Polarimetric Signatures of a Canopy of Dielectric Cylinders Based on First and Second Order Vector Radiative Transfer Theory

L. Tsang and C. H. Chan
Electromagnetics and Remote Sensing Laboratory
Department of Electrical Engineering
University of Washington
Seattle, WA 98195, USA

J. A. Kong
Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science
Massachusetts Institute of Technology
Cambridge, MA 02139, USA

J. Joseph
General Electric Corporate Research and Development
Schenectady, NY 12301, USA

Abstract—Complete polarimetric signatures of a canopy of dielectric cylinders overlying a homogeneous half space are studied with the first and second order solutions of the vector radiative transfer theory. The vector radiative transfer equations contain a general nondiagonal extinction matrix and a phase matrix. The energy conservation issue is addressed by calculating the elements of the extinction matrix and the elements of the phase matrix in a manner that is consistent with energy conservation. Two methods are used. In the first method, the surface fields and the internal fields of the dielectric cylinder are calculated by using the fields of an infinite cylinder. The phase matrix is calculated and the extinction matrix is calculated by summing the absorption and scattering to ensure energy conservation. In the second method, the method of moments is used to calculate the elements of the extinction and phase matrices. The Mueller matrix based on the first order and second order multiple scattering solutions of the vector radiative transfer equation are calculated. Results from the two methods are compared. The vector radiative transfer equations, combined with the solution based on method of moments, obey both energy conservation and reciprocity. The polarimetric signatures, copolarized and depolarized return, degree of polarization, and phase differences are studied as a function of the orientation, sizes, and dielectric properties of the cylinders. It is shown that second order scattering is generally important for vegetation canopy at C band and can be important at L band for some cases.

I. INTRODUCTION

Recently, there has been growing interest in polarimetric microwave remote sensing of geophysical terrain [1-5]. Some of the prominent features in terrain signatures [6-8] are a pedestal in copolarized return and in depolarized return, e-
hibition of partial polarization with a degree of polarization less than unity on averaging the return signals, and a polarization phase difference between \(\nu\) and \(hh\) waves. The theoretical models of volume scattering that have been studied include the random medium model [9], Rayleigh spherical scatterers [10], simple scattering models [6], small spheroidal scatterers [11], dense medium model [11,12], finite cylinder and discs scattering models [13], and multiple scattering solution [11,14].

Theoretically, the polarimetric signatures of geophysical terrain can be represented by the Mueller matrix. A very common method of calculating the Mueller matrix is through the vector radiative transfer equation [2] governing the propagation and scattering of the four Stokes parameters in a medium containing a random distribution of scatterers. Solutions of the vector radiative transfer theory include the first order solution, the second order solution [11,15], and the full multiple scattering solution for small spherical and non-spherical scatterers [11,14]. It has been shown that the vector radiative transfer equation obeys energy conservation if the scattering amplitude functions used are that of the exact solution of Maxwell’s equations for that particular type of scatterers (e.g., Mie scattering by spheres) [2,11]. For the case when approximate expressions are used for the scattering amplitudes, it has been pointed out that care must be exercised in calculating the elements of the extinction matrix and the elements of the phase matrix in a manner that is consistent with energy conservation [11]. The problem of energy conservation is particularly important for multiple scattering problems when violation of energy conservation can lead to nonphysical results. (Note: imagine what will happen if albedo is larger than unity.) For the case of scattering by finite cylinders, a common model of approximation was based on the surface field or the internal field of an infinite cylinder to calculate the extinction matrix and the phase matrix. In those cases, however, the issue of energy conservation for scattering by a cylinder of finite length has not been addressed [13,16–18]. Conservation of energy in scattering by a single particle is the classical subject of the forward scattering theorem [19,20]. In a medium consisting of a random distribution of many particles with a certain orientation distribution, the situation is different as characteristic waves exist with characteristic polarizations as dictated by Foldy’s approximation and the extinction matrix of transfer theory [2,11]. Extinction is described by extinction rates of these characteristic waves. The energy conservation of the extinction matrix, emission vector, and phase matrix of the vector radiative transfer theory is shown to be obeyed if exact scattering amplitudes of the particle is used [2,11]. Reciprocity is also obeyed in vector radiative transfer theory if the exact scattering amplitudes are used [2,11]. On the other hand, the use of the infinite cylinder approximation [16–18] does not give reciprocal bistatic scattering amplitudes. Single scattering by a forest canopy overlying the ground makes use of bistatic scattering amplitudes of the particle in addition to monostatic scattering amplitudes as the wave can be scattered by the particle and then reflected by the ground before reaching the receiver. Thus using the infinite cylinder approximation in scattering by a vegetation canopy overlying the ground can give different results for \(HV\) and \(HV\) return.

**Polarimetric Signatures of a Canopy of Dielectric Cylinders**

In this paper, we study the complete polarimetric signatures of a canopy of dielectric cylinders overlying a homogeneous half space with the first and second order solutions of the vector radiative transfer theory. The energy conservation issue is addressed by calculating the elements of the extinction matrix and the elements of the phase matrix in a manner that is consistent with energy conservation. Two methods are used. In the first method, the surface fields and the internal fields of the dielectric cylinder are calculated by using the fields of an infinite cylinder [4]. We calculate the extinction matrix, however, by summing the absorption and scattering to ensure energy conservation. In the second method, the method of moments [21–22], which is a numerical solution of Maxwell’s equations, is used to calculate the elements of the extinction and phase matrices. Results of the computed Mueller matrices from the two methods are tabulated and compared. The CPU for the two methods and for first and second order solutions of the transfer theory are compared and discussed. The computation based on method of moments is not formidable compared with the infinite cylinder approach because much of the CPU in transfer theory is consumed on averaging over orientations and integration over directions in second order theory. The polarimetric signatures, copolarized and depolarized return, degree of polarization, and phase differences are studied as a function of the orientation, sizes, and dielectric properties of the cylinders using parameters of vegetation canopy overlying the ground [23–25]. Salient features of the numerical results are as follows. (1) The infinite cylinder approximation gives reasonable approximations for cylinders of small radius and deviate from MOM for larger radius. (2) The infinite cylinder approximation also violates reciprocity and can give significantly different results for \(HV\) and \(HV\) returns, particularly for problems of larger radius. (3) In scattering by vegetation canopy, second order scattering effects can become important for frequencies around \(C\)-band and higher. For some medium parameters, second order scattering can also be important at \(L\)-band.

The numerical computation in this paper is intensive. However, it can be used to provide training data for neural network which can be used for speedy inversion of parameters from remote sensing data [26]. Polarimetric sensing utilizing complete Mueller matrix is an important remote sensing tool. Recently, it has also been shown that the third and fourth Stokes parameters can be nonzero in passive remote sensing so that complete polarimetric passive remote sensing is also possible [27].

**II. FIRST AND SECOND ORDER SOLUTIONS OF THE VECTOR RADIATIVE TRANSFER EQUATION FOR A LAYER OF SPARSELY DISTRIBUTED NONSPHERICAL PARTICLES**

Consider a collection of sparsely distributed nonspherical particles with permittivity \(\varepsilon_2\) embedded in a background medium with permittivity \(\varepsilon\) (region 1) overlying a homogeneous half space of dielectric of permittivity \(\varepsilon_2\) (region 2). An incident wave is launched from region 0 in direction \((\tau - \theta_0, \phi_0)\). The permittivity of region 0 is the same as that of the background medium of region 1. The vector radiative
transfer equation in region 1 is of the following form, with \(0 \leq \theta \leq \pi, 0 \leq \phi \leq 2\pi\).
\[
\begin{align*}
\cos \theta \frac{d}{dz} T(\theta, \phi, z) &= -\overline{\kappa}_c(\theta, \phi) \cdot \overline{T}(\theta, \phi, z) \\
&+ \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi' \int_0^\pi d\theta' \sin \theta' \overline{F}(\theta, \phi; \theta', \phi') \cdot \overline{T}(\theta', \phi', z)
\end{align*}
\]
where \(\overline{T}(\theta, \phi, z)\) is a \(4 \times 1\) column vector denoting the modified Stokes parameters in direction \((\theta, \phi)\)
\[
\overline{T}(\theta, \phi, z) = \begin{bmatrix} I_v \\ I_h \\ U \\ V \end{bmatrix}
\]
(2)
\(\overline{\kappa}_c(\theta, \phi)\) is a \(4 \times 4\) extinction matrix given by
\[
\overline{\kappa}_c(\theta, \phi) = \frac{2\pi n_0}{\lambda}
\]
\[
\begin{bmatrix}
2\text{Im} < f_{uv} > & 0 & \text{Im} < f_{vh} > & -\text{Re} < f_{vh} > \\
0 & 2\text{Im} < f_{hf} > & \text{Im} < f_{hv} > & \text{Re} < f_{hv} > \\
2\text{Im} < f_{hv} > & 2\text{Im} < f_{vh} > & \text{Im} < f_{vv} + f_{hh} > & \text{Re} < f_{vv} - f_{hh} > \\
2\text{Re} < f_{hv} > & -2\text{Re} < f_{vh} > & \text{Re} < f_{hv} > & \text{Im} < f_{vv} + f_{hh} >
\end{bmatrix}
\]
(3)
where \(n_0\) is the number of particles per unit volume. In (3), all the \(f_{jm}, j, m = v, h\) are forward scattering amplitudes from \((\theta, \phi)\) of polarization \(m\) into the same direction \((\theta, \phi)\) of polarization \(j\). The phase matrix \(\overline{F}(\theta, \phi; \theta', \phi')\) is a \(4 \times 4\) matrix and is given by
\[
\overline{F}(\theta, \phi; \theta', \phi') = n_0
\]
\[
\begin{bmatrix}
< |f_{uv}|^2 > & < |f_{vh}|^2 > \\
< |f_{hv}|^2 > & < |f_{hh}|^2 > \\
2\text{Re} < f_{uv}f_{hv}^* > & -2\text{Re} < f_{vh}f_{hv}^* > \\
2\text{Im} < f_{uv}f_{hv}^* > & 2\text{Im} < f_{vh}f_{hv}^* > \\
\text{Re} < f_{uv}f_{vh}^* > & -\text{Im} < f_{uv}f_{vh}^* > \\
\text{Re} < f_{hv}f_{vh}^* > & -\text{Im} < f_{hv}f_{vh}^* > \\
\text{Re} < f_{uv}f_{hv}^* + f_{vh}f_{hv}^* > & -\text{Im} < f_{uv}f_{hv}^* - f_{vh}f_{hv}^* > \\
\text{Im} < f_{uv}f_{hv}^* + f_{vh}f_{hv}^* > & \text{Re} < f_{uv}f_{hv}^* - f_{vh}f_{hv}^* >
\end{bmatrix}
\]
(4)
In (4), all the \(f_{jm}, j, m = v, h\) are bistatic scattering amplitudes denoting scattering from a general direction \((\theta', \phi')\) of polarization \(m\) into direction \((\theta, \phi)\) of polarization \(j\). In (1), we can distinguish between the upward going Stokes vector in direction \((\theta, \phi)\) and the downward going Stokes vector in direction \((\pi - \theta, \phi)\) by considering the range \(0 \leq \theta \leq \pi/2\) and \(0 \leq \phi \leq 2\pi\). The boundary conditions for the vector radiative transfer equation assuming a smooth boundary at \(z = -d\) are as follows. At \(z = 0,\) and at \(z = d,\) the boundary conditions are respectively
\[
\overline{T}(\pi - \theta, \phi, z = 0) = \overline{T}_0 \overline{\kappa}(\cos \theta - \cos \theta_0) \overline{\delta}(\phi - \phi_0)
\]
(5)
\[
\overline{T}(\theta, \phi, z = -d) = \overline{T}(\pi - \theta, \phi, z = -d)
\]
(6)
for \(0 \leq \theta \leq \pi/2\). In (5) and (6), \(\overline{T}_0\) is the incident Stokes vector, \(\overline{\kappa}(\theta)\) is the \(4 \times 4\) reflectivity matrix for the interface separating regions 1 and 2 and is given by
\[
\overline{\kappa}(\theta) = \begin{bmatrix}
|R_v(\theta)|^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & |R_h(\theta)|^2 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \text{Re}(R_v(\theta)R_h(\theta)^*) & -\text{Im}(R_v(\theta)R_h(\theta)^*) \\
0 & 0 & \text{Im}(R_v(\theta)R_h(\theta)^*) & \text{Re}(R_v(\theta)R_h(\theta)^*)
\end{bmatrix}
\]
(7)
where \(R_v(\theta)\) and \(R_h(\theta)\) are respectively the Fresnel reflection coefficients for vertically and horizontally polarized waves. Given the vector radiative transfer equation (1) and the boundary conditions in (5) and (6), the Stokes vector can be calculated either numerically or iteratively. Once that is solved, the overall scattered Stokes vector in direction \((\theta_s, \phi_s)\) that is observed by the receiver is \(I_s(\theta_s, \phi_s, z = 0) = [I_v s, I_h s, U s, V s]\) and can be calculated. It is proportional to \(T_0\) with the proportionality represented by the \(4 \times 4\) averaged Mueller matrix \(\overline{M}(\theta_s, \phi_s; \pi - \theta_0, \phi_0)\) as follows
\[
\overline{T}_s(\theta_s, \phi_s) = \overline{M}(\theta_s, \phi_s; \pi - \theta_0, \phi_0) \cdot \overline{T}_0
\]
(8)
The Mueller matrix represents the overall polarimetric characteristics of the layer of random discrete scatterers including all multiple scattering effects and boundary reflections that are included in the vector radiative transfer theory. In this paper, the results based on the Mueller matrix will be illustrated for the backscattering direction with \(\theta_s = \theta_0\) and \(\phi_s = \pi + \phi_0\). Based on the Mueller matrix, we shall illustrate four polarimetric signatures: the phase difference, the depolarized return, and the degree of polarization. The phase difference between \(uv\) and \(hh\) waves, \(\phi_{uv},\) (actually the phase at which the probability density function of phase difference is maximum [28]) is
\[
\phi_{uv} = \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{M_{43} - M_{34}}{M_{33} + M_{44}}\right)
\]
(9)
where \(M_{ij}\) is the \(ij\) element of the Mueller matrix. For a completely polarized incident wave, with ellipticity angle \(\chi, -45^\circ \leq \chi \leq 45^\circ\) and orientation angle \(\Psi, 0^\circ \leq \Psi \leq 180^\circ\), the incident Stokes vector with unit total intensity is defined.
by

\[ T_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 - \cos 2\chi \cos 2\Psi \\ \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} - \cos 2\chi \sin 2\Psi \\ \sin 2\chi \end{bmatrix} \]

where the orientation angle \( \Psi \) is defined as the angle between the major axis of the ellipse and the direction of horizontal polarization. The normalized copolarized received power \( P_n \) in the backscattering direction corresponds to the case when the receiving antenna measures the same polarization as that of the transmitting antenna. The copolarized power \( P_n \) and the backscattering co-polarized coefficient \( \sigma \) are, respectively,

\[ P_n = \frac{I_{us}}{2} (1 - \cos 2\chi \cos 2\Psi) + \frac{I_{hs}}{2} (1 + \cos 2\chi \cos 2\Psi) \]

\[ + \frac{U_s}{2} \cos 2\chi \sin 2\Psi + \frac{V_s}{2} \sin 2\chi \]  

\[ \sigma = 4\pi \cos \theta_0 P_n \]  

(10) (11) (12)

The depolarized power \( P_d \) and the depolarized coefficient \( \sigma_d \) are respectively

\[ P_d = I_{us} + I_{hs} - P_n \]  

\[ \sigma_d = 4\pi \cos \theta_0 P_d \]  

(13) (14)

The degree of polarization for the scattered Stokes vector is

\[ m^s = \frac{\sqrt{Q_s^2 + U_s^2 + V_s^2}}{I_s} \]  

(15)

where \( Q_s = I_{us} - I_{hs} \) and \( I_s = I_{us} + I_{hs} \).

In the following we list the second order theory of the vector radiative transfer equation. To reduce the complexity, we adopt the viewpoint by regarding a volume scattering as an order of scattering and a boundary reflection as half an order of scattering [15]. In this manner, the second order theory will include only five terms: (a) a single upward scattering by the particles, (b) a downward single scattering by the particles that is followed by a reflection off the boundary at \( z = -d \), (c) a reflection by the boundary that is followed by a single upward scattering by the particles, (d) a reflection by the boundary at \( z = -d \), followed by a single downward scattering by the particles and further followed by a reflection off the boundary at \( z = -d \), which then proceeds upward to the receiver, and (e) double volume scattering [12]. The sum of (a), (b), (c), and (d) shall be labelled as first order theory and the sum of all five terms shall be labelled as second order theory.
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\[
\begin{align*}
\bar{\beta}(\theta, \phi) &= \begin{bmatrix} 
\beta_1(\theta, \phi) \\
\beta_2(\theta, \phi) \\
\beta_3(\theta, \phi) \\
\beta_4(\theta, \phi)
\end{bmatrix} = \frac{2\pi n_0}{k} 
\begin{bmatrix}
2 < \text{Im} f_{uv}(\theta, \phi) ; \theta, \phi > \\
2 < \text{Im} f_{hh}(\theta, \phi) ; \theta, \phi > \\
i ( < f'_{uv}(\theta, \phi) ; \theta, \phi > - f_{hh}(\theta, \phi) ; \theta, \phi ) > \\
i ( < f'_{hh}(\theta, \phi) ; \theta, \phi > - f_{uv}(\theta, \phi) ; \theta, \phi ) >
\end{bmatrix}
\end{align*}
\]

III. Calculation of Extinction Matrix Elements to Ensure Energy Conservation

The vector radiative transfer equations as given in equations (1), (3)-(4) obey energy conservation if exact numerical calculations are made in calculating the scattering amplitudes to be used in the extinction matrix and phase matrix. If approximate solutions are used to calculate the scattering amplitudes, e.g., Rayleigh scattering approximation and infinite cylinder approximation, steps need to be taken to ensure that the extinction matrix elements and the phase matrix elements are consistent with energy conservation. In this case, we calculate the real part of the forward scattering amplitudes by using the approximate expressions of the forward scattering amplitudes. However, the imaginary parts of the forward scattering amplitudes are calculated as follows. Consider a plane wave with angular frequency \( \omega \) incident in the direction \( \hat{\mathbf{s}} \) onto the particle. The particle has permittivity \( \varepsilon_p \) and is occupying volume \( V_p \). The incident field has the electric vector given by \( \mathbf{E}_0 + \mathbf{E}_h \). Then, following a procedure similar to the derivation of the optical theorem except using a linear combination of the two polarizations [2], we get

\[
\frac{4\pi}{k} \left\{ \text{Im} (f_{uv}(\hat{s}, \hat{s})) |E_u|^2 + \text{Im} (f_{hh}(\hat{s}, \hat{s})) |E_h|^2 
- \text{Im} \left[ (f'_{uv}(\hat{s}, \hat{s}) - f_{uv}(\hat{s}, \hat{s})) E_u E_u^* \right] \right\}
\]

\[
= \eta \int_{V_p} d^3r \omega \varepsilon_p^\prime \left| \mathbf{E}_p(r) \right|^2 + \int_{4\pi} d\hat{s}' \left| f_{uv}(\hat{s}', \hat{s}) E_u + f_{hh}(\hat{s}', \hat{s}) E_h \right|^2
+ \left| f_{hh}(\hat{s}', \hat{s}) E_u + f_{uv}(\hat{s}', \hat{s}) E_h \right|^2
\]

where \( \eta \) is the wave impedance of the background medium, \( \varepsilon_p^\prime \) is the imaginary part of the permittivity of the particle, and \( \mathbf{E}_p(r') \) is the field inside the particle.

Next, consider the incident wave to be of unit amplitude in the vertical polarization, i.e., \( E_u = 1 \) and \( E_h = 0 \). We then calculate the interior field of the particle \( \mathbf{E}_p^\prime(r') \) and then the imaginary part of the forward scattering amplitude \( \text{Im} f_{uv} \) is given by (20) below. In the second case, consider the incident wave to be of unit amplitude in the horizontal polarization, i.e., \( E_u = 0 \) and \( E_h = 1 \). We then calculate the interior field of the particle \( \mathbf{E}_p^\prime(r') \) and then the imaginary
IV. SCATTERING AMPLITUDES AND EXTINCTION MATRIX ELEMENTS OF A FINITE DIELECTRIC CYLINDER BASED ON INFINITE CYLINDER APPROXIMATION

In this section, we derive expressions for the scattering amplitudes for a finite cylinder of length \( L \), radius \( a \), permittivity \( \varepsilon_p \), and wavenumber \( k_p \). The surface field on the surface of the finite length cylinder is assumed to be the same as that of an infinite cylinder. Huygen's principle is then used to calculate the scattering amplitude functions. The radiation from the two end faces of the cylinder are ignored. There are two choices of the surface fields in applying Huygen's principle. One choice is to use the total surface field which is the sum of the incident field and the scattered field \([4,13]\). The second choice is to use only the scattered field for the surface field. The second choice has the advantage that the scattered field from the finite cylinder will vanish in the event that the permittivity \( \varepsilon_p \) approaches the value of the background permittivity \( \varepsilon \). In this section, we also derive expressions for the absorption of the cylinder to calculate the imaginary parts of the forward scattering amplitudes in a manner consistent with energy conservation. In the derivation of the absorption, we also assume that the interior field of the finite cylinder is the same as that of an infinite cylinder and integration is performed over the volume of the finite cylinder.

Consider an incident plane wave in the direction \( \hat{\mathbf{z}}_0 \) impinging on the cylinder with axis \( \hat{\mathbf{z}}_0 \) (Fig. 2). The subscript \( b \) denotes body frame with \( \hat{\mathbf{z}}_b, \hat{\mathbf{y}}_b, \) and \( \hat{\mathbf{z}}_b \) as axes. The axis of symmetry \( \hat{\mathbf{z}}_b \) is at an angle with respect to the principal frame of Fig. 1.

\[
\hat{z}_b = \sin \beta \cos \alpha \hat{x} + \sin \beta \sin \alpha \hat{y} + \cos \beta \hat{z} \quad (28)
\]

Note that the results in this section are calculated for one cylinder with fixed orientation as represented by \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \). The results will be averaged over the orientation in Section VI. Let the incident electric field be given by

\[
\mathbf{E}^i = \left( E_{bi} \hat{\mathbf{v}}_b + E_{hi} \hat{\mathbf{h}}_b \right) \quad (29)
\]

\[
\hat{z}_b = \sin \theta_b \hat{x}_b + \cos \theta_b \hat{z}_b \quad (30)
\]

\[
\hat{\mathbf{v}}_b = \cos \theta_b \hat{x}_b - \sin \theta_b \hat{z}_b \quad (31)
\]

\[
\hat{\mathbf{h}}_b = \hat{y}_b \quad (32)
\]

Since the cylinder is rotationally symmetric, we have chosen \( \hat{\mathbf{y}}_b \) to coincide with \( \hat{\mathbf{h}}_b \) without loss of generality. In (29), without loss of generality, we have let the incident direction to be in the \( \hat{z}_b \hat{\mathbf{z}}_b \) plane. We assume the surface fields of the finite cylinder to be the same as that of an infinite cylinder and the surface fields can be expressed as follows

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
  E_{\phi b} \\
  E_{z b} \\
  \eta H_{\phi b} \\
  \eta H_{z b}
\end{bmatrix} = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{im \phi_b + i k_{zb} z_b} \begin{bmatrix}
  E_{\phi m} \\
  E_{zm} \\
  \eta H_{\phi m} \\
  \eta H_{zm}
\end{bmatrix} \quad (33)
\]

where

\[
k_{zb} = k \cos \theta_b \quad (34)
\]
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The surface fields based on the total field approach and the scattered field can be expressed in terms of the coefficients $C_m$ and $\eta D_m$ which are governed by the following equations.

$$C_m J_m(w_p) \left( k_p^2 a^2 - k^2 a^2 \right) \frac{m k_{zi} a}{w_p^2} + \eta D_m i k a \left[ \frac{w^2 J_m'(w_p)}{w_p} + \frac{J_m(w_p)w H'_m(w)}{H_m(w)} \right]$$

$$= - \frac{2E_{hbi} w}{\pi H_m(w)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (35)

$$C_m i k a \left[ \frac{\epsilon_p w^2 J'_m(w_p)}{\epsilon} - \frac{J_m(w_p)w H'_m(w)}{H_m(w)} \right] + \eta D_m J_m(w_p) \left( k_p^2 a^2 - k^2 a^2 \right) \frac{m k_{zi} a}{w_p^2}$$

$$= - \frac{2E_{e1} w}{\pi H_m(w)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (36)

where

$$w = k a \sin \theta_{zi}$$  \hspace{1cm} (37)

$$w_p = \sqrt{k_p^2 - k^2 \cos^2 \theta_{zi}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (38)

$k_p$ is the wavenumber of the particle, $J_m$ and $H_m$ are, respectively, the Bessel function and Hankel function of the first kind. In the following we list the two approximations.

1. The scattered field approximation. The surface tangential fields are replaced by that of the scattered field. Thus we use the following substitution for (33)

$$\begin{bmatrix} E_{\phi m} \\ E_{z m} \\ \eta H_{\phi m} \\ \eta H_{z m} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} E^s_{\phi m} \\ E^s_{z m} \\ \eta H^s_{\phi m} \\ \eta H^s_{z m} \end{bmatrix}$$  \hspace{1cm} (39)

where

$$E^s_{\phi m} = i^m \left( \frac{m k_{zi} a}{w^2} A_m H_m(w) - \frac{i a}{w} \eta B_m H'_m(w) \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (40)

$$E^s_{z m} = i^m A_m H_m(w)$$  \hspace{1cm} (41)

$$\eta H^s_{\phi m} = i^m \left( \frac{m k_{zi} a}{w^2} \eta B_m H_m(w) + \frac{i a}{w} A_m H'_m(w) \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (42)

$$\eta H^s_{z m} = i^m \eta B_m H_m(w)$$  \hspace{1cm} (43)

$$A_m = \frac{C_m J_m(w_p)}{H_m(w)} + \frac{E_{hbi} \sin \theta_{zi} J_m(w)}{H_m(w)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (44)

$$\eta B_m = \frac{\eta D_m J_m(w_p)}{H_m(w)} - \frac{E_{hbi} \sin \theta_{zi} J_m(w)}{H_m(w)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (45)

2. The total field approximation. In this case the surface field harmonics are approximated by the total field on the surface of the infinite cylinder. The total field
is the sum of the scattered field and incident field. Thus, in this approximation, we use the following substitution for (33)
\[ E_{\phi m} = E_{\phi m}^a + i m E_{\phi m}^b \cos \theta_i \frac{mJ_m(w)}{w} - iE_{\phi m}^cJ_m(w) \] (46)
\[ E_{zm} = E_{zm}^a + i m E_{zm}^b \sin \theta_i J_m(w) \] (47)
\[ \eta H_{\phi m} = \eta H_{\phi m}^a + i m \eta H_{\phi m}^b \cos \theta_i \frac{mJ_m(w)}{w} - i\eta H_{\phi m}^cJ_m(w) \] (48)
\[ \eta H_{zm} = \eta H_{zm}^a + i m \eta H_{zm}^b \sin \theta_i J_m(w) \] (49)

Given the surface fields of either (1) scattered fields or (2) total fields, the scattering amplitudes in the body frame are
\[ f_{u\phi a}(\theta, \phi; \theta_i, \phi_i = 0) = \frac{\sin \left( k \frac{L}{2} \cos \theta \cos \phi \right)}{k \cos \theta \cos \phi} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} (-i)^m e^{i m \phi} F_{u\phi m} \] (50a)
\[ f_{h\phi a}(\theta, \phi; \theta_i, \phi_i = 0) = \frac{\sin \left( k \frac{L}{2} \cos \theta \cos \phi \right)}{k \cos \theta \cos \phi} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} (-i)^m e^{i m \phi} F_{h\phi m} \] (50b)

where
\[ F_{u\phi m} = -ik \sin \theta \eta H_{zm} J_m(w) \left( \frac{m}{w} \cos \theta \cos \phi \right) - kE_{zm} J'_m(w) \] (51a)
\[ F_{h\phi m} = -ik \sin \theta H_{zm} J_m(w) \left( \frac{m}{w} \cos \theta \cos \phi \right) - kE_{zm} J'_m(w) \] (51b)

and \( \alpha = \nu_b \cdot h_b \). In (50)–(51), when we set \( \alpha = \nu_b \), we let \( (E_{\phi mi} = 1, E_{\phi mi} = 0) \) in (35)–(36) and (47)–(49) so that the incident wave is vertically polarized in the body frame. When we set \( \alpha = h_b \) in (50)–(51), we let \( (E_{\phi mi} = 0, E_{\phi mi} = 1) \) in (35)–(36) and (47)–(49) so that the incident wave is horizontally polarized in the body frame. To transform between the body frame to the principal frame, we use the following 2 x 2 matrix multiplication
\[
\begin{bmatrix}
  f_{u\phi}(\theta, \phi; \theta_i, \phi_i) \\
  f_{h\phi}(\theta, \phi; \theta_i, \phi_i)
\end{bmatrix}
=
\begin{bmatrix}
  \bar{u}_{\phi_i} \cdot \bar{u}_b \\
  \bar{h}_{\phi_i} \cdot \bar{h}_b
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
  \bar{u}_b \\
  \bar{h}_b
\end{bmatrix}
\]
\[
\begin{bmatrix}
  f_{u\phi}(\theta, \phi; \theta_i, \phi_i) \\
  f_{h\phi}(\theta, \phi; \theta_i, \phi_i)
\end{bmatrix}
\times
\begin{bmatrix}
  f_{u\phi}(\theta, \phi; \theta_i, \phi_i = 0) \\
  f_{h\phi}(\theta, \phi; \theta_i, \phi_i = 0)
\end{bmatrix}
=
\begin{bmatrix}
  \bar{u}_{\phi_i} \cdot \bar{u}_i \\
  \bar{h}_{\phi_i} \cdot \bar{h}_i
\end{bmatrix}
\]
(53)

where
\[ \bar{z}_i = \sin \theta_i \cos \phi_i z + \sin \phi_i y + \cos \theta_i \bar{z} \] (54)
\[ \bar{\psi}_i = \cos \theta_i \cos \phi_i \bar{z} + \cos \phi_i \sin \phi_i \bar{y} - \sin \theta_i \bar{z} \] (55)
\[ \bar{h}_i = -\sin \phi_i \bar{z} + \cos \phi_i \bar{y} \] (56)
\[ \bar{z}_i = \sin \theta_i \cos \phi_i \bar{z} + \sin \theta_i \sin \phi_i \bar{y} + \cos \theta_i \bar{z} \] (57)
\[ \bar{v}_i = \cos \theta_i \cos \phi_i \bar{z} + \cos \theta_i \sin \phi_i \bar{y} - \sin \theta_i \bar{z} \] (58)
\[ \bar{h}_i = -\sin \phi_i \bar{z} + \cos \phi_i \bar{y} \] (59)
\[ \bar{v}_b = \cos \theta_b \cos \phi_b \bar{z}_b + \cos \theta_b \sin \phi_b \bar{y}_b - \sin \theta_b \bar{z}_b \] (60)
\[ \bar{h}_b = -\sin \phi_b \bar{z}_b + \cos \phi_b \bar{y}_b \] (61)

\[ \bar{y}_b = \bar{z}_i \times \bar{z}_i \] (62)

Note that the angle \( \theta_i \) can be related to \( \theta_1 \) and \( \phi_1 \) by using (28), (30), (32), (54), and (62). It depends on the orientation of the body axis \( \bar{z}_b \) as represented by the rotation angles \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) of (28). Similarly, \( \theta_b \) and \( \phi_b \) can be related to \( \theta_1 \) and \( \phi_1 \) by using (28), (57), and (62).

To find the absorption cross section so as to apply (20), (21), and (27) to find the imaginary parts of the forward scattering amplitudes, we first calculate the imaginary parts of the forward scattering amplitudes and the absorption cross sections in the body frame. The absorption cross sections are calculated by assuming that the internal fields of the cylinder are the same as that of the infinite cylinder.

\[ \eta \int_{V_p} d^2r \omega |E_{\phi p}^b|^2 \] (63)

\[ = 2 \pi k L a^2 \left\{ \left[ \frac{1}{2} \frac{C_m^2}{|w|^2} + \frac{\Delta D_m^2}{|w|^2} \right] \right\} \left[ |w_p|^4 - 2Re \left[ k_z \frac{C_m^2 \Delta D_m^2}{|w|^4} \right] \right] \]

\[ + \frac{C_m^2}{|w_p|^2} \left[ |w_p|^4 - 2Re \left[ k_z \frac{C_m^2 \Delta D_m^2}{|w|^4} \right] \right] \]

with \( \gamma_b = \nu_b \cdot h_b \). For \( \gamma_b = \nu_b \), we set \( (E_{\phi mi} = 1, E_{\phi mi} = 0) \) to calculate the \( C_m \) in (35) and (36). For \( \gamma_b = h_b \), we set \( (E_{\phi mi} = 0, E_{\phi mi} = 1) \) to calculate the \( \eta D_m \) in (35) and (36). In the body frame,

\[ \text{Im} f_{u\phi}(\theta_i, \phi_i = 0) = \text{Im} f_{h\phi}(\theta_i, \phi_i = 0) = 0 \] (65)

To find the forward scattering amplitudes in the principal frame, we use (53) and...
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Step 1: Calculation of Impedance Matrix and Admittance Matrix

Given the length, radius, and complex permittivity of the cylinder, the impedance matrix and the admittance matrix of the cylinder are calculated by solving the surface integral equations only once using the Method of Moment Body of Revolution Code [21,22]. The admittance matrix is then stored.

Step 2: Calculation of Bistatic Scattering Amplitudes for Each Harmonic in the Body Frame

Consider an incident field given in the body frame by

$$ \vec{E} = \left( \vec{E}_{\text{inc}}, \vec{E}_{\text{abs}}, \vec{E}_{\text{h}} \right) $$

$$ s_i = \sin \theta \hat{z} + \cos \theta \hat{z} $$

$$ \vec{v}_i = \cos \theta \hat{z} - \sin \theta \hat{z} $$

$$ \vec{h}_i = \vec{y}_b $$

Because of rotational symmetry, we have taken $\phi = 0$ without loss of generality. Given the admittance matrix stored in step 1, a product can be taken between the admittance matrix and the incident field to give the surface fields at each discretized point on the surface for each harmonic. These include $E_{\text{inc}}^\phi(z_b), H_{\phi}^\phi(z_b), E_{\text{inc}}^2(z_b), \text{and } H_{\text{inc}}^2(z_b)$ on the curved side, $E_{\text{down}}^\phi(p_b), H_{\text{down}}^\phi(p_b), E_{\text{down}}^2(p_b), \text{and } H_{\text{down}}^2(p_b)$ on the upper side, and $E_{\text{up}}^\phi(p_b), H_{\text{up}}^\phi(p_b), E_{\text{up}}^2(p_b), \text{and } H_{\text{up}}^2(p_b)$ on the lower side, where $z_b$ denotes the coordinate on the curved side and $p_b$ denotes the cylindrical radial coordinate on the upper side and the lower side. The bistatic scattering amplitudes in the body frame are

$$ f_{\text{ysm}}(\theta_b, \phi_b; \theta, \phi) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{im\phi_b} f_{\text{ysm}}(\theta_b, \theta) $$

$$ f_{\text{yhs}}(\theta_b, \phi_b; \theta, \phi) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{im\phi_b} f_{\text{yhs}}(\theta_b, \theta) $$

$$ f_{\text{hs}}(\theta_b, \phi_b; \theta, \phi) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{im\phi_b} f_{\text{hs}}(\theta_b, \theta) $$

$$ f_{\text{hhs}}(\theta_b, \phi_b; \theta, \phi) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{im\phi_b} f_{\text{hhs}}(\theta_b, \theta) $$

The bistatic scattering amplitudes for each harmonic, $f_{\text{ysm}}(\theta_b, \phi_b), f_{\text{yhs}}(\theta_b, \phi_b), f_{\text{hs}}(\theta_b, \phi_b), \text{and } f_{\text{hhs}}(\theta_b, \phi_b)$ can be expressed in terms of the surface fields as follows

$$ f_{\text{ysm}}(\theta_b, \phi_b) = (-i)^m a \left\{ -ik \left( \sin \theta_b \eta H_{\phi m}(w_s) \right) + \frac{m}{w_s \cos \theta_b \eta H_{\phi m}(w_s)} \right\} - k E_{\phi m}(w_s) $$
the surface fields from the admittance matrix. The bistatic scattering amplitudes for each harmonic \( J_{uv}^{\delta \gamma} (\theta_{\delta}, \phi_{\delta}) \), \( J_{uv}^{\beta \gamma} (\theta_{\beta}, \phi_{\beta}) \), and \( J_{uv}^{\delta \gamma} (\delta, \phi_{\delta}) \) can be stored for a two-dimensional array of values of \( \theta_{\delta} \) and \( \phi_{\delta} \). The bistatic scattering amplitudes for each harmonic at arbitrary values of \( \theta_{\delta} \) and \( \phi_{\delta} \) can then be calculated by interpolation.

Step 3: Calculation of Bistatic Scattering Amplitude in the Principal Frame

For an incident plane wave in the direction \( \hat{z} \), as given by (54) impinging upon the cylinder with body axis

\[
\varphi = \sin \beta \cos \alpha \hat{x} + \sin \beta \sin \alpha \hat{y} + \cos \beta \hat{z}
\]

the transformation of bistatic scattering amplitudes between the principal frame and the body frame follows that of (53)–(62). Calculation of body frame scattering amplitudes are done by using (74)–(77) and interpolation.

We also carry out energy conservation tests. For the case of real permittivity \( \varepsilon_p \) without absorption loss, the optical theorem can be verified by checking the equality of extinction and scattering cross sections. Thus for the case of real \( \varepsilon_p \), the following relations from (20) to (21) have to be obeyed to satisfy energy conservation.

\[
\frac{4\pi}{k} \text{Im} J_{\delta \gamma} (\theta_{\delta}, \phi_{\delta} = 0; \theta_{\delta}, \phi_{\delta} = 0) = 2\pi \int_0^\infty d\theta_{\delta} \sin \theta_{\delta} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \left| J_{uv}^{\delta \gamma} (\theta_{\delta}, \phi_{\delta}) \right|^2 + \left| J_{uv}^{\delta \gamma} (\theta_{\delta}, \phi_{\delta}) \right|^2
\]

for \( \delta = u, h \). In our calculations, we have checked energy conservation for the method of moment code by using (85).

VI. NUMERICAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

In this section, we illustrate the numerical results of radar polarimetry of a layer of dielectric cylinders overlying a homogeneous half space using parameters of vegetation and soil [23–25]. The special case of statistical azimuthal symmetry will be examined. Thus for a function that depends on the orientation angles \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \), the averaging of the function \( g(\alpha, \beta) \) is taken as follows

\[
< g(\alpha, \beta) > = \frac{1}{\cos \beta_1 - \cos \beta_2} \int_{\beta_1}^{\beta_2} d\beta \sin \beta \int_0^{2\pi} d\alpha g(\alpha, \beta)
\]

The probability density function is uniform for solid angles with \( \alpha \) between 0 and \( 2\pi \), and \( \beta \) between \( \beta_1 \) and \( \beta_2 \). The sin \( \beta \) factor in (86) accounts for the differential solid angle. The results of copolarized return, depolarized return, degree of polarization, and the phase differences [28] are illustrated for first order and second order solution. The first order solution consists of the sum of the first four terms in (16) (i.e., a, b, c, and d). The second order solution refers to the sum of all five terms in (16) (a, b, c, d, and e). In all the figures we only show the results of MOM combined with the vector radiative transfer theory. The corresponding Mueller matrices are tabulated. Some of the Mueller matrices based on the infinite
cylinder approximation are also listed in the tables for comparison.

In Fig. 3, we plot the copolarized return, depolarized return, and degree of polarization for a medium of cylinders of length 15 cm and radius 2.5 cm at $L$ band based on first order theory and MOM. The Mueller matrices of MOM, the infinite cylinder approach with scattered field and that with total field, are all listed in Table I for comparison. The absorption coefficients, scattering coefficients, and extinction coefficients for $V$ and $H$ polarizations are all listed so that the optical thicknesses can be calculated readily to see whether higher order scattering effects are important. We note from the tabulated Mueller matrices that there is some difference between the scattered field approximation and the total field approximation. However, there are large differences with the MOM results. This is because for this case, the radius of the cylinder is not small and significant errors are in the infinite cylinder approximation because the scattering-induced radiation from the ends of the cylinders are neglected. Also results based on the infinite cylinder approach do not obey reciprocity. That is, $VH$ is not equal to $HV$. Some of the terms in the first order solution include scattering by the cylinder as well as reflection by the boundary. For example, the term that corresponds to first scattering by the cylinder and then reflection by the boundary makes use of the bistatic scattering amplitude of the cylinder which is not reciprocal in the infinite cylinder approximation. On the other hand, the MOM solution is reciprocal. The cylinders are uniformly oriented for $\beta$ between 0° and 45°. As indicated in Fig. 3a, the $HH$ return is larger than the $VV$ return because of the randomness of orientation and the large radius of the cylinder. The results in Fig. 3 also indicate large variation of scattering with polarization.

| 0.549E-02 | 0.188E-02 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 0.167E-02 | 0.593E-02 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 0.000 | 0.000 | -0.481E-03 | 0.798E-03 |
| 0.000 | 0.000 | -0.829E-03 | 0.354E-03 |

(1) Infinite cylinder scattered field approximation

(The computed values of absorption and scattering rates based on infinite cylinder scattered field approximations are $\kappa_{uv} = 0.138 \text{m}^{-1}$, $\kappa_{ev} = 0.201 \text{m}^{-1}$, $\kappa_{uh} = 0.0872 \text{m}^{-1}$, $\kappa_{eh} = 0.0926 \text{m}^{-1}$).

| 0.552E-02 | 0.189E-02 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 0.169E-02 | 0.604E-02 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 0.000 | 0.000 | -0.575E-03 | 0.998E-03 |
| 0.000 | 0.000 | -0.951E-03 | 0.227E-03 |

(2) Infinite Cylinder total field approximation

(The computed values of extinction rates are $\kappa_{uv} = 0.4037 \text{m}^{-1}$, $\kappa_{eh} = 0.2324 \text{m}^{-1}$).

Table I. Mueller matrices of Fig. 3.

Figure 3. Polarimetric signatures based on first order theory and MOM code as a function of ellipticity angle $\chi$ and orientation angle $\Psi$ of a layer of dielectric cylinders with prescribed orientation distribution (a) copolarized return, (b) depolarized return, and (c) degree of polarization. The parameters are: frequency = 1.225 GHz, $\varepsilon_0 = (0.5+i2)\varepsilon_0$, $a = 2.5$ cm, $L = 15$ cm, fractional volume=0.006, $d = 0.5$ cm, $\varepsilon_2 = (15 + i2)\varepsilon_0$, and $\theta_0 = \theta_1 = 60°$. Orientation distribution is governed by $\beta_1 = 0°$ and $\beta_2 = 45°$. The values of the Mueller matrices based on MOM and infinite cylinder approximations are listed in Table I.
In Fig. 4, we show the case for cylinders with a smaller radius of \( a = 1 \) cm. The corresponding Mueller matrices for the three approaches are shown in Table II. Because of the smaller radius compared with wavelength, the results of the infinite cylinder approximation are in good agreement with MOM. The copolarized return indicate that \( VV \) is larger than \( HH \) for this case of slender cylinders. The copolarized return and the depolarized return also show that the variations of scattering with polarization are less rapid than that of Fig. 3.

In Figs. 5 and 6, we show respectively the results of first order theory and second order theory using the same parameters of Fig. 3 but with a larger layer thickness of \( d = 2.5 \) meters. The Mueller matrices are listed in Table III. The optical thicknesses are 1.01 and 0.58 respectively for vertical and horizontal polarizations. There is a significant amount of multiple scattering in this case as seen by the differences in results between the two figures. The Mueller matrices are listed in Table III. Inclusion of second order scattering significantly increases the contrast between the \( VV \) and \( HH \) return. It also significantly increases the depolarized return. The results also indicate that multiple scattering can be important at \( L \) band for some cases of medium parameters.

\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
0.740E-02 & 0.126E-02 & 0.000 & 0.000 \\
0.128E-02 & 0.411E-02 & 0.000 & 0.000 \\
0.000 & 0.000 & -0.181E-02 & 0.589E-03 \\
0.000 & 0.000 & -0.591E-03 & -0.104E-02 \\
\end{array}
\]

(1) Infinite cylinder scattered field approximation
(The computed values of absorption and scattering rates based on infinite cylinder scattered field approximations are \( \kappa_{uv} = 0.245 \text{ m}^{-1}, \kappa_{sh} = 0.118 \text{ m}^{-1}, \kappa_{sh} = 0.0764 \text{ m}^{-1}, \kappa_{sh} = 0.0318 \text{ m}^{-1} \).

\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
0.741E-02 & 0.128E-02 & 0.000 & 0.000 \\
0.127E-02 & 0.411E-02 & 0.000 & 0.000 \\
0.000 & 0.000 & -0.183E-02 & 0.586E-03 \\
0.000 & 0.000 & -0.572E-03 & -0.107E-02 \\
\end{array}
\]

(2) Infinite Cylinder total field approximation
(The computed values of extinction rates are \( \kappa_{uv} = 0.2955 \text{ m}^{-1}, \kappa_{sh} = 0.0953 \text{ m}^{-1} \)).

\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
0.703E-02 & 0.987E-03 & 0.000 & 0.000 \\
0.987E-03 & 0.446E-02 & 0.000 & 0.000 \\
0.000 & 0.000 & -0.204E-02 & 0.485E-03 \\
0.000 & 0.000 & -0.485E-03 & -0.134E-02 \\
\end{array}
\]

(3) Method of Moments solution
(The computed values of extinction rates are \( \kappa_{uv} = 0.2955 \text{ m}^{-1}, \kappa_{sh} = 0.0953 \text{ m}^{-1} \)).

Table II. Mueller matrices of Fig. 4

Figure 4. Polarimetric signatures based on first order theory and MOM code as a function of ellipticity angle \( \chi \) and orientation angle \( \Psi \) of a layer of dielectric cylinders with prescribed orientation distribution (a) copolarized return, (b) depolarized return, and (c) degree of polarization. The parameters are: frequency = 1.225 GHz, \( \epsilon_s = (6.5 + i2)\epsilon_0 \), \( a = 1 \) cm, \( L = 15 \) cm, fractional volume=0.006, \( d = 0.5 \) meters, \( \epsilon_2 = (15 + i2)\epsilon_0 \), and \( \theta_0 = \theta_s = 60^\circ \). Orientation distribution is governed by \( \beta_1 = 0^\circ \) and \( \beta_2 = 45^\circ \). The values of the Mueller matrices based on MOM and infinite cylinder approximations are listed in Table II.
Figure 5. Polarimetric signatures based on first order theory and MOM code as a function of ellipticity angle $\chi$ and orientation angle $\Psi$ of a layer of dielectric cylinders with prescribed orientation distribution (a) copolarized return, (b) depolarized return, and (c) degree of polarization. The parameters are: frequency = 1.225 GHz, $\varepsilon_\infty = (6.5 + i2)\varepsilon_0$, $a = 2.5$ cm, $L = 15$ cm, fractional volume $= 0.006$, $d = 2.5$ meters, $\varepsilon_2 = (15 + i2)\varepsilon_0$, and $\theta_0 = \theta_2 = 60^\circ$. Orientation distribution is governed by $\beta_1 = 0^\circ$ and $\beta_2 = 45^\circ$. The values of the Mueller matrices based on MOM are listed in Table III.

Figure 6. Polarimetric signatures based on second order theory and MOM code as a function of ellipticity angle $\chi$ and orientation angle $\Psi$ of a layer of dielectric cylinders with prescribed orientation distribution (a) copolarized return, (b) depolarized return, and (c) degree of polarization. The parameters are: frequency = 1.225 GHz, $\varepsilon_\infty = (6.5 + i2)\varepsilon_0$, $a = 2.5$ cm, $L = 15$ cm, fractional volume $= 0.006$, $d = 2.5$ meters, $\varepsilon_2 = (15 + i2)\varepsilon_0$, and $\theta_0 = \theta_2 = 60^\circ$. Orientation distribution is governed by $\beta_1 = 0^\circ$ and $\beta_2 = 45^\circ$. The values of the Mueller matrices based on MOM are listed in Table III.
0.149E-01  0.263E-02  0.000  0.000
0.263E-02  0.122E-01  0.000  0.000
0.000      0.000      -788E-02  0.537E-02
0.000      0.000      -537E-02  -335E-02

Mueller matrices of Fig. 5 (first order solution)

0.219E-01  0.421E-02  0.000  0.000
0.422E-02  0.143E-01  0.000  0.000
0.000      0.000      -101E-01  0.735E-02
0.000      0.000      -737E-02  -367E-02

Mueller matrix of Fig. 6 (second order solution)

Table III. (The computed values of extinction rates are $\kappa_{ev} = 0.4037 \text{ m}^{-1}$

In Figs. 7 and 8, the results at C band for the first order solution and second order solution are shown respectively. The Mueller matrices are listed in Table IV. The second order solution show a stronger contrast between VV and HH returns. It also shows larger depolarization return than the first order solution. There is strong depolarization even for linearly polarized waves. The results indicate that multiple scattering effects are generally important at C band.

0.295E-01  0.156E-02  0.000  0.000
0.156E-02  0.288E-01  0.000  0.000
0.000      0.000      -166E-01  0.122E-01
0.000      0.000      -122E-01  -141E-01

Mueller matrices of Fig. 7 (first order solution)

0.436E-01  0.316E-02  0.000  0.000
0.316E-02  0.327E-01  0.000  0.000
0.000      0.000      -193E-01  0.164E-01
0.000      0.000      -164E-01  -146E-01

Mueller matrix of Fig. 8 (Second order solution)

Table IV. (The computed values of extinction rates are $\kappa_{ev} = 0.2353 \text{ m}^{-1}$

In Fig. 9, we plot the phase difference (i.e., phase at which the probability density function is maximum [28]) as a function of orientation with $\beta_1 = 0$ and $\beta_2$ varying between 0 degrees and 90 degrees using the parameters of C band of Figs. 7 and 8. The case of $\beta_2$ equal to zero corresponds to vertically aligned cylinders while $\beta_2$ equal to 90 degrees corresponds to completely random orientations. As can be seen from the figure, the case of a completely random orientation gives zero phase difference. We also note that the first order theory underestimates the phase difference. The phase difference is positive for cylinders while previous results indicate the phase difference is negative for oblate spheroids [11].

Figure 7. Polarimetric signatures based on first order theory and MOM code as a function of ellipticity angle $\chi$ and orientation angle $\psi$ of a layer of dielectric cylinders with prescribed orientation distribution (a) copolarized return, (b) depolarized return, and (c) degree of polarization. The parameters are: frequency = 5.64 GHz, $\epsilon_2 = (3 + 0.06)\epsilon_0$, $a = 0.35 \text{ cm}$, $L = 5 \text{ cm}$, fractional volume = 0.006, $d = 2.5 \text{ meters}$, $\epsilon_2 = (4 + i)\epsilon_0$, and $\theta_0 = \theta_s = 60^\circ$. Orientation distribution is governed by $\beta_1 = 0^\circ$ and $\beta_2 = 45^\circ$. The values of the Mueller matrices based on MOM are listed in Table IV.
Figure 8. Polarimetric signatures based on second order theory and MOM code as a function of ellipticity angle $\chi$ and orientation angle $\psi$ of a layer of dielectric cylinders with prescribed orientation distribution (a) copolarized return, (b) depolarized return, and (c) degree of polarization. The parameters are: frequency = 5.64 GHz, $\epsilon_s = (3 + i0.06)\epsilon_0$, $\alpha = 0.35$ cm, $L = 5$ cm, fractional volume=0.006, $d = 2.5$ meters, $\epsilon_2 = (4 + i)\epsilon_0$, $\theta_0 = \theta_s = 60^\circ$. Orientation distribution is governed by $\beta_1 = 0^\circ$ and $\beta_2 = 45^\circ$. The values of the Mueller matrices based on MOM are listed in Table IV.

Figure 9. Phase differences (9) based on first order theory and second order theory are compared as a function of orientation distribution. Orientation distribution is governed by $\beta_1 = 0^\circ$ and $\beta_2$ varying between 0 degrees and 90 degrees. Phase matrices and extinction matrices are based on MOM code. The parameters are: frequency = 5.64 GHz, $\epsilon_s = (3 + i0.06)\epsilon_0$, $\alpha = 0.35$ cm, $L = 5$ cm, fractional volume=0.006, $d = 2.5$ meters, $\epsilon_2 = (4 + i)\epsilon_0$, $\theta_0 = \theta_s = 60^\circ$.

In Fig. 10 we make a comparison of total surface fields for $m = 0$ harmonic, computed based on infinite cylinder approximation (IC) and MOM solution using the parameters of the dielectric cylinder of Fig. 3. The incident wave is of unit amplitude in a direction perpendicular to the axis of the cylinder with polarization parallel to the axis of the cylinder. Equivalent electric surface currents $\eta J_t$ and equivalent magnetic surface current $M_\phi$ are shown. The $t$ coordinate and the $t$ direction is as indicated in the figure. As shown in Fig. 10, the infinite cylinder approximation has a magnitude of equivalent surface currents uniform along the length of the cylinder while the MOM solution has currents tapering off towards the two endfaces.

In Table V, we compare the CPU time of the various methods that are used in computing the results of Fig. 3. We note that the CPU required for MOM for one cylinder far exceeds that of infinite cylinder approximation. In vector radiative transfer first and second order solutions, however, most of the CPU goes to the computation of averaging over orientations and summing over scattered directions from one cylinder to another. Thus the CPU of MOM becomes a small fraction of the total CPU. As indicated in the table, the total required CPU for the first order solution differs only by a factor of 4 between that of MOM and infinite cylinder approximation.
Figure 10. Comparison of total surface fields for $m = 0$ harmonic computed based on infinite cylinder approximation (IC) and MOM solution using parameters of dielectric cylinder of Fig. 3 with frequency $= 1.225$ GHz, $\varepsilon_r = (6.5 + i 2)\varepsilon_0$, $a = 2.5$ cm, $L = 15$ cm. The incident wave is of unit amplitude in a direction perpendicular to the axis of the cylinder with polarization parallel to the axis of the cylinder. Equivalent electric surface currents $\eta J_t$ and equivalent magnetic surface current $M_\phi$ are shown. The $t$ coordinate and the $t$ direction is as indicated in the figure. The $t$ coordinate starts from center of bottom face, radially outward to the edge, along the curved side and then ends at the center of the top face with range $2.5$ cm + $15$ cm + $2.5$ cm $= 20$ cm.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Single Particle Scattering</th>
<th>Vector Radiative Transfer Solution</th>
<th>Total CPU Required</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>first order vector radiative transfer and MOM</td>
<td>89 sec</td>
<td>391 sec</td>
<td>480 sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>second order vector radiative transfer and MOM</td>
<td>89 sec</td>
<td>5932 sec</td>
<td>6021 sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>first order vector radiative transfer and infinite cylinder approximation</td>
<td>122 sec</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table V. Comparison of CPU of various methods on DEC Station 3100 for computer results of Fig. 3.
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