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WHY TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER

PUBLIC LAW 96-480/STEVEN-WYDLER
TECHNOLOGY INNOVATION ACT OF 1980

(1) TECHNOLOGY AND INDUSTRIAL INNOVATION ARE CENTRAL TO THE ECONOMIC, ENVIRONMENTAL, AND SOCIAL WELL-BEING OF CITIZENS OF THE UNITED STATES

(2) TECHNOLOGY AND INDUSTRIAL INNOVATION OFFER AN IMPROVED STANDARD OF LIVING, INCREASED PUBLIC AND PRIVATE SECTOR PRODUCTIVITY, CREATION OF NEW INDUSTRIES AND EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITIES, IMPROVED PUBLIC SERVICES AND ENHANCED COMPETITIVENESS OF UNITED STATES PRODUCTS IN WORLD MARKETS

(3) MANY NEW DISCOVERIES AND ADVANCES IN SCIENCE OCCUR IN UNIVERSITIES AND FEDERAL LABORATORIES, WHILE THE APPLICATION OF THIS NEW KNOWLEDGE TO COMMERCIAL AND USEFUL PUBLIC PURPOSES DEPENDS LARGELY UPON ACTIONS BY BUSINESS AND LABOR. COOPERATION AMONG ACADEMIA, FEDERAL LABORATORIES, LABOR, AND INDUSTRY, IN SUCH FORMS AS TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER, PERSONNEL EXCHANGE, JOINT RESEARCH PROJECTS, AND OTHERS, SHOULD BE RENEWED, EXPANDED, AND STRENGTHENED (U.S. CONGRESS, 1980:SEC. 2)

CONCEPTS AND DEFINITIONS

THE CONCEPT OF TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER IS NOT A SIMPLE ONE:

• TO EXPLORE THE CONCEPT OF TECH-TRANSFER, A NECESSARY STEP IS TO CONSIDER THE IDEA OF TECHNOLOGY (Gee, 1974)

• MACHINES AND PHYSICAL TOOLS ARE COMMON REFERENTS FOR TECHNOLOGY (Doctors, 1963 Tornatzky et al 1983)

• ...ANY TOOL OR TECHNIQUE, ANY PRODUCT OR PROCESS, ANY PHYSICAL EQUIPMENT OR METHOD OF DOING OR MAKING BY WHICH HUMAN CAPABILITY IS EXTENDED (Schon, 1969)

• TECHNOLOGY IS THE MEANS OR CAPACITY TO PERFORM A PARTICULAR ACTIVITY (Gruber and Marquis, 1968)

• TECHNOLOGY HAS BEEN DEFINED SIMPLY AS THE APPLICATION OF SCIENCE (Gee, 1874)

• WHEREAS SCIENCE IS CONCERNED WITH THE INCREASE OF KNOWLEDGE AND UNDERSTANDING, TECHNOLOGY IS DIRECTED TOWARD USE...THE OUTPUT OF TECHNOLOGICAL ACTIVITY IS A PRODUCT, PROCESS, TECHNIQUE, OR MATERIAL DEVELOPED FOR SOME SPECIFIC USE. TECHNOLOGY...CAN INCORPORATE INVENTIONS...PATENTS ARE MORE COMMONLY THE OUTGROWTH OF TECHNOLOGY RATHER THAN OF SCIENCE (Gee)
CONCEPTS AND DEFINITIONS

VERTICAL TECH-TRANSFER

- "...A GENERAL PRINCIPLE IS APPLIED TO PRODUCE A NEW PRODUCT, DEVICE, OR PROCESS WITHIN A GIVEN SCIENTIFIC OR TECHNICAL DISCIPLINE, AND, GENERALLY WITHIN AN ORGANIZATIONAL ENTITY SUCH AS A SINGLE CORPORATION OR GOVERNMENT AGENCY (Doctors, 1969).

- "...THE VERTICAL FLOW OF TECHNOLOGY IS FROM A LABORATORY TO A GIVEN APPLICATION, IN A GIVEN DISCIPLINE (Essoglou, 1975).

HORIZONTAL TECH-TRANSFER

- "...SECONDARY APPLICATIONS, WHEREIN TECHNOLOGY WHICH ORIGINATES IN ONE SECTOR (SUCH AS AEROSPACE) IS USED IN ANOTHER SECTOR (SUCH AS URBAN TRANSPORTATION OR HEALTH...) (Linhares, 1976).

- "...ONE TECHNOLOGY IS ADAPTED TO A DIFFERENT AREA OF APPLICATION, GENERALLY ACROSS INSTITUTIONAL LINES. AN EXAMPLE MIGHT BE SEEN IN... THE USE OF A NEW METAL ALLOY DEVELOPED FOR A ROCKET ENGINE IN A BOILER FOR A STEEL MILL (Doctors, 1969).

TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER

1) MOVEMENT OF TECHNOLOGY AFTER SOME TYPE OF ADAPTATION:

- "... THE PROCESS WHEREBY TECHNICAL INFORMATION ORIGINATING IN ONE INSTITUTIONAL SETTING IS ADAPTED FOR USE IN ANOTHER INSTITUTIONAL SETTING... MORE THAN THE MERE DISSEMINATION OF TECHNICAL INFORMATION, IT IMPLIES THE ADAPTATION OF NEW TECHNOLOGY THROUGH A CREATIVE TRANSFORMATION AND APPLICATION TO A DIFFERENT END USE" (Doctors, 1969).

- "... THE PROCESS OF EMPLOYING A TECHNOLOGY FOR A PURPOSE OTHER THAN THAT FOR WHICH IT WAS DEVELOPED... TECH TRANSFER FOCUSES ON THE UTILIZATION OF PREVIOUS RESEARCH" (Foster, 1971).

2) MOVEMENT OF TECHNOLOGY BOTH WITH AND WITHOUT ADAPTATION:

- WHEN SCIENTIFIC OR TECHNICAL INFORMATION GENERATED AND/OR USED IN ONE CONTEXT IS REEVALUATED AND/OR IMPLEMENTED IN A DIFFERENT CONTEXT, THE PROCESS IS CALLED TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER (Bar-Zakay, 1970).

- "... AN EFFORT TO BRING THE RESULTS OF RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT TO NEW USERS... TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER CALLS FOR THE TRANSFORMATION OF RESEARCH AND TECHNOLOGY INTO PRODUCTS, PROCESSES, OR SERVICES; OR TO THE APPLICATION OF RESEARCH DEVELOPED FOR ONE PURPOSE TO A SECONDARY PURPOSE (Myran, 1978).
FACTORS INFLUENCING TECH-TRANSFER

TECHNO-ECONOMIC FACTORS

• The degree of general connection of the technology to the firm's existing operations will affect the degree of success of adoption

• The specificity of the relationship between the technology and some existing and recognized problem will affect the degree of success of adoption

• The degree of urgency of the problem to which the technology was related will affect the degree of success of adoption

• The quality of information received from the source about the innovation will affect the degree of success of adoption

• Maturity of the technology will affect the degree of success of adoption

• Availability of personnel to implement the technology will affect the degree of success of adoption

• Availability of financial resources to implement the technology will affect the degree of success of adoption

ORGANIZATIONAL FACTORS

The degree of top management interest in the piece of technology will affect the degree of success of adoption

• The degree of success of adoption will be influenced by the dimensions of organizational climate of the adopting organization

• The degree of success of adoption will be higher in organizations where the use of confrontation in joint-decision making is higher

• The degree of success of adoption will be higher in organizations where the use of smoothing in joint-decision making is lower

• The degree of success of adoption will be higher in organizations where the use of forcing in joint-decision making is lower
FACTORS INFLUENCING TECH-TRANSFER

COMMUNICATION FACTORS

- The level of communication needs is dependent on technology maturity and the "gap" between basic research and readiness for applied research of the technology (commercialization).

TECHNOLOGY MATURITY

- Increased maturity implies less risk and uncertainty for the commercial adopter, and, therefore, greater probability of successful technology transfer. The more mature the technology, the more likely is the firm to attempt to transfer and commercialize it.

FACTORS AFFECTING TECH-TRANSFER

FORMAL FACTORS

- Method of information documentation
- The distribution system
- Formal organization of the user
- Selection process for projects (users' contribution)

INFORMAL FACTORS

- Capacity of the receiver
- Informal linker in the receiving organization
- Credibility as viewed by the receiver
- Perceived reward to the receiver
- Willingness to be helped
BARRIERS IN TECH-TRANSFER

- DIFFERENCE IN "ATTITUDES" CAN CONSTITUTE A "TRANSFER GAP"
  - THE GAP BETWEEN IDEA AND Prototype
  - THE COMMUNICATIONS GAP BETWEEN ORGANIZATIONS
  - THE DISPARITY BETWEEN THE BUYER'S CONCEPT OF WORTH OF NEW TECHNOLOGY AND THE SELLER'S OPINION OF ITS VALUE
  - THE REFUSAL OF BUYERS TO RECOGNIZE THAT OUTSIDE TECHNOLOGY CAN BE VALUABLE TO THEM
  - A BIASED INTERPRETATION OF THE RISK VERSUS RETURN AXIOM
  - A TENDENCY ON THE PART OF MANY ORGANIZATIONS TO DISCOURAGE THE SALE OF A TECHNOLOGY EVEN WHEN IT WOULD BE TO THEIR BENEFIT TO DO SO (Evans, 1976:29-30).

- TECH-TRANSFER ORGANIZATION RELATIONSHIP WITHIN THE COMPANY
  - TECH-TRANSFER FUNCTIONS SHOULD BE UNCOUPLED FROM THE MARKETING, PRODUCTION AND R&D DEPARTMENTS
  - ORGANIZATION FOR TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER SHOULD BE BASED ON PAIRING PROBLEMS AND CUSTOMERS

TYPES OF BARRIERS IN TECH-TRANSFER

- ENVIRONMENT BETWEEN THE R&D GENERAL SYSTEM (FEDERAL LAB, UNIV OR PRIVATE LAB) AND THE COMPANY GENERAL SYSTEM (USER TO WHOM THE TECHNOLOGY IS TO BE TRANSFERRED)

- ENVIRONMENT BETWEEN THE DEPARTMENTS AND DIVISIONS WITHIN THE LABORATORY OR COMPANY WHICH REPRESENT THE SUBSYSTEMS OF BOTH GENERAL SYSTEMS
  - BETWEEN THE GENERAL SYSTEMS
    1. NO FORMAL TRANSFER POLICIES
    2. COST BARRIERS
    3. TIME HORIZON CONFLICT
    4. INFRINGEMENT PROBLEMS
  - BETWEEN SUBSYSTEMS
    1. INERTIA BARRIER
    2. LACK OF AN INCENTIVE STRUCTURE
    3. COST BARRIER
    4. COMMUNICATION
    5. TIME BARRIER
    6. GEOGRAPHIC DISTANCE
    7. NON-EXISTENT TRANSFER MANAGEMENT STRUCTURE
    8. TECHNOLOGY BARRIER

- BETWEEN ELEMENT
  1. LACK OF AN INCENTIVE STRUCTURE
  2. HIGH RISK OF BEING BLAMED FOR FAILURE
  3. INSECURITY OF RETAINING JOB IF NOT SUCCESSFUL
  4. MUTUAL DISRESPECT
  5. UNIQUE REQUIREMENTS OF EACH SUBSYSTEM
  6. UPDATING OF TECHNOLOGY NEEDS
  7. TIME BARRIER
  8. LACK OF TRANSFER ORGANIZATION MANAGERS
ENHANCING FACTORS TO TECH-TRANSFER
INTERPERSONAL RELATIONSHIP

... THE MECHANISM OF TECHNOLOGICAL TRANSFER IS ONE OF AGENTS, NOT AGENCIES; OF THE MOVEMENT OF PEOPLE AMONG ESTABLISHMENTS, RATHER THAN OF THE ROUTING OF INFORMATION THROUGH COMMUNICATION SYSTEMS (Burns, 1969:12).

THE NATIONAL REFERRAL CENTER, A SERVICE OPERATED UNDER THE LIBRARY OF CONGRESS, HEARTILY SUBSCRIBES TO THE CONVICTION THAT SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL INFORMATION IS MOST EFFECTIVELY TRANSFERRED FROM PERSON TO PERSON, NOT FROM MEDIA TO PEOPLE (Tlimmons, 1978: 34).

KEY TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER FACILITATORS

THE ABOVE CONDITIONS WERE PERCEIVED BY THE RESPONDENTS TO BE THE GREATEST FACILITATORS OF TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER
* LACK OF INCENTIVES WAS A KEY BARRIER
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CONDITIONS

* LACK OF INCENTIVES WAS A KEY BARRIER
ENHANCING ROLES IN TECH-TRANSFER

- TECHNOLOGICAL GATEKEEPER "EXPERT SCIENTIST TO THE WORLD OF SCIENCE"
  - IS ONE WHO CONTROLS A STRATEGIC PORTION OF THE TECHNICAL LEVEL OF THE COMMUNICATION CHANNEL (Brown, 1979) AND THE DIFFUSION OF INFORMATION WHICH IS A MULTI-STEP PATTERN
  - TECHNOLOGICAL GATEKEEPERS CREATE AWARENESS OF NEW PRODUCTS AND PROCESSES BY THEIR ABILITY TO ABSORB INFORMATION AND TRANSLATE IT INTO MORE UNDERSTANDABLE FORM NOT ONLY FOR THEIR COLLEAGUES BUT ALSO FOR TOP MANAGEMENT (Tornatzky et al. 1983)

- TECHNOLOGICAL LINKERS "R&D MANAGERS"
  - OPERATES WITHIN THE ORGANIZATION WHICH RECEIVES THE KNOWLEDGE (Creighton, 1972)

MAJOR BARRIERS AND HINDRANCES TO TECH-TRANSFER

1. A TENDENCY TO ASSUME WITHOUT PROOF THAT THERE IS A RECEIVER FOR THE TECHNOLOGY, THAT IS, THAT SOMEBODY ACTUALLY WANTS IT AND WILL ACCEPT IT
2. LACK OF INTEREST AND SUPPORT BY TOP MANAGEMENT, THAT IS, THOSE WHO MAKE POLICY AND CONTROL THE NECESSARY RESOURCES
3. LACK OF INTEREST OR EFFORT BY MANAGERS AT THE LEVEL WHERE TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER WILL ACTUALLY BE IMPLEMENTED
4. FAILURE TO FIX RESPONSIBILITY AND ACCOUNTABILITY FOR GETTING THE JOB DONE
5. LACK OF AWARENESS OF THE VALUE OF TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER
6. LACK OF FUNDING FOR THE TRANSFER EFFORT
7. LACK OF PERSONNEL ASSIGNED TO THE TASK OR LACK OF SUFFICIENT TIME AVAILABLE TO THOSE WHO ARE ASSIGNED TO THE TASK
8. LACK OF NECESSARY KNOWLEDGE AND TRAINING FOR THOSE ASSIGNED THE TASK
9. RESTRICTIONS ON MOBILITY OF PERSONNEL
10. INDIFFERENCE TO TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER
MAJOR BARRIERS AND HINDRANCES TO TECH-TRANSFER (Cont’d)

11. POWER GAMES INTENDED TO MAINTAIN OR PROMOTE PERSONAL AMBITIONS, SUCH AS JOB PROTECTION, COMMERCIAL INTEREST, POLITICAL AMBITIONS, STATUS, OR CONTROL OF THE WORK SITUATION. USUALLY TAKES THE FORM OF SECRECY. (Hawthorne 1978)

12. POOR INTERPERSONAL RELATIONS – THE PARTIES REACT NEGATIVELY TO EACH OTHER

13. EXPECTATIONS OF ONE PARTY ARE NOT SHARED BY THE OTHER PARTIES

14. LACK OF CONTINUED ORGANIZATIONAL COMMITMENT TO THE EFFORT

15. PROMISING MORE THAN CAN BE DELIVERED

16. SOMEONE TAKING OFFENSE, WHERE NONE WAS INTENDED, AT A SUGGESTION THAT SOME ACTIVITY THEY ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR COULD BE IMPROVED

17. CULTURAL DIFFERENCES: ETHNIC, REGIONAL, NATIONAL, OR ORGANIZATIONAL

18. EMPLOYMENT SENIORITY SYSTEMS OR FEATHERBEDDING

19. DOCUMENTS TOO TECHNICAL FOR THE POTENTIAL USER TO UNDERSTAND

20. EXCESSIVE GOVERNMENT REQUIREMENTS FOR PRODUCT TESTING AND APPROVAL

KEY TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER BARRIERS

THE ABOVE CONDITIONS WERE PERCEIVED BY THE RESPONDENTS TO BE THE GREATEST BARRIERS TO TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER
BAR-ZAKAY TECH TRANSFER
EVOLUTION MODEL (1970) (Cont'd)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STAGE</th>
<th>DONOR</th>
<th>BOTH</th>
<th>RECIPIENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(ADAPTATION)</td>
<td>DECISION: GO/NO GO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMPLEMENTATION</td>
<td>CONSIDER CAPITAL AND</td>
<td>RECRUIT RESOURCES</td>
<td>CONSIDER PEOPLE AND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HARDWARE</td>
<td></td>
<td>EMOTIONS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OVERCOME PREJUDICE</td>
<td></td>
<td>BUILD COHESIVE ORGANIZATION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PROVIDE TRAINING</td>
<td></td>
<td>PROVIDE SUPPORTING</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OVERCOME RESISTANCE</td>
<td></td>
<td>ELEMENTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TO CHANGE</td>
<td></td>
<td>ENSURE BUREAUCRATIC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SUPPORT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAINTENANCE</td>
<td>DECISION: GO/NO GO</td>
<td>RUN PILOT OPERATION</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DELEGATE AUTHORITY</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ASSIST IN TROUBLE-SHOOTING</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IDENTIFY DIVERSIFICATION</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>POSSIBILITIES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EVALUATE NET BENEFITS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EVALUATE SUCCESS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>DECISION: GO/NO GO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BARRIERS THAT RESULTS IN PROJECT ATTRITION OR NO TRANSFER
GAUNTLET OPEN SWITCH MODEL

(OPEN SWITCHES)

PERCEPTION OF NEED
DESCRIPTION OF PROBLEM
SEARCH FOR SOLUTIONS
AWARENESS OF IDEAS
EVALUATION OF ALTERNATIVES
SELECTION
MOTIVATION TO IMPLEMENT
MOBILIZATION OF SUPPORT
COMMITMENT - DECISION
DEVELOPMENT
ADAPTATION
STEADY USE
TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT STAGES

1. BASIC PRINCIPLES OBSERVED AND REPORTED
2. CONCEPTUAL DESIGN FORMULATED
3. CONCEPTUAL DESIGN TESTED ANALYTICALLY OR EXPERIMENTALLY
4. CRITICAL FUNCTION/CHARACTERISTIC DEMONSTRATED
5. COMPONENT/BRASSBOARD TESTED IN RELEVANT ENVIRONMENT
6. PROTOTYPE/ENGINEERING MODEL TESTED IN RELEVANT ENVIRONMENT
7. ENGINEERING MODEL SPACE QUALIFIED

TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER SYSTEM

THE PUBLIC

STATE/LOCAL AGENCIES

PRIVATE INDUSTRY

TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER

FEDERAL RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT
### Strategies for Promoting Tech-Transfer to Private Sector

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technology Transfer Strategy</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
<th>Transfer Mechanisms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Passive</td>
<td>To make information accessible to those individuals and organizations searching for solutions to customer/society problems</td>
<td>Technical Databases, NTIS, Professional Journals, Trade Publications, Conferences, Workshops</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Role-Directed</td>
<td>To actively promote awareness of new technology to individuals occupying boundary-spanning roles in organizations</td>
<td>Professional Journals and Seminar Presentations, targeted to certain disciplines, Trade Publications and Seminar Presentations targeted to industry groups or national associations, Technology Fairs, Industry Teams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organization Directed</td>
<td>To actively promote the adoption of new product or process concepts to innovator firms in an industry</td>
<td>Transfer of R&amp;D Personnel, Demonstration Projects, Personal Contacts, Onsite Visits, Joint Ventures, Tax Incentives</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Evolution Process to Tech-Transfer

**Grubber 1976**

- **Inventory of Technology**
  - Recognition of Technical Feasibility
  - Technical Evaluation Activities
- **Design Concept Formulation**
  - Idea Generation
- **Development Funding Decision**
  - R&D Activities Leading to Prototype
- **Commercialization Funding Decision**
  - Final Product and Process Development
- **Market Development Activities**
- **Market Research and Evaluation Activities**
- **Market Development (Continued)**
  - Final Market Development Activities
- **Manufacturing and Sales**
- **Consumer Adoption and Use**

---

T3-12
Japanese Space Related Organizations

Prime Minister

Space Activities Commission

Science & Technology Agency

Ministry of Education

Ministry of International Trade and Industry

Ministry of Posts & Telecom.

Ministry of Transport

(R&D, Operation) (Research) (Business)

NASDA

National Aerospace Lab.

ISAS

University

ETL, MEL

CRL, SCR

NTT, NHK

JCSAT, SCC

R & D of Space Technologies

Launch/Operation

NASDA, ISAS

Development

NASDA, ISAS

Feasibility Research

NASDA, ISAS

National Labs

Basic Research

National Labs, Universities

X-10

X-5

X-0

Rough Year
NASDA's Space Robotics and AI

R&D Plan

(Development)

- Development of JEM
  - Phase-1 Research (FY87-91) Prototype Algorithm
  - Phase-0 Research (FY87-92) Pre-Research Study

(Research)

- Development of ETS-VII
  - Phase-2 Research (FY91-94) Onboard Algorithm
  - Phase-1 Research (FY93-) AI System Application Study

(Production)

- ETS-VII On-orbit Experiments
  - Development of ETS-VII

- JEM Onboard Robotics Experiments

- Lunar/Planetary Exploration
- Autonomous Satellite

Generation of Space Robots

Ground Segment

- Second Generation: Teleoperation
  - Ground Controller
  - Operator

Space Segment

- Sensing & Perception
  - Onboard Controller
  - Manipulator

- On-orbit Experiments
  - Data Relay Satellite

- Astronauts

First Generation: Proximity Operation
ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONING FOR TECH-TRANSFER

- Organization Identity
- Urgency of the Problem
- Specificity of the Relationship Between the Technology and Some Existing Problem
- Maturity of the Technology
- Quality of Information About the Technology
- Use of Smoothing in Joint Decision Making
- Org Risk Taking
- Connection of the Technology with Current Operations
- Success of Adoption
- Availability of Funds
- Availability of Person