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SUMMARY

The temperature distribution of a two-row radial engine in a twin-engine airplane has been investigated in a series of flight tests. The test engine was operated over a wide range of conditions at density altitudes of 5000 and 20,000 feet; quantitative results are presented showing the effects of flight and engine variables upon average engine temperature and over-all temperature spread. Discussions of the effect of the variables on the shape of the temperature patterns and on the temperature distribution of individual cylinders are also included.

The results indicate that, for the tests conducted, the temperature distribution patterns were chiefly determined by the fuel-air ratio and cooling-air distributions. It was possible to calculate individual cylinder temperature, on the assumption of equal power distribution among cylinders, to within an average of ±14°F of the actual temperature. A considerable change occurred in either the spread or the shape of the temperature patterns with a variation in the angle of attack of the thrust axis, the average engine fuel-air ratio, the engine speed, the power, or the blower ratio. Smaller effects on the temperature pattern were noticed with a change in cowl-flap opening and altitude. In most of the tests, a change in conditions affected the temperature of the barrels less than that of the heads. The variation of flight and engine variables had a negligible effect on the temperature distributions of the individual cylinders.
INTRODUCTION

Flight tests have been conducted by the NACA Cleveland laboratory to investigate the cooling characteristics of a two-row radial engine in a twin-engine airplane. A series of three reports present the results of the investigation. The first report (reference 1) presents a correlation of the engine-cooling variables and the application of this correlation for the determination of general cooling performance of the engine installation; the second report presents an analysis of the cooling-air pressure recovery and distribution within the engine cowling (reference 2). In the present report a temperature-distribution study is presented for the overall engine and the individual cylinders and the factors that affect the distribution are discussed.

The temperature distribution among the cylinders of an aircraft engine is an important factor that governs its performance and cooling requirements. The engine power output is frequently limited by the maximum temperature at which the hottest cylinder may operate and the excessive cooling air or the high fuel-air ratios required in many installations because of poor temperature distribution result in high fuel consumption or in excess cooling drag of the airplane.

In order to obtain more information on the flight and the engine variables that affect the temperature distribution the present study was undertaken. The flight variables studied are as follows: the cowl-flap opening, which partly regulates the cooling-air pressure behind the engine and the cooling-air flow; the angle of attack of the thrust axis, which influences the streamline pattern of air flow into the cowling; and the altitude at which the airplane is flown, which affects the density and the temperature of the cooling air. The engine variables studied are average engine fuel-air ratio, engine speed, power, and blower ratio, any of which may influence the distribution of charge and of fuel-air ratio to the cylinders.

Many of the variables affect the distribution of the cooling-air pressure drop across the engine, which in turn affects the temperature pattern. In this report, however, only the final effect of the variables on the temperature distribution will be presented, the cooling-air pressure distribution having been discussed in reference 2.

DESCRIPTION OF EQUIPMENT

Airplane and Engine

The tests were conducted with an airplane (fig. 1) powered with two 16-cylinder double-row radial air-cooled engines, each having a normal rating of 1500 brake horsepower at 2400 rpm and a take-off
rating of 1850 brake horsepower at 2600 rpm. The engines were equipped with gear-driven single-stage two-speed superchargers having a low blower ratio of 7.6:1 and a high blower ratio of 9.45:1. In order to measure the power output of the test engine the conventional reduction gear of 2:1 ratio was replaced by a torquemeter having the same gear ratio. An injection carburetor that was standard for the engine was used throughout the tests. The engine uses an impeller slinger ring, which injects fuel centrifugally into the combustion air stream at the inlet to the supercharger impeller. (See fig. 2.) Each engine was equipped with a four-blade constant-speed propeller 13$\frac{1}{2}$ feet in diameter and having cuffs and spinner. The engine cowlings were of the low-inlet-velocity type with the cowl flap opening on both sides of the lower half of the cowl. (See reference 2.)

The fuel used throughout the tests conformed to specification AN-F-28.

**Instrumentation**

The complete instrumentation installed in the airplane is completely described in references 1 and 2 and a detailed description will be given of only the particular instrumentation involved in obtaining engine-temperature data.

The right engine of the airplane was instrumented and tested. All cylinder temperatures were measured by iron-constantan thermocouples and recorded by two automatic-recording potentiometers. Three automatic selector switch-boxes were so connected that all temperatures could be recorded within 3 minutes.

Five thermocouples were attached to each of the 18 cylinders, three on the head and two on the barrel. (See fig. 3.) The thermocouples on the head were located on the rear spark-plug gasket (T12), on the rear spark-plug boss (T33), and on the rear of the head between the two top circumferential fins (T13). The thermocouples on the barrel were located on the rear middle of the barrel halfway up the finning (T16) and at the rear of the cylinder flange (T14). Thirty additional thermocouples were located (fig. 4) on front-row cylinder 18 and on rear-row cylinder 7; all thermocouples were peened into the cylinder to a depth of one-sixteenth inch except those on the flange, which were spot-welded to the surface. These thermocouples were added to obtain a survey of the temperature distribution of individual cylinders. The cylinder numbering system used was conventional; that is, the cylinders were numbered clockwise when viewed from the rear with cylinder 1 at the top.
In addition to standard engine instruments the test engine was provided with means of obtaining values of fuel flow, engine charge-air flow, cooling-air temperature and pressure, and manifold pressure. The angle of attack of the thrust axis was measured by a pendulum-type inclinometer and the cowl-flap positions were measured by an electrical transmitter and indicator. Fuel-air ratios were determined for the individual cylinders by means of Orsat analyses of the exhaust gases. When no exhaust samples were obtained, the average engine fuel-air ratio was calculated from the fuel flow and the charge-air flow (reference 1). Continuous records of airspeed, altitude, engine speed, and torque were obtained by the use of standard NACA recording instruments.

All cooling-air pressures were recorded either by means of an NACA 30-cell recording manometer or by a multiple-tube liquid-manometer board that was photographed during flight.

TESTS AND PROCEDURE

The data were obtained from flights in which the effect of any one variable on the temperature pattern could be isolated. Tests were conducted at density altitudes of 5000 and 20,000 feet, 800 to 1500 brake horsepower, engine speeds from 1800 to 2600 rpm, and at high and low blower ratio. During the tests, all of which were made at level flight, the cowl-flap opening, the airspeed, the angle of attack of the thrust axis, and the fuel-air ratio were varied independently, which sometimes necessitated lowering the landing flaps and the landing gear. Although it was impossible to maintain precisely the desired conditions at all times, the variations that occurred were slight. Conditions were set, held constant for approximately 4 minutes to allow for stabilization, and then all measurements were recorded.

The temperature distribution among cylinders was determined by using thermocouple T13 at the rear of the head between the two top circumferential fins for the heads and thermocouple T6 at the rear middle of the barrel for the barrels. Thermocouple T13 gave temperature readings that were proportional to the average head temperature Th that was determined from the average temperature of 21 head thermocouples (fig. 4). The relation of T13 and Th is presented in figure 5 for cylinders 7 and 13 for altitudes of 5000 and 20,000 feet.

In order to show the variation in temperature distribution with operating conditions, the temperatures T13 and T6 were plotted for the various cylinders. Because of the difference in the average
cylinder temperature of the front and the rear rows, they were plotted separately to make the trend resulting from a change of one of the variables more evident. The omission of any point on the distribution curves indicates a thermocouple failure. The temperature readings of the head on cylinder 10 and of the barrel on cylinder 18 were unreliable throughout most of the tests.

All temperatures were corrected by the method given in reference 3 for the difference between the free-air temperature and the Army summer air for the nominal altitude at which the flights were made.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

General Characteristics of Temperature Patterns and Correlation with Fuel-Air Ratio and Pressure-Drop Distribution

Before the effects of various flight and engine variables upon temperature distribution are determined, some general characteristics of the patterns will be noted and the chief factors contributing to the patterns discussed. For the average of all tests the temperature spread between the hottest and the coldest cylinder heads of the entire engine was 101°F, whereas the corresponding spread for the barrels was approximately half as great. The average temperature spread of the rear row was considerably less than that of the front row for both the heads and the barrels and the front-row cylinders were appreciably hotter than those of the rear row. Although some variables had a definite effect on the temperature pattern, the general shape of the pattern of each row remained substantially the same throughout the tests. Cylinder head 18 was predominately the hottest for all tests, whereas no particular barrel was consistently hot.

In order to investigate the extent to which individual cylinder fuel-air ratio and pressure drop control cylinder temperature, the distribution of these variables, together with actual and computed head temperatures, is plotted in figure 6. The pressure drops shown were measured by total-head tubes at the baffle entrance and static-pressure tubes located on a rake behind each cylinder (reference 2). The computed head temperatures were obtained by use of the cooling-correlation equation presented in reference 1; the values of pressure drop were modified according to the relation between the pressure-drop method used herein and the method on which the correlation equation is based (reference 2). The charge weight to each cylinder was assumed to be equal because no method was available for determining the charge distribution. Fairly good agreement exists
between the actual and calculated temperatures; the average difference for the individual cylinders was 14° F and the difference between the average of the patterns only 3° F. This agreement indicates that, at the conditions tested, the characteristics of the temperature patterns are determined chiefly by fuel-air ratio and cooling-air distribution rather than from charge distribution or some other unaccounted-for variable and that the maldistribution of temperature could be largely overcome by obtaining even distributions of fuel-air ratio and cooling air.

The computed values of head temperature are usually somewhat higher than the actual value for the rear row and lower for the front row (fig. c). This difference can probably be explained by the distribution of power between the two rows. Unpublished data on a similar engine showed that the front row developed approximately 53 percent of the total engine power, whereas the rear row developed 47 percent. If a similar distribution is assumed for the present tests, the agreement between the actual and computed temperatures for individual cylinders is in the order of 10° F in contrast to the value of 14° F obtained when the uneven distribution of power was not considered.

Effect of Flight Variables on Temperature Pattern

Cowl-flap opening. - The effect of cowl-flap opening on temperature distribution is shown in figures 7 and 8 for density altitudes of 5000 and 20,000 feet, respectively. Little change was observed in the general shape of the temperature patterns for either altitude when the cowl-flap positions were changed from closed to full open although in all cases the temperature of the bottom cylinders decreased slightly more than the top cylinders, especially at 20,000 feet. This decrease in temperature indicates that the cowl flaps, which extend only around the lower half of the cowling, are more effective in cooling the bottom cylinders than the top cylinders. Although this effect on temperature is small, it is of approximately the magnitude that would be expected from the change in pressure distribution shown in reference 2.

The average temperature reduction for the entire engine that resulted from opening the cowl flaps was approximately 30° F at 5000 feet and 20° F at 20,000 feet.

Angle of attack of the thrust axis. - A variation of the angle of attack of the thrust axis over a wide range has a decided effect on the temperature distribution, as shown in figure 9. Although an increase in angle of attack from 1.6° to 3.2° showed only little
change a further increase to 6.6° greatly increased the temperatures of the top cylinders and the temperature spread of both the heads and the barrels, particularly on the front row. The greater spread at the high angle of attack is due to increased spillage of cooling air over the top section of the cowling, resulting in low pressures in front of the top cylinders (reference 2) and reduced cooling-air flows to these cylinders. The general effect is an increase in the temperature of the top cylinders. The spillage of cooling air was verified by the action of tufts that were placed around the cowling and photographed in flight.

The large difference between the temperature level of the patterns in figure 9 is caused by the fact that two of the flights were made at an impact pressure of 22.0 inches of water and the third flight at 12.0 inches of water.

Altitude. - Comparisons of temperature patterns obtained at density altitudes of 5000 and 20,000 feet are presented in figure 10. All engine conditions were held constant except manifold pressure, which was decreased slightly at 20,000 feet in order to maintain constant brake horsepower. The temperatures of each pattern have been corrected to standard Army summer air for the altitude at which the tests were made.

The change of altitude from 5000 to 20,000 feet resulted in no appreciable change in either the temperature patterns or the average temperature of the heads or barrels. It is to be emphasized, however, that this very small change in average temperature is for only one specific set of operating conditions and may not be indicative of the variation in engine temperature with altitude at all operating conditions.

Effect of Engine Variables on Temperature Patterns

The effects of engine variables on temperature pattern that are discussed herein may not be applicable to all types of air-cooled engines; they should be representative, however, of engines similar to the test engine and using the same method of introducing fuel to the engine, that is, by means of an impeller slinger ring (fig. 2). During the tests made at varying fuel-air ratio, engine speed, and blower ratio, it was necessary to vary the throttle angle slightly; because this variation never exceeded 5° or one-sixteenth of the entire throttle angle, the temperature patterns should not be appreciably affected as can be determined from figure 13 of reference 4.
Fuel-air ratio. - The temperature patterns for the heads and the barrels at various average engine fuel-air ratios from 0.059 to 0.104 are compared in figure 11. The temperature distribution remains practically the same for the three highest values of fuel-air ratio, but at 0.059 the pattern changes noticeably. When the average fuel-air ratio is decreased from 0.104 to 0.083, all the cylinder-head temperatures rise but, as it is further decreased to 0.059, seven of the cylinders show a drop in temperature. Because cylinder temperatures decrease when fuel-air ratios are decreased below a value of approximately 0.067, the cylinders that became colder were the leanest ones; the other cylinders did not become sufficiently lean to drop in temperature. The patterns of the barrels show characteristics similar to those of the heads but not so pronounced. When the average engine fuel-air ratio was reduced from 0.104 to 0.059, the spread between the temperatures of the hottest and the coldest cylinder heads increased 25°F.

Engine speed. - A change in engine speed from 1800 to 2600 rpm while other conditions were held constant is shown by figure 12 to have caused a considerable increase in the spread of the temperature patterns although the characteristic shape of the patterns remained similar. The increase in engine speed resulted in a rise of 30°F in the average head and barrel temperatures and a much greater rise in the hottest head temperature. Increased temperatures are to be expected, however, because a change in engine speed, when other conditions are held constant, will cause an increase of approximately 200 indicated horsepower as shown by engine-performance curves. The observed increase of 30°F in average cylinder temperature agrees very closely with the calculated increase based on the cooling correlation equation given in reference 1.

Brake horsepower. - Figure 13 shows the effect of changes in brake horsepower on head and barrel temperature distribution. Similar patterns are observed for the heads at 800 and 1000 horsepower, whereas the patterns show a noticeable variation between 1250 and 1500 horsepower. The greater part of this difference probably results from variations in the mixture distribution that were caused by the changes of power and of throttle setting.

The temperature spread between the hottest and the coldest cylinder heads remained essentially unchanged for 800, 1000, and 1250 brake horsepower but decreased somewhat at 1500 horsepower. The general shape and spread of the barrel patterns did not change significantly at any of the four power conditions. The temperature levels increased progressively as the power was increased although they may have been slightly affected due to the small variations of impact pressure.
Blower ratio. - The cylinder temperature patterns with the engine in high and low blower ratios are compared in figure 14. The pattern of the head temperature varied considerably, probably owing to a change in fuel-air-ratio distribution effected by change in blower ratio (reference 4), whereas the barrel temperature pattern showed only a slight variation. When the engine was operated in high blower ratio, the average temperature was slightly higher for the heads and the barrels than when in low blower ratio owing to the increase in temperature rise across the supercharger and the increase in indicated horsepower. The change from low to high blower ratio had only a slight effect on the over-all spread of the head and the barrel temperatures.

Temperature Distribution of Individual Cylinders

A study was made of the temperature distribution of front-row cylinder 18 and rear-row cylinder 7 and of the effect of flight and engine variables upon the distribution. It was noted that none of the variables had an appreciable effect on the temperature distribution of the two cylinders and therefore only a comparison of the distribution at two widely different operating conditions is presented. All temperatures measured on cylinders 7 and 18 at these two conditions are listed in table I.

The longitudinal temperature distribution of cylinders 18 and 7 giving temperatures on two planes 90° apart through the center of the cylinder are shown in figure 15. Both operating conditions result in temperature-distribution patterns that are consistent in shape and similar for both cylinders. The high-power run resulted in lower cylinder temperature than the low-power run because of the rich fuel-air ratio, the open cowl flaps, and the high impact pressure. For both conditions and for both cylinders the temperatures increase progressively from the middle barrel upward toward the top of the cylinder and downward to the cylinder flange. The temperatures increase progressively directly across the top of the head from the intake to the exhaust side of the cylinder. Likewise, the temperatures increase from the top center of the head rearwardly to the spark-plug boss.

A comparison of the circumferential cylinder temperature distribution as indicated by temperatures from thermocouples evenly spaced around the head and the barrel for the two operating conditions is shown in figure 16. In all cases the temperature distribution is quite consistent for both operating conditions although the shape of the patterns is somewhat different for the two cylinders. The highest temperatures are at the rear of the cylinder being approximately 50° F hotter than the front for the heads and 35° F and 75° F hotter for the barrels of cylinders 18 and 7, respectively. The greater
temperature differential that exists between the front and rear of cylinder 7 is probably caused by the fact that the pressure drop across the rear row is appreciably higher than that across the front row, as discussed in reference 2.

**SUMMARY OF RESULTS**

From flight tests of a two-row radial engine enclosed in a low-inlet-velocity cowl, the following results were obtained:

1. When individual cylinder temperatures were calculated on the basis of known fuel-air ratio and pressure drop and on the assumption that power distribution was equal among the cylinders, the calculated values were, on the average, within \( \pm 14^\circ \) F of the actual temperatures; this close agreement indicates that for the conditions at which the tests were conducted the engine temperature distribution was determined chiefly by fuel-air ratio and cooling-air distribution.

2. Changing the cowl flaps from full open to the closed position caused only small changes in the shape of the temperature patterns for density altitudes of 5000 and 20,000 feet.

3. Varying the angle of attack of the thrust axis from 1.6° to 6.6° increased the temperature spread for both the heads and the barrels, especially on the front-row cylinders.

4. An increase in density altitude from 5000 to 20,000 feet had no appreciable effect on the shape of the temperature patterns.

5. The spread or the shape of the temperature pattern changed considerably with a variation of the average engine fuel-air ratio, the engine speed, the power, or the blower ratio.
6. The variation of flight and engine variables had a negligible effect on the temperature distribution of individual cylinders.

Aircraft Engine Research Laboratory,
National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics,
Cleveland, Ohio, January 17, 1946.
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TABLE I - TEMPERATURE SURVEY OF A FRONT- AND A REAR-ROW CYLINDER

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Thermocouple designation</th>
<th>Temperature, °F</th>
<th>Run 1a</th>
<th>Run 2b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Front-row cylinder 18</td>
<td>Rear-row cylinder 7</td>
<td>Front-row cylinder 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>263</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>223</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4</td>
<td>244</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T5</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T6</td>
<td>263</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T7</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T8</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T9</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>223</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T10</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>255</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T11</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>238</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T12</td>
<td>386</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>343</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T13</td>
<td>412</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>374</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T14</td>
<td>291</td>
<td>272</td>
<td>290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T15</td>
<td>322</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>296</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T16</td>
<td>311</td>
<td>274</td>
<td>287</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T17</td>
<td>323</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>293</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T18</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>296</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T19</td>
<td>373</td>
<td>329</td>
<td>343</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T20</td>
<td>343</td>
<td>307</td>
<td>308</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T21</td>
<td>317</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>283</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T22</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>274</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T23</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>296</td>
<td>301</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T24</td>
<td>322</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>287</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T25</td>
<td>364</td>
<td>318</td>
<td>326</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T26</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>184</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T27</td>
<td>349</td>
<td>281</td>
<td>318</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T28</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>313</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T29</td>
<td>455</td>
<td>384</td>
<td>414</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T30</td>
<td>397</td>
<td>335</td>
<td>355</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T31</td>
<td>(c)</td>
<td>(c)</td>
<td>(c)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T32</td>
<td>431</td>
<td>319</td>
<td>405</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T33</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>337</td>
<td>363</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T34</td>
<td>366</td>
<td>305</td>
<td>325</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T35</td>
<td>425</td>
<td>358</td>
<td>386</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aBrake horsepower, 820; engine speed, 2030 rpm; density altitude, 5000 feet; cowl flaps closed; low blower ratio; fuel-air ratio, 0.077; impact pressure, 18.9 inches of water; angle of attack of thrust axis, 5°.

bBrake horsepower, 1545; engine speed, 2415 rpm; density altitude, 5000 feet; cowl flaps open; low blower ratio; fuel-air ratio, 0.111; impact pressure, 29.0 inches of water; angle of attack of thrust axis, 2°.

cFaulty thermocouple.
Figure 1. - Three-quarter front view of test airplane.
Figure 2. Schematic diagram showing method of introducing fuel to test engine.
Figure 3. - Rear view of front and rear cylinders showing thermocouple locations.
Figure 4. - Location of cylinder thermocouples.
(b) Rear-row cylinder 7.

Figure 4. - Concluded. Location of cylinder thermocouples.
Figure 5. - Variation of average head temperature of cylinders 7 and 18 with cylinder head temperature as measured by thermocouple T13.
Figure 6. - Comparison of actual and calculated values of head temperature at 5000-foot density altitude; engine speed, 2400 rpm; low blower ratio.
Figure 6. - Continued. Comparison of actual and calculated values of head temperature at 5000-foot density altitude; engine speed, 2400 rpm; low blower ratio.
Figure 6. - Concluded. Comparison of actual and calculated values of head temperature at 5000-foot density altitude; engine speed, 2400 rpm; low blower ratio.
Figure 7. Effect of cowl-flap position on temperature distribution at density altitude of 5000 feet. Brake horsepower, 1000; engine speed, 2400 rpm; low blower ratio; average engine fuel-air ratio, 0.101; impact pressure, 22 inches of water; angle of attack of thrust axis, 30°.
Figure 7. - Concluded. Effect of cowl-flap position on temperature distribution at density altitude of 5000 feet. Brake horsepower, 1000; engine speed, 2400 rpm; low blower ratio; average engine fuel-air ratio, 0.10; impact pressure, 22 inches of water; angle of attack of thrust axis, 30°.
Figure 8. - Effect of cowl-flap position on temperature distribution at density altitude of 20,000 feet. Brake horsepower, 1000; engine speed, 2400 rpm; high blower ratio; average engine fuel-air ratio, 0.108; impact pressure, 17 inches of water; angle of attack of thrust axis, 3°.

(a) Cylinder-head temperatures.
Figure 8b. - Concluded. Effect of cowl-flap position on temperature distribution at density altitude of 20,000 feet. Brake horsepower, 1000; engine speed, 2400 rpm; high blower ratio; average engine fuel-air ratio, 0.108; impact pressure, 17 inches of water; angle of attack of thrust axis, 3°.
Figure 9. - Effect of angle of attack of thrust axis on temperature distribution. Brake horsepower, 1000; engine speed, 2400 rpm; density altitude, 5000 feet; cowl flaps closed; low blower ratio; average engine fuel-air ratio, 0.101.
Figure 9. - Concluded. Effect of angle of attack of thrust axis on temperature distribution. Brake horsepower, 1000; engine speed, 2400 rpm; density altitude, 5000 feet; cowl flaps closed; low blower ratio; average engine fuel-air ratio, 0.101.
Figure 10. - Effect of altitude on temperature distribution. Brake horsepower, 800; engine speed, 2400 rpm; cowl flaps closed; low blower ratio; average engine fuel-air ratio, 0.092; impact pressure, 16 inches of water; angle of attack of thrust axis, 5°.
Figure 10. Concluded. Effect of altitude on temperature distribution. Brake horsepower, 800; engine speed, 2400 rpm; cowl flaps closed; low blower ratio; average engine fuel-air ratio, 0.092; impact pressure, 16 inches of water; angle of attack of thrust axis, 5°.
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Figure 11. - Effect of average engine fuel-air ratio on temperature distribution. Brake horsepower, 1000; engine speed, 2400 rpm; density altitude, 5000 feet; cowls flaps open; low blower ratio; impact pressure, 21 inches of water; angle of attack of thrust axis, 4°.
Figure 11. - Concluded. Effect of average engine fuel-air ratio on temperature distribution. Brake horsepower, 1000; engine speed, 2400 rpm; density altitude, 5000 feet; cowl flaps open; low blower ratio; impact pressure, 21 inches of water; angle of attack of thrust axis, 40°.
Figure 12. — Effect of engine speed on temperature distribution. Brake horsepower, 800; density altitude, 5000 feet; cowl flaps closed; low blower ratio; average engine fuel-air ratio, 0.082; impact pressure, 17 inches of water; angle of attack of thrust axis, 5°.
Figure 12. - Concluded. Effect of engine speed on temperature distribution. Brake horsepower, 800; density altitude, 5000 feet; cowl flaps closed; low blower ratio; average engine fuel-air ratio, 0.082; impact pressure, 17 inches of water; angle of attack of thrust axis, 5°.
Figure 13, - Effect of brake horsepower on temperature distribution.
Engine speed, 2400 rpm; density altitude, 5000 feet; cowl flaps closed; low blower ratio; average engine fuel-air ratio, 0.101; angle of attack of thrust axis, 3°.
Figure 13. - Concluded. Effect of brake horsepower on temperature distribution. Engine speed, 2400 rpm; density altitude, 5000 feet; cowl flaps closed; low blower ratio; average engine fuel-air ratio, 0.101; angle of attack of thrust axis, 3°.
Figure 14. - Effect of blower ratio on temperature distribution. Brake horsepower, 800; engine speed, 2400 rpm; density altitude, 20,000 feet; cowl flaps closed; average engine fuel-air ratio, 0.091; impact pressure, 14 inches of water; angle of attack of thrust axis, 6°.
Figure 14. - Concluded. Effect of blower ratio on temperature distribution. Brake horsepower, 800; engine speed, 2400 rpm; density altitude, 20,000 feet; cowl flaps closed; average engine fuel-air ratio, 0.091; impact pressure, 14 inches of water; angle of attack of thrust axis, 6°.
Operating conditions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Value 1</th>
<th>Value 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brake horsepower</td>
<td>820</td>
<td>1545</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engine speed, rpm</td>
<td>2030</td>
<td>2415</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density altitude, ft</td>
<td>5000</td>
<td>5000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cowl-flap position</td>
<td>Closed</td>
<td>Full open</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blower ratio</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average engine fuel-air ratio</td>
<td>0.077</td>
<td>0.111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impact pressure, in.</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>29.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angle of attack of thrust axis, deg.</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 15a.

**Figure 15. - Longitudinal temperature distribution of cylinder.**
Operating conditions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>○</th>
<th>○</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brake horsepower</td>
<td>820</td>
<td>1545</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engine speed, rpm</td>
<td>2030</td>
<td>2415</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density altitude, ft</td>
<td>5000</td>
<td>5000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cowl-flap position</td>
<td>Closed</td>
<td>Full open</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blower ratio</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average engine fuel-air ratio</td>
<td>0.077</td>
<td>0.111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impact pressure, in. water</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>29.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angle of attack of thrust axis, deg.</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(b) Cylinder 7.

Figure 15. - Concluded. Longitudinal temperature distribution of cylinder.
Operating conditions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operating condition</th>
<th>T2</th>
<th>T3</th>
<th>T4</th>
<th>T5</th>
<th>T6</th>
<th>T7</th>
<th>T8</th>
<th>T9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brake horsepower</td>
<td>820</td>
<td>583</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engine speed, rpm</td>
<td>2030</td>
<td>1590</td>
<td>1250</td>
<td>1010</td>
<td>870</td>
<td>730</td>
<td>590</td>
<td>450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density altitude, ft</td>
<td>5000</td>
<td>5000</td>
<td>5000</td>
<td>5000</td>
<td>5000</td>
<td>5000</td>
<td>5000</td>
<td>5000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cowl-flap position</td>
<td>Closed</td>
<td>Closed</td>
<td>Closed</td>
<td>Closed</td>
<td>Closed</td>
<td>Closed</td>
<td>Closed</td>
<td>Closed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blower ratio</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average engine fuel-air ratio</td>
<td>0.077</td>
<td>0.077</td>
<td>0.077</td>
<td>0.077</td>
<td>0.077</td>
<td>0.077</td>
<td>0.077</td>
<td>0.077</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impact pressure, in. water</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>18.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angle of attack of thrust axis, deg</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) Cylinder 18.

Figure 16. - Circumferential temperature distribution of cylinder.
Fig. 16b
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Brake horsepower
Engine speed, rpm
Density altitude, ft
Cowl-flap position
Blower ratio
Average engine fuel-air ratio
Impact pressure, in. water
Angle of attack of thrust axis, deg
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2030
5000
Closed
Low
0.077
18.9
5
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(b) Cylinder 7.

Figure 16. Concluded. Circumferential temperature distribution of cylinder.