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CALCULATED SPANWISE LIFT DISTRIBUTIONS, INFLUENCE FUNCTIONS, AND INFLUENCE COEFFICIENTS FOR UNSWEPT WINGS IN SUBSONIC FLOW

By Franklin W. Diedrich and Martin Blooming

INTRODUCTION

In the design and development of an airplane, a knowledge of the spanwise lift distribution on the wing is important in predicting the structural loads and the stability characteristics. For high-speed airplanes having flexible wings, the calculation of the spanwise lift distribution is an aerelastic rather than a purely aerodynamic problem. In aerelastic calculations means are required for calculating the spanwise lift distribution for angle-of-attack (or twist) distributions which are initially unknown. Aerodynamic influence functions or coefficients constitute the most convenient of these means.

As used in this report, the term "aerodynamic influence function" refers to a function which, when multiplied by the spanwise angle-of-attack or twist distribution and integrated over the span, yields the lift (per unit span) at some station on the wing. This function may be considered to be lift distributions on the given wing corresponding to angle-of-attack distributions given by delta (impulse) functions. In a mathematical sense this function is the Green's function for whatever equation is used to relate lift distributions to angle-of-attack distributions.

Similarly, "aerodynamic influence coefficients" are defined as numbers which, when multiplied by the values of the angle-of-attack at several discrete stations on the wing and summed, yield the lift (per unit span) at a station on the wing.

The values of the influence function at the given stations thus constitute an approximation to a set of influence coefficients; they are not true aerodynamic influence coefficients in the sense used herein, because, in general, an integral can be represented only approximately by a finite summation. However, if proper weighting factors are used, a very good approximation to the integral can usually be obtained by a summation involving relatively few terms. Therefore, aerodynamic influence coefficients may be considered to be (and calculated as) weighted values of the corresponding influence functions at the given stations.

Lift influence functions and coefficients may thus be obtained from certain lift distributions. One of the most satisfactory techniques developed in recent years for calculating the spanwise lift distribution on a wing in subsonic flow has been the Weissinger lift method (ref. 1), which can be applied to a large variety of plan forms and yields solutions of sufficient accuracy for all practical purposes without requiring an unduly long time for the calculations. This method may be considered as a simplified lifting-surface theory because the calculation of the lift on the wing is treated as a boundary-value problem, the boundary condition being that the downwash angle induced by the bound and trailing vortices is equal to the geometric angle of attack at the three-quarter-chord line.

In the present report, symmetrical and antisymmetrical lift distributions and some associated aerodynamic parameters have been calculated by means of the Weissinger method with eight control points on the semispan, and several methods are presented for calculating aerodynamic influence functions and coefficients for stations other than those stipulated. The information presented herein can be used in the analysis of unstressed wings or wings with known twist distributions, as well as in aerelastic calculations involving initially unknown twist distributions.

SUMMARY

Spanwise lift distributions have been calculated for nineteen unswept wings with various aspect ratios and taper ratios and with a variety of angle-of-attack or twist distributions, including flap and aileron deflections, by means of the Weissinger method with eight control points on the semispan. Also calculated were aerodynamic influence coefficients which pertain to a certain definite set of stations along the span, and several methods are presented for calculating aerodynamic influence functions and coefficients for stations other than those stipulated. The information presented herein can be used in the analysis of unstressed wings or wings with known twist distributions, as well as in aerelastic calculations involving initially unknown twist distributions.
Aerodynamic influence coefficients have been calculated for these nineteen wings for the prescribed set of stations along the span and are presented herein, and several methods for calculating aerodynamic influence functions and coefficients for any arbitrary set of stations from the numerical results of this report are also presented. The influence coefficients calculated in this manner can be used in aeroelastic analyses similar to that of reference 2.

SYMBOLS

\[ A \] aspect ratio  
\[ b \] wing span  
\[ b_{ail} \] aileron span  
\[ b_{fl} \] flap span  
\[ C_{MW} \] root bending-moment coefficient for unit angle of attack, \( V \times \) Bending moment  
\[ C_{D} \] induced-drag coefficient at a unit angle of attack  
\[ C_{L} \] lift coefficient at a unit angle of attack  
\[ C_{L_{1/2}} \] lift coefficient for half of antisymmetrically loaded wing at a unit tip angle of attack, \( L_{1/2} \)  
\[ C_{I} \] rolling-moment coefficient, \( V \times \) Rolling moment  
\[ C_{I_{ail}} \] rolling-moment coefficient for unit aileron deflection  
\[ C_{D_{ail}} \] coefficient of damping in roll  
\[ c \] wing chord  
\[ \bar{c} \] average chord, \( S/b \)  
\[ [C_{MW}] \] integrating matrix for \( C_{MW} \) (see appendix A)  
\[ [I_{c}] \] integrating matrix for \( C_{I_{ail}} \) (see appendix A)  
\[ [I_{c}] \] integrating matrix for \( C_{I} \) (see appendix A)  
\[ L_{1/2} \] lift on one semispan  
\[ M \] free-stream Mach number  
\[ [Q] \] aerodynamic-influence-coefficient matrix  
\[ q \] dynamic pressure  
\[ S \] wing area  
\[ V \] free-stream velocity  
\[ y_{D} \] spanwise location of discontinuity  
\[ y_{C} \] spanwise center-of-pressure location  
\[ \alpha \] angle of attack, radians  
\[ \alpha_{e} \] effective angle of attack for unit flap deflection  
\[ \Gamma \] vortex strength  
\[ \Gamma^{*} \] dimensionless vortex strength, \( \frac{\Gamma}{\bar{c}} = c*_{e} \)  
\[ \delta \] flap or aileron deflection angle, radians  
\[ \delta = \cos^{-1} y^{*} \]  
\[ \theta = \cos^{-1} y^{*} \]  
\[ \Lambda \] sweepback angle, deg  
\[ \lambda \] taper ratio  
\[ a \] antisymmetrical  
\[ ail \] aileron  
\[ C \] continuous  
\[ D \] discontinuous  
\[ f \] flap  
\[ L \] left  
\[ R \] right  
\[ s \] symmetrical  
\[ t \] tip  

Superscript:

\[ \ast \] dimensionless with respect to semispan \( b/2 \)

Matrix notation:

\[ [ ] \] row matrix  
\[ [ ] \] column matrix  
\[ [ ] \] general matrix (not a row or a column matrix, but need not be square)  
\[ [ ] \] diagonal matrix  
\[ [I] \] unit (identity) matrix

In matrix notation, a prime indicates the transpose of the matrix.

PRESENTATION OF CALCULATED RESULTS

SPANWISE LIFT DISTRIBUTIONS

Geometric characteristics of the nineteen plan forms treated in this report are indicated in table I. Lift distributions due to the following continuous symmetric and antisymmetric angle-of-attack distributions have been calculated for each of these plan forms:

Symmetric angle-of-attack distributions:

- Constant \( (\alpha = \text{const}) \)
- Linear \( (\alpha = \lambda y^{*}) \)
- Quadratic \( (\alpha = \gamma^{*} y^{*}) \)
- Cubic \( (\alpha = \kappa y^{*3}) \)
- Quartic \( (\alpha = \mu y^{*4}) \)
- Quintic \( (\alpha = \nu y^{*5}) \)

The straight-line angle-of-attack condition was included to represent actual structural twists where the surface of the wing is generated by straight lines so that the product \( c*_{e} \), the deflection of the leading edge, varies linearly with \( y^{*} \); that is,

\[ c*_{e} = c_{e}^{0} + \gamma^{*} a_{e} \]
or, for unit twist at the tip,

\[ \alpha = \frac{y^*}{b} \]

For untapered wings, the straight-line lift distribution is the same as the linear lift distribution, and for wings of zero taper ratio, it is undefined.

Lift distributions for flap-type and aileron-type angle-of-attack distributions are also presented. A correction which has been made for the spanwise discontinuity in the angle of attack is derived in Appendix B. The values of \( \alpha \) and \( \lambda \) (ratios of the flap span to the total span and the aileron span to the total span, respectively) for which the lift distributions have been calculated are 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.5, 0.6, 0.7, 0.8, 0.9, and 1.0. As usual, the flaps have been taken to be inboard and the ailerons outboard. The lift distribution for any flap or aileron configuration may be obtained, however, by linear superposition; thus, the lift distribution at a chordwise station due to a flap or an aileron is the sum of the contributions of the flaps or ailerons at that station. The lift distribution for an outboard flap extending, for example, to the station nearest the wing tip \( y^* = 0.9808 \) is the desired lift at these stations. In this respect, the convention is that the angle of attack for the station nearest the wing tip \( y^* = 0.9808 \) is the first element of the angle-of-attack matrix \( \alpha \) and the lift at the same station is the first element of the lift-distribution matrix \( \Gamma^* \).

The matrices \( \Gamma \) and \( \eta \) of table V are arranged in this manner.

### AERODYNAMIC PARAMETERS

The aerodynamic parameters \( C, D, \) and \( \gamma \) for the nineteen plan forms considered are compiled in Table I. These values of \( C \) and \( D \) for a unit effective flap deflection are presented in Table III, and the values of \( C_{\alpha V} \) and \( C_{\alpha C} \) for a unit effective aileron deflection are presented in Table IV. These lift and moment coefficients cannot directly to be used for flap and aileron deflections at an angle of attack of 1 radian. For partial-chord flaps and ailerons deflected by an angle of \( \delta \) radians, these coefficients must be multiplied by the quantity \( \delta \).

### AERODYNAMIC INFLUENCE FUNCTIONS AND COEFFICIENTS FOR ARBITRARY STATIONS

The influence coefficients described in the preceding section are satisfactory for many purposes; for instance, the stipulated stations at which the lift is given are convenient for plotting spanwise lift distributions, because the points are concentrated near the wing tip where the curvature of the lift distributions is greatest. In some cases, however, other considerations may determine the points on the span at which the lift is to be calculated. For instance, when the influence coefficients are to be used in an aerodynamic analysis, the location of the stations may be dictated by the structural characteristics of the wing; also, if lift distributions are to be calculated for the sake of comparison with experimental results, this comparison can be facilitated by calculating the lift at the same stations at which it is measured and thus avoiding the necessity of graphical or numerical interpolation.

As pointed out in the Introduction, the method described in Appendix A can be used to calculate influence coefficients for arbitrary stations. However, this procedure requires re-calculation of these coefficients from scratch. In the following sections several other methods are described for obtaining aerodynamic influence functions and coefficients for arbitrary stations from the information presented in this report.

### INFLUENCE COEFFICIENTS OBTAINED BY USING INTERPOLATING MATRICES

One way of constructing an influence-coefficient matrix for any stations from the matrices presented herein is to calculate interpolating matrices which give the angles of attack at

\[ \theta = 0.9808, 0.9239, 0.8315, 0.7071, 0.5556, 0.3827, 0.1951 \]

and 0 in terms of the angles of attack at the given stations and the values of the lift at the given stations in terms of the desired lift distributions for the given stations. The desired influence-coefficient matrix would then be obtained by premultiplying the one given herein by the angle-of-attack interpolating matrix and premultiplying it by the lift interpolating matrix.
In order to illustrate the nature of these calculations, a pair of matrices are calculated for stations at every tenth of the semispan by linear interpolation. (The stations at which the angle of attack is given and those at which the lift is to be found need not be the same, but here, as in most cases, they are chosen to be the same as a matter of convenience.)

With linear interpolation,

\[
\begin{align*}
\alpha_{0.358} &= 0.808\alpha_{1.2} + 0.192\alpha_{2.4} \\
\alpha_{0.729} &= 0.239\alpha_{1.2} + 0.761\alpha_{2.4} \\
\alpha_{0.688} &= 0.315\alpha_{1.2} + 0.685\alpha_{2.4} \\
&\vdots
\end{align*}
\]

which can be written in matrix form as

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
0.808 & 0.192 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0.239 & 0.761 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0.315 & 0.685 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0.071 & 0.929 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0.556 & 0.444 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.827 & 0.173 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.951 & 0.049 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1.000 & 0 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\alpha_1 \\
\alpha_2 \\
\alpha_3 \\
\alpha_4 \\
\alpha_5 \\
\alpha_6 \\
\alpha_7 \\
\alpha_8 \\
\alpha_9 \\
\alpha_{10}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

where the rectangular matrix on the right side of the equation is the desired angle-of-attack interpolating matrix.

Similarly, for the lift distribution,

\[
\Gamma^*_0 = 0
\]

(This information is known from physical considerations; to calculate \(\Gamma^*_1,2\) by extrapolation from \(\Gamma^*_{1.358}\) and \(\Gamma^*_{1.688}\) would give a spurious value.)

\[
\begin{align*}
\Gamma^*_1 &= \Gamma^*_1 = 0.0685 - 0.0685 (\Gamma^*_{1.358} - \Gamma^*_{1.688}) = 0.741\Gamma^*_{1.358} + 0.259\Gamma^*_{1.688} \\
\Gamma^*_2 &= \Gamma^*_2 = 0.0685 - 0.0685 (\Gamma^*_{1.358} - \Gamma^*_{1.688}) = 0.741\Gamma^*_{1.358} + 0.259\Gamma^*_{1.688}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\Gamma^* = \Gamma^*_1
\]
or, in matrix form,

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\Gamma_{1,1}^* \\
\Gamma_{2,1}^* \\
\Gamma_{3,1}^* \\
\Gamma_{4,1}^* \\
\Gamma_{5,1}^* \\
\Gamma_{6,1}^* \\
\Gamma_{7,1}^* \\
\Gamma_{8,1}^* \\
\Gamma_{9,1}^* \\
\Gamma_{10,1}^*
\end{bmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\Gamma_{1,11}^* \\
\Gamma_{2,11}^* \\
\Gamma_{3,11}^* \\
\Gamma_{4,11}^* \\
\Gamma_{5,11}^* \\
\Gamma_{6,11}^* \\
\Gamma_{7,11}^* \\
\Gamma_{8,11}^* \\
\Gamma_{9,11}^* \\
\Gamma_{10,11}^*
\end{bmatrix}
\]

where the rectangular matrix on the right side of the equation is the desired lift interpolating matrix.

Although linear interpolation is by far the simplest type, the results obtained with it are not so accurate as a higher-order interpolation procedure. Parabolic interpolation should be satisfactory for the angle-of-attack matrix and for most of the lift-distribution matrix, except near the wing tip. The numerical factors required for parabolic interpolation can be calculated by means of Lagrange's interpolation formula for an mth degree polynomial

\[
f(y^*) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left[ \frac{f(y_i^*)}{(y^*-y_i^*)} \right] \left[ \frac{1}{(y^*-y_i^*)} \right]
\]

where \(f(y^*)\) represents either the lift or the angle-of-attack distribution, \(y_i^*\) is the station at which \(f(y^*)\) is to be determined by interpolation, and \(y_1^*, y_2^*, \ldots\) are the stations at which \(f(y^*)\) is presumed to be known. The prime mark on the product signs is the conventional designation of the fact that the term for \(i=k\) is to be omitted. For parabolic interpolation \((m=2)\) this formula reduces to

\[
f(y^*) = \frac{f(y_1^*)}{(y^*-y_1^*)} - \frac{f(y_2^*)}{(y^*-y_2^*)} + \frac{f(y_3^*)}{(y^*-y_3^*)}
\]

Near the wing tip the lift distribution cannot be approximated accurately by an ordinary parabola but can be represented instead by a linear superposition of the two functions \((1-y^*)\) and \((1-y^*)^2\) as suggested by V. M. Falkner. With this approximation the desired interpolating factors for \(y^*\) between 0.9239 and 1 are the two elements of the row matrix obtained by postmultiplying the row matrix by the square matrix in the equation

\[
\Gamma^*(y^*) = \begin{bmatrix} (1-y^*)^2 & (1-y^*) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1.225 \\ -129.835 & 63.708 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Gamma_{1,11}^* \\ \Gamma_{2,11}^* \end{bmatrix}
\]

For \(y^*-0.96\), for instance, the factors obtained in this manner are 0.9157 and 0.2651, so that

\[
\Gamma_{1,11}^* = 0.9157 \Gamma_{1,11}^* + 0.2651 \Gamma_{2,11}^*
\]

Lagrange's general interpolation formula can be used for higher-order interpolation \((m>2)\), but the effort entailed in calculating the interpolating factors is not generally justified by the increase in accuracy obtainable.

Interpolating factors for \(\alpha^*\) and \(r^*\) can also be obtained by representing these functions by Fourier series in \(\theta^*\). For the lift distribution the trigonometric interpolation formula

\[
\Gamma^*(\theta^*) = \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \Gamma^* \left( \theta^* \right) \sin j \theta^* \sin j \theta^*
\]

can be used, where \(n\) is odd and is equal to 15 for the calculations in this report, and where, as in this report, the values...
of \( \theta \) are at equal increments \( \theta = \frac{\pi}{n+1} \). For the angle-of-attack distribution this formula is not applicable, because the angle-of-attack distribution cannot be represented accurately by a finite sine series and because the angle-of-attack values are presumed to be given at unequal increments in \( \theta \). (If they were given at eight equal increments no interpolation would be required.) Although in principle a matrix-inversion method could be based on an expansion of the angle-of-attack distribution in a cosine series, the matrices to be inverted are generally ill-behaved so that the results are likely to be of doubtful accuracy.

**DIRECT CALCULATION OF INFLUENCE FUNCTIONS**

Relation of Green's functions to the lift distributions due to flap and aileron deflection. Although the aerodynamic influence-coefficient matrices discussed in the preceding section have the property that when multiplied by the angle-of-attack matrix \( \{ \alpha \} \) and multiplied by the lift-curve slope, they yield the lift-distribution matrix \( \{ \Gamma \} \), their individual elements have no direct physical significance. On the other hand, a structural influence coefficient has the significance that it represents the deformation at one point caused by a unit concentrated force at another point. A corresponding type of aerodynamic influence coefficient would represent the lift at one point \( y^* \) due to a "unit concentrated angle of attack" at another point \( y^* \). This angle of attack is actually an angle-of-attack distribution represented by a Dirac delta (impulse) function of the distance along the span, that is, a function which, in the limiting case as \( \Delta y^* \) approaches zero, is zero everywhere except in the interval \( y^* \leq y^* \leq y^* + \Delta y^* \), where the ordinate is equal to \( \frac{1}{2} \Delta y^* \); the area under this function would always be \( \frac{1}{2} \), which justifies the use of the term "unit" in connection with this distribution. The desired influence coefficients would then be the values (at various values of \( y^* \)) of the lift distributions due to these angle-of-attack distributions for various values of \( y^* \).

The desired lift distributions, which constitute Green's function for Wessinger's integral equation, can be obtained as follows. Let \( \Gamma^*(y^*,y^*) \) be the lift distribution for a unit effective deflection of a flap which is located between \( y^* = y^* \) and \( y^* \). Then the lift distribution for an angle-of-attack distribution for which the angle of attack is zero everywhere except in the interval \( y^* \leq y^* \leq y^* + \Delta y^* \), where it is \( \frac{1}{2} \Delta y^* \), is given by

\[
\Gamma^*(y^*,y^*) - \frac{1}{2} \left( \Gamma^*(y^*,y^*+\Delta y^*) + \Gamma^*(y^*,y^*-\Delta y^*) \right)
\]

The lift distribution corresponding to the unit concentrated angle-of-attack distribution, therefore, is the limit of this expression as \( \Delta y^* \) approaches zero, which is

\[
\frac{\partial \Gamma^*(y^*,y^*)}{\partial y^*}
\]

by definition. For any given angle-of-attack distribution the lift distribution can be determined by linear superposition of lift distributions of the Green's function type as follows:

\[
\Gamma^*(y^*) = -\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \alpha(y^*) \frac{\partial \Gamma^*(y^*,y^*)}{\partial y^*} dy^*
\]

The desired Green's function can thus be obtained by calculating \( \Gamma^*(y^*,y^*) \) and taking its partial derivative with respect to \( y^* \). A more convenient approach, however, is to consider symmetric and antisymmetric loadings separately. By a repetition of the preceding argument the following results are then obtained:

\[
\Gamma^*(y^*) = -\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \alpha(y^*) \frac{\partial \Gamma^*(y^*,y^*)}{\partial y^*} dy^* \tag{5}
\]

\[
\Gamma^*(y^*) = -\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \alpha(y^*) \frac{\partial \Gamma^*(y^*,y^*)}{\partial y^*} dy^* \tag{6}
\]

where, as elsewhere in this report, \( \Gamma^* \) is the lift distribution (as a function of \( y^* \)) for an inboard flap extending over the interval \(-y^*, \leq y^* \leq y^*, \) and \( \Gamma^* \) is the lift distribution for outboard ailerons extending over the intervals \( y^*, \leq y^* \leq 0 \).

The argument \( y^* \) in \( \alpha(y^*) \) can be regarded simply as a variable of integration corresponding to \( y^* \).

The desired Green's function can thus be obtained from the flap and aileron distributions given herein by differentiation with respect to \( y^* \). The results presented in parts (c) and (d) of figures 1 to 20 can, for instance, be cross-plotted as functions of \( y^* \) \( \{y^* \text{ for symmetrical loadings, and } y^* \} \text{ for antisymmetrical loadings} \) for general values of \( y^* \) and the differentiation then performed graphically or numerically. These procedures, however, are tedious and relatively inaccurate. Two procedures which avoid differentiation of the lift distributions are therefore presented in the following sections; one consists in calculating the desired Green's functions directly, and the other consists in using derivatives of the angle-of-attack distribution, so that the flap and aileron distributions themselves are then the required Green's functions.

Direct calculation of Green's functions. Inasmuch as the desired Green's functions are lift distributions corresponding to angle-of-attack distributions defined by delta functions, they can be calculated directly provided the singularities in the angle-of-attack and lift distributions are taken into account. Appendix B of this report describes the method by which the singularities in the flap-type and aileron-type angle-of-attack and lift distributions were taken into account. This method is extended to the case of impulse-type angle-of-attack distributions in appendix C. The resulting lift distributions \( \Gamma^*(y^*) \) and \( \Gamma^*(y^*) \) are identical with the Green's functions

\[
\frac{\partial \Gamma^*(y^*,y^*)}{\partial y^*} \text{ and } \frac{\partial \Gamma^*(y^*,y^*)}{\partial y^*}
\]

**CALCULATION OF INFLUENCE COEFFICIENTS FROM GREEN'S FUNCTION**

The lift distributions \( \Gamma^* \) and \( \Gamma^* \) contain logarithmic singularities at \( y^* = y^* \) which must be split off before the
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integrals in equations (3) and (4) can be evaluated numerically. Thus, if properly weighted influence coefficients are to be calculated from these influence functions, a procedure similar to the following must be used. For the symmetric case and a given value of \( y^* \),

\[
\Gamma^*(y^*) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} (\sin y^*) I^{*'}(y^*, y^*) dy^* + \cos y^* I^{*}(y^*, y^*) dy^*
\]

The first integral does not contain any singularity; the integrand is zero at \( y^* = y^* \). The second integral can be evaluated explicitly and is

\[
\cos y^* I^{*}(y^*, y^*) dy^*
\]

where \( I^{*}(y^*) \) is the lift distribution for \( a = 1 \) over the entire span, so that the right side of equation (5) can be evaluated numerically without difficulty by using any set of integrating factors appropriate to the stations of interest, such as those of Simpson's rule if the points are equally spaced and the number of intervals between \( y^* = 0 \) and \( y^* = 1 \) is even.

If these integrating factors are written in the form of a diagonal matrix and designated by \( [I] \), equation (5) can be written as

\[
I^{*}(y^*) = [I] [\cos y^*] I^{*}(y^*)
\]

Now, if the row matrix \( [1] \) is defined as

\[
[1] = [0 0 0 0 1 0 0 . . . 0]
\]

with the element 1 at the position corresponding to \( y^* \) and zeros elsewhere, if the matrix \( [1] \) represents the unit matrix, then

\[
I^{*}(y^*) = [1] [\cos y^*] I^{*}(y^*) + [I] [\cos y^*] I^{*}(y^*)
\]

or

\[
I^{*}(y^*) = [I] [\cos y^*] I^{*}(y^*)
\]

where the matrix \( [\cos y^*] \) is defined by

\[
[\cos y^*] = \frac{\Gamma^{*}(y^*)}{\cos y^*}
\]

and is the row matrix corresponding to \( y^* \) of the desired influence-coefficient matrix \( [Q] \). The values of \( \Gamma^{*}(y^*) \) can be obtained directly from the curves labeled "Constant" of parts (a) or the curves for \( \frac{\pi}{5} = 1.0 \) of parts (c) of figures 1 to 20, and the calculation of \( \frac{\Gamma^{*}(y^*)}{\cos y^*} \) is described in appendix C.

This calculation must be repeated for all other values of \( y^* \) to obtain \( [Q] \), so that, finally

\[
[Q] = \left[ \Gamma^{*}(y^*) \right] + \left[ \Gamma^{*}(y^*) \cos y^* \right] I \left[ 1 \right] - [I] [\Sigma] \tag{9}
\]

where \( [\Sigma] \) is a diagonal matrix in which the elements are the sums of the elements in the rows of the matrix

\[
\left[ \Gamma^{*}(y^*) \right] I
\]

Similarly, for an antisymmetric distribution the singularity can be split off in several ways, one of them being the following. For a given value of \( y^* \) equation (4) can be written as

\[
I^{*}(y^*) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} (\sin y^*) I^{*'}(y^*, y^*) dy^* + \sin y^* I^{*}(y^*, y^*) dy^*
\]

where \( I^{*}(y^*) \) is the lift distribution for a unit effective displacement of a full-span aileron. In matrix notation this relation may be written as

\[
I^{*}(y^*) = [C] [\sin y^*] I^{*}(y^*)
\]

where

\[
[C] = \frac{\Gamma^{*}(y^*)}{\sin y^*}
\]

The values of \( \Gamma^{*}(y^*) \) can be obtained from the curves for \( \frac{\pi}{5} = 1.0 \) of parts (d) of figures 1 to 20. This procedure must again be repeated for each value of \( y^* \) to obtain all the rows of \( [Q] \), with the result that

\[
[Q] = \left[ \Gamma^{*}(y^*) \right] + \left[ \Gamma^{*}(y^*) \sin y^* \right] I \left[ 1 \right] - [I] [\Sigma] \tag{12}
\]

where the diagonal matrix \( [\Sigma] \) consists of the sums of the elements of the rows of the matrix

\[
\left[ \Gamma^{*}(y^*) \right] I
\]

In order to indicate the extent of the effort involved in calculating these influence-coefficient matrices, a step-by-step summary of these calculations is given for \( [Q] \); with the obvious modifications this procedure also applies to \( [Q] \).

In the first six steps Green's functions are calculated in accordance with the procedure indicated in appendix C; in the remaining steps \( [Q] \) is calculated in accordance with the previous discussion in this section.

(1) For the values of \( \frac{\pi}{5} = 1.0 \) of \( y^* \) of interest, the values of \( \sin y^* \) (for \( n = 1, 3, 5, \ldots, 15 \)) are obtained from trigonometric tables and assembled in a matrix \( [\sin y^*] \).

(2) This matrix is premultiplied by the matrix \( [\cos y^*]^{-1} \). (See table VI.)
For any one of the nineteen plan forms considered in this report, the matrices \( A[P] \) and \( B[P] \) are available upon request from the National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics.

(4) The resulting matrix is premultiplied by the matrix \( Q \) given in the present report for the plan form of concern, and the matrix obtained in this manner is multiplied by the constant \( \frac{1}{2} \).

(5) The values of \( I^* \) are calculated from equation (C3) for the given values of \( \phi \) and for \( \phi = \frac{\pi}{\phi} n = 1, 2, \ldots, 8 \); they are then divided by \( C_n \) and assembled in a matrix \([I^*]_n\), the columns of which pertain to given values of \( \phi \).

(6) The matrices obtained in steps (4) and (5) are added to obtain the matrix \([I^*]_n\).

(7) Values of \( I^* \) obtained by reading the values of \( \phi \) at \( \phi \) defined by \( \phi = \frac{\pi}{\phi} n = 1, 2, \ldots, 8 \), from figures 2 to 20 for a constant value of \( \alpha \) and multiplying them by \( \frac{2}{\phi} \), these values are assembled in a diagonal matrix \([I^*]_n\).

(8) The matrix obtained in step (6) is postmultiplied by the diagonal matrix of integrating factors \([I]\).

(9) The diagonal matrix \([I]\) is assembled from elements calculated by adding the elements in a given row of the matrix obtained in step (8).

(10) The matrices obtained in steps (7) and (8) are added to each other and that obtained in step (9) is subtracted from the sum. The resulting matrix is the desired matrix \([Q]\), as defined in equation (9).

The entire calculation thus involves the calculation of 8P values of \( \frac{\sin \frac{\phi}{\phi}}{\phi} \) and of \( I^* \) (P being the number of stations \( \phi \)), four matrix multiplications, and three matrix additions, as well as the calculation of the 84 elements of the matrix \([F] \). If this matrix is not available.

**Influence Functions and Coefficients for the Spanwise Derivative of the Angle-of-Attack Distribution**

Equation (3) can be integrated by parts to yield

\[
I^*{\phi}(\phi^*) = a_0(\phi) \{ I^*{\phi^*}(\phi^*) \} = \int_0^\phi \frac{\partial a_0(\phi^*)}{\partial \phi^*} I^*{\phi^*}(\phi^*) d\phi^*
\]

where \( I^*{\phi^*}(\phi^*) \) is the lift distribution for a unit effective deflection of a full-span flap. Similarly, integrating equation (4) by parts yields

\[
I^*{\phi}(\phi^*) = \int_0^\phi \frac{\partial a_0(\phi^*)}{\partial \phi^*} I^*{\phi^*}(\phi^*) d\phi^*
\]

Here again the argument \( \phi^* \) in \( a_0(\phi^*) \) is merely a variable of integration, corresponding to \( \phi^* \). In these equations the lift distributions \( I^*{\phi^*} \) and \( I^*{\phi^*} \) themselves serve as the influence, or Green's, functions. Neither these influence functions nor, in most cases of interest, the functions \( \frac{\partial a_0(\phi^*)}{\partial \phi^*} \) have singularities in the range of integration, so that the numerical evaluation of the integrals of equations (13) and (14), and hence, the calculation of weighted influence coefficients, can be effected very readily.

With a set of integrating factors \([I]\) for the stations of interest and the identity \( a_0(\phi) = a_0(\phi) + \int_0^\phi \frac{\partial a_0(\phi^*)}{\partial \phi^*} d\phi^* \), equation (13) can be written as

\[
I^*{\phi}(\phi^*) = a_0(0) \{ I^*{\phi^*}(\phi^*) \} + \int_0^\phi \frac{\partial a_0(\phi^*)}{\partial \phi^*} d\phi^*
\]

**Influence Functions and Coefficients for the Spanwise Derivative of the Angle-of-Attack Distribution**

Equation (3) can be integrated by parts to yield

\[
I^*{\phi}(\phi^*) = a_0(0) \{ I^*{\phi^*}(\phi^*) \} = \int_0^\phi \frac{\partial a_0(\phi^*)}{\partial \phi^*} I^*{\phi^*}(\phi^*) d\phi^*
\]

where \( I^*{\phi^*}(\phi^*) \) is a matrix all the columns of which are equal to the column matrix \( \{ I^*{\phi^*}(\phi^*), 1 \} \), and \([I]\) is the diagonal matrix consisting of the integrating factors. Equation (15) can be rewritten in terms of a new influence-coefficient matrix \([Q]\) defined by

\[
[Q] = \left[ \begin{array}{c} \{ I^*{\phi^*}(\phi^*) \} \\ \{ I^*{\phi^*}(\phi^*) \} \end{array} \right] \left[ \begin{array}{c} I^*{\phi^*}(\phi^*) \\ I^*{\phi^*}(\phi^*) \end{array} \right] = \left[ \begin{array}{c} I^*{\phi^*}(\phi^*) \\ I^*{\phi^*}(\phi^*) \end{array} \right] [I]
\]

**Influence Functions and Coefficients for the Spanwise Derivative of the Angle-of-Attack Distribution**

Equation (3) can be integrated by parts to yield

\[
I^*{\phi}(\phi^*) = a_0(0) \{ I^*{\phi^*}(\phi^*) \} = \int_0^\phi \frac{\partial a_0(\phi^*)}{\partial \phi^*} I^*{\phi^*}(\phi^*) d\phi^*
\]

where \( I^*{\phi^*}(\phi^*) \) is the lift distribution for a unit effective deflection of a full-span flap. Similarly, integrating equation (4) by parts yields

\[
I^*{\phi}(\phi^*) = \int_0^\phi \frac{\partial a_0(\phi^*)}{\partial \phi^*} I^*{\phi^*}(\phi^*) d\phi^*
\]
considered to be acceptable, then the matrices \([Q']\) and \([Q'']\) can still be used provided one of the stations is located at the point of the discontinuity in the stiffness distribution and provided suitable integrating factors are used.

The objection may be raised against the influence-coefficient matrices \([Q']\) and \([Q'']\) that they do not actually express the lift distribution in terms of the angle-of-attack distribution but rather require its derivative. Inasmuch as the angle-of-attack distribution can always be reduced to a continuous one by splitting off the discontinuous part and treating it as described elsewhere in this report, the derivative of the angle-of-attack distribution can be obtained numerically by using numerical differentiating factors obtained from any text or numerical analysis. These differentiating factors can be assembled into a differentiating matrix which when postmultiplied by the matrix of the angle-of-attack values yields a matrix of values of the spanwise derivative of the angle-of-attack distribution. The matrices \([Q']\) and \([Q'']\) can then be postmultiplied by this differentiating matrix in order to obtain new influence-coefficient matrices which express the lift distribution directly in terms of the angle-of-attack distribution. However, the main advantage of the method outlined in this section is that in aerelastic calculations, for which aerodynamic influence coefficients are primarily intended, the angle-of-attack distribution usually is obtained by integrating its derivative; the use of the derivative then actually saves a calculation.

In such aerelastic calculations, the lift distributions can be considered to consist of a known "rigid wing" part (due to airplane attitude or motion, built-in twist, or control deflection), which can be calculated initially with due regard to all discontinuities, and an initially unknown part due to structural deformation; the matrices \([Q']\) and \([Q'']\) can be used to advantage in calculating the latter part. The cancellation of \(\left[\frac{dy^*}{dx}\right]\) can then be obtained altogether, because if the structural deformations are referred to the plane of symmetry the structural part of \(\left[\frac{dy^*}{dx}\right]\) is zero for \(y^*=0\), so that the first term on the right sides of equations (15) and (17) represents a known rigid-wing lift distribution and can be included with the others. Thus, in general,

\[
[t^*] = [t^*]_{\text{rigid wing}} + C_L [Q'] \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{differentiation}\left[\frac{dy^*}{dx}\right] \\ \text{of} \end{array} \right\}.
\]

The separate treatment of these two parts in an aerelastic analysis presents no difficulties and can be effected in a manner similar to that employed in reference 4 for the lift distribution due to aileron deflection; the use of \([Q']\) rather than \([Q]\) requires only the omission of one of the integrating matrices in the methods of references 2 and 4.

**DISCUSSION**

**GENERAL LIMITATIONS OF THE RESULTS OF THIS REPORT**

The Weissinger method, its range, and validity have been discussed in several previous papers (for example, refs. 3 and 5) so that in this section only a few comments are made about special applications and new integrating factors.

**Number of control points.**—In references 1 and 5, four control points on the semispan were found to give satisfactory accuracy for the lift distributions due to constant angle of attack. In the present report, interest is centered primarily on the lift distributions due to twist and control deflection, and for these cases the additional effort entailed in using eight rather than four control points was believed to be warranted by the resulting increase in accuracy.

**Fuselage, nacelle, and tip-tank interference.**—The Weissinger method and all results presented apply only to wings without fuselages, nacelles, or tip tanks. At low angles of attack the lift distribution on the wing is not affected to a large extent by the presence of the fuselage except when it covers a large part of the wing; the effect is largely localized near the wing root and is most pronounced for the constant angle-of-attack and flap-deflected cases. For the lift distributions due to twist and aileron deflection the presence of the fuselage can probably be ignored in most cases.

Nacelles also tend to affect the lift distribution primarily in their own vicinity, but these effects may be significant even for the lift distributions due to twist and aileron deflection. Tip tanks tend to increase the lift over much of the outer part of the wing to a large extent, particularly in the case of lift distributions due to twist and aileron deflection. Except for wings with very high or very low aspect ratio, these effects can hardly be underestimated and must be taken into account in designing the wing.

**High angles of attack.**—Potential flow breaks down at high angles of attack and the higher the Mach number the lower the angle of attack at which linearized potential-flow theories, such as the one employed in this report, fail to predict the lift distributions accurately. However, the critical load factors often occur at high angles of attack. The only suggestion that can be made is that once the rigid-wing lift distributions at high angles of attack are estimated on the basis of tests or experience, the changes in these distributions due to aerelastic effects can be estimated by means of the results calculated in this report. This procedure cannot be justified theoretically because, although the nature of the transverse induction effects between various parts of the wing after the flow has separated is still substantially the same as before; the lift caused by these induction effects is not those predicted by potential-flow theory. However, the changes due to aerelastic action are small unless the speed is near the flutter or divergence speed, so that certain inaccuracies can usually be tolerated in estimating them.

**Longitudinal location of the center of pressure.**—The Weissinger theory yields no information regarding the location of the chordwise center of pressure; however, the assumption that at each spanwise station the center of pressure of the angle-of-attack loading on the two-dimensional airfoil section is unchanged in three-dimensional flow has been found by lifting-surface calculations (ref. 6, for example) to be largely justified for swept and unswept wings of moderate and high aspect ratio (except near the root and tip). If this assumption is used, the longitudinal location of the wing center of pressure may be estimated. For low-aspect-ratio wings, the chordwise location of the center of pressure cannot be determined simply, and lifting-surface methods must be used.
Effective angle of attack for flap deflection.—In order to determine the loading due to flap deflection for wings of high and medium aspect ratio (for example, $A > 4$), the effective angle of attack $\alpha$ for the flap (or aileron) deflection may be approximated satisfactorily by the values obtained from two-dimensional thin-airfoil theory. Figure 21 gives a plot of the effective angle of attack $\alpha$ against flap-chord ratio $c/f$. For very low aspect ratios (approaching 0 and certainly less than 1/2) values of $\alpha$ close to $\frac{1}{4}$ are indicated by linearized potential-flow theory, even for relatively small values of $c/f$. For aspect ratios from about 1/2 to 4, lifting-surface methods must be used to obtain potential-flow solutions for the lift distributions due to partial-chord control deflections.

Calculation of the roll due to sideslip $C_{q}$.—The loading for the case of full-span ailerons $C_{q} = 1$ is the same as the loading on a wing with dihedral in yaw or sideslip, because in this case the loading on the wing is that due to an angle of attack equal to the product of the dihedral angle and dihedral angle on one wing, and the negative of that angle of attack on the other wing. The values of $C_{q}$ given in table IV for the case of full-span aileron deflection are therefore equivalent to the parameter $C_{q}$.

The second type of influence coefficients is based on Green's functions. The values of the influence functions $I^g(\nu^*, y^*, y^*)$ and $I^{\phi}(\nu^*, y^*, y^*)$ are the only aerodynamic influence coefficients discussed herein which individually have physical significance; the first two types of influence coefficients have only a collective physical significance in that they yield the values of the lift when matrix-multiplied by the angle-of-attack values. However, this individual significance of the coefficients based on Green's functions is lost once these coefficients are manipulated in the manner indicated in equations (9) and (12) to obtain aerodynamic influence coefficients useful in further computations, and the resulting influence coefficients have neither more nor less significance than the others. The computation of these coefficients requires a relatively large expenditure of effort—four matrix multiplications and three matrix additions, as well as the computation of many values of $I^g(\nu^*, y^*, y^*)$ and $I^{\phi}(\nu^*, y^*, y^*)$ by substitution of given values of $\nu^*$ and $y^*$ in eq. (C3)) and a few other minor steps. Despite their conceptual attractiveness, these coefficients are therefore practically at a disadvantage compared with the other much more simply computed influence coefficients.

The influence coefficients based on flap-type and aileron-type lift distributions either this type of interpolation (with a modification at the wing tip) or trigonometric interpolation should be satisfactory. The calculation of the interpolating factors does not lend itself readily to automatic computation, but the amount of effort involved is relatively small. The two matrix interpolations can then be performed readily on automatic computation machines.

The influence coefficients based on Green's functions are similar in concept to the commonly used structural influence coefficients. The values of the influence functions $I^g(\nu^*, y^*, y^*)$ and $I^{\phi}(\nu^*, y^*, y^*)$ are the only aerodynamic influence coefficients discussed herein which individually have physical significance; the first two types of influence coefficients have only a collective physical significance in that they yield the values of the lift when matrix-multiplied by the angle-of-attack values. However, this individual significance of the coefficients based on Green's functions is lost once these coefficients are manipulated in the manner indicated in equations (9) and (12) to obtain aerodynamic influence coefficients useful in further computations, and the resulting influence coefficients have neither more nor less significance than the others. The computation of these coefficients requires a relatively large expenditure of effort—four matrix multiplications and three matrix additions, as well as the computation of many values of $I^g(\nu^*, y^*, y^*)$ and $I^{\phi}(\nu^*, y^*, y^*)$ by substitution of given values of $\nu^*$ and $y^*$ in eq. (C3)) and a few other minor steps. Despite their conceptual attractiveness, these coefficients are therefore practically at a disadvantage compared with the other much more simply computed influence coefficients.

The influence coefficients based on flap-type and aileron-type lift distributions either this type of interpolation (with a modification at the wing tip) or trigonometric interpolation should be satisfactory. The calculation of the interpolating factors does not lend itself readily to automatic computation, but the amount of effort involved is relatively small. The two matrix interpolations can then be performed readily on automatic computation machines.

In this report, four types of aerodynamic influence coefficients have been discussed:

1. The influence-coefficient matrices presented in table V which are obtained by solving Weisssinger's integral equation by numerical methods.
2. Influent-coefficient matrices obtained from those of table V by multiplying them by interpolating matrices.
3. Influence coefficients based on Green's function.
4. Influence coefficients based on flap-type and aileron-type lift distributions, which express the lift distribution in terms of the spanwise derivative of the angle-of-attack distribution rather than the distribution itself.

The second type of influence coefficients is based on Green's functions. The values of the influence functions $I^g(\nu^*, y^*)$ and $I^{\phi}(\nu^*, y^*)$ are the only aerodynamic influence coefficients discussed herein which individually have physical significance; the first two types of influence coefficients have only a collective physical significance in that they yield the values of the lift when matrix-multiplied by the angle-of-attack values. However, this individual significance of the coefficients based on Green's functions is lost once these coefficients are manipulated in the manner indicated in equations (9) and (12) to obtain aerodynamic influence coefficients useful in further computations, and the resulting influence coefficients have neither more nor less significance than the others. The computation of these coefficients requires a relatively large expenditure of effort—four matrix multiplications and three matrix additions, as well as the computation of many values of $I^g(\nu^*, y^*, y^*)$ and $I^{\phi}(\nu^*, y^*, y^*)$ by substitution of given values of $\nu^*$ and $y^*$ in eq. (C3)) and a few other minor steps. Despite their conceptual attractiveness, these coefficients are therefore practically at a disadvantage compared with the other much more simply computed influence coefficients.

The influence coefficients based on flap-type and aileron-type lift distributions also this type of interpolation (with a modification at the wing tip) or trigonometric interpolation should be satisfactory. The calculation of the interpolating factors does not lend itself readily to automatic computation, but the amount of effort involved is relatively small. The two matrix interpolations can then be performed readily on automatic computation machines.

The influence coefficients based on Green's functions are similar in concept to the commonly used structural influence coefficients. The values of the influence functions $I^g(\nu^*, y^*, y^*)$ and $I^{\phi}(\nu^*, y^*, y^*)$ are the only aerodynamic influence coefficients discussed herein which individually have physical significance; the first two types of influence coefficients have only a collective physical significance in that they yield the values of the lift when matrix-multiplied by the angle-of-attack values. However, this individual significance of the coefficients based on Green's functions is lost once these coefficients are manipulated in the manner indicated in equations (9) and (12) to obtain aerodynamic influence coefficients useful in further computations, and the resulting influence coefficients have neither more nor less significance than the others. The computation of these coefficients requires a relatively large expenditure of effort—four matrix multiplications and three matrix additions, as well as the computation of many values of $I^g(\nu^*, y^*, y^*)$ and $I^{\phi}(\nu^*, y^*, y^*)$ by substitution of given values of $\nu^*$ and $y^*$ in eq. (C3)) and a few other minor steps. Despite their conceptual attractiveness, these coefficients are therefore practically at a disadvantage compared with the other much more simply computed influence coefficients.

The influence coefficients based on flap-type and aileron-type lift distributions also this type of interpolation (with a modification at the wing tip) or trigonometric interpolation should be satisfactory. The calculation of the interpolating factors does not lend itself readily to automatic computation, but the amount of effort involved is relatively small. The two matrix interpolations can then be performed readily on automatic computation machines.

The influence coefficients based on Green's functions are similar in concept to the commonly used structural influence coefficients. The values of the influence functions $I^g(\nu^*, y^*)$ and $I^{\phi}(\nu^*, y^*)$ are the only aerodynamic influence coefficients discussed herein which individually have physical significance; the first two types of influence coefficients have only a collective physical significance in that they yield the values of the lift when matrix-multiplied by the angle-of-attack values. However, this individual significance of the coefficients based on Green's functions is lost once these coefficients are manipulated in the manner indicated in equations (9) and (12) to obtain aerodynamic influence coefficients useful in further computations, and the resulting influence coefficients have neither more nor less significance than the others. The computation of these coefficients requires a relatively large expenditure of effort—four matrix multiplications and three matrix additions, as well as the computation of many values of $I^g(\nu^*, y^*, y^*)$ and $I^{\phi}(\nu^*, y^*, y^*)$ by substitution of given values of $\nu^*$ and $y^*$ in eq. (C3)) and a few other minor steps. Despite their conceptual attractiveness, these coefficients are therefore practically at a disadvantage compared with the other much more simply computed influence coefficients.

The influence coefficients based on flap-type and aileron-type lift distributions also this type of interpolation (with a modification at the wing tip) or trigonometric interpolation should be satisfactory. The calculation of the interpolating factors does not lend itself readily to automatic computation, but the amount of effort involved is relatively small. The two matrix interpolations can then be performed readily on automatic computation machines.

The influence coefficients based on Green's functions are similar in concept to the commonly used structural influence coefficients. The values of the influence functions $I^g(\nu^*, y^*)$ and $I^{\phi}(\nu^*, y^*)$ are the only aerodynamic influence coefficients discussed herein which individually have physical significance; the first two types of influence coefficients have only a collective physical significance in that they yield the values of the lift when matrix-multiplied by the angle-of-attack values. However, this individual significance of the coefficients based on Green's functions is lost once these coefficients are manipulated in the manner indicated in equations (9) and (12) to obtain aerodynamic influence coefficients useful in further computations, and the resulting influence coefficients have neither more nor less significance than the others. The computation of these coefficients requires a relatively large expenditure of effort—four matrix multiplications and three matrix additions, as well as the computation of many values of $I^g(\nu^*, y^*, y^*)$ and $I^{\phi}(\nu^*, y^*, y^*)$ by substitution of given values of $\nu^*$ and $y^*$ in eq. (C3)) and a few other minor steps. Despite their conceptual attractiveness, these coefficients are therefore practically at a disadvantage compared with the other much more simply computed influence coefficients.

The influence coefficients based on flap-type and aileron-type lift distributions also this type of interpolation (with a modification at the wing tip) or trigonometric interpolation should be satisfactory. The calculation of the interpolating factors does not lend itself readily to automatic computation, but the amount of effort involved is relatively small. The two matrix interpolations can then be performed readily on automatic computation machines.
the angle-of-attack distribution need not be a disadvantage and may actually be an advantage. The decision as to whether to use the second or the fourth type of influence coefficients (once the decision has been made that the stations implied in the first type are unsuitable) then becomes largely a matter of individual preference, guided by decisions in any given case as to the relative convenience of calculating interpolating factors or reading values from the figures in this report, and of using angle-of-attack distributions or their derivatives.

**CONCLUDING REMARKS**

Spanwise lift distributions have been calculated for nineteen unswept wings with various aspect ratios and taper ratios and with a variety of angle-of-attack or twist distributions, including aileron and flap deflections, by means of Weissinger’s method with eight control points on the semi-span. Also calculated by this method were aerodynamic influence coefficients which pertain to a certain definite set of stations on the span. Three methods for calculating aerodynamic influence functions and coefficients for arbitrary stations have been outlined and their relative merits discussed. The information presented herein can be used in the analysis of untwisted wings or wings with known twist distributions, as well as in aeroelastic calculations involving initially unknown twist distributions.

**APPENDIX A**

**MATRIX FORMULATION OF THE WEISSINGER METHOD**

From two-dimensional thin-airfoil theory it can be shown that, if all the vorticity of a plane or parabolically cambered airfoil section is concentrated at the quarter-chord line, the downwash angle induced at the three-quarter-chord line is equal to the geometric angle of attack at the three-quarter-chord line. This circumstance leads to the Weissinger L-method in which the lifting vortex is concentrated at the quarter-chord line and the boundary conditions are satisfied at the three-quarter-chord line. The Weissinger equation (ref. 1) can be written as

\[ a(y) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_0^{\pi/2} \frac{d\psi^{(a)}(\sigma)}{d\psi} \frac{d\sigma}{\psi - \sigma} + \int_0^{\pi/2} F(\sigma, y^{(a)}) \frac{d\psi^{(a)}}{d\psi} d\psi \]  \hspace{1cm} (A1)

where

\[ F(\sigma, y^{(a)}) = \frac{1}{\sigma - \sigma^*} \left\{ \sqrt{1 + \tan \Delta \left[ \frac{(\sigma - \sigma^*)^2}{\sigma^2} - 1 \right]} \right\} \]

\[ \left\{ \begin{array}{c}
\text{for } \sigma^* > 0 \\
\text{for } \sigma^* \leq 0 
\end{array} \right\} \]

and \( \sigma \) is the angle of attack or, more specifically, the streamwise slope of the mean-camber surface at the three-quarter-chord line.

Introduction of the trigonometric variables \( \theta = \cos^{-1} y^* \) and \( \psi = \tan^{-1} y^* \) into equation (A1) yields

\[ a(\theta) = \int_0^\infty \frac{d\psi^{(a)}(\sigma)}{d\psi} d\psi \frac{d\sigma}{\cos \theta - \cos \psi} \sin \theta \sin \psi d\theta \]  \hspace{1cm} (A2)

The solution of equation (A2) is effected in reference 1 by the use of trigonometric interpolation and integration formulas. An alternate solution based on matrix techniques is presented herein which leads to the identical results somewhat more simply and suggests a fairly simple setup for routine calculations.

The function \( \Gamma^* \) is approximated by a finite sine series, as in reference 1, so that for any value \( \theta^* \),

\[ \Gamma^* = \sum_{n} a_n \sin n\theta^* \]  \hspace{1cm} (A3)

or

\[ |\Gamma^*| = [\sin n\theta^*] |a_n| \]  \hspace{1cm} (A4)

In the calculations of this report, the values of \( n \) in equation (A4) have been chosen as \( n = 1, 3, 5, \ldots, 15 \) for the symmetrical loadings and \( n = 2, 4, 6, \ldots, 14 \) for the antisymmetrical loadings; values of \( a_n \) were chosen at

\[ \theta^* = \frac{3\pi}{16} \]

with \( m = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, 8 \) for the symmetrical loadings and \( m = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, 7 \) for the antisymmetrical loadings. The use of equal increments in \( \theta \) is essential in the method of reference 1, but any values of \( \theta \) between 0 and \( \pi/2 \) could have been chosen in the matrix analysis used in the present report. This possibility of using arbitrary stations in this matrix version of Weissinger’s method is an important
advantage in that it allows the direct calculation of influence coefficients for arbitrary stations. Such a calculation is complicated, however, by the fact that some of the matrices which must be inverted may be ill-behaved when non-equal increments are chosen for $\theta$.

The value of $\frac{dT^*(\theta)}{d\theta}$ required in equation (A2) can be obtained from equation (A3) as

$$\frac{dT^*}{d\theta} = \sum n_a \cos n \theta,$$

so that the first term of equation (A2) becomes

$$\frac{1}{4\pi} \int \frac{\sum n_a \cos n \theta \sin \theta}{\sin \theta - \cos \theta} d\theta = \frac{1}{4} \sum n_a \sin n \theta \sin n \theta,$$

or, in matrix notation,

$$\frac{1}{4\pi} \int \left( \frac{dT_a}{d\theta} \right) d\theta \left( \cos \theta - \cos \theta \right) = \frac{1}{4} \sum \left[ \sin n \theta \right] \left[ \cos n \theta \right] \left[ n \right] \{ a_n \}.$$

The values of $a_n$ can be expressed in terms of $T^*$ (see eq. (A4)) as

$$\{ a_n \} = \{ \sin n \theta \}^{-1} \{ T^* \},$$

so that

$$\frac{1}{4\pi} \int \left( \frac{dT_a}{d\theta} \right) d\theta \left( \cos \theta - \cos \theta \right) = \{ B_a \} \{ T^* \}.$$

where

$$\{ B_a \} = \frac{1}{\sin n \theta} \left[ \sin n \theta \right]^{-1} \left[ B_a \right]^{-1}$$

The matrices $\left[ \sin n \theta \right]$, $\left[ \sin n \theta \right]^{-1}$, and $\{ B_a \}$ are given in Table VII(a) for the symmetrical distributions and Table VII(b) for the antisymmetrical distributions. As a result of the orthogonality of the sine function, the inverse of the matrix $\left[ \sin n \theta \right]$ is the same as one-fourth its transpose except for the first and last rows. (See, for instance, ref. 8.)

The second term of equation (A2) can be integrated numerically by approximating either $F$ or $F^* d\theta/d\theta$ by a cosine series. Both approximations will yield identical results, and the latter alternative is followed here. Thus let

$$F(\theta, n) \frac{dT^*(\theta)}{d\theta} = \sum b_k(n) \cos n \theta,$$

so that

$$\frac{1}{4\pi} \int F(\theta, n) \frac{dT^*(\theta)}{d\theta} d\theta = b_k(n).$$

Now, in matrix notation,

$$\left\{ \frac{dT^*}{d\theta} \right\} = \left[ \cos n \theta \right] \left\{ b_k(n) \right\}.$$
antisymmetrical distributions.

Equation (A1) (or its equivalent, eq. (A2)) can now be expressed completely in matrix form. For symmetrical distributions, the equation is

$$[L_2]-2[F_2][D_2][I^*] = (a_2)$$

or

$$[G][I^*] = (a_2)$$

(A13)

and for antisymmetrical distributions,

$$[L_2]-2[F_2][D_2][I^*] = (a_2)$$

or

$$[G][I^*] = (a_2)$$

(A14)

It should be noted that the $[F]$ and $[D]$ matrices are invariant with plan form and that only the $[P]$ matrices need be computed separately for each plan form; all the matrices are independent of the angle-of-attack conditions. A computing form for the elements of the $P$ matrices is given in table VIII; this computing form includes provision for calculating the load on swept wings. Sample $2[F_2]$ and $2[D_2]$ matrices are shown in table IX.

Equations (A13) and (A14) can be expressed as

$$G = [G]^{-1}[I^*]$$

so that the elements of the matrices $[G]^{-1}$ constitute, in effect, sets of aerodynamic influence coefficients. The influence coefficients presented in table V for the plan forms treated in this report are defined as

$$[Q] = \frac{1}{C_{L}} [G]^{-1}$$

and

$$[Q] = \frac{1}{C_{L}} [G]^{-1}$$

so that

$$[I^*] = C_{L} [Q] a_2$$

and

$$[I^*] = C_{L} [Q] a_2$$

(A16)

The division by $C_{L}$ and by $C_{D}$ has been performed both to facilitate interpolation of the coefficients for unswept wings with plan forms other than those considered in this report and for convenience in aerelastic calculations. Inasmuch as the lift distribution is much less sensitive to Mach number than is the overall magnitude of the lift, an influence-coefficient matrix $[Q]$ or $[Q]$ chosen for the average of the subsonic Mach number range of interest (that is, for the effective aspect ratio $A_\ell = 1 - M^2$ corresponding to that average Mach number) will serve for the entire range, provided only that for each Mach number the appropriate values of $C_{L}$ and $C_{D}$ are used in equations (A16). (See ref. 9, for instance, for simple methods of estimating Mach number effects on $C_{L}$ and $C_{D}$.)

### Calculation of the Aerodynamic Parameters Associated with the Lift Distributions

The values of the lift, induced-drag, bending-moment, and rolling-moment coefficients can be obtained conveniently by the use of the integrating matrices derived in this section. An integrating matrix for the lift coefficient associated with symmetrical loadings can be obtained as follows:

The lift coefficient can be written as

$$C_{L} = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\pi} \rho \sin \theta \sin \theta d\theta$$

where

$$[L_2] = \frac{1}{8} [\sin n \theta]^{-1},$$

and $[\sin n \theta]^{-1}$ is the first row of the matrix $[\sin n \theta]^{-1}$ given in table VIII(a); the matrix $[L_2]$ is given in table X.

Similarly, the integration to obtain the bending-moment coefficient for symmetrical loadings $C_{bm}$ can be performed as follows:

The bending-moment coefficient can be written as

$$C_{bm} = \frac{1}{4} \int_0^{\pi} r \theta \sin \theta d\theta$$

where

$$[L_4] = \frac{1}{12} [\sin n \theta]^{-1},$$

and $[\sin n \theta]^{-1}$ is the first row of the matrix $[\sin n \theta]^{-1}$ given in table VIII(a); the matrix $[L_4]$ is given in table X.

Similarly, the integration to obtain the rolling-moment coefficient for symmetrical loadings $C_{rm}$ can be performed as follows:

The rolling-moment coefficient can be written as

$$C_{rm} = \frac{1}{8} \int_0^{\pi} r \theta \sin \theta d\theta$$

where

$$[L_5] = \frac{1}{8} [\sin n \theta]^{-1},$$

and $[\sin n \theta]^{-1}$ is the first row of the matrix $[\sin n \theta]^{-1}$ given in table VIII(a); the matrix $[L_5]$ is given in table X.

For antisymmetrical loadings, the half-wing lift coefficient $C_{aw}$ is obtained in the following manner:

The equation for the half-wing lift coefficient is

$$C_{aw} = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\pi} r \theta \sin \theta d\theta$$

where the matrix

$$[L_{aw}] = \frac{1}{8} [\sin n \theta]^{-1},$$

is given in table X.
and, if \( r^* = \sum a_n \sin n\theta \), with \( n = 2, 4, 6, \ldots, 14 \) as before, then
\[
C_{\text{cm}} = \frac{A}{2} \sum a_n \sin n\theta \sin \theta \, d\theta
\]
\[
= \left( \frac{1}{3} - \frac{2}{3} \frac{9}{1} \frac{5}{9} \frac{4}{9} \frac{5}{99} \frac{4}{25} \frac{1}{155} \right) a_n
\]
or
\[
C_{\text{cm}} = A \left[ I_{\text{cm}}(r^*) \right] (r^*)
\]
where the matrix
\[
I_{\text{cm}} = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & -2 & 3 & -4 & 5 & 6 & -7 & -8
\end{bmatrix} \sin n\theta
\]
is given in table X.
Similarly, the rolling-moment coefficient can be obtained as follows:
The equation for the rolling-moment coefficient is
\[
C_l = \frac{A}{2} \int r^* d\theta
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{2} \sum a_n \sin n\theta \cos \sin \theta \, d\theta
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{2} A a_n
\]
or
\[
C_l = A \left[ I_{\text{l}}(r^*) \right] (r^*)
\]
where the matrix
\[
I_{\text{l}} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \sin n\theta \right]^{-1}
\]
is given in table X.
The induced-drag coefficient \( C_{\text{dr}} \) can be written as
\[
C_{\text{dr}} = \frac{A}{2} \int a_n \sin n\theta \sin \theta \, d\theta
\]
where
\[
\alpha \sin \theta \int \frac{1}{2} \left[ \sin n\theta \right]^{-1} d\theta
\]
or
\[
\{ a_n \} = \frac{1}{2} B \left[ I_{\text{dr}} \right] (r^*)
\]
For symmetrical loadings the \( I_{\text{cm}} \) matrix can be used to integrate the values of \( a_n \), so that
\[
C_{\text{cm}} = A \left[ I_{\text{cm}} \right] (r^*)
\]
An integrating matrix to evaluate \( C_{\text{l}} \) for antisymmetrical distributions can be set up similarly. However, in this report, values of \( C_{\text{cm}} \) were calculated only for additional lift distributions.

APPENDIX B
CALCULATION OF LIFT DISTRIBUTIONS FOR DISCONTINUOUS ANGLE-OF-ATTACK CONDITIONS

The method of solving equation (A1) outlined in appendix A relies heavily on numerical integration, as does the method of reference 1. Discontinuous angle-of-attack distributions therefore cannot be analyzed as accurately as continuous ones can, because discontinuous angle-of-attack distributions are known (on the basis of knowledge of the lift distributions of wings with very low and very high aspect ratios presented in ref. 3) to give rise to logarithmic singularities in the integrands in the integrals in equation (A1). Nor can a discontinuous angle-of-attack distribution be described adequately by a smaller number of points on the semispan; for instance, with stations located as they are for the calculations described in this report any inboard flap terminating at a value of \( r^* \) greater than 0.3827 but less than 0.5556 would, for a unit effective angle-of-attack distribution, be characterized by the angle-of-attack distribution 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, regardless of the exact location of the end of the flap.

These difficulties can be overcome by using the results obtained by solving equation (A1) for the case of wings of vanishingly small aspect ratio (see ref. 3), in which case the second integral vanishes. This technique is similar to the one used by Multhopp (ref. 10) in connection with the Prandtl lifting-line equation to handle discontinuous angle-of-attack distributions. The lift distribution \( r^* \) is considered to consist of a “discontinuous” part \( r^*_{\text{c}} \), which is the solution to equation (A1) if the second term is neglected and of a correcting “continuous” part \( r^*_{\text{c}} \), so that
\[
r^* = r^*_{\text{c}} + r^*_{\text{c}}
\]
where \( r^*_{\text{c}} \) is defined implicitly by
\[
\alpha \int_0^{\pi/2} \frac{1}{4} \left[ \sin \theta \right]^{-1} d\theta = \cos \theta - \cos \theta
\]
and \( r^*_{\text{c}} \) is the correction that must be added to \( r^* \) to obtain a function \( r^* \) which satisfied equation (A1) for the given
discontinuous angle-of-attack distribution. The solution of equation (B2) for \( \Gamma^* \) corresponding to the more common discontinuous angle-of-attack distributions is given in reference 3, specifically, for inboard flaps terminating at \( y^* = y^*_{\text{in}} = \cos^{-1} \tan \theta \):

\[
\Gamma^*_{\text{in}}(\phi, \phi_0) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\phi_0}^{\phi_0} (\cos \phi - \cos \phi_0) \log \frac{\sin \frac{\phi + \phi_0}{2}}{\sin \frac{\phi - \phi_0}{2}} \, d\phi
\]

and for outboard ailerons with inner ends at \( y^* = y^*_{\text{out}} = \cos^{-1} \phi_0 \):

\[
\Gamma^*_{\text{out}}(\phi, \phi_0) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\phi_0}^{\phi_0} (\cos \phi + \cos \phi_0) \log \frac{\sin \frac{\phi + \phi_0}{2}}{\sin \frac{\phi - \phi_0}{2}} \, d\phi
\]

If equations (B1) and (B2) are substituted into equation (A2), the result is

\[
\frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{-\phi_0}^{\phi_0} \frac{\partial F(\phi, 0)}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \Gamma^*(\phi, 0)}{\partial \phi} \, d\phi
\]

where the function \( R(\phi, 0) \) is defined by

\[
R(\phi, 0) = \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{-\phi_0}^{\phi_0} F(\phi, 0) \frac{\partial \Gamma^*(\phi, 0)}{\partial \phi} \, d\phi
\]

or, specifically, for flaps

\[
R_f(0, \phi_0) = \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{-\phi_0}^{\phi_0} F_f(\phi, 0) \frac{\partial \Gamma^*(\phi, 0)}{\partial \phi} \, d\phi
\]  
(B6a)

and for ailerons

\[
R_a(0, \phi_0) = \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{-\phi_0}^{\phi_0} F_a(\phi, 0) \frac{\partial \Gamma^*(\phi, 0)}{\partial \phi} \, d\phi
\]  
(B6b)

Comparison of equation (B5) with equation (A2) indicates that equation (B5) may be considered to be the Weissinger equation (eq. (A1) or (A2)) for the lift distribution \( \Gamma^* \) on the given wing (the plan form of which determines the function \( F(\phi, 0) \)) corresponding to an angle-of-attack distribution \( R(\phi, 0) \). Inasmuch as \( R(\phi, 0) \) is a continuous function, as is demonstrated presently, equation (B5) can be solved in the manner used for equation (A2). If \( R(\phi, 0) \) is being evaluated at the stations considered in this report \( \left( \phi_0 = \frac{\pi}{16}, \frac{3\pi}{16}, \ldots \right) \) and if the eight values of \( R \) are listed in a column in the order of increasing \( \phi \), then premultiplication of this column by the matrix \( \mathbf{Q} \) given in this report and by the appropriate value of \( C_{\text{Lmax}} \) yields the desired function \( \Gamma^* \) for the given discontinuous angle-of-attack distribution.

As indicated in equations (B6), the function \( R(\phi, 0) \) depends on the plan form, which determines \( F(\phi, 0) \), and on the position of the discontinuity in the angle-of-attack distribution, which determines \( \Gamma^* \) and, hence, \( \frac{\partial \Gamma^*}{\partial \phi} \).

For flaps and ailerons,

\[
\frac{\partial \Gamma^*}{\partial \phi} = \left[ \arctan \left( \frac{\sin \frac{\phi + \phi_0}{2}}{\sin \frac{\phi - \phi_0}{2}} \right) \cos \phi \right]
\]

and

\[
\frac{\partial \Gamma^*}{\partial \phi} = \left[ \arctan \left( \frac{\sin \frac{\phi + \phi_0}{2}}{\sin \frac{\phi - \phi_0}{2}} \right) \sin \phi \right]
\]

so that the functions \( \frac{\partial \Gamma^*}{\partial \phi} \) may be seen to have logarithmic singularities. The evaluation of \( R(\phi, 0) \) from equations (B6) by numerical methods is therefore not a trivial problem. A logarithmic singularity is integrable, however, and \( F(\phi, 0) \) is always continuous in \( \phi \) and \( 0 \) so that \( R(\phi, 0) \) must always be continuous. The integration can thus be effected readily by expanding \( F(\phi, 0) \) in a finite Fourier series as follows: Let

\[
F_f(\phi, 0) = \sum_{n=1}^{N_f} P_n(\phi) \cos n\phi \quad (n = 1, 3, 5, \ldots, 15)
\]

and

\[
F_a(\phi, 0) = \sum_{n=0}^{N_a} P_n(\phi) \cos n\phi \quad (n = 0, 2, 4, \ldots, 16)
\]

Substitution of these expressions for \( F \) and those of equations (B7) into equations (B6) yields

\[
R_f(0, \phi_0) = \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{N_f} P_n(\phi_0)(\arctan \left( \frac{\sin \frac{\phi + \phi_0}{2}}{\sin \frac{\phi - \phi_0}{2}} \right) \cos n\phi_0)
\]

and

\[
R_a(0, \phi_0) = \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{n=0}^{N_a} P_n(\phi_0)(\arctan \left( \frac{\sin \frac{\phi + \phi_0}{2}}{\sin \frac{\phi - \phi_0}{2}} \right) \sin n\phi_0)
\]  
(B9)
where

\[
\begin{align*}
I_n &= \int \cos \theta \cos \phi \, d\phi \\
J_n &= \int \sin \phi \cos \phi \, d\phi \\
K_n &= \int \sin \phi \cos \phi \, d\phi
\end{align*}
\]

These integrals can all be evaluated explicitly and are

\[
\begin{align*}
I_n &= 0 \\
I_n &= \frac{\pi}{2} \\
I_n &= 0 \quad (n=2, 3, \ldots)
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
J_n &= \pi \sin \delta \\
J_n &= \frac{\pi}{2} \sin 2\delta \\
J_n &= \frac{\pi}{2} \left[ \frac{\sin (n+1)\delta}{n+1} \sin \delta \right] \quad (n=2, 3, \ldots)
\end{align*}
\]

and

\[
\begin{align*}
K_n &= -\pi \sin \delta \\
K_n &= \frac{\pi}{2} \sin 2\delta \\
K_n &= (-1)^{n+1} \frac{\pi}{2} \left[ \sin (n+1)\delta \sin (n-1)\delta \right] 
\end{align*}
\]

With these values for \(I_n, J_n, \) and \(K_n,\) equations (B9) can be simplified to

\[
\begin{align*}
R_n(\theta, \phi) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum P_n(\theta, \phi) H_n(\phi) \\
R_n(\theta, \phi) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum P_n(\theta, \phi) H_n(\phi) \\
R_n(\theta, \phi) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum P_n(\theta, \phi) H_n(\phi)
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
R_n(\theta, \phi) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum P_n(\theta, \phi) H_n(\phi) \\
R_n(\theta, \phi) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum P_n(\theta, \phi) H_n(\phi) \\
R_n(\theta, \phi) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum P_n(\theta, \phi) H_n(\phi)
\end{align*}
\]

where

\[
\begin{align*}
H_0 &= 0 \\
H_n &= \frac{\pi}{2} \left( -\sin 2\phi + \sin 2\phi \right) \\
H_n &= \frac{\pi}{2} \sin (n+1)\phi \sin (n-1)\phi \\
\end{align*}
\]

The function \(R(\theta, \phi)\) can also be expressed in matrix form by writing equations (B8) in matrix form as

so that

\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{bmatrix} F(\theta, \phi) \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} P_n(\theta, \phi) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} [\cos n\theta]^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \\
R_n(\theta, \phi) &= \frac{-1}{2\pi} \begin{bmatrix} P_n(\theta, \phi) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} [\cos n\theta]^{-1} \end{bmatrix}
\end{align*}
\]

By using the procedure outlined in this appendix for calculating lift distributions for discontinuous angle-of-attack distributions the necessity of integrating numerically an initially unknown singular function, as required in equation (A1) or (A2), can thus be avoided; instead, the integration of the singular part of the function is performed analytically (by solving eq. (B5), as in ref. 3) and only the continuous part of the function is treated numerically (by solving eq. (B3)). As part of this procedure, a singular function has to be integrated numerically in order to evaluate \(R(\theta, \phi)\); however, this function is known initially because it is the product of a known singular but integrable function and a known continuous function \(F(\theta, \phi)\), respectively. So that, by expanding the continuous function in a Fourier series, the numerical integration can be effected without difficulty. The values of \([\cos n\theta]^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} H_n(\phi) \end{bmatrix}\) for flap-span ratios of 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.5, 0.6, 0.7, 0.8, and 0.9 and for alleron-span ratios of 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.5, 0.6, 0.7, 0.8, 0.9, and 1.0 are given in table XI.
As pointed out in the body of this report (see eqs. (3) and (4)) the desired Green’s functions are

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial^2 \Gamma^*}{\partial \phi_0^2} & = -\frac{\partial^2 \Gamma^*}{\partial \phi_*^2} \\
\frac{\partial^2 \Gamma_*}{\partial \phi_0^2} & = -\frac{\partial^2 \Gamma_*}{\partial \phi_*^2}
\end{align*}
\]

The functions \( \Gamma^* \) and \( \Gamma_* \) can be obtained in the manner indicated in appendix B. However, in order to calculate the desired derivatives of these functions, a numerical differentiation would have to be performed without using the calculated values of \( \Gamma^* \) and \( \Gamma_* \), and by using, instead, a modification of the method of appendix B. Let

\[
\begin{align*}
\Gamma^*(\phi_0, \phi_*^*) & = \frac{\partial \Gamma^*(\phi_0, \phi_*^*)}{\partial \phi_0^*} \\
\Gamma_*(\phi_0, \phi_*^*) & = -\frac{\partial \Gamma_*(\phi_0, \phi_*^*)}{\partial \phi_*^*}
\end{align*}
\]

Then \( \Gamma^* \) and \( \Gamma_* \) are lift distributions corresponding to impulse-type angle-of-attack distributions of the following forms:

\[
\begin{align*}
\Gamma^* & = \Gamma^* \text{ for symmetrical loading} \\
\Gamma_* & = \Gamma_* \text{ for antisymmetrical loading}
\end{align*}
\]

Hence, they must satisfy equation (A1) or its equivalent, equation (A2), for these angle-of-attack distributions.

Again, as in appendix B, the lift distributions can be considered to consist of a discontinuous part, which satisfies equation (A1) for the given angle-of-attack distribution if the second integral on the right side is disregarded, and of a correction part; that is,

\[
\begin{align*}
\Gamma^* & = \Gamma^* \text{ + correction} \\
\Gamma_* & = \Gamma_* \text{ + correction}
\end{align*}
\]

The functions \( \Gamma^* \) and \( \Gamma_* \) must thus satisfy equation (B2) for the given angle-of-attack distributions. By virtue of the linearity of equation (B2) and by virtue of the definitions of the functions in terms of \( \Gamma^* \) and \( \Gamma_* \), respectively, \( \Gamma^* \) and \( \Gamma_* \) can be obtained by differentiating with respect to \( \phi_*^* \) the solutions of equation (B2) given in equations (B3) and (B4) for the flap and aileron angle-of-attack conditions. Thus

\[
\begin{align*}
\Gamma^*_{\phi_0} & = \frac{4}{\pi} \log \frac{\sin (\theta + \phi_0) - \sin \phi_0}{\sin \phi_0 - \sin \phi_*} \\
\Gamma_*_{\phi_0} & = \frac{4}{\pi} \log \frac{\sin (\theta + \phi_0) - \sin \phi_*}{\sin \phi_* - \sin \phi_0}
\end{align*}
\]

Similarly, the functions \( \Gamma^*_{\phi_*} \) and \( \Gamma_*_{\phi_*} \) must satisfy equation (B5), where now \( R(\theta, \phi) \) is defined for the symmetrical and antisymmetrical loadings, respectively, by

\[
\begin{align*}
R(\theta, \phi) & = \frac{1}{8 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} P_0(\theta, \phi) \frac{\partial \Gamma^*_{\phi_0}}{\partial \phi_*^*} \, d\phi \\
R(\theta, \phi) & = \frac{1}{8 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} P_0(\theta, \phi) \frac{\partial \Gamma_*_{\phi_0}}{\partial \phi_*^*} \, d\phi
\end{align*}
\]

The evaluation of these integrals can be effected in the manner employed for equations (B6) so that

\[
\begin{align*}
R_n(\theta, \phi) & = \frac{1}{2 \pi} \sum_{n} P_n(\theta, \phi) \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\partial \Gamma^*_{\phi_0}}{\partial \phi_*^*} \, d\phi \\
R_n(\theta, \phi) & = \frac{1}{2 \pi} \sum_{n} P_n(\theta, \phi) \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\partial \Gamma_*_{\phi_0}}{\partial \phi_*^*} \, d\phi
\end{align*}
\]

where

\[
H'(\phi_0) = 2 \sin n \phi_0
\]

Similarly, the functions \( \Gamma^*_{\phi_*} \) and \( \Gamma_*_{\phi_*} \) must satisfy equation (B5) for the symmetrical and antisymmetrical loadings, respectively, by

\[
\begin{align*}
R_{\phi_*} & = \frac{1}{8 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\partial \Gamma^*_{\phi_0}}{\partial \phi_*^*} \, d\phi \\
R_{\phi_*} & = \frac{1}{8 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\partial \Gamma_*_{\phi_0}}{\partial \phi_*^*} \, d\phi
\end{align*}
\]

The values of \( [\cos n \phi_0 ]^{-1} \sin n \phi_0 \) are given in table VI for values of \( \phi_* \) ranging from 0.0 to 0.9 for symmetrical distributions and 0.1 to 0.9 for antisymmetrical distributions.

The desired Green’s functions can thus be calculated in the following way. For a given value of \( \phi_0 \), the values of \( \Gamma^*_{\phi_*} \) and \( \Gamma_*_{\phi_*} \) can be calculated for eight equal increments of \( \phi \) between 0 and \( \pi/2 \) from equation (C7). Then \( \Gamma^*_{\phi_*} \) and \( \Gamma_*_{\phi_*} \) are obtained by differentiating with respect to \( \phi_* \) the solutions of equation (B2) given in matrix form, for given values of \( \phi_0 \),

\[
R(\theta, \phi) = \frac{1}{8 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} P_0(\theta, \phi) \frac{\partial \Gamma^*_{\phi_0}}{\partial \phi_*^*} \, d\phi
\]

The values of \( [\cos n \phi_0 ]^{-1} \sin n \phi_0 \) are given in table VI for values of \( \phi_* \) ranging from 0.0 to 0.9 for symmetrical distributions and 0.1 to 0.9 for antisymmetrical distributions.

The desired Green’s functions can thus be calculated in the following way. For a given value of \( \phi_0 \), the values of \( \Gamma^*_{\phi_*} \) and \( \Gamma_*_{\phi_*} \) are calculated for eight equal increments of \( \phi \) between 0 and \( \pi/2 \) from equation (C7). These values are then written as columns and premultiplied by the matrix of influence coefficients tabulated in this report for the given plan form in order to obtain

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\Gamma^*_{\phi_*}}{C_{\phi_0}} + \frac{\Gamma_*_{\phi_*}}{C_{\phi_*}}
\end{align*}
\]

for the given value of \( \phi_* \). To these values are added the
values of
\[
\Gamma_{11}(\theta, \phi) \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma_{12}(\theta, \phi)
\]

obtained by dividing the values of \(\Gamma_{11}^{*} \phi\) and \(\Gamma_{12}^{*} \phi\) calculated from equation (2.3) by \(C_{L1}\) and \(C_{L2}\) respectively, for this value of \(\theta\) and the given values of \(\phi\). This procedure yields
\[
\Gamma_{11}(\theta, \phi) \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma_{12}(\theta, \phi)
\]

(The division by \(C_{L1}\) and by \(C_{L2}\) is performed to facilitate the further calculations required to obtain the desired influence coefficients, as explained in the body of this report.) This calculation is repeated for all the values of \(\theta\), for which the Green's functions are desired.
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TABLE 2.-FORCE AND MOMENT COEFFICIENTS FOR ANGLE-OF-ATTACK LOADINGS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FIGURE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### TABLE III.—LIFT AND BENDING-MOMENT COEFFICIENTS FOR UNIT EFFECTIVE FLAP DEFLECTION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plane form</th>
<th>$\alpha = 0.5$</th>
<th>$\alpha = 0.7$</th>
<th>$\alpha = 0.9$</th>
<th>$\alpha = 1$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$C_L$</td>
<td>$C_M_{1,1}$</td>
<td>$C_M_{1,2}$</td>
<td>$C_M_{1,3}$</td>
<td>$C_M_{1,4}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>211</td>
<td>0.6750</td>
<td>0.1171</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>212</td>
<td>0.6736</td>
<td>0.1171</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>213</td>
<td>0.6736</td>
<td>0.1171</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>214</td>
<td>0.6736</td>
<td>0.1171</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TABLE IV.—LIFT AND ROLLING-MOMENT COEFFICIENTS FOR UNIT EFFECTIVE AILERON DEFLECTION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plane form</th>
<th>$\alpha = 0.5$</th>
<th>$\alpha = 0.7$</th>
<th>$\alpha = 0.9$</th>
<th>$\alpha = 1$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$C_L$</td>
<td>$C_M_{1,1}$</td>
<td>$C_M_{1,2}$</td>
<td>$C_M_{1,3}$</td>
<td>$C_M_{1,4}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>211</td>
<td>0.6750</td>
<td>0.1171</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>212</td>
<td>0.6736</td>
<td>0.1171</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>213</td>
<td>0.6736</td>
<td>0.1171</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>214</td>
<td>0.6736</td>
<td>0.1171</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## TABLE V—AERODYNAMIC-INFLUENCE-COEFFICIENT MATRICES

### 0° Symmetric Loadings (Q0)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plan Form I</th>
<th>Plan Form II</th>
<th>Plan Form III</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0027 0.0026 0.0017 0.0027 0.0026 0.0017</td>
<td>0.0014 0.0013 0.0008 0.0014 0.0013 0.0008</td>
<td>0.0004 0.0003 0.0002 0.0004 0.0003 0.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0014 0.0013 0.0008 0.0014 0.0013 0.0008</td>
<td>0.0004 0.0003 0.0002 0.0004 0.0003 0.0002</td>
<td>0.0004 0.0003 0.0002 0.0004 0.0003 0.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0004 0.0003 0.0002 0.0004 0.0003 0.0002</td>
<td>0.0004 0.0003 0.0002 0.0004 0.0003 0.0002</td>
<td>0.0004 0.0003 0.0002 0.0004 0.0003 0.0002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 5° Symmetric Loadings (Q0)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plan Form I</th>
<th>Plan Form II</th>
<th>Plan Form III</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0027 0.0026 0.0017 0.0027 0.0026 0.0017</td>
<td>0.0014 0.0013 0.0008 0.0014 0.0013 0.0008</td>
<td>0.0004 0.0003 0.0002 0.0004 0.0003 0.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0014 0.0013 0.0008 0.0014 0.0013 0.0008</td>
<td>0.0004 0.0003 0.0002 0.0004 0.0003 0.0002</td>
<td>0.0004 0.0003 0.0002 0.0004 0.0003 0.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0004 0.0003 0.0002 0.0004 0.0003 0.0002</td>
<td>0.0004 0.0003 0.0002 0.0004 0.0003 0.0002</td>
<td>0.0004 0.0003 0.0002 0.0004 0.0003 0.0002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 10° Symmetric Loadings (Q0)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plan Form I</th>
<th>Plan Form II</th>
<th>Plan Form III</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0027 0.0026 0.0017 0.0027 0.0026 0.0017</td>
<td>0.0014 0.0013 0.0008 0.0014 0.0013 0.0008</td>
<td>0.0004 0.0003 0.0002 0.0004 0.0003 0.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0014 0.0013 0.0008 0.0014 0.0013 0.0008</td>
<td>0.0004 0.0003 0.0002 0.0004 0.0003 0.0002</td>
<td>0.0004 0.0003 0.0002 0.0004 0.0003 0.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0004 0.0003 0.0002 0.0004 0.0003 0.0002</td>
<td>0.0004 0.0003 0.0002 0.0004 0.0003 0.0002</td>
<td>0.0004 0.0003 0.0002 0.0004 0.0003 0.0002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 15° Symmetric Loadings (Q0)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plan Form I</th>
<th>Plan Form II</th>
<th>Plan Form III</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0027 0.0026 0.0017 0.0027 0.0026 0.0017</td>
<td>0.0014 0.0013 0.0008 0.0014 0.0013 0.0008</td>
<td>0.0004 0.0003 0.0002 0.0004 0.0003 0.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0014 0.0013 0.0008 0.0014 0.0013 0.0008</td>
<td>0.0004 0.0003 0.0002 0.0004 0.0003 0.0002</td>
<td>0.0004 0.0003 0.0002 0.0004 0.0003 0.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0004 0.0003 0.0002 0.0004 0.0003 0.0002</td>
<td>0.0004 0.0003 0.0002 0.0004 0.0003 0.0002</td>
<td>0.0004 0.0003 0.0002 0.0004 0.0003 0.0002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 20° Symmetric Loadings (Q0)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plan Form I</th>
<th>Plan Form II</th>
<th>Plan Form III</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0027 0.0026 0.0017 0.0027 0.0026 0.0017</td>
<td>0.0014 0.0013 0.0008 0.0014 0.0013 0.0008</td>
<td>0.0004 0.0003 0.0002 0.0004 0.0003 0.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0014 0.0013 0.0008 0.0014 0.0013 0.0008</td>
<td>0.0004 0.0003 0.0002 0.0004 0.0003 0.0002</td>
<td>0.0004 0.0003 0.0002 0.0004 0.0003 0.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0004 0.0003 0.0002 0.0004 0.0003 0.0002</td>
<td>0.0004 0.0003 0.0002 0.0004 0.0003 0.0002</td>
<td>0.0004 0.0003 0.0002 0.0004 0.0003 0.0002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SPANWISE LIFT DISTRIBUTIONS AND INFLUENCE FUNCTIONS FOR UNSWEPT WINGS IN SUBSONIC FLOW

### Table V. — AERODYNAMIC-INFLUENCE-COEFFICIENT MATRICES — Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plan form</th>
<th>Loadings</th>
<th>0.00</th>
<th>0.05</th>
<th>0.10</th>
<th>0.15</th>
<th>0.20</th>
<th>0.25</th>
<th>0.30</th>
<th>0.35</th>
<th>0.40</th>
<th>0.45</th>
<th>0.50</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>311</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>312</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>313</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>314</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>316</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>325</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>331</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>332</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>336</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>341</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>342</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>343</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>344</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE VI.—VALUES OF \([b \cos \theta]^2 \sin 2\theta\) FOR INFLUENCE-COEFFICIENT CALCULATIONS

(a) Symmetrical distributions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(p^2=0)</th>
<th>(p^2=0.1)</th>
<th>(p^2=0.2)</th>
<th>(p^2=0.3)</th>
<th>(p^2=0.4)</th>
<th>(p^2=0.5)</th>
<th>(p^2=0.6)</th>
<th>(p^2=0.7)</th>
<th>(p^2=0.8)</th>
<th>(p^2=0.9)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.0275</td>
<td>0.0370</td>
<td>0.0461</td>
<td>0.0546</td>
<td>0.0623</td>
<td>0.0701</td>
<td>0.0780</td>
<td>0.0858</td>
<td>0.0934</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0032</td>
<td>0.0275</td>
<td>0.0370</td>
<td>0.0461</td>
<td>0.0546</td>
<td>0.0623</td>
<td>0.0701</td>
<td>0.0780</td>
<td>0.0858</td>
<td>0.0934</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0065</td>
<td>0.0275</td>
<td>0.0370</td>
<td>0.0461</td>
<td>0.0546</td>
<td>0.0623</td>
<td>0.0701</td>
<td>0.0780</td>
<td>0.0858</td>
<td>0.0934</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0097</td>
<td>0.0275</td>
<td>0.0370</td>
<td>0.0461</td>
<td>0.0546</td>
<td>0.0623</td>
<td>0.0701</td>
<td>0.0780</td>
<td>0.0858</td>
<td>0.0934</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0129</td>
<td>0.0275</td>
<td>0.0370</td>
<td>0.0461</td>
<td>0.0546</td>
<td>0.0623</td>
<td>0.0701</td>
<td>0.0780</td>
<td>0.0858</td>
<td>0.0934</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0162</td>
<td>0.0275</td>
<td>0.0370</td>
<td>0.0461</td>
<td>0.0546</td>
<td>0.0623</td>
<td>0.0701</td>
<td>0.0780</td>
<td>0.0858</td>
<td>0.0934</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0194</td>
<td>0.0275</td>
<td>0.0370</td>
<td>0.0461</td>
<td>0.0546</td>
<td>0.0623</td>
<td>0.0701</td>
<td>0.0780</td>
<td>0.0858</td>
<td>0.0934</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0226</td>
<td>0.0275</td>
<td>0.0370</td>
<td>0.0461</td>
<td>0.0546</td>
<td>0.0623</td>
<td>0.0701</td>
<td>0.0780</td>
<td>0.0858</td>
<td>0.0934</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0258</td>
<td>0.0275</td>
<td>0.0370</td>
<td>0.0461</td>
<td>0.0546</td>
<td>0.0623</td>
<td>0.0701</td>
<td>0.0780</td>
<td>0.0858</td>
<td>0.0934</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(b) Antisymmetrical distributions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(p^2=0.1)</th>
<th>(p^2=0.2)</th>
<th>(p^2=0.3)</th>
<th>(p^2=0.4)</th>
<th>(p^2=0.5)</th>
<th>(p^2=0.6)</th>
<th>(p^2=0.7)</th>
<th>(p^2=0.8)</th>
<th>(p^2=0.9)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.0275</td>
<td>0.0370</td>
<td>0.0461</td>
<td>0.0546</td>
<td>0.0623</td>
<td>0.0701</td>
<td>0.0780</td>
<td>0.0858</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0032</td>
<td>0.0275</td>
<td>0.0370</td>
<td>0.0461</td>
<td>0.0546</td>
<td>0.0623</td>
<td>0.0701</td>
<td>0.0780</td>
<td>0.0858</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0065</td>
<td>0.0275</td>
<td>0.0370</td>
<td>0.0461</td>
<td>0.0546</td>
<td>0.0623</td>
<td>0.0701</td>
<td>0.0780</td>
<td>0.0858</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0097</td>
<td>0.0275</td>
<td>0.0370</td>
<td>0.0461</td>
<td>0.0546</td>
<td>0.0623</td>
<td>0.0701</td>
<td>0.0780</td>
<td>0.0858</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0129</td>
<td>0.0275</td>
<td>0.0370</td>
<td>0.0461</td>
<td>0.0546</td>
<td>0.0623</td>
<td>0.0701</td>
<td>0.0780</td>
<td>0.0858</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0162</td>
<td>0.0275</td>
<td>0.0370</td>
<td>0.0461</td>
<td>0.0546</td>
<td>0.0623</td>
<td>0.0701</td>
<td>0.0780</td>
<td>0.0858</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0194</td>
<td>0.0275</td>
<td>0.0370</td>
<td>0.0461</td>
<td>0.0546</td>
<td>0.0623</td>
<td>0.0701</td>
<td>0.0780</td>
<td>0.0858</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0226</td>
<td>0.0275</td>
<td>0.0370</td>
<td>0.0461</td>
<td>0.0546</td>
<td>0.0623</td>
<td>0.0701</td>
<td>0.0780</td>
<td>0.0858</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0258</td>
<td>0.0275</td>
<td>0.0370</td>
<td>0.0461</td>
<td>0.0546</td>
<td>0.0623</td>
<td>0.0701</td>
<td>0.0780</td>
<td>0.0858</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The table continues with similar entries for \(p^2=0.5\), \(p^2=0.6\), \(p^2=0.7\), \(p^2=0.8\), and \(p^2=0.9\).
### TABLE VII: MATRICES USED IN LIFT-DISTRIBUTION CALCULATIONS

#### (a) Symmetrical distribution

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0.1080</th>
<th>0.3014</th>
<th>0.5986</th>
<th>0.9019</th>
<th>-0.3014</th>
<th>0.1080</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>0.5986</td>
<td>0.9019</td>
<td>-0.3014</td>
<td>0.1080</td>
<td>0.3014</td>
<td>0.5986</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>0.3014</td>
<td>0.1080</td>
<td>-0.9019</td>
<td>-0.5986</td>
<td>0.9019</td>
<td>0.3014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>-0.3014</td>
<td>0.9019</td>
<td>-0.1080</td>
<td>0.5986</td>
<td>0.3014</td>
<td>-0.9019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>0.5986</td>
<td>0.3014</td>
<td>0.1080</td>
<td>0.9019</td>
<td>-0.3014</td>
<td>-0.5986</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J</td>
<td>0.3014</td>
<td>-0.5986</td>
<td>0.9019</td>
<td>0.1080</td>
<td>-0.3014</td>
<td>-0.9019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>-0.3014</td>
<td>-0.9019</td>
<td>0.1080</td>
<td>0.5986</td>
<td>0.3014</td>
<td>-0.9019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>-0.5986</td>
<td>-0.3014</td>
<td>0.9019</td>
<td>-0.1080</td>
<td>0.3014</td>
<td>0.9019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### (b) Anti-symmetrical distribution

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1.0000</th>
<th>0.5000</th>
<th>0.0000</th>
<th>-0.5000</th>
<th>-1.0000</th>
<th>0.0000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>0.5000</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
<td>0.5000</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>-0.5000</td>
<td>-1.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.5000</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
<td>0.5000</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>-0.5000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>-0.5000</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.5000</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
<td>-0.5000</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
<td>-1.0000</td>
<td>0.5000</td>
<td>-0.5000</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.5000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J</td>
<td>0.5000</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
<td>-0.5000</td>
<td>0.5000</td>
<td>-1.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>-0.5000</td>
<td>-0.5000</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
<td>-1.0000</td>
<td>0.5000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>-1.0000</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>-0.5000</td>
<td>0.5000</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
<td>-0.5000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### (c) Combined symmetrical-anti-symmetrical distribution

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1.0000</th>
<th>0.5000</th>
<th>0.0000</th>
<th>-0.5000</th>
<th>-1.0000</th>
<th>0.0000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>0.5000</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
<td>0.5000</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>-0.5000</td>
<td>-1.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.5000</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
<td>0.5000</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>-0.5000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>-0.5000</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.5000</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
<td>-0.5000</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
<td>-1.0000</td>
<td>0.5000</td>
<td>-0.5000</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.5000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J</td>
<td>0.5000</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
<td>-0.5000</td>
<td>0.5000</td>
<td>-1.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>-0.5000</td>
<td>-0.5000</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
<td>-1.0000</td>
<td>0.5000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>-1.0000</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>-0.5000</td>
<td>0.5000</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
<td>-0.5000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Additional Information

- **Note:** The matrices are used in the calculations for lift-distribution in subsonic flow. Each matrix represents a different configuration or aspect of the flow conditions. The values in the matrices are coefficients that are applied to the lift distribution to account for various influences, such as spanwise lift distributions and influence functions.
TABLE VIII.—COMPUTING PROCEDURE FOR $f$ FUNCTIONS

(a) Row 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\phi$</th>
<th>$f(\phi)$</th>
<th>$f'\phi$</th>
<th>$f''\phi^2$</th>
<th>$f'''\phi^3$</th>
<th>$f''''\phi^4$</th>
<th>$f'''''\phi^5$</th>
<th>$f''''''\phi^6$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$0$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\phi$</td>
<td>$0.0001$</td>
<td>$0.0001$</td>
<td>$0.0001$</td>
<td>$0.0001$</td>
<td>$0.0001$</td>
<td>$0.0001$</td>
<td>$0.0001$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(b) Row 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\phi$</th>
<th>$f(\phi)$</th>
<th>$f'\phi$</th>
<th>$f''\phi^2$</th>
<th>$f'''\phi^3$</th>
<th>$f''''\phi^4$</th>
<th>$f'''''\phi^5$</th>
<th>$f''''''\phi^6$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$0$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\phi$</td>
<td>$0.0001$</td>
<td>$0.0001$</td>
<td>$0.0001$</td>
<td>$0.0001$</td>
<td>$0.0001$</td>
<td>$0.0001$</td>
<td>$0.0001$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(c) Row 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\phi$</th>
<th>$f(\phi)$</th>
<th>$f'\phi$</th>
<th>$f''\phi^2$</th>
<th>$f'''\phi^3$</th>
<th>$f''''\phi^4$</th>
<th>$f'''''\phi^5$</th>
<th>$f''''''\phi^6$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$0$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\phi$</td>
<td>$0.0001$</td>
<td>$0.0001$</td>
<td>$0.0001$</td>
<td>$0.0001$</td>
<td>$0.0001$</td>
<td>$0.0001$</td>
<td>$0.0001$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* For $\phi = 0$, $\phi = \tan \alpha / 2$. 

* For $\phi = \pi / 2$. 

* For $\phi = \pi$. 

* For $\phi = \phi = \pi / 2$.
### TABLE VIII.—COMPUTING PROCEDURE FOR $F$ FUNCTIONS—Continued

#### (b) Row 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$u''(0)$</th>
<th>$u''(0)$</th>
<th>$u''(0)$</th>
<th>$u''(0)$</th>
<th>$u''(0)$</th>
<th>$u''(0)$</th>
<th>$u''(0)$</th>
<th>$u''(0)$</th>
<th>$u''(0)$</th>
<th>$u''(0)$</th>
<th>$u''(0)$</th>
<th>$u''(0)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* For $a''=0$, $b''=0, c''=0$.

#### (b) Row 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$u''(0)$</th>
<th>$u''(0)$</th>
<th>$u''(0)$</th>
<th>$u''(0)$</th>
<th>$u''(0)$</th>
<th>$u''(0)$</th>
<th>$u''(0)$</th>
<th>$u''(0)$</th>
<th>$u''(0)$</th>
<th>$u''(0)$</th>
<th>$u''(0)$</th>
<th>$u''(0)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* For $a''=0$, $b''=0, c''=0$.

#### (b) Row 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$u''(0)$</th>
<th>$u''(0)$</th>
<th>$u''(0)$</th>
<th>$u''(0)$</th>
<th>$u''(0)$</th>
<th>$u''(0)$</th>
<th>$u''(0)$</th>
<th>$u''(0)$</th>
<th>$u''(0)$</th>
<th>$u''(0)$</th>
<th>$u''(0)$</th>
<th>$u''(0)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* For $a''=0$, $b''=0, c''=0$.

#### (b) Row 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$u''(0)$</th>
<th>$u''(0)$</th>
<th>$u''(0)$</th>
<th>$u''(0)$</th>
<th>$u''(0)$</th>
<th>$u''(0)$</th>
<th>$u''(0)$</th>
<th>$u''(0)$</th>
<th>$u''(0)$</th>
<th>$u''(0)$</th>
<th>$u''(0)$</th>
<th>$u''(0)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* For $a''=0$, $b''=0, c''=0$. 

#### (b) Row 5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$u''(0)$</th>
<th>$u''(0)$</th>
<th>$u''(0)$</th>
<th>$u''(0)$</th>
<th>$u''(0)$</th>
<th>$u''(0)$</th>
<th>$u''(0)$</th>
<th>$u''(0)$</th>
<th>$u''(0)$</th>
<th>$u''(0)$</th>
<th>$u''(0)$</th>
<th>$u''(0)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* For $a''=0$, $b''=0, c''=0$. 

#### (b) Row 6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$u''(0)$</th>
<th>$u''(0)$</th>
<th>$u''(0)$</th>
<th>$u''(0)$</th>
<th>$u''(0)$</th>
<th>$u''(0)$</th>
<th>$u''(0)$</th>
<th>$u''(0)$</th>
<th>$u''(0)$</th>
<th>$u''(0)$</th>
<th>$u''(0)$</th>
<th>$u''(0)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* For $a''=0$, $b''=0, c''=0$. 

#### (b) Row 7

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$u''(0)$</th>
<th>$u''(0)$</th>
<th>$u''(0)$</th>
<th>$u''(0)$</th>
<th>$u''(0)$</th>
<th>$u''(0)$</th>
<th>$u''(0)$</th>
<th>$u''(0)$</th>
<th>$u''(0)$</th>
<th>$u''(0)$</th>
<th>$u''(0)$</th>
<th>$u''(0)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* For $a''=0$, $b''=0, c''=0$. 

#### (b) Row 8

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$u''(0)$</th>
<th>$u''(0)$</th>
<th>$u''(0)$</th>
<th>$u''(0)$</th>
<th>$u''(0)$</th>
<th>$u''(0)$</th>
<th>$u''(0)$</th>
<th>$u''(0)$</th>
<th>$u''(0)$</th>
<th>$u''(0)$</th>
<th>$u''(0)$</th>
<th>$u''(0)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* For $a''=0$, $b''=0, c''=0$. 

#### (b) Row 9

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$u''(0)$</th>
<th>$u''(0)$</th>
<th>$u''(0)$</th>
<th>$u''(0)$</th>
<th>$u''(0)$</th>
<th>$u''(0)$</th>
<th>$u''(0)$</th>
<th>$u''(0)$</th>
<th>$u''(0)$</th>
<th>$u''(0)$</th>
<th>$u''(0)$</th>
<th>$u''(0)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
<td>$0.0000$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* For $a''=0$, $b''=0, c''=0$.
TABLE VII—COMPUTING PROCEDURE FOR F FUNCTIONS—Concluded

**Row 7**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( \theta )</th>
<th>( \phi )</th>
<th>( \phi )</th>
<th>( \psi )</th>
<th>( \psi )</th>
<th>( \phi )</th>
<th>( \phi )</th>
<th>( \psi )</th>
<th>( \psi )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.1000</td>
<td>0.1000</td>
<td>0.1000</td>
<td>0.1000</td>
<td>0.1000</td>
<td>0.1000</td>
<td>0.1000</td>
<td>0.1000</td>
<td>0.1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0948</td>
<td>0.0948</td>
<td>0.0948</td>
<td>0.0948</td>
<td>0.0948</td>
<td>0.0948</td>
<td>0.0948</td>
<td>0.0948</td>
<td>0.0948</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0915</td>
<td>0.0915</td>
<td>0.0915</td>
<td>0.0915</td>
<td>0.0915</td>
<td>0.0915</td>
<td>0.0915</td>
<td>0.0915</td>
<td>0.0915</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0892</td>
<td>0.0892</td>
<td>0.0892</td>
<td>0.0892</td>
<td>0.0892</td>
<td>0.0892</td>
<td>0.0892</td>
<td>0.0892</td>
<td>0.0892</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0874</td>
<td>0.0874</td>
<td>0.0874</td>
<td>0.0874</td>
<td>0.0874</td>
<td>0.0874</td>
<td>0.0874</td>
<td>0.0874</td>
<td>0.0874</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0858</td>
<td>0.0858</td>
<td>0.0858</td>
<td>0.0858</td>
<td>0.0858</td>
<td>0.0858</td>
<td>0.0858</td>
<td>0.0858</td>
<td>0.0858</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0843</td>
<td>0.0843</td>
<td>0.0843</td>
<td>0.0843</td>
<td>0.0843</td>
<td>0.0843</td>
<td>0.0843</td>
<td>0.0843</td>
<td>0.0843</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0829</td>
<td>0.0829</td>
<td>0.0829</td>
<td>0.0829</td>
<td>0.0829</td>
<td>0.0829</td>
<td>0.0829</td>
<td>0.0829</td>
<td>0.0829</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* For \( \theta = \phi \), \( \phi = \tan \theta \).

**Row 8**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( \theta )</th>
<th>( \phi )</th>
<th>( \phi )</th>
<th>( \psi )</th>
<th>( \psi )</th>
<th>( \phi )</th>
<th>( \phi )</th>
<th>( \psi )</th>
<th>( \psi )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.1000</td>
<td>0.1000</td>
<td>0.1000</td>
<td>0.1000</td>
<td>0.1000</td>
<td>0.1000</td>
<td>0.1000</td>
<td>0.1000</td>
<td>0.1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0948</td>
<td>0.0948</td>
<td>0.0948</td>
<td>0.0948</td>
<td>0.0948</td>
<td>0.0948</td>
<td>0.0948</td>
<td>0.0948</td>
<td>0.0948</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0915</td>
<td>0.0915</td>
<td>0.0915</td>
<td>0.0915</td>
<td>0.0915</td>
<td>0.0915</td>
<td>0.0915</td>
<td>0.0915</td>
<td>0.0915</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0892</td>
<td>0.0892</td>
<td>0.0892</td>
<td>0.0892</td>
<td>0.0892</td>
<td>0.0892</td>
<td>0.0892</td>
<td>0.0892</td>
<td>0.0892</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0874</td>
<td>0.0874</td>
<td>0.0874</td>
<td>0.0874</td>
<td>0.0874</td>
<td>0.0874</td>
<td>0.0874</td>
<td>0.0874</td>
<td>0.0874</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0858</td>
<td>0.0858</td>
<td>0.0858</td>
<td>0.0858</td>
<td>0.0858</td>
<td>0.0858</td>
<td>0.0858</td>
<td>0.0858</td>
<td>0.0858</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0843</td>
<td>0.0843</td>
<td>0.0843</td>
<td>0.0843</td>
<td>0.0843</td>
<td>0.0843</td>
<td>0.0843</td>
<td>0.0843</td>
<td>0.0843</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0829</td>
<td>0.0829</td>
<td>0.0829</td>
<td>0.0829</td>
<td>0.0829</td>
<td>0.0829</td>
<td>0.0829</td>
<td>0.0829</td>
<td>0.0829</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* For \( \theta = \phi \), \( \phi = \tan \theta \).
### Table IX.—Symmetric and Antisymmetric $F$ Matrices for Plan Form 333

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$F_{x}$</th>
<th>$F_{y}$</th>
<th>$F_{z}$</th>
<th>$F_{x}$</th>
<th>$F_{y}$</th>
<th>$F_{z}$</th>
<th>$F_{x}$</th>
<th>$F_{y}$</th>
<th>$F_{z}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$F_{x}$</td>
<td>1.2947</td>
<td>1.2947</td>
<td>1.2947</td>
<td>1.2947</td>
<td>1.2947</td>
<td>1.2947</td>
<td>1.2947</td>
<td>1.2947</td>
<td>1.2947</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_{y}$</td>
<td>1.2947</td>
<td>1.2947</td>
<td>1.2947</td>
<td>1.2947</td>
<td>1.2947</td>
<td>1.2947</td>
<td>1.2947</td>
<td>1.2947</td>
<td>1.2947</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_{z}$</td>
<td>1.2947</td>
<td>1.2947</td>
<td>1.2947</td>
<td>1.2947</td>
<td>1.2947</td>
<td>1.2947</td>
<td>1.2947</td>
<td>1.2947</td>
<td>1.2947</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table X.—Integrating Matrices for Load and Moment Coefficients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$[\lambda_{1}]$</th>
<th>$[\lambda_{2}]$</th>
<th>$[\lambda_{3}]$</th>
<th>$[\lambda_{4}]$</th>
<th>$[\lambda_{5}]$</th>
<th>$[\lambda_{6}]$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0018</td>
<td>0.0036</td>
<td>0.0054</td>
<td>0.0072</td>
<td>0.0089</td>
<td>0.0107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0025</td>
<td>0.0050</td>
<td>0.0075</td>
<td>0.0100</td>
<td>0.0125</td>
<td>0.0150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0032</td>
<td>0.0064</td>
<td>0.0096</td>
<td>0.0128</td>
<td>0.0160</td>
<td>0.0192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0040</td>
<td>0.0080</td>
<td>0.0120</td>
<td>0.0160</td>
<td>0.0200</td>
<td>0.0240</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table XI.—Values of $[\alpha_{3} \alpha_{4}]^{-1} [\mu_{3} \mu_{4}]$ for Flap and Aileron Deflections

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\alpha_{3}$</th>
<th>$\alpha_{4}$</th>
<th>$\alpha_{5}$</th>
<th>$\alpha_{6}$</th>
<th>$\alpha_{7}$</th>
<th>$\alpha_{8}$</th>
<th>$\alpha_{9}$</th>
<th>$\alpha_{10}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
<td>0.0006</td>
<td>0.0007</td>
<td>0.0008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
<td>0.0006</td>
<td>0.0008</td>
<td>0.0010</td>
<td>0.0012</td>
<td>0.0014</td>
<td>0.0016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0003</td>
<td>0.0006</td>
<td>0.0009</td>
<td>0.0012</td>
<td>0.0015</td>
<td>0.0018</td>
<td>0.0021</td>
<td>0.0024</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\mu_{3}$</th>
<th>$\mu_{4}$</th>
<th>$\mu_{5}$</th>
<th>$\mu_{6}$</th>
<th>$\mu_{7}$</th>
<th>$\mu_{8}$</th>
<th>$\mu_{9}$</th>
<th>$\mu_{10}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
<td>0.0006</td>
<td>0.0007</td>
<td>0.0008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
<td>0.0006</td>
<td>0.0008</td>
<td>0.0010</td>
<td>0.0012</td>
<td>0.0014</td>
<td>0.0016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0003</td>
<td>0.0006</td>
<td>0.0009</td>
<td>0.0012</td>
<td>0.0015</td>
<td>0.0018</td>
<td>0.0021</td>
<td>0.0024</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(a) Symmetrical lift distributions.
(b) Antisymmetrical lift distributions.

Figure 1.—Spanwise lift distributions for wings of very low aspect ratio (from ref. 3).
SPANWISE LIFT DISTRIBUTIONS AND INFLUENCE FUNCTIONS FOR UNSWEPT WINGS IN SUBSONIC FLOW

(c) Lift distribution for inboard flap.
(d) Lift distribution for outboard aileron.

Figure 1—Continued.
(a) Symmetrical lift distributions.
(b) Anti-symmetrical lift distributions.

**Figure 2.**—Spanwise lift distributions for plan form 311 ($A=1.5; \lambda=0$).
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(c) Lift distribution for inboard flap.
(d) Lift distribution for outboard aileron.

Figure 2—Concluded.
(a) Symmetrical lift distributions.
(b) Antisymmetrical lift distributions.

FIGURE 3.—Spanwise lift distributions for plan form 312 (A = 1.5; \( \lambda = 0.25 \)).
(a) Lift distribution for inboard flap.
(b) Lift distribution for outboard aileron.

Figure 3.—Concluded.
Figure 4.—Spanwise lift distributions for plan form 312 ($A = 1.5; k = 0.50$).
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(c) Lift distribution for inboard flap.
(d) Lift distribution for outboard aileron.

Figure 4—Concluded.
(a) Symmetrical lift distributions.
(b) Antisymmetrical lift distributions.

Figure 5.—Spanwise lift distributions for plan form 314 (\(A=1.5; \lambda=1.00\)).
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Dimensionless spanwise ordinate, \( y^* \)

(a) Lift distribution for inboard flap.
(b) Lift distribution for outboard aileron.

Figure 1—Concluded.
1. Symmetrical lift distributions.
2. Antisymmetrical lift distributions.

Figure 6.—Spanwise lift distributions for plan form 315 (A=1.5; \( \lambda =1.50 \)).
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Dimensionless spanwise ordinate, $y^*$

Lift distribution for inboard flap.

Lift distribution for outboard aileron.

Figure 6.—Concluded.
Dimensionless spanwise ordinates, $y^*$

(a) Symmetrical lift distributions.
(b) Antisymmetrical lift distributions.

Figure 7.—Spanwise lift distributions for plan form 321 ($d=2.0; \lambda=0$).
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(c) Lift distribution for inboard flap.
(d) Lift distribution for outboard aileron.

Figure 7.—Concluded.
(a) Symmetrical lift distributions.

(b) Antisymmetrical lift distributions.

Figure 8. Spanwise lift distributions for plan form 322 \((A=3.0; \lambda=0.25)\).
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Dimensionless spanwise ordinate, $y^*$

Lift distribution for inboard flap.
Lift distribution for outboard aileron.

FIGURE 8.—Concluded.
(a) Symmetrical lift distributions.
(b) Antisymmetrical lift distributions.

Figure 9.—Spanwise lift distributions for plan form 323 ($A=3.0; \lambda=0.50$).
SPANWISE LIFT DISTRIBUTIONS AND INFLUENCE FUNCTIONS FOR UNSWEPT WINGS IN SUBSONIC FLOW

(c) Lift distribution for inboard flap.
(d) Lift distribution for outboard aileron.

Figure 9.—Concluded.
FIGURE 10.—Spanwise lift distributions for plan form 324 ($A=3.0; \lambda=1.00$).

(a) Symmetrical lift distributions.

(b) Antisymmetrical lift distributions.
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Figure 10.—Concluded.

(c) Lift distribution for inboard flap.
(d) Lift distribution for outboard aileron.

Figure 10.—Concluded.
Dimensionless spanwise ordinate, \( \gamma^* \)

(a) Symmetrical lift distributions.
(b) Antisymmetrical lift distributions.

Figure 11.—Spanwise lift distributions for plan form 325 (\( A = 3.0 \); \( k = 1.00 \)).
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Figure 11.—Concluded.

(c) Lift distribution for inboard flap.
(d) Lift distribution for outboard sliveron.
FIGURE 12.—Spanwise lift distributions for plan form 331 \((A=6.0; \lambda=0)\).

(a) Symmetrical lift distributions.

(b) Antisymmetrical lift distributions.
(c) Lift distribution for inboard flap.
(d) Lift distribution for outboard aileron.

Figure 12—Concluded.
(a) Symmetrical lift distributions.
(b) Asymmetric spanwise lift distributions.

Figure 13.—Spanwise lift distributions for plan form 332 ($\Delta = 6.0; \lambda = 0.25$).
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Figure 13—Continued.

(c) Lift distribution for inboard flap.
(d) Lift distribution for outboard aileron.
(a) Symmetrical lift distributions.
(b) Antisymmetrical lift distributions.

Figure 14.—Spanwise lift distributions for plan form 333 \( A = 0.0; \lambda = 0.50 \).
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FIGURE 14.—Concluded.

(a) Lift distribution for inboard flap.
(b) Lift distribution for outboard aileron.
Figure 15—Spanwise lift distributions for plan form 394 ($A=6.0; \lambda=1.00$).

(a) Symmetrical lift distributions.
(b) Asymmetrical lift distributions.
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(a) Lift distribution for inboard flap.
(b) Lift distribution for outboard aileron.

Figure 15.—Concluded.
(a) Symmetrical lift distributions.
(b) Asymmetrical lift distributions.

Figure 16.—Spanwise lift distributions for plan form 335 ($\alpha = 6.0$; $\lambda = 1.50$).
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Dimensionless spanwise ordinate, $y^*$

(a) Lift distribution for inboard flap.
(b) Lift distribution for outboard aileron.

Figure 16.—Continued.
(a) Symmetrical lift distributions.
(b) Antisymmetrical lift distributions.

Figure 17.—Spanwise lift distributions for plan form 341 (A=12.0; λ=0).
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Figure 17—Concluded.

(a) Lift distribution for inboard flap.
(b) Lift distribution for outboard aileron.

Dimensionless spanwise ordinate, \( y^* \)
FIGURE 18.—Spanwise lift distributions for plan form 342 ($A = 12.0; \lambda = 0.25$).
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Figure 18—Continued.

(c) Lift distribution for inboard flap.
(d) Lift distribution for outboard slatron.
Figure 19.—Spanwise lift distributions for plan form 343 ($D = 12.0; \lambda = 0.50$).
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\[ C_{l_x} = 5.165 \]

\[ \frac{C_{l_x}}{C_{l_{max}}} \]

\[ \frac{\theta}{2} \]

\[ \psi \]

Dimensionless spanwise ordinate, \( \gamma/\alpha \)

(a) Lift distribution for inboard flap.
(b) Lift distribution for outboard aileron.

Figure 19.—Concluded.
Figure 20.—Spanwise lift distributions for plan form 344 ($A=12.0; \lambda=1.00$).

(a) Symmetrical lift distributions.
(b) Antisymmetrical lift distributions.
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(c) Lift distribution for inboard flap.
(d) Lift distribution for outboard aileron.

Figure 20.—Concluded.
Figure 21.—Variation of flap effectiveness with flap-chord ratio.