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SUMMARY

A wing-body combination having a plane triangular wing of aspect ratio 2 and NACA 0003-63 sections in streamwise planes has been investigated at both subsonic and supersonic Mach numbers. The lift, drag, and pitching moment of the model are presented for Mach numbers from 0.60 to 0.90 and from 1.30 to 1.70 at a Reynolds number of 5.0 million. The variations of the characteristics with Reynolds number are also shown for several Mach numbers.

INTRODUCTION

A research program is in progress at the Ames Aeronautical Laboratory to ascertain experimentally at subsonic and supersonic Mach numbers the characteristics of wings of interest in the design of high-speed fighter airplanes. Variations in plan form, twist, camber, and thickness are being investigated. This report is one of a series pertaining to this program and presents results of tests of a wing-body combination having a plane triangular wing of aspect ratio 2 and NACA 0003-63 sections in streamwise planes. Results of other investigations in this program are presented in references 1 to 4. As in these references, the data herein are presented without analysis to expedite publication.

NOTATION

\[ b \] wing span, feet

\[ c \] mean aerodynamic chord \( \left( \frac{\int_0^b \frac{c^2}{2} dy}{\int_0^b \frac{c}{2} dy} \right) \), feet
c  local wing chord, feet
l  length of body including portion removed to accommodate sting, inches
\frac{L}{D}  lift–drag ratio
\frac{L}{D}_{\text{max}}  maximum lift–drag ratio
M  Mach number
q  free–stream dynamic pressure, pounds per square foot
R  Reynolds number based on the mean aerodynamic chord
r  radius of body, inches
r_o  maximum body radius, inches
S  total wing area, including area formed by extending leading and trailing edges to plane of symmetry, square feet
x  longitudinal distance from nose of body, inches
y  distance perpendicular to plane of symmetry, feet
\alpha  angle of attack of body axis, degrees
C_D  drag coefficient \left( \frac{\text{drag}}{qS} \right)
C_L  lift coefficient \left( \frac{\text{lift}}{qS} \right)
C_m  pitching–moment coefficient referred to quarter point of mean aerodynamic chord \left( \frac{\text{pitching moment}}{qS^2c} \right)
\frac{dC_L}{d\alpha}  slope of the lift curve measured at zero lift, per degree
\frac{dC_m}{dC_L}  slope of the pitching–moment curve measured at zero lift
APPARATUS

Wind Tunnel and Equipment

The experimental investigation was conducted in the Ames 6- by 6-foot supersonic wind tunnel. In this wind tunnel the Mach number can be varied continuously and the stagnation pressure can be regulated to maintain a given test Reynolds number. The air is dried to prevent formation of condensation shocks. Further information on this wind tunnel is presented in reference 5.

The model was sting mounted in the tunnel, the diameter of the sting being about 73 percent of the diameter of the body base. The pitch plane of the model support was horizontal. A balance mounted on the sting support and enclosed within the body of the model was used to measure the aerodynamic forces and moments on the model. The balance was the 4-inch, four-component, strain-gage balance described in reference 6.

Model

A photograph of the model mounted in the Ames 6- by 6-foot wind tunnel is shown in figure 1. A plan and a front view of the model and certain model dimensions are given in figure 2. Other important geometric characteristics of the model are as follows:

Wing

- Aspect ratio: 2
- Taper ratio: 0
- Airfoil section (streamwise): NACA 0003-63
- Total area, S, square feet: 4.014
- Mean aerodynamic chord, c, feet: 1.889
- Dihedral, degrees: 0
- Camber: None
- Twist, degrees: 0
- Incidence, degrees: 0
- Distance, wing-chord plane to body axis, feet: 0

Body

- Fineness ratio (based upon length l; fig. 2): 12.5
- Cross-section shape: Circular
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Maximum cross-sectional area, square feet ... 0.204
Ratio of maximum cross-sectional area to wing area ... 0.0509

The wing was constructed of solid steel. The body spar was also steel and was covered with aluminum to form the body contours. The surfaces of the wing and body were polished smooth.

TESTS AND PROCEDURE

Range of Test Variables

The characteristics of the model (as a function of angle of attack) were investigated for a range of Mach numbers from 0.60 to 0.90 and from 1.30 to 1.70. The major portion of the data was obtained at a Reynolds number of 5.0 million. Data were also obtained for Reynolds number up to 7.5 million at Mach numbers of 0.60, 0.90, 1.40, and 1.60.

Reduction of Data

The test data have been reduced to standard NACA coefficient form. Factors which could affect the accuracy of these results and the corrections applied are discussed in the following paragraphs.

Tunnel-wall interference.— Corrections to the subsonic results for the induced effects of the tunnel walls resulting from lift on the model were made according to the methods of reference 7. The numerical values of these corrections (which were added to the uncorrected data) were:

\[ \Delta z = 0.93 C_L \]
\[ \Delta C_D = 0.016 C_L^2 \]

No corrections were made to the pitching-moment coefficients.

The effects of constriction of the flow at subsonic speeds by the tunnel walls were taken into account by the method of reference 8. This correction was calculated for conditions at zero angle of attack and was applied throughout the angle-of-attack range. At a Mach number of 0.90, this correction amounted to a 4-percent increase in the Mach number over that determined from a calibration of the wind tunnel without a model in place.
For the tests at supersonic speeds, the reflection from the tunnel walls of the Mach wave originating at the nose of the body did not cross the model. No corrections were required, therefore, for tunnel-wall effects.

Stream variations.— Tests at subsonic speeds in the 6- by 6-foot supersonic wind tunnel of the present symmetrical model in both the normal and the inverted positions have indicated no stream curvature or inclination in the pitch plane of the model. No measurements have been made, however, of the stream curvature in the yaw plane. At subsonic speeds, the longitudinal variation of static pressure in the region of the model is not known accurately at present, but a preliminary survey has indicated that it is less than 2 percent of the dynamic pressure. No correction for this effect was made.

A survey of the air stream in the 6- by 6-foot wind tunnel at supersonic speeds (reference 5) has shown a stream curvature only in the yaw plane of the model. The effects of this curvature on the measured characteristics of the present model are not known, but are believed to be small as judged by the results of reference 9. The survey also indicated that there is a static—pressure variation in the test section of sufficient magnitude to affect the drag results. A correction was added to the measured drag coefficient, therefore, to account for the longitudinal buoyancy caused by this static—pressure variation. This correction varied from as much as —0.0008 at a Mach number of 1.30 to +0.0009 at a Mach number of 1.70.

Support interference.— At subsonic speeds, the effects of support interference on the aerodynamic characteristics of the model are not known. For the present tailless model, it is believed that such effects consisted primarily of a change in the pressure at the base of the model. In an effort to correct at least partially for this support interference, the base pressure was measured and the drag data were adjusted to correspond to a base pressure equal to the static pressure of the free stream.

At supersonic speeds, the effects of support interference of a body-sting configuration similar to that of the present model are shown by reference 10 to be confined to a change in base pressure. The previously mentioned adjustment of the drag for base pressure, therefore, was applied at supersonic speeds.

RESULTS

The results are presented in this report without analysis in order to expedite publication. Figure 3 shows the variation of lift coefficient with angle of attack and the variation of drag coefficient, pitching-moment coefficient, and lift-drag ratio with lift coefficient at a
Reynolds number of 5.0 million and at Mach numbers from 0.60 to 1.70. The effect of Reynolds number on the aerodynamic characteristics at Mach numbers of 0.60, 0.90, 1.40, and 1.60 is shown in figure 4. The results presented in figure 3 have been summarized in figure 5 to show some important parameters as functions of Mach number. The slope parameters in this figure have been measured at zero lift.
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Figure 1.— Model mounted in the Ames 6- by 6-foot supersonic wind tunnel.
Equation of fuselage radii:

\[
\frac{r}{\bar{c}} = \left[1 - \left(1 - \frac{2x}{l}\right)^2\right]^{3/4}
\]

All dimensions shown in inches

Figure 2: Plan and front views of the model.
Figure 3. - The variation of the aerodynamic characteristics with lift coefficient at various Mach numbers. Reynolds number, 5.0 million.
Pitching-moment coefficient, $C_m$

(b) $C_l$ vs $C_m$

Figure 3—Continued.
Figure 3. - Continued.
Lift coefficient, $C_L$

(d) $L/D$ vs $C_L$

Figure 3.—Concluded.
Figure 4.- The variation of the aerodynamic characteristics with lift coefficient at various Reynolds numbers.
Figure 4.—Continued.
Figure 4.—Continued.
Figure 4—Concluded.
Figure 5. Summary of aerodynamic characteristics as a function of Mach number. Reynolds number, 5.0 million.
Figure 5.— Continued.
Figure 5. — Concluded.