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1. INTRODUCTION

It has long been recognized\(^1\) that spray characteristics and droplet vaporization physics play a fundamental role in determining the stability behavior of liquid fuel rocket motors. Such instabilities are generated by in-phase interactions of rocket acoustics with combustion fields. This paper gives some results of numerical parametric studies conducted to investigate the interactions of reacting and non-reacting sprays with acoustic waves. The results were obtained using a multi-dimensional algorithm which couples gas and liquid phase physics. The algorithm includes a new treatment for spray injection through direct solution of equations for the spray producing liquid core. A brief outline of the methodology is given in the next section.

2. TECHNICAL DISCUSSION

2.1 General Approach

The mathematical formulation provides for solution of the interaction between the liquid spray droplets and the surrounding gas. The formulation used in this study is based on a Lagrangian/Eulerian approach. Here, gas phase behavior is treated on a fixed (Eulerian) mesh. Behavior of liquid droplets such as heating, evaporation, and displacement is treated in a Lagrangian manner. Interaction physics is contained in the source terms evaluated during the droplet-phase calculations, and subsequently introduced into the gas-phase formulation.

Droplets are introduced into the computational domain following a separate computation of the liquid jet. Liquid jet equations are solved on a separate grid embedded in the main computational domain. The jet motion and shape depend on a separately specified mass stripping rate, a semi-empirical formulation simulating the atomization process. Droplet sizes are specified using a semi-empirical expression based on linear stability theory. In order to conserve computational effort, droplets are treated as a "droplet cloud" with a number density dependent on the droplet mass and the stripping rate. The liquid droplets are allowed to evaporate and provide a source of fuel to the combustion calculations.

The final results for liquid and gas phases are obtained by combining the Eulerian and Lagrangian calculations using the fractional step technique with operator splitting. Details of the model are given below.

Gas Dynamics

Gas phase equations of motion can be written in the general conservation form:

\[
\frac{dU}{dt} + \nabla \cdot F_c - \nabla \cdot F_d = S_{\text{evap}} + S_{\text{comb}}
\]  

(1)

where \(U\), \(F_c\), and \(F_d\) represent the conserved variables, and the convective and diffusive fluxes, respectively. The source term vectors \(S_{\text{evap}}\) and \(S_{\text{comb}}\) are due to droplet evaporation and combustion processes, respectively.

Equation (1) is solved using the method of fractional steps where each of the convective, diffusive and source fluxes are solved for separately. The fractional steps are,
If each fractional step is solved using a second order scheme, second order accuracy of the complete algorithm can be maintained by using Strang-type operator splitting. Thus if $L_B$, $L_D$, $L_{evap}$, and $L_{comb}$ are the individual solution operators which advance the solutions through a time increment $\Delta t$, the solution at new time level can be expressed as,

$$U^{n+2} = \left( L^{\Delta t}_E L^{\Delta t}_D L^{\Delta t}_{evap} L^{\Delta t}_{comb} L^{\Delta t}_{evap} L^{\Delta t}_D L^{\Delta t}_E \right) U^n$$

Such a solution procedure is widely used in combustion simulations; examples of its application can be found in Oran and Boris. Note that first order solution requires only one sweep of the solution operators.

2.2 Liquid Phase Equations

Solution of liquid phase equations forms one fractional step on the overall computational scheme. These equations themselves are solved in fractional steps; the two main ones being formation and transport of liquid jets and spray droplets.

**Liquid Core**

The liquid core equations are solved on a separate mesh embedded in the main computational domain. Assuming an incompressible flow of liquid with negligible variations in velocity across the thickness, the equations describing the motion of an isothermal liquid core can be cast in the standard conservative form given by:

$$\frac{\partial U}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial F}{\partial x} = S \ ; \ U = \begin{pmatrix} h \\ uh \\ u^2h \\ uch \end{pmatrix} ; F = \begin{pmatrix} uh \\ u^2h \\ uch \end{pmatrix} ; S = \begin{pmatrix} n(hu + f) \\ n(ho + g) \end{pmatrix}$$

Note that the v-momentum equation is independent of the solution for $h$ or $u$.

The source vector $S$ contains terms due to mass loss $n$ caused by stripping of droplets, as well as external forcing functions $f$ and $g$. Function $f$ represents the effects of axial viscous drag, and $g$ accounts for acoustic forcing caused by sound waves impinging on the liquid/gas jet interface. This formulation temporarily ignores the effects of surface tension and the axial pressure gradient, and introduces a mass stripping rate $n$ to account for losses due to droplet production. Both the stripping rate and the resultant droplet diameters are specified using semi-empirical correlations which depend on the local relative velocity, gas and liquid densities, and surface tension. Figure 1 below illustrates the development of a typical jet.

![Figure 1. Development Time-History of a Typical Jet. The succeeding profiles are separated by 50 timesteps.](image-url)
Since Equation (4) is hyperbolic, it may be solved using techniques similar to those employed in the gas-phase fractional step. However, since by ignoring the axial pressure gradient only one characteristic exists for the system, the solution is much simpler.

Given droplets produced by the liquid core, droplet physics are computed using the spray model.

**Spray Model**

The spray model used in this study is based on the Particle-In-Cell (PIC) formulation first proposed by Crowe et al\(^5\) and used with some variations by Moldavi and Sirignano.\(^6\) In this approach, the droplet motion and evaporation are found by integrating the individual droplet Lagrangian equations of motion. Determination of source terms denoting droplet-gas exchange of mass, momentum, and energy is subsequently obtained from general balance equations at each computational cell. An extended form of this model accounts for:

- viscous and pressure drag on a droplet, including droplet deformation;
- quasi-steady droplet heatup; and
- quasi-steady vaporization.

This fractional step convects and vaporizes the individual droplets and calculates the gas/liquid interaction source terms which are used in gas-phase calculations. This completes the description of the model.

3. RESULTS

Numerical experiments were performed to determine the effects of various parameters on spray characteristics and combustion response. The parameters included droplet size, stripping rate, spray angle, and chemical kinetics. A sample of the findings is given below.

3.1 Effect of Droplet Size

Droplets are inserted into the computational domain at the left, with initial velocity of 10 m/s. Transverse velocity is chosen at random to give a specified mean spray angle. Figure 2 shows unreacting spray shape and penetration for two drop at time \( t = 0.02s \). This corresponds to droplet transit time in an inviscid flow. Note the difference in penetration length of the two sprays.

![Figure 2. Penetration into Quiescent Medium of Spray Composed of (a) 150\(\mu\) drops; and (b) 1500\(\mu\) drops](image-url)
3.2 Spray Formed from a Jet Liquid Core

Liquid core is introduced into the domain at left. The core develops in time until it reaches steady state. At each time step, droplets are stripped from the core and introduced into the domain. Initial drop velocity is that of the core with a random transverse component selected for a specified spray angle. Spray shapes are given in Figure 3 for a 100 m/s jet producing 70μ drops, at time t = .001 s, for three spray angles.

![Spray Shapes](image)

Figure 3. Spray Shape and Penetration for Spray with a Liquid Core for Spray Angles of (a) 2°; (b) 4°; and (c) 6°

Note the presence of the characteristic bulge. In the above calculations, the core extended to .06 m.

3.3 Acoustics-Reactive Spray Interactions

This simulation is similar to that given in 3.2 above, except that the domain is now treated as a resonant tube with a 20% cosine initial pressure perturbation, and the spray is allowed to react. Two reaction mechanisms for hydrogen-oxygen reaction: (a) instantaneous kinetics; and (b) one step finite rate kinetics. In this simulation, liquid oxygen is injected into a hydrogen atmosphere. During the injection, the initial pressure inhomogeneity in the gas phase repeatedly traverses the domain, forms a shock and interacts with the spray. Figures 4 shows the effect of shock interaction of spray and the liquid core.

![Shock Interactions](image)

Figure 4. Spray and Associated Liquid Core Profiles for: (a) non-reacting spray; (b) reacting with infinite rate chemistry; and (c) reacting with one step finite rate model
Note the large spray deformations in the reacting case. These deformations are caused by the compressive effects of shock impinging on the spray coupled to the combustion generated expansion process. The figure also indicates the presence of disturbances on the surface of the liquid core.

Figure 5 shows some typical end-wall pressure traces. Along with Figure 4, this figure shows that there is little difference in results for the two simple kinetic models used in this study. A more sophisticated chemistry model is needed to quantify the combustor sensitivity to chemical kinetics.

![Figure 5: End Wall Pressure Time Histories](image)
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Figure 5. End Wall Pressure Time Histories: (a) base flow (no spray); (b) cold spray; (c) instantaneous kinetics; and (d) one step finite rate kinetics

4. CONCLUSIONS

A coupled numerical algorithm has been developed for studies of combustion instabilities in spray-driven liquid rocket engines. The model couples gas and liquid phase physics using the method of fractional steps. Also introduced is a novel, efficient, methodology for accounting for spray formation through direct solution of liquid phase equations. Preliminary parametric studies show marked sensitivity of spray penetration and geometry to droplet diameter, considerations of liquid core, and acoustic interactions. Less sensitivity was shown to the combustion model type although more rigorous (multi-step) formulations may be needed for differences to become apparent. Numerical studies are now planned to fully quantify these effects.
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