Space Station Freedom, now under development, is a manned low Earth orbit facility which will become part of the space infrastructure. Starting in the mid 1990s, Freedom will support a wide range of activities, including scientific research, technology development, commercial ventures and, eventually, serve as a transportation node for space exploration. While the initial facility will not be capable of meeting all requirements, the space station will evolve over time as requirements and on-board activities mature and change. The space station design, therefore, allows for evolution to:

- expand capability,
- increase efficiency, and
- add new functions.

It is anticipated that many of the evolutionary changes will be accomplished through on-orbit replacement of systems, subsystems, and components as technology advances. Therefore, technology development is critical to ensure the continuing operation and expansion of the facility.

The Office of Aeronautics, Exploration and Technology (OAET) has sponsored development of many of the technologies that are now part of Space Station Freedom’s baseline design. Evolutionary and operational aspects of Freedom continue to be an important thrust of OAET’s Research and Technology (R&T) efforts.

This workshop has been an important step in our understanding of the space station’s baseline systems, the evolutionary scenarios including the station’s role in space exploration, and the technologies that will be necessary to meet evolutionary and growth requirements.

It is anticipated that application of the information acquired through the workshop will lead to further technology development efforts to benefit Freedom and will lead to continued collaboration between the Space Station Freedom Program and the technology development community.

Arnold D. Aldrich
Associate Administrator for Aeronautics, Exploration and Technology
Since the workshop was conducted in January of 1990, there have been organizational changes throughout the agency. The Office of Aeronautics Space Technology (OAST) has been reorganized to include the former Exploration and is now called the Office of Aeronautics, Exploration and Technology (OAET). Also, the Human Exploration Initiative (HEI) has expanded and renamed the Space Exploration Initiative (SEI). Some materials in these proceedings were prepared after the workshop, and, therefore, references to new organizational entities and new programs may be found in sections.
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INTRODUCTION

NASA's Office of Aeronautics and Space Technology (OAST) conducted a workshop on technology for space station evolution January 16-19, 1990, in Dallas, Texas. The purpose of this workshop was to collect and clarify Space Station Freedom technology requirements for evolution and to describe technologies that can potentially fill those requirements. OAST will use the output of the workshop as input for planning a technology program to serve the needs of space station evolution. The main product of the workshop is a set of program plans and descriptions for individual technology areas. These plans are the cumulative recommendations of the more than 300 participants, which included researchers, technologists, and managers from aerospace industries, universities, and government organizations.

The identification of the technology areas to be included, as well as the development of the program plans, was initiated by assigning NASA chairmen to the eleven technology disciplines under consideration. The disciplines are as follows:

- Attitude Control and Stabilization (ACS)
- Communications and Tracking (C&T)
- Data Management System (DMS)
- Environmental Control and Life Support Systems (ECLSS)
- Extravehicular Activity/Manned Systems (EVA/MANSYS)
- Fluid Management System (FMS)
- Power System (POWER)
- Propulsion (PROP)
- Robotics (ROBOTICS)
- Structures/Materials (STRUCT)
- Thermal Control System (THERM)

Each chairman worked with a panel of experts involved in research and development in the particular discipline. The chairmen, with the assistance of their panels, were responsible for selecting invited presentations, identifying and inviting Space Station Freedom Level III subsystem managers, and focusing the discussion of the participants. In each discipline session, presentations describing status of the current programs were made by the Level III subsystem managers and by OAST program managers. After invited presentations by leading industry, university, and NASA researchers, the sessions were devoted to identifying technology requirements and to planning programs for development of the identified technology areas. Particular attention was given to the potential requirements of
the Human Exploration Initiative (HEI). The combined inputs of the participants in each session were incorporated into a package including an overall discipline summary, recommendations and issues, and proposed development plans for specific technology within the discipline. These technology discipline summary packages were later supplemented by the chairmen and their panels to include the impact of varied funding levels on the maturity of the selected technologies. OAST will review the program plans and recommended funding levels based on available funding and overall NASA priorities and incorporate them into a new OAST initiative advocacy package for space station evolution technology.

These proceedings are organized into an Executive Summary and Overview and five volumes containing the Technology Discipline Presentations.

The Executive Summary and Overview contains an executive summary for the workshop, the technology discipline summary packages, the keynote address, "Mission Requirements and Evolution Scenarios", a presentation on the "Space Station as a Transportation Node", and a discussion of the "Importance of Automation". The executive summary provides a synopsis of the events and results of the workshop, and the technology discipline summary packages are as described above. In the keynote address, Dr. William B. Lenoir, Associate Administrator for Space Station, discussed the significance of the space transportation/space station infrastructure as the first steps towards the future of mankind in space. The "Mission Requirements and Evolution Scenarios" were described by Dr. Earle Huckins, III. "Space Station as a Transportation Node" was Dr. Jeffrey Rosendhal's description of the status of the Space Exploration Program. Finally, in the "Importance of Automation", Dr. Henry Lum explained the significance of systems autonomy for space station operations and evolution. The appendices to this volume include a final workshop agenda and a list of attendees.
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

INTRODUCTION

For the next 30 years or more, Space Station Freedom (SSF) will be the keystone of the space infrastructure. It will serve as a facility for advancing space science, a laboratory for space technology development, a manufacturing process laboratory and pilot plant, and a servicing center for spacecraft. Most importantly, it will serve as the training ground for men and women to operate in the space environment with increasing self-sufficiency for long periods of time, and it will ready them for human exploration of the moon and Mars. Eventually, it will evolve into the transportation node -- the way station -- for those journeys.

The necessity to fulfill these functions places novel and difficult constraints on the spacecraft's designers. For its lifetime, the space station will have to be maintained on orbit. Starting as a multipurpose facility, its primary functions may change over the years; it will have to adapt to new requirements and to change and grow in size and capability.

Therefore, it is of prime importance that all space station systems be designed to be not only easily maintained with original spares, but also transparent to advanced technology and capable of expansion. The importance of advanced technology, to be developed hand in hand with the spacecraft design, cannot be overemphasized.

The objective of "Technology for Space Station Evolution -- A Workshop" was to drive out the requirements and the technologies that would enable the space station to:

- become more maintainable, safer, and more capable and
- evolve into the first and key element of the space exploration missions.

APPROACH

Eleven parallel workshops were organized along the lines of space station systems and elements as follows:

- Attitude Control and Stabilization (ACS)
- Communications and Tracking (C&T)
- Data Management System (DMS)
- Environmental Control and Life Support Systems (ECLSS)
- Extravehicular Activity/Man Systems (EVA/MAN SYS)
- Fluid Management System (FMS)
- Power System
- Propulsion System
- Robotics
- Structures/Materials
- Thermal Control System (TCS)

The workshops were chaired by senior experts in the technology disciplines, and the requirements were presented by space station system/element managers. The
workshop included participants from NASA centers, industry, and universities who were either associated with the space station organization or otherwise recognized technology discipline experts.

THE PLENARY SESSION

The topical workshops were preceded by a plenary session led by the Associate Administrator for Aeronautics, Exploration, and Technology, Mr. Arnold Aldrich. Mr. Aldrich emphasized his organization's commitment to advancing technology to enable the President's Space Exploration Initiative. The keynote speech delivered by Dr. William Lenoir, Associate Administrator for Space Flight, detailed the importance of the space transportation/space station infrastructure that will be the first major step towards the future of mankind in space.

The Director of the Space Station Freedom Program, Mr. Richard Kohrs, followed with a description of the ongoing space station program. Of particular importance to the workshop was his insight into some technology issues and challenges. These issues, listed below, received serious consideration in all topical workshops:

- design of the attitude control and stabilization system which must enable the partially completed space station to remain in orbit;
- designing the communications and tracking system "right the first time", since it will be difficult to change;
- the large size of the data management system which requires new approaches to the development and verification of software;
- potential problems arising from the decision to leave the oxygen and carbon dioxide loops of the life support system open until assembly complete;
- the need to reduce the EVA man-hours currently projected for maintenance;
- the challenge to ensure adequate redundancy in the fluid management system;
- the amount and source of power (photovoltaic vs. solar dynamic) and the need to maintain hooks and scars for growth;
- potential resupply problems arising from the change to hydrazine for the auxiliary propulsion system;
- defining the requirements for the Flight Telerobotic Servicer;
- design and operational challenges to minimize effects of the micrometeoroid and debris environment, as well as those of shuttle docking and plume loads, on the structure; and
- development of the two-phase thermal control system.
Dr. Earle Huckins discussed current space station evolution scenarios. The status of Space Exploration Program planning was presented by Dr. Jeffrey Rosendhal, who followed Dr. Huckins. The plenary session was concluded by Dr. Henry Lum, who impressed the importance of systems autonomy for operations and evolution on the workshop participants.

THE TOPICAL WORKSHOP SESSIONS

After three days of debate and deliberation, the participants gathered again for a concluding session and presented the results of the topical workshop sessions.

Attitude Control and Stabilization

The guidance, navigation, and control system of SSF will have to handle spacecraft build-up beyond the baseline. Evaluating the evolution scenarios, the workshop participants concluded that advanced control system strategies are necessary to cope with the uncertainties in the orbital environment and dynamically changing spacecraft configurations resulting from docking, build-up, and changing or shifting payloads. The technology development areas identified as key to the solution are:

- attitude control technologies for multi-user accommodations,
- flexible body dynamics and controls,
- computational control techniques, and
- technology for autonomous rendezvous and proximity operations.

Deliverables should include:

- a proof-of-concept design for an integrated on-orbit flexible body and disturbance identification subsystem, including hardware such as a distributed fiber optic sensing system and software containing advanced modal selection and model reduction methods and
- prototype relative navigation sensors integrated with GN&C algorithms, trajectory control and collision avoidance techniques, on-board flight planning, and orbital placement and transfer techniques.

Communications and Tracking

The Space Station Freedom will evolve to become the hub of a sophisticated communications network that will require a multiple access system to provide numerous simultaneous links between various spacecraft operating in different zones. This will include proximity, space-to-ground, space-to-space, and potentially space to the moon or Mars communications.
The technology areas that have been identified as contributing highly to evolutionary performance and safety improvements are:

- optical communications and tracking,
- monolithic microwave integrated circuit (MMIC) antenna systems,
- traveling wave tube technology,
- advanced modulation and coding, and
- advanced automation for C&T.

Deliverables would include:

- demonstration of long life, high modulation rate, high power laser transmitters and extremely high sensitivity optical receivers,
- demonstrations of Ka-band MMIC antenna system components, two dimensional fast scanning rate Ka-band phased array antenna, and conceptual design of an on-board millimeter wave orbital debris tracking system,
- analysis and selection of optimal modulation schemes to provide enhanced data rates, and
- demonstration/simulation of autonomous system for selected C&T functions.

The workshop also identified several baseline technical issues, including the expected interference of the SSF multiple access system with the Ku-band. Secure Ka-band allocation with SSF as the primary user was recommended. Baseline insertion of high rate fiber optics was also recommended to enable accommodation of the high rate data transmission requirements that are anticipated as the station evolves.

Data Management System

The SSF data management system is larger and more complex than any system previously developed. It will have interfaces with all other station systems, as well as with all user payloads. The users' requirements exceed the planned capacity even in the early stages, and it is expected that the demand for higher data rates will necessitate growth soon after mature operations commence.

Technology needs include:

- improved performance of embedded data processors,
- improved mass storage,
- evolutionary integration of multicomputers,
increased bandwidth of existing fibers for on-board communications,
- expanded software support environment (SSE) and guidelines for
  verification, and
- 3-D display technologies for improved human interface.

Several specific technologies were recommended to address various aspects of
improving and growing the system. The major concern, however, was adopting an
integrated systems approach to ensure successful system operation.

Environmental Control and Life Support System

At Assembly Complete, the SSF ECLSS will recover potable water via the humidity
control system, regenerate the hygiene and urine water, and regenerate oxygen from
carbon dioxide. Further technology advances will be necessary for long term and
efficient operation of an ECLSS that could be utilized for human exploration missions
to the moon and Mars. The highest impact technology areas were identified as:
- crew generated wastes (trash, feces, and brines) processes and
  reclamation,
- regenerable water reclamation pre- and post-treatment to eliminate
  expendable chemicals,
- simplified waste water processing,
- improved trace contaminant removal, and
- real-time microbial analysis of water.

Deliverables will include breadboard level components and subsystems and
documentation. The payoff will be in lower resupply and returnable weight and
volume, improved crew health, and higher maintainability.

The workshop also identified baseline technical issues. It was recommended that
increased emphasis be placed on systems analysis to identify the highest payoff
subsystem technologies and on system automation with concomitant sensor
development.

EVA/Man Systems

Increased crew performance on SSF will be one of the key elements that can lead to a
successful exploration mission to the moon or Mars. Technology advancements will be
necessary to decrease the crew's time spent on routine tasks without diminishing their
skills needed for emergencies, increase the efficiency of acquiring new mission-related
skills, and provide an environment that improves motivation required for excellent
performance. The high-payoff technology areas identified at the workshop are:
- crew-systems interfaces and interactions,
- training,
- maintainability and supportability,
- habitability and environment, and
- computational human factors/analysis tools.

Technology products recommended include:

- 3-D auditory displays, reliable and flexible speech recognition and production systems, direct manipulation input devices, and virtual workstations;
- AI/expert systems providing automation transparency, easy operator intervention, and robust dynamic task allocation capabilities;
- embedded training techniques for systems and payloads; and
- demonstrations of advanced ORU concepts, systems interfaces accommodating humans and robots, and inventory management systems.

**Fluid Management System**

Fluid management has been identified as one of the enabling technologies for space exploration. The evolving SSF fluid management system can, therefore, serve as a testbed to answer many of the key questions in this technology area.

The major areas of emphasis have been identified as:

- subcritical cryogenic storage and transfer,
- fluid handling, including liquid slosh dynamics and liquid dumping/venting/emergency relief, and
- component and instrumentation for fluid sampling/species identification, leak detection, and on-orbit calibration.

Deliverables are subcomponents, components, and subsystems that are ground and flight validated and lead to:

- system performance data and validated analytical models that provide design criteria.

**Power**

The Space Station *Freedom* Program has chosen a photovoltaic power system with nickel-hydrogen battery energy storage and a 120V DC power distribution system. This is the only system for which a growth option is provided in the baseline, i.e., solar dynamic system development can be accelerated to meet increased power requirements if necessary.
The workshop identified the following areas for evolutionary growth of the photovoltaic power system:

- advanced, more efficient solar arrays to reduce mass and increase performance, and
- increased autonomy to facilitate power sharing among multiple users.

The preferred growth option, solar dynamics, would benefit primarily from technologies leading to more efficient, lighter weight receivers and thermal energy storage.

Technology products to be delivered in this area include:

- production-ready advanced solar cells (such as the 19% efficient GaAs/Ge cells),
- verified 60,000 cycle (ten year) lifetime nickel/hydrogen cells, and
- data packages and subscale hardware that facilitate the design of the receiver/thermal energy storage system.

**Propulsion**

The space station baseline includes monopropellant hydrazine thrusters for backup attitude control and reboost, aided by resistojets utilizing waste gases from the ECLSS. The evolution scenario calls for the modular propulsion system to be replaced with a hydrogen/oxygen system.

Workshop participants called for plans for advanced hydrazine, as well as storable bipropellant, propulsion systems. They concluded, however, that the major emphasis should be placed on advancing the hydrogen/oxygen systems as rapidly as possible, since no other technological improvement in the propulsion area could equal the logistics resupply and fluid management integration payoff of the hydrogen/oxygen system.

The following technologies have been pinpointed as critical:

- high pressure water electrolysis that would allow propellants to be manufactured on orbit and
- waste fluid disposal, including advanced resistojets, arcjets, vaporizers, and gas compressors.

Deliverables include:

- preprototype or prototype components and
- flight demonstrations, as needed.
Robotics

Robotics technology will be a vital factor for the successful and productive operations on Space Station Freedom, as well as for any space exploration scenario that will be implemented. The Mobile Servicing System supplied by Canada and the Flight Telerobotic Servicer are both part of the Space Station Freedom Program. The need for advanced technologies in this area is indisputable; however, the problem is in applying engineering and management judgment to concentrate resources in the right directions.

The workshop identified four technology categories:

- cross cutting and systems wide research, including systems engineering processes for integrated robotics, man/machine cooperative control, and three-dimensional, real-time perception;
- advanced research in selected, critical areas;
- application-specific research; and
- "other", which includes primarily a constant, vigilant oversight of the on-going program.

The workshop participants laid out program plans and deliverables, as requested. It does, however, beg the question as to whether, with such a vast technology area, it is meaningful to pinpoint components for development without first performing a detailed systems engineering evaluation of the entire space station operations and evolution scenario. It is suggested, therefore, that processes for systems engineering and continuing oversight be established before specific technology development plans are defined.

Structures/Materials

The features that distinguish Space Station Freedom from any other spacecraft are:

- its assembly on orbit,
- its lifetime, and
- its physical size.

These attributes make advanced technologies in space construction, space-durable materials, and controls/structures interaction mandatory aspects of any R&T program aimed at the growth of the space station and space exploration.

SSF is going to be the first spacecraft assembled on orbit, but not the last. Present plans call for assembly by EVA; advanced research must find ways to deploy structures or erect them robotically, as well as find new assembly methods, such as mechanical joints or welding. Freedom will have to serve as a testbed for controls/structures interactions, since the dynamics of the structure with flexible manipulators, interactions
of on-board fluid dynamics with controlled assemblies, the limitation and alleviation of dynamic loads, and management of microgravity levels cannot be modeled at this time.

Advanced technologies should include structural and mechanical concepts, environmental inspection and repair techniques, and analysis methods to ensure structurally robust long life and evolution of the space station. Materials technology must include environmentally tolerant materials and material systems for space applications, as well as processes for on-orbit repair and NDE methods.

The workshop participants identified a number of important issues:

- future in-space construction must minimize EVA;
- the dynamics of station and the performance of attached controlled payloads and manipulators will become increasingly complex as station evolves;
- materials databases for space applications and on-orbit NDE science are poor; and
- ground-based environmental simulations and test methods are inadequate.

The recommendations to alleviate these problems include:

- developing and demonstrating in space the proper mix of EVA, robotic, deployment, and modular assembly technology necessary for EVA minimization;
- developing a well-verified modeling capability for the dynamics of the evolving station; and
- acquiring improved structures input to the development of proposed configurations for growth.

**Thermal Management**

The present baseline calls for pumped-loop cooling internal to the pressurized modules and for two-phase heat transport and radiators externally. The large capacity two-phase thermal management system is not yet fully developed, and several flight experiments are planned. If the demand for increased power is one of the first evolutionary steps as expected, heat rejection capability will have to grow concurrently.

The major issues identified with utilizing the baseline technology for evolution and growth are:

- an unacceptable increase in radiator sweep volume,
- increased EVA time,
- orbiter manifesting penalties associated with the weight and volume,
- operational ground support, and
- maintenance and repair operations.

The workshop participants identified key technologies necessary to evolve the thermal management system to:
- decrease the heat rejection system size,
- increase capability for heat acquisition and transport,
- assemble external components robotically,
- monitor, control, and detect and isolate faults autonomously, and
- develop essential analytical tools.

SUMMARY

Certain overriding themes emerge from a review of the stated goals and the results of this endeavor. There is no doubt that, in a technology development program focused on space station evolution leading to space exploration, the highest priority technologies must be those related to human performance.

Many topical workshops called for increased systems autonomy, including fault detection and isolation, to enable the crew to spend more time on non-routine tasks. Hand-in-hand with these recommendations go the almost unanimous requirements for more maintainable components in every subsystem and for robotics to decrease EVA time, aid the crew in external maintenance, perform additional construction tasks, and aid in the placement of payloads. A significant aspect of both of these technologies is the need for advanced man/machine interfaces.

Another important aspect of human performance is the requirement for advanced, closed-loop life support. Commitment to long-duration, manned space exploration missions can be made only if a truly closed life support system including trace air and water contaminant monitoring and control has been thoroughly tested on Space Station Freedom. Life support system technologies, such as on-board processing of brines and solid wastes and the development of regenerable contaminant control subsystems, are also tied to the need to reduce operational costs by reducing the required logistics resupply. An essential advanced life support system component is a water electrolysis subsystem, which would regenerate oxygen from surplus water. This component could also be used for generating fuel on orbit for an advanced propulsion system, thereby cutting logistics resupply weight.

Another category of critical technologies is coupled to the requirement for physical growth of the space station to accommodate transportation node or satellite servicing demands. This category is control/structure interactions, and the priority is to learn to control the spacecraft structure under constantly changing and shifting load conditions.
Advanced fluid management technologies, including cryogen transport, storage, and handling, will also benefit the evolutionary space station. These advances will enable the life support and propulsion systems to be synergistically integrated and will significantly reduce logistics resupply costs by using water to manufacture hydrogen and oxygen on orbit and by permitting nitrogen to be transported in the cryogenic, instead of supercritical, state. The space station as a testbed for cryogenic fluid management will be of major importance as Freedom evolves into a transportation node for space exploration.

This workshop has been the first of many steps required to derive a plan to maximize the benefits of advanced technology for space station evolution and growth. It was, however, an important and productive start that will pay off in major contributions to the low Earth orbit infrastructure that this nation is building. It will help us achieve our goal of extending human presence beyond our planet and will help Space Station Freedom to reap benefits for science, technology, and commerce and to become a way station to the worlds beyond.

Dr. Judith H. Ambrus
Acting Assistant Director for Space Technology (Space Station)
General Chairman
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TECHNOLOGY DISCIPLINE SUMMARY FOR ATTITUDE CONTROL & STABILIZATION

ADVANCED CONTROLS TECHNOLOGIES REQUIRED FOR SPACE STATION EVOLUTION
TECHNOLOGY DRIVERS

• LARGE MASS PROPERTIES AND CONFIGURATION CHANGES
• CONCURRENT USERS
• LARGE MULTIPLE FLEXIBLE STRUCTURES
• EXPANDED INTER-ORBIT TRAFFIC

TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT AREAS

• ATTITUDE CONTROL TECHNOLOGIES FOR MULTI-USER ACCOMMODATION
• FLEXIBLE DYNAMICS AND CONTROL
• COMPUTATIONAL CONTROL TECHNIQUES
• AUTONOMOUS RENDEZVOUS AND PROXIMITY OPERATIONS
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ATTITUDE CONTROL &
STABILIZATION

ATTITUDE CONTROL TECHNOLOGIES
FOR MULTI-USER ACCOMMODATION

BACKGROUND

SCOPE - Advanced control system strategies able to cope with uncertainties in the orbital environment, dynamically changing spacecraft configurations via docking and buildup, and potential hardware failures

OBJECTIVES - To define, develop, and evaluate control system technologies for the evolving Space Station which maintain good performance in spite of disturbances caused by crew motion, mission activity, aerodynamic uncertainty, vehicle mismodeling, and changing configurations.

RATIONALE - Present control system technology does not meet the more demanding operational requirements of the evolutionary Space Station. Due to crew and aerodynamic disturbances, changes in vehicle parameters, dynamic interaction with the control system, and concurrent operations of multiple controllers/users, issues that have not been of concern in the past will become drivers in the design and development of an attitude control and stabilizaiton system for the advanced Space Station.
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STABILIZATION

ATTITUDE CONTROL TECHNOLOGIES
FOR MULTI-USER ACCOMMODATION

PROGRAM PLAN

APPROACH:

1. Investigate advanced momentum effectors. These include larger, more efficient shell rotor designs, electro-magnetically suspended rotors, and fluid moment loops replacing spinning rotor designs.

2. Develop mass properties management system. This system might consist of a series of ballast tanks containing consumables (water, propellant) that can be pumped around the Station for the purpose of managing mass properties.

3. Develop an on-board system identification capability. This will produce on-board characterization of the Space Station mass properties and identification of disturbances.

4. Develop an on-line adaptive control system. The adaptive controller develops an updated state feedback controller for the identified updated Station model.

5. Develop scheduling algorithms for Space Station momentum management. This approach schedules the activities of the Station in an optimal manner to insure that sufficient control authority from the CMGs is always available.

DELIVERABLES:

1. Demonstrations of effector hardware.

2. Software demonstrations on appropriate Space Station test beds.
TECHNOLOGY FOR SPACE STATION EVOLUTION
-A WORKSHOP

ATTITUDE CONTROL & STABILIZATION

FLEXIBLE DYNAMICS AND CONTROL

BACKGROUND

SCOPE - Development of modeling and control methodologies and sensor hardware for flexible dynamics characterization, on-board sensing and vibration control.

OBJECTIVES - To develop the methods, algorithms, and architectures for on-board identification of space station dynamics; math models to describe the characteristics of the system and payloads; techniques for the optimal placement of actuators and sensors; and active/passive methods to control dynamic responses and the propagation of disturbances.

RATIONALE - Due to the limitations of ground testing and to the evolving nature of operations and configuration, an on-board capability is required to characterize the system as it evolves in time. The dynamic interactions and disturbances resulting from the planned concurrent use of the station by multiple users for assembly of Lunar/Mars vehicles, Earth/space/microgravity payloads, etc., will limit the utility and operational performance of the evolving station.
TECHNOLOGY FOR SPACE STATION EVOLUTION
-A WORKSHOP

PROGRAM PLAN

APPROACH -
1. Develop an on-orbit flexible body and disturbance identification subsystem. This includes an automated system of methods, signal processing algorithm designs and data acquisition, interfacing architecture, and excitation/sensing specifications.

2. Develop a passive and active control technology. This includes control of dynamic response levels and propagation of disturbances. Conduct performance analysis and experimental verifications.

3. Develop an optical system identification and alignment sensor. This will allow real time system identification and control of the system and attached payloads.

4. Develop modal selection and model reduction methods. Both methods will be designed implementing a multi-objective design technique.

5. Develop a distributed fiber optics sensing system. This includes fiber optic rotation, acceleration, stress, and temperature sensors.

DELIVERABLES -
1. An integrated identification subsystem proof-of-concept design.

2. Experimental demonstration of passive and active control technology.


4. Optical system identifier and alignment sensor.
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FLEXIBLE DYNAMICS AND CONTROL

TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT

TECHNOLOGY READINESS LEVEL

HIGH FUNDING

MODERATE

LOW

Start Date

FY 93

FY 94

FY 95

30
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ATTITUDE CONTROL &
STABILIZATION SYSTEM

BACKGROUND

SCOPE - A set of computer-aided control systems modeling, design and simulation tools for control system design and real-time hardware-in-the-loop subsystem testing.

OBJECTIVE - To develop fast and cost effective articulated multibody modeling, control design and simulation methods, and prototype software tools. The first specific objective is development of modeling tools for the representation of the plant and control system. The second specific objective is development of high-speed simulation tools with super-real-time hardware-in-the-loop capability. The third specific objective is building an efficient computer-aided control design and analysis capability. The last, but not least, important objective is to produce a computational environment that integrates the above tools into a system that allows high user productivity. These capabilities should handle 400 states and 800 states systems by the third and fifth year of the program, respectively.

RATIONALE - The current control design and simulation tools are a limiting factor in today’s control design and testing and are inadequate for future needs. The areas of concern are: a) control design tools break down for high order systems; b) spacecraft simulation tools are too slow to be used effectively for design and testing; and c) an integrated computer-aided control design environment is needed to improve productivity.
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PROGRAM PLAN

APPROACH -
Develop effective multibody component model representation techniques and software tools to capture the relevant system model using projection and component mode synthesis methods. Then numerically efficient algorithms, based on spatially recursive formulation, will be developed and tuned for serial supercomputers and massively parallel computers for super-real-time simulation. The capability to handle larger problems will be accomplished through a larger hardware system and evolutionary numerical algorithms. Natural problem condensation, scaling and high-order system solution techniques and algorithms will be developed for control design and analysis tools. Lastly, menu-driven graphics and a data base will be used to integrate the tools into a user-oriented system.

DELIVERABLES -
1. Component model reduction software

2. Super-real-time hardware-in-the-loop simulation system.

3. High-order control design and analysis software.
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COMPUTATIONAL CONTROL TECHNIQUES

TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT

TECHNOLOGY READINESS LEVEL

HIGH FUNDING
MODERATE
LOW

Start Date
FY 93
FY 94
FY 95
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BACKGROUND

SCOPE - Automatic proximity operations to provide safe, cost-effective, reliable, and readily available interactive operations of co-orbiting vehicles/facilities to support transportation nodes and interplanetary exploration missions.

OBJECTIVES - To develop the trajectory control techniques, relative navigation sensors, guidance and navigation algorithms; cooperative, multi-vehicle control algorithms, optimum orbital placements, station-keeping techniques, on-board flight planning, and collision avoidance strategy for integrated, automatic proximity operations capabilities, without requiring flight crew or remote piloting support. The study will focus on accelerating the development of these elements and integrating available and emerging technologies into systems which match mission and user requirements.

RATIONALE - Future on-orbit operations for the Space Station Program, the Human Exploration Initiative, and satellite servicing will result in significantly increased traffic of co-orbiting, interactive manned and unmanned vehicles in Earth, lunar, and planetary orbits. Automatic proximity operations will enhance Earth-orbit operations by reducing flight or ground crew participation and reducing the operational constraints associated with manual or remote piloting. Automatic proximity operations are enabling technologies for the interplanetary exploration missions, where transport lags preclude remote piloting and long transfer times reduce the proficiency of flight crews for complex piloting tasks. A systematic development of these technologies can be facilitated by using current and emerging flight systems such as the NSTS, Space Station Freedom Program, and Orbital Maneuvering Vehicle (OMV) as test beds.

Maximum synergism would be effected with the Autonomous Rendezvous and Docking Project under Project Pathfinder. However, the Pathfinder AR&D funding of approximately $350K is inadequate to support the Space Station evolution needs by itself. There are unique Space Station challenges including station-keeping, sensor obscuration, and berthing/docking approaches around large appendages.
TECHNOLOGY FOR SPACE STATION EVOLUTION
-A WORKSHOP

ATTITUDE CONTROL & STABILIZATION

PROGRAM PLAN

APPROACH -

1. Determine requirements for relative navigation sensors required for automatic rendezvous, proximity operations, and docking/berthing approaches and support their development and integration into automatic proximity operations capabilities, including trajectory control techniques, GN&C algorithms, and collision-avoidance techniques.

2. Develop optimum orbital placements of co-orbiting systems, on-board flight planning techniques, and orbital transfer techniques, which reduce transfer propellant and time with safety and low interference among multi-vehicle traffic operations.

3. Demonstrate these integrated capabilities via a series of ground and flight demonstrations. Ground demonstrations will involve math model simulations and hardware/software demonstrations. Flight demonstrations will begin with open-loop sensor demonstrations, progressing to closed-loop flight demonstrations using current and emerging flight systems.

DELIVERABLES -

1. Prototype relative navigation sensors integrated with GN&C algorithms, trajectory control and collision avoidance techniques, on-board flight planning, and orbital placement and transfer techniques.

2. Ground demonstrations of these integrated capabilities using graphics simulations and hardware/software test beds.

3. Progressive series of flight demonstrations of automatic proximity operations capabilities, commencing with open-loop sensor tests and culminating in full, closed-loop flight performance.
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ATTITUDE CONTROL & STABILIZATION

AUTOMATIC PROXIMITY OPERATIONS

TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT

TECHNOLOGY READINESS LEVEL

HIGH FUNDING
MODERATE
LOW

Start Date FY 93 FY 94 FY 95
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RECOMMENDATIONS/ISSUES FOR ATTITUDE CONTROL & STABILIZATION

ADVANCED CONTROLS TECHNOLOGIES REQUIRED FOR SPACE STATION EVOLUTION

RECOMMENDATION

A PROGRAM FUNDED AT $13 M/YR IS STRONGLY RECOMMENDED DUE TO THE ENABLING NATURE OF THE TECHNOLOGIES NEEDED FOR AN EVOLUTIONARY SPACE STATION SUCH AS:

- MOMENTUM MANAGEMENT IN A MULTI-USER ENVIRONMENT.
- MULTI-VEHICLE TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT AND PROXIMITY OPERATIONS.
- CONTROL SYSTEM STABILITY FOR MULTIPLE FLEXIBLE STRUCTURES.
- ROBUST PERFORMANCE FOR VASTLY CHANGING CONFIGURATIONS.
TECHNOLOGY FOR SPACE STATION EVOLUTION
- A WORKSHOP

COMMUNICATIONS AND TRACKING TECHNOLOGY DISCIPLINE

JANUARY 19, 1990

ROBERT ROMANOFSKY, CHAIRMAN
NASA HEADQUARTERS, CODE RC
TECHNOLOGY FOR SPACE STATION EVOLUTION
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TECHNOLOGY DISCIPLINE SUMMARY FOR COMMUNICATIONS AND TRACKING

OBJECTIVE:

- Develop devices, components, and analytical methods to enhance and enable technology to meet space station evolutionary requirements for multiple access (proximity) communications, space-to-ground communications, and tracking as it pertains to rendezvous and docking as well potential orbital debris warning systems.

SUMMARY:

The Space Station function as the hub of a sophisticated communications network presents significant technical challenges. The multiple access system is required to provide numerous simultaneous links between various spacecraft operating in different zones. Five technology areas have been identified which promise to enable evolutionary performance and safety improvements: optical communications and tracking, monolithic microwave integrated circuit antenna systems, traveling wave tube technology, advanced modulation and coding, and advanced automation for communications and tracking. Several issues have also been identified which deserve careful consideration: debris tracking (safety and operations), frequency allocation (ku-band interference), and higher data rates (user need accommodation).
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COMMUNICATIONS AND TRACKING

OPTICAL COMMUNICATIONS AND TRACKING

BACKGROUND

SCOPE - Accommodation of intra-station data handling requirements, anticipated to be as high as one gigabit per second for certain user payloads, as well as space-to-ground traffic, projected to approach thirty-four terabits per day. Furthermore, the embodiment of a practical system to detect and track orbital debris which is considered to be a potential threat to Space Station integrity.

OBJECTIVES - Provide adequate internal and space-to-ground data handling capability to satisfy user requirements. Capitalize on available high-rate optical fiber technology and develop advanced optoelectronic interface technology. Accelerate development and deployment of optical technology for space applications. Enable graceful evolution of communications architecture to support sophisticated payloads.

REQUIREMENTS - User needs dictate expanded system capability. Real-time data transmission necessitates gigabit-per-second links. Scrub-back procedures have been insensitive to these requirements. Optical technology enables practical high data-rate systems and promises to enable high spatial resolution tracking.
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COMMUNICATIONS AND TRACKING

PROGRAM PLAN

APPROACH:

1. Exploit small volume and mass, low power requirements, and interference immunity of optical technology to enable a practical high data-rate communication system.
2. Develop optical integrated circuit, optoelectronic interface and system architecture technology for high speed optical fiber links.
3. Develop high power, solid state laser transmitters and high-sensitivity receivers to provide enhanced data rate space-to-ground links.
4. Investigate optical sensor technology for autonomous docking.

DELIVERABLES:

1. Demonstration of low power consumption, gigabit-per-second optical fiber interconnected transmitter/receiver link. (Requires moderate funding option)
2. Demonstration of long-life, high modulation rate, high-power laser transmitters and extremely high sensitivity optical receivers. (Requires high funding option)
3. Conceptualization and analysis of optically guided autonomous rendezvous and docking. (Requires low funding option)
4. Conceptualization and analysis of optical or hybrid optical/millimeter-wave debris tracking system. (Requires low funding option)
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COMMUNICATIONS AND TRACKING

OPTICAL COMMUNICATIONS AND TRACKING

TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT

TECHNOLOGY READINESS LEVEL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>92</th>
<th>93</th>
<th>94</th>
<th>95</th>
<th>96</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Phase A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- HIGH
- MODERATE
- LOW
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COMMUNICATIONS AND TRACKING

MONOLITHIC MICROWAVE INTEGRATED CIRCUIT SYSTEMS

BACKGROUND

SCOPE - Utilization of advanced monolithic microwave integrated circuit (MMIC) technology to provide high-fidelity uninterrupted proximity communications and preemptive orbital debris tracking radar.

OBJECTIVES - Improve versatility and reliability of the multiple-access communications system through the use of active array antennas. Improve confidence and safety of Space Station and station operations through the use of fast scanning rate phased array radar, which is a prerequisite for debris collision avoidance.

REQUIREMENTS - Appreciable interference problems are expected at Ku-band. The eventual transition of Space Station into Ka-band is encouraged by a large constituency. A medium-gain, wide-scan (hemispherical) antenna and a narrow beam scanning phased array antenna for long range (2000 km) operations are candidates for MMIC insertion. The need for power control on return links of the multiple access system has also been identified. Finally, sub-microsecond scanning phased arrays for orbital debris tracking will require millimeter-wave integrated circuit technology.
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COMMUNICATIONS AND TRACKING

MONOLITHIC MICROWAVE INTEGRATED CIRCUIT SYSTEMS

PROGRAM PLAN

APPROACH:

1. Exploit Ka-band technology to augment data handling capacity and alleviate Ku-band interference problems.
2. Develop manufacturable monolithic integrated circuit amplifiers and phase shifters to enable Ka-band active array antennas.
3. Integrate MMIC technology with compatible antenna technology to produce fast scanning rate arrays for full coverage proximity communications and orbital debris tracking.

DELIVERABLES:

1. Reproducible, cost effective, reliable Ka-band MMIC: variable power (1 W max.) amplifiers, high power (2 to 4 W) amplifiers, and low-loss phase shifters. (Low funding option does not include reliability assessment)
2. Demonstration of two-dimensional fast scanning rate Ka-band phased array antenna. (Requires high funding option)
3. Conceptualization and analysis of on-board millimeter-wave orbital debris tracking system. (Requires moderate funding option)
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COMMUNICATIONS AND TRACKING
MONOLITHIC MICROWAVE INTEGRATED CIRCUIT ANTENNA SYSTEMS

TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT

TECHNOLOGY READINESS LEVEL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3</th>
<th>Start Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>92</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>93</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>94</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- HIGH
- MODERATE
- LOW
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COMMUNICATIONS AND TRACKING

TRAVELING WAVE TUBE TECHNOLOGY

BACKGROUND

SCOPE - Adaptation of proven technology for high data rate (wide bandwidth) link from Space Station Freedom to the Advanced Tracking and Data Relay Satellite System.

OBJECTIVES - Provide low risk, evolutionary communications capability to Space Station. Investigate 60 GHz technology for space-to-space links.

REQUIREMENTS - Existing and anticipated demands on Space Station information handling capability encourage enhanced downlink data rates. ATDRSS is expected to utilize Ka-band architecture; hence, a Ka-band crosslink is a logical evolutionary step. Furthermore, traveling wave tube technology is maturing more rapidly than any competitor at millimeter wavelengths. A 60 GHz traveling wave tube operating with 10% bandwidth, a conservative technical specification, offers a data rate which rivals optical technology.
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COMMUNICATIONS AND TRACKING

TRAVELING WAVE TUBE TECHNOLOGY

PROGRAM PLAN

APPROACH:

1. Exploit high RF output power, high efficiency, and reliability of traveling wave tube technology to enable adequate data rates for Space Station downlink and develop ATDRSS compatible hardware.
2. Unveil low-risk (near term), moderate-cost alternative to optical communications.
3. Develop 60 GHz traveling wave tube technology for space-to-space communications.

DELIVERABLES:

1. Demonstration of high-power, high-efficiency Ka-band traveling wave tube with appropriate power conditioning peripherals for ATDRSS-type crosslink. (Moderate funding option necessitates relaxed performance and eliminates peripherals)
2. Analysis of prospects, technology readiness, and feasibility of 60 GHz link capability for Space Station Freedom. (Requires moderate funding level)
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COMMUNICATIONS AND TRACKING

TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT

TECHNOLOGY READINESS LEVEL

HIGH
MODERATE
LOW

DATE

PHASE A
BACKGROUND

SCOPE - Expansion of modulation rates using data compression, advanced modulation and coding to provide more efficient use of available bandwidth. Exploration of innovative techniques to reduce information volume to useful data and expand information throughput.

OBJECTIVES - Provide promising alternative or supplement to wider bandwidths through improved spectral utilization (greater than two bits per second per hertz).

REQUIREMENTS - Existing needs and anticipated growth of payload data rates demand an increase in information handling capability. Options include moving to much higher frequencies or enhancing data transmission through existing links. Advanced modulation and coding techniques offer the potential to dramatically reduce the bandwidth required for applications such as high frame rate, high definition television.
TECHNOLOGY FOR SPACE STATION EVOLUTION
-A WORKSHOP

COMMUNICATIONS AND TRACKING

ADVANCED MODULATION AND CODING

PROGRAM PLAN

APPROACH:

1. Investigate data compression techniques and advanced modulation and coding to exploit available bandwidth as opposed to or in addition to extending Space Station communications operations into millimeter or optical wavelengths to permit greater data throughput, improved bit error rate performance, and enhanced bandwidth efficiency.
2. Develop encoding techniques and modulator/demodulator technology to enable novel bandwidth efficiency improvements.

DELIVERABLES:

1. Analysis and selection of optimum modulation schemes to provide enhanced data rates for intra-Space Station and Space Station-to-ground communication links. (Requires low funding option)
2. Laboratory breadboard demonstration of modulator/demodulator critical functions. (Moderate funding option does not permit parallel approach development)
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COMMUNICATIONS AND TRACKING

ADVANCED MODULATION AND CODING

TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT

TECHNOLOGY READINESS LEVEL

5

4

3

92 93 94 95 96

PHASE A

DATE

HIGH

MODERATE

LOW
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COMMUNICATIONS AND TRACKING
ADVANCED AUTOMATION

BACKGROUND

SCOPE - Implementation of knowledge-based autonomous systems to improve safety and enhance operations of numerous communications and tracking functions.

OBJECTIVES - Identify candidate subsystems likely to benefit from or requiring expert system interaction. Reduce demand on crew time and optimize utilization of communications and tracking resources. Improve safety and reliability of critical operations such as extravehicular activity (EVA). Provide high levels of fault tolerance and diagnostic capability to communications and tracking architecture.

REQUIREMENTS - A plethora of functions and applications dependent on high levels of autonomy for practical implementation exist. Automation is an enabling ingredient for realistic orbital debris tracking and unmanned rendezvous and docking. Numerous communications resource management enhancements are provided as well, especially ground station scheduling and antenna selection. Safe EVA coordination and monitoring could be an early application. A methodical development of expert system integration is essential.
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COMMUNICATIONS AND TRACKING

ADVANCED AUTOMATION

PROGRAM PLAN

APPROACH:

1. Select those communications and tracking systems and operations for which complete or partial autonomy is essential and merge associated functions as a prelude to total expert system integration. For example, optimistically, extravehicular activities, high gain antenna selection, and orbital debris tracking would be coordinated through a central expert system manager.
2. Develop selected fully autonomous expert systems as well as user query/interactive systems for identified functions. Develop appropriate interactive communications environment (speech synthesis, graphics, etc.) to facilitate user interface.
3. Integrate multiple interrelated functions with communications/data management system and user interface system.

DELIVERABLES:

1. Demonstration/simulation of autonomous expert system for selected communications and tracking function.*
2. Demonstration of intelligent user interface for integrated interactive communications and tracking system.*

*(Integration phase requires high funding option, development phase requires moderate funding option, concept phase requires low funding option)
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COMMUNICATIONS AND TRACKING

ADVANCED AUTOMATION

TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT

TECHNOLOGY READINESS LEVEL

HIGH

MODERATE

LOW

DATE

PHASE A

92 93 94 95 96

Start Date
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RECOMMENDATIONS/ISSUES FOR COMMUNICATION AND TRACKING

ORBITAL DEBRIS

Orbital debris is considered a significant potential threat to the basic safety of the crew and structure of Space Station. It has been predicted that approximately 50,000 0.1 mm particles will impact Space Station per year. This process will cause continual erosion of surfaces, and could be particularly detrimental to optical instruments and solar panels. Primary concern, however, is focused on the far-from-remote possibility that large particles (greater than 1 cm) will collide with the structure over the projected 30 year lifetime. Although the structure is designed to tolerate collisions with particles having dimensions smaller than 1 cm, it is anticipated that Space Station will experience close encounters with much larger particles every year. Currently, there is no method of tracking debris with dimensions smaller than 10 cm. Ten centimeter and larger particles can be monitored through ground based surveillance. Furthermore, the debris problem is malignant since space activities continue to generate additional material.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

1. Continue/expand (optical/radar) studies of debris distribution
2. Develop precision onboard optical/millimeter wave debris tracking system
3. NASA should pioneer efforts to minimize additional debris
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RECOMMENDATIONS/ISSUES FOR COMMUNICATIONS AND TRACKING

FREQUENCY ALLOCATION

It is expected that the Space Station multiple-access system will experience significant interference at Ku-band. The primary source of interference will be fixed satellite service very small aperture terminals (VSATs), which are expected to proliferate in the 1990's. The nature of interference can range from noisy degradation to momentary blackout. Crew safety could be jeopardized. It is particularly disconcerting that NASA is a secondary user at Ku-band. Consequently, in addition to enduring intermittent interference, potential liability issues exist since Space Station could interfere with primary commercial users. Finally, the possibility of adjacent channel interference with the multiple access system by TDRSS has also been identified due the high sidelobe levels.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

1. Secure Ka-band allocation which designates NASA as primary user
2. Develop necessary Ka-band monolithic microwave integrated circuit and antenna technology.
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RECOMMENDATIONS/ISSUES FOR COMMUNICATIONS AND TRACKING

HIGHER DATA RATES

Intra-Space Station Freedom data rate requirements have been identified which are in excess of planned throughput capacity. For certain user payloads, rates as high as one gigabit/second might be required. Real-time data transmission necessitates much higher rates than currently planned. Retrofitting beyond Assembly Complete (AC) to accommodate growing demands is an untenable solution. Furthermore, optimal payload utilization is encumbered by marginal downlink rate capacity.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

1. Insert high rate fiber for initial Space Station to accommodate existing and anticipated traffic
2. Transition into optical crosslinks and downlinks for advanced TDRS systems
3. Pursue advanced modulation and coding techniques to permit data rate growth
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DATA MANAGEMENT SYSTEM TECHNOLOGY DISCIPLINE

JANUARY 19, 1990

DR. HARRY F. BENZ, CHAIRMAN
LANGLEY RESEARCH CENTER
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DATA MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

DISCIPLINE ISSUES - SUMMARY

SYSTEMS - Improve Performance of EDP
STORAGE - Improve Mass Storage, Buffers and Block Storage
PROCESSORS - Evolutionary Integration of Multicomputers
ON BOARD COMMUNICATIONS - Increase Bandwidth of Existing Fibers
SOFTWARE - Expanded SSE with Tools and Guidelines for Verification
HUMAN INTERFACE - 3-D Display Technologies
MANAGEMENT - Must Approach SSF as an Integrated System, with System Wide V and V
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DATA MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

NEEDS:

- HIGH PERFORMANCE COMPONENTS
- LOWER POWER COMPONENTS
- AUTOMATED SYSTEMS DIAGNOSIS
- SYSTEM (HW & SW) VERIFICATION
- FAULT TOLERANCE OVER LONG TERM WITH GRACEFUL DEGRADATION

ISSUES:

- HOW DO WE EVOLVE A SYSTEM?
- END-TO-END SYSTEMS ENGINEERING VS. END-TO-END SYSTEMS DESIGN
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DATA MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

STORAGE TECHNOLOGY AREA NEEDS

NEEDS:
- MASS STORAGE - BOTH BUFFER AND BLOCK ACCESS HIGH PERFORMANCE STAGED MEMORY SYSTEMS

ISSUES:
- OPTICAL DISK RECORDER NEARING TECHNOLOGICAL MATURITY
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DATA MANAGEMENT SYSTEM  
PROCESSOR TECHNOLOGY AREA NEEDS

NEEDS:

• HIGH RATE SCIENCE PROCESSOR, IMAGE PROCESSOR, DATA COMPRESSOR

• EVOLUTIONARY INTEGRATION OF MULTICOMPUTERS

• SPECIAL-PURPOSE COPROCESSOR - NEURAL NETS

ISSUES:

• SIGNIFICANT IMPROVEMENTS IN SPEED/POWER IN EDP WOULD GREATLY HELP SSF
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DATA MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

COMMUNICATIONS TECHNOLOGY AREA NEEDS

NEEDS:

• METHODS TO UPGRADE PERFORMANCE OF FIBERS
• HIGHER RATES/THROUGHPUT

ISSUES:

• ACCOMMODATION NECESSARY TO PLAN FOR FIBER REPLACEMENT
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DATA MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

SOFTWARE SYSTEM TECHNOLOGY AREA NEEDS

NEEDS:

- SSE DEVELOPMENT
- ABILITY TO PERFORM STAGE IMPLEMENTATION OF SOFTWARE

ISSUES:

- SOFTWARE VERIFICATION ... FORMAL PROOF VS. EXHAUSTIVE TESTING
- DISTRIBUTED DATA BASE ... ACCESS CONTROL, CONCURRENCY, DISTRIBUTION
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DATA MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

HUMAN INTERFACE TECHNOLOGY AREA NEEDS

NEEDS:

- 3-D DISPLAY TECHNOLOGIES FOR TELEROBOTIC AND COMPLEX DATA VISUALIZATION
- LARGE AREA, COLOR, FLAT PANEL DISPLAYS
- HIGH-RESOLUTION CAMERA INPUTS

ISSUES:

- STANDARD JOYSTICK AND INTERFACE FOR WORKSTATION
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DATA MANAGEMENT SYSTEM SYSTEMS

BACKGROUND

SCOPE - Higher performance, lower power system technologies that meet end-to-end system design requirements for a higher performance fault-tolerant, ultra-reliable DMS system.

OBJECTIVES - Develop end-to-end system models to analyze reliability, performance, power and latency requirements. Identify key system design parameters and develop and demonstrate system technologies to achieve the system requirement.

RATIONALE - Present and anticipate DMS requirements either stress DMS's capabilities or in fact exceed DMS's capabilities. NASA needs an end-to-end modeling and analysis capability to identify and analyze system requirements that are at risk.
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DATA MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

PROGRAM PLAN

APPROACH:
1. ASSESS AND PROCU RE TOOLS FOR MODELING AND ANALYZING END-TO-END SYSTEM REQUIREMENTS.

2. DEVELOP MODELS FOR END-TO-END SYSTEMS.

3. ANALYZE END-TO-END REQUIREMENTS AND IDENTIFY REQUIREMENT RISK AREAS AND POTENTIAL TECHNOLOGY ENHANCEMENT TO REDUCE RISK.

4. DEVELOP TECHNOLOGIES TO INCREASE PERFORMANCE, RELIABILITY, AND FAULT TOLERANCE.

5. IMPLEMENT A BRASSBOARD FOR HIGH PERFORMANCE, RELIABILITY, AND FAULT TOLERANT TECHNOLOGIES TO REDUCE REQUIREMENT SHORT FALLS.

6. DEMONSTRATE TECHNOLOGY COMPATIBILITY WITH DMS SYSTEM.

DELIVERABLES:
1. DEVELOP REQUIREMENT MODELS

2. TECHNOLOGY BRASSBOARDS
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DATA MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

MASS STORAGE SYSTEM

BACKGROUND

SCOPE:

RELIABLE HIGH-DENSITY MASS STORAGE THAT IS ABLE TO SUPPORT PHASE I PAYLOAD OPERATIONS ONBOARD AND PROVIDE AN EVOLVABLE BASIS FOR ENHANCED STORAGE TECHNOLOGIES REQUIRED TO ENABLE LATER PHASES OF STATION OPERATION AND HUMAN EXPLORATION OF THE MOON AND MARS.

OBJECTIVES:

TO DEVELOP THE KEY TECHNOLOGIES AND SYSTEM CONCEPTS AND DELIVER MASS STORAGE SYSTEMS ONBOARD SPACE STATION FREEDOM THAT WILL PROVIDE ON-LINE RAPID ACCESS CAPACITY TO SYSTEM AND PAYLOAD USERS. TO EVALUATE AND DEVELOP NEW STORAGE TECHNOLOGIES AS WELL AS ENHANCED VERSIONS OF THE SSF SYSTEMS TO SATISFY THE REQUIREMENTS ON THE HEI.

RATIONALE:

THE PRESENT MASS STORAGE SYSTEM PROPOSED FOR SSF PROVIDES INADEQUATE STORAGE FOR BUFFERING PAYLOAD DATA FOR DELAYED PROCESSING AND/OR TRANSMISSION. SOME OF THE PAYLOADS REQUIRE TERABYTE DATA CAPACITY AND EXTREMELY HIGH INGEST RATES. ENHANCED STORAGE PROVIDED BY VARIOUS TECHNOLOGIES IS ESSENTIAL TO REDUCE THE LOAD ON RESTRICTED DOWNLINK CAPABILITY AND TO ALLEVIATE THE UNACCEPTABLE SITUATION OF SCHEDULING PAYLOAD ACTIVITIES AROUND DATA TRANSMISSION AVAILABILITY. DATA REQUIREMENTS FOR LATER PHASES OF STATION OPERATION, LUNAR EXPLORATION AND THE MISSION TO MARS WILL BE MORE STRINGENT THAN THOSE OF THE PMC.
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DATA MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

PROGRAM PLAN

RECOMMENDATIONS/ISSUES:

SEVERAL OF THE TECHNOLOGIES THAT CAN ENABLE PAYLOAD OPERATIONS ON SPACE STATION FREEDOM CAN BE MADE READY IN THE NEAR TERM. FOR EXAMPLE, WORK IN THE AREAS OF REWRITABLE MAGNETO-OPTIC DISK TECHNOLOGY IS AT LEVEL 3 AND IS READY TO BE INCORPORATED INTO A FLIGHT EXPERIMENT AND QUALIFIED. THE FURTHER DEVELOPED TECHNOLOGIES DO NOT "DEAD-END" ON THE STATION, THEY CAN BE ENHANCED SERVE INTO THE HEI ERA. THE OTHER YOUNGER TECHNOLOGIES SHOULD BE PURSUED AS THEY TOO CAN OFFER BENEFITS LATER IN THE HEI.

MAJOR CHALLENGES FOR ALL THESE TECHNOLOGIES INCLUDE THE USUAL SPACEFLIGHT CONSTRAINTS OF WEIGHT, POWER, AND VOLUME AS WELL AS RELIABILITY, MAINTAINABILITY, AND EVOLVABILITY REQUIRED FOR THE LONG-TERM MISSIONS.

A SUITE OF TECHNOLOGIES MUST BE EVALUATED, BECAUSE NO ONE TECHNOLOGY CAN MEET THE SPECTRUM OF USER REQUIREMENTS (E.G., DATA RATE, CAPACITY).
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TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT

MASS STORAGE SYSTEM

TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT

TECHNOLOGY READINESS LEVEL

MASS STORAGE

HI
MOD
LOW
1.0

91 92 93 94 95

Phase A
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DATA MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BACKGROUND

SCOPE:

SPECIAL- AND GENERAL-PURPOSE COMPUTER AND OPERATING SYSTEMS TECHNOLOGIES TO ENABLE ENHANCED ONBOARD DATA PROCESSING AND CONTROL.

OBJECTIVES:

TO DEMONSTRATE THE CAPABILITY TO REPLACE GENERAL-PURPOSE PROCESSORS ONBOARD THE STATION WITH MULTIPROCESSOR BASED ON ADVANCED COMPONENTS WHICH WILL PERFORM THE EXISTING FUNCTIONS AND, IN ADDITION, INCLUDE SIGNIFICANT CAPABILITY FOR EXPANSION; TO DEMONSTRATE HIGHLY PARALLEL PROCESSORS CAPABLE OF HIGH THROUGHPUT IMAGE AND SCIENCE DATA PROCESSING; AND TO DETERMINE AND DEMONSTRATE THE APPLICABILITY OF SPECIAL PROCESSING ARCHITECTURES FOR NEURAL AND SYMBOLIC PROCESSING TO SPACE STATION TASKS.

RATIONALE:

CURRENTLY, OAST IS FUNDING SEVERAL GENERIC PROCESSOR TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENTS. THESE DEVELOPMENTS, TOGETHER WITH COMMERCIAL AND DOD DEVELOPMENTS, WILL PRODUCE SPECIAL- AND GENERAL-PURPOSE COMPUTING TECHNOLOGIES WHICH COULD YIELD A TEN-FOLD INCREASE IN ONBOARD COMPUTING CAPABILITY WITH NO INCREASE IN ELECTRICAL POWER REQUIREMENTS. A SIGNIFICANT CAPABILITY INCREASE IS NEEDED TO SUPPORT INCREASE SCIENCE USAGE, TO SUPPORT ASSEMBLY AND ON-ORBIT CHECKOUT, AND TO REDUCE CREW TIME REQUIRED FOR DIAGNOSIS AND MAINTENANCE. BEFORE THESE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGIES CAN BE USED ON SPACE STATION FREEDOM, HOWEVER, THEY MUST BE MADE COMPATIBLE WITH THE STATION'S EXISTING HARDWARE, SOFTWARE, AND DEVELOPMENT AND SUPPORT ENVIRONMENTS. IN ADDITION, FOR THE SPECIAL-PURPOSE PROCESSORS, ALGORITHMS AND ARCHITECTURES APPROPRIATE TO KEY SPACE STATION NEEDS MUST BE DEVELOPED AND DEMONSTRATED.
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DATA MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

PROGRAM PLAN

APPROACH:

1. DEMONSTRATE A BREADBOARD/BRASSBOARD MULTIPROCESSOR EDP UPGRADE PERFORMING
   A SELECTED SET OF DMS SOFTWARE AND APPLICATIONS INTEGRATED IN THE GROUND-BASED
   DMS TESTBED. (THIS PLAN ASSUMED ADEQUATE SUPPORT UNDER CSTI DATA SYSTEMS TO
   DEVELOP THE MULTIPROCESSOR AND ITS OPERATING SYSTEM.)

2. DEVELOP AND DEMONSTRATE A HIGHLY PARALLEL IMAGE AND SCIENCE DATA PROCESSOR
   BRASSBOARD PERFORMING SIMULATE STATION DATA REDUCTION TASK. (ASSUMES CSTI
   DATA SYSTEMS ADVANCED IMAGE PROCESSOR)

3. DEMONSTRATE THE CAPABILITY TO INTEGRATE FRONT-END SIGNAL PROCESSING ON FOCAL-
   PLANE SENSORS.

4. DEVELOP NEURAL AND/OR SYMBOLIC PROCESSING ARCHITECTURES FOR SPECIFIC SSF
   APPLICATIONS TO DEMONSTRATE THE FEASIBILITY AND EFFECTIVENESS OF USING
   THESE INNOVATIVE APPROACHES.

DELIVERABLES:

1. DEMONSTRATE EDP UPGRADE BRASSBOARD INTEGRATED INTO THE SPACE STATION
   INTEGRATED TESTBED.

2. BRASSBOARD DEMONSTATION OF HIGHLY PARALLEL IMAGE DATA PROCESSING.

3. TEST DEVICE INTEGRATING FRONT-END SIGNAL PROCESSING WITH FOCAL-PLANE SENSORS.

4. BREADBOARD DEMONSTRATION OF A NEURAL PROCESSING APPLICATION IN ROBOTICS,
   STRUCTURE CONTROL, DATA ANALYSIS, OR PLANNING ASSISTANCE.
TECHNOLOGY FOR SPACE STATION EVOLUTION
- WORKSHOP

DATA MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT AND VERIFICATION

BACKGROUND

SCOPE:
AN EXPANDED SSE THAT INCLUDES TOOLS AND GUIDELINES FOR SOFTWARE VERIFICATION, SOFTWARE METRICS AND ANALYSIS, SSE PERFORMANCE AND UTILIZATION METRICS, AN ENHANCED REUSABLE LIBRARY LINKED TO DESIGN SUPPORT AIDS, AND SUPPORT FOR MANAGEMENT AND CONTROL OF DISTRIBUTED DATABASE OF SSFP SOFTWARE OBJECTS (DESIGN REPRESENTATIONS, SOURCE CODE SEGMENTS, OBJECT CODE PACKAGES, TEST PROCEDURES AND DATA).

OBJECTIVES:
TO DEVELOP ENHANCEMENTS TO THE SSE THAT ADDRESS SOME AREAS CURRENTLY DEFERRED DUE TO BUDGET AND TECHNOLOGY CONSTRAINTS BUT WHICH WILL GREATLY IMPROVE THE SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT AND VERIFICATION PROCESS FOR FUTURE SPACE STATION SOFTWARE.

RATIONALE:
THE SSE IS BEING DEVELOPED TO SUPPORT SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT AND SSF LIFE-CYCLE SOFTWARE SUPPORT AND TO ENCOURAGE USE OF COMMON SOFTWARE ELEMENTS. THE INITIAL SSE IS PRIMARILY A COLLECTION OF COTS WITH SOME SUPPORTING SOFTWARE. THE SSE PROJECT IS FOCUSED ON MEETING THE IMMEDIATE NEEDS OF THE WP CONTRACTORS. THE ISSUES OF SOFTWARE VERIFICATION, SOFTWARE METRICS, AND A DISTRIBUTED REUSABLE LIBRARY WILL RECEIVE LITTLE ATTENTION IN THE NEAR TERM. METHODS ARE NEEDED TO INSURE SSF SOFTWARE WILL PERFORM AS EXPECTED WITHOUT DEPENDING ON THE PROHIBITIVELY EXPENSIVE, EXTENSIVE TESTING METHODS CURRENTLY EMPLOYED FOR THE SHUTTLE ORBITER.
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DATA MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT AND VERIFICATION

PROGRAM PLAN

APPROACH:

1. DEVELOP AN INTEGRATED TEST SUITE TO SUPPORT SOFTWARE VERIFICATION TESTING INCLUDING GUIDELINES ON HOW TO APPLY THE SPECIFIC TOOLS. THIS SUITE WILL INCLUDE COTS TOOLS AND EXISTING SSE TOOLS WHEN POSSIBLE.

2. SELECT AN APPLICATION FROM SSF AND APPLY A FORMED SPECIFICATION AND VERIFICATION AND COMPARE EFFORT AND RESULTING CONFIDENCE WITH THE STANDARD METHODOLOGY USED FOR THE SAME APPLICATION BY THE SSFP SOFTWARE DEVELOPERS.

3. SELECT A SET OF SOFTWARE METRICS, INSTRUMENT THE SSE TO COLLECT THESE METRICS FOR SSE PERFORMANCE AND OPERATION, INSTALL THESE INSTRUMENTS IN A SPECIFIC OPERATIONAL SPF, COLLECT THESE METRICS OVER AT LEAST A 30-DAY OPERATIONAL PERIOD, ANALYZE THE RESULTS, AND MODIFY THE DATA COLLECTION AND METRICS TECHNIQUES AND REPEAT THE EXPERIMENT.

4. INVESTIGATE DISTRIBUTED DATABASE MANAGEMENT TECHNIQUES AND IDENTIFY THOSE WHICH ARE APPROPRIATE FOR MANAGING A DISTRIBUTED SOFTWARE LIBRARY. DEVELOP A PROTOTYPE DISTRIBUTED LIBRARY MANAGEMENT AND DEMONSTRATE THE UTILITY OF THESE TECHNIQUES FOR CONTROLLING ACCESS, INTEGRITY ASSURANCE, DISTRIBUTION, AND DATA UPDATE. INVESTIGATE PERFORMANCE ISSUES AND POSSIBLE REQUIREMENTS FOR LOCAL (DUPLICATE) COPIES OF ACTIVE DATA AND METHODS FOR MAINTAINING INTEGRITY OF ALL COPIES.

5. BUILD A PROTOTYPE SYSTEM WHICH COMBINES CASE TOOLS WITH A LIBRARY BROWSER TO SERVE AS A DESIGNER'S AID COUPLED TO A REUSE LIBRARY.
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DATA MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT AND VERIFICATION

PROGRAM PLAN
(CONTINUED)

DELIVERABLES:

1. DOCUMENT THE GUIDELINES FOR INTEGRATED TESTING FOR SOFTWARE VERIFICATION. IDENTIFY ADDITIONAL TEST TOOLS TO BE ADDED TO SSE TOOLSET TO ENHANCE SOFTWARE VERIFICATION.

2. REPORT ON THE APPLICATION OF FORMAL VERIFICATION TO THE SPECIFIC SSFP APPLICATION SELECTED, INCLUDING COMPARISON OF THE EFFORT REQUIRED AND RESULTING QUALITY OF SOFTWARE.

3. (A) REPORT ON SELECTED SOFTWARE METRICS AND RESULTS OF EXPERIMENTS WITH INSTRUMENTED SSE.

   (B) RECOMMENDATIONS FOR SOFTWARE METRICS TO BE COLLECTED DURING SSFP SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT AND SPECIFIC METRIC ANALYSIS TOOLS TO BE ADDED TO SSE TOOLSET.

   (C) DRAFT GUIDELINES FOR USE OF SOFTWARE METRIC TOOLS.

   (D) RECOMMEND CHANGES TO SSE TO IMPROVE PERFORMANCE OF THE SSE BASED ON THE SOFTWARE METRICS ANALYSIS.

4. DEMONSTRATE PROTOTYPE DISTRIBUTED LIBRARY SYSTEM. RECOMMEND TECHNIQUES TO ENHANCE SSE LIBRARY SYSTEM.

5. DEMONSTRATE PROTOTYPE DESIGN/LIBRARY SYSTEM TO ILLUSTRATE ENHANCED REUSE LIBRARY SYSTEM APPROACH.
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DATA MANAGEMENT SYSTEM
SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT AND VERIFICATION

TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT

Task Readiness

- Software
- Metrics
- Design/Reuse
- Library Integration
- Formal Verification

Year:
- 1992
- 1993
- 1994
- 1995
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DATA MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

ONBOARD COMMUNICATIONS

BACKGROUND

SCOPE:

UPGRADE THE DMS DATA RATE HANDLING CAPABILITY INDEPENDENT OF THE FIBER-OPTIC CABLE LIMITATION BY USING EMERGING NEW TECHNOLOGY TO SUPPORT HARDWARE PAYLOADS AND SYSTEM UPGRADES.

OBJECTIVES:

TO DEVELOP METHODS OF INCREASING THE DMS DATA RATE CAPACITY OVER THE FIBER-OPTIC CABLE LIMITATION TO SUPPORT HIGH-RATE SCIENCE AND TO ENABLE DMS USERS TO INCREASE THEIR DMS REQUIREMENTS AS NEW TECHNOLOGY IS IMPLEMENTED.

RATIONALE:

THE DMS COMMUNICATION RATE IS LIMITED BY THE FIBER-OPTIC CABLE CAPACITY, AND THE ABILITY TO UPGRADE THE FIBER-OPTIC CABLE IS NOT PRACTICAL DUE TO INACCESSABILITY. ANY TECHNOLOGY UPGRADE IN THE DMS COMPONENTS WILL BE LIMITED THE FIBER-OPTIC CABLE DATA RATE CAPACITY.
TECHNOLOGY FOR SPACE STATION EVOLUTION
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DATA MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

PROGRAM PLAN

ONBOARD COMMUNICATIONS

APPROACH:

1. INVESTIGATE METHODS OF MODULATION ON A SINGLE FIBER THAT WOULD ENABLE INCREASED INFORMATION CAPACITY WITHOUT INCREASING THE CLOCK RATE.

2. INVESTIGATE THE USE OF MULTIPLE FIBER OPTIC CABLE CONFIGURATIONS THAT WOULD INCREASE THE DMS DATA RATE CAPACITY.

3. INVESTIGATE THE USE OF NON-FIBER OPTIC COMMUNICATION METHODS SUCH AS LASER LINKS TO WIRE AS THE DMS DATA RATE CAPACITY.

DELIVERABLES:

1. DEMONSTRATE A MODULATION SCHEME THAT INCREASES THE DATA RATE CAPACITY OVER EXISTING FIBER OPTIC CABLES.

2. DEMONSTRATE A MULTIPLE FIBER OPTIC CONFIGURATION USING ALL OF THE EXISTING FIBER OPTIC CABLES THAT INCREASES THE DATA RATE CAPACITY.

3. DEMONSTRATE A NON-FIBER COMMUNICATION SYSTEM THAT INCREASES THE DATA RATE CAPACITY.
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DATA MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

ONBOARD COMMUNICATIONS

Technology Readiness Level

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Low</th>
<th>Moderate</th>
<th>High Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>92</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>93</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>94</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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RECOMMENDATIONS / ISSUES

- APPROACH SSF AS AN INTEGRATED SYSTEM
- BETTER INTERPERSONAL COMMUNICATIONS
  - NEED: STANDARD, CONTROL, ENFORCEMENTS
  - NEED: MULTIPLE SYSTEM INTEGRATION FACILITY
- SYSTEM RETIREMENT ISSUES
  - SOFTWARE, HARDWARE, CUTOVERS
  - FLIGHT PROCESSOR EVOLUTION ... COMMERCIAL/DOD/SDIO
- SSIS TO C&T TO DMS NOT DISCUSSED
- A PROVISION OR MECHANISM FOR SRU LEVEL REPLACEMENT ON BOARD
- NEED ACCOMMODATION TO ALLOW UPGRADE/REPLACE FIBERS ON GLOBAL NET
  AND HIGH RATE LINKS

DMS OPPORTUNITIES

- AI MAINTENANCE ASSISTANT SUBSYSTEM ... AI AUGMENTED TESTS
- OVERLAY OF DATA AND VIDEO, MIXED GRAPHICS, DIGITAL HDTV, ANIMATED GRAPHICS
TECHNOLOGY FOR SPACE STATION EVOLUTION
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ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL AND LIFE SUPPORT SYSTEMS

JANUARY 19, 1990

CHARLES D. RAY, CHAIRMAN
MARSHALL SPACE FLIGHT CENTER
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ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL AND
LIFE SUPPORT SYSTEMS
CREW GENERATED WASTES
PROCESSING AND
RECLAMATION

Background

Scope – This effort includes the design, development and evaluation of a waste processing system for recovery of useful products from crew generated wastes (e.g., urine, feces, brines, crew trash, etc.).

Objectives – Develop advanced waste processing technologies for the recovery of usable water and gases such as oxygen and nitrogen from heterogeneous wastes such as feces and non-metallic trash. Application of the technology to liquid wastes will also be explored with the goal of developing a single waste processing system which is operable on any waste regardless of its liquid or solid state. Significant reduction in the amounts of water and gases needed to be resupplied may be possible through on-orbit processing of these wastes. Additional life-cycle savings are also possible by reducing these wastes to high density residues. Development of a technology suitable for simultaneously processing heterogeneous wastes and liquid wastes such as urine, humidity condensate and waste hygiene water will reduce on-board resources by combining separate processes into a common, single unit.

Requirement – Crew trash and ECLSS waste can be as high as 6-8 lb/man-day. This represents a significant storage or return-to Earth logistics problem. Waste processing has the potential to reduce this penalty while providing useful products such as water, $N_2$, and $CO_2$. 
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ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL AND LIFE SUPPORT SYSTEMS
CREW GENERATED WASTES PROCESSING AND RECLAMATION

Program Plan

Approach - The effort will begin with the screening of candidate technologies through analysis, literature surveys and small-scale laboratory studies. The most promising candidate technologies identified in these studies will be further developed through analysis and laboratory experiments for detailed parametric studies. These studies will be used as the basis for selecting the most promising candidate(s) and developing, designing and fabricating a breadboard subsystem. The breadboard will be used in extensive performance evaluations and optimization studies. Results from the breadboard testing will be used to develop, design and fabricate an optimized breadboard system which will be tested to determine overall performance, safety, reliability, resource requirements, reclamation products, etc. Analytical models will be validated and refined as the development program progresses.

Deliverables - Development documentation (e.g., trade-off data, test results, etc.).
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ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL AND
LIFE SUPPORT SYSTEMS

CREW GENERATED WASTES
PROCESSING AND RECLAMATION

Technology Assessment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Technology Readiness Level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>92</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>93</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>94</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Low
- Moderate
- High Funding
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ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL AND
LIFE SUPPORT SYSTEMS

WATER RECLAMATION -
PRE- AND POST-TREATMENT

Background

Scope – Space station baseline uses expendable chemicals for urine pretreatment and thermal stabilization for potable and hygiene waste water pretreatment. Expendable sorption beds and biocide addition are used for post treating both potable and hygiene product waters. This effort will evaluate existing pre- and post-treatment processes and will identify and characterize alternatives that eliminate or minimize expendables. Candidate processes will be selected and demonstrated at a breadboard level.

Objectives – To improve waste water pre- and post-treatment processes for urine, hygiene, and potable water processors.

Rationale – The payback for developing alternative pre- and post-treatment processes that eliminate/minimize expendibles and maximize water recovery efficiency is the reduction of IVA tasks and logistics (resupply/return) penalties.
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ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL AND LIFE SUPPORT SYSTEMS

WATER RECLAMATION - PRE- AND POST-TREATMENT

Program Plan

Approach-
1) Quantify existing baseline pre- and post-treatment processes
2) Identify alternate processes through literature search
3) Develop analytical models and trade candidate processes
4) Select most promising processes for development
5) Characterize processes at bench top level
6) Select and develop pre- and post-treatment hardware at breadboard level
7) Conduct breadboard performance characterization tests

Deliverables-
1) Development documentation, i.e., literature search, analytical models, tradeoffs, test results, etc.
2) Deliver breadboard hardware
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ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL AND LIFE SUPPORT SYSTEMS

WATER RECLAMATION - PRE- AND POST-TREATMENT

Technology Assessment

Technology Readiness Level

High Funding

Moderate

Low

Fiscal Year


Technology Start

1 2 3 4 5 6 7
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ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL AND
LIFE SUPPORT SYSTEMS

Simplified Waste
Water Processing

Background

Scope – Baselined space station waste water processing incorporates three waste water streams with individual processors and separate finished water post-treatment and storage requirements. Evaluation of waste water sources, baseline urine/hygiene/potable water processors and finished water distribution are required to identify and evaluate system simplification and resulting savings. Concept validation tests will provide basis for selection, development and testing of an integrated breadboard system.

Objectives – Simplification of multiple waste water stream processing.

Requirement – Combining waste water sources and processing into a single waste stream will simplify water recovery processing; post treatment, storage, and distribution. Single stream processing results in reducing system complexity.
TECHNOLOGY FOR SPACE STATION EVOLUTION
- A WORKSHOP

Program Plan

**Approach -**
1) Identify and evaluate system simplification and scarring impacts
2) Analyze and model candidate approaches
3) Evaluate candidate integrated system compatibility with single stream water processing approach
4) Select single stream process concept
5) Concept verification testing at fractional capacity

**Deliverables -**
1) Development documentation, i.e., analytical model, tradeoffs, test data, etc.
2) Preliminary system design
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ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL AND
LIFE SUPPORT SYSTEMS

Simplified Waste Water
Processing

Technology Assessment

Technology Readiness Level

Fiscal Year

92 93 94 95 96
Technology Start

High Funding
Moderate
Low
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BACKGROUND

The Present Trace Contaminant Control (TCC) subsystem is designed for continuous contaminant removal with only selected fire upset control capability. No experiment upset capability is included. Expendable TCC sorbent beds are replaced on 90-day intervals.

OBJECTIVES
1) Increased TCC system flexibility is necessary to accommodate upsets due to fire & hazardous upsets.
2) Expendable sorbents are to be reduced or eliminated.
3) Scarring required to handle upset conditions are to be defined.

REQUIREMENT
Eliminate or minimize expendables to reduce resupply and return logistics, crew time, and storage requirements. Improved flexibility of TCC to support space station utilization as an experimental platform is required.
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ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL AND LIFE SUPPORT SYSTEMS

IMPROVED TRACE CONTAMINANT REMOVAL

Program Plan

Approach – Investigate alternate or improved high-temperature catalytic oxidizers and improved sorbent beds. Evaluate techniques for IN-SITU bed regeneration, plasma catalysis, and high effectiveness catalysts. Define TCC designs to process upset conditions and test feasibility and breadboard concepts, and fabricate a prototype unit.

Deliverables – Deliverables include a technology assessment report, feasibility and breadboard test reports. Design data and prototype unit will be delivered for integrated system testing. A continued evaluation of evolving space station requirements will be made. Program and cost plans will be generated for flight hardware.
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ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL AND
LIFE SUPPORT SYSTEMS

IMPROVED TRACE
CONTAMINANT REMOVAL

Technology Assessment

Technology Readiness Level

High Funding
Moderate
Low

Fiscal Year


Technology Start
TECHNOLOGY FOR SPACE STATION EVOLUTION -  
A WORKSHOP

ENVIROMENTAL CONTROL AND  
LIFE SUPPORT SYSTEMS

REAL TIME MICROBIAL ANALYSIS

Background

Scope - The safety of the space station crew depends in the effective control of water reclamation systems as well as the verification of an uncontaminated on-orbit water supply. Micobiological contaminants such as bacteria, yeasts and molds, and viruses are particularly troublesome. Routine enumeration of total bacteria counts at concentrations below 1 CFU/100ml will be required to verify the acceptability of reclaimed water prior to use. Additional enumeration of aerobic, anaerobic, gram positive, gram negative, coliform, and enteric bacteria, as well as yeasts and molds will also be required on a less frequent basis. Present off-line culture technologies used to perform these monitoring functions are inherently labor intensive, require excessive sample quantities from the limited water supply in order to meet sensitivity requirements, require a minimum of 48 hours for the confirmation of results, and have substantial recurring costs associated with the resupply of expendables and return of wastes. This effort includes the design, fabrication and evaluation of a breadboard unit for on-line real-time microbiological monitoring of water.

Objectives – The objective of this effort will be to develop a microbiological analysis method that is amenable to on-line, real-time microbiological monitoring and to demonstrate the feasibility of the application via the development, design, fabrication, and testing of a breadboard unit.

Requirement – The payback from the successful development of a suitable microbial monitor will be the reduction of demands on crew time and other resources as well as the provision of a sensor and instrumentation unit that will be compatible with an overall life support system automation and control strategy.
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ENVIROMENTAL CONTROL AND
LIFE SUPPORT SYSTEMS

REAL TIME MICROBIAL
ANALYSIS

Program Plan

Approach – The effort will begin with identification and laboratory assessment of potential methods for on-line, real-time enumeration of total bacteria. Laboratory testing to confirm sensitivity, selectivity, and overall reliability will be conducted. Parametric studies will be used to optimize the most promising method(s). The feasibility of adapting the total bacteria method(s) to provide additional enumeration of aerobic, anaerobic, gram, positive, gram negative, coliform, and enteric bacteria, as well as yeasts and molds will be evaluated. Results of these studies will be used to establish a breadboard design which will be fabricated and tested to confirm that the required sensitivity and selectivity demonstrated in the laboratory testing have been maintained as well as to assess mechanical reliability, resource requirements, ultimate automation potential, etc. The effort will culminate with the delivery of an optimized breadboard device for additional testing, to be supported by the contractor for a period of six months.

Deliverables – Development documentation (final report)
Breadboard on-line real-time microbial monitor
Instruction and Maintenance manual.
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Recommendations/Issues for Environmental Control
and Life Support Systems

Issues

- Regenerable System Long Term Process Evaluation (Air and Water)
- Microgravity Fire Signature Identification

Recommendations

- Continued Emphasis on Systems Analysis Relative to Technology Development
- Continued Emphasis on Automation/Sensors

Additional Technology Areas for Consideration

- CO₂ Reduction by Products Utilization and Catalysis
- "Smart" Fire Detection and Improved Suppression System
- Improved Liquid/Gas Separation
- Noise Reduction (Rotating Equipment)
- N₂ Generation (From N₂ Sources Such as Crew Metabolic Byproducts)
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EXTRAVEHICULAR ACTIVITY
TECHNOLOGY DISCIPLINE

JANUARY 19, 1990

DR. BRUCE W. WEBBON, CHAIRMAN
AMES RESEARCH CENTER
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TECHNOLOGY DISCIPLINE SUMMARY FOR EXTRAVEHICULAR ACTIVITY (EVA)

Extravehicular Activity includes all activity outside the pressured volume of the space vehicle, although common usage tends to imply only manned activity. The scope of the activities describe herein will focus on manned EVA systems including interfaces with robotic work aids and systems.

Traditionally, manned extravehicular activities have been costly and perceived to be risky. In order for ELBA to become a routine, cost-effective mission resource, particular attention needs to be given to reducing logistics requirements and increasing productivity. EVA operations also tend to be very uncomfortable and tiring, and involve extensive preparation. Current suits, for example, operate at 4.3 psi and require the astronaut to perform extensive pre-breathing to reduce the bends risk to an acceptable level. Suits used for advanced Space Station Freedom EVA operations will be pressurized to 8.3 psi. This means that greater attention must be placed on glove and joint mobility.

A new dimension has been added to EVA operations for future missions, i.e., cooperative efforts involving EVA crew members with telerobots. This will require that particular attention be given to how best to interface the EVA crew members with telerobotic operations physically and logically. This will include work in information display, information transfer, and system control.

The key work system elements involved in Extravehicular Activity are the following:

- Extravehicular Mobility Unit (EMU)
- Air Lock and EMU Support Equipment
- Tools, Mobility Aids and Work Stations
- Telerobotic Work Aids Interfaces

These elements are addressed in the sections that follow.
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BACKGROUND

SCOPE - The major subsystems comprising the Extravehicular Mobility Unit are the Portable Life Support System (PLSS) and the Pressure Suit Assembly.

Major issues which must be addressed for the PLSS are life cycle cost, reliability, logistics and productivity. Technological advances are needed in (a) life support processes (understanding and conceptual development), (b) oxygen supply, (c) carbon dioxide and humidity control, (d) prime movers (e.g., fans and pumps), (e) automatic control, (f) heat rejection, power sources, and (g) avionics.

Major issues which must be addressed for the Pressure Suit Assembly are high cost, limited life, increase in the EVA environmental envelope, productivity, reliability, safety, serviceability and maintainability. Technologies which must be addressed include (a) gloves, (b) pressure vessel (suit), and (c) materials.

OBJECTIVE - The objective for this technology area is to develop, demonstrate and space qualify in earth orbit prototype Portable Life Support and EVA Suit systems responsive to the needs of future Space Station Freedom missions.

RATIONALE - Current EVA systems are uncomfortable, require extensive pre-breathing procedures, are reliable only for limited use and are extremely costly to maintain and prepare for operation. New technologies being developed (AX-5 and ZPS suit systems) and advanced portable life support systems are needed if man is to maintain a permanent presence in space and perform the required routine EVA operations safely, reliably and economically.
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PROGRAM PLAN

APPROACH -

1. PLSS: (a) Conduct research efforts to develop a basic understanding of the life support processes and to identify and assess promising new concepts in order to reduce weight, volume, servicing and logistics requirements, (b) develop innovative concepts for storage and regulation of oxygen supplies to achieve small volume and increased operational flexibility, (c) develop regenerative techniques for control of carbon dioxide and humidity to reduce weight and resupply requirements, (d) improve understanding of thermal and physiological processes to improve crew productivity, comfort and system efficiency, (e) develop alternative processes and materials for heat rejection for reduced weight, volume and power requirements, (f) develop new power sources concepts for long life and low resupply weight, and (g) apply advanced avionics techniques for communication and control, e.g., voice systems, advanced displays and miniaturization, to achieve low power requirements, improved productivity and easier training.

2. Advanced Pressure Suit Assemblies: (a) Develop glove manufacturing techniques and (b) improved glove materials in order to prolong glove life, improve EVA crew productivity, improve glove producibility, and lower manufacturing cost; and (c) conduct pressure suit materials research and development efforts in order to provide lighter-weight suits with improved environmental protection.

3. Use the technologies developed in tasks 1 and 2 above to develop an integrated PLSS and Pressure Suit Assembly for test and evaluation in orbit.

DELIVERABLES -

1. Advanced-technology Portable Life Support System
2. Advanced-technology Pressure Suit Assembly
3. Integrated EMU for test in orbit
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TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT

HIGH FUNDING
MODERATE
LOW

TECHNOLOGY READINESS LEVEL

Start Date
FY 93
FY 94
FY 95
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EVA

AIRLOCK AND EMU SUPPORT EQUIPMENT

BACKGROUND

SCOPE - Software, oxygen supply, fluid interfaces, electrical interfaces, automation, and materials. Major issues which must be addressed include crew productivity and system reliability, safety, serviceability and maintainability.

OBJECTIVES - Objectives of this technology area are to develop (a) software for automatic EVA systems checkout; (b) oxygen supply systems to reduce portable life support systems volume requirements, increase durability and reduce power; and (c) fluid and electrical interfaces to facilitate management of umbilical connections.

RATIONALE - Operational costs and time spent in servicing current portable life support and suit systems are extremely high. Space Station Freedom and other future space missions will require order of magnitude improvements in serviceability and performance checkout; it will no longer be practical to rely on ground-based maintenance and checkout of EVA systems to the extent we do on current STS operation. More and more of the maintenance, servicing and checkout must be performed on-orbit. This will require adaptation of new procedures and technologies.
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EVA
AIRLOCK AND EMU SUPPORT EQUIPMENT

PROGRAM PLAN

APPROACH -

1. Automated Checkout: Develop software programs to perform automated checkout of critical portable life support and suit systems. Base these programs on artificial intelligence techniques (i.e., knowledge-based techniques), and apply to the EMU design as it evolves.

2. Oxygen Supply: Develop high-pressure recharge techniques. Conduct research to identify and develop improved materials. Fabricate and test a prototype oxygen supply system.

3. Fluid and Electrical Interfaces: Develop a rotary coupling to facilitate management of umbilical interfaces between the portable life support systems and the fluid and electrical supply systems on board the space station. These umbilicals are for use prior to exiting from the EVA air lock before performing extravehicular activities, and after return to the air lock.

DELIVERABLES -

1. A prototype, knowledge-based automated checkout system for the EMU.

2. A prototype, high-pressure oxygen supply system for the portable life support system.

3. A prototype, rotary coupling for fluid and electrical interfaces between the EMU and the airlock fluid and electrical supply systems.
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EVA
EXTRAVEHICULAR MOBILITY UNIT

TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT

TECHNOLOGY READINESS LEVEL

HIGH FUNDING
MODERATE
LOW

FY 93
FY 94
FY 95

Start Date
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EVA

TOOLS, MOBILITY AIDS AND WORK STATIONS

BACKGROUND

SCOPE - Productivity, safety, cost, end effectors, tools, repair/maintenance processes and kits, EVA work stations, and crew rescue and equipment retrieval.

OBJECTIVES - The objectives of this technology area are to develop and evaluate procedures, concepts and equipments for: (a) end effectors and tools which will allow the EVA crew member to work more productively; (b) repair and maintenance processes and kits to maintain and restore EVA system operations; (c) EVA work stations for increasing EVA productivity and safety; and (d) crew rescue and equipment retrieval.

RATICNALE - A goal of automation and telerobotics programs is to minimize the amount of manned extravehicular activity required for Space Station Freedom and other future space missions. However, substantial amounts of EVA activities will still be required, even after achieving this "minimum" level. Long-duration missions onboard the space station will probably benefit greatly from the use of end effectors and tools designed either for performing specific tasks, where it makes sense to do so, or for more general-pupose applications, e.g., wrenches, pliers, etc. Extensive EVA operations will also require the use of EVA work stations. Long-duration missions will also require a capability to repair and maintain EMU systems on orbit; repair and maintenance processes and kits are needed. Finally, for safety purposes, there is need for a capability to rescue an EVA astronaut in the event that he should lose his tether and drift away from the space station; similarly there is a need for a capability to retrieve equipment which may also be adrift.
TECHNOLOGY FOR SPACE STATION EVOLUTION
-A WORKSHOP

PROGRAM PLAN

APPROACH -

Develop and evaluate (a) advanced technology end effectors and tools (e.g., smart tools and end effector
which may either simplify greatly the EVA astronaut's task or reduce his work load substantially), (b) EVA
work stations which are articulable and compatible with robots and humans, (c) repair and maintenance
processes and kits, and (d) crew rescue and equipment survival concepts. Facilities required include
ground laboratories, water tank facilities, the KC-135 Variable-Gravity In-Flight Simulator, and the Space
Shuttle. Depending on individual requirements, one or more of these facilities may be required to
evaluate a particular technology.

DELIVERABLES -

1. Prototype end effectors and tools for evaluation in ground simulation facilities, the KC-135
   Variable-Gravity In-Flight simulator, and the Space Shuttle.

2. Prototype EVA work stations for evaluation in neutral-buoyancy test facilities, the KC-135
   Variable-Gravity In-Flight Simulator, and the Space Shuttle.

3. Prototype repair and maintenance processes and kits for evaluation in neutral buoyancy test facilities,
   the KC-135 Variable-Gravity In-Flight Simulator, and the Space Shuttle.

4. Concepts, simulations and prototype hardware for crew rescue and equipment retrieval.
TECHNOLOGY FOR SPACE STATION EVOLUTION
-A WORKSHOP

TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT

TECHNOLOGY READINESS LEVEL

HIGH FUNDING
MODERATE
LOW

Start Date
FY 93
FY 94
FY 95

TOOLS, MOBILITY AIDS AND WORK STATIONS
TECHNOLOGY FOR SPACE STATION EVOLUTION
-A WORKSHOP

BACKGROUND

SCOPE - Information acquisition, information transfer, information display, artificial intelligence, proximity operations, sensors, command and control.

OBJECTIVE - The objective of this technology area is to achieve compatibility between EVA Astronauts and telerobots through a synergistic relationship between the two in performing EVA tasks.

RATIONALE - Robots are being developed to help reduce the amount of EVA time required of the EVA astronaut, to perform those tasks which may be performed more effectively through application of telerobotic technology, and to make most productive use of human and other resources of Space Station Freedom. There will certainly be many tasks which can be performed most productively through a cooperative or interactive relationship between EVA astronauts and one or more telerobots. This relationship needs to be understood and exploited in order to accomplish missions and tasks safely, economically and productively.
TECHNOLOGY FOR SPACE STATION EVOLUTION
-A WORKSHOP

EVA

TELEROBOTIC WORK AIDS INTERFACES

PROGRAM PLAN

APPROACH -

1. Information Acquisition and Display: Develop versatile multi-modal sensory display systems for use in the space suit which (a) are easy to adjust (spatial and temporal resolution, dynamic range vs. band width, etc.), and (b) provide easy information capture, storage and retrieval. Displays may be visual, aural, tactile, or some combination.

2. Control Systems and Artificial Intelligence: (a) Develop human-oriented control systems for use in controlling the telerobot either by the EVA astronaut, the IVA astronaut, or some combination, (b) develop techniques for automation transparency, smooth operational mode change and graceful human intervention, and (c) apply artificial intelligence techniques which provide a proper and effective amount of "autonomy" to the telerobot - enough so that the astronaut's work load is reasonable and productive, but in such a way that safety is not compromised.

3. Proximity Sensors: Develop sensors which do not depend on line-of-sight in order to minimize the likelihood of collision between the EVA astronaut and robot; develop passive sensors for safety override and active path control around obstacles.

4. Control and Command Input Techniques: Develop non-manual techniques such as voice or by movement to control motions and tasks of the telerobot in order to reduce manual input requirements and EVA astronaut work load, and to increase productivity.
TECHNOLOGY FOR SPACE STATION EVOLUTION
-A WORKSHOP

EVA

TELEROBOTIC WORK AIDS INTERFACES

PROGRAM PLAN
(CONTINUED)

DELIVERABLES -

1. Advanced information acquisition and display concepts, systems and procedures for use in cooperative efforts between EVA astronauts and robots.

2. Advanced control systems concepts, techniques and hardware to facilitate the interaction between the EVA astronaut and robot in carrying out EVA missions and tasks.

3. Sensor concepts, hardware and procedures for minimizing the likelihood of collision between EVA astronaut and robot; and passive sensors for override and active path control.

4. Concepts, hardware and procedures for non-manual control of robot motions and tasks, e.g., use of aural inputs or eye movement.
TECHNOLOGY FOR SPACE STATION EVOLUTION
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TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT
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TECHNOLOGY FOR SPACE STATION EVOLUTION
-A WORKSHOP

TECHNOLOGY DISCIPLINE SUMMARY FOR MANNED SYSTEMS

As Space Station Freedom evolves toward its full capability to support scientific and human exploration missions with relatively large crews and long tours of duty (sixty days or more), economy, performance and safety become increasingly important. This implies that: (a) relatively little of the crew's time should be devoted to performing routine tasks and monitoring on-board systems (i.e., their skills should be directed primarily toward performing their missions); (b) crew members must be able to maintain their skills under both normal and emergency conditions; (c) they must be able to maintain and support the on-board systems as necessary to ensure their availability and safety; (d) they must be provided with an environment in which they can remain motivated and reliable; and (e) systems designers and mission planners need improved capabilities to evaluate and synthesize alternative designs and procedures consistent with future program and mission objectives. These are some of the factors which influenced the Manned-Systems Workshop Session in developing their recommendations. The technology areas which evolved quite naturally as a result of considering these factors are as follows:

- Crew-Systems Interfaces and Interactions
- Training
- Maintenance and Support
- Habitability and Environment
- Computational Human Factors / Analysis Tools
TECHNOLOGY FOR SPACE STATION EVOLUTION
-A WORKSHOP

MANNED SYSTEMS

CREW-SYSTEMS INTERFACES AND INTERACTIONS

BACKGROUND

SCOPE - Effective crew-systems integration applies the systems approach during system development to enhance functional effectiveness, while maintaining or enhancing human well-being and system performance. In this approach, the human operator is considered a component or subsystem of the total, integrated Man-Machine System. Thus, limitations, capabilities, and expectations of the operator must be taken into account to form efficient and productive crew-system interfaces and interactions. The human operator interacts with the system through the Man-Machine Interface (MMI). Through this interface, the operator must sense or perceive the state of the system and environment, then process that information, make a decision, and select a response before putting that response into the system. It is the MMI, in its broadest sense, that is the scope of the technical area. This includes not only the hardware input and output devices, but the human-computer interface and artificial intelligence/expert systems.

OBJECTIVES - The objectives in this technology area are to design and develop innovative approaches, techniques, hardware, and software that will enhance system performance by improving the crew-systems interfaces and interactions. By enhancing the operator performance and well-being, the overall system performance benefits. Thus, the goal is a more symbiotic, synergistic Man-Machine System.

RATIONALE - Greater demands will be placed on Space Station Freedom as it evolves. There will be larger and more complex systems, more payloads (in both quantity and variety), and more required EVA (generally assembly and servicing operations, such as attached payloads, free flyer servicing, and transportation node operations). This in turn will place greater demands on the crew in both the number and complexity of tasks to be accomplished. In many cases, the crew will have to sense and comprehend the system status, make decisions, and respond more quickly and efficiently. In other cases, there will be a need to off-load many of the tasks the crew would normally do, perhaps through automation, while still providing the crew the capability for insight into the systems' configuration, operation, and status. In essence, in order to meet the demands of the evolving space station, crew productivity must be enhanced. To attain this goal, sufficient resources must be focused on improving the crew-systems interfaces and interactions.
TECHNOLOGY FOR SPACE STATION EVOLUTION
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MANNED SYSTEMS

CREW-SYSTEMS INTERFACES AND INTERACTIONS

PROGRAM PLAN

APPROACH -

1. Human-Computer Interfaces and interactions: Develop improved Man-Machine Interfaces (MMI) and Human-Computer Interfaces (HCI) that enhance the operator's perception of, and interaction with, systems' operations. MMI improvements should exploit alternate sensory modalities and permit more "natural" response selections. Audition might be employed via 3-D auditory displays and speech production. Speech recognition and direct manipulation could permit more natural methods for system input. The HCI might be improved through display format standardization, advanced methods for multi-tasking management, and more rapid input and access. Improvements in both the MMI and HCI should be guided with the eventual goal of a virtual workstation in mind.

2. Teleoperations Interfaces and Interactions: Develop improved MMI's that enhance operator performance in teleoperations, including EVA (both large and dexterous manipulators), proximity operations (free flyers), telescience, and telerobotics. An improved MMI should provide a more "natural" interface to the user. Anthropomorphic input devices and force-reflective feedback are necessary advancements in this area. Three-Dimensional situation awareness must also be enhanced. This might be accomplished using improved 3-D visual and auditory displays.

3. Artificial Intelligence/Expert Systems Interfaces and Interactions: In the drive towards increased automation, attention must be paid to the limitations, capabilities, and expectations of the operator. The degree of system complexity must take into account operator intervention and take-over, and enhance crew autonomy. Efforts should focus on Artificial Intelligence/Expert Systems that provide robust Decision Support Systems (DSS), including information processing and aids to understanding consequences; troubleshooting and diagnostics support, including Failure Mode Effects Analyses; and dynamic task allocation between the operator and the system, taking into account the user's expertise, need to know, workload, etc., and the system's health and status.
TECHNOLOGY FOR SPACE STATION EVOLUTION
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MANNED SYSTEMS

CREW-SYSTEMS INTERFACES AND INTERACTIONS

PROGRAM PLAN
(CONTINUED)

APPROACH (CONTINUED) -

4. In-Situ Maintenance Interfaces and Interactions: Develop and integrate portable input and output devices that allow the user "hands-free" access to text and graphics databases (e.g., procedures, checklists, schematics, etc.). These devices are to be designed for operations performed in physically constrained work envelopes (e.g., in-situ maintenance) where the user is away from a "fixed" workstation. In addition, locations and volumes for attaching a "portable" (e.g., laptop) workstation are limited or non-existent, and the user requires frequent access to the database. The design should be modular, capable of operating both stand-alone and through a wireless interface to the Data Management System, and "wearable" by the user. Color and video capabilities should be available on the monitor.

5. Analytical Tools and Methods: This technology element is fully addressed in the "Computational Human Factors" section below.

DELIVERABLES:

1. (a) 3-D auditory displays (speech and non-speech), (b) Reliable, flexible speech recognition and production systems, (c) Direct manipulation input devices (e.g., touch screens, 3-D display manipulation, 0-G cursor control devices), (d) Virtual workstation.

2. (a) Anthropomorphic input devices with force-reflective feedback, (b) 3-D auditory displays (for auditory tracking and positioning), (c) Compact 3-D visual displays (not requiring special glasses).

3. (a) AI/Expert Systems providing automation transparency, easy operator intervention, robust DSS and dynamic task allocation capabilities.

4. (a) Modular, portable, "wearable" input and output devices, (b) "Wearable" monitors with color and video capabilities.
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MANNED SYSTEMS

CREW-SYSTEMS INTERFACES AND INTERACTIONS

TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT
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MANNED SYSTEMS

CREW TRAINING

BACKGROUND

SCOPE - Skill retention, human performance, embedded training, crew operation of back-up systems, and back-up system design guidelines.

OBJECTIVES - To develop techniques, concepts and design guidelines for (a) advanced systems and payloads embodying embedded training capabilities, and (b) design of automated systems with operator-backup in mind.

RATIONALE - Space Station Freedom crews will function in space for sixty days or more on a routine basis. The Space Station will probably also be used for research on the ability of crews to function for longer periods of time to support future human lunar and martian human exploration missions. The issues which must be addressed here are (a) skill retention and maintenance of performance during relatively long tours of duty, and (b) crew operation of back-up systems in the event of system failure. This must be done in order to satisfy safety requirements, and to maintain human reliability and performance.
TECHNOLOGY FOR SPACE STATION EVOLUTION
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MANNED SYSTEMS

CREW TRAINING

PROGRAM PLAN

APPROACH -

1. Embedded Training: Conduct studies and simulations involving the kinds of systems and payloads which will be used in future space missions. Develop training techniques and concepts for use of operational systems and payloads in space to maintain crew skills, performance and reliability; develop guidelines for design of future systems and payloads so that they may provide an in-space capability for crew skill maintenance and training; and identify hooks and scars to systems being developed so they may accommodate evolving embedded training concepts.

2. Back-up System Design: Conduct studies and simulations of critical on-board functions such as environmental control. These will include one or more crew members operating in a back-up mode to a highly-automated system. Define and evaluate back-up systems concepts and develop systems design guidelines for future systems.

DELIVERABLES -

(1) Embedded training techniques, concepts and design guidelines for systems and payloads.

(2) Back-up systems concepts, operational procedures and design guidelines.
TECHNOLOGY FOR SPACE STATION EVOLUTION
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MANNED SYSTEMS

MAINTENANCE AND SUPPORT

BACKGROUND

SCOPE - Techniques and guidelines for improved maintainability and supportability of on-board systems, including: fault detection identification and resolution; design concepts for maintainability; inventory management techniques; loose item tracking and location; and modeling and simulation.

OBJECTIVES - To develop techniques, concepts and design guidelines for on-board systems in order to minimize crew time devoted to performing maintenance and support tasks.

RATIONALE - The large number of complex systems on board the space station, their criticality for safety and mission performance, the limited crew time available for performing mission and maintenance tasks, the cost of maintaining an in-space inventory, the relatively long times between re-supply, etc., require application of advanced techniques for performance of maintenance and support tasks. Further, design guidelines are needed to aid the systems designer in developing systems which are easily diagnosed, maintained and supported.
TECHNOLOGY FOR SPACE STATION EVOLUTION
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PROGRAM PLAN

APPROACH -

1. Diagnostics: Develop improved techniques for fault detection, identification and resolution. These techniques will be based on application of Artificial Intelligence (AI), Expert Systems, and computer graphics. Computer and laboratory simulations of representative on-board systems will be used to (a) evaluate alternative technical approaches, (b) establish guidelines for role and task allocation between automated diagnostics systems and the human, (c) evaluate alternative methods for interaction between the human and the diagnostic system, including computer and display interfaces, and (d) establish guidelines for design of on-board systems to ensure that they can be easily diagnosed.

2. Design Concepts: Develop design concepts for improving the ability of the in-space crew member to maintain in-space systems. These include ORU concepts; interfaces that accommodate humans, robots and tools; and improved EVA/IVA tools.

3. Inventory Management Techniques: Develop inventory management techniques based on computer simulations which will anticipate failure of system components or ORU's, and provide that information to flight and ground personnel. This information will be used to decide when to replace specific items, when to transport them to orbit, when to place them in the pipeline, etc.

4. Loose Item Tracking: Develop and evaluate concepts for keeping track of tools and other items which may be loose or lost in the space station modules.
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MANNED SYSTEMS

MAINTENANCE AND SUPPORT

PROGRAM PLAN
(CONTINUED)

DELIVERABLES:

1. (a) Advanced diagnostic systems concepts and technical approaches, (b) guidelines for role and task allocation between automated diagnostic systems and the human operator, (c) techniques and procedures for interaction between the human and the diagnostic system, and (d) design guidelines for design of on-board systems design to ensure that they can be easily diagnosed.

2. Demonstrations of ORU concepts; systems interfaces accommodating humans, robots and tools; and tools for improving the ability of the in-space crew member to maintain in-space systems.

3. Computer simulations of an inventory management system, focusing on a representative on-board system such as portable life support. Identification of data requirements (e.g., reliability, re-supply schedule, test requirements, etc.) required to develop and use the inventory management system.

4. A sensing and tracking system for items which may be loose or lost in the space station.
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MANNED SYSTEMS

HABITABILITY AND ENVIRONMENT

BACKGROUND

SCOPE - Interior design and architecture, stress reduction, motivation, human reliability, crew scheduling and work-rest cycles, mobility aids, restraints and trash disposal.

OBJECTIVES - To (a) develop an understanding of human behavior on long-duration space missions, and (b) develop crew organizational and architectural concepts which will provide crews an environment which will relieve stress, and improve performance and safety.

RATIONALE - Space crews on missions lasting several weeks or more tend to exhibit adverse behavior for a number of reasons: high work loads, the stress of operating in a hostile environment; confinement to small work and living spaces; lack of privacy, etc. Furthermore, tasks which may seem routine and simple on earth are much more difficult to perform in a micro-gravity environment. The combination of these factors tends to cause in-space crews to manifest symptoms of stress, including hostility. This can result in adverse effects on performance, motivation, judgment, performance, and inter-personal relationships. These problems can be even more severe on evolving space station missions which may be of relatively long duration, perhaps several months, and which may involve relatively large crews, perhaps 15 or more crew members. In this case the problem of trash disposal also can become compounded because of the quantity involved and because, if it gets out of hand, it can adversely affects physical and mental well-being (i.e., visual pollution).

The factors affecting crew behavior and performance need to be better understood, and countermeasures to the adverse effects of long-duration operations in a space environment need to be developed.
PROGRAM PLAN

APPROACH -

1. Conduct analytical and simulation efforts using reconfigurable simulators or test-beds with crew sizes representative of those for the evolving space station and consistent with the particular issue to be investigated.

2. Conduct experiments to evaluate the effects of alternative volume, furniture, texture and color configurations.

3. Conduct experiments to evaluate the effects on interpersonal relationships of alternative organizational structures, tasks, work loads, and work-rest cycles.

4. Conduct experiments to evaluate concepts for stress reduction, including rest, relaxation, entertainment, communication with family, photographic projections, external views, color schemes, etc.

5. Develop and evaluate concepts for body restraints and mobility aids in the neutral-buoyancy test facility and, when feasible, in space.

6. Develop and evaluate techniques for handling and disposing trash.
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MANNED SYSTEMS

HABITABILITY AND ENVIRONMENT

PROGRAM PLAN
(CONTINUED)

DELIVERABLES:

1. Design guidelines and concepts for providing attractive and practical work and living spaces.

2. Guidelines for interpersonal working relationships and organization, work-rest cycles, and crew selection criteria.

3. Concepts and guidelines for stress reduction, including rest, relaxation, entertainment, communication with family, photographic projections, external views, color schemes, etc.

4. Concepts, recommendations and design guidelines for body restraints and mobility aids.

5. Techniques and design guidelines for handling and disposing trash.
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MANNED SYSTEMS

COMPUTATIONAL HUMAN FACTORS

BACKGROUND

SCOPE - (1) Computer-aided design tools for use in: (a) anthropometry/size and fit; (b) interfaces development for visibility, placement, and information presentation; (c) procedures, considering workload and sequence; and (d) training. (2) Digital video using perceptual components architecture.

OBJECTIVES - (1) To develop computer-aided design tools for use in interfacing the human with on-board systems and developing operational procedures, thereby reducing design costs, improving efficiency and timeliness, optimizing system performance and safety, and providing a means for effective comparative evaluation of alternative concepts before committing to hardware. This would result in better designs, lower costs, less reworking, and anticipation of training needs. (2) To develop video display techniques which satisfy human information requirements within the limits of available bandwidths and channel capacities.

RATIONALE - *Computer Aided Design*. Manned simulation time, design and fabrication of complex systems, and in-space experimentation are very expensive. There is a need to develop techniques for modeling the human operator interacting with complex space systems. These systems often may be highly automated, perhaps employing artificial intelligence or expert systems technologies.

Computational modeling has revolutionized most physical engineering disciplines. For example, finite element analysis has changed the design process in structures, airframe design, and electronic circuit layout. These Computer Aided Design (CAD) tools permit the engineer to ask "what if" questions about the performance of potential designs before they are built or physically prototyped. This has greatly reduced the cost of producing new systems. Computational models are also used to predict performance in new or novel situations for existing systems or to predict the impact of modifications to existing systems on their future performance characteristics.
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MANNED SYSTEMS

COMPUTATIONAL HUMAN FACTORS

BACKGROUND
(CONTINUED)

RATIONALE (CONTINUED) - CAD tools for human factors are now in their infancy. However, both JSC's
PLAID system and ARC's Army/NASA Aircrew-Aircraft Integration system (A31) are capable of predictive
modeling in the human factors engineering domain. Anthropomorphic issues such as size, fit, reach envelope,
etc., can now be computed prior to system construction. Other attributes such as display visibility, workload,
and trainability are computable.

This emerging technology has many applications in the aerospace industry. This technology needs to be
developed further and applied to the evolving Space Station Freedom and Human Exploration Initiative
programs.

Digital Video using Perceptual Components Architecture. Many on-orbit tasks in space require digital
communications, e.g., telerobotics, ground/space collaboration on experimental procedures, etc. There is not
a single requirement for spatial and temporal resolution for image size, or for its color rendering properties.
Further, in many situations there will be a need for multiple images. The bandwidth or channel capacity of the
communication linkage is limited and, therefore, current video technology will not satisfy the operational needs.
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MANNED SYSTEMS

COMPUTATIONAL HUMAN FACTORS

PROGRAM PLAN

APPROACH -

1. Computer-Aided Design: Efforts will focus on developing tools for modeling the human operator interfacing with on-board systems, using JSC's PLAID and ARC's Army/NASA Aircrew-Aircraft Integration Program (A31) as points of departure. This includes simulation tools to estimate training needs, task difficulty/work load, and timeliness.

2. Digital Video using Perceptual Components Architecture: Digital video using something like a packet network could satisfy the operational needs of the evolution space station. A system with the ability to re-size the image, vary the spatial, temporal and chromatic resolution is technically feasible now. A full digital system using a perceptual components architecture (PCA) would have the following features: (1) images could be sized for any display, (2) the viable resolution could reduce to a minimum the communication band width requirement, and (3) by using PCA coding the system could "hide" quantization noise in the noise of the human visual system and segregate the image "energy" -- perceptually "vital" energy could be transmitted with multiple redundancy and less vital image energy could be transmitted with less redundancy (less error correction) without perceptual loss to the human. Finally, smoothing algorithms can be developed to temporally, spatially, and chromatically smooth sub-sampled digital image sequences. These smoothing algorithms would reduce the "perceptual" impact of sub-sampling the image sequence. Ideally, in theory, nearly static and/or low entropy scenes could be transmitted "without loss" over a low band width communication link. A digital video system based upon PCA coding can achieve this ideal.
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PROGRAM PLAN
(CONTINUED)

DELIVERABLES -

1. A Computational Human Factors-Based Computer Aided Design capability which can be used by an interdisciplinary team of human factors specialists and systems designers as a part of the systems and systems interface design process. This CAD system will be applicable for (a) analysis and evaluation of existing and conceptual systems designs, and (b) comparative evaluation and optimization of alternative concepts and operational procedures, and (c) resolution of issues relating to economics, performance, reliability and safety.

2. A demonstration Digital Video System using Perceptual Components Architecture (PCA) based on the specific needs of the human operator. This system will have (a) the ability to re-size the image and vary the spatial, temporal and chromatic resolution and (b) smoothing algorithms and chromatically smooth, sub-sampled digital image sequences to reduce the "perceptual" impact of sub-sampling the image sequence. Nearly static and/or low entropy scenes will be able to be transmitted "without loss" over a low-band-width communication link.
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FLUID MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

TECHNOLOGY DISCIPLINE SUMMARY

- CURRENT SYSTEM

  - INTEGRATED NITROGEN SYSTEM (INS)
    - LAB EXPERIMENT GAS
    - SYSTEM PRESSURIZATION GAS
    - SYSTEM MAINTENANCE PURGE GAS
    - PROVIDES ECLSS EMERGENCY ACCESS TO NITROGEN (MANUAL CONNECTION)

  - INTEGRATED WATER SYSTEM (IWS)
    - WATER TO LAB EXPERIMENTS
    - PROVIDE ECLSS DIRECT ACCESS TO SCAVENGED NSTS FUEL CELL WATER

  - INTEGRATED WASTE GAS SYSTEM (IWGS)
    - COLLECT, STORE, AND DISPOSE OF WASTE GAS BY AC
      - LAB EXPERIMENT BULK "SAFE" WASTE GASES
      - ECLSS WASTE GASES
      - SYSTEM PRESSURIZATION VENT GASES
      - SYSTEM MAINTENANCE PURGE GASES
FLUID MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

TECHNOLOGY DISCIPLINE SUMMARY

- EXPANSION OF STATION SCIENCE ACTIVITIES

  - ADDITIONAL USER FLUID SUPPLY SERVICES
    
    - GASES: Kr, Ar, He, CO₂
    - CRYOGENS: He, N₂

  - INCREASED CAPACITY OF EXISTING SYSTEMS (INS, IWS, IWGS)

- TRANSPORTATION NODE

  - HANDLING OF SUBSTANTIAL QUANTITIES OF SUBCRITICAL LH₂ AND LO₂ TO SUPPORT HEI
  - EXPANSION OF EXISTING SYSTEMS (INS, IWS)
  - SERVICING OF FREE FLYERS (OMV, COP, MTFF, AXAF, ETC.)
FLUID MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

TECHNOLOGY NEEDS NOT ADEQUATELY FUNDED

- SUBCRITICAL CRYOGENIC STORAGE AND TRANSFER
- FLUID HANDLING
- COMPONENTS / INSTRUMENTATION
FLUID MANAGEMENT SYSTEM  

SCOPE: This technology area addresses the general in-space fluid management issues associated with:

1. Storage of subcritical cryogenic fluids in-space including thermal control systems and pressure control systems.
2. Supply of single phase liquid to an end user including liquid acquisition systems and pressurization systems.
3. Transfer of liquids from one container to another in low gravity.

OBJECTIVES:

1. To develop the technologies of storage, supply, and transfer by performing in-space experiments for the purpose of:
   a. developing an adequate experiment database
   b. validating analytical models of the important thermal, fluid, and thermodynamic processes
   c. demonstrating components, systems, and subsystems in a relevant environment

REQUIREMENTS:

LIQUID STORAGE—Requirements exist to store cryogenic liquids in the low-gravity space environment for periods of several hours to perhaps several years while minimizing liquid boiloff and controlling tank pressure. Minimizing liquid boiloff generally requires very efficient tank thermal insulation systems, and controlling tank pressure may require liquid mixing and thermodynamic vent systems.

LIQUID SUPPLY—Requirements exist to feed single-phase cryogenic liquids from a tank in the low-gravity environment of space. This technology area typically involves studies of continuously supplying single-phase liquid to the tank outlet and pressurization gas requirements during expulsion of liquid from the tank. Preferred techniques for liquid acquisition use fine mesh screen materials as capillary devices. However, the effectiveness of such techniques with cryogenic liquids in space remains unproven. Pressurization techniques for discharging cryogens from propellant tanks were developed for rocket vehicles with high expulsion rates and have not been characterized for the low expulsion rates anticipated for low-gravity transfer operations.
FLUID TRANSFER—Requirements exist to transfer cryogenic liquids from one tank to another in the low-gravity environment of space. Fluid losses associated with the transfer process must be minimized, and the tank pressures must be controlled. A "thermodynamic" technique for low-gravity transfer of fluids is the recommended approach to be investigated. This technique consists of alternately chilling, with a small quantity of cryogen, and venting the tank to be filled until the tank is cold enough to be filled without venting (tank chill-down and no-vent fill). Another approach to be explored is the positioning of the accumulating liquid away from the tank vent by use of a low-thrust propulsive system to provide liquid settling.
FLUID MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

SUBCRITICAL CRYOGENIC STORAGE AND TRANSFER

PROGRAM PLAN

APPROACH:

1. Continue to develop analytical models of the important fluid, thermal, and thermodynamic processes describing the anticipated behavior of the subcritical cryogenic storage and transfer systems under existing programs being supported by OAST, OSSA, and OSF.

2. Perform extensive ground-based experimentation utilizing the cryogenic fluids of interest in order to validate those portions of the analytical models which are insensitive to the gravitational environment by continuing existing programs being supported by OAST, OSSA, and OSF.

3. Design, fabricate, qualify, and carry into space flight experiment(s) to validate those processes which are sensitive to the gravitational environment. Immediate data and in-space experimentation is required with subcritical liquid nitrogen; future experiments with subcritical liquid hydrogen are required.

DELIVERABLES:

1. System performance data and validated analytical models that provide design criteria for the development of evolutionary subcritical cryogenic fluid storage and transfer systems.
TECHNOLOGY FOR SPACE STATION EVOLUTION - A WORKSHOP

FLUID MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

SUBCRITICAL CRYOGENIC STORAGE AND TRANSFER

TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT

* LOW INVOLVES NO FUNDING BEYOND EXISTING OAST, OSF, OSSA PROGRAMS
BACKGROUND

SCOPE: This technology area addresses the general in-space fluid management issues associated with:

1. Liquid slosh dynamics and control
2. Liquid dumping/venting/emergency relief

OBJECTIVES:

1. To obtain fundamental data on low-gravity liquid slosh dynamics phenomena and to validate analytical models.
2. To assess and evaluate the effectiveness of several techniques to accomplish on-orbit dumping of liquids.

REQUIREMENTS:

1. Control of tankage and complete spacecraft (SSF, STV, Depot, Tankers, Etc.) with large fluid inventories is dependent on the ability to predict fluid motions and their attendant forces which arise from attitude control system firings, spacecraft docking, assembly operations, etc. The impact of these motions and the resulting forces and acceleration environment needs to be understood and predictable in order to effectively control space station.
2. On-orbit fluid dumping may take place under both normal as well as contingency (emergency) operations. Currently, no analytical models have been validated by experiment data. Under certain conditions of rapid depressurization, significant quantities of liquid may freeze in tanks and could cause safety problems. This process is very poorly understood at present.
3. A low-gravity data base is needed for all fluids (storable and cryogenic).
PROGRAM PLAN

APPROACH:
1. Continue on-going efforts to develop analytical models describing both sloshing and venting/dumping/emergency relief.
2. Perform ground-based testing for partial model validations.
3. Design, fabricate, and carry into space experiments which will provide essential data. These experiments could be performed with small scale tanks using the STS.

DELIVERABLES:
- In-space experiment data to aid in the design and development and to provide validation of analytical models which describe the low-gravity slosh dynamics phenomena.
- In-space experiment data on dumping (venting/emergency relief) which will provide fundamental understanding of the problem and help to establish design criteria and operating procedures.
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FLUID MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

FLUID HANDLING

TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT

LOW-GRAVITY SLOSHING

DUMPING/VENTING/EMERGENCY RELIEF

TECHNOLOGY READINESS LEVEL

FISCAL YEAR

TECHNOLOGY READINESS LEVEL

FISCAL YEAR

L,M,H
TECHNOLOGY FOR SPACE STATION EVOLUTION - A WORKSHOP

FLUID MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

COMPONENTS AND INSTRUMENTATION

BACKGROUND

SCOPE: Certain components and instrumentation technology critical to both the current and the evolutionary Space Station Freedom fluid management system is not being addressed or requires funding augmentation.

OBJECTIVES:

To develop in-space technologies for the following:
1. Mass gaging of liquids in low-gravity environment
2. Fluid sampling/leak detection
3. Two-phase flow metering
4. Leak detection
5. Couplings/quick disconnects
6. In-space instrument calibration

REQUIREMENTS:

1. Mass Gaging: Accurate measurement (± 1% to 3% of the tank) the mass liquid contained in a vessel in low gravity is essential; no technique currently exists.
2. Fluid Sampling/Species Identification: Knowledge of what species are introduced into the fluid management system and in what quantities is required; could have safety implications.
3. Two-Phase Flow Metering: Will likely be required to assess performance of fluid systems operating in low gravity; no technique currently exists.
4. Leak Detection: All techniques currently being assessed require extensive EVA, alternatives should be evaluated which minimize EVA, identify location and magnitude of leak and isolate system.
5. Couplings/Quick Disconnects: No liquid loss, long life performance components are required.
6. In-space Instrument Calibration: No techniques currently being developed. Needed to assure accuracy of measurements in potentially safety critical systems.
PROGRAM PLAN

APPROACH: INVESTIGATE PROMISING TECHNIQUES
- PERFORM LIMITED TESTING
- PREPARE DEVELOPMENT PLANS
- SELECT CANDIDATES FOR EXISTING FLIGHT EXPERIMENTS

DELIVERABLES:
1. EXISTING TECHNOLOGY THAT CAN BE APPLIED TO ESSF
2. RECOMMENDED CHANGES TO ADAPT EXISTING TECHNOLOGY
3. INITIAL TEST RESULTS/PROOF OF CONCEPT
4. DEVELOPMENT PLANS
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FLUID MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

COMPONENTS AND INSTRUMENTATION

TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT

FISCAL YEAR

TECHNOLOGY READINESS LEVEL

M,H

LOW

FUNDING MAY NOT BE ADEQUATE EVEN AT HIGHEST FUNDING LEVEL
PRIORITY GIVEN TO:
- FLUID SAMPLING/SPECIES IDENTIFICATION
- LEAK DETECTION
- ON-ORBIT CALIBRATION
FLUID MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

RECOMMENDATIONS

* IN-SPACE EXPERIMENTATION IS ESSENTIAL TO PROVIDE REQUIRED TECHNOLOGY
  - CRYOGENIC STORAGE AND TRANSFER
  - VENTING/DUMPING
  - SLOSH

* ON-GOING OAST, OSSA, AND OSF FLUID MANAGEMENT PROGRAMS MUST BE CONTINUED

* REFERENCE CONFIGURATIONS FOR EVOLUTIONARY STATION SHOULD BE MADE AVAILABLE ASAP

* ESTABLISH A REPOSITORY FOR IN-SPACE FLUID MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES
  - POSSIBLY MANAGED/MAINTAINED BY AIAA/SAE/ASME COMMITTEES
  - UPDATED YEARLY
FLUID MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

ISSUES

FMS DESIGN

- IDENTIFICATION AND DISPOSAL OF USER-GENERATED WASTE LIQUIDS
- ADDITIONAL LINE(S) TO PROVIDE INERT GASES (E.G., He, Kr, & Ar)
- REDUNDANT ROUTING OF FLUID LINES
- CALIBRATION OF SYSTEM INSTRUMENTATION
- FMS INTERFACES FOR SERVICING MAN-TENDED FREE-FLYERS
- PROGRAM NEEDED FOR COMPONENT AND SYSTEM DEMONSTRATIONS (SPACE STATION ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM LACKING)

GENERAL

- IN-SPACE EXPERIMENTATION IS REQUIRED; CURRENT COAST, OSF, OSSA PROGRAMS LACK SUFFICIENT FUNDING
- POTENTIAL SAFETY ISSUES IDENTIFIED
  - RAPID TANK DEPRESSURIZATION MAY LEAD TO FORMATION OF FROZEN SOLIDS
  - SERVICING OF CO-ORBITING FREE-FLYER PROPULSION SYSTEMS (HYDRAZINE, BI-PROP)
  - SINGLE TRAY FOR ALL FLUID SERVICES
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POWER SYSTEM TECHNOLOGY DISCIPLINE

JANUARY 19, 1990

DR. HENRY BRANDHORST, CHAIRMAN
LEWIS RESEARCH CENTER
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TECHNOLOGY DISCIPLINE SUMMARY FOR POWER SYSTEM

- SUBSTANTIAL BENEFITS IDENTIFIED FOR ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY

GENERATION
- PHOTOVOLTAIC PLANAR AND CONCENTRATOR ARRAYS
  - REDUCED AREA (2x) AND REDUCED COSTS (RECURRING AND RESUPPLY)
  - 2x MASS REDUCTION WITH BETTER PACKING DENSITY AND PERFORMANCE
- NON-SOLAR OPTION
  - NON-PLUTONIUM ISOTOPE DYNAMIC SYSTEM REDUCES ORIENTATION AND MISSION CONSTRAINTS

STORAGE
- LONG LIVED NI/H₂ BATTERIES
  - MORE THAN 2x INCREASE IN CYCLE LIFE REDUCES RESUPPLY COSTS
- TEST BED FOR HEI REGENERATIVE FUEL CELL WITH SYNERGISTIC SSF BENEFITS
  - VALIDATES HEI TECHNOLOGY PLUS PROVIDING CONTINGENCY OR SAFE HAVEN POWER

DISTRIBUTION
- AC FOR GROWTH
  - HYBRID AC/DC SYSTEM
- INCREASED AUTONOMY
  - FREES CREW TIME FOR OPERATIONS, INCREASES SAFETY AND RELIABILITY
- SSF SYSTEM TRADES SHOULD BE CONDUCTED TO EVALUATE RISKS/BENEFITS OF TECHNOLOGY OPTIONS SOON
TECHNOLOGY FOR SPACE STATION EVOLUTION -A WORKSHOP

POWER GENERATION

ADVANCED PHOTOVOLTAIC ARRAY DEVELOPMENT

BACKGROUND

SCOPE -

DEMONSTRATE ADVANCED SOLAR ARRAY LEVEL 5 TECHNOLOGY (PLANAR AND CONCENTRATOR) FOR SSF GROWTH.

OBJECTIVES -

DEVELOP AND DEMONSTRATE ADVANCED SOLAR ARRAY OPTIONS WITH \( \geq 50\% \) IMPROVEMENT IN W/m\(^2\) OVER BASELINE SOLAR ARRAY AND W/kg PERFORMANCE GREATER THAN BASELINE SOLAR ARRAY.

REQUIREMENTS/ RATIONALE -

100 kW NEEDED FOR EVOLUTIONARY SPACE STATION. SIGNIFICANT W/m\(^2\) PERFORMANCE IMPROVEMENT REQUIRED TO REDUCE DRAG. CONCENTRATOR ARRAYS HAVE POTENTIAL FOR SUBSTANTIAL COST REDUCTIONS AND EFFICIENCY INCREASES. DOD INVESTMENT IN GaAs/Ge CAN BE USED TO PROVIDE HIGH PERFORMANCE PLANAR OPTION.
TECHNOLOGY FOR SPACE STATION EVOLUTION
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ADVANCED PHOTOVOLTAIC ARRAY DEVELOPMENT

PROGRAM PLAN

APPROACH -
  • FOR PLANAR ARRAY: PILOT PRODUCTION OF 19% 8x8 GaAs/Ge CELL TECHNOLOGY (OR TANDEM CELL), FAB, ASSEMBLE AND TEST PANEL COUPONS.

  • FOR CONCENTRATOR ARRAY: DEVELOP LIGHTWEIGHT OPTICS, AND 25% CONCENTRATOR CELL. DESIGN, FAB, ASSEMBLE, AND TEST PANEL LEVEL HARDWARE.

DELIVERABLES -
  • PRODUCTION READY 19% GaAs/Ge CELLS (OR EQUIVALENT) FOR ADVANCED PLANAR CONCENTRATOR OPTICS/25% CELL, DEMONSTRATE PANEL.
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POWER SYSTEM

POWER GENERATION SUBSYSTEM

SOLAR DYNAMIC TECHNOLOGY

BACKGROUND

SCOPE - PERFORM TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATIONS TO OBTAIN IMPROVEMENTS OVER CURRENT SSF DESIGN*
- LOWER WEIGHT
- LOWER LAUNCH VOLUME
- IMPROVED OPERATIONAL CAPABILITY
- IMPROVED RELIABILITY

OBJECTIVES - INCREASE SSF SOLAR DYNAMIC SPECIFIC POWER BY 100% (W/kg)
- 50% WT REDUCTION IN HEAT RECEIVERS, CONCENTRATOR AND RADIATOR
- PCU PERFORMANCE IMPROVEMENTS

REQUIREMENTS/ RATIONALE - SUPPORT 175 kW HEI SSF WITH IMPROVED POWER SYSTEM
- LOWER WEIGHT, LAUNCH VOLUME AND COST
AN ALTERNATIVE, SUNLIGHT INDEPENDENT POWER OPTION WAS SURFACED THAT WILL REDUCE CONSTRAINTS ON SSF ORIENTATION AND FLIGHT HARDWARE

*IT IS ASSUMED THAT THE SSF PROGRAM OFFICE WILL IMPLEMENT THE SD DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM
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POWER SYSTEM

POWER GENERATION SUBSYSTEM

SOLAR DYNAMIC TECHNOLOGY

PROGRAM PLAN

APPROACH -

• DEFINE LIGHTWEIGHT SYSTEM DESIGN, PERFORM CONFIGURATION TRADE STUDIES

• FABRICATE AND TEST SUBSCALE SUBSYSTEM ELEMENTS-(CONCENTRATOR SEGMENT, RECEIVER, RADIATOR) TO ASSESS DESIGN VALIDITY AND POTENTIAL MASS SAVINGS, LONGEVITY

• INTEGRATE COMPONENTS TO DETERMINE SYSTEM SENSITIVITIES

• ASSESS FEASIBILITY/TECHNICAL/POLITICAL ISSUES IN NON-PLUTONIUM ISOTOPE/DYNAMIC/CONVERSION SYSTEM FOR SSF

DELIVERABLES -

• SUBSCALE CONCENTRATOR SEGMENTS, RECEIVER, RADIATOR TESTED AT APPROPRIATE SCALE

• LOWER LEVEL ASSEMBLIES

• FEASIBILITY STUDY OF NON-PLUTONIUM FUELED ISOTOPE/DYNAMIC CONVERSION SYSTEM
TECHNOLOGY FOR SPACE STATION EVOLUTION
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POWER SYSTEM

SOLAR DYNAMIC TECHNOLOGY

POWER GENERATION SUBSYSTEM

TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT

TECHNOLOGY READINESS LEVEL

1 2 3 4 5 6 7


HIGHER
MODERATE
LOW

PHASE A

DATE
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POWER SYSTEM

ENERGY STORAGE SUBSYSTEM

ADVANCED Ni/H₂ BATTERY TECHNOLOGY

BACKGROUND

SCOPE - VALIDATE Ni/H₂ BATTERY TECHNOLOGY FOR EXTENDED LIFE, IMPROVED ENERGY DENSITY

OBJECTIVES - REDUCE LIFE CYCLE COST BY INCREASING CYCLE LIFE BY AT LEAST 2X (10 yr 60,000 CYCLES), IMPROVE ENERGY DENSITY BY 20% AND INCREASE DoD CAPABILITY BY 150%

REQUIREMENTS/RATIONALE - PRESENT Ni/H₂ BATTERIES ARE PLANNED FOR REPLACEMENT AFTER ABOUT 3.5+ YEARS, LIFE IMPROVEMENTS WOULD SUBSTANTIALLY REDUCE COSTS. INCREASING SSF POWER TO 100 kW BY 2000 AND 125 kW BY 2002 AND ULTIMATELY TO 175 kW WOULD BE ENHANCED BY LIGHTER WEIGHT LONGER LIVED BATTERIES
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POWER SYSTEM

ADVANCED Ni/H₂ BATTERY TECHNOLOGY

PROGRAM PLAN

APPROACH -

- COMPONENT LEVEL TESTING OF ELECTRODE DESIGN, COMPOSITION AND PROCESSING
- FLIGHT TYPE CELL TESTING AND TECHNOLOGY VALIDATION
- BATTERY DESIGN IMPACT EVALUATION

DELIVERABLES -

- 320 Ni/H₂ CELLS (81 AH)
- BATTERY DESIGN IMPACT EVALUATION
- TEST DOCUMENTATION

ENERGY STORAGE SUBSYSTEM
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POWER SYSTEM

ENERGY STORAGE SUBSYSTEM

ADVANCED Ni/H₂ BATTERY TECHNOLOGY

TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT

TECHNOLOGY READINESS LEVEL

HIGH

MODERATE

LOW

92 Start Date 93 94 95 PHASE A DATE
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POWER SYSTEM

ENERGY STORAGE SUBSYSTEM

REGENERATIVE FUEL CELL (RFC) DEMONSTRATION

BACKGROUND

SCOPE - DEMONSTRATE HEI RFC BREADBOARD AND ESTABLISH USEFULNESS FOR SSF.

OBJECTIVES -

- VALIDATE RFC TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPED FOR HUMAN EXPLORATION INITIATIVE (HEI),

- ALSO OFFERS SSF CONTINGENCY BY STORING UNUSED ENERGY,

- PROVIDES POTENTIAL INCREASE IN EMERGENCY, CONTINGENCY, PEAKING, OR SAFE HAVEN POWER.

REQUIREMENTS/RATIONALE - SSF PROVIDES WORST CASE TESTING OF HEI RFC TECHNOLOGY; WILL PROVIDE DESIGN CONFIRMATION REDUCING RISK TO HEI. TAPER CHARGING AND LOAD FACTOR ON SSF MAY PROVIDE UNUSED POWER FOR THIS TEST. MAY PROVIDE 200-1000 KW HRS FOR SAFE HAVEN, CONTINGENCY, PEAKING OR EMERGENCIES.
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POWER SYSTEM

ENERGY STORAGE SUBSYSTEM

REGENERATIVE FUEL CELL (RFC) DEMONSTRATION

PROGRAM PLAN

APPROACH -

• DEVELOP 10 KW LONG LIFE FUEL CELL (20,000 HRS)

• DEVELOP HIGH PRESSURE ZERO 'G' ELECTROLYSIS UNIT (20 kw, 20,000 HR LIFE)

• DEVELOP PASSIVE INTERACTION COMPONENTS (TANKS, CONTROLS . . . . .)

• DEMONSTRATE 2000 HOUR TEST OF SSF, LUNAR PROFILES

DELIVERABLES -

• BREADBOARD SYSTEM, LIFE TESTED READY FOR INTEGRATION INTO SSF EXPERIMENT

• TEST DATA
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POWER SYSTEM

ENERGY STORAGE SUBSYSTEM

REGENERATIVE FUEL CELL (RFC) DEMONSTRATION

TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT

TECHNOLOGY READINESS LEVEL

HIGH

MODERATE

LOW

92 Start Date 93 94 95

PHASE A

DATE
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POWER SYSTEM

POWER MANAGEMENT TECHNOLOGY

BACKGROUND

SCOPE - PROVIDE POWER MANAGEMENT SYSTEM TECHNOLOGIES FOR
POWER NEEDED TO SUPPORT HEI & OTHER SSF NEEDS

OBJECTIVES - GROW PMAD CAPABILITY TO 175 KW WITH ALLOWANCE FOR FURTHER
GROWTH AND AUGMENT IOC STATION POWER. USE STATION AS
PROTOTYPE FOR HEI PMAD

REQUIREMENTS/ - • SAFETY/BUILT-IN TEST/AUTOMATED NDE
RATIONALE
• MEET ALL HEI NEEDS
• AUTOMATE TO REDUCE CREW TIME & DOWN LINK TRAFFIC
• COMPATIBLE WITH IOC DC SYSTEM
• REDUCE LIFE CYCLE COSTS
TECHNOLOGY FOR SPACE STATION EVOLUTION
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POWER SYSTEM

POWER DISTRIBUTION

POWER MANAGEMENT TECHNOLOGY

PROGRAM PLAN

APPROACH -

• PERFORM TRADE STUDY FOR SSF GROWTH AND REVELANCE TO HEI REQUIREMENTS

• ENSURE AUGMENTATION MEETS LUNAR BASE PMAD RQMTS

• DEVELOP CRITICAL COMPONENTS (AC & DC), SENSORS AND NON-DESTRUCTIVE DIAGNOSTICS

• DEMONSTRATE TECHNOLOGY & RESOLVE SYSTEMS ISSUES ON TEST BED(S)

DELIVERABLES -

• STUDY RESULTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

• HOOKS & SCARS ON SSF (e.g., ROLL RING REQ.)

• FLIGHT PROTOTYPE COMPONENTS

• TEST BED DEMONSTRATION
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POWER SYSTEM

ELECTRICAL POWER SYSTEM AUTOMATION

BACKGROUND

SCOPE - DEVELOP TECHNOLOGY FOR REAL-TIME PMAD AUTOMATION

OBJECTIVES - DEVELOP AI FOR EVENTUAL ONBOARD POWER OPS/MAINTENANCE INCLUDING FAULT IDENTIFICATION, ISOLATION AND POWER ALLOCATION

REQUIREMENTS/ RATIONALE

• ENABLE SUFFICIENT CREW AVAILABILITY FOR TRANSPORT NODE OPERATIONS

• SIGNIFICANT INCREASE IN SAFETY & RELIABILITY

• IMPROVED RESOURCE UTILIZATION PROVIDING ADDITIONAL POWER FOR ONBOARD EXPERIMENTS

• PROOF-OF-CONCEPT DEMONSTRATION TEST BED
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POWER SYSTEM

ELECTRICAL POWER SYSTEM AUTOMATION

PROGRAM PLAN

APPROACH -
• FORMAL REQUIREMENTS DEFINITION
• DEVELOP COOPERATING EXPERT SYSTEMS TECHNOLOGY
• MIGRATE INTELLIGENCE TO LOWER LEVELS
• DEVELOP NEEDED SMART SENSORS/SWITCHES
• CONFIRM PREDICTIVE FAULT MANAGEMENT
• LEVERAGE EXISTING SSF TEST BEDS
• DEVELOP V&V PROCEDURES FOR AI

DELIVERABLES -
• SOFTWARE (HEURISTICS, RULES, ETC.)
• SENSOR/SWITCH HARDWARE
• PROOF-OF-CONCEPT DEMONSTRATION TEST BED
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POWER SYSTEM

ELECTRICAL POWER SYSTEM AUTOMATION

TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT

TECHNOLOGY READINESS LEVEL

HIGH

MODERATE

LOW
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RECOMMENDATIONS/ISSUES FOR POWER SYSTEM

• EXTENSIVE SSF SYSTEM TRADE-STUDIES TO QUANTIFY BENEFITS/RISKS
  OF TECHNOLOGY OPTIONS
  • FIRM REQUIREMENTS NEEDED
  • CLEAR CUT CRITERIA FOR DECISION MAKING LCC vs INITIAL COST vs PROGRAMMATIC
    FUNDING PROFILE
  • ASSESS DESIRABILITY OF MULTIPLE POWER SOURCES
  • ASSESS ALL IDENTIFIED OPTIONS PLUS OTHERS
  • INCLUDE AC vs DC DISTRIBUTION ASSESSMENT FOR GROWTH
• NEED MORE UNIFORM APPROACH TO AUTOMATION AND V & V ACROSS SSF
TECHNOLOGY FOR SPACE STATION EVOLUTION
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SSF SUPPORT OF HEI - ISSUES/AC-DC POWER DISTRIBUTION
RECOMMENDATIONS/ISSUES FOR POWER SYSTEM

RECOMMENDATION: USE AC TO DISTRIBUTE 100 KW HEI POWER AUGMENTATION

BENEFITS:
- HIGHER EFFICIENCY
- LOWER WEIGHT
- SAFER
  - RELIABLE FAULT INTERRUPTION (HARDWARE PROTECTION)
  - EASIER SOFT FAULT DETECTION (FIRE & THERMAL DAMAGE)
  - PRACTICAL GROUND FAULT DETECTION (CREW SAFETY)
  - NO PERSISTENT ARCS
  - GREATER SYSTEM STABILITY (NO COUPLING OF MULTIPLE DC-DC CONVERTERS)
- EASIER GROUNDING ISOLATION (GROUND POINTS CANNOT BE ISOLATED IN DC SYS)
- GREATER FLEXIBILITY & GROWTH CAPABILITY
  - CHANNELIZATION NOT REQUIRED
  - EASY COMBINATION OF MULTIPLE GENERATORS
  - EASY MULTIPLE FEEDS TO LARGE OR CRITICAL LOADS
- GREATER IMPROVED STATUS TO OPERATORS & CONTROL SYSTEM - BETTER SENSORS

HEI REQUIREMENTS DIFFERENT THAN R&D STATION:
- LOADS DIFFERENT - LARGER, MOTORS, ATTACHED VEHICLES, ETC.
- MORE PEAK LOADS, VARIABLE POINT OF DEMAND
- AC GENERATION (?) - SD
- TEST BED FOR HEI POWER SYSTEMS

ISSUES:
- FREQUENCY - SD GENERATION (1200 Hz), HIGH FREQUENCY (20 kHz), OTHER (400 Hz)
- CUT OVER POWER POINT - PMC (37.5 kW), AC (75 kW)
- AUGMENTATION OF EXISTING MODULE POWER
- DC vs AC SECONDARY DISTRIBUTION FOR NEW MODULES
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PROPULSION TECHNOLOGY DISCIPLINE

JANUARY 19, 1990

LEE W. JONES, CHAIRMAN
MARSHALL SPACE FLIGHT CENTER
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TECHNOLOGY DISCIPLINE SUMMARY FOR PROPULSION

The SSF propulsion system has a dual function—not only does it provide propulsion for orbit maintenance, cancelling disturbance torques, and back-up attitude control, it also provides an acceptable (indeed, a useful) means of disposing waste fluids from the station. The current baseline for primary propulsion is a modular hydrazine system, and the multifluid resistojet system performs the waste fluid function and also provides for a portion of the orbit-raising propulsion requirements.

The evolution scenario that resulted from the MDSSC trade studies calls for the modular hydrazine system to be replaced or supplemented by an oxygen/hydrogen primary propulsion system after Assembly Complete. This is predicated upon station growth and sufficient power and water availability. In the event that insufficient power and water in the station fluid balance exist, the technology program defined in this plan includes work in hydrazine improvements and in storable bipropellants.

Because the life cycle costs of the SSF propulsion system would be significantly lower with the O2/H2 system, those technologies are ranked highest in priority. Hydrazine improvements are second priority, followed by the common technologies that must be addressed no matter which system is selected. The propellant resupply and bipropellant technologies are lowest priority.

A key point to be made is that several of these technologies are already ongoing, and require FY91 funding to avoid a hiatus in the work, which would drive up the eventual costs and threaten the schedule.
TECHNOLOGY FOR SPACE STATION EVOLUTION
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Propulsion System

Water Electrolysis O2/H2 System

BACKGROUND

SCOPE - Demonstrate improved-life, high-pressure electrolysis units with simple, reliable, safe, on-orbit operating capability; on-orbit water cleanup capability; durable thrusters with improved igniters; light-weight, high pressure tankage; and high-pressure O2 and H2 compressors.

OBJECTIVES - To advance high pressure electrolysis technology in the areas of stack efficiency, dryers, phase separators, pressure control, sensors, and water pumps beyond the current capability that will be demonstrated in the JSC breadboard units to be delivered in the Spring of 1990. To understand the water cleanup requirements and then to advance the on-orbit water cleanup capability to meet these requirements. To build upon the O2/H2 thruster technology from the advanced development program in the areas of resonance igniters and extending the O/F ratio range. To develop and demonstrate light-weight graphite/epoxy tankage to a level that insures long life AND safe in-space operation. To build on the current JSC waste gas compressor technology to determine if high pressure compressors with low pressure electrolysis units is an attractive alternative to high-pressure electrolysis.

RATIONALE - Significant improvements in propellant resupply cost can be achieved by using O2/H2 propellant to perform Space Station reboost. There may also be some excess water available from the station water balance that would further reduce resupply costs. The effective specific impulse can be increased from 230 lb-sec/lbm for hydrazine to 370 lb-sec/lbm for O2/H2. Technology advancements have been made with this concept during the advanced development program and more recently in the JSC O2/H2 test bed and electrolysis breadboard contracts. The recent work has shown that the advancements mentioned above must be achieved in order for this attractive concept to be ready for operation post-AC. If this area is not funded we will not be any better off five years from now (when development should start) than we are today.
PROPULSION SYSTEM

APPRAOCH:

1. High pressure electrolysis units--contract with suppliers to develop the needed technology in the areas of stack efficiency, phase separators, dryers, pressure control, sensors, and water pumps. Upgrade the current breadboard units with new components from these programs. Test complete electrolysis units to demonstrate 10,000 hours of operation and continue to upgrade areas that show problems.

2. Water cleanup--evaluate water cleanup requirements in subscale units and develop in-space cleanup techniques at the suppliers.

3. Thrusters--contract with suppliers to upgrade thrusters in the areas of life, ignition, and O/F range.

4. Tankage, mass flow control, and compressor--contract with suppliers to develop technology in these areas.

5. System test bed--demonstrate above improvements in JSC O2/H2 test bed as they become available. Develop database for extended-life, high-pressure H2 compatibility. Demonstrate operating time data on flight type hardware.

DELIVERABLES:

Prototype components and assemblies. Reports and test data from supplier programs and in-house testing. Flight demonstration hardware for the electrolysis phase separators.

WATER ELECTROLYSIS O2/H2 SYSTEM

PROGRAM PLAN
Note: Moderate or low funding will not bring this area to the level required to start phase C/D in 1995 as desired. Funding needs to start in FY91.
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Propulsion System

Hydrazine System Advancements

BACKGROUND

SCOPE - Improved-life resistojets and arcjets that will utilize the decomposition products of hydrazine to produce reboost thrust. Improved-life hydrazine thrusters and in-space propellant/pressurant resupply demonstration.

OBJECTIVES - To advance low-thrust hydrazine resistojet and arcjet technology beyond the current level of several hundred hours to a goal of 10,000 hours. To advance the life capability of moderate-thrust hydrazine thruster from the current level of 1,000,000 lb-secs to a goal of 10,000,000 lb-secs. To develop and demonstrate the technology to routinely and safety transfer hydrazine propellant and pressurants from a resupply tanker to the on-board storage tanks.

RATIONALE - Significant improvements in propellant resupply cost can be achieved by using low-thrust resistojets and arcjets to boost the specific impulse of hydrazine propellant. The effective specific impulse can be increased from about 230 lb-sec/lbm to the 400 lb-sec/lbm range. These low thrust devices could then be used during long non-quiescent periods to supplement the reboost from moderate thrust devices and achieve significant propellant resupply savings. Also, improvements in the life of the moderate thrust reboost thrusters would save on logistics (spares and maintenance) costs, and on-orbit resupply via propellant transfer would save on transportation costs. Propellent transfer would require deomnstration of safe, zero leakage quick disconnects, zero-g venting, transfer pumps, and compressors for pressurant gas.
TECHNOLOGY FOR SPACE STATION EVOLUTION
-A WORKSHOP

PROGRAM PLAN

APPROACH -

1. Arcjets, resistojets; and long-life thrusters - Contract with suppliers to develop the needed technology advancements to achieve the life goals. Demonstrate life goals in sub-scale and full-scale testing.

2. In-space propellant/pressurant resupply - Contract with suppliers to develop the needed technology to demonstrate automated, safe propellant/pressurant resupply.

DELIVERABLES -

1. Test reports, final reports, and prototype units for in-house evaluation.

2. Test reports, final reports, prototype units for in-house evaluation, and flight demonstration hardware.
TECHNOLOGY FOR SPACE STATION EVOLUTION
- A WORKSHOP

TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT

TECHNOLOGY READINESS LEVEL

RANGE

PHASE A/B DATE

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

Note: Need to be ready to start C/D in 95 for maximum life cycle cost savings.
TECHNOLOGY FOR SPACE STATION EVOLUTION
-A WORKSHOP

BACKGROUND

SCOPE - Demonstrate those technologies that are considered by the panel to be "common" to all the propulsion options available to SSF. These technologies are critical to any of the options, and must be raised to at least technology level 5 in the advanced development program. The common technologies identified are: smart transducers, two-phase mass gaging, health monitoring and fault detection/isolation, cutting of liquid and gas lines without producing debris, "zero-leakage" components (including quick disconnects) and welding lines on-orbit.

OBJECTIVES - Conduct advanced development programs to produce: transducers that are capable of self-calibration while in active status; some means of propellant gaging in the space environment that can operate with both liquid and gas; a reliable, sophisticated health monitoring and fault detection/isolation system to provide the necessary long term reliability and safety that is essential to any SSF propulsion system; components and quick disconnects that will operate in space with near zero leakage; innovative ways to cut into propellant and pressurant lines without introducing debris that will contaminate the system with particles and cause valve seat leakage and other such undesirable effects; and an ability to operate operations on propellant and pressurant lines on-orbit that is both safe and effective.

REQUIREMENTS - The technologies addressed are not new issues, but they are much more critical for very long-term, manned spacecraft than for current systems. They must be resolved for both SSF and Human Exploration Initiative missions to the moon and to Mars to be feasible. These technologies are also interdisciplinary; they do not benefit propulsion alone.
TECHNOLOGY FOR SPACE STATION EVOLUTION
-A WORKSHOP

PROGRAM PLAN

APPROACH -

1. Smart transducers, two-phase mass gaging, and "zero leakage" components: Contract with suppliers to develop the hardware, and deliver to JSC for testing to demonstrate technology level 5.

2. Health monitoring and fault detection/isolation: Task order contract with WPO2 contractor to define, consistent with philosophy to be used on the SSF; then contract with vendors to supply the appropriate instrumentation and systems.

3. Cutting and welding of lines in space: contract with suppliers to develop techniques and hardware to be subsequently tested to technology level 5 at JSC.

DELIVERABLES-

Prototype components and assemblies, supported by reports and test data where appropriate. In the case of health monitoring and fault detection/isolation, reports, test data, components and complete systems for integration into the SSF propulsion test bed at JSC.
TECHNOLOGY FOR SPACE STATION EVOLUTION
-A WORKSHOP

BACKGROUND

SCOPE - Demonstrate those technologies that relate to the function of fluids disposal from the SSF and from the LTV / LEV. These systems may have the function of fluids disposal alone or both fluids disposal and orbit-raising propulsion, as in the case of the resistojets. The specific technologies to be demonstrated relate to: Vaporizers for liquids in microgravity (encompasses water/fluid purity determination and heat sources); Resistojets, including materials compatibility and higher performance and life; Arcjets, including materials compatibility and higher performance and life; and gas compressors.

OBJECTIVES - To conduct advanced development programs to bring the technology level of these selected systems up to at least level 5 or 6.

REQUIREMENTS - The issue of fluids disposal in the vicinity of the SSF has always been a critical one. Dumping of waste fluids is not acceptable, and means are sought which combine the functions of fluids disposal and propulsion. Resistojets and arcjets are two ways of accomplishing this. The technology for both is reasonably mature, but not yet at the requisite level. Vaporizer technology is judged to be at about level 3, and may be the basis of a flight experiment to adequately demonstrate its maturity for SSF. Compressors for such fluids as hydrogen are at about technology level 4, and require additional system level demonstration in addition to some more component level work.
TECHNOLOGY FOR SPACE STATION EVOLUTION
-A WORKSHOP

PROGRAM PLAN

APPROACH -

1. Vaporizers: Contract with suppliers to develop the hardware and deliver to JSC for integration into the propulsion test bed.

2. Resistojets: Contract with suppliers to develop the hardware and deliver to JSC for integration into the propulsion test bed.

3. Arcjets: Contract with suppliers to bring the level up to that of the resistojet systems, then develop the hardware and deliver to JSC for integration into the propulsion test bed.

4. Gas compressors: Contract with suppliers to develop the hardware and deliver to JSC for integration into the propulsion test bed.

DELIVERABLES -

Prototype components and assemblies, supported by reports and test data where appropriate. As required, reports, test data, components and complete systems for integration into the SSF propulsion test bed at JSC.
TECHNOLOGY FOR SPACE STATION EVOLUTION
-A WORKSHOP

TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT

TECHNOLOGY READINESS LEVEL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1994</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PHASE A

DATE

94 Start Date 95 94 95
TECHNOLOGY FOR SPACE STATION EVOLUTION  
- A WORKSHOP

Propulsion System

Storable Bipropellant System

BACKGROUND

SCOPE - Demonstrate life and combustion stability of N2O4/N2H4 bipropellant thrusters. Demonstrate in-space re-supply of these propellants.

OBJECTIVES - To advance the technology level of N2O4/N2H4 thrusters to a level which will provide confidence that this higher specific impulse propellant combination can be used for Space Station reboost.

Areas requiring demonstration include life, combustion stability, stage ignition, and plume contamination acceptability. To develop and demonstrate the technology to routinely and safely transfer these propellants and their pressurant gases from a resupply tanker to the on-board storage tanks.

RATIONALE - Significant improvements in propellant resupply cost can be achieved by using this propellant combination to perform Space Station reboost. The specific impulse of this propellant combination is about 310 lb-sec/lbm compared to 230 lb-sec/lbm for the baseline hydrazine system. The advantage of the N2O4/N2H4 combination over conventional N2O4/MMH is expected to be in much clearer plumes and the fact that the baseline N2H4 monopropellant system can still be retained for attitude control and reboost backup. New capability to handle MMH will not have to be added. Additional resupply cost savings can be achieved by implementing on-orbit resupply via propellant transfer rather than module change-out. This will require demonstration of safe, zero leakage quick disconnects, zero-g venting, transfer pumps, and compressors for the pressurant gas.
TECHNOLOGY FOR SPACE STATION EVOLUTION
- A WORKSHOP

PROGRAM PLAN

APPROACH:

1. N204/N2H4 bipropellant thrusters--contract with supplier(s) to develop needed technology advancements to achieve life, combustion stability, stage ignition, and plume contamination goals.
2. In-space propellant/pressurant resupply--contract with suppliers to develop the needed technology to demonstrate automated, safe propellant/pressurant resupply.

DELIVERABLES:

1. Test reports final reports, and prototype units for in-house evaluation.

2. Test reports final reports, prototype units for in-house evaluation and flight demonstration.
TECHNOLOGY FOR SPACE STATION EVOLUTION
- A WORKSHOP

Propulsion System

Storable Bipropellant System

TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT

TECHNOLOGY READINESS LEVEL

1995

RANGE

PHASE A/B DATE

92 Start Date
93
94
95
TECHNOLOGY FOR SPACE STATION EVOLUTION
-A WORKSHOP

RECOMMENDATIONS/ISSUES FOR PROPULSION

RECOMMENDATIONS: In this discipline area, the first priority for funding should be all those technologies that bear on the oxygen/hydrogen propulsion system. Second priority should be given to hydrazine system advancements. Third priority should be given to the common technology tasks and to the fluid disposal technologies. Those tasks that deal with the in-space resupply of propellants should be next in priority, followed by the storable bipropellant technology work.

This is based on the proposed evolution scenario, which would retain the hydrazine modules for attitude control and contingency reboost and add the O2/H2 for reboost after assembly complete. The hydrazine work would be done as an upgrade that could be made to the current hydrazine modules in case O2/H2 is never added and to provide additional options.

ISSUES: Funding for those technologies that are on-going should be continued without a break, to avoid a hiatus in the critical areas that are needed to meet the SSF schedule.
TECHNOLOGY FOR SPACE STATION EVOLUTION
- A WORKSHOP

ROBOTICS TECHNOLOGY DISCIPLINE

JANUARY 19, 1990

DR. MELVIN D. MONTEMERLO, CHAIRMAN
NASA HEADQUARTERS, CODE RC
TECHNOLOGY FOR SPACE STATION EVOLUTION
- A WORKSHOP

ROBOTICS TECHNOLOGY DISCIPLINE

- TECHNOLOGIES ARE USUALLY ASSESSED BY THE SAME AGENCIES THAT PROMOTE THEM.

- THE HIDDEN ASSUMPTION ALWAYS FAVORS THE STATUS QUO.

- TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENTS RARELY RAISE THE IMPORTANT ISSUES.

FROM SULLIVAN, "PUBLIC INTEREST LAUNDRY LIST FOR TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT: TWO DOZEN ETERNAL TRUTHS ABOUT PEOPLE AND TECHNOLOGY," TECHNOLOGICAL FORECASTING AND SOCIAL CHANGE, VOL. 8(4), 1975
TECHNOLOGY FOR SPACE STATION EVOLUTION
- A WORKSHOP

TECHNOLOGY DISCIPLINE SUMMARY FOR ROBOTICS

FOUR TECHNOLOGY CATEGORIES

• CROSS-CUTTING, SYSTEM WIDE
  • SYSTEMS ENGINEERING PROCESSES FOR INTEGRATED ROBOTICS
  • MAN/MACHINE COOPERATIVE CONTROL
  • THREE-DIMENSIONAL REAL-TIME PERCEPTION

• ADVANCED RESEARCH
  • MULTIPLE-ARM REDUNDANCY CONTROL
  • MANIPULATOR CONTROL FROM A MOVABLE BASE
  • MULTIPLE-AGENT REASONING AND VERIFICATION OF AUTOMATED FUNCTIONS*

• APPLICATION-SPECIFIC
  • MECHANISMS
  • SENSORS

• OTHER
  • TECHNOLOGIES REVIEWED, MERGED, OR DROPPED
  • TECHNOLOGIES OVERLOOKED

* ALSO REPRESENTS AUTOMATION TRACK
TECHNOLOGY FOR SPACE STATION EVOLUTION
- A WORKSHOP

BACKGROUND

SCOPE:

- THE POSSIBILITY OF LOCAL CONTROL AND DISTRIBUTED PROCESSING ARCHITECTURES WILL DRIVE THE DESIGN OF COMPONENTS SUCH AS END EFFECTOR, JOINTS, ARM, ETC. INTEGRAL COOLING, LOCAL POWER SOURCES, POWER TO WEIGHT ARE TYPICAL AREAS REQUIRING EXTENSIVE INVESTIGATION. THE CONCERN FOR POWER CONSUMPTION, HEAT DISSIPATION, ETC., AFFECT THE DESIGN OF ROBOT MOTORS TO THE EXTENT THAT ENTIRE NEW TECHNOLOGIES MAY BE REQUIRED (E.G. SUPERCONDUCTORS).

OBJECTIVES:

- TO DEVELOP A RESEARCH INITIATIVE THAT DEALS WITH THE SPACE ROBOT AT A SYSTEM LEVEL IN THE AREA OF MECHANISMS

- TO EXAMINE AND ITEMIZE THE ENTIRE SPECTRUM OF PHYSICAL TASKS, NUMERICAL VALUES, FREQUENCY, AND LACK OF STRUCTURE ENVISIONED, AND DETERMINE THE AVAILABILITY OF EXISTING MECHANISMS TO MEET THESE NEEDS AND TO PROVIDE SOLUTIONS TO PROBLEM AREAS YET UNRESOLVED

- DEVELOP A UNIVERSAL MANUAL CONTROLLER WITH VERSATILE EMBEDDED DECISION MAKING SOFTWARE FOR HUMAN PERFORMANCE ENHANCEMENT AND THE OPERATION OF A FULL SPECTRUM OF SLAVE MANIPULATORS

- DEVELOP A SYSTEM HARDWARE AND SOFTWARE TECHNOLOGY TO PURSUE UNSTRUCTURED TASKS CONTAINING DISTURBANCES AND STILL REQUIRE PRECISION--A SOPHISTICATED MODELING-CONTROL OBJECTIVE

- DEVELOP ADVANCED MODULAR ROBOT ARCHITECTURES WHOSE STANDARDIZED MODULES CAN BE USED TO ASSEMBLE A FULL SERIES OF PROTOTYPES, i.e., A DESIGN INFRASTRUCTURE
TECHNOLOGY FOR SPACE STATION EVOLUTION
- A WORKSHOP

OBJECTIVES:

● DEVELOP A PRIME MOVER OF EXCEPTIONALLY LIGHTWEIGHT, HIGH RESOLUTION, AND HIGH STIFFNESS TO MAKE THE GENERALIZED ARCHITECTURE OF ROBOT MANIPULATORS FEASIBLE.

● TO FURTHER PROVIDE DESIGNS COMPATIBLE WITH THE TOOLING USED FOR HUMAN EVA AND TO DEVELOP STANDARDIZED INTERFACES FOR BOTH MAN AND MACHINE WHERE APPLICABLE. THE KNOWLEDGE BASE PROVIDED BY THIS RESEARCH WILL DEFINE THE MATERIALS, MOTORS, TOOLING, THERMAL COMPONENTS, AND SYSTEMS CONCEPTS NEEDED IN THIS AREA.

● DEVELOP RECOGNIZED NUMERICAL REQUIREMENTS TO MEET SCENARIOS FOR UNEXPECTED EVENTS (PERHAPS 40% OF THE ACTUAL WORKLOAD).

REQUIREMENTS:

● CURRENT MECHANISM DESIGN DOES NOT ADEQUATELY SUPPORT THE DEVELOPMENT OF A ROBOT OPERATING IN THE 0-G AND VACUUM OF SPACE.

● THE POWER-TO-WEIGHT, POWER CONSUMPTION AND THERMAL RESTRICTIONS IMPOSED BY THE SPACE ENVIRONMENT ARE DRIVING FORCES IN THE DESIGN OF ANY MECHANISM IN SPACE, BUT THEY ARE MAGNIFIED WHEN APPLIED TO A ROBOT EXPECTED TO PERFORM A MYRIAD OF TASKS IN AN UNSTRUCTURED ENVIRONMENT.

● CURRENT MECHANISMS NEED TO BE ADAPTED FOR SPACE USE

   ● LIGHTER MATERIAL
   ● REDUCE OVERALL WEIGHT
   ● REDUCE SIZE
   ● INTERFACES ADAPTED FOR ROBOTICS USE
REQUIREMENTS (CONTINUED):

- New mechanisms are required for tasks that man cannot do in space.
- EVA tools need to be modified for robotic interface.
- Pneumatic tools are needed.
- Latching devices capable of holding large components, structures or appendages are needed.
- Power tools for robotics use
  - Drill
  - Wrenches
  - Winch/Hoist (Space Crane)
- Precision light sources are needed.
- Dual grasping tools are needed.
TECHNOLOGY FOR SPACE STATION EVOLUTION
- A WORKSHOP

ROBOTICS TECHNOLOGY DISCIPLINE

PROGRAM PLAN

APPROACH:


DELIVERABLES:

- A ROBOT SYSTEMS DEFINITION INCORPORATING THE DESIGN CRITERIA DETERMINED TO BE THE LATEST AVAILABLE, AND A FORECAST OF TECHNICAL IMPROVEMENTS ON THE HORIZON WITH THEIR PROJECTED AVAILABILITY

- A DEMONSTRATION ROBOT SYSTEM INCORPORATING THE MECHANISM CURRENTLY UNDER DEVELOPMENT AND ASSESSMENT CRITERIA FOR THE COMPONENT TEST EVALUATION

- INTERFACE DEFINITION FOR ELECTRICAL AND MECHANICAL COMPONENTS IN THIS ARENA
TECHNOLOGY FOR SPACE STATION EVOLUTION - A WORKSHOP

ROBOTICS TECHNOLOGY DISCIPLINE

TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT*

TECHNOLOGY READINESS LEVEL

HIGH
MOD
LOW

92 Start Date 93 94 95
PHASE A
DATE

*ESTIMATES ARE APPROXIMATE AND BASED ON DEVELOPMENT PLAN
TECHNOLOGY FOR SPACE STATION EVOLUTION
- A WORKSHOP

ROBOTICS TECHNOLOGY DISCIPLINE

SENSORS

BACKGROUND

SCOPE:

- ENHANCEMENT IN SENSOR TECHNOLOGY IS REQUIRED TO IMPROVE THE CAPABILITIES FOR SAFE AND EFFECTIVE TELEROBOTIC OPERATION IN THE SPACE STATION ENVIRONMENT. THIS AREA INCLUDES ALL THE MEANS, PASSIVE AND ACTIVE, BY WHICH THE HUMAN TELEROOPERATOR, OR LATER, THE SEMI-AUTONOMOUS ROBOT, COLLECTS INFORMATION ABOUT THE ENVIRONMENT.

OBJECTIVES:

- IT IS NECESSARY TO DEVELOP A SENSOR PACKAGE THAT WOULD FACILITATE THE SAFE AND SUCCESSFUL OPERATION OF A ROBOTIC SYSTEM IN THE SPACE STATION ENVIRONMENT. TO ACCOMPLISH THIS THE SENSORS MUST PROVIDE NAVIGATION, COLLISION, AND OBJECT MAINTENANCE GUIDANCE. THESE SENSORS SHALL PROVIDE MACHINE VISION WITH HIGH RESOLUTION CAPABILITY; LASERS FOR CLOSE PROXIMITY RANGING; FORCE/TORQUE AND CONTACT SENSING; AND SPECIALIZED SENSORS SUCH AS ULTRASONIC SENSORS.

REQUIREMENTS:

- PRESENT SENSOR TECHNOLOGIES PLACE LIMITS ON THE CAPABILITIES OF SPACE TELEROOPERATION AND ON THE DEVELOPMENT OF ROBOTS WITH INCREASING AUTONOMY. SENSORS MUST BE IMPROVED TO SUPPORT SAFE OPERATIONS IN MORE CHALLENGING, UNSTRUCTURED ENVIRONMENTS AND TO ALLOW TELEROBOTIC SYSTEMS TO ACCOMPLISH A WIDER VARIETY OF TASKS TO REPLACE, AND EVENTUALLY EXCEED, EVA CAPABILITIES. SPECIALIZED SENSORS MUST BE DEVELOPED FOR THE MICROGRAVITY IVA AND VACUUM SPACE ENVIRONMENTS TO ENABLE SPECIFIC INSPECTION, DIAGNOSIS AND REPAIR TASKS.
TECHNOLOGY FOR SPACE STATION EVOLUTION
- A WORKSHOP

ROBOTICS TECHNOLOGY DISCIPLINE

PROGRAM PLAN

SENSORS

APPROACH:

- IN CONJUNCTION WITH OTHER AREAS, DEVELOP A DATABASE OF TELEROBOTIC ACTIVITIES AND TASKS REQUIRED FOR SPACE STATION AND HUMAN EXPLORATION MISSIONS, AND REFINE THE INFORMATION TO FOCUS ON SENSOR REQUIREMENTS. IDENTIFY SPECIFIC TASKS THAT MAY REQUIRE SPECIALIZED SENSORS.

- CONTINUE THE DEVELOPMENT OF MACHINE VISION TECHNOLOGIES FOR A VARIETY OF SPACE TELEROBOTIC OPERATIONS.

- CONTINUE THE DEVELOPMENT OF FORCE/TORQUE AND CONTACT SENSORS FOR A VARIETY OF SPACE TELEROBOTIC OPERATIONS.

- DEVELOP SENSOR PACKAGES TO ENABLE SAFE PROXIMITY OPERATIONS, GRAPPLING, TRANSLATION, COLLISION AVOIDANCE, AND WORKSPACE OPERATIONS IN COMPLEX UNSTRUCTURED ENvironments.

- DEVELOP SPECIALIZED SENSORS FOR EXTERNAL ROBOTS FOR THE INSPECTION, DIAGNOSIS, AND REPAIR OF MALFUNCTIONING EQUIPMENT IN THE SPACE ENVIRONMENT INCLUDING LEAK DETECTORS, INTERROGATION, ULTRASONIC, AND OTHER NDT INSPECTION SENSORS.

- DEVELOP SPECIALIZED SENSORS FOR IVA ROBOTS INCLUDING ATMOSPHERIC SAMPLERS AND AUDIO SENSORS.

DELIVERABLES:

- A GROUND TEST/DEMONSTRATION WHICH SHOWS THE FUNCTIONAL ASPECTS OF THE TRADE STUDY SELECTED SENSORS FOR ROBOTIC NAVIGATION, COLLISION AVOIDANCE, AND OBJECT MAINTENANCE. OBJECT MAINTENANCE SENSORS WILL BE CHOSEN BASED ON NEEDS AT THE TIME.

- FLIGHT DEMONSTRATION SAME AS NUMBER 1. THIS CAN BE ACCOMPLISHED USING AN EXISTING PROGRAM, SUCH AS §, WITHOUT HINDERING THE PROGRAM.

- FLIGHT SENSORS SHOULD BE AVAILABLE FOR INTEGRATION BY THE FIRST SPACE STATION FREEDOM FLIGHT.

- OTHER FUNCTIONS MAY BE REQUIRED.
TECHNOLOGY FOR SPACE STATION EVOLUTION
- A WORKSHOP

ROBOTICS TECHNOLOGY DISCIPLINE

TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT

TECHNOLOGY READINESS LEVEL

DATE
TECHNOLOGY FOR SPACE STATION EVOLUTION
- A WORKSHOP

ROBOTICS TECHNOLOGY DISCIPLINE

SYSTEMS ENGINEERING PROCESSES FOR INTEGRATED ROBOTICS

BACKGROUND

SCOPE:
• DEVELOP AN ON-GOING PROGRAM FOR THE SYSTEMS-LEVEL ENGINEERING OF SPACE ROBOTICS, ENCOMPASSING THE INTERNAL ARCHITECTURE, INTERACTION WITH THE EXTERNAL TASK AND ENVIRONMENT, AND WITH PARTICULAR EMPHASIS ON THE INTERACTION WITH HUMANS, BOTH CONTROLLING AND AT THE WORK SITE

OBJECTIVES:
• UNDERSTAND THE INTERRELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN COMPONENT TECHNOLOGIES AND TELEROBOT CAPABILITIES.
• IDENTIFY THE KEY TASKS IN THE INTEGRATION OF COMPONENT TECHNOLOGIES INTO FULLY CAPABLE TELEROBOTIC SYSTEMS.
• DEVELOP A QUANTITATIVE DATA BASE ON TELEROBOTIC CAPABILITIES OVER A VARIETY OF TYPICAL SPACE TASKS.
• DEVELOP LABORATORIES CAPABLE OF QUICKLY TESTING NEW CONCEPTS IN SPACE HARDWARE TO EVALUATE COMPATIBILITY WITH TELEROBOTIC OPERATIONS.
• HAVE A QUANTITATIVE BASIS FOR CRITICAL TRADE STUDIES BETWEEN TELEROBOTIC CAPABILITIES AND PROGRAM REQUIREMENTS.
• HAVE A PATHWAY FOR DEVELOPMENT OF INNOVATIVE TECHNOLOGIES.
• BETTER UNDERSTAND INTERFACES (PARTICULARLY TOOLS AND END EFFECTORS) BETWEEN TELEROBOTICS AND TASKS.
• STUDY THE POTENTIAL INTERACTIONS BETWEEN TELEROBOTS AND HUMANS IN SPACE (BOTH EVA AND IVA), AIMED AT DEVELOPING AND REVIEWING STANDARDS FOR THE DESIGN OF JOINT HUMAN/TELEROBOTIC WORKSITES.
TECHNOLOGY FOR SPACE STATION EVOLUTION
- A WORKSHOP

ROBOTICS TECHNOLOGY
DISCIPLINE

SYSTEMS ENGINEERING PROCESSES
FOR INTEGRATED ROBOTICS

BACKGROUND
(CONTINUED)

RATIONALE:

- IT IS NOT SUFFICIENT TO STUDY TELEROBOTIC TECHNOLOGIES WITHOUT UNDERSTANDING HOW THESE TECHNOLOGIES COME TOGETHER TO FORM A ROBOT SYSTEM, OR HOW THE TELEROBOT INTERACTS WITH CURRENTLY PLANNED OR POTENTIAL FUTURE SPACE APPLICATIONS

REQUIREMENT:

- A PRELIMINARY REQUIREMENTS DEFINITION IS REQUIRED TO REDUCE LIFE-CYCLE COST.
TECHNOLOGY FOR SPACE STATION EVOLUTION
- A WORKSHOP

ROBOTICS TECHNOLOGY DISCIPLINE

SYSTEMS ENGINEERING PROCESSES
FOR INTEGRATED ROBOTICS

PROGRAM PLAN

APPROACH:

- NASA SHOULD CONTINUE THE ESTABLISHMENT OF MULTI-PURPOSE TEST BEDS TO USE FOR DEVELOPING NECESSARY DATA BASES FOR Telerobotics SYSTEMS ANALYSIS. THIS SHOULD INCLUDE BOTH TESTBEDS FOR DEVELOPING SYSTEMS INTEGRATION OF TECHNOLOGIES INTO Telerobot SYSTEMS, AND SYSTEMS STUDIES OF THE APPLICATIONS OF Telerobotics TO CURRENT AND FUTURE TASKS, INCLUDING APPROPRIATE SIMULATION OF THE SPACE ENVIRONMENT. ATTENTION MUST BE PAID TO RECONFIGURABLE ARCHITECTURES, RAPID PROTOTYPING, AND TASK APPLICATIONS DRAWN FROM THE SPECTRUM OF CURRENT AND POTENTIAL FUTURE Telerobotic REQUIREMENTS IN SPACE.

DELIVERABLES:

- QUANTITATIVE DATA BASE ON Telerobotic CAPABILITIES AND LIMITATIONS, CROSS-INDEXED AGAINST ROBOTIC COMPONENT TECHNOLOGIES

- DEVELOPMENT OF A REFERENCE STANDARD FOR SPACECRAFT DESIGNERS FOR EVA/Telerobotic INTERFACES FOR SERVICING

- DESIGN OF A STANDARD TOOL AND INTERFACE SET, WITH EMPHASIS ON TOOL SYSTEMS COMPATIBLE WITH BOTH EVA AND Telerobotic SYSTEMS

- SIMULATION FACILITIES FOR USE IN ASSESSING Telerobotic CAPABILITIES AS PART OF THE DEVELOPMENT PROCESS FOR FUTURE SPACECRAFT

- DEVELOPMENT OF A KNOWLEDGE BASE ON THE INTEGRATION OF COMPONENT TECHNOLOGIES INTO FUNCTIONAL, ROBUST Telerobotic SYSTEMS
TECHNOLOGY FOR SPACE STATION EVOLUTION
- A WORKSHOP

ROBOTICS TECHNOLOGY DISCIPLINE

SYSTEMS ENGINEERING PROCESSES
FOR INTEGRATED ROBOTICS

TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT*

TECHNOLOGY READINESS LEVEL**

HIGH
MOD
LOW

* ESTIMATES ARE APPROXIMATE AND BASED ON DEVELOPMENT PLAN

** FOR UNBUILT SYSTEMS
TECHNOLOGY FOR SPACE STATION EVOLUTION
- A WORKSHOP

ROBOTICS TECHNOLOGY DISCIPLINE

MAN/MACHINE
COOPERATIVE CONTROL

BACKGROUND

SCOPE:

- MAN-MACHINE COOPERATIVE CONTROL REFERS TO THE DEVELOPMENT, IMPLEMENTATION, AND VALIDATION OF METHODOLOGIES BY WHICH MAN AND ROBOT(S) CAN PERFORM REQUIRED TASKS IN CONCERT. SUCH TASKS CAN BE PERFORMED DIRECTLY BY MAN, REMOTELY BY MAN (TELEOPERATION), DIRECTLY BY MACHINE UNDER OPERATOR SUPERVISION, AND JOINTLY BY MAN AND MACHINE (SHARED CONTROL). HUMAN FACTORS TASK ALLOCATION AND SYSTEM PERFORMANCE CONSIDERATIONS ARE EXPLICITLY INCLUDED AS WELL AS CONSISTENT UPDATE OF WORLD MODELS, PERFORMANCE MONITORING, AND SAFETY.

OBJECTIVES:

- ULTIMATELY OFF-LOAD AS MUCH WORK AS POSSIBLE TO THE ROBOT.

- USE THE RESOURCES OF ALL SYSTEM AGENTS APPROPRIATELY.

- BE ABLE TO FLUIDLY TRANSITION BACK AND FORTH AMONG AGENTS (TRADED CONTROL).

RATIONALE:

- DIRECT TELEOPERATED CONTROL OF IMPORTED ROBOTIC FUNCTIONS (E.G., ORU CHANGEOUT VIA GROUND CONTROL) IS SLOW, TEDIOUS, AND PRONE TO ERROR. DIFFICULTIES ABOUND IN COMPREHENSIVELY OBSERVING THE WORK SPACE; DERIVING A SUITABLE 3-D SENSE OF POSITION, FORCE, AND ORIENTATION; AND DEALING WITH TIME DELAYS. FULL AUTONOMY FOR HIGHLY COMPLEX INTERACTIONS WILL BE SLOW IN FORTHCOMING. THEREFORE, THE FULL COOPERATION BETWEEN MAN AND ROBOT (PERFORMING SPECIFIC AUTONOMOUS OPERATIONS) IS ESSENTIAL FOR FEASIBILITY, EFFICIENCY, AND FAIL-SAFE ASSURANCE OF THE COMPLETE OPERATION.

REQUIREMENT:

- A PRELIMINARY REQUIREMENTS DEFINITION IS NEEDED FOR PRODUCTIVITY ENHANCEMENT.
TECHNOLOGY FOR SPACE STATION EVOLUTION
- A WORKSHOP

ROBOTICS TECHNOLOGY DISCIPLINE

MAN/MACHINE
COOPERATIVE CONTROL

PROGRAM PLAN

APPROACH:

• ESTABLISH TESTBED AND ASSOCIATED SPACE RELATED SCENARIOS OF INTEREST.

• DEVELOP CAPABILITY TO PERFORM REQUIRED PRIMITIVE OPERATIONS (E.G., MOVE, GRASP, INSERT, FIND, ETC.) BOTH THROUGH TELEOPERATION, AUTONOMOUS OPERATION, SHARED OPERATION, ETC. THIS IS A MAJOR SYSTEMS INTEGRATION ACTIVITY.

• BASED ON PERFORMANCE INDICATORS, DETERMINE APPROPRIATE MIX OF RESOURCE UTILIZATION FOR A VARIETY OF SCENARIOS WHICH SPAN THE RANGE OF ROBOTIC OPERATIONS OF INTEREST.

DELIVERABLES:

• A DEMONSTRATED CAPABILITY TO SMOOTHLY TRANSITION TASKS BETWEEN DIRECT OPERATOR CONTROL AND AUTONOMOUS OPERATION

• A DATA BASE WHICH GUIDES THE SUITABILITY OF TASK ALLOCATION FOR A VARIETY OF FUNCTIONS
TECHNOLOGY FOR SPACE STATION EVOLUTION - A WORKSHOP

ROBOTICS TECHNOLOGY DISCIPLINE

MAN/MACHINE COOPERATIVE CONTROL

TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT

TECHNOLOGY READINESS LEVEL

92 Start Date 93 94 95

HIGH MOD LOW

*ESTIMATES ARE APPROXIMATE AND BASED ON DEVELOPMENT PLAN
TECHNOLOGY FOR SPACE STATION EVOLUTION
- A WORKSHOP

ROBOTICS TECHNOLOGY DISCIPLINE 3D - REAL-TIME MACHINE PERCEPTION

BACKGROUND

SCOPE:

• 3D-REAL-TIME MACHINE PERCEPTION REFERS TO MACHINE COGNITIVE PROCESSES WHICH CAN EXTRACT SENSORY DATA FROM VARIABLE ENVIRONMENTS IN REAL-TIME TO PROVIDE KNOWLEDGE ENABLING REAL-TIME INTERACTION WITH THE ENVIRONMENT, AND PLANNING AND REASONING ABOUT FUTURE ACTIVITIES.

OBJECTIVES:

• DEVELOP MEANS OF PROCESSING AND MANAGING THE ACQUISITION OF DATA FROM MULTIPLE SENSOR TYPES, USING CONVENTIONAL AND ALTERNATIVE PROCESSOR TECHNOLOGIES SUCH AS PARALLEL PROCESSORS AND NEURAL NETWORKS AS REQUIRED; INTEGRATING THE DATA TO BUILD AND VERIFY REPRESENTATIONS OF THE ROBOT'S ENVIRONMENT CONSISTING, NOT ONLY OF SPATIAL, BUT ALSO TEMPORAL, PROCEDURAL, FUNCTIONAL, AND OTHER INFORMATION; TO SUGGEST ROBOT INTERACTION WITH THE ENVIRONMENT BOTH FOR PURPOSES OF CLOSED LOOP CONTROL AND REASONING ABOUT CURRENT AND FUTURE ACTIVITIES.

RATIONALE:

• PRESENT MACHINE COGNITION SYSTEMS ARE LIMITED IN CAPABILITY TO PERFORMING SPECIALIZED FUNCTIONS IN CAREFULLY CONTROLLED ENVIRONMENTS. PROCESSING CAPABILITIES REQUIRED TO PERFORM THESE FUNCTIONS GENERALLY FAR EXCEED THOSE AVAILABLE TO IMPLEMENT REAL-TIME UTILIZATION OF SENSED DATA.

REQUIREMENT:

• OPERATIONAL CONSTRAINTS FOR PROXIMITY OPERATIONS, LIFE-CYCLE COST REDUCTIONS AND PRODUCTIVITY ENHANCEMENT DUE TO IMPROVED ROBOT PERFORMANCE.
TECHNOLOGY FOR SPACE STATION EVOLUTION
- A WORKSHOP

ROBOTICS TECHNOLOGY DISCIPLINE

PROGRAM PLAN

3D - REAL-TIME
MACHINE PERCEPTION

APPROACH:

- DEVELOP ALGORITHMS AND PROCESSING ARCHITECTURE WHICH ENABLE THE EXTRACTION OF INFORMATION FROM SENSED DATA AND THE INTEGRATION OF INFORMATION FROM DIFFERENT DATA TYPES.

- DEVELOP MEANS OF REPRESENTING ENVIRONMENTAL INFORMATION IN A STRUCTURED MANNER, INCLUDING SPATIAL, FUNCTIONAL, PROCEDURAL, TEMPORAL, AND OTHER INFORMATION TYPES AND PROCESSES BY WHICH NEW INFORMATION CAN BE INTEGRATED INTO EXISTING MODELS AND CONFLICTING INFORMATION RATIONALIZED.

- INTEGRATE COGNITION PROCESSES WITH REASONING PROCESSES TO ENABLE DIRECTED ACQUISITION OF SENSORY INFORMATION ABOUT UNKNOWN ASPECTS OF THE ENVIRONMENT.

DELIVERABLES:

- A SELF-CONTAINED COGNITIVE PROCESSING SYSTEM UTILIZING DATA FROM GENERAL SENSORS CAPABLE OF DEVELOPING ENVIRONMENTAL MODELS, WHICH INTERFACES WITH CONVENTIONAL COMPUTING SYSTEMS

- A DEMONSTRATION SYSTEM USING TWO OR MORE SENSOR TYPES TO BUILD ENVIRONMENTAL MODELS UTILIZED BY REAL-TIME CONTROL AND PLANNING SYSTEMS TO PERFORM A COMPLEX TASK IN A FLEXIBLE ENVIRONMENT
TECHNOLOGY FOR SPACE STATION EVOLUTION - A WORKSHOP

ROBOTICS TECHNOLOGY DISCIPLINE

3D - REAL-TIME
MACHINE PERCEPTION

TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT*

TECHNOLOGY READINESS LEVEL

HIGH
MOD
LOW

92 Start Date 93 94 95

PHASE A DATE

*ESTIMATES ARE APPROXIMATE AND BASED ON DEVELOPMENT PLAN
TECHNOLOGY FOR SPACE STATION EVOLUTION
- A WORKSHOP

ROBOTICS TECHNOLOGY DISCIPLINE

MULTIPLE ARM REDUNDANCY CONTROL

BACKGROUND

SCOPE:

- MULTIPLE ARM REDUNDANCY CONTROL IS A METHOD OF EFFECTIVELY PLANNING AND CONTROLLING THE POSITIONING AND MOTION OF MULTIPLE MANIPULATOR ARMS TO SATISFY MULTIPLE OBJECTIVES BEYOND SIMPLE POSITIONING OF THE END-EFFECTORS.

OBJECTIVES:

- DEVELOP ROBUST AND COMPUTATIONALLY EFFICIENT ALGORITHMS FOR PLANNING AND CONTROLLING ADDITIONAL DEGREES-OF-FREEDOM TO SATISFY CERTAIN CRITERIA SUCH AS OBSTACLE AVOIDANCE, LIGHTING AND CAMERA-VIEWING POSITIONING; AND THE OPTIMIZATION OF OBJECTIVE FUNCTIONS INCLUDING JOINT VELOCITIES, POWER DISSIPATION, ACTUATOR TORQUES, CONTACT FORCES AND TORQUES, IMPEDANCE, SYSTEM MOMENTUM, AND DEXTERITY.

REQUIREMENTS:

TECHNOLOGY FOR SPACE STATION EVOLUTION
- A WORKSHOP

ROBOTICS TECHNOLOGY DISCIPLINE

PROGRAM PLAN

MULTIPLE ARM
REDUNDANCY CONTROL

APPROACH:

• STUDY AND SELECT MANIPULATION OBJECTIVES TO BE HANDLED BY REDUNDANT DEGREES-OF-FREEDOM.

• DEVELOP ALGORITHMS TO SATISFY THESE MANIPULATION OBJECTIVES.

• SELECT AN EXPERIMENTAL SYSTEM ON WHICH TO IMPLEMENT THESE ALGORITHMS. TUNE AND OPTIMIZE THE ALGORITHMS FOR THIS SPECIFIC SYSTEM.

DELIVERABLES:

• COMPREHENSIVE STUDY OF WHAT OBJECTIVES TO HANDLE AND HOW TO IMPLEMENT THEM

• MULTIPLE ARM REDUNDANCY CONTROL ALGORITHMS

• DEMONSTRATION OF ORU CHANGE-OUT USING MULTIPLE ARMS WHILE SATISFYING OBSTACLE AVOIDANCE, CONTACT FORCE MINIMIZATION, ETC., USING FTS OR SPDM-CLASS ROBOT
TECHNOLOGY FOR SPACE STATION EVOLUTION
- A WORKSHOP

ROBOTICS TECHNOLOGY DISCIPLINE

MULTIPLE-ARM REDUNDANCY CONTROL

TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT

TECHNOLOGY READINESS LEVEL

HIGH
MOD
LOW

DATE

92 Start Date 93 94 95

PHASE A
TECHNOLOGY FOR SPACE STATION EVOLUTION
- A WORKSHOP

ROBOTICS TECHNOLOGY DISCIPLINE

MANIPULATOR CONTROL
FROM A MOVABLE BASE

BACKGROUND

SCOPE:

- MANIPULATOR CONTROL FROM A MOVABLE BASE REFERS TO AN ADAPTIVE MANIPULATOR/VEHICLE SYSTEM THAT IS ABLE TO ACTIVELY ACCOMMODATE CHANGING TASK-BASE RELATIONSHIPS IN REAL-TIME WHILE AVOIDING COLLISIONS AND INSURING A STABLE COUPLED FREE-FLYER/TASK SYSTEM.

OBJECTIVE:

- DEVELOP TECHNOLOGY ALLOWING MANIPULATION PERFORMED FROM A FREE-FLYING BASE WHICH IS SAFE AND WITHOUT ADVERSE EFFECT ON THE TASK PLATFORM.

RATIONALE:

- FREE-FLYING MANIPULATION WILL ALLOW ORU EXCHANGE AND REPAIR FUNCTIONS TO BE PERFORMED ON DELICATE STRUCTURES OR LOW-MASS SATELLITES WITHOUT DAMAGE OR EFFECTS TO THEIR ORBIT OR ATTITUDE. BY ALLOWING TASK-INDUCED FORCES TO BE REACTED THROUGH A HOLDING ARM WHILE SIMULTANEOUSLY AVOIDING INDUCING FORCES DUE TO TASK-BASE MOTION AND COMPENSATING FOR COUPLED TASK-BASE SYSTEM DYNAMICS, A FREE FLYER OF REAL MASS CAN PERFORM OPERATIONS WITHOUT ADVERSE AFFECT TO THE PLATFORM.
TECHNOLOGY FOR SPACE STATION EVOLUTION
- A WORKSHOP

ROBOTICS TECHNOLOGY DISCIPLINE
MANIPULATOR CONTROL
FROM A MOVABLE BASE

PROGRAM PLAN

APPROACH - MOVING BASE MANIPULATION WILL REQUIRE TECHNOLOGY THRUSTS IN THREE AREAS: (1) SENSING, (2) CONTROLS, AND (3) MECHANISM.

1. IN THE SENSING AREA, INVESTIGATION INTO REAL-TIME OBJECT TRACKING IN BOTH POSITION AND ORIENTATION WILL BE ESSENTIAL TO SUCCESS. THE QUESTION OF WHAT "REAL-TIME" IS MUST ALSO BE ADDRESSED. VIDEO RATES OF 30 Hz ARE NOT SUFFICIENT EXCEPT FOR SLOW REACTING TASKS (< 3 Hz).

2. IN THE CONTROLS ARENA, INVESTIGATION OF ADAPTIVE CONTROLS FOR A COMPLETE FREE FLYER (i.e., 2 MANIPULATORS AND VEHICLE) SHOULD BE STUDIED. OTHERWISE JOINT FRICTION AND OTHER NONLINEAR EFFECTS WILL RESULT IN UNEXPECTED MOTIONS. DYNAMIC EFFECTS BOTH DURING STATION KEEPING AND AT TASK CONTACT (A VERY NONLINEAR PROBLEM) WILL NEED TO BE INVESTIGATED AND MODELLED. TASK-MANIPULATOR CONTACT FORCES GENERATE TORQUES WHICH CAN EASILY CAUSE PERTURBATION OSCILLATIONS WHICH WILL NEED TO BE REACTED BY EITHER THE VEHICLE CONTROLS OR COUNTERING FF MOTIONS. COLLISION AVOIDANCE WILL NEED TO BE EXPANDED TO REAL-TIME REACTION RATES SINCE COUNTERACTING FORCES CAN EASILY LEAD TO COUPLED SYSTEM DYNAMICS AND MOTIONS WHICH ARE PHYSICALLY COLLIDED.

3. IN THE MECHANISM ARENA, COMPLIANT ARMS WITH HIGH-SPEED REACTION/RESPONSE WILL BE NECESSARY UNDER WORST CASE SCENARIOS TO PREVENT STATION AND/OR FF DAMAGE.
TECHNOLOGY FOR SPACE STATION EVOLUTION
- A WORKSHOP

ROBOTICS TECHNOLOGY DISCIPLINE

MANIPULATOR CONTROL
FROM A MOVABLE BASE

PROGRAM PLAN
(CONTINUED)

DELIVERABLES:

• FREE-FLYING TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT IN THE AREAS OF SENSING, CONTROLS AND MECHANISMS. SPECIFICALLY:
  • REAL-TIME TASK-BASE RELATIONSHIP SENSOR SYSTEM
  • CONTROL ALGORITHMS FOR DYNAMIC COMPENSATION OF TASK-BASE MOTION
  • HIGH-SPEED COMPLIANT MECHANISMS FOR MANIPULATION

• A DEMONSTRATION OF FREE-FLYING MANIPULATION USING PENDULUM-LIKE TASKS AND ROBOTS

• A DEMONSTRATION OF FREE-FLYING MANIPULATION IN THE WET TANK AT MARSHALL USING BOTH ZERO BUOYANCY TASKS AND ROBOTS

• ACTIVE ACCOMMODATION OF FTS "FOOT" AS A DEMONSTRATION TEST FLIGHT
TECHNOLOGY FOR SPACE STATION EVOLUTION
- A WORKSHOP

ROBOTICS TECHNOLOGY DISCIPLINE

MANIPULATOR CONTROL
FROM A MOVABLE BASE

TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT*

TECHNOLOGY READINESS LEVEL

HIGH
MOD
LOW

*ESTIMATES ARE APPROXIMATE AND BASED ON DEVELOPMENT PLAN.
TECHNOLOGY FOR SPACE STATION EVOLUTION
- A WORKSHOP

ROBOTICS TECHNOLOGY DISCIPLINE

MULTI-AGENT REASONING

BACKGROUND

SCOPE:

- MULTI-AGENT REASONING REFERS TO AN ENVIRONMENT FOR ROBOTIC SYSTEMS PERFORMING UNDER VARYING DEGREES OF AUTONOMY TO ENGAGE IN INTERACTIVE AND COOPERATIVE ACTIVITIES.

OBJECTIVE:

- DEVELOP SYSTEMS AND METHODOLOGIES TO REASON ABOUT THE COOPERATIVE INTERACTION OF HETEROGENEOUS AGENTS, INCLUDING REASONING ABOUT EACH AGENT'S LOCAL ACTIVITY AS WELL AS THE INTEGRATED COMPOSITE ACTIVITY OF THE WHOLE ENVIRONMENT.

REQUIREMENTS:

- AGENTS OPERATING AUTONOMOUSLY, OR UNDER PARTIAL AUTONOMY, MUST PERFORM SOME LEVEL OF REASONING ABOUT THEIR ENVIRONMENT TO SYNTHESIZE DECISIONS ABOUT THEIR FUNCTIONS, AND HENCE WILL DRAW UPON A VARIETY OF INPUTS AND REASONING SCHEMES TO SYNTHESIZE THESE DECISIONS. IN ADDITION, MULTIPLE INTERACTIVE AGENTS REQUIRE REASONING WHICH CONSIDERS ACTIVITY ON A GLOBAL SCALE. THE DEGREE OF AUTONOMY EMPLOYED WILL VARY FROM SYSTEM TO SYSTEM, RESULTING IN THE INTERACTION OF MULTIPLE HETEROGENEOUS AGENTS. FOR COOPERATIVE MAN-MACHINE INTERACTION, THE REASONING RATIONALE USED BY AUTONOMOUS AGENTS MUST BE VERIFIED TO THE SATISFACTION OF HUMAN AGENTS DEPENDING ON THE AUTONOMY. THEREFORE, TECHNOLOGY MUST BE DEVELOPED TO REASON ABOUT ACTIVITIES FOR COOPERATING AGENTS OF VARYING LEVELS OF CAPABILITIES, AND TO VERIFY THE ASSOCIATED RATIONALE.
TECHNOLOGY FOR SPACE STATION EVOLUTION
- A WORKSHOP

ROBOTICS TECHNOLOGY DISCIPLINE

PROGRAM PLAN

MULTI-AGENT REASONING

APPROACH:

- DEVELOP PLANNING PROCESSES FOR SINGLE AGENTS AND MULTIPLE COOPERATIVE AGENTS AT BOTH TASK-SEQUENCE AND TASK-EXECUTION LEVELS

- DEVELOP METHODS FOR VERIFICATION OF PLANNING RATIONALE EMPLOYING MULTIPLE REASONING SCHEMES

- DEVELOP EXPLANATION FACILITIES FOR JUSTIFICATION OF PLANNING RATIONALE TO HUMAN AGENTS

- DEVELOP METHODS FOR DISTRIBUTING WORLD KNOWLEDGE AMONG MULTIPLE AGENTS, AND COOPERATIVELY SHARING THESE RESOURCES WHILE MAINTAINING INTEGRITY IN THE KNOWLEDGE

- DEVELOP TECHNIQUES FOR ACCOMMODATING UNCERTAINTIES AND ANOMALIES IN THE PLANNING ENVIRONMENT

DELIVERABLES:

- ROBOTIC AGENTS WHICH CAN SEMI-AUTONOMOUSLY PLAN THEIR ACTIONS IN A RELATIVELY UNSTRUCTURED ENVIRONMENT, EXPLAIN/JUSTIFY RATIONALE USED IN DERIVING PLANS, AND COOPERATIVELY SHARE THOSE PLANS WITH OTHER AGENTS

- TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATIONS FOR DISTRIBUTED REASONING AND REPRESENTATION OF WORLD KNOWLEDGE
TECHNOLOGY FOR SPACE STATION EVOLUTION - A WORKSHOP

ROBOTICS TECHNOLOGY DISCIPLINE

MULTI-AGENT REASONING

TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT*

TECHNOLOGY READINESS LEVEL

92 Start Date 93 94 95
PHASE A DATE

HIGH MOD LOW

*ESTIMATES ARE APPROXIMATE AND BASED ON DEVELOPMENT PLAN
TECHNOLOGY FOR SPACE STATION EVOLUTION
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ROBOTICS TECHNOLOGY DISCIPLINE

SURFACING EVOLUTION TECHNOLOGIES

GOAL: TO PERFORM AUTONOMOUSLY, FAIL-SAFE TO HUMAN OPERATOR

REQUIREMENT: ROBUSTNESS

TASK: ORU CHANGEOUT FROM THE GROUND

SURVEY OF TASK REQUIREMENTS
VERSUS TIME

|-----------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|

- ASSEMBLY
  - TRUSS
  - UTIL TRAYS
  - SIAS, PMADS
- ORU CHANGEOUT
- INSPECTION ASSEMBLY
- TRANSLATION
- MAINTENANCE
- EVA SUPPORT

- SOLAR DYNAMICS ASSEMBLY
- VEHICLE SERVICING AND REPAIR
- SATELLITE SERVICING
- PAYLOAD INTEGRATION
- IVA SUPPORT

- ASSEMBLY
  - SPACECRAFT
  - AEROBRake
- LARGE CRYO TANK CHANGEOUT
- INSPECTION
- AUTONOMOUS BERTHING

ORU CHANGEOUT FROM THE GROUND

ASSUMPTIONS

- 2016+ TIME FRAME
- 3 SECOND VARIABLE TIME DELAY
- SSF ORU
- POSSIBLY UNKNOWN LOCATION OF FAILED ORU
- UNSTRUCTURED WORK ENVIRONMENT
- GROUND OPERATED SUPERVISORY CONTROL
TECHNOLOGY FOR SPACE STATION EVOLUTION - A WORKSHOP

ROBOTICS TECHNOLOGY DISCIPLINE

PROCESS DEFINITION FOR SURFACING
TECHNOLOGY REQUIREMENTS

SPACE TELEOPERATIONS:
TECHNOLOGY FOR SPACE STATION EVOLUTION

20-YEAR FORECAST OF
NASA ROBOTICS REQUIREMENTS FOR
SPACE EXPLORATION

FTS NEAR-TERM
EVOLUTION

AUTOMATION
AGENT-INDEPENDENT
PLANNING

LEVEL III
SUBSYSTEM
DESCRIPTIONS
FLT. TELEROB. SVCS.
MOBILE SVC SYS

LEVEL III
SUBSYSTEM
DESCRIPTIONS

EVA AND
TELEROBOTIC
INTERACTION

ROBOTICS
SYSTEMS
SESSIONS

OAST ROBOTICS
PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

EVA / MANNED
SYSTEMS SESSION

TELEROBOTIC
TECHNOLOGIES
FOR SPACE STATION

TELEROBOTIC NEEDS FROM
EVA COMMUNITY

EVA NEEDS FROM
TELEROBOTICS
COMMUNITY

1. SURVEY TASKS vs. TIME-PHASE (ASSEMBLY COMPLETE, LUNAR, MARS)
2. SELECT REFERENCE TASK AT 2018 TECHNOLOGY FRONTIER
   A. STATE ASSUMPTIONS
   B. DESCRIBE TASK
3. IDENTIFY TECHNOLOGIES
   BACK-STEP TO 1992-95
4. COMPLETE PROCEEDINGS CHARTS

MAN / MACHINE
COORD. CONTROL

SENSORS

3-D REAL-TIME
PERCEPT.

MULTI-ARM REDUNDANCY
CONTROL

MULTI-AGENT
REASONING
AND VERIF.

MANIP.
CTRL. MOV
BASE

MECH.
SEAL
FOR
ROB.
TECHNOLOGY FOR SPACE STATION EVOLUTION
- A WORKSHOP

RECOMMENDATIONS/ISSUES FOR ROBOTICS

- PROGRAM VS. TECHNOLOGY REQUIREMENTS
  E.G., DO THIS → "REDUCE EVA BY 10% AND PERFORM THE SAME TASKS" (TECHNICAL)
  NOT THIS → "GIVE ME THE REQUIREMENTS" (ORGANIZATIONAL)

- MORE INTERACTION BETWEEN EVA, ROBOTICS, AND SSF DESIGN COMMUNITIES

- IDENTIFY BENCHMARK TASKS AND MEASURES OF PRODUCTIVITY

- ALLOCATE SOME CONTINUING PORTION OF STS, MFTS, AND SPDM TO RESEARCH/TESTING

- TO OBTAIN SOME DEGREE OF GROUND CONTROL OR REMOTE MANIPULATION FOR SSF SUPPORT
  OF LUNAR AND MARS ACTIVITIES, THE FOLLOWING TECHNOLOGY AREAS REQUIRED DURING
  1992-1995 AS A BRIDGE TO SUCH ACTIVITIES

- SYSTEMS ENGINEERING PROCESSES FOR ROBOT INTEGRATION
- MAN/MACHINE COOPERATIVE CONTROL
- 3-D REAL-TIME PERCEPTION
- MULTIPLE-ARM REDUNDANCY CONTROL

- MULTI-AGENT REASONING AND VERIFICATION*
- MANIPULATOR CONTROL FROM MOVEABLE BASE
- MECHANISMS
- SENSORS

* ALSO APPLIES TO AUTOMATION
TECHNOLOGY FOR SPACE STATION EVOLUTION
- A WORKSHOP

RECOMMENDATIONS/ISSUES (Cont'd)

- MANY TECHNOLOGIES IN THE ROBOTICS DISCIPLINE ARE LEVERAGED WITH OTHER SUPPORT. THE FUNDING SCENARIOS DEVELOPED HEREIN ARE BASED ON CONTINUED EQUIVALENT OF GREATER SUPPORT IN THOSE NON-OAST FUNDED PROGRAMS.

- THE RESULTS OF THIS SESSION SHOULD BE WEIGHED AGAINST EXISTING PROGRAMS AND PRIORITIES PRIOR TO ADOPTION OF SPECIFIC TECHNOLOGY RECOMMENDATIONS.

MULTIPLE-ARM REDUNDANCY CONTROL:

- R&D HAS SHOWN THAT THIS TECHNOLOGY IS MATURE ENOUGH TO BE DEVELOPED FOR "MID-TERM" (1995--2000) SPACE ROBOTIC SYSTEMS.

- INCREASING DEGREES-OF-FREEDOM CAN BE ADDED (IF DESIRED AND NECESSARY) TO MEET MANIPULATION OBJECTIVES OTHER THAN END-EFFECTOR POSITIONING. AT LEAST SEVEN DOF ARE NEEDED FOR COLLISION AVOIDANCE WHILE IN CONTACT.

- COST OF ADDING EXTRA ARMS AND EXTRA DEGREES-OF-FREEDOM MUST BE TRADED OFF AGAINST ADDITIONAL CAPABILITY.

SENSORS:

- THIS AREA INCLUDES ALL THE MEANS, PASSIVE AND ACTIVE, BY WHICH THE HUMAN TELEOPERATOR, OR (LATER) THE SEMI-AUTONOMOUS ROBOT COLLECTS INFORMATION ABOUT THE ENVIRONMENT.

- ADVANCES IN SENSORS ARE REQUIRED TO IMPROVE THE CAPABILITIES FOR SAFE AND EFFECTIVE Telerobotic OPERATION IN THE SPACE STATION ENVIRONMENT.
TECHNOLOGY FOR SPACE STATION EVOLUTION
- A WORKSHOP

RECOMMENDATIONS/ISSUES (Cont'd)

SENSORS:

- SENSOR TECHNOLOGIES INCLUDE:
  - MACHINE VISION, INCORPORATING SUCH AREAS AS VARIABLE RESOLUTION AND ZOOM; STEREO VISION, SCANNERS, INTEGRATED LIGHTING CONTROL, AND INFRARED DETECTORS
  - DATA BASE OF ROBOT ACTIVITIES AND TASKS
  - LASERS AND OTHER RANGING DEVICES FOR USE IN PROXIMITY OPERATIONS, GRAPPLING, AND WORKSPACE OPERATIONS
  - SPECIALIZED SENSORS FOR EXTERNAL ROBOTS INCLUDING LEAK DETECTORS, INTEGRATION, ULTRASONIC, OR OTHER NDT INSPECTION SENSORS TO SUPPORT THE DIAGNOSIS OF MALFUNCTIONING EQUIPMENT OR OTHER TASKS
  - FORCE/TORQUE SENSORS AND CONTACT SENSORS
  - SENSORS FOR POTENTIAL IVA ROBOTS INCLUDING ATMOSPHERIC SAMPLERS AND AUDIO SENSORS

- THE ROBOTIC SYSTEMS MUST INTERACT WITH PHYSICAL OBJECTS IN ITS ENVIRONMENT. IT MUST BE ABLE TO NAVIGATE FROM A KNOWN POSITION TO A NEW LOCATION WHILE AVOIDING ANY CONTACT WITH OBJECTS ENROUTE. TO ACCOMPLISH THIS, COLLISION AVOIDANCE AND NAVIGATION TECHNOLOGY REQUIRE DEVELOPMENT. THEREFORE, SENSORS ARE REQUIRED WHICH ARE ABLE TO ACQUIRE HIGH-RESOLUTION DATA DESCRIBING THE ROBOT'S PHYSICAL SURROUNDINGS WHILE FUNCTIONING WITHIN COMPUTATIONAL RESOURCES OF THE SYSTEM.
TECHNOLOGY FOR SPACE STATION EVOLUTION
- A WORKSHOP

ISSUES RAISED IN PLENARY SESSION
(R. KOHRS, 1/16/90)

ISSUE:

- THERE EXISTS A NEED FOR USEFUL, HARD REQUIREMENTS FOR FTS AND MSC AND A NEED TO ASSIGN JOBS TO EACH (THERE ARE NO SPECIFIC REQUIREMENTS IN CURRENT DOCUMENTATION).

RESPONSE:

- A TASK IS UNDERWAY ENTITLED "EXTERNAL MAINTENCE AUDIT" TO ALLOCATE TASKS BETWEEN ROBOTICS AND EVA. TASK MANAGERS ARE C. PRICE AND W. FISHER.

ISSUE:

- THERE EXISTS A NEED FOR COMMONALITY AMONG HAND CONTROLLERS; THERE ARE CURRENTLY SIX TYPES.

RESPONSE:

- AN INFORMAL TASK (i.e. NO ALLOCATED FUNDS) IS UNDERWAY BETWEEN BEN BARKER (SE&I LEVEL II) AND DEAN JENSEN, (JSC MANNED SYSTEMS) ENTITLED "JOINT LEVEL 2 - JSC STUDY: HAND CONTROLLER COMMONALITY PROCESS." THE OBJECTIVE OF THE TASK IS "TO RECOMMEND THE NUMBER AND TYPE OF HAND CONTROLLER CONFIGURATIONS THAT CAN MEET SPACE STATION REQUIREMENTS."

- A TASK, CONDUCTED BY W. HANKINS, IS UNDERWAY AT LARC TO EVALUATE 4 TYPES OF HAND CONTROLLERS WITH AND WITHOUT FORCE-FEEDBACK.
TECHNOLOGY FOR SPACE STATION EVOLUTION
   A WORKSHOP

STRUCTURES AND MATERIALS TECHNOLOGY DISCIPLINE

JANUARY 19, 1990

ROBERT J. HAYDUK, CHAIRMAN
NASA HEADQUARTERS, CODE RM
TECHNOLOGY FOR SPACE STATION EVOLUTION
-A WORKSHOP

STRUCTURES AND MATERIALS

BACKGROUND

SCOPE — To provide the materials, processes and data base to permit the design, fabrication, maintenance and inspection of a manned, on-orbit space station and its supporting subsystems that will withstand prolonged usage and provide durability in a space environment.

OBJECTIVES — To develop environmentally tolerant materials and material systems for space application; to develop on-orbit repair processes; and to explore the science for on-orbit Non-Destructive Evaluation (NDE).

RATIONALE — Current non-metallic materials available for space station design cannot withstand the prolonged exposure to various elements of space environment without severe degradation in their mechanical and/or physical properties. Increased survivability, durability, and performance are needed. In addition, knowledge is lacking of on-orbit repair, construction and inspection techniques needed to permit maintenance and system integrity of a manned space station.
TECHNOLOGY FOR SPACE STATION EVOLUTION
-A WORKSHOP

PROGRAM PLAN

APPROACH -

1. Conduct basic materials development and characterization to (1) improve the environmental resistance of polymeric basic materials and (2) obtain high-performance materials capable of increasing structure efficiencies.

2. Expose advanced materials to space environments to acquire a reliable and verified design database. Establish for these materials a set of failure criteria and design allowables. Develop ground-based test methods and facilities that permit ground simulation of space environments, and correlate this data with actual space exposure.

3. Develop procedures, acceptance criteria, and inspection techniques for conducting in-space repair, refurbishment, and certification.

4. Develop processes and NDE certification for in-space construction of evolutionary structural concepts.

DELIVERABLES -

1. An approved materials list for space system application and a supporting data base.

2. A demonstration of ground-based space environment simulation and correlation.

3. Recommended NDE procedures and structural certification criteria.
TECHNOLOGY FOR SPACE STATION EVOLUTION
-A WORKSHOP

STRUCTURES AND MATERIALS

TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT

TECHNOLOGY READINESS LEVEL

High Funding
Moderate
Low

92 Start Date 93 94 95
PHASE A
DATE
TECHNOLOGY FOR SPACE STATION EVOLUTION
-A WORKSHOP

STRUCTURES & MATERIALS

SPACE CONSTRUCTION

BACKGROUND

SCOPE - Ground and flight demonstrations of a series of large space structures aimed at significantly reducing or eliminating EVA requirements for construction on future NASA missions.

OBJECTIVES - 1) Develop automated assembly methods and associated tools for constructing a wide variety of advanced space structures. Demonstrate the feasibility of automated assembly on a full-scale testbed. 2) Develop advanced erectable structures including mechanical and welded joints and associated assembly aids. Establish accurate EVA timelines through the use of full-scale ground tests and a demonstration flight test. 3) Develop deployable linear and area truss structures for advanced mission applications. Demonstrate the reliability of deployable structures through full-scale deployment tests and analysis.

RATIONALE - The limiting consideration for many new missions is the ability to build large structures at a reasonable cost. Because of the lack of experience with large space structures, mission studies tend to be limited to small spacecraft. The development and demonstration of large space structures would open up mission design ranges as well as improve the agency's ability to predict mission costs.
TECHNOLOGY FOR SPACE STATION EVOLUTION
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PROGRAM PLAN

APPROACH -
1. Develop a large robotic arm (space crane) and associated end effectors for assembling large spacecraft. Demonstrate the operation of the arm and develop assembly timelines using a full-scale ground testbed.
2. Develop lightweight composite mechanical joint and welded joints for exploration vehicles, hangars, and reflectors. Demonstrate these new structures through EVA ground tests and through one selected flight test.
3. Develop large-scale deployable truss structures. Demonstrate the viability of deployables through a ground demonstration of a large truss platform.

DELIVERABLES -
1. Demonstrated space crane and end effectors. Automated assembly scenarios and timelines.
2. Demonstrated lightweight composite joints and welding techniques. EVA timelines validated by ground and flight tests.
3. Large-scale validated deployable structural concepts. Validated deployment analysis.
TECHNOLOGY FOR SPACE STATION EVOLUTION - A WORKSHOP

STRUCTURES AND MATERIALS

TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT

TECHNOLOGY READINESS LEVEL
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STRUCTURES & MATERIALS

ISSUES
1. Minimum availability of EVA to do construction.
2. Reliability of robotic assembly.
3. Demonstrations are needed to accrue confidence in space construction methods and timelines.

RECOMMENDATIONS
1. Develop automated assembly testbed.
2. Develop integrated deployable and/or modular structural components.
4. Continue to develop new space suit to extend EVA time and astronaut efficiency.
TECHNOLOGY FOR SPACE STATION EVOLUTION
-A WORKSHOP

BACKGROUND

SCOPE — The dynamics of the Space Station Freedom in its Assembly Complete and evolutionary growth versions, including the characterization of the dynamics of the Station and attached manipulators, payloads, fueling systems and vehicles, and the interaction of the control systems of these components with the Station structural dynamics and attitude control system.

OBJECTIVES — To develop a well-verified dynamic model of the Assembly Complete Station and the analytical and experimental modeling tools to confidently extend the dynamic model to evolutionary configurations. Assured stability, improved performance and reduced dynamic loads will be achieved through application of the dynamic model.

RATIONALE — Even in its Assembly Complete configuration, the Space Station Freedom represents a complex structural dynamic environment. In its evolution of configurations, with the addition of larger power systems, manipulators, fuel storage and transfer systems, and berthed vehicles, the dynamics of the Station will become extremely complex. Uncertainties in modeling can lead to conservatism in dynamic loads analysis, unexpected interaction of control systems of the station and flexible manipulator and appendages, and potential failure to maintain fuels in configuration for transfer. Because of the size and flexibility of the Station, it is impossible to ground test in full scale. Therefore, a well-coordinated program of component and scale model ground tests, on-orbit tests, and analysis is necessary.
TECHNOLOGY FOR SPACE STATION EVOLUTION
-A WORKSHOP

PROGRAM PLAN

STRUCTURES AND MATERIALS

APPROACH:

1. Develop the instrumentation and algorithms to characterize the dynamics of the Station during assembly and at Assembly Complete, in order to establish a well-understood benchmark for evolution and to provide a system for structural health monitoring for extended life.

2. Develop a comprehensive ability to model the dynamic structure control interactions, not only of the Station dynamics and attitude control system, but of the attached interacting manipulators and active payloads. This includes ground and flight CSI experimentation and analytical development.

3. Develop approaches to dynamic load limiting and alleviation, so as to extend the structural envelope into the range necessary for evolution. This includes schemes for reduction and alleviation of loads due to proximity operations; station reboost and maneuver; EVA and manipulator motion; docking; and berthing. Microgravity management approaches will be explored for conflicting demands of evolving Station.

4. Develop a comprehensive model of the dynamics of the station, including multibody and large-angle behavior of various sub-assemblies and appendages, and their respective controllers, which includes potentially geometric nonlinear and chaotic motion, for use in final verification of load alleviation and control schemes.

5. Develop simplified yet nonlinear model of fluid dynamic behavior and slosh, for the purposes of modeling cryogenic on-board fuels, and the fuel of berthed vehicles.

DELIVERABLES:

1. A thoroughly documented linear dynamic model of the Assembly Complete Station and the instrumentation system for health monitoring.

2. An analysis capability for design and assessment of multiple interacting control systems on a flexible vehicle.

3. Design approaches and prototype hardware for load alleviation and isolation (for microgravity management).

4. A comprehensive, nonlinear structural dynamic model of the Assembly Complete and evolutionary station configurations.

5. An analysis capability for nonlinear slosh of fluids in low gravity.
TECHNOLOGY FOR SPACE STATION EVOLUTION - A WORKSHOP

TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT

TECHNOLOGY READINESS LEVEL

High
Moderate
Low

Start Date
92
93
94
95

PHASE A
DATE
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TECHNOLOGY DISCIPLINE SUMMARY FOR THERMAL CONTROL

To support the required evolutionary Space Station capabilities, the thermal control system must accommodate up to a fourfold increase (300 KW) of heat loads. Utilization of the baseline technology to accommodate the growth requirement will significantly impact:
- Radiator deployed area and associated sweeping volume,
- EVA assembly time,
- Orbiter manifesting penalties,
- Orbital and ground operational support, and
- Maintenance and repair operations.

Cost effective growth of the evolutionary thermal control system requires the:
- Heat rejection system size increase be reduced,
- Capability of the heat acquisitions and transport systems be increased,
- System assembly, monitoring and controls be more automated,
- Passive heat rejection techniques be improved, and
- Essential analytical tools be developed.

A comprehensive technology program, which will enable the necessary thermal technologies to meet the Space Station evolution need has been defined with development plans for three different (High, Moderate, and Low) funding levels.
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THERMAL CONTROL

BACKGROUND

SCOPE: A high capacity and long life heat rejection subsystem that will be used to minimize required increase of radiator area, to decrease or eliminate EVA radiator assembly/maintenance time and to reduce radiator launch penalties.

OBJECTIVES: To develop a high capacity and long life heat rejection subsystem which will utilize (1) elevated radiator operating temperature and stable coating properties to maximize the heat flux capability of the radiator, (2) thermal storage to accommodate peak load without additional radiator area, (3) long life and robotic assembly compatible radiator panels to reduce EVA time, and (4) decreased radiator weight and stowage volume to reduce launch penalties.

JUSTIFICATION: The evolutionary heat rejection subsystem must increase its capacity to match increased Station power levels. Utilization of the baseline technology to accommodate the increased capacity will require a very large radiator area increase which not only will generate significant launch weight, stowage and EVA assembly time penalties but also result in orbiter docking approach constraints, structural interference with power and/or habitat modules and thermal interference with attached payloads. Furthermore, current coating and insulation materials will degrade with time by atomic oxygen depletion, solar radiation, ionizing radiation and micrometeoroid and debris impacts. With the increased number of radiator panels, maintenance (change out) of the radiator panels will become a major drain of the crew time and productivity. A heat rejection with higher heat flux, longer life and lower weight must be developed to mitigate all these impacts.
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THERMAL CONTROL

PROGRAM PLAN

HEAT REJECTION

APPROACHES:
1. Develop a high density heat storage subsystem for heat loads leveling.
2. Develop a extended-life surface coating to allow for radiator design with beginning of life properties.
3. Develop high thermal conductance between thermal bus and radiator panels.
4. Develop a high efficiency heat pump which will significantly increase radiator temperature without incurring a substantial power penalty.
5. Develop a high temperature and high capacity heat pipe to accommodate high temperature radiator operation.
6. Develop higher micrometeoroid/debris tolerant radiator panels to reduce maintenance and repair.
7. Develop robotic radiator assembly techniques with closer tolerance to reduce EVA.
8. Develop a light-weight, high-conductivity and high-strength materials for radiator fin and heat pipe.

DELIVERABLES:
1. Heat rejection technologies that will enable a high capacity (up to 300KW) Space Station heat rejection subsystem with required increase of radiator area minimized, EVA radiator assembly/maintenance time decreased or eliminated and radiator launch penalties reduced.
2. A technology demonstration preprototype which will be used to support DDT&E of the evolutionary Space Station heat rejection subsystem.
TECHNOLOGY FOR SPACE STATION EVOLUTION - A WORKSHOP

TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT

TECHNOLOGY READINESS LEVEL

LEVEL 7
LEVEL 6
LEVEL 5
LEVEL 4
LEVEL 3
LEVEL 2
LEVEL 1

HIGH
MODERATE
LOW

92 93 94 95
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THERMAL CONTROL

HEAT ACQUISITION & TRANSPORT

BACKGROUND

SCOPE: A highly efficient and robust 2-phase heat acquisition and transport subsystem which will accommodate incremental growth to a total capacity of 175 KW and/or 300 KW, and will provide active thermal control to attached payloads and service facilities.

OBJECTIVES: To develop a high efficient (in weight, power, EVA assembly time and cost) and robust two-phase flow heat acquisition and transport subsystem which will utilize (1) high heat flux capacity, long life heat exchange devices, (2) efficient active thermal control for attached payloads and service facilities, (3) very low leakage quick disconnects and transport lines, (4) robotic compatible ORU's, and (5) pumps with lower power consumption and longer life.

JUSTIFICATION: The evolutionary heat acquisition and transport subsystem requires a significant increase of the heat exchange devices and complex extensions of the transport lines to provide active thermal control to additional modules, attached payloads and service facilities. Utilization of the baseline technology to accommodate the growth requirement will impose a very large increase in weight, power and EVA assembly time penalties, as well as potential for intolerable environmental contamination due to ammonia leakage from the increased line length and complexity of plumbing network. A heat acquisition and transport system with higher heat flux, longer life heat exchangers, less leakage lines and quick disconnects must be developed to provide efficient active thermal control to the growth Space Station equipment and payloads.
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THERMAL CONTROL

HEAT ACQUISITION AND TRANSPORT

PROGRAM PLAN

APPROACHES:
1. Develop high heat flux, low pressure drop heat exchange devices for the two-phase thermal bus evaporators and condensers.
2. Improve materials compatibility of NH3 and H2O heat exchangers.
3. Continue development of payload thermal bus for active cooling of attached payloads and/or service facilities.
4. Develop very low leakage quick disconnects and non-permeating ammonia lines which are compatible with robotic assembly.
5. Develop automation and robotics compatibility for other thermal bus ORU’s.
6. Develop two-phase flow thermal bus pumps with a lower power consumption and a longer mean time between failures.

DELIVERABLES:
1. Heat acquisition and transport technologies that will enable a highly efficient and robust Space Station thermal bus.
2. A technology demonstration preprototype which will be used to support DDT&E of the evolutionary Space Station heat acquisition and transport subsystem.
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TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT

TECHNOLOGY READINESS LEVEL

LEVEL 7
LEVEL 6
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LEVEL 1
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MODERATE
LOW
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BACKGROUND

SCOPE: A fully automated monitoring and control subsystem which will reduce crew and ground support needed for the operation and failure detection, isolation and recovery (FDIR) of the thermal control system.

OBJECTIVES: To develop a fully automated monitoring control subsystem which will incorporate AI/Expert System technology to perform normal operation control, failure detection, isolation and recovery, system performance trend analysis and prediction of unscheduled maintenance for the thermal system. The monitoring and control subsystem will interface with the crew and ground support through the Space Station Data Management and Operation Management Systems.

JUSTIFICATION: The evolutionary Station thermal control system will have increased complexity with growth in the quantity of hardware components and complexity in system architecture. Utilization of the baseline automatic control techniques to accommodate the growth requirements will result in a significant increase of crew and ground support required for operation and FDIR of the thermal control system. A fully automated thermal control system incorporating AI/Expert System technology will alleviate the required crew and ground support, thus increase productivity and reduce the operational cost of the evolutionary Space Station. Furthermore, the monitoring and control subsystem based on AI/Expert System will be able to perform system trend analysis and predict unscheduled maintenance to prevent failure of aging equipment to increase crew productivity and safety.
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PROGRAM PLAN

APPROACHES:
1. Incorporate AI/Expert System technology into monitoring and control of the thermal control system. The thermal control technology community will work hand-in-hand with the AI technology community in this development.
2. Improve instrumentation for measurements of two-phase flow rate and quality and for accurate remote sensing of surface temperatures.
3. Develop reliable automatic leak detection and position identification and isolation techniques.

DELIVERABLES:
1. AI/Expert System based monitoring and control technology which will enable a fully automated monitoring and control subsystem for the evolutionary Space Station thermal control system.
2. A technology demonstration preprototype which will be used to support DDT&E of the monitoring and control subsystem for the evolutionary Space Station thermal control system.
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THERMAL CONTROL

BACKGROUND

SCOPE: Passive thermal control devices to accommodate higher passive heat loads and to reduce maintenance and electrical heater requirements.

OBJECTIVES: To develop high capacity passive thermal control techniques such as long-life and high performance surface coating and insulation materials to accommodate higher passive heat loads, and flexible heat pipes for load sharing to reduce heater power consumption.

JUSTIFICATION: The evolutionary Station passive thermal control system must accommodate higher heat loads associated with growth of attached payload requirements. Utilization of the baseline passive control techniques to meet the growth requirements will result in a significant increase of passive radiator area. The increase in area may not be allowed due to interference with the required views to space of the attached payloads. Furthermore, the baseline coating and insulation materials will degrade with time by atomic oxygen depletion, solar radiation, ionizing radiation and micrometeoroid/debris impact, longer-life materials are required to reduce the EVA time for refurbishment of passive thermal control components.
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THERMAL CONTROL

PROGRAM PLAN

APPROACHES:
1. Develop coating and insulation materials less sensitive to space environment.
2. Improve surface properties of the coating materials.
3. Develop passive load-sharing techniques, such as flexible, variable conductance heat pipes, for reduction of heater power requirements.

DELIVERABLES:
1. Passive thermal techniques which will accommodate higher heat loads with minimized increase of radiator area, and longer material durability.
2. A technology demonstration preprototype which will be used to support DDT&E of the evolutionary Space Station passive thermal control.
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TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT

THERMAL CONTROL

PASSIVE THERMAL CONTROL

TECHNOLOGY READINESS LEVEL

LEVEL 7
LEVEL 6
LEVEL 5
LEVEL 4
LEVEL 3
LEVEL 2
LEVEL 1
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MODERATE
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THERMAL CONTROL

BACKGROUND

SCOPE: A capability to accurately predict performance of growth Space Station thermal control components and system, with analytical tools which have been verified with test data.

OBJECTIVES: To develop fully verified theoretical models and design algorithms which are based on fundamental understanding of two-phase fluid behaviors and heat transfer in microgravity obtained through ground and flight testing. The analysis tools to be developed, enhanced and verified include empirical tools for component/system designs, two-phase flow dynamic simulation tools and integrated system analysis tools.

JUSTIFICATION: Fully verified theoretical models and design algorithms do not exist for two phase fluid flow and associated thermal processes in microgravity, resulting in overly conservative designs for the baseline Space Station thermal control system. Application of the existing analysis tools for the evolutionary thermal control system will incur significant unnecessary weight, power and cost penalties. Development/enhancement of the analytical tools and test verifications of the tools and advanced technology will be the most cost effective way to minimize the conservatism in the design process of the thermal control system.
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THERMAL CONTROL

ANALYSIS AND TEST VERIFICATION

PROGRAM PLAN

APPROACHES:
1. Establish fundamental understanding of two-phase fluid flow behaviors and heat transfer in zero-gravity through ground and flight experiments.
2. Develop new/enhance existing empirical design tools to increase design confidence. Incorporate AI technology into the design tools.
3. Generate a 3-D analytical simulation tool for two-phase flows in microgravity.
4. Improve existing integrated system analysis tools for design conservatism reduction, DDT&E support and flight techniques development.
5. Create central database by compiling data from a wide range of sources to facilitate accuracy and completeness of analytical process.
6. Integrate evolutionary technology hardware into the existing test beds to minimize testing costs.

DELIVERABLES:
1. A comprehensive set of test verified analytical tools required to enable efficient design, development, test and evaluation of Space Station evolutionary thermal control system.
2. Testing evaluation of evolutionary thermal control technology.
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TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT
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THERMAL CONTROL

RECOMMENDATIONS

- REDUCE SIZE AND INCREASE LIFE OF GROWTH RADIATOR SYSTEM TO AVOID COSTLY INCREASES ASSOCIATED WITH THE UTILIZATION OF BASELINE TECHNOLOGY

- INCORPORATE AI ROBOTICS TECHNOLOGY INTO THE TCS TO:
  - MINIMIZE ORBITAL AND GROUND OPERATION SUPPORT
  - INCREASE THE EFFICIENCY OF MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR (E.G., FDIR)
  - REDUCE EVA TIME

- DEVELOP ACTIVE THERMAL CONTROL ALTERNATIVES TO ENABLE
  - ACTIVE EXTERNAL EQUIPMENT COOLING
  - MORE EFFICIENT AND LESS COSTLY HEAT ACQUISITION DEVICES

- INITIATE A MAJOR EFFORT TO DEVELOP AND VALIDATE A COMPREHENSIVE SET OF ANALYTICAL TOOLS TO ENABLE EFFICIENT DESIGN AND EVALUATION OF THE GROWTH THERMAL SYSTEM.
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THERMAL CONTROL

ISSUES

- Utilization of baseline technology to meet growth station requirements will result in costly increases of:
  - Deployed radiator area and associated sweep volume
  - EVA assembly time
  - Orbiter manifesting penalties (weight & volume)
  - Orbital and ground operational support
  - Maintenance and repair operations

- Existing analytical tools result in overly conservative design (i.e., unnecessary weight, volume and power penalties)

- Dependence on only passive cooling of all external equipment will not be adequate for growth station
TECHNOLOGY FOR SPACE STATION EVOLUTION
A WORKSHOP
KEYNOTE ADDRESS

DR. W. B. LENOIR
ASSOCIATE ADMINISTRATOR
OFFICE OF SPACE STATION
JANUARY 16, 1990
PRESENTATION BY WILLIAM B. LENOIR
TO "TECHNOLOGY FOR SPACE STATION EVOLUTION"
DALLAS, TEXAS
JANUARY 16, 1990
Starting Point - Assembly Complete - Crucial first step to Evolution
- 8 crew; 75 kw; full distributed systems;
  International
- Users; materials, life sciences, attached Payloads servicing
- Support; transportation - shuttle, maintenance
- Balanced system

Reasons for Growth
- More power, better micro-gravity, different staging
- Cheaper, quicker, safer ...

Current Growth Concepts
*2 R&D Station materials, life sciences, servicing
*3 Lunar/Mars Support - 12 crew, keels, utilities, bays

Revisit Starting Point - Assembly Complete as a total system
*4 Space Ops - Manned Base, Platforms, TDRS
  Logistics Ops - Processing, Transport - Shuttle, ELV's OMV
  Space Ops Support - Control Center, Payload Ops integration, training - Systems & Payloads, International facilities, users facilities
  Balanced System
*5 Full System Integration

Current Limited Resources
- Power
  - Crew Time
    -- IVA
      -- Habitation
      -- Training
    -- EVA
  - Transportation
  - Communication and Data
  - Personnel
    Management
    Engineering
    Operations Support
- Logistics
- Inter-user Interferences
- Funding
FULL DESIGN INTEGRATION CONCEPTS
SPACE STATION EVOLUTION

- MISSION REQUIREMENTS AND EVOLUTION SCENARIOS -

Presented at the

TECHNOLOGY FOR SPACE STATION EVOLUTION
- A WORKSHOP -

JANUARY 16, 1990

DR. EARLE K. HUCKINS III
Director
Strategic Plans and
Programs Division
Office of Space Station
- Space Station Evolution
- Evolution of User Needs
- Evolution Scenarios
- Reference Evolution Configuration
SPACE STATION EVOLUTION

- Space Station is designed to be a permanent facility

- During the long operational lifetime of the Station
  -- User needs will change
  -- Technology will evolve/systems will become obsolete

- Space Station configuration, operations, and utilization will be evolved

- Evolution should be a key design consideration
  -- To meet user needs
  -- To avoid obsolescence
  -- To improve productivity/efficiency
DIMENSIONS OF SPACE STATION EVOLUTION

- Technology improvements/
  obsolescence avoidance

- Expanding existing capabilities
  -- Power
  -- Pressurized volume
  -- Crew time
  -- Attach points
  -- (Etc.)

- Adding new capabilities
  -- Servicing
  -- Assembly
POWER-CREW RELATIONSHIP

DATA FROM MULTILATERAL UTILIZATION STUDY
"CHINESE MENU" APPROACH
USER POWER, PRESS. VOL. AND CREW
(EVOLUTION MISSION MODEL)
USER NEEDS ASSESSMENT
POWER/CREW/PRESSURIZED VOLUME
SUMMARY

● USER DEMANDS FOR POWER, CREW AND PRESSURIZED VOLUME CANNOT BE COMPLETELY DECOUPLED
  - BASELINE USER PRESSURIZED VOLUME APPEARS ADEQUATE
  - USER EQUIPMENT OPERATED AT REQUESTED LEVELS AND FREQUENCY RESULTS IN A DEMAND FOR INCREASED POWER (UP TO 100 KW) AND CREW (UP TO 14)
  - BASELINE CREW SIZE APPEARS ADEQUATE FOR USER POWER LEVELS UP TO ABOUT 60 KW
  - LONG TERM EVOLUTION USER NEEDS ARE:
    - 200 DOUBLE RACKS
    - 150 KW
    - 22 CREW
• Initialization Point is the Phase I Station

• Based on assumed utilization emphasis
  - e.g., Microgravity Research and Commercial Materials Production

• Major goal of the scenario is to accommodate all missions in the emphasis area on schedule

• Fits in other mission areas (e.g., Life Sciences) as resources become available

• Scenario is constrained by Earth to Leo transportation support model
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Utilization Emphasis</th>
<th>Transportation Support</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Microgravity Research</td>
<td>Aggressive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Microgravity Research &amp; Materials Production</td>
<td>5 NSTS/yr only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>Aggressive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Life Sciences Research</td>
<td>5 NSTS/yr only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>Aggressive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Observational Science</td>
<td>5 NSTS/yr only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>Aggressive</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
EXPLORATION MISSIONS

- Precursors
  - Life Science R&D
  - On-orbit processing technology development
  - Vehicle technology development/verification

- Lunar/Mars missions
  - On-orbit processing
TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS CAPABILITY TO SPACE STATION

LIFT CAPABILITY (KG)

NSAT ASCENT PERFORMANCE ENHANCEMENTS

22,268

18,455

15114

58650

18432

7990

OV-103, OV 104

OV 102

TITAN IV

SHUTTLE-C

ARIAE V (ESA)

H-II (JAPAN)
STUDY APPROACH
TRANSPORTATION MODEL
CONTINUED

Moderate Transportation Support

![Graph showing lift capacity over station operating years with labels for NSTS, I-II, Ariane-5, and Shuttle-C.]
GROWTH SCENARIO RESULTS
MICROGRAVITY RESEARCH AND MATERIALS PRODUCTION EMPHASIS
5 NSTS/YR ONLY TRANSPORTATION SUPPORT
CONTINUED

Summary of Major Mission and Station Element Growth

Major Missions

Solar-Terrestrial Observatory (50%)
Life Science Lab (75%)
Gas Grain Simulator
M1 Lab #1 (50%)

Growth Elements

Total Power (KW)
Total Crew
Hab Modules
Lab Modules
Large Pocket Labs
Small Pocket Labs
Miscellaneous

Payload
Servicing
Bay/OMV

Phase
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12
Station Operating Year
GROWTH SCENARIO RESULTS
MICROGRAVITY RESEARCH AND MATERIALS PRODUCTION EMPHASIS
AGGRESSIVE TRANSPORTATION SUPPORT
CONTINUED

Summary of Major Mission and Station Element Growth

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Power (KW)</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>275</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Crew</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lab Modules</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lab Modules</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large Pocket Labs</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small Pocket Labs</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous</td>
<td>Payload Servicing/Bay/OMV</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper &amp; Lower Booms</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Station Operating Year
EVOLUTION SCENARIO RESULTS
LIFE SCIENCES EMPHASIS
MODERATE TRANSPORTATION SUPPORT
CONTINUED

Summary of Major Mission and Station Element Growth

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Power (KW)</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>225</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Crew</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lab Modules</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large Pocket Labs</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small Pocket Labs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Station Operating Year
EVOLUTION SCENARIO RESULTS

LIFE SCIENCES EMPHASIS
MODERATE TRANSPORTATION SUPPORT
CONTINUED

Final Station Configuration

Note: Module pattern shown is representative only
### TIME-PHASED SPACE STATION GROWTH

#### GROWTH DELTAS

| Δ 1 | - Solar Dynamic Module Pair; 2 - 25m Transverse Boom Extension, Space Based OMV & Space Based OMV accommodations |
| Δ 2 | - Upper/Lower Keels & Booms |
| Δ 3 | - 1 Hab Module; 2 Resource Nodes |
| Δ 4 | - 1 Solar Dynamic Module Pair; Servicing Facility Phase 1 |
| Δ 5 | - 1 Large Pocket Lab; 1 Lab Module; 2 Resource Nodes; Servicing Facility Phase 2 |
| Δ 6 | - STV/STV Hangar; Assembly Platform; Servicing Facility Phase 3 (Competed Servicing Facility) |
| Δ 7 | - 1 Lab Module; Back Porch (if needed); 1 Resource Node (if needed) |
| Δ 8 | - 1 Solar Dynamic Module Pair; 2 - 25m Transverse Boom Ext. |
| Δ 9 | - 1 Hab Module; 1 Resource Node (if needed) |
| Δ 10 | - 1 Small Pocket Lab |
| Δ 11 | - 1 Solar Dynamic Module Pair |
| Δ 12 | - 1 Large Pocket Lab |

Increasing accommodation facilities for unpressurized storage will be required throughout growth and particularly in Delta’s 1 and 2. The extent of these facilities is in part dependant upon facilities present at assembly complete and details are TBD.
TIME-PHASED SPACE STATION GROWTH
GROWTH DELTAS CONTINUED

TIME PHASING OF THE DELTA GROWTH INCREMENTS IS DEPENDANT UPON THE AMOUNT OF TRANSPORTATION SUPPORT TO THE SPACE STATION

* Moderate transportation support represents a build up to 6 NSTS flights per year supplemented with the equivalent of 3 NSTS flights worth of expendable launch vehicle

** Aggressive transportation support represents a build up to 8 NSTS flights per year supplemented with the equivalent of 5 NSTS flights worth of expendable launch vehicle
## ADDITIONAL SPACE STATION R&D EVOLUTION ELEMENTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Components</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Mass (kg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Habitats</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>47,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laboratory Modules</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>68,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extended Resource Nodes</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>44,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pocket Labs</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>30,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Truss Bays</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>5,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utility Trays</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>11,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solar Dynamic Units</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>55,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Customer Servicing Facility</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>27,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STV Propellant Tanks (Wet)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>40,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attached Payloads</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>15,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal Radiators</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total**                             |        | **368,700** |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Space Station Freedom Elements</th>
<th>Assembly Complete</th>
<th>Lunar Vehicle Operations (Expendable-Reusable)</th>
<th>Lunar &amp; Mars Operations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Modules</td>
<td>3 Laboratory (1 U.S., 1 European, 1 Japanese) 1 Habitation</td>
<td>3 Laboratory (1 U.S., 1 European, 1 Japanese) 2 Habitation</td>
<td>Same</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Truss Structure</td>
<td>Transverse Boom</td>
<td>Transverse Boom, Lower Keels &amp; Lower Boom</td>
<td>Transverse Boom, Dual Keel, and Mars Vehicle Support Structure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power &amp; Thermal</td>
<td>75 kW</td>
<td>125-175 kW</td>
<td>175 kW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crew</td>
<td>8 Permanent</td>
<td>10-12 Permanent, 4 Transient Lunar</td>
<td>12 Permanent, 4 Transient Lunar or Mars</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle Processing</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Enclosed Lunar Vehicle Hangar</td>
<td>Enclosed Lunar Vehicle Hanger, Mars Vehicle Assembly Facility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remote Manipulator, (Canadian), Mobile Transporter</td>
<td>1 Remote Manipulator, 1 Mobile Transporter</td>
<td>1-2 Remote Manipulators, 1 Mobile Transporter</td>
<td>2 Remote Manipulators 2 Mobile Manipulators</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SPACE STATION FREEDOM
EVOLUTION FOR HUMAN EXPLORATION

Lunar & Mars Operations

Lunar Vehicle Operations

Assembly Complete
Space Station as a Transportation Node
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"In 1961, it took a crisis — the space race — to speed things up. Today we do not have a crisis. We have an opportunity.

To seize this opportunity, I am not proposing a 10-year plan like Apollo. I am proposing a long-range, continuing commitment.

First, for the coming decade — for the 1990's — Space Station Freedom — our critical next step in all our space endeavors.

And next — for the new century — back to the Moon. Back to the future. And this time, back to stay.

And then — a journey into tomorrow — a journey to another planet — a manned mission to Mars.

President George Bush
Apollo Eleven Twentieth Anniversary
July 20, 1989
In response to the President's speech, the NASA Administrator created a task force, headed by Aaron Cohen, director of the Johnson Space Center, to conduct a 90-day study of the main elements of an Exploration Initiative.

The study provides reference material in support of the Vice President and the National Space Council, and enables NASA to better understand technical parameters.

The study examined:
- technical scenarios
- science opportunities
- required technologies
- international considerations
- institutional strengths and needs
- cost/resource estimates

NASA's study consists of analysis, not recommendations.
- Launch vehicle size
- In-space assembly or direct to surface
- Space Station Freedom, new spaceport, or direct assembly
- Chemical, electric, nuclear, or unconventional propulsion
- Aerobraking or all-propulsive vehicles
- Expendable or reusable spacecraft
- Propellant or tank transfer
- Open or closed life support
- Zero-gravity or artificial-gravity Mars vehicle
- In situ or Earth-supplied resources
# Von Braun Integrated Space Program (1970 - 1990)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Earth Orbital</th>
<th>Lunar</th>
<th>Planetary</th>
<th>Transportation Systems</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1970</td>
<td>Saturn Workshop</td>
<td>Apollo</td>
<td>Mariner Orbiter</td>
<td>Saturn V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1971</td>
<td>Saturn Base</td>
<td>Extended Apollo</td>
<td>Viking</td>
<td>Space Shuttle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1972</td>
<td>Space Station</td>
<td>Lunar Orbit Station</td>
<td>High Data Rate Orbiter</td>
<td>Tug</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1973</td>
<td></td>
<td>6M</td>
<td>Grand Tour</td>
<td>Nuclear Shuttle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1974</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mars Excursion Module</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1975</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1976</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1977</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1978</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1979</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1980</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1981</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1982</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1983</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1984</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1985</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1986</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1987</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1988</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1989</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Saturn Workshop**
- Astronauts
- Materials Research and Processing

**Apollo**
- Lunar Orbit Station
- Lunar Surface Base

**Mariner Orbiter**
- Viking
- High Data Rate Orbiter
- Grand Tour

**Saturn V**
- Space Shuttle
- Tug
- Nuclear Shuttle
- Mars Excursion Module

**Geosynchronous Station**
- Satellite

**Space Base**
- Space Station
- Lunar Orbit
- Lunar Surface Base

**48 Men on Lunar Surface**
- Astronomy
- Biology
- Geology
- Mining
- Regional Exploration
- Planetary Quarantine Facility

**Temporary Base**
- 12 Men
- 48 Men in Orbit
EXPLORATION APPROACH

Build upon past and present investments in space
  • Apollo, Viking, etc.
  • Space Shuttle
  • Space Station Freedom

Employ robotic craft along with manned systems

Emphasize science along the way

Build a lunar outpost first
  • Research base for science and technology
  • Test-bed for humans to Mars

Explore Moon and Mars in phases

1. Robotics
2. Emplacement
3. Consolidation
4. Operation
WHY GO TO THE MOON FIRST?

Learn to build, live and work on planetary surface close to home

Nearby — a 3-day trip and near instantaneous communications

Human experience in partial gravity leads to Mars

New science opportunities

Significant achievement by early next century

An evolutionary approach to "expanding human presence and activity"
EXPLORATION STRATEGY

Lunar Outpost

Robotics
- Outpost site is selected and verified

Emplacement
- Initial surface habitat is established
- Lunar excursion vehicle servicing capability is developed
- Initial science instruments are emplaced

Consolidation
- Habitation facilities are enhanced
- Science is expanded
- Mars systems and operations support are simulated
- In situ resource use begins

Operation
- Steady-state operations begin
- Lunar science is further expanded

Mars Outpost

Robotics
- Outpost site is selected and verified
- Engineering data are gathered to support development of human vehicles and systems

Emplacement
- First Human landing on and return from Mars
- Initial surface habitat is established
- Water production and extraction techniques are demonstrated
- Local science and exploration are conducted

Consolidation
- First extended stay times on Mars occur
- Habitation facilities are enhanced
- Regional science and exploration are conducted

Operation
- Steady-state operations begin
- Lunar science is further expanded
All reference approaches assume technology successes

Approaches differ according to study variables
- Schedule of major events
- Lunar mission content
- Mars mission content
- Elements can be used to construct other reference approaches

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference Approaches</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earliest Moon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earliest Lunar Outpost</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Characteristics of Reference Approaches

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference Approaches</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Earliest Moon</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earliest Lunar</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outpost</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constructible Habitat</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Later Mars</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mars Operation</td>
<td>2022→</td>
<td>2018→</td>
<td>2022→</td>
<td>→</td>
<td>→</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extended Mars Stay</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>2023</td>
<td>2027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>No Space Station</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disruption</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Segment</td>
<td>System</td>
<td>Crew:</td>
<td>Cargo:</td>
<td>Surface Systems (Habitat, Power, etc.)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earth-to-Orbit</td>
<td>Space Shuttle</td>
<td>Heavy-lift Launch Vehicle</td>
<td>Space Station Freedom (Growth Version)</td>
<td>Crew and Cargo: Lunar Transportation Vehicle</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Low-Earth Orbit</td>
<td>Crew and Cargo: Lunar Excursion Vehicle</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Transfer to and from Lunar Orbit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Transfer to and from Lunar Surface</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lunar Outpost</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
LUNAR MISSION PROFILE

1. Payload Delivered to Space Station Freedom
2. Lunar Transfer Vehicle Mated with Payload at Freedom
3. Trans-Lunar Phase with Lunar Transfer Vehicle
4. Lunar Transfer Vehicle Rendezvous with Lunar Excursion Vehicle from Moon
5. Excursion Vehicle Returns to Moon with Payload
6. Trans-Earth Phase with Transfer Vehicle
7. Transfer Vehicle Aerobrake Maneuver and Return to Freedom
LUNAR OUTPOST

POWER PRODUCTION
- Nuclear Reactor w/ Stirling Engines
- PVA / RFC (Initial Power in Hab Area)

IN-SITU RESOURCE UTILIZATION (As Appropriate)
- LLOX
- Ceramics

SCIENCE

CREW HABITATION
- Habitat Module
- Laboratory Module
- Airlocks
- Constructible Habitat
- Logistics Modules
- Communications Tower

LAUNCH & LANDING
- LEV / MEV Servicers
- Pads

North
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>Crew:</th>
<th>Cargo:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Earth-to-Orbit Transportation</td>
<td>Space Shuttle</td>
<td>Advanced Heavy-lift Launch Vehicle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low-Earth Orbit Transportation Node</td>
<td>Space Station Freedom (Evolved to Support Mars Expedition and Lunar Outpost)</td>
<td>Mars Transfer Vehicle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transfer to and from Mars Orbit</td>
<td>Crew and Cargo:</td>
<td>Mars Excursion Vehicle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transfer to and from Martian Surface</td>
<td>Crew and Cargo:</td>
<td>Mars Excursion Vehicle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humans on Mars</td>
<td>Lander and Exploration Tools</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A permanently manned, international research laboratory and, later, a staging base for the Moon and Mars.

Need for:
- Life sciences research and microgravity countermeasures
- Technology development and validation
- Development of operational procedures
- Assembly, test, launch, recovery, turnaround of space vehicles

Current design can evolve to the more capable configuration essential for a return to the Moon and human exploration of Mars.

President Bush called Space Station Freedom: "our critical next step in all our space endeavors"
SPACE STATION FREEDOM
EVOLUTION FOR HUMAN EXPLORATION

Lunar Vehicle Operations

Lunar & Mars Operations

Assembly Complete
SPACE STATION FREEDOM EVOLUTION

Assembly Complete
199X

Crew: 8
Power: 75 kW
Volume: 1 habitat
3 laboratories

Lunar Mission Node
200X

Crew: 10 (plus 4 transient)
Power: 125 kW
Volume: 2 habitats
4 laboratories

Lunar/Mars Mission Node
20XX

Crew: 12 (plus 4 transient)
Power: 175 kW
Volume: 2 habitats
4 laboratories

Office of Exploration
EXPLORATION LIFE SCIENCES

Radiation Protection

Reduced Gravity Countermeasures

Medical Care

Life Support in Habitats and Space Vehicles

Extravehicular Activity

Behavior and Performance

Earth → Freedom → Lunar Outpost → Mars
Microgravity exposure causes major physiological change
  • Bone mineral loss
  • Muscle atrophy
  • Cardiac deconditioning

Current countermeasures (exercise) may be insufficient for the lengthy voyage to Mars

Strategy to test and evaluate necessary zero-g countermeasures will utilize
  • Space Shuttle extended duration orbiter
  • Space Station Freedom and eventually
  • The lunar outpost itself

Current approach: plan a zero-g Mars transfer vehicle, but begin low level definition of an artificial gravity system just in case

Humans must be certified for journey to Mars
LAUNCH VEHICLES FOR LUNAR MISSIONS

- Requirements
  - Shuttle for Manned Launches
  - HLLV for Cargo + Propellant
  - 2-6 HLLV Flights/Year
  - Lunar Vehicles Aerobrake Requires
    7.6m dia Payload Envelope

**Shuttle**
- 2 ASRM
- Std ET
- 3 x 104% SSMEs
- 22t P/L Capability to SSF
- 4.6m x 18.2m P/L Envelope

**Shuttle-C**
- 2 ASRM
- Std ET
- 3 x 104% SSMEs
- 71t P/L Capability to SSF
- 4.6m x 25m P/L Envelope

**ALS.**
- 2 ASRM
- Mod. ET
- 3 x 104% SSMEs
- 61t P/L Capability to SSF
- 7.6m x 25m P/L Envelope
- 1 LOX/LH₂ Booster w/6 STMEs
- LOX/LH₂ Core w/3 STMEs
- 52.3t P/L Capability to SSF
- 7.6m D x 30m L P/L Envelope
- 2 LOX/LH₂ Booster w/6 STMEs
- LOX/LH₂ Core w/3 STMEs
- 98.2t P/L Capability to SSF
- 10m D x 30m L P/L Envelope
LAUNCH VEHICLES FOR MARS MISSIONS

- Requirements
  - Shuttle for Manned Launches
  - Large HLLV for Cargo and Propellant (140t to LEO)
  - 5 to 7 HLLV Launches Per Mission
  - Mars Vehicle Aerobrake Requires Payload Envelope of 12.5 m Dia

Shuttle Derived HLLV

Shuttle

- 2 ASRM
- Std ET
- 3 x 104% SSMEs
- 22t P/L Capability to SSF
- 4.6m x 18.2m Payload Envelope

Shuttle Derived HLLV

- 4 ASRM
- 4 x SSMEs on 10m Dia Core
- Recoverable P/A Module
- 12.5m D x 30m L Payload Envelope

Growth ALS

- 3 LOX/LH₂ Boosters w/6 STMEs ea.
- LOX/LH₂ Core w/3 STMEs
- 12.5m D x 21m L Payload Envelope
SHUTTLE AND LUNAR/MARS TRANSFER VEHICLES

**Space Shuttle**
- Mass = 92 metric tons
- Payload = 22 metric tons

**Lunar Transportation System**
- Mass = 200 metric tons

**Mars Transportation System**
- Mass = 800 metric tons
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technology Areas</th>
<th>Benefits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Regenerative Life Support Systems</td>
<td>Enables strategic self-sufficiency goals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Annual mass savings of 45 metric tons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aerobraking</td>
<td>Essential for cost-effective space transportation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Annual mass savings of 60 metric tons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advanced Space Engineering</td>
<td>Essential for cost-effective space transportation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Annual mass savings of 30 metric tons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface Nuclear Power</td>
<td>Enables strategic self-sufficiency goal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mass savings of 315 metric tons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In Situ Resource Utilization</td>
<td>Enables strategic self-sufficiency goal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Annual mass savings of 315 metric tons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiation Protection</td>
<td>Essential for strategic Mars goal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CONCLUSIONS OF THE 90-DAY STUDY

- Major investments in challenging technologies are required
- Scientific opportunities are considerable
- Robotic spacecraft will be needed
- Current launch capabilities are inadequate
- Space Station Freedom is essential
- Program alternatives do exist
- Opportunities for international cooperation exist
- A long-range commitment and significant resources will be required
PLANET MOST LIKE EARTH
- Has an atmosphere, evidence of warmer past
- Mars has intrigued humans for generations

SEARCH FOR LIFE ON MARS
- Life may have existed long ago
- It may still exist in protected underground environments
- Answers will provide clues about evolution of life

GLOBAL CLIMATE CHANGE ON MARS
- Created geologically fascinating planet
- May enhance our understanding of changes on Earth

HUMAN AND ROBOTIC EXPLORATION
- Both important for complex field studies

Human presence key to advancing understanding
Excellent science to be done on both Moon and Mars

- Robotic science
- Human interactive science

Fundamental scientific themes

- Origin and history of Earth and Moon
- The origin of life/life on Mars
- Global climate change
- Search for other solar systems
- Fate of the Universe

Research opportunities cover many disciplines

- Solar Physics
- Geology
- Biology
- Astrophysics
- Chemistry
- Space Physics

Exciting and productive opportunities for space science
# INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Japan</th>
<th>Europe</th>
<th>U.S.S.R.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Limited experience</td>
<td>• Technically expert</td>
<td>• Returned lunar samples robotically in 1970s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Ambitious aspirations</td>
<td>• Seeking autonomous capabilities in manned</td>
<td>• Active planetary program, had focused on</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>space flight</td>
<td>Venus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Growing capabilities: H-II</td>
<td>• Partner in Space Station, and designing Hermes</td>
<td>• Interest in Mars, but limited success</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vehicle and Space Station</td>
<td>space plane</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>module</td>
<td>• Would seek equality in any future initiative</td>
<td>• Proposed a manned Mars project with the U.S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Interested in lunar</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>resource utilization?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Canada</th>
<th>Other Nations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Built Canadarm for</td>
<td>• China, India and Brazil have small</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shuttle</td>
<td>space programs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Desire to participate?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Role for nations with small or no space</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>experience?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Advantages are significant

- Access to first-rate technical personnel and facilities
- Reduction in cost
- Foreign policy benefits

Civil Space Program has extensive experience

- NASA has concluded over 1000 agreements with more than 100 countries
- Scientific exchanges, foreign instruments and major hardware contributions
- Space Station, Spacelab, Apollo-Soyuz

Negotiations will be complex

- Protection of important U.S. interests
- Protection against unwarranted technology transfer
- Satisfaction of foreign interests
- Assurances regarding funding continuity
## COST DRIVERS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mass carried to low-Earth orbit</th>
<th>Extent of robotic precursor missions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Heavy-lift launch vehicles</td>
<td>Number and sophistication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scope of technology program</td>
<td>Scale of lunar activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Parallel development</td>
<td>• Crew size</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Push/pull</td>
<td>• Stay time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Automation and robotics</td>
<td>• Surface systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extent of Space Station periods</td>
<td>Scale of Mars activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approach to risk</td>
<td>• Trip time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operations philosophy</td>
<td>• Same as lunar activities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nature of international participation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Program stability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Funding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Milestones</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Office of Exploration
THE EXPLORATION INITIATIVE

Definition of key parameters and approaches has just begun

- Program scope
- Technology
- Science
- Mission scenarios
- Alternative concepts/innovative approaches
- International cooperation
- Management

NASA continues to look at program options and welcomes new concepts
WHAT WE ONCE HAD

A Heavy-lift Launch Vehicle

A Space Station

An Aerospace Plane
"I am proposing a long-range, continuing commitment. First, for the coming decade — for the 1990's — Space Station Freedom — our critical next step in all our space endeavors. And next — for the new century — back to the Moon. Back to the future. And this time, back to stay. And then — a journey into tomorrow — a journey to another planet — a manned mission to Mars."
Science and Technology
- Increase knowledge
- Develop new and more efficient systems

Competitiveness
- Spur America's competitiveness in the 21st century

Education
- Stimulate young people to pursue careers in mathematics, science, and engineering

International Cooperation
- Bring nations together to achieve peaceful exploration

Pride
- Provide our citizens with a visible symbol of America's strength and vision
Technology for Space Station Evolution
A Workshop

Importance of Automation

January 16, 1990

Dr. Henry Lum
NASA Ames Research Center
THE NATIONAL SPACE CHALLENGES

PERMANENT PRESENCE IN SPACE

LUNAR OUTPOST
Importance of Automation

Topics for Discussion

- What is Automation?
- How can it be successfully used?
- Technology Challenges
- Cultural barriers to implementation and utilization
- Summary
Advanced Automation

System Integration of AI Technologies with "Conventional" Technologies

Resulting in

"Intelligent" (Advanced Automation) Systems
Architecture of an Autonomous Intelligent System

Knowledge Base:
- Dynamic World Model
- CAD/CAM Database
- System Configuration
- Heuristic Rules

Operator Interface
  - Execution Status
  - Nominal Plan
  - World State

Simulator
  - Expectations
  - Actualities
  - Direct World State Changes
  - State Updates

Monitor
  - State Observables

Perceptor
  - External Observables

Effector
  - Internal Observables

Diagnoser
  - Anomalies

Planner
  - Replan Order

Executor
  - Commands

Task Planning & Execution

System Architecture & Integration

Sensing & Perception

State Changes

Manipulators & Control Mechanization
Automation DOES NOT Solve All Problems!
Beneficial Use of Automation is Driven by Applications Focused in Five Areas:

- Space and Aeronautical Operations
- Management and Analysis of Science and Engineering Data
- Onboard Monitoring, Diagnosis, and Control
- Preservation and Utilization of Program Life-Cycle Knowledge
- High-Fidelity Simulation and Training
GOALS

- Reduce Manpower Needs
- Reduce Training Time
- Improve Critical Decision Making

CURRENT APPLICATION PROJECTS

- Computer Decision Aids for Air Traffic Controllers (Descent Advisor)
  - Safely Increase Traffic Volume in Terminal Areas

- Proximity Operations
  - Effective Planning Aid, Based on Human Factors, Control Theory, and Operational Experience for Maneuvers in Complex Orbital Environments

- Space Station Freedom Crew Station Design
  - Flexible, Mobile, Wearable Information Displays for IVA

- Superfluid Helium On-Orbit Transfer (SHOOT)
  - Software Systems to Allow Ground-Based Experimenters and STS Crew to Monitor and Control In-Space Cryogen Transfers

- Principal Investigator (PI)-In-A-Box
  - Capability for Crew to Better Conduct "Reactive" Science
AUTOMATED SYSTEMS FOR IN-FLIGHT MISSION OPERATIONS
EVOLUTION OF AUTOMATION TECHNOLOGY
KNOWLEDGE-BASED SCHEDULING AND RESOURCE ALLOCATION

- Automatic Scheduling
  - The placement of tasks in time given complex resource requirements subject to domain constraints
- Reactive Rescheduling
  - Meeting the exigencies of developments during the course of the schedule
- Constraint-based Representation
  - Provides system modularity and extensibility
MANAGEMENT AND ANALYSIS
OF SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING DATA

GOALS

- Increase Science Return from Under-Analyzed Observational Data
- Improve Effective Utilization of Channel Bandwidth Capacity
- Conduct In-Situ Analysis on Lunar and Planetary Surfaces

CURRENT APPLICATION PROJECTS

- Virtual Environment Workstation (VIEWS)
  - Full Information Display of Integrated Time-Varying Data in a Virtual Environment Format
- Autoclass
  - Use of Advanced Reasoning Technology for Classification of Large Data Sets
- Automation of Differential Thermal Analyzer/Gas Chromatograph (DTA/GC)
  - Software for Fault Diagnosis and Correction, and In-Situ Analysis
ONE CLASS OR TWO?
ONBOARD MONITORING, DIAGNOSIS & CONTROL

GOALS
- Enhance Mission Safety by Early Discovery of Incipient Failures
- Free Crew to Conduct Mission Tasks
- Provide Real-Time Capabilities Beyond Human Performance Levels

CURRENT APPLICATION PROJECTS
- Intelligent System for Real-Time Control of Space Station Freedom Thermal Control System
  - Rule and Model-Based System for Control, Fault Detection, Fault Isolation and Recovery
- Real-Time Science and Navigation Planner for Planetary Rovers
  - Reactive Science Planner Integrated with Navigation Planning
- Planetary Rover Vision System
  - 2-D Image Velocities from Image Sequences and 3-D Motions from 2-D Velocities
- Datalink Human Factors
  - Quantitative Measures and Evaluations of Pilots' Information Requirements
- Computer Vision/Guidance Aids for Rotorcraft NOE Flight
SYSTEMS AUTONOMY DEMONSTRATION PROJECT

ADVANCED AUTOMATION DEMONSTRATION OF SPACE STATION FREEDOM THERMAL CONTROL SYSTEM

TECHNOLOGY CHALLENGE

EXPERT SYSTEM REALTIME CONTROL OF A COMPLEX ELECTRO-MECHANICAL SYSTEM
- Advanced Thermal Technology
- Complex Physical System

TECHNOLOGY IMPLEMENTATION

JOINT ARC/JSC DEMONSTRATION

THERMAL CONTROL SYSTEM (TCS)
Two-Phase Anhydrous Ammonia System

5 Evaporators
2 Accumulators
4 Condensors

APPLICATION

LOCAL AREA NETWORK

MAN/MACHINE INTERFACES

SYSTEMS ARCHITECTURES

KNOWLEDGE ENGINEERING

ARC BRASSBOARD

JSC TESTBED DEMONSTRATION

SPACE STATION FREEDOM

NASA AMES RESEARCH CENTER
INFORMATION SCIENCES DIVISION
FAULT MANAGEMENT OF COMPLEX SYSTEMS

- Understand System in Failure Space
  - Global FMEA’s
  - Failure Tolerance
- Provide Capability to Quantify, Assess, and Manage Risk
- Testability
- Model Based Reasoning Algorithm(s)
- Maintenance Diagnostics and Operations
- Support
  - Design Decisions
  - Test Operations
  - Flight Operations
  - Training
  - Design Knowledge Capture
- Integrated Verification and Validation Test Requirements
PRESERVATION AND UTILIZATION OF PROGRAM LIFE-CYCLE KNOWLEDGE

GOALS

- Capture Knowledge Throughout Design, Construction, Test and Operations
- Integrate Knowledge From Many Disparate Sources
- Provide Focused Problem-Solving Capability

CURRENT APPLICATION PROJECTS

- Electronic Designers Notebook
  - Software for Routinely Capturing Formal and Informal Heuristic Design Knowledge (SIRTF Tertiary Mirror Assembly)

- Corporate Memory Facility (CMF) for Space Station Freedom TMIS
  - Knowledge-Base Technology for Enhancing Technical Management and Information System (TMIS)

- NASA/AF ALS Unified Information System
  - Distributed Intelligent Information Management System for Project Management, Control, and Real-time Scheduling - Vendor-Independent Environment
DESIGN KNOWLEDGE CAPTURE AND RETENTION

GOAL:  
- ACQUIRE COMPUTER UNDERSTANDABLE MODEL OF DESIGN AND REQUIREMENTS  
- RECORD RATIONALE FOR DESIGN DECISIONS

APPROACH:

1. PROVIDE AND ELECTRONIC NOTEBOOK

2. EVALUATE HOW WELL THE DESIGNS MEET THE REQUIREMENTS

TERNARY MIRROR

TELESCOPE: SIRTF
Technology Challenges

- Multiple Sensor Integration and Understanding
- Distributed Knowledge-based Systems
  - Cooperating Agents
  - Distributed Operating Systems
  - Real-time Networking
  - Distributed Programming Environment
- System Architecture and Integration
  - User Interfaces
  - Fault Management
  - Computational Environment
- System Verification and Validations
- Focused Testbed Applications
Recommended "Cultural" Changes for Implementation of Advanced Automation

- Integrate/Manage/Use all Agency Resources to Accomplish Project and Mission Goals and Objectives
  - Implement Effective Team Collaboration between Centers
  - Implement a Distributed Unified Information System for Project Management and Control
- Leverage/Use Commercial/Industry/DoD Standards and Technologies whenever Possible
- Accept/Use Advanced Automation and Expert Systems in Operational Environment
- Design and Manage for Evolutionary Growth and Technology Upgrades
- Incorporate System Fault Management from Design through Operations
- Use Life Cycle Design Costs for Determination of Return-on-Investment (ROI)
“It’s beginning to show some human characteristics—faulty reasoning, forgetfulness and repetition.”
PHOTONIC PROCESSING

- VERY HIGH DATA THROUGHPUT
- LOWER POWER AND WEIGHT REQUIREMENTS
- BUILT-IN DEGREE OF FAULT TOLERANCE

LABORATORY DEMONSTRATION OF ROTATION-ININVARIANT OBJECT RECOGNITION USING ONE FILTER

ACCOMPLISHMENTS

DEVELOPMENT OF HIGH SPEED CONTROLLER FOR SPATIAL LIGHT MODULATOR

APPLICATIONS

PLANETARY ROVER

SPACE STATION FREEDOM
Recommendation from STATS Strategic Avionics Planning November 1989

Advanced Automation Applications

- Increased Emphasis on Automating Flight Vehicles
  - Health Status Monitoring
  - Onboard Test and Checkout
  - System Testability Design
  - Onboard Flight Design Process
  - Inflight Crew Training

Provides Maximum Return on Investment
Recommendation from STATS Strategic Avionics Planning
November 1989

Implementation Strategy

- Build Appropriate Complexity into Hardware Early and Avoid Complex Software
- Develop Integrated Test and Verification Facilities that Support Multiple Programs
- Utilize Technology to Develop Multifunction Systems/Sensors as Opposed to Single Function Box Replacement

Cost-Effective Insertion of Evolving Technology for Maximum Productivity
Benefits of Advanced Automation

- Increased Functionality
- Increased Fault Management Capability
- Evolution of Highly Dependable Systems
- Increased Productivity
  - Skill Utilization
  - Training
Appendix 1

TECHNOLOGY FOR SPACE STATION EVOLUTION - A WORKSHOP

Final Agenda

TUESDAY, JANUARY 16, 1990

8:00am - 12:00N PLENARY SESSION

8:00am - 8:15am Welcome
Arnold D. Aldrich
Associate Administrator for Aeronautics and Space Technology

8:15am - 8:45am Keynote
Dr. William B. Lenoir
Associate Administrator for Space Station

8:45am - 9:00am Workshop Overview
Dr. Judith H. Ambrus
Acting Assistant Director for Space, Large Space Systems
Office of Aeronautics and Space Technology

9:00am - 9:45am Space Station Phase I Configuration
Richard H. Kohrs
Director, Space Station Freedom

9:45am - 10:15am BREAK

10:15am - 10:45am Mission Requirements and Evolution Scenarios
Dr. Earle K. Huckins, III
Director, Strategic Plans and Programs
Office of Space Station

10:45am - 11:15am Space Station as a Transportation Node
Dr. Jeffrey Rosenthal
Special Assistant for Policy
Office of Exploration

11:15am - 11:45am Importance of Automation
Dr. Henry Lum, Jr.
Chief, Information Sciences Division
NASA, Ames Research Center

11:45am - 12:00N Workshop Instructions
Dr. Roger Breckenridge
Manager, In-Space Technology Office
Space Station Freedom Office
NASA, Langley Research Center
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TUESDAY, JANUARY 16, 1990 (cont'd)

12:00N - 1:30pm  LUNCH

Luncheon Speaker
James B. Odom
President, CEO
Applied Research, Inc.

1:30pm - 6:00pm  ELEVEN DISCIPLINE BREAKOUTS

ATTITUDE CONTROL AND STABILIZATION
COMMUNICATIONS AND TRACKING
DATA MANAGEMENT SYSTEM
ECLSS
EVA/MAN SYSTEMS
FLUID MANAGEMENT SYSTEM
POWER SYSTEM
PROPULSION
ROBOTICS
STRUCTURES/MATERIALS
THERMAL CONTROL SYSTEM

1:30pm - 3:00pm  Current Station Subsystem Design
Level III Managers

3:00pm - 3:30pm  BREAK

3:30pm - 4:30pm  Current OAST Program Overview
OAST Program Managers

4:30pm - 6:00pm  Invited Speakers
WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 17, 1990

8:00am - 5:30pm  ELEVEN DISCIPLINE BREAKOUTS

ATTITUDE CONTROL AND STABILIZATION
COMMUNICATIONS AND TRACKING
DATA MANAGEMENT SYSTEM
ECLSS
EVA/MAN SYSTEMS
FLUID MANAGEMENT SYSTEM
POWER SYSTEM
PROPULSION
ROBOTICS
STRUCTURES/MATERIALS
THERMAL CONTROL SYSTEM

8:00am - 10:00am  Panel Discussion of Space Station System Needs
10:00am - 10:30am  BREAK
10:30am - 12:00N  Panel Discussion of Projected Technology Advances
12:00N - 1:00pm  LUNCH
1:00pm - 3:00pm  Workshop Discussions
3:00pm - 3:30pm  BREAK
3:30pm - 5:30pm  Workshop Discussions
THURSDAY, JANUARY 18, 1990

8:00am - 5:00pm ELEVEN DISCIPLINE BREAKOUTS

ATTITUDE CONTROL & STABILIZATION
COMMUNICATIONS & TRACKING
DATA MANAGEMENT SYSTEM
ECLSS
EVA/MAN SYSTEMS
FLUID MANAGEMENT SYSTEM
POWER SYSTEM
PROPULSION
ROBOTICS
STRUCTURES/MATERIALS
THERMAL CONTROL SYSTEM

8:00am - 10:00am Workshop Discussions
10:00am - 10:30am BREAK
10:30am - 12:00N Workshop Discussions
12:00N - 1:00pm LUNCH
1:00pm - 3:00pm Workshop Committees Prepare Reports
3:00pm - 3:30pm BREAK
3:30pm - 5:00pm Workshop Committees Prepare Reports
FRIDAY, JANUARY 19, 1990

8:00am - 12:00N  PLENARY SESSION

8:00am - 9:15am  Presentation of Workshop Reports
  Attitude Control and Stabilization
  Communications and Tracking
  Data Management System
  ECLSS
  EVA/Man Systems

9:15am - 9:45am  BREAK

9:45am - 11:15am  Presentation of Workshop Reports
  Fluid Management System
  Power System
  Propulsion
  Robotics
  Structures/Materials
  Thermal Control System

11:15am - 12:00N  Workshop Wrap-up

12:00N  ADJOURN
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<td>Brown, Barbara</td>
<td>NASA, KSC</td>
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<td>McDonnell Douglas</td>
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<td>Brownfield, Jay</td>
<td>Allied-Signal, GFSD</td>
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<td>Butler, Jr., John M.</td>
<td>NASA, MSFC</td>
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<td>NASA/Lewis</td>
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<td>Cardin, Joe</td>
<td>Moog Inc.</td>
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<td>Carnes, James Ray</td>
<td>Boeing</td>
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<td>Cassell, Sean</td>
<td>Ford Aerospace</td>
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<td>Chen, Angela</td>
<td>McDonnell Douglas</td>
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<tr>
<td>Cheyney, Clay</td>
<td>M00G - Space Products</td>
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<td>University of Kentucky</td>
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