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SUMMARY

Normal-acceleration and airspeed data obtained from NACA V-G recorders installed in a two-engine type of transport airplane, employed in routine commercial operation on a north-south route in the central part of the United States from 1948 to 1950, are analyzed to determine the gust and gust-load experiences of the airplane. The accelerations experienced equaled or exceeded the limit-gust-load-factor increment, on the average, twice (once positive and once negative) in about $2.8 \times 10^6$ flight miles, and an effective gust velocity of 30 feet per second was equaled or exceeded, on the average, twice in about $0.7 \times 10^6$ flight miles. A comparison of these values with corresponding values from the same type of airplane during past operations indicates that the gust and gust-load experiences for the present operation agree well with the wartime (1941 to 1945) experiences but were about 20 percent larger than the prewar (1937 to 1941) experiences.

INTRODUCTION

A general investigation has been under way for a number of years to determine (from V-G data) the magnitude and frequency of occurrence of gusts and gust loads experienced by transport-type aircraft in routine postwar commercial operation. This investigation was designed to supplement previous research of this type, as it was felt that changes in operating conditions since the prewar period might be reflected in changes in the gust and load experiences. The results of some earlier phases of the current program are reported in references 1 and 2.

This paper presents the results of an analysis of V-G data obtained from a two-engine type of airplane, operated by a commercial transport
airline on north-south routes in the central part of the United States, from 1948 to 1950. The data on the gust, gust-load, and airspeed experiences of these airplanes are compared with similar data obtained from airplanes of the same type during operations from 1937 to 1945. These data are also used to predict the gust-load experience of another type of transport airplane of more recent design flown in somewhat similar airline operations.

**SYMBOLS**

- \(A\) aspect ratio \((b^2/S)\)
- \(b\) span, feet
- \(c\) mean geometric chord, feet
- \(K\) gust-alleviation factor (ref. 3, p. 11)
- \(m\) slope of lift curve per radian
- \(W\) gross weight, pounds
- \(S\) wing area, square feet
- \(\Delta n\) normal-acceleration increment, \(g\) units
- \(\Delta n_{LLF}\) design limit-gust-load-factor increment, \(g\) units
- \(U_e\) effective gust velocity, feet per second
- \(V\) equivalent airspeed, miles per hour
- \(V_B\) design speed for maximum gust intensity, miles per hour (ref. 3, p. 3)
- \(V_C\) design cruising speed, miles per hour (ref. 3, p. 3)
- \(V_D\) design diving speed, miles per hour (ref. 3, p. 3)
- \(V_L\) design maximum level-flight speed, miles per hour
- \(V_{NE}\) never-exceed speed, miles per hour (ref. 3, p. 36)
$V_0$ indicated airspeed at which maximum positive or negative acceleration increment occurs on a V-G record, miles per hour

$V_p$ most probable operating airspeed at which maximum acceleration increment occurs in a sample of V-G data, miles per hour

$C_N$ normal-force coefficient

$\tau$ average flight time per V-G record, hours

$T$ total flight time for number of V-G records in a sample, hours

$N$ total number of observations

$P$ probability that maximum value on a V-G record will equal or exceed a given value

$P_V$ probability that maximum indicated airspeed for a record will equal or exceed a given value

$P_{\Delta m}$ probability that maximum positive or negative acceleration increment for a record will equal or exceed a given value

$P_p$ product probability of acceleration and airspeed

$u$ location parameter of distribution of extreme values (ref. 4, p. 2)

$\alpha$ scale parameter of distribution of extreme values (ref. 4, p. 2)

$\sigma_V, \sigma_0$ standard deviations of distributions of $V_{max}$ and $V_0$, respectively (ref. 5, p. 73)

$k_V, k_0$ coefficients of skewness of distributions of $V_{max}$ and $V_0$, respectively (ref. 5, pp. 74-75)

Subscripts:

max maximum value of variable

30 denotes an effective gust velocity of 30 feet per second

A bar over a symbol indicates the mean value of the variable for a given set of observations.
SCOPE AND EVALUATION OF RECORDS

The scope of the V-G records analyzed herein is summarized in table I which gives the number of records, the range of record hours, the average hours per record, and the total record hours. The 79 records evaluated represent a total of 23,940 hours of flight during the operations of seven airplanes from 1948 to 1950. During the record collecting period, NACA oil-damped V-G recorders described in reference 6 were substituted for the older friction-damped type. Each type of recorder contributed approximately one-half of the records obtained from each airplane. Table I accordingly gives a breakdown of the records by instrument type for subsequent use in studying the influence of the different instrument characteristics on the measured values of the gust loads and gusts. For studying variations in the gust-load and gust experiences due to seasonal weather changes during the 1948 to 1950 operation, each year was broken down into two periods, and each period contains approximately the same number of records from each type of V-G recorder. As shown in table I, period I (summer) presents a breakdown of the data from April through September and period II (winter) presents the data from October through March in order to examine gustiness during the summer and the winter.

The routes covered by the present operation were over level terrain running in a north-south direction through the central part of the United States. The operating altitudes during the times the records were collected were not supplied; however, most flights are believed to have been at low altitudes (below 10,000 ft) because the routes flown were between closely spaced terminals. Since no detailed information was provided for actual operating weights, an average value of 85 percent of the design gross weight, which is assumed as a representative average, was taken as the weight at which the gust loads and gusts were experienced.

Faulty records and records taken during pilot check flights were not used in the analysis. Information was supplied regarding large acceleration and airspeed occurrences in some records and such information was of appreciable help in evaluating the records. Owing to the limited amount of data available, all records which could be included were used regardless of the average time covered by the record. Since this method of record selection differs from that used in the past (ref. 7), wherein the sample is selected on the basis of near-constant record times, a check was made of the results obtained by the two methods. No significant differences were found in the over-all probability distributions of gust loads and gusts experienced on the basis of the two methods of record selection. This result in the present case appears to be due to the clustering of the majority of record times near the average times of the distributions as shown in figure 1.
The airplane characteristics used in the analysis are as follows:

- Design gross weight, \( W \), lb ........................................ 25,200
- Wing area, \( S \), sq ft ........................................ 987
- Wing span, \( b \), ft ........................................ 95
- Mean geometric chord, \( c \), ft ..................................... 10.4
- Aspect ratio, \( A \) ........................................ 9.1
- Limit-gust-load-factor increment, \( \Delta n_{\text{LLF}} \), g units ........................................ 2.34
- Computed slope of lift curve, 
  \( m = \frac{6A}{A + 2} \), per radian ........................................ 4.92
- Gust-alleviation factor, \( K \) - 
  For gross weight ........................................ 1.100
  For 0.85 gross weight ........................................ 1.064
- Maximum normal-force coefficient, \( C_{n_{\text{MAX}}} \) ........................................ 1.6
- Maximum level-flight speed, \( V_L \), mph ........................................ 211
- Design speed for - 
  Maximum gust intensity, \( V_B \), mph ........................................ 138
  Cruising, \( V_C \), mph ........................................ 211
  Diving, \( V_D \), mph ........................................ 286
- Placard never-exceed speed, \( V_{NE} \), mph ........................................ 257

The \( \Delta n_{\text{LLF}} \) value given in this table was computed in accordance with current Civil Aeronautics Administration design requirements, reference 3, by using the design gross weight, an effective gust velocity \( U_e \) of 30K feet per second at \( V_C \), and a slope of the lift curve computed from the relation using aspect ratio as recommended in reference 8. The values of \( C_{n_{\text{MAX}}} \), \( V_L \), and \( V_D \) were obtained from design data of the airplane manufacturer. The design speeds \( V_B \) and \( V_C \) were obtained in accordance with the requirements of reference 3. The value of \( V_C \) was selected as equal to \( V_L \). The value of \( V_{NE} \) is equal to 0.9\( V_D \), which agrees with the value used by the airplane manufacturer.

The procedures used in evaluating the records have been described in detail in reference 2. Briefly, the procedures consisted of reading from each record the maximum positive and negative acceleration increments \( \Delta n_{\text{MAX}} \), the airspeed \( V_0 \) at which these accelerations occurred, and the maximum airspeed \( V_{\text{MAX}} \). In addition, the maximum positive and negative \( \Delta n \) values in each 20-mile-per-hour speed bracket covering the range from 120 to 240 miles per hour were read from each record. The maximum effective gust velocity \( U_{e_{\text{MAX}}} \) and the maximum effective gust velocities within each 20-mile-per-hour speed bracket were read.
from each record by the use of plotted lines of constant gust velocity computed from the sharp-edge-gust formula (ref. 1, p. 2). The gust velocities obtained in this manner are based on the assumed average airplane weight of 85 percent of the design gross weight. The positive and negative values read from the V-G records were combined for the analysis because past evidence indicates that most of the V-G records obtained in turbulence are essentially symmetric with respect to positive and negative acceleration increments.

The V-G records used in this analysis were obtained near the center of gravity of the airplane. Although it is recognized that dynamic response of the aircraft structure may significantly influence the accelerations measured at the center of gravity, dynamic-response effects are not known for this type of airplane and are not accounted for in the gust-load and gust experiences presented herein.

ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

The frequency distributions of $\Delta n_{\text{max}}$, $U_{\text{max}}$, $V_0$, and $V_{\text{max}}$ obtained from the values read from the V-G records are shown in table II. Table III shows the frequency distributions of $\Delta n$ obtained from the values read from the airspeed brackets of each record. The parameter values given in tables II(a), II(b), and III for the acceleration and gust-velocity distributions are the mean value of the distribution and the location and scale parameters $\mu$ and $\alpha$, respectively, which were obtained by applying the statistical procedures outlined in reference 4. Extreme-value distributions (ref. 4) were fitted to the $\Delta n_{\text{max}}$, the $U_{\text{max}}$, and the $\Delta n$ by speed-bracket distributions by using the parameters of these distributions in accordance with the method of reference 4 to obtain the probability $P$ of equaling or exceeding given values of the respective variables. The parameter values given in table II(c) for the airspeed distributions - the mean values $\bar{V}_0$ and $\bar{V}_{\text{max}}$, the standard deviations $\sigma_0$ and $\sigma_{\text{max}}$, and the coefficients of skewness $k_0$ and $k_{\text{max}}$ - were obtained by applying the statistical procedures given in reference 5 to these distributions. Since extreme-value distributions were not applicable to distributions of maximum airspeeds, as reference 4 points out, Pearson Type III distributions (ref. 9) which in past work had yielded reasonable representations of maximum airspeeds were used. The $V_{\text{max}}$ distribution of table II(c) was fitted with a Pearson Type III distribution by using the parameters of the $V_{\text{max}}$ distribution and the procedures outlined in reference 7 for obtaining the probability $P$ of equaling or exceeding given values of maximum airspeed. The probable speed $V_p$ given in table II(c) is a measure of the most frequent operating airspeed at which the largest accelerations were experienced.
The value of \( V_p \) was computed from the parameters of the \( V_o \) distribution by using the equation for the mode given in reference 9, page 92.

The theoretical probability distributions fitted to the observed distributions of \( \Delta n_{\text{max}} \), \( U_{\text{max}} \), and \( V_{\text{max}} \) were transformed to curves of average flight miles to equal or exceed given values of the variable by using the relation

\[
\text{Flight miles} = 0.8VCT^{1/2}
\]

The value of \( 0.8V_C \) used in this relation is assumed as an average speed for these operations.

Figure 2 shows the average flight miles to equal or exceed given values of \( \Delta n_{\text{max}} \) twice (once positive and once negative) for the data from the NACA oil-damped and friction-damped recorders. Figure 3 shows the average flight miles to equal or exceed given values of \( \Delta n_{\text{max}} \) twice for the data taken during the period I (summer) and period II (winter) operations. Similarly, figures 4, 5, and 6 show the average flight miles to equal or exceed the total \( \Delta n_{\text{max}} \), \( U_{\text{max}} \), and \( V_{\text{max}} \) values, respectively.

Confidence bands obtained by using the method of reference 10 are shown in figures 2 to 5 to aid in interpreting the results. These confidence bands can be taken as a measure of the range within which, for a given probability level (a probability level of 95 percent is used herein), the true value may be expected to lie. The portions of the curves beyond the data points have been extrapolated as indicated by the dashed lines in the figures.

Figures 7 and 8 show a comparison of average values of maximum-acceleration-increment and of maximum-effective-gust-velocity ratios, respectively, for the present data and for data representing the same type of airplane operated on three different routes, and also shown in these figures are the 95-percent confidence bands about the ratio values. The letter and Roman numeral designations used in figures 7 and 8 were taken from reference 2 to represent the airlines and routes given therein. For the present operation the ratios were obtained from the values indicated in figures 4 and 5 at 10^7 flight miles, chosen herein as representing a reasonable average flight distance for the operational life of the airplane. For comparing the ratio values of any two samples shown in figures 7 and 8, the difference between them is considered as real (not due to sampling variations) if each value is not enclosed by the confidence band of the other value.
A summary of the operating speeds and the gust loads is presented in table IV. The most probable speeds at which the largest accelerations occurred are presented in the form of the nondimensional speed ratio and are directly comparable with the corresponding speed ratios from reference 2 (presented as \( V_p/V_L \) therein) since \( V_C \) is equal to \( V_L \).

The average flight miles to equal or exceed \( \Delta n_{LIF} \) and \( U_{e30'} \), as obtained from figures 4 and 5, and \( V_{NF} \), as would be obtained from an extrapolation of the curve in figure 6, are compared in this table with the corresponding values from reference 2.

Figure 9 shows calculated flight envelopes of effective gust velocities representing the present operation and the 1937 to 1941 and 1941 to 1945 operations of the same type of airplane, and also shown is a design gust diagram for this type of airplane. The calculated envelope was obtained in each case for a value of \( 10^7 \) flight miles by transforming this value to the corresponding probability value \( P \) by the use of equation (1). For this probability value the corresponding \( \Delta n \) values for each speed bracket were read from the speed-bracket probability curves of \( \Delta n \) corresponding to each of the three different operating periods. These \( \Delta n \) values were converted to effective gust velocities by use of the sharp-edge-gust relation and plotted at the midpoint speed values in each speed bracket up to 220 miles per hour. Above this speed the flight envelope is constructed by using the product probability of given values of acceleration and airspeed. If it is assumed that the airspeeds and accelerations at the high-speed end are independent, the product probability is obtained from the relation

\[
P_D = P_V P_{\Delta n} = \frac{T}{T'}
\]

For a given value of airspeed \( V \), the probability \( P_V \) is determined from the probability curve for \( V_{\text{max}} \). The value of \( \Delta n \) corresponding to this speed is determined from the equation

\[
P_{\Delta n} = \frac{1}{P_V} \left( \frac{T}{T'} \right)
\]

and from the probability curve for \( P_{\Delta n} \). The probability \( P_{\Delta n} \) was obtained from the \( \Delta n \) distribution for the airspeed bracket from 200 to 220 miles per hour and is also assumed to apply to the airspeed range from 220 to 240 miles per hour. The values of \( \Delta n \) (or \( U_e \)) are plotted
at the corresponding values of \( V \). The completed envelope consists of a curve which has been faired through the plotted points. From the envelope calculated on this basis it is expected that, for the given value of flight miles, an average of one positive and one negative effective gust velocity will exceed the envelope in each speed bracket and one maximum airspeed occurrence will exceed the maximum speed of the calculated envelope.

**PRECISION**

The performance of the NACA friction-damped and oil-damped V-G recorders are compared in reference 6. The errors inherent in either type of recorder are assumed not to exceed a maximum value of \( \pm 0.2g \) or 3 percent of the maximum-airspeed range. For the large number of V-G recorders used in obtaining the present data, random positive and negative errors between instruments would tend to reduce the error of the final results.

Errors in reading the records may have occurred during the evaluation but are believed to be random and to balance out. Sampling errors are a function of the sample size and an assessment of such errors is made by the use of confidence bands.

**DISCUSSION**

Figure 2 shows that the acceleration experiences based on the data obtained from the NACA friction-damped and oil-damped V-G recorders were about the same. Figure 3 indicates that the acceleration experiences for different periods also were about the same. When the same sets of data were analyzed for the gust experiences, the effective gust velocities averaged about 10 percent higher for the friction-damped than for the oil-damped recorders. This 10-percent difference cannot be attributed to instrumental effects alone, however, because the records cover different periods of operation spread over two different years and no clearly defined separation of instrumental and seasonal effects is possible. The agreement in the acceleration experiences can be accounted for by the differences in the operating speeds at which the larger gusts were encountered. Since figures 2 and 3 indicate no real differences in the basic acceleration data, the samples of data were combined for obtaining the over-all gust-load and gust experiences.

**Acceleration experience.**—Figure 4 indicates that the over-all incremental accelerations experienced in the present operation equaled or exceeded \( \Delta n_G \), on the average, twice (once positive and once negative)
in about $2.8 \times 10^6$ flight miles. A comparison of this value with the values of flight miles to equal or exceed the $\Delta n_{LIF}$ experienced on the same type of airplane operated over three different transcontinental routes, see table IV, indicates that the present acceleration experience and that for the E-I wartime operation closely agree but some difference is apparent between the present acceleration experience and the experiences for the prewar operations. Inspecting the acceleration results at $10^7$ flight miles shown in figure 7 indicates that the value of acceleration ratio for the present operation is not significantly different from the acceleration ratios for the E-I wartime operation or for the E-V prewar operation but is about 20 percent larger than the ratios for the E-I and E-VI prewar operations. These 20-percent differences, although perhaps not individually statistically significant, as a group are indicative of more severe load experiences in the postwar than in the prewar period. Thus the accelerations experienced in the present operation agree well with those experienced during wartime (1941 to 1945) and were more severe than those experienced on two out of three transcontinental routes during operations of the same type of airplane in the prewar period (1937 to 1941).

Since the acceleration experience is principally a function of the gust velocity and the corresponding speed at the time the gust is encountered, the present data were examined for determining to what degree variations in the accelerations experienced were contributed by the gusts and by the operating speeds.

**Gust experience.**- Table IV indicates that an effective gust velocity of 30 feet per second was encountered in the present operation, on the average, twice in about $0.7 \times 10^6$ flight miles. This value agrees well with the value of flight miles to equal or exceed $U_{e30}$ shown in table IV for the E-I wartime operation but differs somewhat from each of the values shown for the prewar operations. The gust experiences in each of these cases appear directly reflected in the corresponding acceleration experiences. Examination of figure 8 with respect to the prewar, wartime, and present operations indicates that the present operation and the wartime operation may be classed as having the same gust experiences but both of these experiences are significantly greater than the prewar gust experiences. The more severe turbulence encountered during the present operation than that encountered during prewar operations apparently was the predominating factor in the higher level of gust-load experience.

**Average operating airspeed.**- Inasmuch as the average operating speed under normal conditions is usually taken as $0.8V_C$, an average value of 0.8 is assumed for the ratio $V_p/V_C$ at which the $\Delta P_{\text{max}}$ values
were experienced and on this basis the speed-ratio value of 0.75 shown in table IV for the present operation is about 6 percent lower than the average. It is also indicated in table IV that this value of 0.75 for the present operation is conservative compared with the corresponding speed-ratio values indicated in three out of four cases for the prewar and wartime operations from reference 2. This reduction in operating speed in rough air from the average might be considered as a moderating factor on the acceleration experience of the present operation.

**Maximum airspeed.** Table IV indicates that the placard never-exceed speed $V_{NE}$ would be equaled or exceeded once in about $10^9$ miles of flight and this value is conservative in comparison with most of the corresponding $V_{NE}$ values shown from reference 2. The placard never-exceed speed $V_{NE}$ was not exceeded during the present operation and the available data indicate that the likelihood of reaching the placard speed appears remote for the present type of operation.

**Comparison of predicted gust envelopes.** Inspection of figure 9 indicates that over the operating speed range from $V_B$ to about $0.8V_C$ the design gust diagram agrees well with the calculated gust-velocity envelope representing $10^7$ miles of flight for the present operation and exceeds somewhat the calculated envelopes for the prewar and the wartime periods. At speeds greater than $0.8V_C$ up to the design gust value of 15 feet per second at $V_D$ the design diagram exceeds all three predicted gust envelopes. The tapering-off of the gust values above $0.8V_C$ indicates that these airplanes operated such a small part of the total flight time above this speed that they have not yet encountered the larger gusts in this range. The results for the present operation indicate that the expected maximum gusts for $10^7$ miles of operation approximate the design gust requirements in the speed range from $V_B$ to $0.8V_C$. The 15-foot-per-second design gust at $V_D$ appears to be a conservative gust standard for this airplane in view of the results shown in figure 9 for the prewar, wartime, and postwar operations.

**Predicted gust-load envelopes.** The gust experience of the present operation has been used for predicting the gust-load experience on another type of airplane. The data used for the comparison were obtained during operation on a northern transcontinental route of a two-engine type of transport airplane of more recent design. The airplane characteristics for the two types are somewhat similar. Both types were operated at altitudes generally below 10,000 feet. Speed differences between these airplanes are accounted for by using the nondimensional speed
ratio \( V_p/V_c \) to effect the load transfer. The predicted flight envelopes are based on the following assumptions:

1. The gust experiences were the same.
2. The airspeed practices in turbulence were the same.
3. Dynamic-response effects were negligible in the acceleration data of the present operation but are accounted for in the operation compared.

The measured composite V-G envelope representing \( 7.9 \times 10^6 \) miles of operation on the northern transcontinental route is shown in figure 10 in comparison with two predicted flight envelopes developed from the data of the present operation to represent the same flight distance. These predicted envelopes were calculated by using five airspeed brackets and thus indicate that, on the average, 10 accelerations and one maximum airspeed can be expected to exceed the envelope. One predicted envelope includes 20-percent amplification due to dynamic response, since the results of reference 11 indicate that dynamic response of about 20 percent was present in accelerations measured at the center of gravity of this type of airplane. For comparison, an envelope assuming no dynamic response is also shown.

Figure 10 indicates reasonably good agreement between the composite V-G envelope and the predicted flight envelope containing 20-percent dynamic response. The measured composite exceeds the predicted envelope based on no dynamic response with a greater frequency than expected. The composite V-G record shown would agree more closely with the predicted envelopes at the highest speeds shown if one record which contains an excessive speed was not included in the operation compared. Thus, it appears noteworthy that, despite differences in route, period, operator, and sampling variations, reasonable agreement is obtained between the measured composite V-G record for one type of modern aircraft and the predicted flight envelope based on data collected on another older type of airplane of somewhat similar design.

**SUMMARY OF RESULTS**

The analysis of the acceleration and airspeed data obtained on a two-engine type of transport airplane operated on commercial airline routes during the period from 1948 to 1950 indicates:

1. The acceleration increments equaled or exceeded the limit-gust-load-factor increment \( \Delta n_{L_{GF}} \), on the average, twice in about
2.8 \times 10^6 \text{ flight miles. The acceleration experience appeared to be about the same as that indicated for operation of the same type of airplane on other routes during the wartime (1941 to 1945) period but was about 20 percent greater than the acceleration experiences in two out of three cases during the prewar (1937 to 1941) operations.}

2. An effective gust velocity of 30 feet per second was encountered, on the average, twice in about 0.7 \times 10^6 \text{ flight miles. The gusts experienced in the present operation were about the same as those experienced during the wartime (1941 to 1945) operation but were more severe than the gusts experienced during the prewar (1937 to 1941) operations.}

3. The more severe turbulence encountered during the present operation than that encountered during prewar operations apparently was the predominating factor in the higher level of gust-load experience. The slightly lower than average operating speed in rough air during the present operation might be considered as a moderating factor on the gust-load experience.

4. The placard never-exceed speed was not exceeded during the present operation and the available data indicate that the likelihood of reaching the placard speed appears remote for the present type of operation.

5. The use of the predicted envelopes of gust-load experience for the present operation yielded estimates of gust loads for another similar type of transport airplane that were in reasonable agreement with those measured.

Langley Aeronautical Laboratory
National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics
Langley Field, Va., March 24, 1952
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### TABLE I

**SUMMARY OF V-G RECORDS ANALYZED FROM 1948 TO 1950**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>NACA friction-damped recorder</th>
<th>NACA oil-damped recorder</th>
<th>All records</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Period I (Apr.-Sept.)</td>
<td>Period II (Oct.-Mar.)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of records</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of record hours</td>
<td>95 to 719</td>
<td>88 to 618</td>
<td>47 to 621</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average hours per record, ( t )</td>
<td>324</td>
<td>343</td>
<td>282</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total record hours</td>
<td>7,131</td>
<td>5,826</td>
<td>6,491</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### TABLE II

**FREQUENCY DISTRIBUTIONS AND STATISTICAL PARAMETERS**

(a) $\Delta n_{\text{max}}$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\Delta n_{\text{max}}$ (g units)</th>
<th>Frequency distribution for -</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Instrument</td>
<td>I (Apr.-Sept.)</td>
<td>II (Oct.-Mar.)</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Friction-damped</td>
<td>Oil-damped</td>
<td>Friction-damped</td>
<td>Oil-damped</td>
<td>Friction-damped</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6 to 0.7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.7 to 0.8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8 to 0.9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.9 to 1.0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0 to 1.1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1 to 1.2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2 to 1.3</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.3 to 1.4</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.4 to 1.5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5 to 1.6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.6 to 1.7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.7 to 1.8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.8 to 1.9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.9 to 2.0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0 to 2.1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.1 to 2.2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.2 to 2.3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.3 to 2.4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4 to 2.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5 to 2.6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.6 to 2.7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.7 to 2.8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total, N</strong></td>
<td>78</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>158</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Statistical Parameters**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\Delta n_{\text{max}}$, g units</th>
<th>1.38</th>
<th>1.28</th>
<th>1.31</th>
<th>1.35</th>
<th>1.33</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$u$</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>1.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha$</td>
<td>3.34</td>
<td>3.33</td>
<td>3.34</td>
<td>3.38</td>
<td>3.36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table II - Continued

#### FREQUENCY DISTRIBUTIONS AND STATISTICAL PARAMETERS

**b) \( U_{\text{max}} \)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( U_{\text{max}} ) (fps)</th>
<th>Friction-damped</th>
<th>Oil-damped</th>
<th>I (Apr.-Sept.)</th>
<th>II (Oct.-Mar.)</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8 to 10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 to 12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 to 14</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 to 16</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 to 18</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 to 20</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 to 22</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22 to 24</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 to 26</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 to 28</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 to 30</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 to 32</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32 to 34</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34 to 36</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36 to 38</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38 to 40</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 to 42</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total, N</strong></td>
<td><strong>78</strong></td>
<td><strong>80</strong></td>
<td><strong>90</strong></td>
<td><strong>68</strong></td>
<td><strong>158</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( \bar{U}_{\text{max}} ) (fps)</th>
<th>22.18</th>
<th>19.83</th>
<th>20.89</th>
<th>21.12</th>
<th>20.99</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( u )</td>
<td>19.51</td>
<td>17.27</td>
<td>18.28</td>
<td>18.37</td>
<td>18.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( a )</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE II - Concluded

FREQUENCY DISTRIBUTIONS AND STATISTICAL PARAMETERS

(c) \( V_o \) and \( V_{\text{max}} \)

\[
\begin{array}{|c|c|}
\hline
\text{Airspeed (mph)} & \text{Frequency distribution of } V_o \text{ at } \Delta n_{\text{max}} \\
\hline
120 to 130 & 11 \\
130 to 140 & 15 \\
140 to 150 & 14 \\
150 to 160 & 38 \\
160 to 170 & 48 \\
170 to 180 & 15 \\
180 to 190 & 13 \\
190 to 200 & 1 \\
200 to 210 & 1 \\
210 to 220 & 2 \\
\hline
\text{Total, } N & 158 \\
\hline
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{|c|c|}
\hline
\text{Airspeed (mph)} & \text{Frequency distribution of } V_{\text{max}} \\
\hline
190 to 195 & 1 \\
195 to 200 & 0 \\
200 to 205 & 16 \\
205 to 210 & 17 \\
210 to 215 & 17 \\
215 to 220 & 13 \\
220 to 225 & 9 \\
225 to 230 & 6 \\
\hline
\text{Total, } N & 79 \\
\hline
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{|c|c|c|}
\hline
\text{Variable} & \bar{V}_o, \text{ mph} & 158.9 \\
\hline
\sigma_o & 17.57 \\
\kappa_o & 0.16 \\
V_p, \text{ mph} & 157.5 \\
\hline
\text{Variable} & \bar{V}_{\text{max}}, \text{ mph} & 212.3 \\
\hline
\sigma_V & 7.95 \\
\kappa_V & 0.22 \\
\hline
\end{array}
\]
## TABLE III

**FREQUENCY DISTRIBUTIONS OF ACCELERATION INCREMENTS BY AIRSPEED BRACKETS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Δn (g units)</th>
<th>120 to 140 mph</th>
<th>140 to 160 mph</th>
<th>160 to 180 mph</th>
<th>180 to 200 mph</th>
<th>200 to 220 mph</th>
<th>220 to 240 mph</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 to 0.2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2 to 0.4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4 to 0.6</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6 to 0.8</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8 to 1.0</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0 to 1.2</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2 to 1.4</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.4 to 1.6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.6 to 1.8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.8 to 2.0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0 to 2.2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.2 to 2.4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4 to 2.6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.6 to 2.8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total, N**

| 158 | 158 | 158 | 158 | 158 | 142 | 18 |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Δn, g units</th>
<th>0.99</th>
<th>1.10</th>
<th>1.15</th>
<th>0.84</th>
<th>0.52</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>u</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α</td>
<td>3.95</td>
<td>3.86</td>
<td>3.16</td>
<td>4.29</td>
<td>4.13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### TABLE IV

SUMMARY OF GUST LOADS AND OPERATING AIRSPEEDS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operation</th>
<th>Dates of operation</th>
<th>(V_p/V_C) at (\Delta n_{\text{max}})</th>
<th>Average flight miles to equal or exceed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(\Delta nLIF) (twice)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present (postwar)</td>
<td>Feb. 1948 to Feb. 1950</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>2.8 \times 10^6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-I (wartime, from ref. 2)</td>
<td>July 1941 to Dec. 1944</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-V (prewar, from ref. 2)</td>
<td>Feb. 1937 to Oct. 1939</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>10.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-VI</td>
<td>Sept. 1938 to Oct. 1940</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>13.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1.- Distribution of V-G records for flight time per record.
Figure 2. - Average flight miles for a maximum positive and negative acceleration increment to equal or exceed a given value for different instruments.
Figure 3.- Average flight miles for a maximum positive and negative acceleration increment to equal or exceed a given value during different periods.
Figure 4.- Average flight miles for a maximum positive and negative acceleration increment to equal or exceed a given value.
Figure 5. - Average flight miles for a maximum positive and negative effective gust velocity to equal or exceed a given value.
Figure 6. - Average flight miles for maximum equivalent airspeed to equal or exceed a given value.
Operation:

Present (postwar)

E-I (wartime, from ref. 2)

E-V

E-I (prewar, from ref. 2)

E-VI

Figure 7.- Comparison of average values of maximum-acceleration-increment ratio at 107 flight miles and the 95-percent confidence bands about the values.
Operation:

Present (postwar)

E-I (wartime, from ref. 2)

E-V

E-I (prewar, from ref. 2)

E-VI

Figure 8. - Comparison of average values of maximum-effective-gust-velocity ratio at 10^7 flight miles and the 95-percent confidence bands about the values.
Figure 9.- Comparison of calculated gust-velocity envelopes each representing 10^7 miles of flight during different periods and the design gust diagram.
Figure 10.—Comparison of the composite V-G record representing
7.9 × 10⁶ miles of flight for another type of two-engined transport
airplane and the corresponding flight envelopes predicted from the
present data.