturing cells. The assembly line consists of two assembly stations, one task station and one inspection station. Subassembly line 2 consists of one assembly station and one task station while line 3 consists of two assembly stations. Manufacturing cell MC1 provides part type C for assembly station ASSY1 and part type H for assembly station ASSY8. Manufacturing cell MC2 provides part type E for assembly station ASSY5 and part types F and G for assembly station ASSY7. There are a variety of stock points, labeled A through L, located throughout the manufacturing system.

The GPSS program for the manufacturing system in Figure 5 that was generated by AMPS consists of 344 blocks, of which 110 blocks are for the five macros, 25 blocks are for the main program and 209 blocks are matrix savevalues for defining the system attributes.
Figure 5. Manufacturing system
5.0 AUTOMATIC NETWORK PROGRAMMING SYSTEM (ANPS)

5.1 Introduction

Large simulation projects have been undertaken for the space program. One of the projects involves simulating the countdown sequence prior to spacecraft liftoff. A countdown has a number of constraints. For example, on a lunar mission, these constraints may include allowable launch azimuth, required earth orbit inclination, daylight at the lunar landing area, and daylight at the primary recovery area. As a result of these constraints, a launch window of only several hours could exist during three consecutive days in a month.

Another constraint is the cryogenic propellents. The handling of the cryogenic propellents prevent a launch hold from one day to the next. For example, a launch that is scrubbed after the cryogenics have been loaded is generally delayed at least until the third day within the launch opportunity. In addition, a typical prelaunch consists of thousands of events, both on the launch vehicle, as well as the ground support equipment, that must be successfully completed to launch within a given launch window.

The Automatic Network Programming System (ANPS) is a tool to assist the modeler of prelaunch countdown sequences define the problem, and to then automatically generate the program code in the target simulation language GPSS/PC. The domain of problems that can be solved by ANPS is the prelaunch activities of space vehicles and the operation of supporting ground support equipment. A broader domain is reliability network models of hardware systems and subsystems.
5.2 Previous Research

Snyder et al. (1967) have developed a simulation model of the Saturn V prelaunch activities beginning at T-24 hours and continuing through T-O hours, or lift-off. This model was used to predict the probability of launching the spacecraft within a given launch window. A second objective of the model was to identify locations in the countdown for placing holds and to determine the length of these holds. The model consisted of over 1100 vehicle subsystems and 400 ground support subsystems. A detailed time line was developed showing the interrelationships of these subsystems. In additions to the time line, the model input included operational data, reliability data, and maintenance data. The model was written in GPSS-II and ran on an IBM 360 computer.

The Synder model was expanded to include multiple launch windows and the operational sequence when a launch window was missed and the spacecraft had to be recycled to the next launch window (Schroer 1969). The model was used to predict the probability of launching a spacecraft within a given set of back-to-back launch windows. A second objective was to predict the probability of launching in a subsequent window, given a window had been missed and a recycle sequence and a possible hold had to be executed before resuming the countdown.

5.3 ANPS System Overview

The three AP elements in ANPS are an Interactive Dialogue Interface (IDI), a library of software modules, and an automatic simulation code generator.
The actual operation of ANPS is similar to AMPS (see Figure 3). The ANPS system uses an interactive dialogue interface to assist the user define the problem specification. Using this interface, the user sits at a personal computer and enters into a dialogue with the ANPS system. Based on the user's responses, the interactive interface creates an internal problem specification file. This file includes the time line for the countdown sequence, the attributes for the activities, and the dependent relationships between the activities. The specification file is used by the code generator program to create the simulation program in the target simulation language GPSS/PC.

5.4 Library of GPSS Macros

Since the ANPS system is domain specific to prelaunch countdown sequences, the number of needed software modules is minimal. Consequently, ANPS consists of the following four GPSS modules (see Appendix B):

- Fixed activity operation function (VENT_A)
- Continuous activity operation function (VENT_B)
- Activity failure function (FAIL)
- Activity interrupt function (XACT_DELAY)

These modules were selected based on a detailed evaluation of the two previously discussed models by Synder (1967) and Schroer (1969). Interestingly, several of these previously developed modules were written as Fortran HELP routines using the old GPSS-II.

The fixed activity operation function (VENT_A) simulates the operation of each fixed time activity and its time to failure. If the activity fails during its operation, the transaction is forwarded to the activity failure function (FAIL).
The continuous activity operation function (VENT_B) simulates the operation of each continuous time activity and its time to failure. This activity is not completed until all other related activities are completed. For example, system power is a continuous time function that will be on until all activities requiring power are completed. If the activity fails, the transaction is forwarded to the activity failure function. (FAIL).

The activity failure function (FAIL) simulates the failure of an activity as indicated by functions VENT_A and VENT_B. When an activity fails, all the dependent activities enter a hold state. The function then simulates the time to repair the activity. If another activity fails during the delay of a dependent activity and the dependent activity is dependent on the first failed activity, the additional time to repair, if any, is added to the delay of the dependent activity. The failure function assumes that a dependent activity that has been delayed cannot fail during the delay. The activity interrupt function XACT_DELAY contains the logic to add any additional time to an activity on hold if another activity fails during the hold and the held activity is dependent on the failed activity.

The ANPS macros impose the following constraints:

- An activity failure will cause that activity to be delayed until the failure has been repaired.
- All dependent activities will also be delayed for the same time until the failure has been repaired.
- If another activity fails during the delay of a dependent activity and the dependent activity is also dependent on the just failed activity, the additional time to repair, if any, is added to the delay of the dependent activity.
A dependent activity that has been delayed cannot fail during the delay time and will not cause other dependent activities to be delayed.

- No two continuous activities can end on the same node.
- No two activities can start from the same node and terminate on the same node.
- No two activities can start from the same node and terminate on the same node.

5.5 Sample Problem

Figure 6 is a time line for a simplified prelaunch countdown sequence consisting of 16 fixed activities and two continuous activities. Figure 7 is the time line redrawn in the form of a network diagram and structured for input to the ANPS system. The dotted lines in these figures indicate time line constraints. For example, activities ACT11 and ACT15 must be completed before starting activity ACT12. ACT21 is a dummy activity with zero time that is used to impose the activity ACT15 constraint.

Several other dummy activities were also required to construct the network diagram. For example, dummy activity ACT23 was added to simulate the termination of the second continuous activity ACT2, since no more than one continuous activity can end at a node. Also, dummy activity ACT19 was added at the completion of activity ACT5 since no two activities can start from the same node, node 2, and end at the same node, node 4.

Table I contains the time attributes for the activities in the prelaunch countdown. These attributes include activity duration, activity time to failures, and activity time to repairs. Note that activities ACT1 and
Figure 6. Prelaunch countdown for sample problem
Figure 7. Network representation of prelaunch sequence
Table I. Countdown sequence attributes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Duration (hours)</th>
<th>Failure time (hours)</th>
<th>Repair time (minutes)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Continuous</td>
<td>E(33)</td>
<td>N(60,6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Continuous</td>
<td>E(33)</td>
<td>N(60,6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>E(12)</td>
<td>N(30,3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>E(12)</td>
<td>N(30,3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>E(12)</td>
<td>N(60,6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>E(12)</td>
<td>N(60,6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>E(12)</td>
<td>N(45,5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>E(12)</td>
<td>N(60,6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>E(12)</td>
<td>N(60,6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>E(12)</td>
<td>N(60,6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>E(12)</td>
<td>N(45,5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>E(12)</td>
<td>N(30,3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>E(12)</td>
<td>N(60,6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>E(12)</td>
<td>N(90,9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>E(12)</td>
<td>N(60,6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>E(12)</td>
<td>N(120,2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>E(12)</td>
<td>N(60,6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>E(12)</td>
<td>N(45,5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Dummy</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Dummy</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Dummy</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Dummy</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Dummy</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table II. Operational dependencies between activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>13</th>
<th>14</th>
<th>15</th>
<th>16</th>
<th>17</th>
<th>18</th>
<th>19</th>
<th>20</th>
<th>21</th>
<th>22</th>
<th>23</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ACT2 have continuous operation times. That is, these activities will operate during the entire prelaunch countdown. An example of a continuous activity is electrical power that may be needed to operate a number of activities.

Table II contains the operational dependencies between the activities. In other words, the table gives the effect of an activity failure on other activities in the prelaunch. For example, a failure of the continuous activity ACT1 will cause a stopping of activities ACT3, ACT4, ACT5, ACT12, ACT13, and ACT18. Likewise, a failure of activity ACT4 will cause a stopping of activity ACT5.

Figure 8 gives the distribution of time to complete the prelaunch sequence in Figure 6. This distribution is based on the simulation of 200 launches. The mean time to complete the countdown is 34.2 hours. Launch vehicle availability (LVA) is defined as the probability of launching within a given launch window. The LVA for up to six hour window is given in Figure 9. The LVA for a two hour window is 0.015 and increases to 0.596 for a six hour window.

6.0 DEVELOPMENTAL AP SYSTEMS

Table III contains a comparison of the six platforms that have been developed for the AMPS and ANPS systems. Two programmers were used to develop the systems. Programmer A was Mr. W.S. Dwan who was a graduate student in computer science at the University of Alabama in Huntsville (UAH). Mr. Dwan was experienced in LISP on a Symbolics workstation. Programmer B was Mr. S.X. Zhang who was a visiting scholar at UAH from Northwestern Polytechnical University in Xian, China.
Figure 8. Time to complete prelaunch countdown
Figure 9. Launch vehicle availability
### Table III  Comparison of platforms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>User interface</th>
<th>Order of development</th>
<th>Programmer</th>
<th>Platform</th>
<th>Language</th>
<th>Target language</th>
<th>Man-months</th>
<th>Lines of code</th>
<th>Lines of code per month</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AMPS</td>
<td>IDI</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Symbolics</td>
<td>Lisp</td>
<td>GPSS/PC</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1,500</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANPS/PC</td>
<td>IDI</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>IBM/PC</td>
<td>Prolog</td>
<td>GPSS/PC</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1,300</td>
<td>325</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMPS/PC</td>
<td>IDI</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>IBM/PC</td>
<td>Pascal</td>
<td>GPSS/PC</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1,900</td>
<td>633</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMPS/PC</td>
<td>IDI</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>IBM/PC</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>GPSS/PC</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1,300</td>
<td>325</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMPS/Graphics</td>
<td>IGI</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Symbolics</td>
<td>Lisp</td>
<td>GPSS/PC</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3,500</td>
<td>233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMPS/PC</td>
<td>IDI</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>IBM/PC</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>SIMAN/PC</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1,600</td>
<td>533</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**
1. IDI - Interactive Dialogue Interface
2. IGI - Interactive Graphical Interface
3. AMPS - Automatic Manufacturing Programming System
4. ANPS - Automatic Network Programming System
6.1 AMPS/Symbolics

The AMPS system was initially developed for the Symbolics 3620
workstation and used the Interactive Dialogue Interface (IDI). Figure 10
is a portion of a typical IDI dialogue. The AMPS system was written in LISP
by programmer A in six man months. The system consisted of 1,500 lines of
LISP code. The code production was 250 lines per month.

A detailed description and operation of the AMPS system is given in
September 1988. The system has been submitted to NASA COSMIC (reference #
28367). The AMPS/Symbolics system was also ported to the TI Explorer
workstation.

6.2 ANPS

ANPS was the second system developed and used with the IDI dialogue.
Figure 11 is a portion of a typical IDI dialogue. This system was deve-
loped by programmer B using Turbo Prolog on an IBM/PC. The system con-
sisted of 1,300 lines of code. The code production was 325 lines per month.

A detailed description and operation of the ANPS system is given in
1988. The system has been submitted to NASA COSMIC (reference #26091).

6.3 AMPS/PC

This version of AMP was developed in Turbo Pascal on an IBM/PC and
uses an IDI dialogue. The system was developed by programmer B using Turbo
Pascal (Borland 1987) on an IBM PC. The system consists of 1,900 lines of
code. The code production was 633 lines per month.
How many types of final products to be made in the manufacturing system: 2
Name of the product 1: A
Name of the product 2: B

Do you want to modify the input above? (Y or N) No.

Type of the facility used to produce product A at the final stage: Assembly line

Name of the line to produce product A: MAIN
Number of stations in line MAIN: 2
Capacity and initial inventory at the stock points:
  Maximum number of parts at stock point: 2000
  Initial number of parts at stock point: 0

Do you want to modify the input above? (Y or N) No.

Description of line MAIN

Input process (Interarrival time of orders):
  Time:
  Distribution: Exponential
  Mean: 100

Do you want to modify the input above? (Y or N) No.

station 1
(1) Station id: 1
(2) Type of station: Assembly station
(3) Station name: ONE
(4) Part required:
  Number of part types required: 2
    Name of part: C
    Number of part: 1
    Name of part: D
    Number of part: 2
(5) Time:
  Distribution: Normal
  Mean: 100
  Standard deviation: 2

Do you want to modify the input above? (Y or N) No.

Figure 10. Typical IDI dialogue for AMPS/Symbolics
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity attributes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Activity name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity type (fixed/variable)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration distribution type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mean time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Starting node number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ending node number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTTF distribution type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mean time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTTF distribution type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mean time</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Number of dependent activities | 0 |

Do you want to modify the above input (Y 'N'): N

Figure 11. Typical IDI dialogue for ANPS

6.4 AMPS/PC

This version of AMP is identical to the AMPS/PC in Section 6.3. The only difference is this version is written in Turbo C (Borland 1988) for the IBM/PC. The system consists of 1,300 lines of code. The code production was 325 lines per month. This system has not been submitted to NASA COSMIC.

6.5 AMPS/Graphics

This version of AMPS was developed for the Symbolics 3620 workstation and uses the Interactive Graphical Interface (IGI). The system was written in LISP by programmer A in fifteen months. The system consists of 3,500 lines of code. The code production was 233 lines per month.

The AMP/Graphics system is documented in UAH Report 788, *Automatic Manufacturing Programming/Graphics*, August 1989. The system is being submitted to NASA COSMIC. Since the AMPS/Graphics has been developed under the followon contract, a more detailed discussion of the system follows.

6.5.1 AMPS/Graphics Overview

An overview of the AMPS/Graphics system is given in Figure 12. The user sits at a Symbolics 3620 workstation to create or modify the model. The output of the Interactive Graphical Interface (IGI) program is the
Figure 12. AMPS/Graphics system overview
problem specification file. The automatic code generator program combines the specification file with the selected GPSS/PC macros and writes the simulation program. The program is then downloaded to the IBM PC and executed by the GPSS/PC system. To modify the program, the user recalls the problem specification file and the cycle repeated.

The tree structure of the AMPS commands is given in Figure 13. The system consists of five menus: Main, Model, Layout, Specification and GPSS. In summary, the Main Menu contains the master control commands. The Model Menu contains the commands for creating, editing, saving, and reading models. The Layout Menu contains the commands for constructing the model. The Specification Menu includes the commands for defining the model parameters. The GPSS Menu contains the commands for writing the simulation code.

Figure 14 is a list of the icons available in AMPS. These icons serve as the construction blocks in defining a manufacturing system. To define a manufacturing system the user selects these icons and develops a process flow showing the various stations and the flow between the stations. Figure 15 gives all the feasible connections between the icons. For example, it is not feasible to connect an inspection station to a manufacturing cell.

The function and connection rules for each of these icons are documented within the system. The user can click on an icon to learn the function and the rules of the icon. All the connection rules are implemented in the system as construction rules of the models. As the user creates a model, the AMPS checks the partially completed model immediately for possible local violations of the rules. For example, Figure 16 shows the rules for an assembly station.
Figure 13. AMPS/Graphics commands
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Icons</th>
<th>Function</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><img src="image" alt="Assembly station icon" /></td>
<td>Assembly station</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image" alt="Starting point of an assembly line icon" /></td>
<td>Starting point of an assembly line</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image" alt="Demand stock point of pull inventory system icon" /></td>
<td>Demand stock point of pull inventory system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image" alt="Ending stock point for final product icon" /></td>
<td>Ending stock point for final product</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image" alt="Inspection station icon" /></td>
<td>Inspection station</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image" alt="Manufacturing cell icon" /></td>
<td>Manufacturing cell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image" alt="Stock point for part ordered from outside icon" /></td>
<td>Stock point for part ordered from outside</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image" alt="Push inventory system icon" /></td>
<td>Push inventory system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image" alt="Supply stock point of pull inventory system icon" /></td>
<td>Supply stock point of pull inventory system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image" alt="Task station icon" /></td>
<td>Task station</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 14. Library of AMPS/Graphics icons
Figure 15. Valid AMPS/Graphics icon connections
Assembly station

Function: adding parts stored at the source stock points to a part coming from another source and then transferring the assembled part to the destination.

Rules:
- must have one and only one source from one of the following:
  - a station, or
  - a starting point.
- must have at least one source from one of the following:
  - a demand stock point,
  - an ordered-from-outside stock point, or
  - a push stock point.
- must have one and only one destination from one of the following:
  - a push stock point,
  - an ending stock point, or
  - a station.

Figure 16. Assembly station rules
When the process flow has been completely drawn, the AMPS/Graphics will check the completeness of the structure. After the layout has passed the check for completeness, the user enters the parameters of the manufacturing system. The user then clicks on each icon to input the specification. A parameter menu will pop up on the screen. Figure 17 shows the parameter menu of an inspection station. The user can move the cursor to each field to enter the data. The system then performs additional checking. For example, the AMPS will check whether the data are the right types for the fields. The AMPS will make certain that an initial inventory level is not larger than the capacity.

6.5.2 Sample Problem

Figure 18 is an example of a simple manufacturing system formulated using the AMPS/Graphics system. The manufacturing system consists of an assembly line, MAIN and two assembly stations, STA1 and STA2. The assembly line produces part A. Station STA1 assembles part C to the incoming part and passes it to station STA2. Station STA2 then assembles part B to the incoming part from station STA1 and produces part A. Part C is supplied through a pull inventory control system from manufacturing cell MC. A part C is made of parts D and E at the manufacturing cell MC. Parts B, D, and E are supplied from outside sources.

Parts arriving at the assembly line follow the exponential distribution with a mean of 100. The assemble time of each of the two stations is a constant 100. Station STA1 requires one part C and station STA2 requires one part B for an assembly. The stock point to hold the final product, part A, has a capacity of 1000 units.
Figure 17. Typical parameter input

Figure 18. Sample manufacturing system
Part C is used at station STA1 and is manufactured at manufacturing cell MC. A pull inventory system controls the production and shipment of part C, which is represented by a pair of supply and demand stock points. A vehicle WGIG is used to move the carts between the stock points. The time to move the carts is 10. Each cart has a capacity of 4 parts C. Initially there is a cart of parts C at each of the supply and demand stock points. Parts B, D, and E are supplied from outside sources. Initially there are 1000 units for each part type. Manufacturing cell MC makes part C. One part D and two parts E are used to make one part C. The manufacturing time is 100 and there is no setup time.

The model is created by selecting the Model command from the Main Menu and the Create command from the Model Menu (See Figure 13). The actual layout of the model is created by using the commands Draw Icons and Connect Icons in the Layout Menu.

After the model has been completely drawn, the Layout Complete option is selected to start specifying the model parameters. Figure 19 shows a portion of the model parameters. To specify an icon the user simply clicks on the icon when the AMPS is in the Specification Menu.

Both the layout and the parameters can be saved for future use through the Save command in the Model Menu. At the completion of the problem specification, the user selects the Specification Complete command to end the model specification. The system then leads the user to the GPSS Menu command to create the corresponding simulation code in the target language GPSS/PC.

Appendix D contains another sample problem. Included in this appendix are layout of the manufacturing system, a listing of the input parameters, and a complete listing of the GPSS simulation model.
Starting Point of Line
Name of line: MAIN
Interarrival time distribution: Constant
Constant: 100

Final Product from Assembly Line
Part name: A
Capacity and initial inventory at the stock point:
Maximum number of parts at stock point: 1000
Initial number of parts at stock point: 0

Demand Stock Point
Part name: C
In a pull system, parts are assumed to be ordered, made, and shipped by carts. Two stockpoints: supply and demand are created.
Capacity and initial inventory at the stock point:
Current cart capacity (number of parts per cart): 4
Initial number of carts at demand stock point: 1
Initial number of carts at supply stock point: 1
Vehicle used to move carts between stock points: wqig
Moving time distribution: Constant
Constant: 10

Supply Stock Point
Part name: C
In a pull system, parts are assumed to be ordered, made, and shipped by carts. Two stockpoints: supply and demand are created.
Capacity and initial inventory at the stock point:
Current cart capacity (number of parts per cart): 4
Initial number of carts at demand stock point: 1
Initial number of carts at supply stock point: 1
Vehicle used to move carts between stock points: wqig
Moving time distribution: Constant
Constant: 10

Ordered from outside
Part name: D
Capacity and initial inventory at the stock point:
Maximum number of parts at stock point: 1000
Initial number of parts at stock point: 1000
Will Part D be replenished during the simulation? No

Figure 19. Partial parameter input
6.6 AMPS/PC/SIMAN

The basic AMPS/PC system in Section 6.4 was modified to create SIMAN (Pegden 1985) rather than GPSS/PC code. This system used the identical Interactive Dialogue Interface (IDI) as the AMPS/PC system. However, the automatic code generator program was rewritten to create code in the target simulation language SIMAN. A listing of the SIMAN macros is given in Appendix C.

The system was written by programmer B in Turbo C on an IBM/PC. The system consisted of 1,600 lines of code. The code production was 533 lines per month. This system has not been documented and has not been submitted to NASA COSMIC.

7.0 SYSTEM EVALUATION

The concepts developed in AMPS have been used to model three real world problems. The first system was a Flexible Manufacturing System (FMS) at Rexham Speedring Inc., in Cullman, Alabama. The FMS consisted of 18 stations and nine alien stations. The FMS makes four different parts with each requiring 47, 31, 22 and 22 operations respectively (Schroer 1988).

The second system was a 25 station assembly line at SCI Manufacturing Inc. in Huntsville, Alabama. The line assembles a health monitoring device (Schroer 1988). The third system was a twelve station Unit Production System (UPS) at Camptown Togs, Inc. in Clanton, Alabama (Schroer and Ziemke 1989).

The following observations are made based on the above implementations:

- The problem domains were sufficiently different that the AMPS user
interface could not be used in defining the problem specification.

° The library of GPSS modules were used extensively in writing the simulation models. For the FMS model, several additional simulation modules were developed.

° By using the library of GPSS modules, the UPS model was written and validated in less than four hours as compared to forty hours without the use of the modules.

° The use of the GPSS modules caused the resulting simulation code to be structured code and well documented.

8.0 PUBLICATIONS

The following is a list of publications resulting from the research supported by NASA Grant NAG8-641 and NASA contract NAS8-36995.


9.0 CONCLUSIONS

9.1 Comparison of the AMPS/Graphics System with the AMPS System

Both AMPS and AMPS/Graphics were written in Lisp on the Symbolics 3620 machine. The AMPS/Graphics used the Symbolics system dependent features such as the flavors (frame) window, and the graphics function. Also, AMPS/Graphics use object oriented programming concepts. The adoption of the above features greatly simplified the programming effort for such a complicated system. However, these system dependent features also make the conversion of the AMPS/Graphics to other types of machines very difficult. On the other hand, the AMPS system used very few system dependent features. Most of the statements in AMPS are Common Lisp compatible. Therefore, it is much easier to convert AMPS to other platforms. For example, the AMPS system has been successfully ported converted to a TI Explorer with only minor modifications.

The AMPS system provides an Interactive Dialogue Interface (IDI) for the user to create the model. In AMPS, the user must follow the preset logic system and answer a series of questions prompted in constructing a model. That is, the user is in a passive role. The AMPS system controls the main logic. The AMPS system allows the user to make only very limited modifications throughout the development process. Also, the user must remember the stage of the development process. Consequently, it is difficult to visualize the development process of the model in AMPS.

The AMPS/Graphics has an Interactive Graphic Interface (IGI) through which the user builds the model mainly by icons. The user can start building the model from any part of the model. Also, the user can
always see the partially completed model on the screen. The AMPS/Graphics system allows the user to modify any part of the model throughout the development process. Consequently, it is much easier to build a model and to trace the logic by the AMPS/Graphics. Furthermore, a graphical model is also a much better communicative model than a descriptive model.

The AMPS/Graphics system provides several help features. For example, on-line documentation of each icon can be obtained by clicking a button. The documentation shows the function of each icon and the connection rules with other icons. In the construction process, if a mistake is made, the system will immediately give the appropriate error message. The AMPS system does not have these help features.

The models created by the AMPS/Graphics can be saved and then modified through the IGI interface. The corresponding simulation program will then be modified automatically. The AMPS system does not have this capability.

It is much slower to design a user friendly system such as AMPS/Graphics at the beginning of the design process because of the many factors to be considered. However, once the basic framework of the system is completed, a system such as AMPS/Graphics is much easier to modify and expand. For example, it is rather easy to add a new facility icon or to change a model construction rule. A carefully designed system should be flexible enough to add or remove a construct from the system with only minor effort. On the other hand, a system like AMPS is easier to initially design, and therefore is ideal to serve as a prototype. However, any change after the initial design requires a major modification to the system.
The AMPS/Symbolics system makes use of some advantages of the Symbolics machine. For example, the system automatically checks for some types of errors, executes much faster, and has a large amount of memory available. However, currently none of the popular commercially available discrete simulation languages, such as GPSS, is available on the Symbolics. The simulation programs must be downloaded to an IBM-PC to run the simulation. On the other hand, the AMPS/PC system is much slower than the AMPS/Symbolics. The small memory of the PC's also limits any reasonably large models to be constructed on the AMPS/PC.

9.2 Summary

In summary, an Automatic Programming (AP) system, such as AMPS and ANPS, offers a number of advantages for improving the simulation modeling environment. These advantages include:

- Rapid prototyping - Once the necessary library of simulation modules has been written, the AP system permits the user to rapidly construct a model. As a result, the AP system produces executable simulation code that is syntax error free.

- Software correctness - Correct simulation software requires the definition of a complete and formal set of model requirements. An AP system forces the user to completely define these requirements.
- Improved clarity of simulation code - The simulation code generated by the AP system is structured code that is easy to read, trace, and modify. An added benefit is embedded code documentation.

- Increased productivity - By using an AP system, the modeler should have an increased productivity in the lines of simulation code written per hour.

- Automatic documentation - Instead of changing program code, the user modifies the problem specification through the AP system's user interface. The AP system then rewrites a new simulation model. Therefore, the problem specification file always reflects the current configuration, or documentation, of the problem.

- Software reusability - Software reusability refers to the ability of new simulation models to use elements of other models. Large collections, or libraries, of reusable program modules can be defined, making it possible to develop new models by writing only a small amount of new code. The library of GPSS modules provides the basic building blocks for the simulation model. This library is constantly being updated and expanded as the AP system is used in other domains.

- Software compatibility - Software compatibility is the ability of program modules to be interfaced with other simulation code. An AP system designed with expansion in mind and as generic as
possible will be easier to modify as additional requirements are defined.

- Extendability - Since an AP system operates in a structural environment, the overall software maintenance is less difficult. Software designed and developed using an AP system will have each data element and related processes grouped into one location, making modifications simpler.

- Reduced simulation knowledge - An advantage of an AP system is a reduction in the modeler's knowledge of the simulation language.

There are also a number of disadvantages of an AP system such as AMPS and ANPS. These disadvantages include:

- Domain specific - Most AP systems are very domain specific. Therefore, the systems can only model a very limited class of problems. To model a slightly different problem in a similar domain may require additional modules and modifications to the user interface.

- Library robustness - A related disadvantage is the robustness of the library of predefined modules. Generally skilled GPSS programmers are needed to write a new modules.
Memory and execution time - Another disadvantage is that AP systems require more memory and execute slower than a nonstructured equivalent simulation program. However, this disadvantage is not as significant as in prior years because computers are now faster and have more memory.

In comparing the IDI and IGI for the Symbolics systems, the following observations are made:

- The IGI had 3,500 lines of code versus 1,500 lines for the IDI. Interestingly, the code production was similar for both systems.
- The IGI, or object oriented approach, is preferred by the user.
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Appendix A

GPSS macros for AMPS
GPSS Assembly station subroutine

2370 ****************************************************
2380 * ASSEMBLY STATION *
2390 ****************************************************
2400 ASM  ASSIGN  3, MX$STAN(P2,1)
2410 ASSIGN  7, MX$STAN(P2,2)
2420 ASSIGN  6, MX$STIME(P2,1)
2430 ASSIGN 8, 1
2440 ASSIGN 9, 2
2450 QUEUE  P3
2460 PAQ  ASSIGN  8+, 2
2470 ASSIGN  9+, 2
2480 ASSIGN  5, MX$STAN(P2,P8)
2490 ASSIGN 10, MX$PART(P5,1)
2500 ASSIGN 20, MX$STAN(P2,P9)
2510 QUEUE  P10
2520 TRANSFER SBR, TAKEP, RTRN2
2530 DEPART P10
2540 LOOP  7, PAQ
2550 SEIZE  P3
2560 DEPART P3
2570 ADVANCE V*6
2580 RELEASE P3
2590 TRANSFER P, RTRN1, 1

GPSS Task station subroutine

1980 ****************************************************
1990 * TASK STATION *
2000 ****************************************************
2010 TASK  ASSIGN  3, MX$STAN(P2,1)
2020 ASSIGN  6, MX$STIME(P2,1)
2030 QUEUE  P3
2040 SEIZE  P3
2050 DEPART P3
2060 ADVANCE V*6
2070 RELEASE P3
2080 TRANSFER P, RTRN1, 1
GPSS Inspection station subroutine

2090 ********************************************
2100 * INSPECTION STATION *
2110 ********************************************
2120 INSPE ASSIGN 3,MX$STAN(P2,2)
2130 ASSIGN 4,MX$IPERC(P3,1)
2140 ASSIGN 5,MX$ITIME(P3,1)
2150 ASSIGN 6,MX$ITIME(P3,2)
2160 QUEUE MX$STAN(P2,1)
2170 DEPART MX$STAN(P2,1)
2180 TRANSFER ,FN*4
2190 CHECK QUEUE MX$ISTA(P3,1)
2200 SEIZE MX$ISTA(P3,1)
2210 DEPART MX$ISTA(P3,1)
2220 ADVANCE V*5
2230 RELEASE MX$ISTA(P3,1)
2240 ASSIGN 4,MX$IPERC(P3,2)
2250 TRANSFER ,FN*4
2260 REPAIR QUEUE MX$ISTA(P3,2)
2270 SEIZE MX$ISTA(P3,2)
2280 DEPART MX$ISTA(P3,2)
2290 ADVANCE V*6
2300 RELEASE MX$ISTA(P3,2)
2310 ASSIGN 4,MX$IPERC(P3,3)
2320 TRANSFER ,FN*4
2330 PASS TRANSFER P,RTRN1,1
2340 SCRAP QUEUE MX$ISTA(P3,3)
2350 DEPART MX$ISTA(P3,3)
2360 TERMINATE
GPSS Manufacturing cell subroutine
GPSS Inventory transfer subroutine
Appendix B

GPSS macros for ANPS
1360 * ACTIVITY TIME SIMULATION GENERATOR
1380 *
1390 VENT_A SEIZE P2
1395 ASSIGN 99,MX*WORK_TIME(F3,1)
1400 ASSIGN ETIME,V*99
1405 BACK3 ASSIGN 98,MX*F_TIME(F3,1)
1410 ASSIGN MTTF,V*98
1420 TEST L P*MTTF,P*ETIME,NOFAIL
1430 ADVANCE P*MTTF
1440 ASSIGN ROW,P3
1450 TRANSFER SBR,FAIL,RTRN1
1460 ASSIGN REST_TIME,V*TIME3
1470 TIME3 FVARIABLE P*ETIME-P*MTTF
1480 ASSIGN ETIME,P*REST_TIME
1490 TRANSFER ,BACK3
1500 NOFAIL ADVANCE P*ETIME
1510 RELEASE P2
1520 TRANSFER P,RTRN2,1
1530 *
1532 * CONTINUOUS ACTIVITY TIME SIMULATION GENERATOR
1534 *
1540 VENT_2 SEIZE P2
1542 ASSIGN 98,MX*F_TIME(F3,1)
1543 SAVEVALUE FTS,V*98
1545 TIME9 FVARIABLE X$FTS
1550 TIMES FVARIABLE X$FTS/100
1555 TEST L V*TIME9,100,BACK6
1560 ASSIGN TIM3.1
1565 ASSIGN BSUM,V*TIME9
1570 TRANSFER ,BACK5
1575 BACK6 ASSIGN TIM3,V*TIME8
1580 ASSIGN BSUM,100
1585 BACK5 ASSIGN NR_LOOPS,P*BSUM
1590 BACK4 GATE LR MX*SWITCH1(F3,1),ENDA
1595 ADVANCE P*TIM3
1600 LOOP NR_LOOPS,BACK4
1605 ASSIGN ROW,P3
1610 TRANSFER SBR,FAIL,RTRN1
1615 TRANSFER ,BACK5
1620 ENDA RELEASE P2
1625 TRANSFER P,RTRN2,1

B-2
ACTIVITY FAILURE SIMULATION GENERATOR (DIRECTLY):

FAIL
ASSIGN NR_ACTS, X*ACTS
ASSIGN COL, 1
ASSIGN 100, M$R_TIME=P$ROW, 1
MSAVEVALUE R1_TIME=P$ROW, 1, V*$100
BACKO TEST NE M$ACT_NAME=P$ROW, P$COL), 0, AA
GATE U M$ACT_NAME=P$ROW, P$COL), AA
SPLIT 1, AB
TRANSFER , AA
AB MARK DELAY
PREEMPT M$ACT_NAME=P$ROW, P$COL)
ASSIGN ADELAY, M$DELAY
TEST LE P$DELAY, 0, XACT_DELAY
MSAVEVALUE R2_TIME=P$ROW, P$COL, M$R1_TIME=P$ROW, 1)
BACK1 ADVANCE M$R2_TIME=P$ROW, P$COL)
BUFFER
RETURN M$ACT_NAME=P$ROW, P$COL)
TERMINATE
ASSIGN COL+, 1
LOOP NR_ACTS, BACK0
TRANSFER P, RTRN1, 1

LOGIC WHEN ACTIVITY ALREADY IS INTERRUPTED

XACT_DELAY ADVANCE
MSAVEVALUE R2_TIME=P$ROW, P$COL, V$NEWDELAY
NEWDELAY F$VAR MX$R1_TIME=P$ROW, 1) - P$DELAY
TEST L MX$R2_TIME=P$ROW, P$COL), 0, BACK1
MSAVEVALUE R2_TIME=P$ROW, P$COL, 0
TRANSFER , BACK1
Appendix C

SIMAN macros for AMPS
*** Assembly station model ***

ASM
ASSIGN:A(1)=M;
ASSIGN:A(2)=0;

BACKASM
ASSIGN:A(2)=A(2)+1;
BRANCH,1:
   IF,A(2).GT.A(4),OUT:
   ELSE,DOWN1;

DOWN1
BRANCH,2:
   ALWAYS,BACKASM:
   ALWAYS,DOWN2;

DOWN2
ASSIGN:M=3+2*A(2);
ASSIGN:A(5)=A(M);
ASSIGN:A(6)=A(M+1);
ASSIGN:A(11)=A(5)+3;
BRANCH,2:
   IF,P(A(11),2).EQ.2,PULL1:
   ALWAYS,PICKPT;

PICKPT
ASSIGN:M=A(5);
QUEUE,M+1;
SEIZE:PART(M),A(6);

DOWN3
ASSIGN:M=A(1);
QUEUE,M;
SEIZE:STATIONN(M);
DELAY:ED(A(3));
RELEASE:STATIONN(M):NEXT(LOOP);

PULL1
ASSIGN:A(11)=A(5)+3;
ASSIGN:A(12)=A(5)+1;
BRANCH,1:
   IF,NR(A(12)).GE.P(A(11),4),PICKCAR:
   ELSE,OUT;

PICKCAR
ASSIGN:M=P(A(11),5);
QUEUE,M+4;
SEIZE:CAR(M);
QUEUE,M+5;
SEIZE:SCAR(M);
BRANCH,2:
   ALWAYS,VECHIC:
   ALWAYS,MAKE;

VECHIC
ASSIGN:M=P(A(11),8);
QUEUE,M+7;
SEIZE:ROBOT(M);
ASSIGN:A(12)=P(A(11),9);
DELAY:ED(A(12));
RELEASE:ROBOT(M);
ASSIGN:M=P(A(11),5);
RELEASE:CAR(M);
ASSIGN:M=A(5);
RELEASE:PART(M),P(A(11),4):DISPOSE;
MAKE
    ASSIGN:A(1)=A(5);
    ASSIGN:A(2)=0;
    ASSIGN:A(4)=P(A(11),13);
BACKMAK
    ASSIGN:A(2)=A(2)+1;
    BRANCH,1:
        IF,A(2).GT.A(4),OUT:
    ELSE,DOWNM1;
DOWNM1
    BRANCH,2:
        ALWAYS,BACKMAK:
        ALWAYS,DOWNM2;
DOWNM2
    ASSIGN:A(3)=2*A(2)+12;
    ASSIGN:A(5)=P(A(11),A(3));
    ASSIGN:A(3)=A(3)+1;
    ASSIGN:A(6)=P(A(11),A(3));
    ASSIGN:M=A(5);
    BRANCH,2:
        ALWAYS,MAKEPT:
        ALWAYS,CHKPUL;
MAKEPT
    ASSIGN:A(12)=A(6)*P(A(11),4);
    QUEUE,M+12;
    SEIZE:PART(M),A(12);
DOWNM3
    ASSIGN:M=P(A(11),10);
    QUEUE,M+6;
    SEIZE:MCELL(M);
    ASSIGN:A(12)=P(A(11),12);
    DELAY:ED((A(12))*P(A(11),4);
    ASSIGN:M=P(A(11),10);
    RELEASE:MCELL(M);
    ASSIGN:A(12)=P(A(11),11);
    DELAY:ED((A(12));
    ASSIGN:M=P(A(11),5);
    RELEASE:SCAR(M):DISPOSE;

CHKPUL
    ASSIGN:A(5)=M;
    ASSIGN:A(11)=A(5)+3;
    BRANCH,1:
        IF,P(A(11),2).EQ.2,PULL1:
    ELSE,OUT;
OUT
    DELAY:0.0:DISPOSE;
**Inspection station model**

```plaintext
; ** Inspection station model **

INSP  BRANCH,1:
    WITH,A(4),CHECK:
    ELSE,PASS;

CHECK  QUEUE,M;
    SEIZE:STATIONN(M);
    DELAY:ED(A(3));
    RELEASE:STATIONN(M);
    BRANCH,1:
    WITH,A(5),REPAIR:
    ELSE,PASS;

REPAIR  ASSIGN:M=A(B);
    QUEUE,M+B;
    SEIZE:REPAIR(M);
    DELAY:ED(A(7));
    RELEASE:REPAIR(M);
    BRANCH,1:
    WITH,A(6),SCRAP:
    ELSE,PASS;

SCRAP  DELAY:O:DISPOSE;

PASS  DELAY:O:NEXT(LOOP);
```

**Task station model**

```plaintext
; ** Task station model **

TASK  QUEUE,M;
    SEIZE:STATIONN(M);
    DELAY:ED(A(3));
    RELEASE:STATIONN(M):NEXT(LOOP);
```
Automatic Manufacturing Programming System
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Model-8-stations
Parameters of Example Model Model-8-Stations

Starting Point of Line
Name of line: Y
Interarrival time distribution: NORMAL
Mean: 100
Standard deviation: 5

Starting Point of Line
Name of line: X
Interarrival time distribution: EXPONENTIAL
Mean: 300

Starting Point of Line
Name of line: Z
Interarrival time distribution: NORMAL
Mean: 75
Standard deviation: 5

Final Product from Assembly Line
Part name: A
Capacity and initial inventory at the stock point:
Maximum number of parts at stock point: 2000
Initial number of parts at stock point: 0

Demand Stock Point
Part name: F
In a pull system, parts are assumed to be ordered, 
used, and shipped by carts. Two stockpoints: supply 
and demand are created.
Capacity and initial inventory at the stock point:
Current cart capacity (number of parts per cart): 4
Initial number of carts at demand stock point: 4
Initial number of carts at supply stock point: 4
Vehicle used to move carts between stock points: TRUCK2
Moving time distribution: UNIFORM
Minimum: 6
Maximum: 14

Supply Stock Point
Part name: F
In a pull system, parts are assumed to be ordered, 
used, and shipped by carts. Two stockpoints: supply 
and demand are created.
Capacity and initial inventory at the stock point:
Current cart capacity (number of parts per cart): 4
Initial number of carts at demand stock point: 4
Initial number of carts at supply stock point: 4
Vehicle used to move carts between stock points: TRUCK2
Moving time distribution: UNIFORM
Minimum: 6
Maximum: 14
Supply Stock Point
Part name: H
In a pull system, parts are assumed to be ordered, made, and shipped by carts. Two stockpoints: supply and demand are created.
Capacity and initial inventory at the stock point:
Current cart capacity (number of parts per cart): 4
Initial number of carts at demand stock point: 4
Initial number of carts at supply stock point: 4
Vehicle used to move carts between stock points: TRUCK3
Moving time distribution: UNIFORM
Minimum: 0
Maximum: 12

Demand Stock Point
Part name: H
In a pull system, parts are assumed to be ordered, made, and shipped by carts. Two stockpoints: supply and demand are created.
Capacity and initial inventory at the stock point:
Current cart capacity (number of parts per cart): 4
Initial number of carts at demand stock point: 4
Initial number of carts at supply stock point: 4
Vehicle used to move carts between stock points: TRUCK3
Moving time distribution: UNIFORM
Minimum: 0
Maximum: 12

Supply Stock Point
Part name: E
In a pull system, parts are assumed to be ordered, made, and shipped by carts. Two stockpoints: supply and demand are created.
Capacity and initial inventory at the stock point:
Current cart capacity (number of parts per cart): 4
Initial number of carts at demand stock point: 4
Initial number of carts at supply stock point: 4
Vehicle used to move carts between stock points: TRUCK1
Moving time distribution: UNIFORM
Minimum: 0
Maximum: 12

Demand Stock Point
Part name: E
In a pull system, parts are assumed to be ordered, made, and shipped by carts. Two stockpoints: supply and demand are created.
Capacity and initial inventory at the stock point:
Current cart capacity (number of parts per cart): 4
Initial number of carts at demand stock point: 4
Initial number of carts at supply stock point: 4
Vehicle used to move carts between stock points: TRUCK1
Moving time distribution: UNIFORM
Minimum: 0
Maximum: 12

Supply Stock Point
Part name: I
In a pull system, parts are assumed to be ordered, made, and shipped by carts. Two stockpoints: supply and demand are created.
Capacity and initial inventory at the stock points:
Current cart capacity (number of parts per cart): 4
Initial number of carts at demand stock point: 4
Initial number of carts at supply stock point: 4
Vehicle used to move carts between stock points: TRUCK3
Moving time distribution: UNIFORM
Minimum: 0
Maximum: 12

Demand Stock Point
Part name: 1
In a pull system, parts are assumed to be ordered, made, and shipped by carts. Two stockpoints: supply and demand are created.
Capacity and initial inventory at the stock point:
Current cart capacity (number of parts per cart): 4
Initial number of carts at demand stock point: 4
Initial number of carts at supply stock point: 4
Vehicle used to move carts between stock points: TRUCK3
Moving time distribution: UNIFORM
Minimum: 0
Maximum: 12

Supply Stock Point
Part name: B
In a pull system, parts are assumed to be ordered, made, and shipped by carts. Two stockpoints: supply and demand are created.
Capacity and initial inventory at the stock point:
Current cart capacity (number of parts per cart): 4
Initial number of carts at demand stock point: 4
Initial number of carts at supply stock point: 4
Vehicle used to move carts between stock points: TRUCK2
Moving time distribution: UNIFORM
Minimum: 0
Maximum: 12

Demand Stock Point
Part name: B
In a pull system, parts are assumed to be ordered, made, and shipped by carts. Two stockpoints: supply and demand are created.
Capacity and initial inventory at the stock point:
Current cart capacity (number of parts per cart): 4
Initial number of carts at demand stock point: 4
Initial number of carts at supply stock point: 4
Vehicle used to move carts between stock points: TRUCK2
Moving time distribution: UNIFORM
Minimum: 0
Maximum: 12

Supply Stock Point
Part name: C
In a pull system, parts are assumed to be ordered, made, and shipped by carts. Two stockpoints: supply and demand are created.
Capacity and initial inventory at the stock point:
Current cart capacity (number of parts per cart): 4
Initial number of carts at demand stock point: 4
Initial number of carts at supply stock point: 4
Vehicle used to move carts between stock points: TRUCK1
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Moving time distribution: UNIFORM
Minimum: 0
Maximum: 12

Demand Stock Point
Part name: C
In a pull system, parts are assumed to be ordered, made, and shipped by carts. Two stockpoints: supply and demand are created.
Capacity and initial inventory at the stock point:
Current cart capacity (number of parts per cart): 4
Initial number of carts at demand stock point: 4
Initial number of carts at supply stock point: 4
Vehicle used to move carts between stock points: TRUCK1
Moving time distribution: UNIFORM
Minimum: 0
Maximum: 12

Push stock point
Part name: B
Capacity and initial inventory at the stock point:
Maximum number of parts at stock point: 2000
Initial number of parts at stock point: 120

Push stock point
Part name: B
Capacity and initial inventory at the stock point:
Maximum number of parts at stock point: 2000
Initial number of parts at stock point: 120

Ordered from outside
Part name: J
Capacity and initial inventory at the stock point:
Maximum number of parts at stock point: 10000
Initial number of parts at stock point: 10000
Will Part J be replenished during the simulation? No

Ordered from outside
Part name: K
Capacity and initial inventory at the stock point:
Maximum number of parts at stock point: 10000
Initial number of parts at stock point: 10000
Will Part K be replenished during the simulation? No

Ordered from outside
Part name: L
Capacity and initial inventory at the stock point:
Maximum number of parts at stock point: 10000
Initial number of parts at stock point: 10000
Will Part L be replenished during the simulation? No

Inspection Station
Station name: INSPECT
Name of inspector: INSPECTOR
Name of repairman: REPAIRMAN
Name of parts for scrap parts: SCRAP
Inspection time distribution: NORMAL
Mean: 50
Standard deviation: 5
Repair time distribution: NORMAL
Mean: 400
Standard deviation: 10
Inspection rate (between 0 and 1): 1
Reject (repair) rate (between 0 and 1): 0.2
Scrap rate (between 0 and 1): 0.5

Task Station
Station name: TASK6
Task time distribution: NORMAL
Mean: 100
Standard deviation: 5

Task Station
Station name: TASK2
Task time distribution: NORMAL
Mean: 300
Standard deviation: 10

Assembly Station
Station name: ASSY5
Parts required for assembly:
Name of part #1: E
Number of part #1: 2
Assembly time distribution: NORMAL
Mean: 100
Standard deviation: 5

Assembly Station
Station name: ASSY1
Parts required for assembly:
Name of part #1: A
Number of part #1: 3
Name of part #2: C
Number of part #2: 2
Assembly time distribution: NORMAL
Mean: 200
Standard deviation: 10

Assembly Station
Station name: ASSY3
Parts required for assembly:
Name of part #1: D
Number of part #1: 4
Assembly time distribution: NORMAL
Mean: 300
Standard deviation: 10
Assembly Station
Station name: ASST6
Parts required for assembly:
Name of part #1: H
Number of part #1: 1
Assembly time distribution: NORMAL
Mean: 75
Standard deviation: 5

Assembly Station
Station name: ASST7
Parts required for assembly:
Name of part #1: F
Number of part #1: 1
Number of part #2: 0
Number of part #3: 1
Assembly time distribution: NORMAL
Mean: 75
Standard deviation: 5

Manufacturing Cell — F
Cell name: MC2
Items required to make the part F:
Number of item types required: 1
Name of item #1: L
Number of item #2: 1
Setup time for a set of parts: CONSTANT
Constant: 8
Manufacturing time for a part: NORMAL
Mean: 10
Standard deviation: 1

Manufacturing Cell — E
Cell name: MC2
Items required to make the part E:
Number of item types required: 2
Name of item #1: J
Number of item #2: K
Number of item #3: 1
Setup time for a set of parts: CONSTANT
Constant: 8
Manufacturing time for a part: NORMAL
Mean: 10
Standard deviation: 1

Manufacturing Cell — I
Cell name: MC2
Items required to make the part I:
Number of item types required: 2
Name of item #1: J
Number of item #2: K
Number of item #3: 1
Setup time for a set of parts: CONSTANT
Constant: 8
Manufacturing time for a part: NORMAL
Mean: 5
Standard deviation: 1
Manufacturing Cell -- G
Cell name: MG2
Items required to make the part G:
Number of item types required: 1
Name of item #1: K
Number of item #1: 2
Setup time for a cart of parts: CONSTANT
Constant: 0
Manufacturing time for a part: NORMAL
Mean: 10
Standard deviation: 1

Manufacturing Cell -- H
Cell name: MH1
Items required to make the part H:
Number of item types required: 1
Name of item #1: I
Number of item #1: 1
Setup time for a cart of parts: CONSTANT
Constant: 0
Manufacturing time for a part: NORMAL
Mean: 30
Standard deviation: 3

Manufacturing Cell -- C
Cell name: MC1
Items required to make the part C:
Number of item types required: 1
Name of item #1: I
Number of item #1: 2
Setup time for a cart of parts: CONSTANT
Constant: 0
Manufacturing time for a part: NORMAL
Mean: 30
Standard deviation: 3
GPSS Code of Example Model Model-8-Stations

ANDY-TAYLOR:->dwan>amps>gpss-8-stations.lisp.2
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100  ***********************************************************************
110  *
120  * This is an 8-stations model.                                    3/15/89
130  *
140  ***********************************************************************
150  *
160  * This is a GPSS program autonomously created from                 *
170  *
180  * AMPS - (Automatic Manufacturing Programming System)               *
190  *
200  * developed at                                                     *
210  *
220  * The University of Alabama in Huntsville, 1988.                   *
230  *
240  ***********************************************************************
250  ** SIMULATE**
260  ** UNIFORM FUNCTION RH1,C2**
270  ** SGNORM FUNCTION RH1,C2**
280  ** 0,0.4/1**
290  ** SGNORM FUNCTION RH1,C1**
300  ** 0.5,0.8985,-.45,.00123,-.3,.00621,-.2,.02275,-.2**
310  ** 0.0661,-.15,.11587,-.12,.15566,-.1,.21186,-.8,.27425,-.6**
320  ** .3456,-.4,.42074,-.2,.5,.57926,.2,.65442,.4**
330  ** .72675,.6,.78004,.8,.84134,.6,.88455,.1,.93319,.1,.99**
340  ** .97268,.9,.93798,.2,.99865,.3,.99997,4/1,.5**
350  ** HPDF**
360  ** FUNCTION RH1,C1**
370  ** 0.8,.1,.104,.3,.222,.3,3.355,.4,.599,.5,.69,-.6,915,.7,.12,.75,1.3**
380  ** .6,.1,.104,.106,.106,.2,.12,.2,.23,.2,.92,.2,.52,.9,.94,.2,.61,.95,.2,.99,.963,.2**
390  ** .97,.98,.3,.99,4,.5,.999,5,.5,.995,6,.2,.999,7,.8,.998,8,.8**
400  ** MAIN PARAMETERS ***
410  ** 300 PER1, FUNCTION RH1,C2**
420  ** 310 PER1, FUNCTION RH1,C2**
430  ** 320 PER1, FUNCTION RH1,C2**
440  ** 330 SCRAP, FUNCTION RH1,C2**
450  ** 340 TIME1 FVARIABLE 6-BNHFUNIF**
460  ** 341 TIME2 FVARIABLE 0**
470  ** 350 TIME3 FVARIABLE 10-BNHFUNIF**
480  ** 360 TIME4 FVARIABLE 0-BNHFUNIF**
490  ** 370 TIME5 FVARIABLE 5-BNHFUNIF**
500  ** 380 TIME6 FVARIABLE 0-BNHFUNIF**
510  ** 390 TIME7 FVARIABLE 300-BNHFUNIF**
520  ** 400 TIME8 FVARIABLE 10-BNHFUNIF**
530  ** 410 TIME9 FVARIABLE 999-BNHFUNIF**
540  ** 420 TIME10 FVARIABLE 499-BNHFUNIF**
550  ** 430 TIME11 FVARIABLE 100-BNHFUNIF**
560  ** 440 TIME12 FVARIABLE 75-BNHFUNIF**
570  ** DEFINITION OF MATRIX ***
580  ** 460 PART MATRIX .12,2**
590  ** 470 TIME MATRIX .8,1**
600  ** 480 STA1 MATRIX .8,1**
610  ** 490 TIME MATRIX .1,2**
620  ** 500 ITRA MATRIX .1,3**
630  ** 510 IPERC MATRIX .1,3**
640  ** 520 MTIME MATRIX .7,1**
650  ** 530 FG10 MATRIX .7,1**
660  ** 540 SCART MATRIX .7,1**
670  ** 550 CART MATRIX .7,1**
680  ** 560 CTIME MATRIX .7,2**
690  ** 570 CELL MATRIX .7,1**
700  ** 580 CHIEF MATRIX .7,1**
710  ** 590 IEMA MATRIX .7,5**
720  ** CAPACITY OF PART & CART COUNTERS ***
730  ** 610 PR,A STORAGE 2000**
740  ** 620 PR,F STORAGE 4**
750  ** 630 CART,F STORAGE 0**
760  ** 640 SCART,F STORAGE 0**
770  ** 650 PR,H STORAGE 4**
780  ** 660 CART,H STORAGE 0**
790  ** 670 SCART,H STORAGE 0**
800  ** 680 PR,E STORAGE 4**
810  ** 690 CART,E STORAGE 0**
820  ** 700 SCART,E STORAGE 0**
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710 PA_I STORAGE 4
720 CART_I STORAGE 0
730 SCART_I STORAGE 0
740 PA_C STORAGE 4
750 CART_C STORAGE 0
760 SCART_C STORAGE 0
770 PA_C STORAGE 4
780 CART_C STORAGE 0
790 SCART_C STORAGE 0
800 PA_D STORAGE 2000
810 PA_D STORAGE 2000
820 PA_J STORAGE 10000
830 PA_K STORAGE 10000
840 PA_L STORAGE 10000
850 ********************************************
860 INITIAL VALUES
870 ********************************************
880 GENERATE ,,1
890 *** PART ID ***
900 NSAVEVALUE PART,10,1,PA_A ; the id of part A is 10
910 NSAVEVALUE PART,2,1,PA_F ; the id of part F is 2
920 NSAVEVALUE PART,5,1,PA_H ; the id of part H is 5
930 NSAVEVALUE PART,9,1,PA_E ; the id of part E is 9
940 NSAVEVALUE PART,4,1,PA_I ; the id of part I is 4
950 NSAVEVALUE PART,7,1,PA_O ; the id of part O is 7
960 NSAVEVALUE PART,6,1,PA_C ; the id of part C is 6
970 NSAVEVALUE PART,11,1,PA_B ; the id of part B is 11
980 NSAVEVALUE PART,12,1,PA_D ; the id of part D is 12
990 NSAVEVALUE PART,9,1,PA_J ; the id of part J is 9
1000 NSAVEVALUE PART,0,1,PA_E ; the id of part E is 0
1010 NSAVEVALUE PART,1,1,PA_L ; the id of part L is 1
1020 *** THE SIZE OF EACH CART ***
1030 NSAVEVALUE SIZE,5,1,4
1040 NSAVEVALUE SIZE,5,1,4
1050 NSAVEVALUE SIZE,4,1,4
1060 NSAVEVALUE SIZE,5,1,4
1070 NSAVEVALUE SIZE,6,1,4
1080 *** INITIAL INVENTORY LEVEL AT EACH STOCK POINT ***
1090 ENTER CART_F,4
1100 ENTER SCART_F,4
1110 ENTER CART_H,4
1120 ENTER SCART_H,4
1130 ENTER CART_E,4
1140 ENTER SCART_E,4
1150 ENTER CART_I,4
1160 ENTER SCART_I,4
1170 ENTER CART_B,4
1180 ENTER SCART_B,4
1190 ENTER CART_D,4
1200 ENTER SCART_D,4
1210 ENTER SCART_C,4
1220 ENTER PA_F,1200
1230 ENTER PA_F,1200
1240 ENTER PA_F,10000
1250 ENTER PA_L,10000
1260 ENTER PA_L,10000
1270 *** MAKE ONE CART READY AT EACH DEMAND STOCK POINT ***
1280 LEAVE CART_F,1
1290 LEAVE PA_F,NSIZE(2,1)
1300 LEAVE CART_H,1
1310 LEAVE PA_H,NSIZE(5,1)
1320 LEAVE CART_E,1
1330 LEAVE PA_E,NSIZE(3,1)
1340 LEAVE CART_I,1
1350 LEAVE PA_I,NSIZE(4,1)
1360 LEAVE CART_B,1
1370 LEAVE PA_B,NSIZE(1,1)
1380 LEAVE CART_C,1
1390 LEAVE PA_C,NSIZE(6,1)
1400 *** ITEMS REQUIRED TO MAKE EACH PART ***
1410 NSAVEVALUE ITEM,2,1,1 ; part F requires 1 part type(s).
1420 NSAVEVALUE ITEM,2,2,1 ; part L.
1430 NSAVEVALUE ITEM,2,3,2 ; 2 unit(s).
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1448  MSATTRIBUTE  ITEM,5,1,1  ; part H requires 1 part type(s).
1450  MSATTRIBUTE  ITEM,5,2,4  ; part I.
1468  MSATTRIBUTE  ITEM,5,3,1  ; 1 unit(s).
1470  MSATTRIBUTE  ITEM,5,3,2  ; part E requires 2 part type(s).
1472  MSATTRIBUTE  ITEM,5,3,9  ; part J.
1474  MSATTRIBUTE  ITEM,5,3,10  ; 2 unit(s).
1476  MSATTRIBUTE  ITEM,5,4,0  ; part K.
1478  MSATTRIBUTE  ITEM,5,4,1  ; 1 unit(s).
1480  MSATTRIBUTE  ITEM,4,1,2  ; part I requires 2 part type(s).
1482  MSATTRIBUTE  ITEM,4,2,9  ; part J.
1484  MSATTRIBUTE  ITEM,4,3,2  ; 2 unit(s).
1486  MSATTRIBUTE  ITEM,4,4,0  ; part K.
1488  MSATTRIBUTE  ITEM,4,4,1  ; 1 unit(s).
1490  MSATTRIBUTE  ITEM,4,7,11  ; part G requires 1 part type(s).
1492  MSATTRIBUTE  ITEM,7,2,0  ; part K.
1494  MSATTRIBUTE  ITEM,7,2,2  ; 2 unit(s).
1496  MSATTRIBUTE  ITEM,7,3,2  ; 1 unit(s).
1498  MSATTRIBUTE  ITEM,6,1,1  ; part C requires 1 part type(s).
1500  MSATTRIBUTE  ITEM,6,2,4  ; 1 unit(s).
1502  MSATTRIBUTE  ITEM,6,3,2  ; 2 unit(s).

1530  *** STATION SERVICE TIME ***
1540  MSATTRIBUTE  STIME,6,1,STIME11  ; time of TASK6 is TIME11
1542  MSATTRIBUTE  STIME,2,1,STIME8  ; time of TASK2 is TIME8
1544  MSATTRIBUTE  STIME,5,1,STIME11  ; time of ASY5 is TIME11
1546  MSATTRIBUTE  STIME,1,1,STIME8  ; time of ASY1 is TIME8
1548  MSATTRIBUTE  STIME,9,1,STIME12  ; time of ASY9 is TIME12
1550  MSATTRIBUTE  STIME,7,1,STIME12  ; time of ASY7 is TIME12
1552  *** TIME TO MOVE A CART BETWEEN SUPPLY AND DEMAND POINTS ***
1560  MSATTRIBUTE  MTINE,2,1,STIME1  ; moving time of a cart of part F is TIME1
1562  MSATTRIBUTE  MTINE,4,1,STIME2  ; moving time of a cart of part E is TIME2
1564  MSATTRIBUTE  MTINE,4,2,STIME4  ; moving time of a cart of part E is TIME4
1566  MSATTRIBUTE  MTINE,5,1,STIME1  ; moving time of a cart of part G is TIME1
1568  MSATTRIBUTE  MTINE,5,2,STIME2  ; moving time of a cart of part G is TIME2
1570  MSATTRIBUTE  MTINE,5,3,STIME3  ; moving time of a cart of part G is TIME3
1572  *** SETUP TIME FOR A CART OF PARTS AND ***
1574  *** MANUFACTURING TIME FOR A PART ***
1580  MSATTRIBUTE  CTIME,2,1,STIME2  ; setup time for a cart of part F is TIME2
1582  MSATTRIBUTE  CTIME,5,1,STIME1  ; setup time for a cart of part H is TIME1
1584  MSATTRIBUTE  CTIME,5,2,STIME2  ; setup time for a cart of part H is TIME2
1586  MSATTRIBUTE  CTIME,5,3,STIME3  ; setup time for a cart of part H is TIME3
1588  MSATTRIBUTE  CTIME,5,4,STIME4  ; setup time for a cart of part H is TIME4
1590  MSATTRIBUTE  CTIME,4,1,STIME2  ; setup time for a cart of part I is TIME2
1592  MSATTRIBUTE  CTIME,4,2,STIME3  ; setup time for a cart of part I is TIME3
1594  MSATTRIBUTE  CTIME,4,3,STIME4  ; setup time for a cart of part I is TIME4
1596  MSATTRIBUTE  CTIME,4,4,STIME5  ; setup time for a cart of part I is TIME5
1598  MSATTRIBUTE  CTIME,6,1,STIME2  ; setup time for a cart of part C is TIME2
1600  MSATTRIBUTE  CTIME,6,2,STIME6  ; setup time for a cart of part C is TIME6
1602  *** CELL WHERE EACH PART IS MADE ***
1604  MSATTRIBUTE  CELL,2,1,SMC2  ; part F is made on machine MC2
1606  MSATTRIBUTE  CELL,5,1,SMC1  ; part H is made on machine MC1
1608  MSATTRIBUTE  CELL,5,3,SMC2  ; part E is made on machine MC2
1610  MSATTRIBUTE  CELL,4,1,SMC1  ; part I is made on machine MC1
1612  MSATTRIBUTE  CELL,7,1,SMC2  ; part B is made on machine MC2
1614  MSATTRIBUTE  CELL,6,1,SMC1  ; part C is made on machine MC1
1616  *** NAME OF EACH STATION ***
1620  MSATTRIBUTE  STNM,4,1,STNM4  ; the id of station STNM4 is 4
1622  MSATTRIBUTE  STNM,6,1,STNM6  ; the id of station STNM6 is 6
1624  MSATTRIBUTE  STNM,2,1,STNM2  ; the id of station STNM2 is 2
1626  MSATTRIBUTE  STNM,3,1,STNM3  ; the id of station STNM3 is 3
1628  MSATTRIBUTE  STNM,5,1,STNM5  ; the id of station STNM5 is 5
1630  MSATTRIBUTE  STNM,1,1,STNM1  ; the id of station STNM1 is 1
1632  MSATTRIBUTE  STNM,4,1,STNM4  ; the id of station STNM4 is 4
1634  MSATTRIBUTE  STNM,8,1,STNM8  ; the id of station STNM8 is 8
1636  MSATTRIBUTE  STNM,7,1,STNM7  ; the id of station STNM7 is 7
1638  *** INSPECTION STATION INDEX ***
1640  MSATTRIBUTE  STNM,4,2,1  ; the index of inspection station STNM4 is 1
1642  *** INSPECTION STATION ***
1644  MSATTRIBUTE  ISPEC,1,1,ISPEC1  ; inspection rate of INSPEC1 is 1
1646  MSATTRIBUTE  ISPEC,1,2,ISPEC2  ; inspection rate of INSPEC2 is 2
1648  MSATTRIBUTE  ISPEC,1,3,ISPEC3  ; inspection rate of INSPEC3 is 3
1650  MSATTRIBUTE  ISPEC,4,1,ISPEC4  ; inspection rate of INSPEC4 is 4
1652  MSATTRIBUTE  ISPEC,4,2,ISPEC5  ; inspection rate of INSPEC5 is 5
1654  MSATTRIBUTE  ISPEC,4,3,ISPEC6  ; inspection rate of INSPEC6 is 6
1656  MSATTRIBUTE  ISPEC,4,4,ISPEC7  ; inspection rate of INSPEC7 is 7
1658  *** SCRAPS STATION ***
1660  MSATTRIBUTE  SCRAP,1,1,SCRAP1  ; scrapped items of INSPEC1 are sent to SCRAP1
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2900 ASSIGN 2,2 ; station 2 is TASK2
2910 TRANSFER GR, TASK, RTRM1
2920 ASSIGN 2,3 ; station 3 is ASSY3
2930 TRANSFER GR, ASM, RTRM1
2940 ASSIGN 2,4 ; station 4 is IMSP4
2950 TRANSFER GR, INSP, RTRM1
2960 ENTER PM, 1
2970 TERMINATE

2990 # ASSEMBLY LINE 2

3000 Generates V11NE12
3010 ASSIGN 2,7 ; station 7 is ASSY7
3020 TRANSFER GR, ASM, RTRM1
3030 ASSIGN 2,0 ; station 8 is ASSY8
3040 TRANSFER GR, ASM, RTRM1
3050 ENTER PM, 1
3060 TERMINATE

3090 # Inspection Station

3100 Generates R11Mbin(P2, 2) ; inspect station
3110 TRANSFER 4, INSPERC(P3, 1) ; inspect rate
3120 ASSIGN 5, INSPTIME(P3, 1) ; time for inspection
3130 ASSIGN 6, INSPTIME(P3, 2) ; time for repair
3140 QUEUE NH1STN(P2, 1) ; count entering parts
3150 DEPART NH1STN(P2, 1) ; and pass
3160 CHECK TRANSFER , FMH4 ; to be checked
3170 TRANSFER NH1STN(P2, 1) ; wait on the inspect facility
3180 GEIZE NH1STN(P5, 1) ; seize the inspect facility
3190 DEPART NH1STN(P5, 1) ; leave the inspect facility
3200 ADVANCE V45 ; inspecting part
3210 RELEASE NH1STN(P5, 1) ; release the inspect facility
3220 ASSIGN 4, INSPERC(P3, 2) ; repair rate
3230 TRANSFER , FMH4 ; to be repaired
3240 REPAIR QUEUE NH1STN(P2, 2) ; wait on repairing facility
3250 GEIZE NH1STN(P2, 2) ; seize the repairing facility
3260 DEPART NH1STN(P2, 2) ; leave the queue
3270 ADVANCE V46 ; repairing parts
3280 RELEASE NH1STN(P2, 2) ; release the repairing facility
3290 ASSIGN 4, INSPERC(P3, 2) ; scrap rate
3300 TRANSFER , FMH4 ; is part scrapped?
3310 PASS TRANSFER P, RTRM1 ; finish inspection and return
3320 SCRAP QUEUE NH1STN(P2, 3) ; count scrapped parts
3330 DEPART NH1STN(P2, 3) ; and pass
3340 TERMINATE ; terminate the transaction

3360 # Task Station

3370 # Task Station

3380 TASK ASSIGN 5, NH1STN(P2, 1) ; none of the task station
3400 ASSIGN 6, NH1STN(P2, 1) ; time for operation
3410 QUEUE P3 ; wait on the facility
3420 GEIZE P3 ; seize the facility
3430 DEPART P3 ; leave the queue
3440 ADVANCE V46 ; perform operation
3450 RELEASE P3 ; release the facility
3460 TRANSFER P, RTRM1 ; finish and return

3470 # Assembly Station

3480 # Assembly Station

3490 ASH ASSIGN 5, NH1STN(P2, 1) ; none of the station
3510 ASSIGN 7, NH1STN(P2, 2) ; no. of part type required
3520 ASSIGN 6, NH1STN(P2, 1) ; assembly time
3530 ASSIGN 8, 1 ; index for part type
3540 ASSIGN 9, 2 ; index for units of part
3550 QUEUE P3 ; wait on the facility
3560 PROD ASSIGN 8, 2 ; point to next type
3570 ASSIGN 9, 3 ; point to next no. of units
3580 ASSIGN 5, NH1STN(P2, 5) ; part id
3590 ASSIGN 18, NH1STN(P2, 1) ; name of part
3600 ASSIGN 20, NH1STN(P2, 5) ; number of units of part
3610 QUEUE P18 ; wait to get parts
3620 TRANSFER GR, TAKEP, RTRM2 ; get parts
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3630 DEPART P10 leave the queue
3640 LOOP P,PA0 received all parts req'd?
3650 RELEASE P3 release the facility
3660 DEPART P3 leave the queue
3670 ADVANCE V46 assembling parts
3680 RELEASE P3 release the facility
3690 TRANSFER P,TRN1,1 return to the selecting statement
3700 INVENTORY CONTROL
3720 TAKEP TEST E NHSPART(P5,2),PULL pull or push node?
3730 PUSH TEST GE S=10,P20 jspal of parts available
3750 LEAVE P10,P20 take units requested
3760 TRANSFER P,TRNH2,1 jreturn
3770 PULL REQUISITION S=10,NHSAPART(P5,1) none of cart for the part
3790 TEST GE S=10,P20,NEDC javailable = requested?
3790 NHSPURGE LEAVE P10,P20 take units requested
3800 SPLIT 1,USEP signal use of parts
3810 TRANSFER P,TRNH2,1 jreturn
3820 NEDC ASSIGN 20-,S=10 jrequested = available = unfilled
3830 LEAVE P10,S=10 take remaining
3840 SPLIT 1,USEP signal use of parts
3850 TEST GE S=10,P20 jany full cart available?
3860 LEAVE S=10 take 1 cart
3870 ENTER P10,NHSIZE(P5,1) jmake parts available
3880 TEST GE S=10,P20,NEDC javailable = unfilled?
3890 LEAVE P10,P20 take unfulfilled parts
3900 SPLIT 1,USEP signal use of parts
3910 TRANSFER P,TRNH2,1 jreturn
3920 USEP TEST G S=10,0,ENPTYC jcheck if cart empty
3930 TERMINATE jterminate the transaction
3940 ENPTYC SPLIT 1,ORDER1 jsignal to order
3950 TEST GE S=10,P20 jany full cart available
3960 LEAVE S=10 take 1 cart
3970 ENTER P10,NHSIZE(P5,1) jmove parts available
3980 TERMINATE jterminate the transaction
3990 ORDER1 ASSIGN 26,NHSIZE(P5,1) jnone of the vehicle
4000 ASSIGN 36,NHSIZE(P5,1) jmove time
4010 QUEUE P26 jwait on the vehicle
4020 SPLIT 1,ORDER1 jterminate the transaction
4030 SPLIT 1,USEP jsignal to order
4040 TRANSFER P,TRNH2,1 jreturn
4050 RELEASE P26 jsignal to order
4060 TERMINATE jterminate the transaction
4070 TERMINATE jterminate the transaction
4080 TERMINATE jterminate the transaction
4090 TERMINATE jterminate the transaction
4100 TERMINATE jterminate the transaction
4110 TERMINATE jterminate the transaction
4120 TERMINATE jterminate the transaction
4130 TERMINATE jterminate the transaction
4140 TERMINATE jterminate the transaction
4150 TERMINATE jterminate the transaction
4160 TERMINATE jterminate the transaction
4170 TERMINATE jterminate the transaction
4180 TERMINATE jterminate the transaction
4190 TERMINATE jterminate the transaction
4200 TERMINATE jterminate the transaction
4210 TERMINATE jterminate the transaction
4220 TERMINATE jterminate the transaction
4230 TERMINATE jterminate the transaction
4240 MANUFACTURING CELL
4250 INVENTORY CONTROL
4270 NFG ASSIGN 13,NHSCELL(P12,1) jname of unit
4280 ASSIGN 14,NHSITEM(P12,1) jsetup time of a unit
4290 ASSIGN 15,NHSITEM(P12,2) jsetup time of a unit
4300 QUEUE P12 jwait on the facility
4310 ASSIGN 7,NHSIZE(P12,1) jnone of parts in a cart
4320 CARTO ASSIGN 17,NHSITEM(P12,1) jnone of items type req'd
4330 ASSIGN 8,8 jindex for item type
4340 ASSIGN 5,1 jindex for unit of item
4350 PARQO ASSIGN 8,2 jpoints to next type
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4360  ASSIGN  9,,2  ;point to next no. of units
4370  ASSIGN  5,MISSITEM(P12,P8)  ;id of the item req'd
4380  ASSIGN  10,MISSPART(P5,1)  ;name of the item
4390  ASSIGN  20,MISSITEM(P12,P9)  ;units of the item req'd
4400  QUEUE  P16  ;wait on the item
4410  TRANSFER  SUB,TAKEP,TRTH2  ;get items
4420  DEPART  P18  ;leave the queue
4430  LOOP  17,PART0  ;loop for next item type req'd
4440  LOOP  7,CMATO  ;loop for next part to be made
4450  FAC  SEIZE  P13  ;seize the facility
4460  DEPART  P13  ;leave the queue
4470  ADVANCE  V=14  ;set up facility
4480  ADVANCE  V=TIME  ;manufacturing
4490  ATMACHINE  V=16,4NHICSIZE(P12,1)  ;manufacturing time
4500  RELEASE  P13  ;release the facility
4510  TRANSFER  P,RTNH3,1  ;manufacturing complete