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Abstract
Scientific data preparation is the process of extracting usable scientific data from raw instrument data. This task involves noise detection (and subsequent noise classification and flagging or removal), extracting data from compressed forms, and construction of derivative or aggregate data (e.g. spectral densities or running averages).

A software system called PIPE provides intelligent assistance to users developing scientific data preparation plans using a programming language called Master Plumber. PIPE provides this assistance capability by using a process description to create a dependency model of the scientific data preparation plan. This dependency model can then be used to verify syntactic and semantic constraints on processing steps to perform limited plan validation. PIPE also provides capabilities for using this model to assist in debugging faulty data preparation plans. In this case, the process model is used to focus the developer's attention upon those processing steps and data elements that were used in computing the faulty output values. Finally, the dependency model of a plan can be used to perform plan optimization and runtime estimation. These capabilities allow scientists to spend less time developing data preparation procedures and more time on scientific analysis tasks.

Because the scientific data processing modules (called fittings) evolve to match scientists' needs, issues regarding maintainability are of prime importance in PIPE. This paper describes the PIPE system and describes how issues in maintainability affected the knowledge representation used in PIPE to capture knowledge about the behavior of fittings.

Introduction
Scientific data preparation is defined as the application of multiple transformations to collected data sets in order to produce data in an easily usable form. The questions a scientist asks dictate which data are to be collected as well as which transformations are to be applied. The need for simplified scientific data preparation has increased due to the volume of data now collected and the diverse uses for any specific type of data. Automated scientific data processing systems can be used to simplify this process.

While general scientific data processing systems have existed for some time, the complexity of data types and transformations required in specific domains renders these systems of limited utility. As a result, many scientific teams develop their own software systems to accomplish the data preparation required in their specific domain. These systems suffer because they become too specific, and the effort spent developing such systems are only of value within the context of a particular domain and task. Because scientists desire to reuse their work, hybrid systems are appearing which provide useful analysis tools and definition of domain-specific data types and transformations. Plans are developed in these systems which specify which of the transformations to apply to a collection of data sets. By the nature of the processing steps required in many domains, these plans can become quite complex. We are now at a point where the complexity of these tools requires significant expert knowledge to use.

Master Plumber [King & Walker 1991] is a software tool developed by the UCLA Institute of Geophysics and Planetary Physics to create programs to prepare scientific data. While its primary area of application has been time-series magnetometer data, the tool is applicable to the general task of scientific data preparation.

Master Plumber is a dataflow system. Thus, in Master Plumber, data elements are represented by columns, which are streams of data being processed as they move through the system. Data processing steps are called fittings, and a
plan to process a particular form of a dataset into another
form is called a blueprint.

Thus, as shown in Figure 1, raw data might be read in
using an intro_flatfile fitting, a running average computed
using a runstat fitting, and the results written into an output
file.

1. intro_flatfile infief=foo
columns=bx
2. runstat length=1287 shift=l
columns=bx
3. write_flatfile outfile=bar
   columns=bx, rabx overwrite=YES

Figure 1: A Simple Blueprint

A major difficulty in constructing blueprints is tracking the
many fitting and column interactions. While a typical
blueprint might use 25 columns and 20 fittings, the more
complex blueprints use hundreds of columns and 30 or
more fittings. Because of the number of possible
interactions, constructing and debugging scientific data
preparation blueprints is a time-consuming task requiring
expert knowledge.

Because of the complexity of the data preparation task,
users sometimes make errors in blueprint construction.
One type of construction error occurs when a user forgets
to set up the data needed for a particular step. Unfortunately,
this type of error can go unnoticed until far into the
execution of the blueprint, wasting valuable time.

Another common situation is that the exact method of
processing the data is dependent upon the character of the
data. In this case the user will use some default methods
for processing the data, examine the results, and modify the
options. This tuning cycle continues until the data is in a
satisfactory form.

The final aspect of blueprint development which
complicates the development process is that new fittings
are added to a system as new needs and requirements arise.
In addition, new fittings also evolve with new options and
characteristics being added. Any intelligent tool must be
readily changed to remain useful in such a dynamic
environment.

Currently there are approximately 65 fittings which are
part of the standard Master Plumber system. These fittings
perform a variety of transformations on the data flow, such as:
introducing and writing data into several formats;
displaying data on the screen; and actual numerical
transformations. There are support libraries which allow
for fittings to be written in either C or FORTRAN. A
special fitting called PLISP takes programs written in a C-
like language and performs the transformations on the data
flow. This allows for new processing steps to be initially
tested as PLISP programs and later be integrated as full-
fledged fittings into the Master Plumber system.

Some scientists use data preparation systems indirectly
with the help of software support personnel who write and
debug the actual data preparation plans. The goal of PIPE
is to make Master Plumber easy enough to use such that
this type of support is not necessary. The combination of
PIPE and Master Plumber will allow the blueprint
developer to develop blueprints easier and faster, allowing
them to spend more time on data analysis and less time on
data preparation.

Overview

To achieve these goals of assistance in the scientific data
preparation process, PIPE [Chien et al. 1992] provides four
capabilities:

1. constraint checking to detect invalid blueprints
   before execution;
2. diagnosis assistance of blueprints through
   dependency analysis;
3. optimization of blueprints through dependency
   analysis; and
4. runtime estimation, using models of fitting
time performance.

The architecture of the PIPE system is shown in Figure 2.
PIPE accepts a blueprint file and a set of descriptors for
datafiles and uses a fittings knowledge base to construct a
dependency graph representing the computations to be
performed by each of the fittings in the blueprint. This
blueprint parsing phase uses knowledge of fittings and their
options to construct a dependency graph, which indicates
for each fitting which columns are accessed and used to
modify existing columns, create new columns, or remove
existing columns. This dependency graph can then be used
by the constraint checking module which determines if any
of the constraints associated with the fittings have been
violated.

In cases where blueprints must be debugged, PIPE can
use the dependency graph to support isolation of the fault
in the blueprint. Because the dependency graph tracks all
of the operations upon the columns, when the user detects
an error in one of the output columns, PIPE can present a
list of fittings which modified the column in question. The
user can then focus his attention upon these fittings, to
determine where the error was introduced into the data,
sometimes by plotting intermediate data. After isolating
the first fitting at which the column is faulty, the user can
query PIPE for information on the fitting to determine
which columns were used to compute the changed column.
This process continues until the fault is isolated to the data,
fitting option settings, or fitting code itself.

PIPE also provides an optimization capability. Because
PIPE constructs a full computation dependency graph,
PIPE can determine the last fitting in which each column of
data is used in the blueprint. Thus unneeded data can be
removed from the dataflow, decreasing the execution time.
Because many fittings operate on data by default, PIPE
distinguishes between default processing and explicit
processing. Default computation which does not result in a program output (e.g. plot, output file) can also be removed.

Finally, PIPE provides a runtime estimation capability. Using the dependency graph to determine which columns each fitting processes, and models of runtime for each fitting type, PIPE can provide an estimate of how long the blueprint will take to run to completion for the specified datafiles.

Blueprint Parsing

In order to provide assistance in blueprint development, PIPE constructs a dependency network representation of a blueprint. When a blueprint is read in by PIPE, it is processed from the first step onward. For each fitting, PIPE uses:

- methods stored in the fitting knowledge base,
- default values stored in the fittings knowledge base,
- fitting options,
- a list of existing columns in the flow, and possibly
- an input file

to determine:

- any new columns created by the fitting,
- any existing columns modified by the fitting,
- existing columns deleted by the fittings.

Additionally, for any new or modified columns, PIPE determines:

- the set of columns accessed in computing the value for the column.

Because columns may be processed by default or explicitly selected, the dependency network also makes note of this distinction. This facet of the processing is important in order to take appropriate action when optimizing the blueprint (see below).

Constraint Checking

Constraint checking occurs while the blueprint file is being parsed (i.e., prior to execution). A description of the constraint checking algorithm follows.

During Parsing

for each fitting in the blueprint
    for each option specified
        check option type constraints
        check for required options

After Parsing

for each parsed fitting in blueprint
    for each option in fitting
        check option value constraints
        check inter-option constraints
        check dependency constraints
        check inter-fitting constraints
Diagnosis Assistance

PIPE also provides a blueprint diagnosis facility. This capability supports two basic types of queries: column-centered queries and fitting-centered queries. The column-centered queries are of the form

"What fittings affected <column> before <fitting>?
and default to the entire blueprint. This question can be easily answered using information from the dependency network. PIPE steps through the fittings in the blueprint and determines those fittings which create, modify, or delete <column>. This list of fittings is then displayed to the user in graphical form. The fitting centered queries are of the form

"What columns did <fitting> affect?", and

"What columns did <fitting> access in performing its processing to affect these columns?"

These types of queries can be answered by interpreting the dependency graph information on the designated fitting. The first query can be answered by determining the set of columns created, modified or deleted by the fitting. The second query can be answered by accessing dependency network information regarding which columns were accessed by the fitting in performing these operations.

Blueprint Optimization

PIPE also provides a limited blueprint optimization capability. In this capability, PIPE examines the dependency graph of each column and determines the last fitting at which each column is accessed explicitly (i.e., not by default). PIPE then recommends removing this column immediately after this fitting. If this column is not processed in the remainder of the blueprint, this removal does not significantly alter the runtime of the blueprint. However, many of the fittings process all of the columns in the flow by default. Thus, when a column that is processed in the remainder of the blueprint is removed from the data flow a significant speedup can result. While commonly used blueprints are likely to have unused columns optimized by hand, automating this process relieves the user of the burden of determining the point at which a column can be removed. Additionally, by allowing PIPE to automatically determine the correct places to remove columns, PIPE reduces the chance that a user will inadvertently prematurely remove a column from the data flow, which would cause an error.

Runtime Estimation

The final capability that PIPE provides is runtime estimation. PIPE estimates the runtime of a blueprint for a specific data set by applying the following algorithm:

for each fitting in the blueprint
    identify fitting runtime model
    add runtime to total runtime
compute new size of dataset

Tracking the size of a dataset in Master Plumber can be a difficult task. Original data set sizes are determined from input files. When data of different temporal granularity are introduced into an existing flow, or when decimation operations are performed, data set sizes will need to be recomputed. Sometimes a fitting can affect the size of the dataset in a manner that depends on the exact data processed. In these cases, the exact dataset size cannot be determined, so PIPE estimates the size of the dataset at the output of the fitting. These estimations are sufficient for giving the user reasonably accurate runtime estimates.

An Example

We now illustrate each of the capabilities of PIPE using example blueprints. For an example of constraint checking, suppose a user has created a blueprint containing the following statement:

4. bin columns=bx delta=60.0 min max

Because the option min_max requires that a value be specified, PIPE would indicate a constraint error such as:

* Fitting 4. bin option min_max required value not found; string type required.

As another example of the constraint checking, consider the following blueprint statement:

7. crossavg except=time avgnname=xavg

Assuming the user removed the column named time earlier in the data flow, PIPE would issue a constraint error indicating:

* Fitting 7. crossavg option except undefined column time; a column with that name was deleted at fitting 4. drano.

An example of the diagnosis capability supported by PIPE is illustrated in the following scenario. Figure 3 shows a Master Plumber blueprint file. Suppose that the user
examine the output of the blueprint and determines that column o2 is producing results that are incorrect. The user tries to determine what may have affected column o2 by querying PIPE:

Q: Which fittings created or modified column o2?
A: Fitting 10. drano created column o2.
Fitting 12. plisp modified column o2.

The user determines that the o2 column was still incorrect before fitting 12. plisp, so the user wants to determine what columns were accessed by and were used in creating o2.

Q: Which columns were accessed by fitting 10. drano in order to create column o2?
A: Column raraby was accessed by fitting 10. drano in order to create column o2.

The user then continues backtracking through the blueprint to isolate the error:

Q: What fittings before fitting 10. drano modified column raraby?
A: Fitting 9. runstat created and modified column raraby.

By using PIPE in this way, the user can focus his attention directly upon the possibly faulty fittings instead of having to examine every fitting and column.

PIPE also uses the dependency graph to optimize blueprints. Because PIPE can determine which fittings modify which columns in the blueprint, PIPE can determine the last point at which each column is needed in the blueprint. In the example blueprint shown in Figure 3, PIPE makes the following recommendations for removal:

- never introduce column rim
- remove sens_x, senx_y, sens_z and bz after fitting 4
- remove bx, by after fitting 8
- remove rabx, raby after fitting 9
- remove bxc, byc, bzc, and stime after fitting 12

PIPE also provides runtime estimation capabilities. For the optimization example shown above, PIPE estimates that the non-optimized blueprint will take 11:32 +/- 1:04 to run and the optimized blueprint will take 9:58 +/- 0:58 to run.

Issues in Design for Maintainability
The central concern in the PIPE knowledge representation was that the PIPE knowledge base be easy to maintain. While this is a concern in any knowledge-based system, it was particularly important in PIPE because fittings, capabilities, options, and defaults, evolve because of changing scientists' needs. The majority of the knowledge represented in PIPE is used for the pre-runtime constraint checking. Thus, we focused upon ensuring that these constraints be in a form that requires minimal change when fittings are changed.

In order to be easily maintainable, fitting constraints are implemented in three ways. First, basic option requirements constraints and argument requirements are specified in a simple language. This specification is then combined with a translator to generate C code which checks the options and option values against type and option requirement constraints. For example, each option for a fitting may be optional, or required (e.g., all fitting of this type must have this option specified) or be allowed to appear multiple times. Additionally, for each option arguments have associated constraints (e.g., all occurrences of this option must have an argument specified with the option). This structure affects maintainability as follows. When a change to a fitting is made which affects this information, the specification must be changed in the fitting knowledge base file. A translator is then used to automatically regenerate the associated constraint checking code so that the future constraint checking corresponds to the updated fitting.

The second type of constraint is simple, commonly occurring constraints, such as range constraints and inter-option range constraints (e.g., the value of option 1 must be greater than the value of option 2). These constraints are represented in a simple constraint language and stored in the fitting knowledge base file. When the fitting and option information in the blueprint is extracted, these constraints are checked by a C code module which uses the constraint information in the fitting knowledge base file to check the extracted options and arguments. Thus, when a change to the fitting is made which affects this information, the constraint information in the fitting knowledge base file must be updated. Thereafter, when the fitting is parsed, the updated constraint information will be used.

The third type of constraint information is represented directly as C code. This flexibility is needed as there are certain forms of constraints among options which are not easily represented in general languages or may occur so infrequently as to be impractical to support in the general case. This type of constraint information is contained in an explicit C function, whose name is specified in the fitting knowledge base file. When changes to the fitting impact this information, the code relevant code must be modified, compiled, and re-linked.
Another type of knowledge encoded in a flexible fashion is the runtime models. This information indicates how much time each processing step will take as a function of parameters including: the option settings, the number of data records in the dataflow, and the computer being used. Fitting models to cover new fittings can be constructed in two ways. First, existing runtime models can be used as templates. In this case creating a runtime model for a new fitting coresponds to filling in the appropriate parameters in the model. Second, a new fitting model can be created from scratch (and would serve as a potential template for future fittings).

Discussion

The current prototype version of PIPE was completed in July 1991. It is implemented in CommonLISP and LISPView and runs on Sun workstations. It operates as described in this paper with the exception that it does not distinguish between columns accessed for different computations in a fitting (i.e. it only determines the set of columns used to compute all of the new or modified columns). For instance, suppose the runstat fitting uses column bx to create column rax and also uses column by to create column rby. The current implementation will only be able to state that the the fitting uses columns bx and by to create columns rax and rby. In contrast, the new implementation will be able to isolate bx as the column used to create column rax, and by as the column used to create column rby. Also, the current prototype version operates on actual blueprint files but is not integrated with Master Plumber or MPTool, a menu driven interface for blueprint construction in Master Plumber.

Work is underway on the deliverable version of PIPE. This version is being implemented in C++, and is expected to be completed in May of 1992. The deliverable version of PIPE will use the more refined dependency representation described in this paper. This version will be integrated with Master Plumber and MPTool, and is intended to be delivered to and used by IGPP personnel at UCLA. This version of PIPE will also incorporate feedback upon the "look and feel" of the interface specified by IGPP personnel.

There are numerous related projects in providing intelligent assistance in scientific computing. The kineticist's workbench project at MIT [Abelson et al. 1989] targets modelling and analysis of dynamic systems. The SINAPSE system [Kant et al. 1990] assists in construction of numerical models for data interpretation but is specific to seismic models represented as finite difference equations. The reason system [Atwood et al. 1990] supports analysis of high energy physics data (and is a dataflow system). Finally, the scientific modeling assistant project [Keller 1991] addresses support to facilitate development of scientific models.

Summary

This paper has described a system to assist in the development of scientific data preparation programs and discussed issues in design for maintainability. This issue of maintainability was particularly important because the processing modules (fittings) are constantly evolving due to changing scientists' needs. In order to maximize maintainability of the constraint knowledge base, information for each fitting is encapsulated in a fitting knowledge base file and as much as is practical, constraint information is represented in a general declarative fashion.
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