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Abstract

Despite the advancements in the computer industry in the past thirty years, there is still one major deficiency. Computers are not designed to handle terms where uncertainty is present. To deal with uncertainty, techniques other than classical logic must be developed. This paper examines the methods of statistical analysis, the Dempster-Shafer theory, rough set theory, and fuzzy set theory to solve this problem. The fundamentals of these theories are combined to possibly provide the optimal solution. By incorporating principles from these theories, a decision-making process may be simulated by extracting two sets of fuzzy rules: certain rules and possible rules. From these rules a corresponding measure of how much we believe these rules is constructed. From this, the idea of how much a fuzzy diagnosis is definable in terms of a set of fuzzy attributes is studied.
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INTRODUCTION

Computers have progressed so much over the past thirty years that it is now hard to imagine life without them. They have become smaller, faster, and less expensive. Similarly, the applications we use them for have grown exponentially. If the auto industry had done what the computer industry has done in this time, a Rolls-Royce would cost a couple of dollars and might get a million miles per gallon.

An important development of this progression is the computer's ability to refine and expedite the decision-making process. One can enter raw data as input and receive the output in an organized, logical form. This manipulated form may then be used to help facilitate some type of decision by the user. It is also possible for a computer program to have a built-in "thinking" function which requires no help from the user in order to formulate a decision. A decision may be automatically made by the computer, solely on the output and any preset conditions of the output. This may be achieved through a series of If-then-else statements, for
example.

A program which can perform these simple functions is possible through knowledge acquisitions using examples. Through repetition, one may learn to associate certain factors to form a decision. Ideally, the decisions will always be the same if the corresponding factors are always the same. For example, if a person sees lightning and hears thunder, they may assume it is raining close by from some similar experiences in the past. Again, this is under "ideal" circumstances; the person is positive they see lightning and positive they hear thunder. Unfortunately, "ideal" circumstances are not always present.

As amazing as the progression of computers has been, there is a noticeable deficiency: computers are not designed to manipulate data where uncertainty is present. Uncertainty may arise in many different ways. It may be brought about by ambiguous terms used to describe a certain situation. It may also be caused by scepticism of rules used to describe a course of action, or by missing or erroneous data. To handle uncertainty, methods other than classical logic must be developed. One possible solution to this is to use fuzzy set theory to extract rules.

In ordinary set theory, an element is either in or out of the set. In fuzzy set theory, however, an approximation is used to determine the
degree to which an element is in the set. This is due to the fact that subjective terms are often used to describe a condition. Fuzzy set theory allows for a fraction of an element to be in the set. From these fuzzy sets, one can extract two sets of fuzzy rules: certain rules and possible rules. Basically, the certain rules are formed by taking the minimum of the union of two fuzzy sets. Conversely, the possible rules are formed by taking the maximum of the intersection of two fuzzy sets.

A possible solution to deal with uncertainty is in learning from examples. An effective method to acquire knowledge through examples is rough sets. Rough sets are the group of sets having the same upper and lower approximations. As in fuzzy set theory, possible and certain rules are extracted. In rough sets, these rules are generated by the upper and lower approximations. These qualities are similar to the inner and outer reductions of Dempster-Shafer theory. The theory and notation of upper and lower approximations is discussed in more detail in section 2.1. The attributes of the conditions are assigned values and a measure of how much these attributes determine the diagnosis is established. However, the values of these attributes require some judgement for their determination. Similarly, the diagnosis is often not of "pure" type, but a combination which is reflective of fuzzy sets.
Combining these two methods of fuzzy set and rough set theories, as well as the principles of Dempster-Shafer theory, provides a possible optimal solution for dealing with uncertainty. By integrating these two methods, we can produce a set of certain rules and possible rules and determine a measure of belief associated with these rules. These rules allow a foundation for dealing with uncertainty in the decision-making process.
- Section 1 -

Uncertainty

1.1 Uncertainty

The previously referenced computer program took certain variables and "crunched" them up to come to a certain decision. A major question that arises is, "How does one deal with uncertainty?". Uncertainty may arise in many different situations. It may be caused by the ambiguity in the terms used to describe a specific situation, or it may be caused by the skepticism of rules used to describe a course of action. Uncertainty may also be caused by inconsistencies in data, or simply by missing or erroneous data.

To understand what is meant by ambiguity of terms, one must realize that different people may associate different meanings or values for the same term(s). To illustrate this, one cannot put a set value on "very rich" or "moderately rich" because these are subjective terms. One person's definition may be quite different from another's. For this reason, descriptive terms may contain some degree of ambiguity, and therefore some degree of uncertainty.
Uncertainty caused by the skepticism of rules may be attributed to an underlying doubt one may have regarding a situation. Occasionally, all factors may point towards a certain decision, but one's "gut feeling" produces a degree of doubt toward that decision. Whether these doubts are warranted or not, they must be taken into account when we refer to uncertainty. For these doubts may influence one's future decisions on similar situations.

Clearly, any missing or erroneous data will lead to uncertainty. Unfortunately, it is not always obvious when data is wrong. A strong characteristic of erroneous data is inconsistencies. In other words, if the same data produces conflicting outcomes, uncertainty is present. To illustrate this, the table below represents how a decision-maker may make an inconsistent decision based on a couple of pieces of data. In this example, Case X2 and Case X3 have the same data, yet different decisions. This shows that uncertainty exists somewhere in this decision-making process.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CASE</th>
<th>DATA1</th>
<th>DATA2</th>
<th>DECISION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>X1</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X2</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Z</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X3</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Z</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X4</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X5</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1.2 Techniques to Combat Uncertainty

1.2.1 Statistics

To deal with uncertainty, techniques other than classical logic need to be developed. The most useful tool for handling probability is statistics, or statistical analysis. **Statistical analysis** is concerned with the collection, organization, and interpretation of data according to well-defined procedures. Observations are made and converted into numerical form. The numbers are manipulated and organized, with the results interpreted and translated back into a way one may understand.

Statistical analysis allows for the reduction of data. Large masses of unorganized numbers may be characterized into smaller sets that describe the original observations without sacrificing critical information. The second major role lies in its use as an inferential measuring tool. In other words, it provides procedures for stating the degree of confidence one may have in the accuracy of the measurements one makes. Finally, statistical analysis allows one to make distinctions about relationships that exist between and among sets of observations. Does knowledge about one set of data allow us to infer or predict characteristics about another set of data?

Statistical analysis does, however, have some deficiencies. Data
reduction may lead to the sacrificing of detail. The inferential measuring tool statistical analysis provides is useful, but all measurements are subject to error. Furthermore, sometimes one may strive to find a connection between two sets so much that a connection is unjustifiably made.

Though statistics is a useful method for handling uncertainty, it provides only a foundation for the problem of knowledge acquisition under uncertainty. Three theories which are better suited to handle this problem are: Dempster-Shafer Theory, fuzzy set theory, and rough set theory.

1.2.2 Dempster-Shafer Theory

The Dempster-Shafer Theory is a theory of evidence and probable reasoning. It is a theory of evidence because it deals with weights of evidence and with numerical degrees of support based on evidence. It is a theory of probable reasoning because it focuses on the combination of evidence, more specifically, the combination of belief functions.

The theory begins with the idea of using a number between zero and one to indicate the degree of belief one should assign for inclusion on the basis of the evidence. Its focus lies in the combination of degrees of belief based on one body of evidence with those based on an entirely
distinct body of evidence. This combination of belief functions is the heart of the Dempster-Shafer Theory. Given several belief functions based on distinct bodies of evidence, this theory enables one to compute a new belief function based on the combined evidence.

The main connection Dempster-Shafer has to the other theories is the concepts of inner and outer reductions. As will be shown in the discussion concerning rough sets (section 2.1), this concept is almost identical to the lower and upper approximations of rough sets. In inner reduction, denoted by \( \Theta(A) \), is the largest subset that implies A. The outer reduction, denoted by \( \bar{\Theta}(A) \), is the smallest subset that is implied by A.

Another connection the Dempster-Shafer theory holds to that of rough set theory is its belief and plausibility theorems. Given a belief function (Bel) committed to the subset A one is given Bel(A). This function allows one to study the extent to which the evidence supports the negation of A, i.e. \( \neg A \), \( \rightarrow \) Bel(A). The quality \([1 - \text{Bel}(A)]\) expresses the plausibility of A; the extent to which the evidence allows one to fail. These theories are very similar to rough set theory.

1.2.3 Fuzzy Set Theory

Perhaps the most useful tool when dealing with uncertainty is fuzzy set theory. This theory is the most practical where ambiguous terms are
present. To get a complete understanding of this theory, one must first backtrack to ordinary set theory. All branches of mathematics are developed, consciously or unconsciously, in set theory or some part of it. It is, therefore, an important concept to grasp. A set is a collection of things (called elements or members), the collection being regarded as a single object. An item is either in the set or it is not. This property is referred to as inclusion.

In fuzzy set theory, however, an approximation is used to determine the degree to which an element is in the set. Such concepts as inclusion or set equality may seem too strict. Usually, the structures embedded in fuzzy set theories are less rich than the boolean lattice of ordinary set theory. Unlike ordinary set theory, one cannot determine the cardinality, or size, in fuzzy set theory. One cannot compute an accurate union or intersection of two fuzzy sets because the elements are estimates of inclusion, not "crisp" values.

If the value of a set is allowed to be the real interval [0,1], A is called a fuzzy set. The grade of membership of an element, x, in A is \( \mu_A(x) \). The closer the value of \( \mu_A(x) \) is to 1, the more x belongs to A. Similarly, the lower the value of \( \mu_A(x) \), the less x belongs to A. Clearly, A is a subset of x that has no crisp boundary. By using fuzzy set theory, one
must approximate the value of inclusion an element has in a set.

Earlier the question was raised, "What is the difference between 'very rich' and 'moderately rich'?". Fuzzy set theory could approximate a person worth $X to be .4/very rich and .8/moderately rich. Because of the ambiguity of the term "rich", one needs to approximate the value of the person for "very rich" and "moderately rich". It might be observed that, for the decision-maker assigning the values, the person falls into the category of "moderately rich" more than "very rich". For this reason, the decision-maker puts more "weight" on the term "moderately rich". The person lies within the set of "moderately rich" more than the set of "very rich". Hence, they are assigned those corresponding values.

A problem one may encounter using this theory is the fact that the decision-maker assigns these values. Obviously, not all people have the same pre-conceived meanings for terms such as "very rich" or "extremely tall". The approximations one person gives may be completely different from the approximations of someone else. For example, a small boy may see a man 5'9" as "very" tall. Conversely, a professional basketball player might see the same person as "average" height. It is best to keep this in mind, because it can easily influence the decisions.
1.2.4 Rough Sets

As was stated earlier, the most traditional way of acquiring knowledge is based on learning from examples. Another effective tool of inferring knowledge from examples is rough sets. Rough sets are the family of sets having the same lower and upper approximations.

Let $U$ be a non-empty set, call the universe, and let $R$ be an equivalence relation on $U$, called an indiscernibility relation. An ordered pair $A = (U, R)$ is called an approximation space. For an element $x$ of $U$, the equivalence class of $R$ containing $x$ will be denoted by $[x]_R$. Equivalence classes of $R$ are called elementary sets in $A$. We assume that the empty set is also elementary. Any finite union of elementary sets in $A$ is called a definable set in $A$.

Two more concepts, known as the lower approximation and upper approximation of $X$ in $A$ are examined later. Basically, the lower approximation of $X$ in $A$ is the greatest definable set in $A$, contained in $X$. The upper approximation of $X$ in $A$ is the least definable set in $A$ containing $X$. These concepts correspond to the inner and outer reductions from Dempster-Shafer Theory, also examined later. A rough set in $A$ is the family of all subsets of $U$ having the same lower and upper approximations in $A$. These concepts are examined in more detail in
section 2.1.

There are essential connections between rough set theory and Dempster-Shafer theory. For example, the lower and upper approximations of rough set theory exist under the names of inner and outer reductions, respectively. Similarly, the qualities of lower and upper approximations of rough set theory are the belief and plausibility functions, respectively, of Dempster-Shafer theory.

The main difference between rough set theory and the Dempster-Shafer Theory is in the emphasis: Dempster-Shafer Theory uses belief functions as a main tool, while rough set theory makes use of the family of all sets with common lower and upper approximations. The main advantage of rough set theory is that it does not need any preliminary or additional information about data.

1.3 The Proposed Solution

The main purpose of this work is to study the setting described before where a decision-maker is faced with uncertain (i.e. fuzzy) conditions and makes a fuzzy decision which might be strongly or weakly based on these symptoms. Here, the techniques of fuzzy set theory and rough sets will be incorporated to attempt to provide the optimal solution
of measuring uncertainty. From the conditions and decisions, one will find that fuzzy rules may be extracted. In fact, one may extract two sets of rules: certain rules and possible rules. One may also determine a measure of how much they believe in these rules.

The main body of this work is examined in detail in Section 2. The basic notations and results necessary to fully understand these concepts are discussed here. Section 3 offers a detailed example of these concepts at work. It provides an everyday application, as well as an opportunity to see how these principles are incorporated. The software specifications of this product, which simulates the basic ideas set forth here, is available in Section 4. The coding of this program may be found in Appendix A.
As stated in Section 1, I believe the optimal solution for knowledge acquisition under uncertainty lies within the combination of fuzzy set and rough set theories. By integrating the fundamentals of these theories, I hope to measure and, where possible, minimize the degree of uncertainty. To best understand how the concepts of fuzzy sets and rough sets are to be incorporated, it is important to first grasp the main principles of these two theories.

2.1 Rough Sets - A Closer Examination

Let \( U \) be the universe, \( R \) an equivalence relation on \( U \), and \( X \) any subset of \( U \). If \([X]\) denotes the equivalence class of \( X \) relative to \( R \), we can then define the foundation of rough sets. This is called the lower and upper approximations of \( X \) and is denoted, respectively, by:

\[
\mathbb{R}(X) = \{ X \in U / [X] \subseteq X \} \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{\mathbb{R}}(X) = \{ X \in U / [X] \cap X \neq \emptyset \}.
\]

Once again, rough sets are the family of all subsets in \( U \) having the same
upper and lower approximations.

To examine these upper and lower approximations closer, we define an information system as the quadruple \((U,Q,V,\tau)\) where \(Q = C \cup D\) and \(C \cap D = \emptyset\). The set \(C\) stands for the set of conditions, and \(D\) is the set of decisions. We assume that \(C\) is equal to the set of attributes, \(Q\). The set \(V\) stands for value and \(\tau\) is a function from \(U \times Q\) into \(V\) where \(\tau(u,q)\) denotes the value of attribute \(q\) for element \(u\). For example, the pulse rate \(q\) of patient \(u\). The set \(C\) produces an equivalence on \(U\) by partitioning \(U\) into sets over which all attributes are constant. A rough set is classified by properties of its lower and upper approximations. The set is called roughly \(C\)-definable if:

\[
R(X) \neq \emptyset \text{ and } \bar{R}(X) \neq U.
\]

The set is internally \(C\)-undefinable if:

\[
R(X) = \emptyset \text{ and } \bar{R}(X) \neq U.
\]

The set is externally \(C\)-undefinable if:

\[
R(X) \neq \emptyset \text{ and } \bar{R}(X) = U.
\]

The set is totally \(C\)-undefinable if:

\[
R(X) = \emptyset \text{ and } \bar{R}(X) = U.
\]
To illustrate some of these ideas, the table previously referenced is examined again. For this example, Decision 'B' denotes sickness. The conditions produce a partition on \( \{X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5\} \), namely \( \{X_1, X_5\}, \{X_2, X_3\}, \{X_4\} \). The decision-maker defines "sick" people by \( X = \{X_2, X_4\} \). Thus, the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CASE</th>
<th>DATA1</th>
<th>DATA2</th>
<th>DECISION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(X_1)</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(X_2)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Z</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(X_3)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Z</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(X_4)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(X_5)</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

approximations are \( R(X) = \{X_4\} \) and \( \bar{R}(X) = \{X_2, X_3, X_4\} \). These upper and lower approximations are used to extract the certain and possible fuzzy rules, respectively. For this particular example, the set \( \{X_4\} \) represents the set of people who are sick for certain, while the set \( \{X_2, X_3, X_4\} \) represents the set of people who possibly could be sick. For the case \( X_3 \), decision 'B' could lead to the question, "Why is that case possibly sick?". The reason is because of the inconsistencies created by \( X_2 \) and \( X_3 \), and the fact that the same conditions lead to sickness (decision 'A') for \( X_2 \). Because \( R(X) \neq \emptyset \) and \( \bar{R}(X) \neq U \), \( X \) is roughly C-definable.

For an internally C-undefinable set \( X \) in \( S \) we can not say with
certainty that any \( x \in U \) is a member of \( X \). To demonstrate this case, we assume an additional case, \( X_6 \), is added to the previous table. We now have:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CASE</th>
<th>DATA1</th>
<th>DATA2</th>
<th>DECISION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( X_1 )</td>
<td>( W )</td>
<td>( Y )</td>
<td>( A )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( X_2 )</td>
<td>( X )</td>
<td>( Z )</td>
<td>( B )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( X_3 )</td>
<td>( X )</td>
<td>( Z )</td>
<td>( A )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( X_4 )</td>
<td>( X )</td>
<td>( Y )</td>
<td>( B )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( X_5 )</td>
<td>( W )</td>
<td>( Y )</td>
<td>( A )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( X_6 )</td>
<td>( X )</td>
<td>( Y )</td>
<td>( A )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This creates a new partition of \( X = \{ \{ X_1, X_5 \} , \{ X_2, X_3 \} , \{ X_4, X_6 \} \} \). We now have no certain cases of sickness, because for every case corresponding to sickness, there is an inconsistency to match it. Therefore, \( R(X) = \emptyset \) and \( \overline{R}(X) = \{ X_2, X_3, X_4, X_6 \} \). For an externally \( C \)-undefinable set \( X \) in \( S \) we can not exclude any \( x \in U \) being possibly a member of \( X \). If case \( X_1 \) were changed to produce this:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CASE</th>
<th>DATA1</th>
<th>DATA2</th>
<th>DECISION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( X_1 )</td>
<td>( W )</td>
<td>( Y )</td>
<td>( B )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( X_2 )</td>
<td>( X )</td>
<td>( Z )</td>
<td>( B )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( X_3 )</td>
<td>( X )</td>
<td>( Z )</td>
<td>( A )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( X_4 )</td>
<td>( X )</td>
<td>( Y )</td>
<td>( B )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( X_5 )</td>
<td>( W )</td>
<td>( Y )</td>
<td>( A )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( X_6 )</td>
<td>( X )</td>
<td>( Y )</td>
<td>( A )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The new partition of \{ \{X_1\}, \{X_2, X_3\}, \{X_4, X_6\}, \{X_5\} \} would be created. This
would then make \( R(X) = \emptyset \) and \( \overline{R}(X) = \{X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5, X_6\} \) or \( R(X) = U \).

Thus, we could say all six cases are possibly sick.

The difference between the lower and upper approximations may be
attributed to the presence of inconsistencies. If it were not for the
inconsistencies, the decision-maker's opinion would be in line with the
upper and lower approximations produced by \( C \). Therefore, \( X \) would be
totally expressible in terms of \( C \). It is this difference between \( \overline{R}(X) \) and
\( R(X) \) that offers a measure of how well the diagnosis of the decision-
maker follows the conditions. If the decision-maker is an "expert", the
difference between the lower and upper approximations gives one a
measure of how good conditions \( C \) are to determine the diagnosis. In other
words, the more we trust the decision-maker, the more we believe how
the conditions determine the diagnosis. Moreover, it is these lower and
upper approximations which generate the rules that will be used as the
basis for the decision-making process. These generated rules, called the
certain and possible rules, will be examined closer in Section 2.2.

Unfortunately, there may be uncertainty in the conditions, as well as
the diagnosis. The conditions and the diagnosis rarely partition the
universe into "crisp" sets. This is due to the fact that most of the values
of attributes are descriptive, and thus subjective terms. It is this that leads to the "fuzziness" of the conditions/diagnosis when trying to define the terms. This "fuzziness" can lead to overlapping, therefore rendering crisp partitions nearly impossible. At best one hopes the terms can be partitioned with as little overlapping as possible.

2.2 Fuzzy Sets - A Closer Examination

For all decision-making processes, it is the rules which guides one towards a decision. Decision-making under uncertainty is no different. The problem lies within determining these rules. As stated in Section 2.1, the upper and lower approximations generate possible and certain rules. It is Fuzzy Set theory which allows one to extract these fuzzy rules.

2.2.1 Functions of Fuzzy Set Properties

To understand how these rules are extracted, one must first be familiar with the notation. A fuzzy subset A of U is defined by the function: \( \mu_A : U \longrightarrow [0,1] \).

This simply states that the values of the fuzzy subset A fall between 0 and 1. If A and B are fuzzy subsets, the properties \( A \cap B \), \( A \cup B \), and \( -A \) are defined by the functions: \( \min\{\mu_A(x),\mu_B(x)\} \), \( \max\{\mu_A(x),\mu_B(x)\} \), and \( 1- \mu_A(x) \), respectively. The property \( -A \cup B \) corresponds to the function
Max{1-A(x), B(x)}. These computed values are the foundation for extracting the rules. Therefore, it is very important to understand what is meant by the notation.

The first function, \( \text{Min}\{\mu_A(x),\mu_B(x)\} \), is computed by matching up the corresponding elements of the fuzzy subsets and taking the minimum (in value) of the two. For example, given the two fuzzy subsets:

\[
A = (.3, .4, .7, .8, .6, .1) \quad \text{and} \quad B = (.6, .2, .4, .3, .5, .4)
\]

One can compute \( \text{Min}(A,B) = (.3, .2, .4, .3, .5, .1) \). The second function, \( \text{Max}\{\mu_A(x),\mu_B(x)\} \), is similar in computation to the first. Instead of taking the minimum of the two, one takes the maximum, or greatest in value. Using the two previous subsets of A and B, one can compute \( \text{Max}(A,B) = (.6, .4, .7, .8, .6, .4) \). The third function, \( 1 - \mu_A(x) \), is computed by taking one(1) minus the values of the fuzzy subset. Again, using the previous subset A, one can compute \( 1-A = (.7, .6, .3, .2, .4, .9) \). The last function, \( \text{Max}\{1-A(x), B(x)\} \), is simply a combination of the second and third functions. First, one computes \( 1-A(x) \) then compares that to \( B(x) \), taking the maximum of the two. For example,

\[
\text{Max}\{1-A, B\} = \text{Max}\{(.7, .6, .3, .2, .4, .9),(.6, .2, .4, .3, .5, .4)\}
\]

\[
\downarrow \quad \downarrow \quad \downarrow
\]

\[
\text{Max}\{1-A, B\} = (.7, .6, .4, .3, .5, .9).
\]
2.3 Establishing Certain and Possible Rules

Now that the fundamental properties (and corresponding notation) have been explained, we can define two functions of major importance to this work. These two functions are on pairs of fuzzy sets and allow us to extract the rules. We assume here that $A$ and $B$ denote fuzzy subsets of the same universe. The function $I(\ A \subset B\ )$ measures the degree to which $A$ is included in $B$. This function computes the rules generated by certainty and is defined as:

$$I(\ A \subset B\ ) = \inf_x \ \max\{1-A(x), B(x)\}.$$  

The function $J(A\#B)$ measures the degree to which $A$ intersects $B$. This function computes the rules generated by possibility and is defined by:

$$J(A\#B) = \max_x \ \min\{A(x), B(x)\}.$$  

The function $I(\ A \subset B\ )$ is computed by first finding $\max\{1-A(x), B(x)\}$, then taking the minimum term. For the previous fuzzy subset examples of $A$ and $B$, we found the $\max\{1-A, B\} = (0.7, 0.6, 0.4, 0.3, 0.5, 0.9)$. Since the minimum term is $0.3$, $I(\ A \subset B\ ) = 0.3$. The function $J(A\#B)$ is computed by first finding $\min\{A(x), B(x)\}$, then taking the greatest (in value) term. Again, using $A$ and $B$ we found $\min\{A,B\} = (0.3, 0.2, 0.4, 0.3, 0.5, 0.1)$. Since the maximum term is $0.5$, $J(A\#B) = 0.5$.  
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For the example used in this work, we assume the decision-maker is faced with different conditions, or attributes, and makes a decision based on the values of these attributes. To provide a more concise explanation of this work, we will limit the number of possible decisions to two (2). Similarly, we will limit the description an attribute may have to two (2). For example, size can only be measured as a degree of large and small. These limitations are made to explain when to compute the $I(A \subset B)$ and $J(A \# B)$ values.

For the functions of $I(A \subset B)$ and $J(A \# B)$, $A$ denotes the descriptions of the attributes, while $B$ denotes the possible decisions. For each description, we must measure the degree to which it is included in decision 'A' as well as in decision 'B'. In addition to this, we also measure the degrees of intersections of the descriptions for each decision. For example, if we have attribute-1 with descriptions of 'W' and 'X', attribute-2 with descriptions of 'Y' and 'Z', and possible decisions of 'A' and 'B', we would need to compute all of the following:

\[
\begin{align*}
I(W \subset A) & \quad I(Y \subset A) & \quad I(W \cap Y \subset A) & \quad I(W \cap Z \subset A) \\
I(W \subset B) & \quad I(Y \subset B) & \quad I(W \cap Y \subset B) & \quad I(W \cap Z \subset B) \\
I(X \subset A) & \quad I(Z \subset A) & \quad I(X \cap Y \subset A) & \quad I(X \cap Z \subset A) \\
I(X \subset B) & \quad I(Z \subset B) & \quad I(X \cap Y \subset B) & \quad I(X \cap Z \subset B)
\end{align*}
\]
2.3.1 Threshold Values

As one can see, this leads to large numbers of rules. For this case, we would have 32 rules: 16 certain rules and 16 possible rules. If we had 3 attributes with 2 descriptions each, the number of rules would increase to 104 rules. It is therefore essential to establish a "threshold" value, denoted by $\alpha$, for which we may ignore all rules falling below this value. Actually, we need two of these values: one for the certain rules and one for the possible rules. The decision-maker may or may not set these two equal. The higher we set the threshold, the higher the belief we have for the rules which factor above it. Unfortunately, there is a trade-off; for the higher the threshold, the more rules we ignore. Ideally, the solution to this trade-off is to allow the decision-maker to interactively change the threshold values as they see fit. By allowing this interactive changing, it also provides somewhat of a sensitivity analysis. The decision-maker can immediately see which rules are affected by the changing threshold value. Another reason to promote interactive changing of the threshold is that the value of $\alpha$ is very much problem dependent. A value of $\alpha = .5$ might be
appropriate for one problem, but irrelevant for another. The decision-maker may adjust the value till it is set at the most appropriate level.

2.3.2 Extracting Possible and Certain Rules

Once the threshold value has been established, it is time to extract the rules. All rules (values of I and J) which fall below the threshold value are immediately eliminated. To further eliminate rules, we have certain provisions. First, all rules with unique I and J values are kept. Second, if more than one rule has identical I values, we keep (extract) the "smaller" in terms of attributes. For example, if we were to obtain the following certain rules:

\[
\begin{align*}
&\text{If } W \text{ then } A \text{ is present } .6 \\
&\text{If } W \text{ and } Y \text{ then } A \text{ is present } .6 \\
&\text{If } W \text{ and } Z \text{ then } A \text{ is present } .6
\end{align*}
\]

we would keep rule (1) because rules (2) and (3) offer no significant data. Conversely, if these three rules were computed using J values, thus making them possible rules:

\[
\begin{align*}
&\text{If } W \text{ then } A \text{ is possible } .6 \\
&\text{If } W \text{ and } Y \text{ then } A \text{ is possible } .6 \\
&\text{If } W \text{ and } Z \text{ then } A \text{ is possible } .6
\end{align*}
\]

we would extract rules (5) and (6). This is because rules (5) and (6) imply the possibility of rule (4).

The concepts discussed up to this point are represented in an example in section 3.
2.4 Definibility of Terms

Now that all the certain and possible rules are extracted, we can measure the definibility of terms. The goal of this is to define the terms in the decisions as a function of the terms in the conditions. How well this can be accomplished is a function of how much the decision follows the conditions.

Let \( \{Q_i\} \) be a finite family of fuzzy sets. This family of sets does not necessarily form a partition on the universal set. Let \( A \) be a fuzzy set. A lower approximation of \( A \) through \( \{Q_i\} \), produces the fuzzy set:

\[
R(A) = \bigcup_i I(Q_i \subset A) Q_i.
\]

Here, \( \bigcup \) denotes the union of fuzzy sets, and \( I(Q_i \subset A) Q_i \) denotes the fuzzy set obtained by multiplying the components of \( Q_i \) by \( I(Q_i \subset A) \). Therefore, if \( Q_i \) is very much a subset of \( A \), \( I(Q_i \subset A) Q_i \) is close to the whole set \( Q_i \).

Conversely, if \( I(Q_i \subset A) \) is small, so is the contribution of \( Q_i \) to \( R(A) \).

Similarly, we can define an upper approximation of \( A \) through \( \{Q_i\} \) by:

\[
\overline{R}(A) = \bigcup_i J(Q_i \# A) Q_i.
\]

In the special cases where all the sets are crisp, and \( \{Q_i\} \) denotes a partition generated by an equivalence relation \( R \), then the lower approximation is defined as:

\[
\mathcal{R}(A) = \{X \mid [X] \subset A\}.
\]
and the upper approximation is defined as:
\[ \overline{R}(A) = \{ X \mid \text{[X]} \cap A \neq \emptyset \}. \]
One can therefore see that in this crisp case:
\[ R(A) \subset A \subset \overline{R}(A). \]

One should not, however, expect these inclusions to hold in the fuzzy case because boundaries of the relevant sets are poorly-defined.

It is important, however, to realize that even if the decisions completely follow the conditions, the rules generated are not necessarily 100% accurate. To illustrate this, we can substitute tumor color with shirt color when dealing with medically-based decisions. We might find that for 100 cases of cancer, all cases involved blue shirts. Does this mean blue shirts may promote cancer? The answer is, of course, no, but it forces the decision-maker to choose the conditional attributes carefully. The more the decision-maker knows the conditions influence the decisions, the more accurate the generated rules will be.
- SECTION 3 -

Application: Tumor Diagnosis

As stated earlier in this paper, knowledge acquisition is best accomplished by looking at examples. It is therefore important to provide an example of the concepts discussed in section 2. By examining an application, these concepts should become clearer.

The analogy to be used here is that of a doctor (decision-maker) examining the characteristics (attributes) of tumors and rendering a diagnosis (decision). For this example, the attributes are size and color. Size can be described as large and small. Color will be limited to the descriptions of blue and red. The possible diagnoses will be either Disease 'DA' and 'DB'.

While examining seven patients, the following data is accumulated:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PATIENTS</th>
<th>SIZE</th>
<th>COLOR</th>
<th>DECISIONS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P1</td>
<td>.3L + .8S</td>
<td>.2R + .9B</td>
<td>.3/DA + .6/DB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2</td>
<td>.4L + .7S</td>
<td>.4R + .7B</td>
<td>.8/DA + .5/DB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P3</td>
<td>.7L + .4S</td>
<td>.6R + .7B</td>
<td>.5/DA + .9/DB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P4</td>
<td>.8L + .5S</td>
<td>.3R + .8B</td>
<td>.7/DA + .3/DB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P5</td>
<td>.2L + .7S</td>
<td>.2R + .5B</td>
<td>.4/DA + .2/DB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P6</td>
<td>.9L + .2S</td>
<td>.8R + .2B</td>
<td>.7/DA + .8/DB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P7</td>
<td>.3L + .6S</td>
<td>.7R + .1B</td>
<td>.4/DA + .5/DB</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
From this data, we can extract the fuzzy sets for each of the descriptions
(of the attributes) and for each diagnosis. These fuzzy sets are:

**FUZZY SETS:**

\[
\begin{align*}
L &= .3/P_1 + .4/P_2 + .7/P_3 + .8/P_4 + .2/P_5 + .9/P_6 + .3/P_7 \\
S &= .8/P_1 + .7/P_2 + .4/P_3 + .5/P_4 + .7/P_5 + .2/P_6 + .6/P_7 \\
R &= .2/P_1 + .4/P_2 + .6/P_3 + .3/P_4 + .2/P_5 + .8/P_6 + .7/P_7 \\
B &= .9/P_1 + .7/P_2 + .7/P_3 + .8/P_4 + .5/P_5 + .2/P_6 + .1/P_7 \\
D_A &= .3/P_1 + .8/P_2 + .5/P_3 + .7/P_4 + .4/P_5 + .7/P_6 + .4/P_7 \\
D_B &= .6/P_1 + .5/P_2 + .9/P_3 + .3/P_4 + .2/P_5 + .8/P_6 + .5/P_7
\end{align*}
\]

Now that we have established the fuzzy sets, we can compute the values of \(I(A \subseteq B)\) and \(J(A \# B)\) to establish the rules. These values are as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
I(L \subseteq D_A) &= .5 & I(L \subseteq D_B) &= .3 & I(S \subseteq D_A) &= .3 \\
I(S \subseteq D_B) &= .3 & I(R \subseteq D_A) &= .4 & I(R \subseteq D_B) &= .5 \\
I(B \subseteq D_A) &= .3 & I(B \subseteq D_B) &= .3 & I(L \cap R \subseteq D_A) &= .5 \\
I(L \cap B \subseteq D_B) &= .6 & I(L \cap B \subseteq D_A) &= .5 & I(L \cap B \subseteq D_B) &= .3 \\
I(S \cap R \subseteq D_A) &= .4 & I(S \cap R \subseteq D_B) &= .5 & I(S \cap B \subseteq D_A) &= .3 \\
I(S \cap B \subseteq D_B) &= .5 & J(L \# D_A) &= .7 & J(L \# D_B) &= .8 \\
J(S \# D_A) &= .7 & J(S \# D_B) &= .6 & J(R \# D_A) &= .7 \\
J(R \# D_B) &= .8 & J(B \# D_A) &= .7 & J(B \# D_B) &= .7 \\
J(L \cap R \# D_A) &= .7 & J(L \cap R \# D_B) &= .8 & J(L \cap B \# D_A) &= .7 \\
J(L \cap B \# D_B) &= .7 & J(S \cap R \# D_A) &= .4 & J(S \cap R \# D_B) &= .5 \\
J(S \cap B \# D_A) &= .7 & J(S \cap B \# D_B) &= .6
\end{align*}
\]

Assuming that we have already established the threshold value \((\alpha)\) for certain rules to be \(\alpha = .5\) and for possible rules to be \(\alpha = .6\), we are left
with the following rules:

**CERTAIN RULES:**

- If the tumor is large then $D_A$ is present 0.5.
- If the tumor is large and red then $D_A$ is present 0.5.
- If the tumor is large and blue then $D_A$ is present 0.5.
- If the tumor is red then $D_B$ is present 0.5.
- If the tumor is large and red then $D_B$ is present 0.6.
- If the tumor is small and red then $D_B$ is present 0.5.
- If the tumor is small and blue then $D_B$ is present 0.5.

**POSSIBLE RULES:**

- If the tumor is large then $D_A$ is possible 0.7.
- If the tumor is small then $D_A$ is possible 0.7.
- If the tumor is red then $D_A$ is possible 0.7.
- If the tumor is blue then $D_A$ is possible 0.7.
- If the tumor is large and red then $D_A$ is possible 0.7.
- If the tumor is large and blue then $D_A$ is possible 0.7.
- If the tumor is small and blue then $D_A$ is possible 0.7.
- If the tumor is large then $D_B$ is possible 0.8.
- If the tumor is small then $D_B$ is possible 0.6.
- If the tumor is red then $D_B$ is possible 0.8.
- If the tumor is blue then $D_B$ is possible 0.7.
- If the tumor is large and red then $D_B$ is possible 0.8.
- If the tumor is large and blue then $D_B$ is possible 0.7.
- If the tumor is small and blue then $D_B$ is possible 0.6.
Finally, we extract the certain rules and possible rules in which to keep by using the theory explained in section 2.3. This leads to the following rules:

**EXTRACTED CERTAIN RULES:**

- If the tumor is large then $D_A$ is present 0.5.
- If the tumor is red then $D_B$ is present 0.5.
- If the tumor is large and red then $D_B$ is present 0.6.
- If the tumor is small and blue then $D_B$ is present 0.5.

**EXTRACTED POSSIBLE RULES:**

- If the tumor is large and red then $D_A$ is possible 0.7.
- If the tumor is large and blue then $D_A$ is possible 0.7.
- If the tumor is small and blue then $D_A$ is possible 0.7.
- If the tumor is large and red then $D_B$ is possible 0.8.
- If the tumor is large and blue then $D_B$ is possible 0.7.
- If the tumor is small and blue then $D_B$ is possible 0.6.
4.1 Software Specifications

The following is an attempt to describe the requirements specifications for the software to be developed for partial fulfillment of the senior project (CS 4395). The software should be designed to simulate the main ideas in Dr. Andre' de Korvin's paper, "Extracting fuzzy rules under uncertainty and measuring definibility using rough sets."

As in all good software design, the software should be above all user-friendly. It should be designed to allow a user to "walk-through" the system. This can be achieved through screen messages at every step and error messages when appropriate (improper data entry). The software should also be modifiable so that it may be expanded in the future. This can be achieved through well-documented modules. The software should also be efficient and reliable.

These are the goals of every software system. The following is a list of the functions, goals, and constraints of this particular system. In some instances, examples are used to better explain the concepts.
4.1.1 Input

The user should be able to:

A. Enter any number of attributes.
   The paper uses two, for example: size and color. The user should also be allowed to have any number of descriptions for each attribute. The paper describes size with values of large and small. The user should also be able to use medium.

B. Enter data in any numeric form.
   1) The form the data is entered in the paper is in "fuzzy form", where all values are between 0 and 1. The software should certainly be able to manipulate data which is entered in this form. In addition, the user should be able to enter "real data". For example, given the following numbers:

   |   |   |   |   |
   | 10| 40| 5  | 50 |
   | 15| 27| 80 | 25 |
   | 60| 35| 55 | 33 |

   The software should be able to convert 55 to 55 -> .3/Low + .7/High

   2) The user should also be able to set the boundaries for the data to be entered. Using the numbers from above, the user may wish to declare 10 as the bottom and 75 as the ceiling. If the number 5 is entered as data, it should be converted to: 5 -> 1/Low + 0/High. Likewise, 80 would be converted to: 80 -> 0/Low + 1/High.
   The user should be able to arbitrarily set these boundaries as well as change them between applications.

C. Set the two threshold values (one for the certain rules, one for the possible rules).
   1) The user should be able to interactively change the threshold to compare the changes, i.e. the rules the changes affect.

   2) Software should produce an error message for a threshold value greater than 1 or less than 0.
4.1.2 Functions and Calculations

The software should be able to:

A. Convert the inputed data into the "fuzzy sets" that are used as the basis for all functions and calculations.

B. Measure the degree to which a set, A, is included in another, B: \{I(A \cap B)\}. This calculation is used to determine the certain rules.

C. Measure the degree to which a set, A, intersects another, B: \{J(A#B)\}. This calculation is used to determine the possible rules.

D. Compare the values of I(A \cap B), for various A's and B's, with the threshold value for certain rules and disregard all values of I(A \cap B) which fall below the threshold. Similarly, all values of J(A#B) should be compared to the threshold value for possible rules with all values of J(A#B) below the threshold being disregarded.

E. From the values of I(A \cap B) and J(A#B) that are at or above the threshold, the software should extract the rules (to keep). For the certain rules, the "prime" rules should be extracted. For the possible rules, the "combination" rules should be extracted. For example, if the rules are:
   (1) If tumor is A and B then C is .6.
   (2) If tumor is A then C is .6.
   (3) If tumor is B then C is .6.
   For the certain rules, we extract (2) and (3). For the possible rules, we extract (1).

F. Convert the inclusion \{I(A \cap B)\} and intersection \{J(A#B)\} symbols to english statements. The purpose of this is to help the user to better distinguish the output.
4.1.3 Output

The software should produce:

A. A complete listing of all rules (certain and possible) in english for:
   1) Before comparison to the threshold value, and
   2) After the comparison to the threshold value.

B. The two threshold values the user has assigned.

C. The final list of extracted certain and possible rules in english.
CONCLUSION

The decision-making process can easily be simulated by computers if no uncertainty is present. Unfortunately, this is not always the case. Uncertainty may arise due to a number of reasons. It may be due to ambiguity of terms, the skepticism of rules, or by missing or erroneous data. Therefore, methods other than classical logic must be developed to counteract this.

This paper has examined the methods of Dempster-Shafer, Rough sets, and Fuzzy sets in an attempt to achieve the optimal solution. The solution offered here is an integration of these methods. The decision-maker may enter in conditional attributes and decisional attributes. These values are converted into fuzzy set form. From here, lower and upper approximations of belief are established. These approximations generate the rules, certain and possible, which will be used as the basis of the decision-making process.

This entire process is simulated in the program: The Culas-Worm Decision-Maker. In this program, the user, or decision-maker, is first given the choices of examining a sample running of the program or
entering in their own data. The data may be entered in fuzzy set form (i.e.
inclusive values between 0 and 1) or real form (any real number). From
there, the lower and upper approximations and the certain and possible
rules are generated. A threshold value may be entered to provide a more
"consistent" view of these rules. The rules generated through this process
form the foundation for which the user makes their decisions.

This program should satisfy the immediate objective of the research
and implementation of the previously referenced methods. In addition, it
should provide a foundation for the ultimate long-range goal: the
designing of a decision-making process which neutralizes uncertainty.
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Appendix A

Program:

The *Culas-Worm* Decision-Maker
Program Extract_Fuzzy_Rules(Input,Output);

(*---------------------------------------------------------------------*)
(*---------------------------------------------------------------------*)
(*---------------------------------------------------------------------*)
(*---------------------------------------------------------------------*)
(*---------------------------------------------------------------------*)
(*---------------------------------------------------------------------*)
(*---------------------------------------------------------------------*)
(*---------------------------------------------------------------------*)
(*---------------------------------------------------------------------*)
(*---------------------------------------------------------------------*)
(*---------------------------------------------------------------------*)

PROGRAM FOR PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS
FOR CS 4395

Programmers : Donald Culas
              Jeff Worm

This program simulates the ideas set forth in Dr. Andre de Korvin's paper, "Extracting Fuzzy Rules under uncertainty and Measuring Definibility using Rough Sets". The program is designed to combine the methods of rough sets and fuzzy sets to measure uncertainty. Fuzzy rules are extracted to provide the user a foundation from which they may formulate a decision.

(*---------------------------------------------------------------------*)
(*---------------------------------------------------------------------*)
(*---------------------------------------------------------------------*)
(*---------------------------------------------------------------------*)

const
    max_cases = 100;

type
    str = string [10];
    fuzzy_array = array[1..max_cases] of real;

struct = record
    att1 : str;
    att2 : str;
    value_att1 : real;
    value_att2 : real;
end;
end;

cond_struct = record
  consider : str;
  firattr : str;
  secattr : str;
  firattr_1 : str;
  firattr_2 : str;
  secattr_1 : str;
  secattr_2 : str;
end;

dec_struct = record
  consider : str;
  firattr : str;
  secattr : str;
end;

value_struct = record
  kind_1 : str;
  kind_2 : str;
  dec_kind : str;
  value : real;
  attr : integer;
  tag : integer;
end;

case_struct = record
  condition_1 : struct;
  condition_2 : struct;
  decision : struct;
end;

info = array[1..max_cases] of case_struct;
value_array = array [1..9] of value_struct;

var
  cases : info;
  thresh : real;
  m_ch,sit_ch,d_ch,val_ch,see_ch : char;
  no_of_cases,count : Integer;
  read_data : boolean;
  condition : cond_struct;
  decision : dec_struct;
  cond1_att1_arr,cond1_att2_arr,cond2_att1_arr,cond2_att2_arr :
  fuzzy_array;
  dec_att1_arr,dec_att2_arr,inter_array : fuzzy_array;
  decl1_sub,dec2_sub,decl1_inter,dec2_inter : value_array;

{******************************************************************************
  *****}
procedure initialize(var con : cond_struct;
               var dec : dec_struct);

var
  blank : string [10];
begin
  blank := ' ';
  con.consider := blank;
  con.firattr := blank;
  con.secattr := blank;
  con.firattr_1 := blank;
  con.firattr_2 := blank;
  con.secattr_1 := blank;
  con.secattr_2 := blank;
  dec.consider := blank;
  dec.firattr := blank;
  dec.secattr := blank;
end;

procedure read_situation(var con : cond_struct;
                         var dec : dec_struct);
begin
  writeln;
  writeln;
  writeln;
  writeln('Please enter the attribute under consideration ');  
  write(' (eg. tumor, weather, etc...) : ');
  readln(con.consider);
  writeln;
  readln(dec.consider);
  writeln;

writeln('Please enter the two attributes of ', con.consider, ' we will be looking at');
write('First attribute of ', con.consider, ' : '); readln(con.firattr);
write('Second attribute of ', con.consider, ' : '); readln(con.secattr);
writeln('Please enter two attributes for ', con.firattr);
write('First attribute for ', con.firattr, ' : '); readln(con.firattr_1);
write('Second attribute for ', con.firattr, ' : '); readln(con.firattr_2);
writeln('Please enter two attributes for ', con.secattr);
write('First attribute for ', con.secattr, ' : '); readln(con.secattr_1);
write('Second attribute for ', con.secattr, ' : '); readln(con.secattr_2);
writeln('Please enter two attributes for ', dec.consider);
write('First attribute for ', dec.consider, ' : '); readln(dec.firattr);
write('Second attribute for ', dec.consider, ' : '); readln(dec.secattr);
end;

*******************************************************************
(**************** PROCEDURE SET FUZZY VALUE ********************)
(**** ****)
(**** This procedure reads in real data (i.e. 20, 58, 265) ****)
(**** and converts it into fuzzy values - values between 0 ****)
(**** and 1 (i.e. 0.7, 0.3, 0.28). ****)
*******************************************************************

procedure set_fuzzy_value(vat val,max,min, first val,sec_val : real);
begin
  if (val > max ) then
    begin
      first_val := 1;
      sec_val := 0;
    end
  else
    if ( val < min ) then
      begin
        sec_val := 1;
        first_val := 0;
      end
else
begin
first_val := (val - min)/(max-min);
sec_val := (max - val)/(max-min);
end;
end; ( SET FUZZY VALUE )

{****************************************************************
****}
{ ************************* READ REAL ****************************
{/****
{**** This procedure reads in the real (not fuzzy) values and
{**** requires the user to establish high and low values for
{**** the conditional and decisional attributes.
{****}
{****************************************************************
****)
procedure read_real ( con : cond_struct;
  dec : dec_struct;
  var case_arr : info;
  var n : integer);
var
firattr_1, firattr_2, secattr_1, secattr_2, dec_1, dec_2, value : real;
i : integer;
ch : char;
begin ( READ REAL )
  ch := 'y';
i := 1;
  writeln;
  write('Please enter a number you associate with definitely
', con.firattr_1, ': '); 
  readin(firattr_1);
  write('Please enter a number you associate with definitely
', con.firattr_2, ': '); 
  readin(firattr_2);
  writeln;
  write('Please enter a number you associate with definitely
', con.secattr_1, ': '); 
  readin(secattr_1);
  write('Please enter a number you associate with definitely
', con.secattr_2, ': '); 
  readin(secattr_2);
  writeln;
  write('Please enter a number you associate with definitely
', dec.firattr, ': '); 
  readin(dec_1);
  write('Please enter a number you associate with definitely
', dec.secattr, ': ');
readln(dec_2);
WHILE ( (ch = 'y') or (ch = 'Y') ) do
begin
    case_arr[i].condition_1.att1 := con.firattr_1;
    case_arr[i].condition_1.att2 := con.firattr_2;
    case_arr[i].condition_2.att1 := con.secattr_1;
    case_arr[i].condition_2.att2 := con.secattr_2;
    case_arr[i].decision.att1 := dec.firattr;
    case_arr[i].decision.att2 := dec.secattr;
    write('Please enter a value for ',con.firattr, ' : '); 
    readln(value);
    set_fuzzy_value(value,firattr_1,firattr_2,case_arr[i].condition_1.value_att1,case_arr[i].condition_1.value_att2);
    write('Please enter a value for ',con.secattr, ' : '); 
    readln(value);
    set_fuzzy_value(value,secattr_1,secattr_2,case_arr[i].condition_2.value_att1,case_arr[i].condition_2.value_att2);
    write('Please enter a value for ',dec.consider, ' : '); 
    readln(value);
    set_fuzzy_value(value,dec_1,dec_2,case_arr[i].decision.value_att1,case_arr[i].decision.value_att2);
    writeln;
    i := i + 1;
    REPEAT ( Makes user enter 'Y' or 'S' )
    writeln;
    write('Please enter [y] to input more data or [s] to stop : ');
    readln(ch);
    UNTIL ( (ch = 's') or (ch = 'S') or (ch = 'y') or (ch = 'Y') );
end;
ch := i .
fn := i-l;
end; ( READ REAL )

{******************************************************************************
**************}
{************** PROCEDURE CREATE CONDITION_1 FUZZY SETS
**************}
{****
****}
{**** This procedure creates the fuzzy sets for the first condition. ****}
{******************************************************************************
**************}
procedure create_cond1_fuzzy_sets( con : info;
    n : integer;
    var new_arr_1 : fuzzy_array;
    var new_arr_2 : fuzzy_array );
var
    i : integer;
begin ( create_cond1_fuzzy_sets )
for i := 1 to n do
begin
new_arr_l[i] := con[i].condition_1.value_attl;
new_arr_2[i] := con[i].condition_1.value_att2;
end;
end;

(* *******************************************************
** CREATE CONDITION_2 FUZZY SETS
*******************************
**** This procedure creates the fuzzy sets for the second condition. ****)
**********************************************************************
procedure create_cond2_fuzzy_sets( con : info;
n : integer;
var new_arr_l : fuzzy_array;
var new_arr_2 : fuzzy_array);

var i : integer;
begin ( CREATE COND_2 FUZZY SETS )
for i := 1 to n do
begin
new_arr_l[i] := con[i].condition_2.value_attl;
new_arr_2[i] := con[i].condition_2.value_att2;
end;
end;

(* *******************************************************
** PROCEDURE CREATE DECISION FUZZY SETS
********************************************************************************
***
*** This procedure creates the fuzzy sets of the decisional attributes ***)
**********************************************************************
procedure create_decision_fuzzy_sets(con : info;
n : integer;
var new_arr_l : fuzzy_array;
var new_arr_2 : fuzzy_array);

var i : integer;
begin ( CREATE DECISION FUZZY SETS )
for i := 1 to n do
begin
new_arr_1[i] := con[i].decision.value_att1;
new_arr_2[i] := con[i].decision.value_att2;
end;
end; { CREATE DECISION FUZZY SETS }

(**********************************************************************************************
****)
( ****************************  PROCEDURE INIT  ****************************)
(**********************************************************************************************
****)
{****
****}
{****    This procedure initializes the strings.  
****}
{**********************************************************************************************
****)
procedure init(var arr : value_array);
var
    i : integer;
    blank : string [10];
begin { PROCEDURE INIT }
    blank := ' '; 
    for i := 1 to 9 do 
        begin
            arr[i].kind_1 := blank;
            arr[i].kind_2 := blank;
            arr[i].tag := -1;
        end;
end; { PROCEDURE INIT }

(**********************************************************************************************
*****)
( ****************************  PROCEDURE SET CONDITIONS  ****************************)
(**********************************************************************************************
*****)
{****
****}
{****    This procedure assigns the conditional attributes  
****}
{**********************************************************************************************
*****)
procedure set_cond ( con : cond_struct;
                    var arr1 : value_array); { SET CONDITION }
begin
    arr1[1].kind_1 := con.firattr_1;
    arr1[1].attr := 2;
    arr1[2].kind_1 := con.firattr_2;
    arr1[2].attr := 2;
    arr1[3].kind_1 := con.secattr_1;
    
    arr1[4].kind_1 := con.thrattr_1;
    arr1[4].attr := 2;
    arr1[5].kind_1 := con.fourattr_1;
    arr1[5].attr := 2;
    arr1[6].kind_1 := con.fiveattr_1;
    arr1[6].attr := 2;
    arr1[7].kind_1 := con.sixattr_1;
    arr1[7].attr := 2;
    arr1[8].kind_1 := con.sevenattr_1;
    arr1[8].attr := 2;
    arr1[9].kind_1 := con.eightattr_1;
    arr1[9].attr := 2;
    arr1[10].kind_1 := con.nineattr_1;
    arr1[10].attr := 2;
    
    arr1[1].kind_2 := blank;
    arr1[1].kind_2 := blank;
    arr1[1].tag := -1;
    
    arr1[2].kind_2 := blank;
    arr1[2].kind_2 := blank;
    arr1[2].tag := -1;
    
    arr1[3].kind_2 := blank;
    arr1[3].kind_2 := blank;
    arr1[3].tag := -1;
    
    arr1[4].kind_2 := blank;
    arr1[4].kind_2 := blank;
    arr1[4].tag := -1;
    
    arr1[5].kind_2 := blank;
    arr1[5].kind_2 := blank;
    arr1[5].tag := -1;
    
    arr1[6].kind_2 := blank;
    arr1[6].kind_2 := blank;
    arr1[6].tag := -1;
    
    arr1[7].kind_2 := blank;
    arr1[7].kind_2 := blank;
    arr1[7].tag := -1;
    
    arr1[8].kind_2 := blank;
    arr1[8].kind_2 := blank;
    arr1[8].tag := -1;
    
    arr1[9].kind_2 := blank;
    arr1[9].kind_2 := blank;
    arr1[9].tag := -1;
    
    arr1[10].kind_2 := blank;
    arr1[10].kind_2 := blank;
    arr1[10].tag := -1;
end;
arr1[3].attr := 2;
arr1[4].kind_1 := con.secattr_2;
arr1[4].attr := 2;
arr1[5].kind_1 := con.firattr_1;
arr1[5].kind_2 := con.secattr_1;
arr1[5].attr := 3;
arr1[6].kind_1 := con.firattr_1;
arr1[6].kind_2 := con.secattr_2;
arr1[6].attr := 3;
arr1[7].kind_1 := con.firattr_2;
arr1[7].kind_2 := con.secattr_1;
arr1[7].attr := 3;
arr1[8].kind_1 := con.firattr_2;
arr1[8].kind_2 := con.secattr_2;
arr1[8].attr := 3;
end;               { SET CONDITION }

{**************************************************************************
********}
{**********   PROCEDURE SET DECISION_1
**********}
{****
****}       { SET DECISION_1 }
{****      This procedure assigns the first decisional attribute
****}
{**********
**********
**********
procedure set_dec1(dec : dec_struct;
    var   arr : value_array);
var
    i : integer;
begin                { SET DECISION_1 }
    for i := 1 to 8 do
        arr[i].dec_kind := dec.firattr;
end;                { SET DECISION_1 }

{**************************************************************************
********}
{**********   PROCEDURE SET DECISION_2
**********}
{****
****}       { SET DECISION_2 }
{****      This procedure assigns the second decisional attribute
****}
{**********
**********
**********
procedure set_dec2(dec : dec_struct;
    var   arr : value_array);
var
i : integer;
begin
   for i := 1 to 8 do
      arr[i].dec_kind := dec.secattr;
end;

{ SET DECISION_2 }

FUNCTION SUB

*****
***** This function evaluates the values of I (A c B). This value
***** is the degree to which A is included in B and is used to
***** generate the certain rules.
*****
function sub ( j : integer;
                  arr1, arr2 : fuzzy_array) : real;
var
   temp : fuzzy_array;
   min : real;
   i   : integer;
begin
   for i := 1 to j do
      begin
         temp[i] := 1 - arr1[i];
         if (arr2[i] > temp[i]) then
            temp[i] := arr2[i];
      end;
   min := temp[1];
   for i := 2 to j do
      begin
         if (temp[i] < min) then
            min := temp[i];
      end;
   sub := min;
end;

{ FUNCTION SUB }

FUNCTION NUM

*****
***** This function evaluates the values of J(A # B). This value
is the degree to which $A$ intersects $B$ and is used to generate the possible rules.

function num ( j : integer;      
    arr1, arr2 : fuzzy_array) : real;

var     
    temp : fuzzy_array;     
    i   : integer;     
    max : real;
begin
    for i := 1 to j do
    begin
        if ( arr1[i] <= arr2[i] ) then
            temp[i] := arr1[i]
        else
            temp[i] := arr2[i]
    end;
    max := temp[1];
    for i := 2 to j do
    begin
        if ( temp[i] > max ) then
            max := temp[i];
    end;
    num := max;
end;

procedure inter ( j : integer;      
    arr1, arr2 : fuzzy_array;      
    var temp_array : fuzzy_array);     

var     
    i : integer;
begin
    for i := 1 to j do
    begin
        if ( arr1[i] <= arr2[i] ) then
temp_array[i] := arr1[i]
else
  temp_array[i] := arr2[i]
end;
{ INTER }

**********
**********
**********
**********
PROCEDURE VALUE SUB

**********
**********
**********
**********

This procedure assigns the values to the corresponding terms of I(A \cap B). It calls on the Function SUB.

**********
**********
**********
**********

procedure value_sub( n : integer;
  colatt1,colatt2,co2att1 : fuzzy_array;
  co2att2,decatt : fuzzy_array;
  var new_arr : value_array);

var
  temp_arr : fuzzy_array;
begi
  begin { VALUE SUB }
    new_arr[1].value := sub(n,colatt1,decatt);
    new_arr[2].value := sub(n,colatt2,decatt);
    new_arr[3].value := sub(n,co2att1,decatt);
    new_arr[4].value := sub(n,co2att2,decatt);
    inter(n,colatt1,co2att1,temp_arr);
    new_arr[5].value := sub(n,temp_arr,decatt);
    inter(n,colatt1,co2att2,temp_arr);
    new_arr[6].value := sub(n,temp_arr,decatt);
    inter(n,colatt2,co2att1,temp_arr);
    new_arr[7].value := sub(n,temp_arr,decatt);
    inter(n,colatt2,co2att2,temp_arr);
    new_arr[8].value := sub(n,temp_arr,decatt);
  end; { VALUE SUB }

**********
**********
**********
**********
PROCEDURE INITIALIZE TAG

**********
**********
**********
**********

This procedure initializes the PRINT tag

**********
**********
**********
**********

procedure init_tag( var arr1 : value_array);
var
  i : integer;
begin
  for i := 1 to 8 do
    arr1[i].tag := -1;
end;

(* INITIALIZE TAG *)
(* INITIALIZE TAG *)

{ ******************************************
  PROCEDURE VALUE INTERMEDIATE
  ******************************************}

{ ***}
{ **** This procedure assigns the values to the corresponding terms
  **** of J(A # B). It calls on the Function NUM.
  ****}

{ ******************************************}
procedure value_inter(n : integer;
  colattl,colatt2,co2att1 : fuzzy_array;
  co2att2,decatt : fuzzy_array;
  var new_arr : value_array);

var
  temp_array : fuzzy_array;
begin
  new_arr[1].value := num(n,colatt1,decatt);
  new_arr[2].value := num(n,colatt2,decatt);
  new_arr[3].value := num(n,co2att1,decatt);
  new_arr[4].value := num(n,co2att2,decatt);
  inter(n,colatt1,co2att1,temp_array);
  new_arr[5].value := num(n,temp_array,decatt);
  inter(n,colatt1,co2att2,temp_array);
  new_arr[6].value := num(n,temp_array,decatt);
  inter(n,co2att1,temp_array);
  new_arr[7].value := num(n,temp_array,decatt);
  inter(n,co2att2,temp_array);
  new_arr[8].value := num(n,temp_array,decatt);
end;

{ ******************************************}

{ ***}
{ **** The following four procedures are}
{ **** simply procedures to produce the}
{ **** headings for the output.}
{ ****}

{ ******************************************}
procedure printall_head;
begin
    writeln;
    writeln;
    writeln(' These are all the rules ');
    writeln(' ------------------------' );
    writeln(' ------------------------ ');
end;

procedure thresh_head ( tval : real );
begin
    writeln;
    writeln;
    writeln(' These are the rules after applying the threshold value of ', tval:2:1);
    writeln(' ------------------------');
    writeln(' ------------------------ ');
end;

procedure certain_head;
begin
    writeln;
    writeln(' The following are the certain rules ');
    writeln(' ------------------------');
    writeln;
end;

procedure possible_head;
begin
    writeln(' The following are the possible rules ');
    writeln(' ------------------------');
    writeln;
end;

{*******************************************************
**********}  PROCEDURE KIND RULES
{*******************************************************
****  
*****}  This procedure asks the user what kind or rules they will use *****
{*******************************************************
****************************************************}
procedure kind_rules(var ch : char);
begin  
    writeln;
    writeln;

ch := ' '; REPEAT writeln( 'What kind of rules would you like to see ?'); writeln(' '); writeln(' Please enter [a] for all the rules : '); writeln(' or '); write(' Please enter [e] for the extracted rules: '); readln(ch); UNTIL ( (ch = 'a') or (ch = 'A') or (ch = 'e') or (ch = 'E'));
writeln; writeln; writeln; writeln; writeln; end; { KIND RULES }

{*********************************************************************** ********} {PROCEDURE CHECK_THRESHOLD} {***********************************************************************}
procedure check_thresh( var ch : char; c_or_p : char);
begin
  ch := ' '; writeln; writeln; write('Do you want to see only the ');
  if (c_or_p = 'c') then write('certain ')
  else write('possible ');
  writeln('rules above '); writeln(' a threshold value? ');
  writeln; REPEAT writeln; writeln; write('Please enter [y] to see only the ');
  if (c_or_p = 'c') then write('certain ')
  else write('possible ');
  writeln('rules which '); writeln('are above a threshold value ');
  writeln(' or '); writeln('Enter [n] to see all the ');
end; { CHECK THRESHOLD }
if (c_or_p = 'c') then
    write('certain ')
else
    write('possible ');
write(' rules : ');
readln(ch);
UNTIL (( ch = 'y') or ( ch = 'Y') or (ch = 'n') or (ch = 'N'));
end;

*******
******* PROCEDURE GET THRESHOLD VALUE
*******
procedure get_tval( var num : real;
        var t_kind : char);
begin
    write('Please enter the threshold value for the ');  // GET T VAL
    if (t_kind = 'c') then
        write( 'certain ')
    else
        write('possible ');
    write('rules : ');
    readln(num);
end;

procedure extract_head;
begin
    writeln;
    writeln;
    writeln(' These are the extracted rules ');
    writeln('----------------------------------');
    writeln('----------------------------------');
end;
procedure certain_extract_head;
begin
    writeln(' ');
    writeln(' ');
    writeln(' These are the extracted certain rules ');
    writeln('-----------------------------------------');
    writeln;
end;

{*******************************************************************************

PROCEDURE PRINT ENGLISH

*******************************************************************************}

procedure print_english ( arr1 : value_array;
    c_or_p : char;
    alpha : real;
    kind : char;
    arr_size : integer);
var
    i : integer;
    print : boolean;
    blank : string [10];
begin
    blank := ' ';          ( PRINT ENGLISH )
    for i := 1 to arr_size do
        begin
            print := false;
            if ( ( kind = 'a') or ( kind = 'A') ) then
                begin
                    if (arr1[i].value >= alpha) then
                        print := true
                end
            else
                if ( (kind = 'e') or (kind = 'E') ) then
                    if ( (arr1[i].value >= alpha) and ( arr1[i].tag = 1) )
                    then
                        print := true;
                begin
                    if print then
                        begin
                            if (arr1[i].kind_1 = 'c') or (arr1[i].kind_1 = 'C') then
                                begin
                                    write( 'If the ',
                                            condition.consider,
                                        ' is
                                        ',arr1[i].kind_1);
                                end
                            begin
                                if ( arr1[i].kind_2 <> blank ) then
                                    begin
                                        write ( 'and ',
                                                arr1[i].kind_2);
                                    end
                                begin
                                    write(' then ',
                                            decision.consider,
                                        ' ',
                                        arr1[i].dec_kind);
                                end
                            end
                        write( ' ',
                                arr1[i].value,
                            ' ',
                                arr1[i].tag,
                                ' ',
                                arr1[i].kind_1);
                    end
                else
                    begin
                        write( ' ',
                                arr1[i].value,
                            ' ',
                                arr1[i].tag,
                                ' ',
                                arr1[i].kind_1);
                    end
                end
            else
                begin
                    write( ' ',
                            arr1[i].value,
                        ' ',
                        arr1[i].tag,
                        ' ',
                        arr1[i].kind_1);
                end
            end
        else
            begin
                write( ' ',
                        arr1[i].value,
                    ' ',
                    arr1[i].tag,
                    ' ',
                    arr1[i].kind_1);
            end
        end
end;
PROCEDURE POSSIBLE EXTRACT HEADER

****
**** This procedure generates the heading for extracted rules.
****
procedure possible_extract_head;
begin
    writeln(' These are the extracted possible rules ');
    writeln('----------------------');
    writeln;
end;

PROCEDURE EXTRACT RULES

****
**** This procedure extracts the rules. First, all rules with
**** unique I(AcB) and J(A#B) values are kept. Secondly, if more
**** than one rule has identical I values, the "smaller" in terms
**** of attributes is kept. Conversely, the "larger" rules are
**** kept when dealing with identical J values.
procedure extract_rules(var arr1 : value_array;
            c_or_p : char;
            thresh_value : real);

var
    n,i,j : integer;
begin
    n := 9;
    for i := 1 to n-1 do
        begin
            if ( arr1[i].value >= thresh_value ) then
                begin
                    for j := i + 1 to n do
                        begin
                            if ( arr1[i].attr <> arr1[j].attr ) then
                                begin
                                    if ( c_or_p = 'c' ) then
                                        begin
                                            if ((( arr1[i].kind_1 = arr1[j].kind_1) or
                                                ( arr1[i].kind_2 ))) and
                                                ( arr1[i].value = arr1[j].value)
                                                then
                                                    begin
                                                        if ( arr1[i].tag = 1) then
                                                            arr1[j].tag := 0;
                                                        if ( arr1[i].tag = -1 ) then
                                                            arr1[i].tag := 1;
                                                    end;
                                            else
                                                begin
                                                    if (((arr1[i].kind_1=arr1[j].kind_1)
                                                        (arr1[i].kind_2))) and
                                                        (arr1[i].value = arr1[j].value)
                                                        then
                                                            arr1[i].tag := 0;
                                                        if ( arr1[j].tag = -1 ) then
                                                            arr1[j].tag := 1;
                                                            else if (arr1[i].tag = -1) then
                                                                arr1[i].tag := 1;
                                                        end;
                                                    end;
                                            else
                                                begin
                                                    if (((arr1[i].kind_1=arr1[j].kind_1)
                                                        (arr1[i].kind_2))) and
                                                        (arr1[i].value = arr1[j].value)
                                                        then
                                                            arr1[i].tag := 0;
                                                        if ( arr1[j].tag = -1 ) then
                                                            arr1[j].tag := 1;
                                                            else
                                                                arr1[i].tag := 1;
                                                        end;
                                            end;
                                    else
                                        end;
                                else
                                    begin
                                        if (((arr1[i].kind_l=arr1[j].kind_l)
                                            (arr1[j].value = arr1[i].value)
                                            then
                                                arr1[i].tag := 0;
                                            if ( arr1[j].tag = -1 ) then
                                                arr1[j].tag := 1;
                                            else
                                                begin
                                                    if (((arr1[i].kind_l=arr1[j].kind_l)
                                                        (arr1[i].kind_l =
                                                        arr1[j].kind_2)) and
                                                        (arr1[i].value = arr1[j].value)
                                                        then
                                                            arr1[i].tag := 0;
                                                        if ( arr1[j].tag = -1 ) then
                                                            arr1[j].tag := 1;
                                                            else
                                                                arr1[i].tag := 1;
                                                        end;
                                                    end;
                                            else
                                                begin
                                                    if (((arr1[i].kind_l=arr1[j].kind_l)
                                                        (arr1[i].kind_l =
                                                        arr1[j].kind_2)) and
                                                        (arr1[i].value = arr1[j].value)
                                                        then
                                                            arr1[i].tag := 0;
                                                        if ( arr1[j].tag = -1 ) then
                                                            arr1[j].tag := 1;
                                                            else
                                                                arr1[i].tag := 1;
                                                        end;
                                            end;
                                    else
                                        end;
                                end;
                         end;
        end;
    end;
procedure print_rules( dsubl_arr, dsub2_arr : value_array;
                     dinterl_arr, dinter1_arr : value_array);

begin

  size := 8;
  kind_rules(kind);
  if ((kind <> 'q') or (kind <> 'Q')) then
  begin
    if ((kind = 'a') or (kind = 'A')) then
    begin
      t_val := 0.0;
      c_or_p := 'c';
      check_thresh(ch, c_or_p);
      if ((ch = 'y') or (ch = 'Y')) then
      begin
        get_tval(t_val, c_or_p);
        thresh_head(t_val);
      end;
      printall_head;
      certain_head;
      print_english(dec1_sub, c_or_p, t_val, kind, size);
      print_english(dec2_sub, c_or_p, t_val, kind, size);
      c_or_p := 'p';
      t_val := 0.0;
      check_thresh(ch, c_or_p);
      if ((ch = 'y') or (ch = 'Y')) then
      begin
        get_tval(t_val, c_or_p);
        thresh_head(t_val);
      end;
      possible_head;
      print_english(dec1_inter, c_or_p, t_val, kind, size);
      print_english(dec2_inter, c_or_p, t_val, kind, size);
    end
  else
  begin
    ch := ' ';
t_val := 0.0;
c_or_p := 'c';
check_thresh(ch, c_or_p);
if ( (ch = 'y') or (ch = 'Y') ) then
  begin
    get_tval(t_val, c_or_p);
    thresh_head(t_val);
  end;
extract_rules(decl_sub, c_or_p, t_val);
extract_head;
certain_extract_head;
print_english(decl_sub, c_or_p, t_val, kind, size);
extract_rules(dec2_sub, c_or_p, t_val);
print_english(dec2_sub, c_or_p, t_val, kind, size);
t_val := 0.0;
c_or_p := 'p';
check_thresh(ch, c_or_p);
if ( (ch = 'y') or (ch = 'Y') ) then
  begin
    get_tval(t_val, c_or_p);
    thresh_head(t_val);
  end;
extract_rules(decl_inter, c_or_p, t_val);
possible_extract_head;
print_english(decl_inter, c_or_p, t_val, kind, size);
extract_rules(dec2_inter, c_or_p, t_val);
print_english(dec2_inter, c_or_p, t_val, kind, size);
end;

{ Procedure assign_value( var arr1 : info );
  begin
    arr1[1].condition_1.value_att1 := 0.3;
    arr1[1].condition_1.value_att2 := 0.8;
    arr1[1].condition_2.value_att1 := 0.2;
    arr1[1].decision.value_att1 := 0.3;
    arr1[1].decision.value_att2 := 0.6;
    arr1[2].condition_1.value_att1 := 0.4;
arrl[2].condition_1.value_att2 := 0.7;
    arrl[2].condition_2.value_att1 := 0.4;
arrl[2].condition_2.value_att2 := 0.7;
    arrl[2].decision.value_att1 := 0.8;
arrl[2].decision.value_att2 := 0.5;

arrl[3].condition_1.value_att1 := 0.7;
arrl[3].condition_1.value_att2 := 0.4;
    arrl[3].condition_2.value_att1 := 0.6;
arrl[3].condition_2.value_att2 := 0.7;
    arrl[3].decision.value_att1 := 0.5;
arrl[3].decision.value_att2 := 0.9;

arrl[4].condition_1.value_att1 := 0.8;
arrl[4].condition_1.value_att2 := 0.5;
    arrl[4].condition_2.value_att1 := 0.3;
arrl[4].condition_2.value_att2 := 0.8;
    arrl[4].decision.value_att1 := 0.7;
arrl[4].decision.value_att2 := 0.3;

arrl[5].condition_1.value_att1 := 0.2;
arrl[5].condition_1.value_att2 := 0.7;
    arrl[5].condition_2.value_att1 := 0.2;
arrl[5].condition_2.value_att2 := 0.5;
    arrl[5].decision.value_att1 := 0.4;
arrl[5].decision.value_att2 := 0.2;

arrl[6].condition_1.value_att1 := 0.9;
arrl[6].condition_1.value_att2 := 0.2;
    arrl[6].condition_2.value_att1 := 0.8;
arrl[6].condition_2.value_att2 := 0.2;
    arrl[6].decision.value_att1 := 0.7;
arrl[6].decision.value_att2 := 0.8;

arrl[7].condition_1.value_att1 := 0.3;
arrl[7].condition_1.value_att2 := 0.6;
    arrl[7].condition_2.value_att1 := 0.7;
arrl[7].condition_2.value_att2 := 0.1;
    arrl[7].decision.value_att1 := 0.4;
arrl[7].decision.value_att2 := 0.5;
end;
     { ASSIGN VALUES }

{*******************************************************************************
******************************************** PROCEDURE EXAMPLE
*******************************************************************************
****
**** This procedure assigns the conditional and decisional attributes ****
**** for the sample run.****}
procedure example(var con : cond_struct;
    var dec : dec_struct;
    var case_arr : info);

var
    i : integer;
begin { EXAMPLE }
    initialize(con,dec);
    con.consider := 'tumor';
    dec.consider := 'disease';
    con.firattr := 'size';
    con.secattr := 'color';
    con.firattr_1 := 'large';
    con.firattr_2 := 'small';
    con.secattr_1 := 'red';
    con.secattr_2 := 'blue';
    dec.firattr := 'Da';
    dec.secattr := 'Db';
    for i := 1 to 8 do
        begin
            case_arr[i].condition_1.att_1 := con.firattr_1;
            case_arr[i].condition_1.att_2 := con.firattr_2;
            case_arr[i].condition_2.att_1 := con.secattr_1;
            case_arr[i].condition_2.att_2 := con.secattr_2;
            case_arr[i].decision.att_1 := dec.firattr;
            case_arr[i].decision.att_2 := dec.secattr;
            end;
    assign_value( case_arr );
end; { EXAMPLE }

procedure menu (var sel : char);
begin { MAIN }
    sel := '4';
    REPEAT
        writeln( 'This procedure creates the main menu.' );
        writeln( ' ' );
        writeln( ' ' );
        writeln( ' ' );
        writeln( ' ' );
** WELCOME TO THE CULAS-WORM DECISION MAKER**

Here are the choices

1) Show a sample run of this program
2) Run the program using your data
3) Quit

Please enter your choice 1, 2, or 3:

```
procedure ask_sit(var choice : char;
                   var cont : integer);
begin
  choice := ' ';
  REPEAT
    writeln('Do you want to use the same attributes as previously used ');
    write('Please enter [y] or [n] ');
    readln(choice);
    UNTIL ( (choice = 'y')or(choice = 'Y') or (choice = 'n') or (choice = 'N') );
end;
```

************
**** This procedure asks the user if they would like to use the****
**** data for a different run.  
****

************
procedure ask_sit(var choice : char;
                   var cont : integer);
begin
  choice := ' ';
  REPEAT
    writeln('Do you want to use the same attributes as previously used ');
    write('Please enter [y] or [n] ');
    readln(choice);
    UNTIL ( (choice = 'y')or(choice = 'Y') or (choice = 'n') or (choice = 'N') );
end;
```
PROCEDURE ASK DATA KIND

This procedure asks the user what type of data they will be using - real or fuzzy.

procedure ask_data_kind(var ch : char);
begin
  ch := ' '; 
  REPEAT
    writeln;
    writeln;
    writeln ('What kind of data do you want to use ?');
    writeln;
    writeln ('Real kind : eg. ( 20 30 92 ) '); 
    writeln (' or ');
    writeln ('Fuzzy kind : (values between 0 and 1 : eg.(.1 .4 .7) )');
    writeln;
    write('Please enter [r] for real or [f] for fuzzy : ');
    readln(ch);
  UNTIL ( (ch = 'r') or (ch='R')or(ch='f')or(ch='F'));
end;

PROCEDURE READ FUZZY

This procedure reads in the fuzzy values.

procedure read_fuzzy(con : cond_struct;
                      dec : dec_struct;
                      var case_arr : info;
                      var n : Integer);

var
  i : integer;
  ch : char;
begin
  ch := 'y';
  i := 1;
  while ( (ch = 'y') or (ch = 'Y') ) do
    begin
      case_arr[i].condition_1.att1 := con.firattr_1;
    i := i + 1;
  end;
case_arr[i].condition_1.att2 := con.firattr_2;
case_arr[i].condition_2.att1 := con.secattr_1;
case_arr[i].condition_2.att2 := con.secattr_2;
case_arr[i].decision.att1 := dec.firattr;
case_arr[i].decision.att2 := dec.secattr;
write('Please enter a value for ',con.firattr_1, ' : ');
readln(case_arr[i].condition_1.value_att1);
write('Please enter a value for ',con.firattr_2, ' : ');
readln(case_arr[i].condition_1.value_att2);
write('Please enter a value for ',con.secattr_1, ' : ');
readln(case_arr[i].condition_2.value_att1);
write('Please enter a value for ',con.secattr_2, ' : ');
readln(case_arr[i].condition_2.value_att2);
write('Please enter a value for ',dec.firattr, ' : ');
readln(case_arr[i].decision.value_att1);
write('Please enter a value for ',dec.secattr, ' : ');
readln(case_arr[i].decision.value_att2);

REPEAT
writeln;
write('Please enter [y] to input more data or [s] to stop : ');
readln(ch);
UNTIL ( (ch = 's') or (ch = 'S') or (ch = 'y') or (ch = 'Y') )

end;

{ READ FUZZY }

{****************************************************************
********
****************************************************************}
procedure ask_value (var ask_val : char);
begin { ASK VALUE }
repeat
writeln;
writeln('Do you want to use the same values as previously used ');
write('Please enter [y] for yes; or [n] for no : ');
readln( ask_val);
until ( (ask_val = 'y') or (ask_val = 'Y') or (ask_val = 'n')
or
(ask_val = 'N') );  

( ASK VALUE )

(****************************************************************
 **************************** PROCEDURE ASK SEE
 ****************************)

(****
 **** This procedure asks the user if they want to see the data
 **** that is being used.
 ****)

(****************************************************************
 **************************** PROCEDURE PRINT DATA
 ****************************)

|****************************************************************|
|****************************************************************|

procedure ask_see( var ch : char); begin ( ASK SEE )
repeat writeln;
  writeln('Would you like to see the data being used : ');
  write('Please enter [y] or [n] : ');
  readln(ch);
  until ( ( ch = 'y') or (ch = 'Y') or (ch = 'n') or (ch = 'N')
  end; ( ASK SEE )

procedure print_dat(arr1 : info; con : cond_struct; dec : dec_struct; n : integer );
var
  i : integer;
begin ( PRINT DATA )
  writeln(' Data being used ');
  writeln;
  writeln;
  writeln(' The attributes under consideration are ',con.consider,
  ' and ',dec.consider );
  writeln;
writeln;
for i := 1 to n do
begin
  writeln(arr1[i].condition_1.att1:10, ' :2, arr1[i].condition_1.value_att1:2:1, 
  ' :10, arr1[i].condition_1.att2:10, ' :2, arr1[i].condition_1.value_att2:2:1);
  writeln(arr1[i].condition_2.att1:10, ' :10, arr1[i].condition_2.att2:10, ' :2, arr1[i].condition_2.value_att1:2:1);
  writeln(arr1[i].decision.value_att1:2:1, 
  ' :10, arr1[i].decision.att1:10, ' :2, arr1[i].decision.value_att2:2:1);
  writeln;
end;
end; { PRINT DATA }

BEGIN ( MAIN )
initialize(condition,decision);
count := 0;
m_ch := '4';
repeat
read_data := false;
menu(m_ch);
if ( (m_ch = '1') or (m_ch = '2') ) then
begin
if ( m_ch = '1' ) then
begin
  no_of_cases := 7;
  example(condition,decision,cases);
  ask_see(see_ch);
  if ( ( see_ch = 'y' ) or ( see_ch = 'Y' ) ) then
  begin
    no_of_cases := 7;
    example(condition,decision,cases);
    ask_see(see_ch);
  end;
end;
end;

else if (m_ch = '2') then
begin
  if (count = 0) then
  begin
    read_data := true;
    count := count + 1;
    initialize(condition,decision);
    read_situation(condition,decision);
  end
  else
  begin
    ask_sit(sit_ch,count);
    if ( (sit_ch = 'n') or (sit_ch = 'N') ) then
      begin
        read_data := true;
        initialize(condition,decision);
        read_situation(condition,decision)
      end
    else
    begin
      ask_value(val_ch);
      if ( (val_ch = 'n') or (val_ch = 'N') ) then
        read_data := true;
      end;
    end;
  if read_data then
  begin
    ask_data_kind(d_ch);
    if ( (d_ch = 'r') or (d_ch = 'R') ) then
      read_real(condition,decision,cases,no_of_cases)
    else
      read_fuzzy(condition,decision,cases,no_of_cases);
    ask_see(see_ch);
    if ( (see_ch = 'y') or (see_ch = 'Y') ) then
      print_dat(cases,condition,decision,no_of_cases);
  end;
end;

create_cond1_fuzzy_sets(cases,no_of_cases,cond1_att1_arr,cond1_att2_arr);
create_cond2_fuzzy_sets(cases,no_of_cases,cond2_att1_arr,cond2_att2_arr);
create_decision_fuzzy_sets(cases,no_of_cases,dec_att1_arr,dec_att2_arr);
  init(dec1_sub);
  init(dec2_sub);
  init(dec1_inter);
  init(dec2_inter);
  set_cond(condition,dec1_sub);
set_cond(condition, dec2_sub);
set_cond(condition, dec1_inter);
set_cond(condition, dec2_inter);
set_decl(decision, dec1_sub);
set_decl(decision, dec1_inter);
set_dec2(decision, dec2_sub);
set_dec2(decision, dec2_inter);

value_sub(no_of_cases, cond1_att1_arr, cond1_att2_arr, cond2_att1_arr,
          cond2_att2_arr, dec_att1_arr, dec1_sub);

value_sub(no_of_cases, cond1_att1_arr, cond1_att2_arr, cond2_att1_arr,
          cond2_att2_arr, dec_att2_arr, dec2_sub);

value_inter(no_of_cases, cond1_att1_arr, cond1_att2_arr, cond2_att1_arr,
            cond2_att2_arr, dec_att1_arr, dec1_inter);

value_inter(no_of_cases, cond1_att1_arr, cond1_att2_arr, cond2_att1_arr,
            cond2_att2_arr, dec_att2_arr, dec2_inter);

print_rules(dec1_sub, dec2_sub, dec1_inter, dec2_inter);
end;
until (m_ch = '3');
end.  
  { MAIN }