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SUMMARY

Specific impulse, volume specific impulse, characteristic velocity, thrust coefficient, and heat rejection of liquid fluorine and liquid diborane for a range of mixture ratio were determined in a 100-pound-thrust rocket engine designed for a combustion-chamber pressure of 300 pounds per square inch absolute.

In engines with a characteristic length $L^*$ (volume/throat area) of 100 inches, the maximum experimental specific impulse obtained was 280 pound-seconds per pound at 14 weight percent of fuel; the correction for the heat rejection (5.28 Btu/(sec)(sq in.)) and for deviations from the reference combustion-chamber pressure raised this value to 286 pound-seconds per pound. The maximum volume specific impulse was $326\times62.4$ pound-seconds per cubic foot at 11 weight percent of fuel. The characteristic velocity reached a maximum of 6400 feet per second and the experimental thrust coefficient averaged 1.33.

The theoretical performance was recalculated with revised thermodynamic data, indicating a maximum specific impulse of 311 pound-seconds per pound as compared with the previously reported value of 323.

Considerable difficulty was experienced in developing a suitable injector; it was necessary to employ a protective sheath of helium around the diborane injection hole to prevent injector burnout. The results obtained with the various engines indicated lower performance with the 50 $L^*$ than with the 100 $L^*$ engines using the same injector and comparable amounts of protective helium. Decreasing the quantity of helium or increasing the $L^*$ of the engine resulted in higher performance.

Methods of handling and transporting liquid fluorine in a trailer and techniques in condensing gaseous fluorine from commercial supply cylinders were developed.
INTRODUCTION

The best oxidizers for long-range rocket-powered vehicles are liquid fluorine and liquid ozone, as established by calculations in which high specific impulse and bulk density are prime factors. Two comparisons (references 1 and 2) indicate fluorine is superior, although a third (reference 3) favors ozone.

Maximum theoretical specific impulse values, based on equilibrium expansion from a combustion-chamber pressure of 300 pounds per square inch absolute, for fluorine with various fuels are tabulated as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fuel</th>
<th>Specific impulse (lb-sec/lb)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogen H₂</td>
<td>341</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lithium Li</td>
<td>335</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diborane B₂H₆</td>
<td>323</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrazine N₂H₄</td>
<td>315 (a)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ammonia NH₃</td>
<td>311</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Unpublished NACA data.

Boron hydrides have been considered as rocket fuels because of their high heats of combustion. The theoretical performance of diborane with several oxidizers is given by reference 6; experimental performance of diborane with hydrogen peroxide and liquid oxygen is presented in references 7 and 8. As an extension of this study, diborane was selected as the initial fuel to be investigated with fluorine.

The experimental investigation of diborane and fluorine was conducted at the NACA Lewis laboratory using a 100-pound-thrust rocket engine at a combustion-chamber pressure of 300 pounds per square inch absolute. Experimental values were determined for specific impulse, volume specific impulse, characteristic velocity, thrust coefficient, and heat rejection for a range of propellant mixture ratio. In addition, effects of variations of propellant injection methods and characteristic engine lengths L* on the performance were observed. Methods of handling and transporting fluorine were developed and a revised theoretical performance curve was obtained from calculations based on new thermochemical data.
Propellants and Handling Systems

Physical constants of fluorine and diborane are given in table I. Diborane was obtained by the NACA through the cooperation of the Bureau of Aeronautics, Department of the Navy. Impurities amounted to approximately 1 percent of ethane in the diborane.

Fluorine of at least 98-percent purity was obtained from two sources; commercial gas cylinders and direct condensation from fluorine cells into a trailer. Fluorine obtained from a local supplier was passed from the electrolytic cell through an electric furnace (300°C) to remove any oxygen bifluoride present. The fluorine was next passed through two successive sodium fluoride pill towers (100°C and -40°C) to remove hydrogen fluoride and then into a condensing unit in a trailer.

A sketch of the trailer fitted with a condensing system to receive, liquify, and transport a maximum of 12 pounds of fluorine is shown in figure 1. The fluorine tank and vacuum-jacketed liquid-nitrogen bath assembly were suspended from a balance beam and enclosed in a protective steel cylinder (fig. 2). The tank assembly was raised by a pneumatic lift while in travel to prevent damage of the balance equipment. During a firing the balance beam transmitted the change in weight of the fluorine tank to a strain gage spring, the output of which was recorded by a self-balancing potentiometer.

During the latter part of the program, the condensing system was permanently mounted at the laboratory, permitting liquification from commercial supply cylinders. The fluorine tank alone, completely immersed and buoyed by a constant-level liquid-nitrogen bath, was suspended directly from a cantilever strain-gage beam, providing more sensitive flow-rate measurements during rocket firing.

A diagrammatic sketch of the complete flow system is shown in figure 3. Facilities were provided for cooling propellant lines and valves with dry ice or liquid nitrogen; in addition, the fluorine lines and part of the diborane lines were vacuum-jacketed. Charcoal-purified helium controlled by two-stage pressure regulation was used to force the propellants into the combustion chamber. For the oxidant system, the pressurizing helium was precooled by liquid nitrogen to prevent vaporization of the fluorine. Packless-type valves, either hand operated or remote operated, were used in the oxidant system. Photographs of the fluorine system and the thrust stand are shown in figures 4 and 5.
Engine Assembly

The engine assembly was comprised of two units, a combustion chamber with nozzle and a propellant injector, both fabricated from "Z"-nickel. The engines were designed for a thrust of 100 pounds, a combustion-chamber pressure of 300 pounds per square inch absolute, and characteristic lengths $L^*$ of 50, 89, and 100 inches.

The combustion chamber and nozzle were cooled by means of water flow through a 0.050-inch annular coolant passage. Three longitudinal copper fins, spaced 120° apart, were silver-soldered to the inner shell to provide uniform coolant distribution. Figure 6 is a photograph of a section through the engine. A water flow of approximately 10 pounds per second from a pressurized supply tank provided sufficient capacity to cool the engine. This flow corresponds to a velocity of about 190 feet per second through the annulus at the critical throat section of the nozzle. Figure 7 is a dimensional drawing of the combustion chamber and nozzle used for most of the investigation.

The types of injector used for the investigation included a modified multiple impinging jet (fig. 8), a hollow-cone spray type (fig. 9), a shower head (fig. 10), a four fluorine-one diborane impinging-jet type employing a helium sheath around the diborane entrance (fig. 11), and a four unit two fluorine-one diborane impinging-jet injector employing a helium sheath around each diborane inlet (fig. 12).

INSTRUMENTATION

Propellant flows were measured by strain gages cemented on cantilever beams. These strain-gage elements were connected by resistance-bridge circuits to continuous-recording self-balancing potentiometers. Matching units in each circuit provided adjustable ranges of 1, 2, and 4 pounds for the diborane system, and 5, 10, and 20 pounds for the fluorine system for full-scale chart readings. The wiring circuit was based on principles presented in reference 9.

Dead-weight calibrations of the weighing systems were made before and after each run; the over-all variation for the fluorine system during the investigation was less than 0.6 percent and for the diborane system, less than 0.4 percent. The precision of the flow measurements for the fluorine system was within 2 percent and for the diborane, 1 percent. When the fluorine system was modified to reduce the load friction, the precision was within 1 percent.
The engine thrust was also measured by means of a strain-gage system. The full-scale range could be adjusted for 50, 100, or 200 pounds thrust. Dead-weight calibrations made before and after runs agreed within 1 percent; calibrations during the entire investigation varied less than 2 percent. The precision of the thrust measurement was within 2.5 percent.

Combustion-chamber pressure was measured by a Bourdon-tube pressure recorder located within a few feet of the engine. Propellant tank pressures and combustion-chamber pressure in addition to being observed remotely by means of Bourdon gages were recorded by a modified aerial camera.

The engine cooling-water flow was measured by an adjustable orifice equipped with an electric transmitter and recorder. The accuracy of the water-flow measurement was within 2 percent.

A critical-flow orifice was used to control the flow of helium protecting the diborane jets.

Copper-constantan thermocouples were used to measure engine coolant-water temperature rise and were also placed in the oxidant flow line to determine the extent of precooling. All temperatures were recorded on self-balancing continuous-recording potentiometers within an accuracy of 1 percent.

PROCEDURE

All lines and valves were pressure checked and flushed with helium gas prior to loading of propellants. Diborane was transferred from dry-ice storage to the propellant tank, which was maintained in a dry-ice - alcohol bath until the run. Then fluorine was condensed into the oxidant tank (or, before the modification, the pressurizing and flow lines of the loaded trailer were connected to the system).

The firing procedure was conducted from the remote-control room: A flow of liquid nitrogen was passed through the fluorine flow line until the system reached liquid-nitrogen temperature; the nitrogen was shut off and the fluorine flow begun; approximately 1 second later the diborane was injected, producing immediate ignition. After each run (5 to 20 sec in length) the systems were purged and a helium atmosphere was maintained in the propellant tanks.
With the comparatively large characteristic-length engines used, a significant amount of heat was lost to the engine walls. The loss was determined by the product of the temperature rise of the coolant water, the specific heat of water, and the water-flow rate. The experimental specific impulse values were corrected for this heat loss to the engine walls in terms of the theoretical thermodynamic efficiency and the total measured heat rejection as represented in the expression:

\[ I_{\text{corr}} = \sqrt{I_{\text{exp}}^2 + \frac{2J}{g} Q \eta} \]

where

- \( I_{\text{corr}} \) = experimental specific impulse corrected for heat rejection (lb-sec/lb)
- \( I_{\text{exp}} \) = experimental specific impulse, measured (lb-sec/lb)
- \( J \) = mechanical equivalent of heat (778 ft-lb/Btu)
- \( g \) = gravitational constant (32.17 ft/sec²)
- \( Q \) = heat rejection per propellant weight (Btu/lb)
- \( \eta \) = ideal thermal cycle efficiency \((1 - \frac{T_e}{T_c})\)
- \( T_e \) = theoretical exit temperature (°K)
- \( T_c \) = theoretical combustion-chamber temperature (°K)

A further correction consisted in adjusting the experimental performance to a pressure basis of 300 pounds per square inch absolute. Pressure corrections were generally very small and were determined from the following expression:

\[ \Delta I_p = K(\log P_1 - \log P_2) \]

where

- \( \Delta I_p \) = change in specific impulse due to pressure difference
- \( K \) = rate of change of specific impulse with log of combustion-chamber pressure, estimated to be 66.9 from theoretical data on \( \text{H}_2-\text{F}_2 \) and \( \text{NH}_3-\text{F}_2 \) presented in reference 4
The pressure correction $\Delta P$ was combined with the experimentally measured value corrected for heat rejection to enable comparison with the theoretical performance.

In calculating the experimental specific impulse obtained during a run in which helium was used as a protective sheath, the helium was included in the total flow of propellants. In addition, one calculation was made to determine the effect of the addition of 4 percent of helium on the theoretical performance of the liquid fluorine - liquid diborane propellant combination.

Values of characteristic velocity and thrust coefficient were obtained from the results of the experimental data using the equations

$$C^* = \frac{PcA_t g}{w}$$

and

$$C_F = \frac{T}{PcA_t}$$

where

$C^*$ characteristic velocity (ft/sec)
$Pc$ combustion chamber pressure (lb/sq in. absolute)
$A_t$ exhaust-nozzle throat area (sq in.)
$g$ gravitational constant (32.17 ft/sec^2)
$w$ total propellant flow (lb/sec)
$C_F$ thrust coefficient
$T$ thrust (lb)
RESULTS

Theoretical Performance

The theoretical performance of the diborane-fluorine propellant combination was recalculated from reference 6 using the following revised thermodynamic data: a change of the heat of formation of diborane from -44 to 7.5 kcal per mole (reference 10); a change of the heat of dissociation of fluorine from 63.5 to 35.6 kcal per mole (reference 10); and newly calculated thermodynamic data for hydrogen fluoride (reference 10). The results are shown by figure 13 where specific impulse, combustion-chamber temperature, and exit temperature for liquid fluorine and diborane are presented as a function of the ratio of fuel weight to total propellant weight. Included in figure 13 are theoretical curves obtained from reference 6. The new theoretical curve indicates a maximum specific impulse of 311 pound-seconds per pound as compared with the previously reported value of 323 pound-seconds per pound. All curves are based on equilibrium expansion through an ideal nozzle, using propellants of 100-percent purity.

Experimental Performance

Specific impulse. - The experimental specific impulse is shown by figure 14(a) for a propellant mixture range of 7 to 31 weight percent fuel; the theoretical (ideal) curve is also shown for comparison. A compilation of the experimental data is given in table II. The curve, drawn through the experimental points obtained with the 100 L* engine (solid line), follows the theoretical curve within 88 percent in the region of 10 to 19 weight percent fuel. A maximum experimental value of 280 pound-seconds per pound was obtained at 14 weight percent fuel, compared with the theoretical maximum of 311 at 15 weight percent fuel. The stoichiometric ratio is 10.8 percent.

The performance obtained with a 50 L* engine and a helium-protected injector was lower in the range of maximum performance than that of a 100 L* engine. Decreasing the quantity of helium used in the 50 L* engine brought its performance closer to the 100 L* values. In the fuel-lean (5 to 9 weight percent fuel) and fuel-rich (20 to 30 weight percent fuel) regions, where only 50 L* engines were used, extremely low performance was obtained (dashed extension curves). Injector types used with the 100 L* engines were the four-one hollow-cone spray, the four-one solid jet with helium, and the four set, two-one solid jet with helium. For the 50 L* engines, the shower-head injector, the modified impinging jet, and the four-one solid jet with helium were used.

The theoretical curve, adjusted for nonparallel flow, and the experimental curve, corrected for deviation from the desired combustion-chamber pressure of 300 pounds per square inch absolute and for heat
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rejection to the engine walls, are shown in figure 14(b). This theoretical curve is approximately 2 percent lower than the ideal one because of the 30° divergent angle of the exhaust nozzle; it indicates a maximum specific impulse of 306 pound-seconds per pound. The experimental curve is raised approximately 2 percent by its correction to a maximum specific impulse of 286 pound-seconds per pound. Included in figure 14(b) is a theoretically calculated point (ideal) in which the protective helium was considered as a working fluid in the rocket engine. Although the addition of helium (4 percent of total propellant) indicated a drop in combustion-chamber temperature of approximately 160°C, a more significant drop in average molecular weight resulted in an approximate 3 percent increase in theoretical specific impulse from 311 to 320 pound-seconds per pound. In units employing helium-pressurized propellant tanks, addition to the combustion chamber of a small percentage of this helium as a working fluid may be worthy of further consideration as a means of providing booster performance.

Characteristic velocity and nozzle coefficient. - A comparison of the theoretical and experimental characteristic-velocity $C^*$ curves is presented in figure 15. The theoretical values were obtained using the ratio of specific heats $\gamma$ for combustion-chamber conditions. The $C^*$ curve drawn through the experimental points obtained with the 100 L* engine (solid line) follows the theoretical curve within 94 percent in the region of 9 to 18 weight percent fuel. The maximum experimental $C^*$ value is 6400 feet per second (94 percent of theoretical) at 15 weight percent fuel.

A plot of the thrust coefficient values $C_F$ against propellant mixture ratio is also shown in figure 15. Because considerable scatter was obtained in the experimental data, a line was drawn representing the arithmetic average. This average value was 1.33 as compared with the calculated value of 1.37.

Heat rejection. - The measured engine heat rejection is presented in figure 15 as a function of the ratio of fuel weight to total propellant weight. The measured heat release parallels performance, with a maximum of 272 Btu per second (5.28 Btu/(sec)(sq in.) for a 100 L* engine) at 15 weight percent fuel.

Volume specific impulse. - Volume specific impulse is plotted against the ratio of fuel weight to total propellant weight in figure 16(a). The experimental curve drawn through the points obtained with the 100 L* engine (solid line) shows a maximum of 326×62.4 pound-seconds per cubic foot at 11 percent fuel (volume of helium neglected); the theoretical maximum is 375×62.4 pound seconds per cubic foot at 10 percent fuel. In computing these data, the density of fluorine was taken as 1.56 grams per cubic centimeter instead of the literature value of 1.108. The higher density was based on unpublished information obtained from Aerojet Engineering Corp. (Azusa, California) and on
recent experiences of the NACA. A plot of the actual flow rates of liquid fluorine and liquid nitrogen for the same injector is included in Figure 16(b). Assuming the orifice flow coefficients to be the same for the two liquids and using a density of 0.808 gram per cubic centimeter for liquid nitrogen results in a fluorine density of 1.6 grams per cubic centimeter. This value compares favorably with the value of 1.558 grams per cubic centimeter obtained by the Aerojet Engineering Corp.

DISCUSSION

Considerable difficulty was experienced in obtaining a satisfactory injector for the fluorine-diborane combination. The modified multiple impinging jet and the hollow-cone spray injectors (figs. 8 and 9) both burned at the injector face where the diborane holes were located. Three runs were made with the multiple impinging-jet injector at different fuel-oxidant ratios and under different injection conditions of fuel first and oxidant first, but in each case the injector burned. A photograph of the injector indicating the burned area around the diborane inlet is shown in figure 17. With the shower-head injector (fig. 10), all the diborane holes welded completely shut, stopping the flow of fuel. A photograph of the shower-head injector after the run is shown in figure 18.

Since in all the runs made with these injectors the diborane holes were either burned or welded, it became apparent that the flame speed of diborane with fluorine was sufficiently high to cause the flame to seat directly at the injector face. Recent experiments (reference 11) have shown that mixtures containing 10 percent or more of diborane with oxygen invariably detonate. The detonation velocities are 6000 to 7000 feet per second and, in all likelihood, are greater for diborane with fluorine.

In order to prevent the flame from seating at the injector face, a protective sheath of helium was provided for the diborane jet in a four fluorine-one diborane impinging-jet design (fig. 11). A 0.020-inch annulus supplied the helium around a center jet of diborane, and four jets of fluorine impinged on the diborane at a 30° angle, 1 1/2 inches from the injector face (fig. 19). This injector proved successful since no further injector burnouts were experienced.

An injector to provide better propellant mixing than that given by the four-one design, while still utilizing helium protection for the diborane jets, was fabricated with four sets of holes for two fluorine-one diborane impingement (fig. 12). The one run made with this injector yielded results no better than those obtained with the four fluorine-one diborane injector.
The results of the investigation (in the region of 10 to 19 weight percent fuel), indicate that the use of the helium sheath required somewhat larger combustion-chamber volume requirements to obtain complete combustion before expansion. The increase in performance resulting from a decrease in helium flow in the 50 L* engine points to the possibility of a transient mixing and burning zone dependent on the helium-flow rate; the lower the helium-flow rate the closer the burning zone is allowed to approach the injector face and the greater the effective combustion-chamber volume available for reaction.

The reasons for the low performance obtained in the fuel-lean and fuel-rich regions, in which only 50 L* engines were used, are unknown and would require further investigation. The low performance may be attributed to factors affected by low combustion-chamber pressure (mixing, reaction rates, and expansion ratio). The possibility also exists that a variety of injection patterns is required to cover a wide fuel-oxidant range with maximum efficiency. This conjecture is made because of the extremely low performance obtained in the fuel-lean region with the four-one injector using a low helium flow and the high performance obtained with the same engine and injector in the region at 17 weight percent fuel. Similar results are evident in the plot of characteristic velocity C* against weight percent fuel.

Considerable variation occurred in the values for thrust coefficient C_T. The reasons for the scatter are unknown but may indicate a variable thrust constant due to the dynamics of the flow systems or point to the possibility of a reaction taking place during expansion through the nozzle resulting in a ratio of specific heats far different from that based on ideal conditions.

Although injectors employing protective sheaths of helium proved successful in preventing injector failures, a great deal of difficulty was experienced in the form of engine burnouts. Examination of the injector and combustion chamber after running indicated burning of the engine flange junction and, in many cases, after the second or third run with the same engine, a complete burning through at the convergent section of the exhaust nozzle. The burned area at the flange appeared to have a definite pattern; a photograph of a typical burn is presented in figure 20. The configuration appears to indicate a reversal of flow of the hot gases from the center of the combustion chamber back along the uncooled inside surfaces of the flanges to the injector and then downstream again. The engine failures at the convergent section may have been due to an unequal distribution of the cooling water through the annulus or by repeated impact of molten metal from the burned flange.

During part of the investigation the toxic exhaust gases were introduced into a water scrubber consisting of a 12-inch duct, 5 feet long. Approximately 4 pounds per second of water were introduced through
three perforated copper rings in an effort to absorb and remove the
toxic gases in a water stream. Because of the limited supply of water,
the scrubber was not completely effective since the absorbed gases
issued in a cloud of steam. After a number of runs, the scrubber was
destroyed by an explosion. Data obtained for propellant flows and
thrust indicate that the explosion occurred about 1 second after the
beginning of the run. Since the helium protected diborane was injected
first for this run, some quantity of unburned diborane may have entered
the scrubber and reacted with the water evolving hydrogen. The explo­
sion could then have been caused by ignition of the hydrogen by the
rocket exhaust flame.

SUMMARY OF RESULTS

The theoretical performance of the liquid fluorine - liquid
diborane propellant combination was recalculated with revised data, and
experiments were conducted to determine the performance in a 100-pound­
thrust rocket engine at a combustion-chamber pressure of 300 pounds per
square inch absolute. The investigation produced the following results:

1. The revised theoretical performance calculations for equilibri 'um
expansion indicated a maximum specific impulse of 311 pound-seconds per
pound, as compared with the previously calculated maximum of 323.

2. The use of normally accepted injector designs (impinging jet,
shower head, and spray type) resulted in injector burnouts; a satis­
factory solution involved the use of a protective sheath of helium
around the diborane jets.

3. Theoretical and experimental performance curves reached a maxi­
mum near a ratio of fuel weight to total propellant weight of 0.15. The
maximum experimental specific impulse was 280 pound-seconds per pound,
approximately 88 percent of the ideal theoretical value.

4. The maximum specific impulse increased to approximately
286 pound-seconds per pound when corrected for heat loss and small
deviations of combustion-chamber pressure from 300 pounds per square
inch absolute. This value is 93 percent of the theoretical specific
impulse for nozzle used.

5. In the region of maximum performance, runs made with an engine
having a characteristic length $L^*$ of 50 inches and the four fluorine
-one diborane helium-sheathed injector resulted in lower performance
than when comparable amounts of helium were used in the 100 $L^*$ engine
tests. Decreasing the quantity of helium resulted in an increase in
performance, approaching the values obtained in the 100 $L^*$ engine.
6. One calculation made to obtain the theoretical performance using 4 percent helium as a diluent resulted in an increased specific impulse for equilibrium composition from 311 to 320 pound-seconds per pound.

7. The experimental characteristic velocity reached a maximum of 6400 feet per second at 15 weight percent fuel; this is 94 percent of the calculated theoretical maximum.

8. The experimental thrust coefficient averaged 1.33 as compared with the theoretical value of 1.37.

9. The heat rejection to the walls was high, causing a considerable number of engine burnouts. The maximum heat rejection of approximately 5.28 Btu per second per square inch for the 100 L engine occurred in the region of maximum performance.

10. The maximum experimental volume specific impulse was 326×624 pound-seconds per cubic foot and occurred at 11 weight percent fuel; this value was obtained by using a density of fluorine of approximately 1.56 grams per cubic centimeter.

Lewis Flight Propulsion Laboratory
National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics
Cleveland, Ohio

REFERENCES


TABLE I - PHYSICAL CONSTANTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Constant</th>
<th>Fluorine, $F_2$</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Diborane, $B_2H_6$</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Boiling point, °C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pressure (atm)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-188 (-305° F)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>-92.5 (-134.5° F)</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>-154 (-245° F)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28.2</td>
<td>-141.1 (-222° F)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melting point, °C</td>
<td>-218 (-360° F)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>-165.5 (-266° F)</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density, g/cc</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature (°C)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-186</td>
<td>1.558&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.407&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-72</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Critical temperature, °C</td>
<td>-129 (-200° F)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>16.7 (62.1° F)</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Critical pressure, atm</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat of formation of liquid at boiling point from elements at 250° C, kcal/mole</td>
<td>-3.030</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat of vaporization, kcal/mole</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.45</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat of fusion, kcal/mole</td>
<td>0.372</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific heat, cal/°C mole</td>
<td>7.522</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>13.48</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vapor pressure, atm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature (°C) (°F)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-188 -305</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-183 -297.4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-196 -321</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-70 -94</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Unpublished value obtained at Aerojet Engineering Corp. Literature value is 1.108 at -187° C.

<sup>b</sup> $d = 0.3140 - 0.001296 t$ °C (reference 17).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run time (sec)</th>
<th>Injector design</th>
<th>Engine characteristic length L*</th>
<th>Fuel flow (lb/sec)</th>
<th>Oxidant flow (lb/sec)</th>
<th>Helium flow (lb/sec)</th>
<th>Total propellant flow (lb/sec)</th>
<th>Ratio of fuel weight to total propellant flow</th>
<th>Thrust (lb)</th>
<th>Experimental specific impulse (lb-sec/lb)</th>
<th>Experimen- tatl heat rejection (Btu/sec)</th>
<th>Experimental specific velocity (ft/sec)</th>
<th>Experimental thrust coefficient C_f</th>
<th>Experimental volume specific impulse (lb-sec/lb)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Modified impinging jet</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.0691</td>
<td>0.1485</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>0.2176</td>
<td>0.2176</td>
<td>32.956</td>
<td>151.56</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>(a)</td>
<td>150.42</td>
<td>125.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Modified impinging jet</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.0657</td>
<td>0.1049</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>0.1127</td>
<td>0.0759</td>
<td>18.868</td>
<td>168.35</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>(a)</td>
<td>209.45</td>
<td>219.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Modified impinging jet</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.0685</td>
<td>0.1714</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>0.71055</td>
<td>0.0126</td>
<td>54.356</td>
<td>48.33</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>(a)</td>
<td>76.33</td>
<td>74.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Hollow-cone spray head</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.0641</td>
<td>0.2691</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>0.3451</td>
<td>0.1857</td>
<td>99.000</td>
<td>266.67</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>(a)</td>
<td>502.00</td>
<td>567.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Shower head</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.1015</td>
<td>0.2578</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>0.5583</td>
<td>0.2925</td>
<td>69.729</td>
<td>194.07</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>(a)</td>
<td>214.76</td>
<td>450.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Four-one-helium</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.1323</td>
<td>0.5635</td>
<td>0.0110</td>
<td>0.5069</td>
<td>0.2669</td>
<td>65.712</td>
<td>169.12</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>87.75</td>
<td>176.51</td>
<td>4727.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Four-one-helium</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.0377</td>
<td>0.354</td>
<td>0.0152</td>
<td>0.5869</td>
<td>0.1014</td>
<td>106.26</td>
<td>274.64</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>182.52</td>
<td>776.77</td>
<td>358.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Four-one-helium</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.0458</td>
<td>0.2921</td>
<td>0.0192</td>
<td>0.5451</td>
<td>0.1997</td>
<td>100.38</td>
<td>292.57</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>155.64</td>
<td>502.45</td>
<td>657.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Four-one-helium</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.0664</td>
<td>0.2699</td>
<td>0.0152</td>
<td>0.5715</td>
<td>0.1864</td>
<td>89.880</td>
<td>241.94</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>127.12</td>
<td>263.54</td>
<td>624.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Four-one-helium</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.0555</td>
<td>0.5400</td>
<td>0.0145</td>
<td>0.4100</td>
<td>0.1403</td>
<td>79.924</td>
<td>194.94</td>
<td>286</td>
<td>148.46</td>
<td>206.12</td>
<td>5014.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Four-one-helium</td>
<td>68.8</td>
<td>0.0544</td>
<td>0.3444</td>
<td>0.0100</td>
<td>0.5998</td>
<td>0.1421</td>
<td>92.906</td>
<td>253.58</td>
<td>289</td>
<td>289.84</td>
<td>250.10</td>
<td>635.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Four-one-helium</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.0663</td>
<td>0.2915</td>
<td>0.0070</td>
<td>0.5648</td>
<td>0.1855</td>
<td>80.201</td>
<td>218.85</td>
<td>261</td>
<td>218.92</td>
<td>250.10</td>
<td>635.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Four-one-helium</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.0556</td>
<td>0.3375</td>
<td>0.0067</td>
<td>0.5978</td>
<td>0.1370</td>
<td>109.50</td>
<td>275.26</td>
<td>311</td>
<td>324.99</td>
<td>299.17</td>
<td>604.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Four-one-helium</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.0543</td>
<td>0.5059</td>
<td>0.0072</td>
<td>0.5674</td>
<td>0.1507</td>
<td>94.770</td>
<td>257.95</td>
<td>311</td>
<td>260.96</td>
<td>271.93</td>
<td>654.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Four-one-helium</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.0617</td>
<td>0.2572</td>
<td>0.0072</td>
<td>0.5981</td>
<td>0.1855</td>
<td>85.654</td>
<td>262.66</td>
<td>287</td>
<td>241.15</td>
<td>285.07</td>
<td>6801.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Four-one-helium</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.0541</td>
<td>0.3186</td>
<td>0.0116</td>
<td>0.5845</td>
<td>0.1451</td>
<td>103.44</td>
<td>269.02</td>
<td>305</td>
<td>150.00</td>
<td>276.55</td>
<td>613.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Four-one-helium</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.0575</td>
<td>0.2668</td>
<td>0.0072</td>
<td>0.3515</td>
<td>0.1870</td>
<td>96.675</td>
<td>272.19</td>
<td>297</td>
<td>171.59</td>
<td>284.07</td>
<td>6310.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Four-one-helium</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.0564</td>
<td>0.4580</td>
<td>0.0072</td>
<td>0.6018</td>
<td>0.0756</td>
<td>100.28</td>
<td>199.92</td>
<td>322</td>
<td>158.26</td>
<td>259.32</td>
<td>496.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Four-one-helium</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.0795</td>
<td>0.3506</td>
<td>0.0072</td>
<td>0.4173</td>
<td>0.1859</td>
<td>114.35</td>
<td>274.02</td>
<td>338</td>
<td>275.40</td>
<td>288.94</td>
<td>6280.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Four-one-helium</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.0411</td>
<td>0.3708</td>
<td>0.0072</td>
<td>0.4188</td>
<td>0.0999</td>
<td>106.85</td>
<td>256.13</td>
<td>314</td>
<td>200.20</td>
<td>265.58</td>
<td>5794.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a*Engine burnout.

*b*Correction based on estimated heat rejection.
Figure 1. - Liquid-fluorine trailer.
Figure 2. - Liquid-fluorine tank assembly.
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Rocket engine

Figure
(a) Fluorine supply cylinder in protective barrier.  

(b) Liquid-fluorine tank in liquid-nitrogen bath and related equipment.  

Figure 4. - Fluorine propellant handling system.
Figure 5. - Rocket engine mounted on thrust stand.
Figure 6. - Section through chamber and nozzle of 50 L* engine.
Figure 7. - Combustion chamber and nozzle of 100 L* engine.
Figure 8. - Modified multiple impinging-jet injector.
Figure 9. - Hollow-cone spray type injector.
Figure 10. - Shower-head injector.
Figure 11. - Four fluorine - one diborane, helium protected, impinging-jet injector.
0.004 annulus and 0.032 diameter; four sets equally spaced

- 0.033" diameter; two rows four holes each equally spaced

- 0.062" O.D. x 0.015" wall tubing

Figure 12. - Four unit two fluorine - one diborane, helium protected, impinging-jet injector.
Figure 13. - Theoretical performance of liquid fluorine - liquid diborane propellant combination.
Figure 14. - Theoretical and experimental specific impulse of liquid fluorine and liquid diborane in 100-pound-thrust rocket engine.
Figure 14. - Concluded. Theoretical and experimental specific impulse of liquid fluorine and liquid diborane in 100-pound-thrust rocket engine.
Figure 15. - Characteristic velocity, thrust coefficient, and heat rejection of liquid fluorine and liquid diborane in 100-pound-thrust rocket engine.
Figure 16. - Volume specific impulse of liquid fluorine and liquid diborane in 100-pound-thrust engine and flow rate of liquids through injector.
Figure 17. - Burnout of diborane holes of modified multiple impinging-jet injector.
Figure 18. - Welded diborane holes of shower-head injector.
Figure 19. - Four fluorine - one diborane, helium protected, impinging-jet injector after run.
Figure 20. - Pattern of burning at engine flange using four-one, helium-protected, impinging-jet injector.