CCD imaging and time series photometry are used to determine the state of activity, nuclear properties and eventually the rotational motion of cometary nuclei. Two extreme examples of quite different behavior of activity are P/Halley and P/Tempel 2. On one hand P/Halley had a rather surprising outburst at 14.3 AU (Hainaut et al. 1991, Meech 1991), on the other hand P/Tempel 2 had an activity onset during its last apparition at only 1.9 AU (Boehnhardt et al. 1990). Cometary activity at large heliocentric distances and mantle evolution are not yet fully understood. What is the difference between the nuclei of different comets? How does this influence the activity? It is thus very important to understand the temporal evolution of comets and therefore the differences between new and old comets and a possible relation to asteroids.

OBSERVATIONS

The observations were carried out at the 2.1 m telescope on Kitt Peak April 10–12 and May 15–16, 1991. The TI2 chip (binned 2 x 2) with a resolution of 0.38/pixel and the Tek2 with 0.34/pixel were used as detectors, respectively. The standard Kitt Peak Harris filter set for V, R and I (Cousins system) was used. The observational circumstances for the objects are given in table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Object</th>
<th>1Δ (AU)</th>
<th>2Δ (AU)</th>
<th>3Δ (degree)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>April</td>
<td>May</td>
<td>April</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P/Churyumov-Gerasimenko</td>
<td>3.91</td>
<td>4.01</td>
<td>4.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P/Giacobini-Zinner</td>
<td>2.92</td>
<td>2.60</td>
<td>3.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P/Tempel 2</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.07</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2060) Chiron</td>
<td>10.38</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(951) Gaspra</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>2.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1991 JR</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 geocentric distance, 2 heliocentric distance, 3 phase angle

PHOTOMETRIC RESULTS

Landolt stars (Landolt 1983) and the Clusters NGC 4147 and M 92 (Davis, private communication 1991) were used as magnitude standards. (2060) Chiron in April and P/Giacobini-Zinner in May both showed clearly a coma. Digital apertures of 10 pixels (± 3.8) and of 6 pixels (± 2.0) were used for their photometry, respectively, minimizing (but not nullifying) the coma contribution. Magnitude variations of the objects are mostly smaller than the errors except for P/Giacobini-Zinner in May which is definitely variable. Because variability can neither be confirmed nor excluded, mean values and the maximal differences for the R-filter are given in tables 2 and 3.

In table 4 mean colors of the objects are given. Single measurements for P/Giacobini-Zinner in May are V-R = 0.57 ± 0.12, 0.47 ± 0.12, 0.52 ± 0.11, R-I = 0.58 ± 0.14, 0.60 ± 0.12, 0.33 ± 0.14, V-I = 1.15 ± 0.15, 1.06 ± 0.12, 0.84 ± 0.13. Their variability can be attributed to different coma contribution.

The colors corrected for solar values (Scheffler & Elsäßer 1974) are plotted in a (V-I)/(R-I) diagram (figure 1). The near earth approacher 1991 JR is the bluest object followed by (951) Gaspra, an S-type (Tholen 1989). 1991 JR was classified as a C-type asteroid by E. Howell (1991, private communication), the first ever photometrically measured in this size range (≈ 170 m, cf. Rabinowitz 1991). The colors of P/Giacobini-Zinner differ considerably in April and May, but this is most probably due to the coma present in May. P/Giacobini-Zinner in April is the reddest “nucleus” in this sample. An existing coma affects the measured colors because of the emitting gas and dust and molecule emission lines. Hartmann &
### Table 2: Mean R-magnitudes of Comets and Asteroids in April 1991

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Object</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>ΔR&lt;sub&gt;max&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1P/CG</td>
<td>21.55 ± 0.12</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>21.99 ± 0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P/Giacobini-Zinner</td>
<td>21.30 ± 0.09</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>21.13 ± 0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2060) Chiron</td>
<td>16.16 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(951) Gaspra</td>
<td>15.22 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>15.21 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1P/Churyumov-Gerasimenko

### Table 3: Mean R-magnitudes of Comets and Asteroids in May 1991

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Object</th>
<th>15</th>
<th>16</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>ΔR&lt;sub&gt;max&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P/Churyumov-Gerasimenko</td>
<td>22.04 ± 0.09</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P/Giacobini-Zinner</td>
<td>20.57 ± 0.12</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P/Tempel 2</td>
<td>20.98 ± 0.13</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(951) Gaspra</td>
<td>14.40 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1991JR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 4: Colors of Comets and Asteroids in 1991

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Object</th>
<th>April 10</th>
<th>May 16</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>V-R</td>
<td>R-I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1P/CG</td>
<td>0.16 ± 0.32</td>
<td>0.72 ± 0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2P/GZ</td>
<td>0.51 ± 0.20</td>
<td>0.91 ± 0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P/Tempel 2</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.53 ± 0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2060) Chiron</td>
<td>0.44 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.53 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(951) Gaspra</td>
<td>0.46 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.41 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1991JR</td>
<td>0.39 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.36 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1P/Churyumov-Gerasimenko, 2P/Giacobini-Zinner
Cruikshank (1984) found a color-distance trend in comets in the infrared, but this was disputed by Jewitt & Meech (1988). But these measurements were all taken of comets exhibiting a coma. It is not clear if a color-distance relation for nuclei exists and how it is connected to composition, shape, rotation and phase. The data are much too sparse to infer a color dependence of the orbit location of a comet of physical differences among different comets. Further observations of the same and new objects are planned in order to test a color-distance relation and to determine nuclear properties.

NUCLEAR RADII AND SHAPES

The size of the nucleus (R) can be estimated by the formula (Spinrad et al. 1979)

$$R^2 A = r^2 10^{0.4(M_0 - m_\alpha) + 5 \log \Delta}$$

with $m(\alpha) = m_{\text{obs}} - c \alpha$ and $c \approx 0.03^m$/deg (Jewitt & Luu 1989), $\alpha$ being the phase angle, $r$ the heliocentric distance in km, $\Delta$ the geocentric distance in AU, $M_0$ the absolute solar magnitude and $m_{\text{obs}}$ the observed magnitude in the respective filter, and $A$ the albedo. For (951) Gaspra the formulae by Bowell et al. (1989) were used to derive a radius. The axes ratio of a nucleus can be simply calculated by (provided the amplitude of the magnitude difference is due to rotation)

$$I_{\text{min}}/I_{\text{max}} = 10^{-0.4(m_{\text{min}} - m_{\text{obs}})} = b/a$$
with $a, b$ the semi-major and semi-minor axis, respectively, $I$ the intensity and $m$ the magnitude in the respective filter. Because my data are incomplete and I certainly don't have complete light-curve coverage the values I get are lower limits. The derived radii and minimal axes ratios are given in table 5 along with the albedos which have been taken from the literature. The radii of P/Giacobini-Zinner, P/Tempel 2 and (951) Gaspra compare well with values from other authors. There are no published values for P/Churyumov-Gerasimenko but the size derived is similar to other comets. The minimal axes ratio for P/Giacobini-Zinner of 1:1.5 does neither confirm nor exclude Sekanina's (1985) value of 1:8.3.

### Table 5: Size and Shape of Comets and Asteroids

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Object</th>
<th>Albedo</th>
<th>$R \text{ [km]}$</th>
<th>$(a/b)_{\text{min}}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P/Churyumov-Gerasimenko</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P/Giacobini-Zinner</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P/Tempel 2</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(951) Gaspra</td>
<td>0.186</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### REFERENCES


