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The Catalog of Apollo 17 rocks is a set of volumes that characterize each of 334 individually numbered rock samples (79 larger than 100 g) in the Apollo 17 collection, showing what each sample is and what is known about it. Unconsolidated regolith samples are not included. The catalog is intended to be used by both researchers requiring sample allocations and a broad audience interested in Apollo 17 rocks. The volumes are arranged geographically, with separate volumes for the South Massif and Light Mantle; the North Massif; and two volumes for the mare plains. Within each volume, the samples are arranged in numerical order, closely corresponding with the sample collection stations. The present volume, for the South Massif and Light Mantle, describes the 55 individual rock fragments collected at Stations 2, 2A, 3, and LRV-5. Some were chipped from boulders, others collected as individual rocks, some by raking, and a few by picking from the soil in the processing laboratory.

Information on sample collection, petrography, chemistry, stable and radiogenic isotopes, rock surface characteristics, physical properties, and curatorial processing is summarized and referenced as far as it is known up to early 1992. The intention has been to be comprehensive—to include all published studies of any kind that provide information on the sample, as well as some unpublished information. References which are primarily bulk interpretations of existing data or mere lists of samples are not generally included. Foreign language journals were not scrutinized, but little data appears to have been published only in such journals. We have attempted to be consistent in format across all of the volumes, and have used a common reference list that appears in all volumes.

Much valuable information exists in the original Apollo 17 Lunar Sample Information Catalog (1973) based on the intense and expert work of the Preliminary Examination Team. However, that catalog was compiled and published only four months after the mission itself, from rapid descriptions of usually dust-covered rocks, usually without anything other than macroscopic observations, and less often with thin sections and a little chemical data. In the nearly two decades since then, the rocks have been substantially subdivided, studied, and analyzed, with numerous published papers. These make the original Information Catalog inadequate, outmoded, and in some cases erroneous. However, that Catalog contains more information on macroscopic observations for most samples than does the present set of volumes. Considerably more detailed information on the dissection and allocations of the samples is preserved in the Data Packs in the Office of the Curator.

Where possible, ages based on Sr and Ar isotopes have been recalculated using the "new" decay constants recommended by Steiger and Jäger (Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 36, 359-362); however, in many of the reproduced diagrams the ages correspond with the "old" decay constants. In this volume, mg' or Mg' = atomic Mg/(Mg +Fe).

THE APOLLO 17 MISSION

On December 11, 1972, the Apollo 17 lunar excursion module "Challenger," descending from the Command Service Module "America," landed in a valley near the edge of Mare Serenitatis (Figures 1 and 2). It was the sixth and final landing in the Apollo program. Astronauts Eugene Cernan and Harrison Schmitt spent 72 hours at the site, named Taurus-Littrow from the mountains and a crater to the north. The site was geologically diverse, with the mountain ring of the Serenitatis basin and the lava fill in the valley. The main objectives of the mission were to sample very ancient material such as pre-Imbrian highlands distant from the Imbrium basin, and to sample pyroclastic materials believed
pre-mission to be substantially younger than mare basalts collected on previous missions.

The crew spent more than 22 hours on the lunar surface, using the rover to traverse across the mare plains and to the lower slopes of the South and North Massifs, and over a light mantle in the valley that appeared to have resulted from a landslide from the South Massif. The traverses totalled more than 30 km, and nearly 120 kg of rock and soil were collected (Figure 3). This total sample mass was greater than on any previous mission. An Apollo Lunar Surface Experiments Package (ALSEP) was set up near the landing point. Other experiments and numerous photographs were used to characterize and document the site. Descriptions of the pre-mission work and objectives, the mission itself, and results are described in detail in the Apollo 17 Preliminary Science Report (1973; NASA SP-330) and the Geological Exploration of the Taurus-Littrow Valley (1980; USGS Prof. Paper 1080), and others listed in the bibliography at the end of this section. Many
of the rock samples have been studied in detail, and some, particularly massif boulders, have been studied in coordinated fashion in formal consortia.

The valley floor samples demonstrate that the valley consists of a sequence of high-Ti mare basalts that were mainly extruded 3.7 to 3.8 Ga ago. The sequence is perhaps of the order of 1400m thick. The sequence consists of several different types of basalt that cannot easily be related to each other (or Apollo 11 high-Ti mare basalts) by simple igneous processes, but instead reflect varied mantle sources, mixing, and assimilation. Orange glass pyroclastics were conspicuous, and is the unit that mantles both the valley fill and part of the nearby highlands. However, they were found to be not considerably younger than other Apollo volcanics, but only slightly younger than the valley fill. These glasses too are high-Ti basalt in composition. The orange glasses occur in the rocks only as components of some regolith breccias.
The sampling of the massifs was directed at coherent boulders and some rocks, and are dominated by a particular type of crystalline impact melt breccia. This is found on both massifs, and is characterized by an aluminous basalt composition and a poikilitic groundmass. The samples are widely interpreted as part of the impact melt produced by the Serenitatis basin event itself. A second type of impact melt, dark and aphanitic, is represented only by samples from the South Massif stations. It is similar in chemistry to first type, but is more aluminous and much poorer in TiO₂. It contains a much greater abundance and variety of clast types. Opinion still differs as to whether these aphanites are a variant of the Serenitatis melt or represent something distinct. Both aphanitic and poikilitic melts seem to be most consistent with an age of close to 3.87 (+/- 0.02) Ga. A few rare samples of impact melt have distinct chemistry. Other rock and clasts are pristine igneous rocks, including dunite, troctolite, and norite (some of which formed meter-sized clasts or individual boulders), as well as more evolved types including gabbros and felsic/granitic fragments. Feldspathic granulites are common as clasts in the melt matrices (both aphanitic and poikilitic) and occur as a few small individual rocks. Geochronology shows that many of these granulites and pristine igneous rocks date back as far as 4.2 and even 4.5 Ga. The purer soils of the South Massif contain more alumina and only half of the incompatible element budget.
ROCK SAMPLE COLLECTION SITES

Planimetric Map of Station 2

Planimetric Map of Station 3

Not Shown: 2A (LRV-4) 73145, 73146, 73155, 73156
LRV-5 74115 - 74119
of the dominant impact melt rocks, demonstrating that the massifs, representing pre-Serenitatis material, have a component not well represented in the larger collected samples.

Conspicuously absent, and not the "missing" component in the soil, is ferroan anorthosite, common at the Apollo 16 site and widely believed to have formed an early lunar crust.
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**NUMBERING OF APOLLO 17 SAMPLES**

As in previous missions, five digit sample numbers are assigned each rock (coherent material greater than about 1 cm), the unsieved portion and each sieve fraction of scooped <1 cm material, the drill bit and each drill stem and drive tube section and each sample of special characteristics.

The first digit (7) is the mission designation for Apollo 17 (missions prior to Apollo 16 used the first two digits). As with Apollo 15 and 16 numbers, the Apollo 17 numbers are grouped by sampling site. Each group of one thousand numbers applies to an area as follows:

The first numbers for each area were used for drill stems, drive tubes, and the SESC. Drill stem sections and double drive tubes are numbered from the lowermost section upward.

The last digit is used to code sample type, in conformity with the conventions used for Apollo 15 and Apollo 16. Fines from a given documented bag are ascribed numbers according to:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sampling Site</th>
<th>Initial Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LM, ALSEP, SEP, and samples collected between Station 5 and the LM</td>
<td>70000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Station 1A</td>
<td>71000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Station 2 and between it and the LM</td>
<td>72000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Station 3 and between it and Station 2</td>
<td>73000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Station 4 and between it and Station 3</td>
<td>74000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Station 5 and between it and Station 4</td>
<td>75000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Station 6 and between it and the LM</td>
<td>76000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Station 7 and between it and Station 6</td>
<td>77000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Station 8 and between it and Station 7</td>
<td>78000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Station 9 and between it and Station 8</td>
<td>79000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The first numbers for each area were used for drill stems, drive tubes, and the SESC. Drill stem sections and double drive tubes are numbered from the lowermost section upward.

The last digit is used to code sample type, in conformity with the conventions used for Apollo 15 and Apollo 16. Fines from a given documented bag are ascribed numbers according to:
INTRO---xi

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>7WXY0</th>
<th>Unsieved material (usually &lt;1 cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7WXY1</td>
<td>&lt;1 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7WXY2</td>
<td>1-2 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7WXY3</td>
<td>2-4 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7WXY4</td>
<td>4-10 mm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Rocks from a documented bag are numbered 7WXY5 - 7WXY9, usually in order of decreasing size.

Sample number decades were reserved for the contents of each documented bag. In the cases where the number of samples overflowed a decade, the next available decade was used for the overflow. For example, DB 455 contained soil, numbered 71040-71044, and 6 small rocks numbered 71045-71049 and 71075.

Paired soil and rake samples for each sampling area are assigned by centuries starting with 7W500. The soil sample documented bag has the first decade or decades of the century, in conformity with the last digit coding for rocks and fines (as explained above), and the rake sample documented bag uses the following decades. For example, 71500-71509, 71515 were used for the sieve fractions and six rocks from the soil sample in DB 459. Then for the companion rake sample in DB’s 457 and 458, 71520 was used for the soil, which was not sieved, and the 38 >1 cm rake fragments were numbered 71535-71539, 71545-71549, etc., to 71595-71597.

In as much as possible all samples returned loose in a sample collection bag or an ALSRC were numbered in a decade. In the cases in which rocks from several stations were put into a single collection bag however, the soil and rock fragments were assigned a decade number that conforms to the site for the largest or most friable rock. The other rocks in the same bag have numbers for their own site, generally in the second or third decade of the thousand numbers for that site.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Type (a)</th>
<th>Mass grams</th>
<th>Station</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Page #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>72215</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>379.2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Aphanitic impact melt breccia</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72235</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>61.9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Aphanitic impact melt breccia</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72255</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>461.2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Aphanitic impact melt breccia</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72275</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>3640.0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Fragmental polymict breccia</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72315</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>131.4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Micropoikilitic impact melt breccia</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72335</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>108.9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Micropoikilitic impact melt breccia</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72355</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>367.4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Micropoikilitic impact melt breccia</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72375</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Micropoikilitic impact melt breccia</td>
<td>109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72395</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>536.4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Micropoikilitic impact melt breccia</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72415</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>32.3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Cataclastic dunite</td>
<td>127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72416</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Cataclastic dunite</td>
<td>137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72417</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Cataclastic dunite</td>
<td>139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72418</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Cataclastic dunite</td>
<td>147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72435</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>160.6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Micropoikilitic impact melt breccia</td>
<td>149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72505</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Impact melt breccia(?)</td>
<td>161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72535</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>221.4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Microsubophitic impact melt breccia</td>
<td>163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72536</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>52.3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Microsubophitic impact melt breccia</td>
<td>167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72537</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Impact melt breccia(?)</td>
<td>171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72538</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Impact melt breccia(?)</td>
<td>173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72539</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Microsubophitic impact melt breccia</td>
<td>175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72545</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Impact melt breccia(?)</td>
<td>179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72546</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Impact melt breccia(?)</td>
<td>181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72547</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Impact melt breccia(?)</td>
<td>183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72548</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>29.3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Micropoikilitic impact melt breccia</td>
<td>185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72549</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Micropoikilitic impact melt breccia</td>
<td>189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72555</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Impact melt breccia(?)</td>
<td>193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72556</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Impact melt breccia(?)</td>
<td>195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72557</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Impact melt breccia(?)</td>
<td>197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72558</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Micropoikilitic impact melt breccia</td>
<td>199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72559</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>27.8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Granoblastic impactite</td>
<td>203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample</td>
<td>Type (a)</td>
<td>Mass grams</td>
<td>Station</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Page #</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72705</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Impact melt breccia</td>
<td>207</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72736</td>
<td></td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Micropoikilitic impact melt breccia</td>
<td>215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72737</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Impact melt breccia (?)</td>
<td>219</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72738</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Microsubophitic impact melt breccia</td>
<td>221</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73145</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>2A</td>
<td>Impact melt breccia(?)</td>
<td>225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73146</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>2A</td>
<td>Cataclastic troctolitic anorthosite</td>
<td>227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73155</td>
<td></td>
<td>79.3</td>
<td>2A</td>
<td>Impact melt breccia</td>
<td>231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73156</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>2A</td>
<td>Impact melt breccia or granoblastic impactite</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73215</td>
<td></td>
<td>1062.</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Aphanitic impact melt breccia</td>
<td>235</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73216</td>
<td></td>
<td>162.2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Impact melt breccia</td>
<td>237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73217</td>
<td></td>
<td>138.8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Impact melt breccia</td>
<td>277</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73218</td>
<td></td>
<td>39.7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Impact melt breccia</td>
<td>281</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73219</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>High titanium mare basalt</td>
<td>291</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73225</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Impact melt breccia(?)</td>
<td>293</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73235</td>
<td></td>
<td>878.3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Aphanitic impact melt breccia</td>
<td>295</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73245</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Granoblastic impactite(?)</td>
<td>297</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73255</td>
<td></td>
<td>394.1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Aphanitic impact melt breccia</td>
<td>309</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73275</td>
<td></td>
<td>429.6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Micropoikilitic impact melt breccia</td>
<td>311</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73285</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Glass-coated polymict breccia</td>
<td>335</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74115</td>
<td></td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>LRV-5</td>
<td>Friable regolith breccia</td>
<td>343</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74116</td>
<td></td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>LRV-5</td>
<td>Friable regolith breccia</td>
<td>345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74117</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>LRV-5</td>
<td>Friable regolith breccia</td>
<td>347</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74118</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>LRV-5</td>
<td>Friable regolith breccia</td>
<td>349</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74119</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>LRV-5</td>
<td>Friable regolith breccia</td>
<td>351</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) B=sample of boulder  R = rake sample  P= picked from soil in laboratory
Boulder 1 at Station 2 was one of three boulders sampled on the lower slopes of the South Massif. The immediate area is a strewn boulder field about 50 m above the break in slope at the base of the massif, and has a slope of 5° to 10° to the north (Fig. 1). The boulders probably came to rest on the light deposit after rolling from the upper portions of the massif, although none had tracks leading to them. In the field the light blue-gray color of Boulder 1 appeared to match that of blue-gray materials observed near the top of the west portion of the South Massif (Schmitt, 1973). The boulder lay approximately 35 m southwest of the LRV parking spot (Fig. 1).

Boulder 1, Station 2 is a 2 m boulder with a uniquely foliated or layered structure (Fig. 2). It was embedded in the regolith, projecting 1 m above the soil line, with a well-developed fillet about 30 cm high on the uphill side (fillet material was sampled as 72220, 72240 and 72260). The surface of the boulder had five roughly parallel layers, studded with knobs ranging in diameter from 1 to 15 cm, giving the appearance of being highly eroded. The knobs were reported by the crew to be mostly fine-grained clasts eroded from a more friable fine-grained matrix. The crew also reported dark elongate clasts parallel to the layering, but these are not discernable in the photographs.

Some closely spaced shear planes and open cracks cross-cut the boulder normal to the layering. The surface of the boulder is rough and grainy and has a light, spotty patina of the type that develops on friable materials as they constantly shed small particles (Marvin, 1975).

The astronauts took four specimens from three different layers in the southeast face of the boulder (Fig. 2). All four samples are complex polymict breccias, and show that the boulder is unique in several respects other than its morphology. Each of the samples was a prominent feature on the boulder (Marvin, 1974). 72275 stood up in bold relief at the top; 72235 was a black knob from a

Figure 1: Location of Boulders at Station 2. The view is approximately to the south into the South Massif, showing the horizon at the top of the mountain. The distance from the LRV to the farthest boulder is about 50 m. (AS17-138-21072).
lower portion of the same layer; and 72215 and 72255 were gently rounded bulges on two different layers. Most of the studies on all four samples of Boulder 1 were conducted by the Consortium Indomitabile, led by J.A. Wood (see in particular the Consortium Indomitabile reports, Vols. 1 and 2, 1974; and the special issue of The Moon, Vol. 14, #3/4, 1975).

Figure 2: The southeast face of Boulder 1, Station 2 prior to sampling, and showing sampling locations. The foliated/layered morphology of the boulder is clearly visible. The gnomon has a height of 62 cm. (AS17-138-21030).
72215
Aphanitic Impact Melt Breccia
St. 2, 379.2 g

INTRODUCTION

72215 is an aphanitic, clast-rich impact melt that the crew sampled as a rounded clast in Boulder 1 (see section on Boulder 1, St. 2, Fig. 2). Its groundmass crystallized about 3.83 Ga ago. The sample, which is nearly 10 cm long, is irregularly shaped (Fig. 1), tough, and medium light gray [N5-N6]. The exposed surface had many zap pits with glass linings.

72215 proved to be the most coherent of the four samples collected from Boulder 1. It is a fine-grained, foliated and heterogeneous, medium gray polymict breccia. A few of the clasts in 72215 are more than a centimeter across (Fig. 1). The clast population comprises a wide variety of lithic and mineral types. The bulk rock has a low-K Fra Mauro composition that is a little more aluminous and a little less titaniferous than the coarser poikilitic Apollo 17 impact melt rocks. Laser Ar-Ar ages show an age of about 3.83 Ga for the crystallization of the groundmass. Sr isotopes did not equilibrate between melt and even tiny clasts, showing that the high temperature period was very short. Rare gas analyses suggest an exposure age of about 42 Ma.

Most of the studies of 72215 were conducted by the Consortium Indomitabile (leader J.A. Wood).

PETROGRAPHY

Specimen 72215 consists of coherent material, with a rounded knob encrusted with a poikilitic anorthositic breccia at one end (Marvin, 1975; CI 2, 1974). LSPET (1973) described the sample as a layered light gray

Figure 1: South (arbitrary) face of 72215 prior to slabbing. Most of the upper part visible is the freshly broken surface; the lower part visible was exposed and shows patina and zap pits. S-73-23563.
Figure 2: Slab cut from 72215 in 1974. The slab was further subdivided. S-74-21189.

breccia; Simonds et al. (1975) listed it as a fragmental breccia (clast-supported); and Stöffler et al. (1979) and Knoll et al. (1979) included it among their granular crystalline matrix breccias, a product of crystallization of a fragment-laden melt. The most detailed descriptions of the petrography of 72215 are given in Stoeser et al. (in CI 2, 1974) and Ryder et al. (1975), although these refer to the sample as metamorphic rather than impact melt (nonetheless noting the obvious shearing, areas of melting, and fluidity of the sample during the high-temperature phase). That the groundmass texture is that of a melt was recognized later (e.g. James, 1977; Stöffler et al., 1979).

The main mass consists of gray breccia that ranges in color from light chalky to dark sugary gray (Figs. 1, 2). The darker material, which is more coherent and uniform than the rest, appears as an irregular band through the matrix, and as a partial rim on the knob. In thin sections the colors and textures are virtually indistinguishable. Typical matrix is shown in Fig. 3a. It consists of angular to rounded mineral and lithic clasts with a seriate grain-size down to about 20 microns. The host melt material is very fine-grained with pyroxenes and plagioclases less than a few microns across; Simonds et al. (1975) quoted less than 5 microns for both phases in the groundmass. In some places the clasts include obvious dark blobs of essentially similar material (Fig. 3b).

Stoeser et al. (in CI 2, 1974), on the basis of macroscopic observations and a set of thin sections from the slab that traversed the entire sample, subdivided 72215 into seven domains (Fig. 4). Four domains are melt matrix (referred to by Stoeser et al. as dark matrix breccias) and three are cataclastic poikilitic/poikiloblastic feldspathic granulites (referred to by Stoeser et al. as cataclastic granulitic and poikilitic ANT breccias). The latter are essentially large crushed clasts. Most of the sample consists of the melt matrix material.

Domains 1-3: Domains 1 and 2 were distinguished because of megascopic differences, with 1 corresponding with dark sugary gray material and 2 corresponding with light sugary gray material. Domain 3 was distinguished from 2 only because of a hiatus in the sampling. All three are very similar in thin sections, consisting of dark melt breccias with a variety of clasts including globby dark clasts of material similar to the matrix itself. The darkest globs are vesicular. In domain 3 the material to the left of the dashed line (Fig. 4) is denser, darker, and more vesicular than that to the right. Defocused beam microprobe analyses of the matrix domains show that all three are very similar in composition (Table 1).

Domain 5: Domain 5 is darker than the others, and has a more vesicular groundmass. In some thin sections it appears to be continuous with the denser portion of domain 3. It is distinct from the other melt domains in its greater abundance of granitic clasts (Table 2). The defocused beam analyses show that the bulk composition of domain 5 is also distinct in being far more potassic (Table 1). Silicate mineral analyses for domain 5 (Fig. 5) show populations similar to those of other Boulder 1 melt matrices.

Domains 4 and 7, Cataclastic feldspathic granulite: (cataclastic granulitic ANT breccia of Stoeser et al., in CI 2, 1974; and Ryder et al., 1975). Domain 4 consists of brecciated material that is crushed, fine-grained feldspathic granulate, strung out into a lenticular mass (Fig. 4), and mixed to some degree into domains 3 and 5. Following cataclasis, annealing was sufficient to eliminate porosity. The feldspathic granulite is finer-grained and more heterogeneous than the poikilitic variety in domain 6. A
Figure 3: Photomicrographs of 72215. All plane transmitted light, all about 1 mm width of view.

a) 72215,184, typical dense dark groundmass, showing fine grain size of matrix and abundance of small clasts.

b) 72215,193, blobby groundmass in Domain 1.

c) 72215,107, poikilitic feldspathic granulite (lithology GA) and crushed equivalent that is Domain 6.

d) 72215,184, basaltic-textured melt clast (left) and feldspathic breccia (right) in Domain 2 groundmass.
defocused beam microprobe analysis (Table 1) shows that it is also less feldspathic than domain 6, with a lower mg'. The domain 7 granulite is very similar to that of domain 4, and has a sharp contact with domain 6.

Domain 6, Cataclastic poikilitic feldspathic granulite: (cataclastic poikilitic ANT breccia of Stoeser et al., in CI 2, 1974; also Clast 4). Domain 6 consists mainly of a cataclasized, coarse-grained, poikilitic granulite 3 (Fig. 3c). Equidimensional chadacrysts of plagioclase (An90-96) are embedded in pyroxene oikocrysts (En74-77Wo3-5) that are more than 4 mm across. Augite and olivine are present but minor. Some of the plagioclases contain small spherical inclusions of mafic minerals. Modally the granulite is an anorthositic norite, as also shown by the microprobe defocused beam analysis (Table 1). Domain 6 also contains some minor clear brown and finely devitrified glass and finer granulitic material.

Goldstein et al. (1976a,b) analyzed metal and cohenite (Fe,Ni)3C in 72215 melt (from domain 2). They found an equant bleb of kamacite that contained both carbide (cohenite) and residual taenite. The Ni content of the taenite is higher than that in the metal of iron meteorites; the Ni at the alpha/gamma interface indicates equilibration down to about 500 degrees C.

Stoeser et al. (in CI 2, 1974) tabulated a survey of clast populations in the melt domains (Table 2). The populations of each are similar, except that domain 5, which is comparatively darker and more vesicular, has a much higher proportion of granitic clasts. The populations (clasts larger than 0.2 mm) are dominated by feldspathic granulites (~20%), anorthositic breccias (~8%), and plagioclase (including devitrified maskelynite) fragments (~25-40%). Stoeser et al. (1974, in CI 2) reported bulk analyses by microprobe defocused beam for several of these clasts (Table 1b); they show a range of compositions with mg' varying from 0.63 to 0.80. Other lithic fragments include pyroxene, olivine, spinels, and silica phases. Examples of the anorthositic breccia and a basaltic-textured fragment are shown in Fig. 3d. The latter, some of which contain small pink spinels, are probably at least mainly impact melts. Defocused beam analyses show that they are aluminous, olivine-normative fragments (Table 1c).

The granitic clasts in Boulder 1, including those in 72215, were described by Stoeser et al. (1975) and Ryder et al. (1975), with photomicrographs of some clasts. Those in 72215 show the range of petrographic features typical of those elsewhere in the Boulder. They are characterized by their high K2O (6-10%) and SiO2 (70-80%) as shown by defocused beam analyses. Some clasts are glassy, others crystalline, the latter consisting mainly of potash feldspar, silica, plagioclase feldspar, and pyroxenes. Some of those in 72215 show feldspars in the forbidden region of the compositional field (ternary feldspars) (Fig. 6a). Pyroxenes are iron-rich augites and pigeonites.
Figure 5: Compositions of plagioclases (a), olivines (b), and pyroxenes (c,d,e) in domain 5 (from Ryder et al., 1975). Most of these analyses are for mineral clasts, rather than for the tiny melt-crystallized phases.
Table 1: Defocused beam analyses of materials in 72215
(from Stoeser et al., in CI 2, 1974).

a) melt matrices (dark matrix breccias).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1.</th>
<th>2.</th>
<th>3.</th>
<th>4.</th>
<th>5.</th>
<th>6.</th>
<th>7.</th>
<th>8.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WT. % OXIDES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>46.20</td>
<td>48.04</td>
<td>45.05</td>
<td>45.52</td>
<td>46.78</td>
<td>46.75</td>
<td>48.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>18.30</td>
<td>16.86</td>
<td>19.81</td>
<td>17.38</td>
<td>18.31</td>
<td>19.64</td>
<td>18.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cr₂O₃</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FeO</td>
<td>8.39</td>
<td>8.30</td>
<td>7.32</td>
<td>8.40</td>
<td>8.06</td>
<td>8.20</td>
<td>8.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>11.04</td>
<td>13.46</td>
<td>9.71</td>
<td>14.16</td>
<td>11.39</td>
<td>10.54</td>
<td>7.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>12.01</td>
<td>10.80</td>
<td>12.62</td>
<td>11.31</td>
<td>12.10</td>
<td>12.73</td>
<td>11.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>K₂O</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>1.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BaO</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P₂O₅</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>97.74</td>
<td>99.58</td>
<td>96.39</td>
<td>98.74</td>
<td>99.47</td>
<td>99.75</td>
<td>97.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

|      | CIPW NORM | | | | | | |
|------|-----------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
|      | FO        | 5.7  | 5.8  | 5.8  | 13.9 | 7.0  | 5.7  | ---  |
|      | FA        | 3.3  | 2.7  | 3.3  | 6.4  | 4.2  | 3.3  | ---  |
|      | EN        | 20.0 | 25.4 | 16.8 | 16.0 | 18.5 | 18.2 | 18.8 |
|      | FS        | 10.6 | 10.6 | 8.7  | 6.6  | 10.0 | 9.7  | 14.2 |
|      | WO        | 4.7  | 4.1  | 4.5  | 4.5  | 5.1  | 4.7  | 5.0  |
|      | OR        | 1.3  | 1.7  | 1.1  | 1.3  | 1.5  | 1.3  | 6.2  |
|      | AB        | 4.4  | 4.7  | 4.9  | 4.7  | 4.9  | 4.2  | 6.7  |
|      | AN        | 48.1 | 42.8 | 53.0 | 44.9 | 47.0 | 50.9 | 46.2 |
|      | ILM       | 1.1  | 1.5  | 1.2  | 1.2  | 1.1  | 1.3  | 1.3  |
|      | CHR       | 0.2  | 0.2  | 0.2  | 0.2  | 0.3  | 0.2  | 0.1  |
|      | QTS       | ---  | ---  | ---  | ---  | ---  | ---  | 0.6  |
|      | COR       | ---  | ---  | ---  | ---  | ---  | ---  | ---  |
|      | AP        | 0.6  | 0.4  | 0.5  | 0.4  | 0.5  | 0.4  | 0.8  |

|      | COMP. NORM MIN. | | | | | | |
|------|-----------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
|      | OL: FO          | 71.2 | 75.9 | 71.7 | 76.0 | 70.8 | 71.1 | ---  |
|      | PX: EN          | 62.1 | 68.6 | 61.5 | 64.1 | 60.6 | 61.3 | 55.4 |
|      | FS              | 25.1 | 21.8 | 24.3 | 20.3 | 25.0 | 24.9 | 31.9 |
|      | WO              | 12.7 | 9.6  | 14.2 | 15.6 | 14.3 | 13.7 | 12.8 |
|      | PLAG: OR        | 2.3  | 3.4  | 1.8  | 2.4  | 2.7  | 2.3  | 10.4 |
|      | AB              | 8.7  | 10.2 | 8.9  | 9.9  | 9.7  | 7.8  | 12.0 |
|      | AN              | 89.0 | 86.4 | 89.3 | 87.7 | 87.6 | 89.9 | 77.6 |

|      | atomic Mg/(Mg+Fe) | 0.701 | 0.742 | 0.702 | 0.750 | 0.696 | 0.696 | 0.619 |
|      | MgO/(MgO+FeO)     | 0.568 | 0.619 | 0.570 | 0.628 | 0.562 | 0.562 | 0.478 |

|      | No. of analyses  | 14   | 15   | 21   | 11   | 14   | 16   | 15   |
b) feldspathic breccia ("ANT-suite") clasts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1.</th>
<th>2.</th>
<th>3.</th>
<th>4.</th>
<th>5.</th>
<th>6.</th>
<th>7.</th>
<th>8.</th>
<th>9.</th>
<th>10.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>79TCA</td>
<td>79TCA</td>
<td>breccia</td>
<td>vein</td>
<td>breccia</td>
<td>clast</td>
<td>clast</td>
<td>clast</td>
<td>breccia</td>
<td>clast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79C6</td>
<td>79C6</td>
<td>gran.</td>
<td>dom.</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>gran.</td>
<td>dom.</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>poik.</td>
<td>clast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79C7</td>
<td>79C7</td>
<td>poik.</td>
<td>poik.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>clast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79C1</td>
<td>79C1</td>
<td>glass</td>
<td>gran.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>clast</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NT. % OXIDES</th>
<th>SiO₂</th>
<th>TiO₂</th>
<th>Al₂O₃</th>
<th>FeO</th>
<th>MgO</th>
<th>CaO</th>
<th>Na₂O</th>
<th>K₂O</th>
<th>BaO</th>
<th>P₂O₅</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>45.17</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>2.73</td>
<td>16.17</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43.68</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>5.17</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>11.48</td>
<td>13.72</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47.48</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>6.63</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>3.37</td>
<td>14.76</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46.59</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>4.93</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>5.12</td>
<td>15.37</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48.41</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>7.08</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>8.17</td>
<td>13.46</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45.81</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>5.83</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>7.09</td>
<td>14.72</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46.43</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>3.47</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>6.97</td>
<td>15.15</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46.26</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>4.15</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>7.76</td>
<td>14.40</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45.73</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>6.63</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>7.65</td>
<td>15.49</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47.56</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>6.83</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>7.77</td>
<td>13.32</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| TOTAL | 56.77 | 59.49 | 100.89 | 100.59 | 101.37 | 99.36 | 99.74 | 99.06 | 98.93 | 99.39 |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COMP, NORM. MIN.</th>
<th>FO</th>
<th>FA</th>
<th>EN</th>
<th>FS</th>
<th>NO</th>
<th>OR</th>
<th>AN</th>
<th>AN</th>
<th>1M</th>
<th>F₂O₅</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>75.1</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>59.4</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>19.9</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>68.4</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>19.9</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>57.5</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>68.3</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>67.6</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>67.6</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>67.6</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>67.6</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OLI</th>
<th>FO</th>
<th>---</th>
<th>82.9</th>
<th>---</th>
<th>---</th>
<th>70.4</th>
<th>79.6</th>
<th>77.4</th>
<th>76.6</th>
<th>67.1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PK</td>
<td>EN</td>
<td>56.5</td>
<td>42.7</td>
<td>57.5</td>
<td>60.8</td>
<td>63.0</td>
<td>61.2</td>
<td>72.6</td>
<td>72.5</td>
<td>58.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FS</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>30.1</td>
<td>29.1</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>25.9</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>29.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>48.5</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>21.7</td>
<td>11.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLAG</td>
<td>OR</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AB</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>9.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AN</td>
<td>87.1</td>
<td>88.4</td>
<td>89.2</td>
<td>91.0</td>
<td>89.8</td>
<td>91.5</td>
<td>94.2</td>
<td>94.3</td>
<td>92.8</td>
<td>87.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>atomic Mg/(Mg+Fe)</th>
<th>0.704</th>
<th>0.798</th>
<th>0.631</th>
<th>0.650</th>
<th>0.672</th>
<th>0.683</th>
<th>0.781</th>
<th>0.769</th>
<th>0.765</th>
<th>0.670</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MgO/(MgO+FeO)</td>
<td>0.571</td>
<td>0.689</td>
<td>0.490</td>
<td>0.509</td>
<td>0.536</td>
<td>0.548</td>
<td>0.667</td>
<td>0.651</td>
<td>0.646</td>
<td>0.532</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| No. of analyses | 7 | 6 | 14 | 8 | 7 | 15 | 20 | 23 | 8 | 15 |
c) pink spinel troctolite basalts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>wt. % Oxides</th>
<th>Comp. Norm Min.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>48.17</td>
<td>43.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>22.30</td>
<td>18.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr₂O₃</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO</td>
<td>4.73</td>
<td>6.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>9.07</td>
<td>17.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>14.44</td>
<td>11.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂O</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₂O₅</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Total  | 100.11       | 98.71           |

Table 2: Clast populations of 72215 matrices; percentages by volume, in three size categories (from Stoesser et al., in CI 2, 1974).
Figure 6: Compositions of minerals in granitic fragments from 72215 and other Boulder 1 samples. 
a) Plagioclase feldspars. b) Pyroxenes. Ryder et al., 1975.

Spudis and Ryder (1981) showed photomicrographs of clasts in 72215, including granoblastic ones and clasts with accretionary rinds. They noted the differences in clast population of 72215 (and other Boulder 1 samples) from those of the coarser poikilitic melt breccias from the site.

CHEMISTRY

Chemical analyses of bulk melt matrix are reproduced in Table 3, arranged according to sampling domain and description. Rare earths for these analyses are plotted in Fig. 7. Analyses of the poikilitic feldspathic granulite (= anorthositic gabbro, poikilitic ANT breccia, lithology GA, domain 6, and clast 4) are reproduced in Table 4, with the rare earths plotted in Fig. 8. Table 4 also reproduces a partial analysis of an "anorthositic" clast separated from a different area.

Table 3 and Fig. 7 show that all the different colored/textured matrix domains have essentially the same composition. The major elements are in substantial agreement with the compositions determined by microprobe defocused beam analyses (Table 1), except that the latter have slightly lower alumina. Two partial analyses of darker
### Table 3: Chemical analyses of matrices/bulk rock samples of 72215.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Split wt %</th>
<th>64</th>
<th>49</th>
<th>22</th>
<th>49</th>
<th>39</th>
<th>68</th>
<th>66</th>
<th>59</th>
<th>54</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>45.1</td>
<td>46.7</td>
<td>44.9</td>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>0.265</td>
<td>0.243</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>CaO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td>(1) 0.45</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>(2) 0.49</td>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>0.117</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>MgO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₂O₃</td>
<td>9.81</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>Fe₂O₃</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nb₂O₅</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>Nb₂O₅</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂O</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.475</td>
<td>0.524</td>
<td>K₂O</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₂O₅</td>
<td>0.523</td>
<td>0.214</td>
<td>0.235</td>
<td>P₂O₅</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Slab; average matrix (ordinary biota OR) Domains 2,3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Split wt %</th>
<th>64</th>
<th>49</th>
<th>22</th>
<th>49</th>
<th>39</th>
<th>68</th>
<th>66</th>
<th>59</th>
<th>54</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Slab; dark sugary matrix (DSG) Domain 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slab; average matrix (ordinary biota OR) Domains 2,3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

References and methods:
1. Blanchard et al. (1974) AAS, DNAA
2. Huges and Morgan (1975a), Morgan et al. (1975), Horvath et al. (1977); DNAA
3. Paull et al. (1978), XRF, RNAA, DNAA
4. Zonde and Matsumoto (1975), IDMS
5. Compton et al. (1975); XRF, IDMS
6. Ivanovic and Reed (1974, 1975, 1980); DNAA

Notes:
(a) AAS; DNAA = 8.49 g
(b) AAS; DNAA = 8.22 g
(c) AAS; DNAA = 8.37 g
(d) AAS; DNAA = 8.19 g
(e) Poor Th concentration data.
(f) Combined leach and median fractions.
Table 3: Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Split wt %</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>45.1</td>
<td>45.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td>20.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>0.251</td>
<td>0.254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₂O₃</td>
<td>(0.835)</td>
<td>(0.844)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>0.129</td>
<td>0.125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>10.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂O</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>12.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td>0.548</td>
<td>0.564</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂O</td>
<td>0.195</td>
<td>0.253</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₂O₅</td>
<td></td>
<td>P₂O₅</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ppm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sc</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>18.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>7.36</td>
<td>7.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co</td>
<td>31.6</td>
<td>31.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rb</td>
<td>2.83</td>
<td>2.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>2.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>9.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nb</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>9.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cs</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ba</td>
<td>4.871</td>
<td>4.615</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Th</td>
<td>4.871</td>
<td>4.615</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U</td>
<td>1.315</td>
<td>1.290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb</td>
<td>2.936</td>
<td>2.937</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cs</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pr</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>1.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sm</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eu</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>1.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tb</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yb</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>11.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lu</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>1.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nd</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sm</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eu</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gd</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tb</td>
<td>5.34</td>
<td>5.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dy</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>1.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho</td>
<td>4.14</td>
<td>4.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Er</td>
<td>3.92</td>
<td>3.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yb</td>
<td>2.73</td>
<td>2.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lu</td>
<td>3.58</td>
<td>3.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hf</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>1.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ta</td>
<td>2.73</td>
<td>2.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td>3.58</td>
<td>3.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Re</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>1.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Os</td>
<td>2.73</td>
<td>2.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ru</td>
<td>3.58</td>
<td>3.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rh</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>1.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pd</td>
<td>2.73</td>
<td>2.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ag</td>
<td>3.58</td>
<td>3.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cd</td>
<td>1.062</td>
<td>1.066</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sn</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sb</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bi</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hg</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(6)</td>
<td>(6)</td>
<td>(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>(4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Split wt %
Table 3: Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Split wt %</th>
<th>Split wt %</th>
<th>Split wt %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>SiO₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>TiO₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>CaO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>MgO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₂O₃</td>
<td>Fe₂O₃</td>
<td>Fe₂O₃</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td>Na₂O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂O</td>
<td>K₂O</td>
<td>K₂O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₂O₅</td>
<td>P₂O₅</td>
<td>P₂O₅</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>ppm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr</td>
<td>Sr</td>
<td>Sr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ba</td>
<td>Ba</td>
<td>Ba</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La</td>
<td>La</td>
<td>La</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce</td>
<td>Ce</td>
<td>Ce</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nd</td>
<td>Nd</td>
<td>Nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sm</td>
<td>Sm</td>
<td>Sm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eu</td>
<td>Eu</td>
<td>Eu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gd</td>
<td>Gd</td>
<td>Gd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tb</td>
<td>Tb</td>
<td>Tb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dy</td>
<td>Dy</td>
<td>Dy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho</td>
<td>Ho</td>
<td>Ho</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Er</td>
<td>Er</td>
<td>Er</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yb</td>
<td>Yb</td>
<td>Yb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lu</td>
<td>Lu</td>
<td>Lu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cs</td>
<td>Cs</td>
<td>Cs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rb</td>
<td>Rb</td>
<td>Rb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce</td>
<td>Ce</td>
<td>Ce</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nd</td>
<td>Nd</td>
<td>Nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sm</td>
<td>Sm</td>
<td>Sm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eu</td>
<td>Eu</td>
<td>Eu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gd</td>
<td>Gd</td>
<td>Gd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tb</td>
<td>Tb</td>
<td>Tb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dy</td>
<td>Dy</td>
<td>Dy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho</td>
<td>Ho</td>
<td>Ho</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Er</td>
<td>Er</td>
<td>Er</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yb</td>
<td>Yb</td>
<td>Yb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lu</td>
<td>Lu</td>
<td>Lu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cs</td>
<td>Cs</td>
<td>Cs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rb</td>
<td>Rb</td>
<td>Rb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Material picked from 72215,104, from the knob area, differ in having extremely high Rb. Possibly these represent domain 5. The melt matrix compositions are similar to those of petrographically similar materials from the other Boulder 1 samples. They are a low-K Fra Mauro composition, (K₂O ~0.2 - 0.3%), and differ from the coarser poikilitic melts at the site in being slightly more aluminous and less titaniferous. The siderophile element ratios are also distinct from those of these coarser melts; Morgan et al. (1975) placed 72215 along with other Boulder 1 samples in a meteoritic Group 3L, distinct from the common Group 2 at Apollo 17. However, Blanchard et al. (1974, 1975) and Winzer et al. (1975) emphasize the similarity of all the melts at the Apollo 17 site.

The data of Jovanovic and Reed (several publications; see Table 1) include analyses for leach and residue fractions for some elements; these are combined for Table 1. They discuss some of their data as suggesting vapor clouds being responsible for the leachable materials, and with varied parents for the non-leachable materials.

**RADIOGENIC ISOTOPES**

Schaeffer et al. (1982a,b) used laser Ar-Ar techniques to determine ages of clasts and to infer the age of the melt in section 72215,144, providing 16 analyses (Table 5). Most of the ages were for plagioclase and felsite ("feldsparthoid") clasts. The felsite clasts give the youngest ages, averaging 3.83 Ga; the higher ages for the plagioclases range up to 4.02 Ga; some of these plagioclases are in noritic lithic clasts. The age of the felsite clasts, which probably degassed during melting, is the best estimate for the age of the melt groundmass, which is therefore about 3.83 Ga old. (The felsite clasts were preheated to 650 degrees C. The ages are total release, hence K-Ar, of the greater than 650
Table 4: Chemical analyses of poikilitic feldspathic granulate and other anorthositic materials in 72215.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Domain 6 (Clmt 4)</th>
<th>An clast</th>
<th>Split wt %</th>
<th>T6</th>
<th>T8</th>
<th>T18</th>
<th>T54</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>44.7</td>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>27.3</td>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>0.128</td>
<td>CaO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₂O₃</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td>Fe₂O₃</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>7.19</td>
<td>MgO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td>MnO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td>FeO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiO</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td>NiO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td>Cr</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zr</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td>Zr</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ta</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td>Ta</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nb</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td>Nb</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hf</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td>Hf</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td>Ti</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td>V</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td>Co</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td>Cu</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td>Zn</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ga</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td>Ga</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ge</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td>Ge</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>As</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td>As</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Se</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td>Se</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Br</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td>Br</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td>Au</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ag</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td>Ag</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cd</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td>Cd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hg</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td>Hg</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td>Pb</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bi</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td>Bi</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

References:
(1) Blanchard et al. (1974), AAS, INAA
(2) Hiroshi and Morgan (1975a), Morgan et al. (1975), Herngren et al. (1977); INAA
(3) Jovanovic and Read (1974, 1974a,b,c,d,1980); INAA
(4) Compton et al. (1975); IIMS

Notes:
(a) AAS; INAA = 4.59%
(b) Combined mineral and leach fractions
Table 5: Laser microprobe data for materials in 72215,144.
Recalculated from Schaeffer et al. (1982a,b).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>K%</th>
<th>Ca%</th>
<th>Ar40/39</th>
<th>Age Ga</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K-spar*</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>35.85 +/-0.49</td>
<td>3.905 +/-0.040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plag</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>35.68 0.72</td>
<td>3.847 .039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plag</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>36.82 0.34</td>
<td>3.897 .027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plag</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>38.06 0.88</td>
<td>3.949 .044</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plag</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>39.85 0.91</td>
<td>4.022 .043</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plag</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>36.14 2.26</td>
<td>3.867 .103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plag/comp</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>36.56 0.61</td>
<td>3.885 .035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyroxene</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>30.49 1.17</td>
<td>3.602 .064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matrix</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>32.98 0.33</td>
<td>3.723 .027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Felsite*</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
<td>34.66 0.99</td>
<td>3.847 .042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Felsite*</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
<td>31.10 0.75</td>
<td>3.682 .050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Felsite*</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
<td>35.03 0.60</td>
<td>3.868 .043</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Felsite*</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
<td>35.40 1.80</td>
<td>3.885 .088</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Felsite*</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
<td>33.77 1.30</td>
<td>3.810 .070</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Felsite*</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
<td>34.29 0.55</td>
<td>3.835 .041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Felsite*</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
<td>35.76 0.80</td>
<td>3.899 .050</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Samples degassed at 225 degrees centigrade during bakeout after sample loading)

* = preheated at 650 degrees centigrade

Table 6: Rb-Sr isotopic data for samples from 72215
(Compston et al., 1975).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Mass mg</th>
<th>Rb ppm</th>
<th>Sr ppm</th>
<th>87Rb/86Sr</th>
<th>87Sr/86Sr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.54 gray</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>5.02</td>
<td>139.1</td>
<td>0.1042</td>
<td>0.70572 +/-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.54 anorth</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>164.6</td>
<td>0.01514</td>
<td>0.70006 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.104 gray</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>4.05</td>
<td>149.7</td>
<td>0.0782</td>
<td>0.70424 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.104 dark</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>44.19</td>
<td>162.2</td>
<td>0.7893</td>
<td>0.74534 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gray A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.104 dark</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>43.98</td>
<td>161.0</td>
<td>0.7915</td>
<td>0.74513 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gray B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 7a: Concentrations of U, Th, and Pb in 72215 samples (Nunes and Tatsumoto, 1975).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Run</th>
<th>Weight (mg)</th>
<th>Concentrations</th>
<th>222Th/232U</th>
<th>232U/208Pb</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>U</td>
<td>Th</td>
<td>Pb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72215.15</td>
<td>Dark clay (GCBx)</td>
<td>C1</td>
<td>46.1</td>
<td>1.408</td>
<td>4.633</td>
<td>2.987</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(4.633)</td>
<td>(3.40)</td>
<td>2801</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72215.15</td>
<td>Light-gray breccia (GCBx)</td>
<td>C1</td>
<td>46.0</td>
<td>1.232</td>
<td>4.081</td>
<td>2.464</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(4.081)</td>
<td>(3.42)</td>
<td>3227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72215.51</td>
<td>Sugary dark gray breccia (GCBx)</td>
<td>C1</td>
<td>48.8</td>
<td>1.316</td>
<td>4.871</td>
<td>2.936</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(4.871)</td>
<td>3.82</td>
<td>2069</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72215.68</td>
<td>Ordinary breccia (GCBx)</td>
<td>C1</td>
<td>55.0</td>
<td>1.272</td>
<td>4.656</td>
<td>2.658</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(4.656)</td>
<td>3.78</td>
<td>1010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72215.39</td>
<td>Ordinary breccia (GCBx)</td>
<td>C1</td>
<td>41.3</td>
<td>1.023</td>
<td>3.768</td>
<td>2.122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(3.768)</td>
<td>3.81</td>
<td>3322</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72275.170</td>
<td>Pigeonite basalt (PB)</td>
<td>C1</td>
<td>38.6</td>
<td>1.635</td>
<td>6.255</td>
<td>3.047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(6.255)</td>
<td>3.95</td>
<td>3045</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- a Totally spiked sample data; other data were spiked after solution aliquoting.
- b Underspiking and uncertainty in the sample 208Pb/208Pb yielded poor Pb concentration data.
- Data in parentheses uncertain owing to poor Th concentration data.

All 72215 samples are competent breccias with colors ranging from black to light-gray.

---

Table 7b: Isotopic composition of Pb in 72215 samples (Nunes and Tatsumoto, 1975).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Run</th>
<th>Weight (mg)</th>
<th>Observed Ratios</th>
<th>Corrected for Analytical Blank</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pb</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72215.15</td>
<td>Dark clay (GCBx)</td>
<td>C1</td>
<td>46.1</td>
<td>402</td>
<td>786.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(786.6)</td>
<td>(1365)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72215.15</td>
<td>Light-gray breccia (GCBx)</td>
<td>C1</td>
<td>46.0</td>
<td>1593</td>
<td>857.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(857.3)</td>
<td>(1545)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72215.51</td>
<td>Sugary dark gray breccia (GCBx)</td>
<td>C1</td>
<td>47.3</td>
<td>505.7</td>
<td>259.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(259.2)</td>
<td>(491.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72215.68</td>
<td>Ordinary breccia (GCBx)</td>
<td>C1</td>
<td>48.8</td>
<td>1010</td>
<td>580.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(580.1)</td>
<td>(2094)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72215.39</td>
<td>Ordinary breccia (GCBx)</td>
<td>C1</td>
<td>37.2</td>
<td>933.0</td>
<td>514.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(514.2)</td>
<td>(902.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72215.39</td>
<td>Light-gray breccia (GCBx)</td>
<td>C1</td>
<td>101.8</td>
<td>1716</td>
<td>493.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(493.5)</td>
<td>(2111)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- P composition run; C concentration run; (GCBx) = gray competent breccia; (PB) = pigeonite basalt.
- Pb blanks ranged from 1.4 to 2.1 ng for the solution aliquoted data and were 1.01 ng for the totally spiked data.
- Data in parentheses subject to extreme error owing to Pb blank uncertainty.

All 72215 samples are competent breccias with colors ranging from black to light-gray.
Table 7c: Age parameters and single-stage ages for 72215 samples (Nunes and Tatsumoto, 1975).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Run</th>
<th>Rb/Sr corrected for blank and primordial Pb</th>
<th>Single-stage ages / 10^9 yr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>72215.15</td>
<td>Dark clast</td>
<td>C1</td>
<td>0.9726</td>
<td>76.73 0.5373 0.2140 4310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>C1</td>
<td>0.9713</td>
<td>76.70 0.5377 0.2135 4313</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72215.15</td>
<td>Light-gray breccia</td>
<td>C1</td>
<td>0.9344</td>
<td>67.22 0.5218 0.2612 4253</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>C1</td>
<td>0.9217</td>
<td>67.13 0.5226 0.2654 4264</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72215.51</td>
<td>Slightly dark gray breccia</td>
<td>C1</td>
<td>0.9685</td>
<td>78.47 0.5699 0.2460 4404</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>C1</td>
<td>1.008</td>
<td>79.14 0.5696 0.2493 4453</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72215.69</td>
<td>Ordinary breccia</td>
<td>GCBx</td>
<td>0.9179</td>
<td>66.09 0.5162 0.2434 4214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72215.39</td>
<td>Ordinary breccia</td>
<td>GCBx</td>
<td>0.9541</td>
<td>67.22 0.5214 0.2197 4256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72215.39</td>
<td>Light-gray breccia</td>
<td>GCBx</td>
<td>0.9541</td>
<td>67.22 0.5214 0.2197 4256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72215.130</td>
<td>Pigeonite breccia</td>
<td>PB</td>
<td>0.8750</td>
<td>55.00 0.6547 0.2218 4061</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72215.130</td>
<td></td>
<td>PB</td>
<td>0.8747</td>
<td>54.82 0.6545 0.2218 4061</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Concentrations determined from totally spiking a separate sample. Concentration and composition splits were divided from perfect solutions prior to spiking for all other analyses.

All 72215 samples are competent breccias with colors ranging from black to light-gray. P composition run; C concentration run; GCBx gray competent breccia; PB pigeonite basalt.

---

**Figure 8:** Rare earth element plot for poikilitic feldspathic granulite in 72215. Blanchard et al. (1975).

**Figure 9:** Mixing line defined by 72215.104 dark gray and other samples. The mixing line determines the age of the microgranite, which dominates 72215.104 dark gray, because the microgranites are so radiogenic. The 4.63 Ga age is calculated using the "old" Rb decay constants; using the constant of 1.42 x 10^-11/yr gives 3.95 Ga.
EXPOSURE AGES

Leich et al. (1975) measured the isotopic compositions of the rare gases He, Ne, Ar, Kr, and Xe in three matrix samples from 72215. Trapped gas abundances are very low, with only small to negligible solar wind components. The cosmogenic Kr isotopic spectra give an exposure age of 41.4 +/- 1.4 Ma, in good agreement with that of 72255. It is lower than the exposure age of 72275 (52 Ma), probably because of differences in shielding.

PHYSICAL PROPERTIES

Magnetic data for 72215 samples were reported by Banerjee and Swits (1975) and Banerjee and Mellema (1976a,b,c), with the aim of determining paleointensity (Table 8). The average direction of NRM was the same as that in 72255 and 72275, while the stable components differed in direction. The Shaw method suggests an averaged large field of 0.41 Oe at 4.0 Ga at Taurus-Littrow. This is similar to the size of the field determined from carbonaceous chondrites: the authors suggest a field of solar origin. Cisowski et al. (1977) noted that 72215 did not have hysteresis characterization available, and did not have the minimal requirements of a single phase NRM. Thus, they did not accept the paleointensity measurement as meaningful.

Adams and Charette (1975) and Charette and Adams (1977) obtained reflectance spectra for chips and powders of 72215 (Fig. 11). All the samples have absorption bands near 0.9 and 1.9 microns, from Fe$^{2+}$ in orthopyroxene. The anorthositic gabbro curve (poikilitic feldspathic granulite), 72215,101, is characterized by deep pyroxene and plagioclase Fe$^{2+}$ bands; a high left shoulder at 0.7 microns relative to right shoulder at 1.1 microns; and the absence of any absorption feature near 0.6 microns. The lighter breccias differ from the darker ones, with the latter (.58) having weaker pyroxene and plagioclase bands, more-nearly equal shoulders, and a flatter slope of the continuum.

PROCESSING

The details of the processing of 72215 were given by Marvin in Cl 2 (1974), with detailed allocation information. During PET in 1973 a documented chip was used for thin sections, and others were later taken for varied purposes. Marvin and Agrell made detailed surface maps (July 1973). A single saw cut was planned to section all features of interest across the foliation; during sawing (1974) the knob broke from the main slab, producing several subsamples (Fig. 2). Two thin subslabs were cut through the original slab, and devoted to thin sections (Fig. 12).
Table 8: Paleointensity determinations for 72215 samples (Banerjee and Mellema, 1976)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Number of determinations</th>
<th>H (Oersted)</th>
<th>s.d</th>
<th>Range (Oersted)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>.56</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.74-0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.46</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.60-0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.93</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.37-0.18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 11: Spectral reflectance diagrams for 72215 samples (Adams and Charette, 1975).
.101 is poikilitic feldspathic granulite (anorthositic gabbro); .45 and .90 are light to medium-gray matrix; .58 is dark matrix; and .63 is matrix intermediate to dark and light.

Figure 12: Dissection of the original slab to show the source of the thin section transect (.31; .36; .34) and other sample numbers (from Marvin, Cl 2, 1974).
72235
Aphanitic Impact Melt Breccia
St. 2, 61.9 g

INTRODUCTION

72235 is an aphanitic, clast-rich impact melt interlayered with feldspathic clast material that was sampled as a resistant knob on Boulder 1 (see section on Boulder 1, St. 2, Fig. 2). The knob has a patch of adhering medium light-gray [N6-N7] friable matrix similar to 72275 (Fig. 1). 72235 was given the name "Dying Dog" during processing. The knob is about 4 cm across and angular; its dark gray [N3] and very light gray [N8] materials are tough. The exposed surface is brownish gray with a few zap pits.

The dark and light layers of the knob appear to have been crushed and fluidized; veinlets of dark material intrude white layers, and veinlets of white layers intrude the dark material. The dark material is fine-grained, almost glassy looking, impact melt with numerous clasts. The light material consists of feldspathic granulites, other feldspathic breccias, and other lithic types including plutonic KREEP norite. The aphanitic melt appears to be a low-K Fra Mauro composition, contaminated with meteoritic material. No isotopic or exposure studies have been conducted.

Most of the studies of 72235 were conducted by the Consortium Indomitabile (leader J.A. Wood). A slab was cut perpendicular to the layering in the knob for comprehensive petrographic and chemical study (Fig. 2). Detailed maps of the exterior surfaces and the slab based on macroscopic observations, as well as descriptions of the sample allocations, were given in Stoeser et al. (in CI 2, 1974).

PETROGRAPHY

72235 consists of a coherent knob of interlayered dark gray-to-black and white breccias, with a piece of adhering light-gray friable matrix similar to that of 72275 (Marvin, 1975; CI 2, 1974). LSPET (1973) described 72235 as a layered light-gray breccia. Simonds et al. (1974) listed it as a fragmental breccia (clast-supported). The most detailed descriptions of the petrography of 72235 are given in Stoeser et al. (in CI 2, 1974) and Ryder et al. (1975). When 72235 broke from Boulder 1, it proved to be a clast of roughly layered gray and white breccias almost wholly enclosed within a gray-black, aphanitic rind. Marvin (1975) described the dark and light layers as appearing to have been crushed and fluidized under confining pressure, with the two phases mutually intrusive. The rind varies

Figure 1: Bottom (arbitrary) face of 72235 prior to slabbing. The topmost visible part was exposed and has patina and zap pits; the remainder is freshly broken. Scale in centimeters. S-73-23589 B.
Figure 2: Cutting of 72235 in 1974. 13 and 11 are end pieces; 6, 14, and 15 are matrix pieces that broke away; 16 is the slab piece. Apart from a thin section from 6, all further subdivisions and allocations were made from 16. Scale in centimeters. S-74-20429.

in thickness from less than 1 mm to 5 mm.

The adhering light-gray friable breccia contains numerous angular fragments of aphanitic gray material and a few yellowish patches that are possibly pigeonite basalt. In thin sections it varies from very fine-grained and porous to blobby (Figs. 3a and b). It contains a variety of lithic and mineral fragments but no pigeonite basalts occur in the sections.

In the hand specimen the rind looks markedly darker and more vitreous than any of the interior layers. Macroscopically it appeared as an annealed breccia with several percent small angular inclusions. The white layers appeared to be "cataclastic gabbroic anorthosite" with 10 to 20% yellow and brown mafic crystals plus traces of metal and troilite. The white layers include a few dark gray aphanitic clasts and at least two prominent holocrystalline lithic clasts of coarse mafic silicates.

The set of thin sections from the slab revealed that the central clast is composed of a number of different breccias with a complex structural history. Stoeser et al. (in Cl 2, 1974) divided it into 6 domains (Fig. 4).

Domain 1—anorthositic polymict breccia.
Domain 2—dense dark matrix breccia (impact melt).
Domain 3—white feldspathic granulite breccia.
Domain 4—"core" polymict breccia.
Domain 5—monomict noritic anorthosite breccia.
Domain 6—dark matrix breccia (impact melt).

Domains 2 and 6 correspond with the rim, and domain 1 lies outside these domains. Domains 3 to 5 are the interior of the knob.

Domain 1: Domain 1 is a feldspathic polymict breccia consisting almost entirely of unshocked mineral fragments of plagioclase and pyroxene, with some fragments of dark melt material and feldspathic granulite. The monomineralic fragments appear to be the crushed remnants of an anorthositic lithology with an average grain size greater than 100 microns and with 10 to 20% mafic silicates. The contact between domains 1 and 2 is sharp.

Domain 2: The rind, domain 2, is the darkest of the melt breccias in 72235, and the least porous. It contains few clasts larger than 0.5 mm, and is poorer in clasts than the other domains (Fig. 3c). A defocused beam analysis indicates its general low-K Fra Mauro composition (Table 1, col. 8) and similarity to other Boulder 1 melts.

Domain 3: Domain 3 is a monomict breccia consisting of fragments of feldspathic granulite in a crushed matrix of itself (Fig. 3d) with a porosity of about 10%. It is similar to other granulites in Boulder 1 except that it appears to contain more ilmenite. Defocused beam analyses indicate that it is chemically similar to domain 5 (Table 2).

Domain 4: The core of 72235 is a complicated polymict breccia consisting of lithic clasts (including melt breccias and feldspathic granulites) and mineral fragments that are crushed and intermixed. The core appears to have been formed in a turbulent environment. Some of the melt breccia clasts are very dark and somewhat vesicular. Defocused beam analyses of such materials show the common low-K Fra Mauro composition (Table 1, cols. 9 and 10), although the core appears to have more titanium.

Domain 5: Domain 5 is a narrow zone (Fig. 4) of monomict breccia containing no polymineralic fragments. The parent consisted of about 75% plagioclase and 25% pyroxene, with small amounts of
Figure 3: Photomicrographs of 72235; all plane transmitted light, all about 1 mm width of view. a) 72235,9 crushed fragmental fine matrix, dominantly mineral fragments.

Figure 3b: 72235,86 blobby fragmental matrix showing dark melt blobs and mineral fragments.

Figure 3c: 72235,59 rind (domain 2) of knobby clast.

Figure 3d: 72235,61 cataclastic feldspathic granulite (domain 3).
pink spinel. A defocused beam analysis (Table 2, col. 12) shows that it is very similar to domain 3 feldspathic granulite.

**Domain 6:** The rind represented by domain 6 is similar to that of domain 2 except that it is a little lighter in color, contains larger clasts, and is slightly more porous.

Stoeser *et al.* (in CI 2, 1974) reported a survey of clast populations in the 72235 melt phases (dark matrix breccias; domains 2, 4, and 6) (Table 3) for clasts larger than 200 microns. Few clasts exceed 1 mm. Compositions of olivines are shown in Fig. 5. The clasts in the melts are dominated by feldspathic materials (varied feldspathic breccias and plagioclase fragments), but there are significant contributions from basaltic (mainly impact melts?) and granitic fragments (see also Spudis and Ryder, 1981). The basaltic fragments include olivine-normative pigeonite-bearing basalts and "troctolitic" fragments bearing pink spinels. Defocused beam analyses of these basaltic fragments are in Table 4. Most of the olivine-normative pigeonite basalts in the boulder are from 72235, and the mineral data given in Fig. 6a are mainly from 72235. The granites were described by Stoeser *et al.* (1975) and Ryder *et al.* (1975); some mineral data for the granites is given in the catalog section on 72215. They include glassy and holocrystalline varieties.

A distinctive clast in 72235 was a 3 mm fragment of KREEP norite (Fig. 3e) that was yellowish-brown and embedded in feldspathic material. It was recognized macroscopically as distinct, with coarse anhedral brown pyroxene and gray translucent plagioclase (Stoeser *et al.*, in CI 2, 1974). Thin sections show that the KREEP norite consists of equal amounts of plagioclase and pyroxene, with about 1% accessory minerals that are mainly ilmenite and troilite, with a trace of phosphate. The silicate grains occur in interlocking domains up to 1 mm diameter. The compositions of silicate minerals are shown in Fig. 6b. The Or component of the plagioclase is uncommonly high.

Stoeser *et al.* (in CI 2, 1974) note that the textural relations of the materials in 72235 are inconsistent with simple plastersing on of a rind to anorthositic material in flight. Both lithologies were fluidized and intermixed with rotation. The origin is somewhat complex.

**CHEMISTRY**

Chemical analyses for matrix and clast samples are given in Tables 5 and 6, with the rare earths diagrammed in Fig. 7. None of the matrix samples in Table 5 are pure impact melt, but are mixtures of melt and white clast material. .46 and .48 are the domain 4 polymict breccia from the interior of the clast; 11 is the entire end-piece consisting of all the domains. The chemistry is consistent with a mixture of melts similar to those in 72215 and 72255 (as suggested by the defocused beam analyses in Table 1) with dominantly feldspathic granulites. The analysed materials are obviously contaminated with meteoritic siderophiles. The anorthositic breccia (Table 6) is the more pure material of domain 3, feldspathic granulite; it too is obviously contaminated with meteoritic siderophiles. It is far less enriched in incompatible elements than is the bulk rock (Fig. 7).

Higuchi and Morgan (1975) and Morgan *et al.* (1975) placed the meteoritic signature of 72235 in a group 3L with samples from 72215, and distinct from 72235 and 72275. They suggest a heterogeneous impacting body (they assume the siderophiles are derived from a single impacting body).

**PROCESSING**

72235 was left intact during PET, and was mapped in May, 1973. A chip of dark aphanitic material fell off and was mainly used for thin sections. In 1974 a 1.5 cm thick slab was cut from the center of the large clast, after a chunk of the friable matrix broke away. The main cutting is shown in Fig. 2. The slab .16 was cut into 3 pieces (Fig. 8). .28 was used for thin sections, and .29 for other allocations. The remaining piece .16 was not allocated.
Figure 4: Photograph and sketch map of thin section 72235,59 which is a complete section through the 72235 knob. The circled numbers on the sketch map correspond with the domains. Dark matrix (melt) materials are indicated by barbed lines. From Stoeser et al. (in CI 2, 1974).
Table 1: Microprobe defocused beam analyses of dark matrix materials in 72235 (from Stoeser et al., in CI 2, 1974).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Oxide</th>
<th>WT. %</th>
<th>OXIDES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>45.68</td>
<td>46.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>2.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>21.15</td>
<td>20.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr₂O₃</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO</td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>8.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>8.92</td>
<td>8.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>12.19</td>
<td>12.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂O</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BaO</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₂O₅</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>97.98</td>
<td>100.17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CIPW NORM

| FO    | 3.6   | 1.8    |
| FA    | 2.4   | 1.1    |
| EN    | 17.6  | 19.8   |
| FS    | 10.7  | 10.7   |
| WO    | 1.8   | 3.2    |
| OR    | 1.5   | 2.1    |
| AB    | 4.3   | 5.8    |
| AN    | 55.9  | 50.7   |
| ILM   | 1.3   | 3.9    |
| CHR   | 0.3   | 0.4    |
| QTZ   | ---   | ---    |
| CDR   | ---   | ---    |
| AP    | 0.5   | 0.7    |

COMP. NORM MIN.

| OL:   | FO    | 68.3 | 70.8 |
| FA:   | 17.6  | 64.5 |
| EN:   | 29.9  | 26.6 |
| FS:   | 5.7   | 8.9  |
| WO:   | 2.4   | 3.5  |
| OR:   | 7.3   | 10.4 |
| AB:   | 90.2  | 86.1 |
| AN:   | 0.666 | 0.655 |

No. of analyses 27

Table 2: Microprobe defocused beam analyses of feldspathic interior materials in 72235 (from Stoeser et al., in CI 2, 1974).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Oxide</th>
<th>WT. %</th>
<th>OXIDES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>40.43</td>
<td>41.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>26.98</td>
<td>27.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr₂O₃</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>3.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>15.70</td>
<td>11.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂O</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₂O₅</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>92.23</td>
<td>94.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CIPW NORM

| FO    | 8.5  |
| FA    | 4.4  |
| EN    | 3.6  |
| FS    | 1.7  |
| WO    | ---  |
| OR    | 0.4  |
| AB    | 3.6  |
| AN    | 77.7 |
| ILM   | 0.3  |
| CHR   | 0.1  |
| QTZ   | ---  |
| CDR   | 0.1  |
| AP    | 0.1  |

COMP. NORM MIN.

| OL:   | FO    | 73.7 | 69.8 |
| FA:   | 73.7  | 64.8 |
| EN:   | 26.3  | 28.0 |
| FS:   | 2.0   | 2.0  |
| OR:   | 0.5   | 0.7  |
| AB:   | 4.7   | 4.6  |
| AN:   | 94.9  | 94.7 |

atomic Mg/(Mg+Fe) 0.732 0.690
MgO/(MgO+FeO) 0.604 0.557
No. of analyses 22 21
Table 3: Clast populations of 72235 dark matrix materials. Percentages by volume in three size categories. (From Stoeser et al., in Cl 2, 1974).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0.2-0.5 mm</th>
<th>0.5-1.0 mm</th>
<th>1.0 mm</th>
<th>TOTALS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>ANT suite</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANT breccias</td>
<td>(46.4)</td>
<td>(6.6)</td>
<td>(0.2)</td>
<td>(55.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mafic</td>
<td>(17.5)</td>
<td>(3.1)</td>
<td></td>
<td>(20.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gabbroic</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>anorthositic</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Granulitic ANT</td>
<td>(24.8)</td>
<td>(4.3)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(29.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gabbroic</td>
<td>22.9</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>27.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>anorthositic</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poikiloblastic ANT</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poikilitic ANT</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coarse ANT</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unclassified ANT</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ultradafic particles</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basalts</td>
<td>(1.8)</td>
<td>(0.6)</td>
<td>(0.6)</td>
<td>(3.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ol.-norm. pig. bas.</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pink sp. troct. bas.</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unclassified</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microgranites</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Civet Cat norite</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KREEP norite</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Devitrified maskelynite</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glassy clasts</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mineral fragments</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plagioclase</td>
<td>(19.8)</td>
<td>(0.4)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(20.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olivine</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyroxene</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL %**  
87.4  11.6  1.0  100.0

**NO. OF CLASTS**  
450  58  5  513
Table 4: Microprobe defocused beam analyses of basaltic textured particles in 72235. Cols. 1-5 are olivine-normative pigeonite basalts; col. 6 is a pink spinel-bearing troctolitic basalt. (From Stoeser et al., in CI 2, 1974).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1.</th>
<th>2.</th>
<th>3.</th>
<th>4.</th>
<th>5.</th>
<th>6.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>803C1</td>
<td>803C4</td>
<td>803C7</td>
<td>803C9</td>
<td>803C10</td>
<td>803C5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>quench ol-pheno. ol-pheno. equigran. suboph. basalt basalt</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WT. % OXIDES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>48.04</td>
<td>46.92</td>
<td>46.17</td>
<td>45.47</td>
<td>45.37</td>
<td>41.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>12.26</td>
<td>11.52</td>
<td>10.99</td>
<td>11.03</td>
<td>14.73</td>
<td>22.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr₂O₃</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO</td>
<td>15.02</td>
<td>16.51</td>
<td>14.89</td>
<td>16.97</td>
<td>12.75</td>
<td>5.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>9.25</td>
<td>13.29</td>
<td>11.91</td>
<td>13.17</td>
<td>10.58</td>
<td>12.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>12.17</td>
<td>10.26</td>
<td>10.42</td>
<td>10.22</td>
<td>11.45</td>
<td>12.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂O</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BaO</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₂O₅</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>98.98</td>
<td>101.12</td>
<td>95.75</td>
<td>98.46</td>
<td>96.51</td>
<td>97.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIPW NORM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FO</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>23.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FA</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EN</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>24.3</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>21.9</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FS</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>22.1</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MO</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OR</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AB</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AN</td>
<td>29.4</td>
<td>30.4</td>
<td>29.7</td>
<td>29.7</td>
<td>40.8</td>
<td>60.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ILM</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHR</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QTZ</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COR</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AP</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMP. NORM MIN.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL: FO</td>
<td>52.7</td>
<td>60.5</td>
<td>59.0</td>
<td>58.7</td>
<td>60.3</td>
<td>82.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PX: EN</td>
<td>39.5</td>
<td>51.2</td>
<td>48.7</td>
<td>48.0</td>
<td>51.2</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FS</td>
<td>35.4</td>
<td>33.4</td>
<td>33.7</td>
<td>33.9</td>
<td>33.7</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MO</td>
<td>25.1</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLAG: OR</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AB</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AN</td>
<td>77.1</td>
<td>95.5</td>
<td>90.1</td>
<td>94.7</td>
<td>96.0</td>
<td>91.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>atomic Mg/(Mg+Fe)</td>
<td>0.526</td>
<td>0.589</td>
<td>0.588</td>
<td>0.580</td>
<td>0.596</td>
<td>0.801</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO/(MgO+FeO)</td>
<td>0.381</td>
<td>0.446</td>
<td>0.444</td>
<td>0.437</td>
<td>0.453</td>
<td>0.693</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of analyses</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 5: Chemistry of polymict materials of 72235.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Split wt %</th>
<th>SiO₂</th>
<th>TiO₂</th>
<th>Al₂O₃</th>
<th>Cr₂O₃</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>46.6</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>23.1</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Na₂O</th>
<th>MgO</th>
<th>K₂O</th>
<th>FeO</th>
<th>CaO</th>
<th>MnO</th>
<th>MgO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7.28</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>7.28</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>9.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NbO₂</th>
<th>Fe₂O₃</th>
<th>SO₄</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.314</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6: Chemistry of feldspathic granulite (domain 3) interior clast material from 72235.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Split wt %</th>
<th>SiO₂</th>
<th>TiO₂</th>
<th>Al₂O₃</th>
<th>Cr₂O₃</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>46.6</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>23.1</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Na₂O</th>
<th>MgO</th>
<th>K₂O</th>
<th>FeO</th>
<th>CaO</th>
<th>MnO</th>
<th>MgO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7.28</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>7.28</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>9.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NbO₂</th>
<th>Fe₂O₃</th>
<th>SO₄</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.314</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

References and methods:
(1) Blanchard et al. (1975); INAA, AAS - also in C.I. (2)
(2) Trushin et al. (1975); p ray
(3) Nigbor and Meyer (1975); RNAA
Meyer et al. (1975)

References and methods:
(1) Blanchard et al. (1975); INAA, AAS - also in C.I. (2)
(2) Nigbor and Meyer (1975); RNAA
Meyer et al. (1975)
Figure 5: Compositions of olivine in the dark matrix material of 72235. From Ryder et al. (1975).

Figure 6: a) Olivine, plagioclase, and pyroxene compositions for olivine-normative pigeonite basalts, mainly from 72235. b) Plagioclase and pyroxene compositions from the KREEP norite clast in 72235. From Ryder et al. (1975).
Figure 7: Rare earth elements in polymict breccia (solid line) and feldspathic granulite (dashed line) in 72235. All data from Blanchard et al. (1975).

Figure 8: Diagram of cutting of slab and subdivision of slab from 72235 in 1974.
INTRODUCTION

72255 is an aphanitic, clast-rich impact melt that was a rounded mass or bulge on Boulder 1 (see section on Boulder 1, St. 2, Fig. 2). It may have been part of a single large clast in the boulder (Marvin, 1975a). Its groundmass crystallized about 3.8 Ga ago. The sample, slightly more than 10 cm long but only 2.5 cm wide, is subrounded on all faces except for the freshly broken interior (Fig. 1). 72255 is moderately coherent, heterogeneous, and polymict, with color varying from medium light gray [N6] to light gray [N5]. The exposed surfaces show a firm dark patina with some zap pits (Fig. 2).

72255 is superficially similar to 72275, but is more coherent. It is fine-grained and heterogeneous, with prominent clasts and a zone rich in chalky white lenses and stringers. The most prominent clast in Fig. 1 is the Civet Cat norite, a 2-cm cataclastic fragment with a relic plutonic texture and a probable crystallization age of 4.12 Ga. Other clasts include aphanitic melt blobs and fragments, anorthositic breccias, feldspathic granulites, basaltic/troctolitic impact melts, and granites. The melt groundmass has a low-K Fra Mauro composition similar to others in the boulder. Rare gas analyses show an exposure age of about 43 m.y.

Most of the studies of 72255 were conducted by the Consortium Indomitable (leader J.A. Wood). A
Figure 2: Slab cut from 72255 (center). Lower is west end, upper is main mass, showing exterior patina, and location of other chips. The slab was further dissected. Scale in cm. S-73-32620.

slab was cut across the sample to include the Civet Cat clast (Figs. 1, 2), providing samples for comprehensive petrographic, chemical, and isotopic studies. Detailed maps of the exterior surfaces and the slab based on macroscopic observations, as well as descriptions of the sample allocations, are in Marvin (in CI 1, 1974).

PETROGRAPHY

Specimen 72255 consists of coherent material that is dominantly a dark matrix breccia (Marvin, in CI 1, 1974; 1975a; Stoesser et al., in CI 1, 1974; 1974a,b; Ryder et al., 1975b). Stoesser et al. (1974a) suggested about 60% matrix, although what constituted matrix was not clearly defined; it was described as "small monomineralic and lithic clasts in a finely recrystallized submatrix". The dark breccia material is polymict, with a groundmass that is a fine-grained impact melt (e.g. James, 1977; Spudis and Ryder, 1981). LSPET (1973) listed the sample as a layered light gray breccia. Simonds et al. (1974) described 72255 as a clast-supported fragmental breccia, with both matrix feldspars and matrix mafic minerals smaller than 5 microns, and some angular clasts larger than 30 microns. Knoll and Stoffler (1979) described 72255 as having a dark, fine-grained, equigranular crystalline matrix that contains some areas of lighter, coarser-grained matrix.

The thin sections show a melt groundmass similar to that of 72215 (Fig. 3a,b), polymict and dense. The fine material consists of abundant small monomineralic and lithic clasts in a crystalline melt groundmass that consists of plagioclase, pyroxene, and disseminated ilmenite tablets. Magnetic data show that the matrix has about 0.76% metallic iron (Banerjee and Swits, 1975). The monomineralic clasts are plagioclase, olivine, orthopyroxene, clinopyroxene, and sparse-to-trace pink-to-red spinel, chromite, and ilmenite. Compositions of the olivines and pyroxenes are shown in Fig. 4; at least most of the olivines are clasts, not melt-crystallized phases. The olivines include examples more forsteritic than those in the anorthositic and granulitic clasts. The only lithic fragments in the sample with such forsteritic olivine are the basaltic troctolites. The magnesian pyroxenes have no counterpart in any lithic fragments from the boulder. The mineral compositions in 72255 have compositional ranges similar to those in 72215 and the dark breccias in 72275. Ryder (1984a) analyzed olivine fragments in 72255, finding that many have calcium contents high enough (0.05-0.15%) to be consistent with having an origin in shallow, rather than deep, plutonics.
Figure 3: Photomicrographs of materials in 72255. Width of field about 1.5 mm, except for d) which is about 300 microns. Figures a and d are crossed polarizers; b and c plane light.

a) 72255,89; general matrix showing dense dark impact melt with angular to subrounded small mineral and lithic clasts.

b) 72255,130; contact between Civet Cat norite (right) and groundmass (left). The contact is extremely sharp and straight, without evidence of reaction.

c) 72255,123; Civet Cat norite, with deformed plagioclase (top) and crushed orthopyroxene (bottom).

d) 72255,123; orthopyroxene in Civet Cat norite, showing its lineated features.
The chemical composition of the groundmass (including small clasts) derived by defocused beam microprobe methods is low-K Fra Mauro basalt (Table 1; see also chemistry section), similar to other samples from the boulder and differing from coarser Apollo 17 impact melts in its lower TiO$_2$ and higher Al$_2$O$_3$. Goswami and Hutcheon (1975) using fission track methods found that U was uniformly distributed on a 10 micron scale. Some of the matrix areas are lighter-colored, and more feldspathic, and contain clasts of dark matrix breccia, visible on the sawn surfaces. In thin sections the dark clasts are difficult to distinguish from the groundmass, and evidently are of very similar material. The groundmass has reacted with the clasts, producing re-equilibration rims up to 15 microns thick around pyroxenes and olivines, and reaction rims around spinels and granite clasts. Some of the granites have partially-melted internally, and all the glasses are devitrified, including those of feldspar composition that were presumably once maskelynite. All these features demand a high temperature (more than 800 or 900 degrees C), but lack of total equilibration shows that the high temperatures were not maintained for long periods. A wide variety of lithic clasts is present in 72255. The clast population (Table 2) is similar to that in 72275, but lacks the volcanic KREEPy pigeonite basalts. Other basaltic fragments are present. The dark gray melt clasts/blobs are abundant, but the anorthositic clasts are relatively small and rare. Most of the latter are pure white, sugary, and granulitic. The types of material are described in Stoeser et al. (in CI 1, 1974; in CI 2, 1974; 1974a,b) and Ryder et al. (1975b), mainly without specific identification of those clasts from 72255 except for photomicrographs. The granites were described by Stoeser et al. (1975) and Ryder et al. (1975a). They include varieties with feldspars in the "forbidden" compositional field (ternary feldspars), about An$_{55}$Or$_{40}$. Defocused beam microprobe analyses of anorthositic breccias, troctolitic basalts, and devitrified glasses are given in Table 3; similarly-produced analyses for a basaltic particle and a granite are given in Table 4. The analysis of the Civet Cat norite in Table 4 is an estimate (see Table caption). The Civet Cat clast that is conspicuous on the broken face of the sample (Fig.1, 2) is an angular fragment about 2.5 cm long with light lenses and streaks in a dark groundmass. The rock is a cataclastic norite, essentially bimineralic and with a grain size originally of 1 to 4 mm (Stoeser et al., 1974a; Ryder et al., 1975) Orthopyroxene and plagioclase (Figs. 3b, c, d) have very narrow compositional ranges (Fig. 5). The plagioclase (An$_{92-94}$Or$_{0.5-1.0}$) is partially transformed to maskelynite and otherwise deformed (Fig. 3c). The orthopyroxenes (En$_{72-74}$Wo$_{2-4}$) is commonly kinked, and contains abundant small brown plates of ilmenite along the cleavage planes. Rare augite is present as small grains and lamellae. Accessory minerals include cristobalite, baddeleyite, ilmenite, chromite,
Figure 4: Compositions of monomineralic olivines and pyroxenes in groundmass of 72255 (from Ryder et al., 1975b).

Table 3: Defocused beam analyses of feldspathic granulitic clasts (cols. 1-4), anorthosite breccia (col. 5), polygonal anorthosite (col. 6), troctolitic clasts (cols. 7-9), and devitrified glasses (cols. 10-12) (from Stoeser et al., in CI 1, 1974; Ryder et al., 1975b).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample 72255 B/GCBx</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>77OC10 devitrified glass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77OC37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Sample 72255 B/GCBx</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>43.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr₂O₃</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>25.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>12.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂O</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₂O₅</td>
<td>tr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BaO</td>
<td>tr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>96.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Sample 72255 B/GCBx</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fe</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₂O₃</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WO</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ab</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An</td>
<td>71.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cbx</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qtz</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cor</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ap</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
metallic iron, and troilite. An exceptional case is niobian rutile (Marvin, 1975b); two analyses have 19.7% and 18.5% Nb₂O₅, making it the most Nb-rich mineral analyzed (at least by 1975) in any extraterrestrial sample. Ryder et al. (1980a,b) analyzed metal grains in the norite, finding them to be Ni-free, with 2.1 to 4.0% Co. Hansen et al. (1979b) plotted data for an Mg-rich plutonic fragment in 72255 (presumably Civet Cat) on diagrams of Mg' (low-Ca px) v. Ab (plag) and Mg' (liquid, calculated from opx) v. Mg' (plagioclase). (The actual data is not tabulated nor its source described.).

The pyroxenes in the Civet Cat norite were studied by Takeda and his group, to assess the thermal history of the lithology and compare it with eucrites (Takeda and Ishii, 1975; Takeda et al., 1976a,b, 1982; Mori et al., 1980,1982). They used microprobe, x-ray diffraction, and transmission electron microscopy methods. Takeda and Ishii (1975) noted intergranular recrystallization with exsolution of (001) augite from pigeonite well below the pigeonite eutectoid reaction point line; the clinopyroxene inverted to orthopyroxene (Stillwater-type). Takeda et al. (1976a,b) reported microprobe analyses for augite (En₄₅Wo₄₄) and orthopyroxene (En₇₃Wo₂), and single crystal diffraction results. The pyroxenes showed very weak reflections of secondary pigeonite, as well as minor augite, with pigeonite having (100) in common with host orthopyroxene. The diffraction spots were diffuse because of shock. Augite was detected as lamellae as well as rare small discrete grains. Mori and Takeda (1980) in single crystal diffraction and TEM studies found diffraction patterns for orthopyroxene similar to those in the Ibbenburen eucrite, but also diffraction spots of pigeonite. Mori et al. (1982) and Takeda et al. (1982) reinvestigated the pyroxenes using ATEM for comparison with eucrites and other lunar samples, determining the composition of exsolved augite. The pyroxene differs from that in the 78236 lunar norite in that many grains have abundant augite lamellae (although some have very few). The lamellae average 0.2 microns thick, but are as much as 0.4 microns thick. Opaque inclusions are in the lamellae. The host consists of alternate layers of orthopyroxene and clinobronzite; there are abundant fine clinobronzite lamellae or stacking faults up to 20 nm present with (100) in common. In some areas wide clinobronzite slabs intrude the orthopyroxene with a comb-like texture. There are no Guinier-Preston zones. Takeda et al. (1982) attribute the presence of the clinobronzite lamellae to shear transformation in shock deformation from impact. The exsolution
lamellae are 20x thicker than those in the Johnstown eucrite, and are a product of cooling at depth before shock. The microprobe opx-aug data suggest last equilibration at 900 degrees C, and the ATEM host-lamellae studies suggest 1000 degrees C, suggesting that the latter results from thermal annealing from the shock event. These authors suggested a model-dependent depth of 10 to 70 km for the equilibration.

The apparent primary texture, the wide pyroxene solvus, the narrow compositional ranges, the Ni-free metal, and the bulk and trace element composition (including lack of meteoritic siderophiles) (see below) are consistent with the Civet Cat norite having been a plutonic igneous rock. James (1982) and James and Flohr (1983) classed the Civet Cat norite with the Mg-norites on the basis of its mineralogy and chemistry.

CHEMISTRY

Chemical analyses for the matrix are given in Table 5; for the Civet Cat norite clast in Table 6; and for other materials in Table 7. Rare earth plots for the matrix are shown in Fig. 6, and for the Civet Cat norite and others in Fig. 7.

The average of the matrix analyses is very similar to those of other melt matrices in Boulder 1, including major and trace element chemistry. However, the small samples analyzed by Blanchard et al. (1975, and in CI 1, CI 2) show a range, presumably because of unrepresentative sampling (i.e. varied clast contents). (One sample, a subsplit of 52, is distinct in chemistry, being less aluminous and more ferrous; it is also lighter in color.) Both Blanchard et al. (1975) and Winzer et al. (1975a) emphasize the similarity in composition of 72255 with all other Apollo 17 boulder melts, despite the higher alumina and lower titania of 72255. The K abundance

Figure 6: Rare earth element plot for matrix samples of 72255. Solid line without symbols is data of Palme et al. (1978); other data is from Blanchard et al. (1975, and CI 1, CI 2).

Figure 7: Rare earth element plot for Civet Cat norite (solid line, in center) and the rind (upper dotted line) and core (lower dotted line) of clast #3. All data from Blanchard et al., (1975, and CI 1, CI 2).
Table 5: Chemical analyses of matrix and bulk rock samples of 72255.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Split</th>
<th>ppm</th>
<th>ppm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>73(a)</td>
<td>79(a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO2</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>18.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO2</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al2O3</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr2O3</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>7.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>0.218</td>
<td>0.211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SrO</td>
<td>0.253</td>
<td>0.198</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

References and methods:
(1) Puchner et al. (1975), gamma-ray
(2) Keith et al. (1994), gamma-ray
(3) Brannstrom et al. (1973); CI, CI2, DIAA, AAS
(4) Parise et al. (1978); INAA, RNAA, XRF
(5) Morgan et al. (1975), Hughes and Morgan (1975); RNAA CI1.
Table 5: Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Split</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al2O3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na2O</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2O</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

References and methods:

(1) Iverson and Reed (1975 a,b,c, d, 1980 a); INAA
(2) Cooper et al. (1975); IDMS
(3) Hone (1976); IDMS
(4) J. E. H. (1975); IDMS
(5) Leach et al. (1975); neutron activation analysis (NAA), other methods.
Table 5: Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Notes</th>
<th>73 Sawdust</th>
<th>76 Inner Core Chip</th>
<th>75 White to light gray matrix</th>
<th>72 Grey to medium gray matrix</th>
<th>74 Medium gray matrix</th>
<th>79 Dark material</th>
<th>78 Dark material</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(a)</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b)</td>
<td>INAA; AAS = 9.36%</td>
<td>0.86%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c)</td>
<td>INAA; AAS = 1.40%</td>
<td>1.35%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(d)</td>
<td>INAA; AAS = 3.02%</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(e)</td>
<td>Combined chip and residue fractions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(f)</th>
<th>Grey fractions</th>
<th>Light gray fractions</th>
<th>Dark matrix</th>
<th>Lighter matrix</th>
<th>Mixed dark and light matrix</th>
<th>Revised from CI2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Elements</th>
<th>Split 1</th>
<th>Split 2</th>
<th>Split 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO2</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al2O3</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>15.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr2O3</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>15.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KeO</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PbO</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Elements</th>
<th>Split 1</th>
<th>Split 2</th>
<th>Split 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sc</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>13.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>1.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nb</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ta</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U</td>
<td>0.240</td>
<td>0.240</td>
<td>0.240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Th</td>
<td>0.058</td>
<td>0.058</td>
<td>0.058</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pr</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>7.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nd</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>1.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sm</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eu</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yb</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lu</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nd</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sm</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eu</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>1.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yb</td>
<td>0.0058</td>
<td>0.0058</td>
<td>0.0058</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lu</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hf</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ta</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Th</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pa</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Np</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pu</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Am</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bk</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cf</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Es</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6: Chemical analyses of the Civet Cat norite in 72255.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Elements</th>
<th>Split 1</th>
<th>Split 2</th>
<th>Split 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sc</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>13.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>1.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nb</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ta</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U</td>
<td>0.240</td>
<td>0.240</td>
<td>0.240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Th</td>
<td>0.058</td>
<td>0.058</td>
<td>0.058</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pr</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>7.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nd</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>1.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sm</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eu</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yb</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lu</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nd</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sm</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eu</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>1.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yb</td>
<td>0.0058</td>
<td>0.0058</td>
<td>0.0058</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lu</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hf</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ta</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Th</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pa</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Np</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pu</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Am</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bk</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cf</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Es</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

References and methods:
1. Standard (1975) and (211), (221) INAA, AAS, AAS
2. Morgan (1974, 1975 a, b), Morgan and Morgan (1975), RNAA, CI2
3. Laski (1975), irradiation, MS (K, Ca), others ID/MS

Notes:
(a) Revised from CI2
Table 7: Chemical analyses of white core and dark rind on clast #3 and other clast material in 72255.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Split</th>
<th>wt %</th>
<th>SiO₂</th>
<th>TiO₂</th>
<th>Al₂O₃</th>
<th>CaO</th>
<th>Na₂O</th>
<th>K₂O</th>
<th>P₂O₅</th>
<th>ppm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>wt %</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>45.0</td>
<td>43.0</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>35.8</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>45.7</td>
<td>45.7</td>
<td>65.7</td>
<td>35.7</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
(a) wt% 
(b) Chemical analyses
(c) wt% 
(d) ppm
of the bulk rock as measured by gamma-ray is lower than that of the matrix samples (Fruchter et al., 1975). Palme et al. (1978) noted that their analyses of 72255 and 72215 matrix material were similar to some Apollo 16 samples (i.e. 68516) except for the siderophile elements. Higuchi and Morgan (1975a), Morgan et al. (1975), and Hertogen et al. (1977) assigned the matrix to their siderophile Group 3H (assigned with 72275 to Crisium by Morgan et al., 1974a, b).

The Civet Cat norite (Table 6; Fig. 6) is quartz-normative, and its chemistry is consistent with it being a cumulate plutonic rock containing 20 to 30% of trapped liquid that would be evolved, approaching KREEP (Blanchard et al., 1975). The norite lacks meteoritic siderophile contamination.

Blanchard et al. (1975) analyzed the dark melt rim and the interior white material of clast #3 (.45; Table 7, Fig. 7). The rim material is similar to the general matrix; the white material is very anorthositic in major and trace elements, but has a high mg' compared with typical ferroan anorthosites. Rb and Sr analyses for other materials appear to represent varied mixtures of feldspathic material and matrix.

RADIOGENIC ISOTOPES AND FISSION TRACK AGES

Schaeffer et al. (1982a,b) used laser Ar-Ar techniques to determine ages of clasts and to infer the age of the melt in section 72255,134, providing 20 analyses (Table 8). Most of the ages were for plagioclase or plagioclase-composite clasts; two were for felsite ("feldsparthoid") clasts. The two felsites, the most K-rich fragments, give ages generally younger than the feldspars. The higher ages for the plagioclases, some of which are in noritic lithic clasts, range up to 4.29 Ga. Schaeffer et al. (1982a,b) suggest that the age of the felsite clasts, which probably degassed during melting, is the best estimate for the age of the melt groundmass, which is therefore about 3.85 Ga, the age of the most precisely dated of the felsites. (The felsite clasts were preheated to 650 degrees C; the ages are total release, hence K-Ar, of the greater than 650 degrees C fraction. Assuming a well-developed plateau above that temperature, the ages are reliable).

Leich et al. (1975a) reported Ar-Ar analyses for a matrix sample of 72255 (Fig. 8). Leich et al. (1975a) believe that the intermediate-temperature (800 to 1000 degrees C) and the high-temperature (1400 degrees C) plateaus are reliable indicators of the age of the sample; these plateaus give an age of 3.93 Ga. However, the age of the 1000 to 1400 degree release is the one that agrees with the age inferred by Schaeffer et al. (1982a,b), and the Leich et al. (1975a) plateaus must be compromised by the plagioclase clasts that did not completely degas.

Compston et al. (1975) reported Rb-Sr isotopic data for matrix and small clast samples of 72255 (Table 9). For split .53 the total rock and plagioclase clasts are well-aligned on a 4.30 +/- 0.24 Ga "isochron" which should be regarded as a mixing line rather than a true isochron (Fig. 9). The clasts are not cogenetic, and the data for 72215 shows that the clasts and matrix did not reach Sr isotopic equilibration, and so 4.30 Ga does not date the assembly of the breccia. Split .59 materials also fall on a mixing line that is the chance result of mixing unrelated anorthositic material, unidentified old "basaltic" material (i.e. low-K Fra Mauro source...
Table 8: Laser microprobe data for materials in 72215,144.
Recalculated from Schaeffer et al., 1982a,b).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>K%</th>
<th>Ca%</th>
<th>Ar40/39</th>
<th>Age Ga</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Plag</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>37.31 +/- 0.333.917+/-0.027</td>
<td>3.970 .076</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plag</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>38.56 1.73</td>
<td>3.753 .065</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plag</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>33.60 1.28</td>
<td>3.872 .079</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plag</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>36.25 1.73</td>
<td>3.872 .079</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plag-comp</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>47.04 0.62</td>
<td>4.288 .032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plag-comp*</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
<td>43.43 0.99</td>
<td>4.161 .050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plag-comp</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>43.96 1.00</td>
<td>4.179 .044</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plag-comp*</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
<td>37.92 1.42</td>
<td>3.924 .069</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plag</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>38.72 0.61</td>
<td>3.976 .034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plag-comp*</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
<td>40.07 10.00</td>
<td>4.082 .350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plag</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>36.31 0.50</td>
<td>3.874 .032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plag</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>40.70 1.52</td>
<td>4.055 .065</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plag-comp</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>38.85 0.31</td>
<td>3.981 .026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plag-comp*</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
<td>36.02 1.24</td>
<td>3.912 .065</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plag</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>33.36 0.73</td>
<td>3.740 .041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matrix</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>37.02 0.35</td>
<td>3.905 .027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matrix</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>34.07 0.19</td>
<td>3.774 .024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matrix</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>37.60 0.32</td>
<td>3.929 .027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Felsite*</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
<td>34.63 0.57</td>
<td>3.846 .043</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Felsite*</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
<td>32.75 2.75</td>
<td>3.767 .135</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Samples degassed at 225 degrees centigrade during bakeout after sample loading).
* = preheated at 650 degrees centigrade

Table 9: Rb-Sr isotopic data for samples from 72255
(Compston et al., 1975)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Mass mg</th>
<th>Rb ppm</th>
<th>Sr ppm</th>
<th>87Rb/86Sr</th>
<th>87Sr/86Sr +/- se</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>,59 gray A</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>14.95</td>
<td>145.6</td>
<td>0.2967</td>
<td>0.71693 +/- 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>,59 gray B</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>14.63</td>
<td>141.7</td>
<td>0.2983</td>
<td>0.71695</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>,59 light gray</td>
<td>19.7</td>
<td>9.79</td>
<td>141.2</td>
<td>0.2001</td>
<td>0.71116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>,59 anorth clast</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>140.6</td>
<td>0.02275</td>
<td>0.70050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>,53 lt-gy1</td>
<td>29.0</td>
<td>5.69</td>
<td>137.0</td>
<td>0.1198</td>
<td>0.70664</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>,53 lt-gy2</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>5.78</td>
<td>141.2</td>
<td>0.1183</td>
<td>0.70642</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>,53 plag 1 (clear)</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>5.52</td>
<td>319.7</td>
<td>0.0499</td>
<td>0.70223</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>,53 plag 2 (shocked)</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>5.75</td>
<td>190.6</td>
<td>0.0871</td>
<td>0.70450</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

se = internal standard error of mean
,59 gray = plagioclase clasts in opaque matrix
,59 lt gy = plagioclase clasts smaller, subrounded, matrix texture variable
,53 lt gy = coherent uniform matrix with angular plagioclase and small anorthosite clasts
A,B = duplicates from reasonably homogeneous powder
Table 10: Concentrations of U, Th, and Pb in 72255 samples.  
(Nunes et al., 1974b)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Weight (mg)</th>
<th>Concentrations (ppm)</th>
<th>(^{235}\text{Th}/^{238}\text{U} )</th>
<th>(^{238}\text{U}/^{206}\text{Pb} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>72255.67 matrix (dark)</td>
<td>132.5</td>
<td>1.145 4.222 2.478 3.81</td>
<td>1.414</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72255.54 matrix (light)</td>
<td>98.2</td>
<td>1.536 5.724 3.080 3.85</td>
<td>2.998</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72255.60 matrix mixture (dark and light)</td>
<td>196.7</td>
<td>1.663 6.362 3.540 3.95</td>
<td>2.135</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72255.49 Civet Cat clast plag.-deficient</td>
<td>51.6</td>
<td>0.3874 1.216 0.9448 3.24</td>
<td>195</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72255.49 Civet Cat clast plag.-enriched</td>
<td>35.7</td>
<td>0.2151</td>
<td>0.6939 177</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Concentration run divided from solution; all other analyses were of splits from crushed solid material obtained prior to spiking.

Figure 9: Mixing lines generated by anorthositic clasts within breccia matrix samples and an unidentified gabbroic anorthosite end-member; and between gabbroic anorthosite and microgranite, for 72255 and other Boulder 1 samples. If anorthositic samples are cogenetic, the line marked 4.4 \( \text{Ae} \) defines their igneous age. Using new decay constants, this line has an age of 4.31 Ga. (See also 72215, Fig. 9).
Table 11: Isotopic composition of Pb in 72255 samples.
(Nunes et al., 1974b)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Run</th>
<th>Corrected for blank and primordial Pb</th>
<th>Single-stage ages in m.y.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$^{206}$Pb $^{235}$U $^{207}$Pb $^{233}$U $^{208}$Pb $^{238}$U</td>
<td>$^{206}$Pb $^{235}$U $^{207}$Pb $^{233}$U $^{208}$Pb $^{238}$U</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72255.67 matrix (dark)</td>
<td>C1P</td>
<td>0.906 77.36 0.5667 0.2459</td>
<td>4.480 4.486 4.489 4.503</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C1</td>
<td>0.9873 77.22 0.5676</td>
<td>4.469 4.484 4.491</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72255.54 matrix (light)</td>
<td>C1P</td>
<td>0.9321 66.41 0.5170 0.2324</td>
<td>4.285 4.331 4.353 4.281</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C1</td>
<td>0.9317 66.13 0.5151</td>
<td>4.284 4.327 4.348</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72255.60 matrix mixture (dark and light)</td>
<td>C1P</td>
<td>0.9745 74.53 0.5550 0.2349</td>
<td>4.427 4.448 4.458 4.322</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C1</td>
<td>0.9743 74.61 0.5551</td>
<td>4.426 4.449 4.460</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72255.49 Civet Cat clast plag-deficient</td>
<td>C1P</td>
<td>1.065 92.15 0.6281 0.2699</td>
<td>4.717 4.664 4.641 4.570</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C1</td>
<td>1.069 90.76 0.6159</td>
<td>4.732 4.649 4.612</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72255.49 Civet Cat clast plag-enriched*</td>
<td>C1P</td>
<td>1.464 147.8 0.7326</td>
<td>5.382 5.050 4.916</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C1</td>
<td>1.443 169.7 0.8533</td>
<td>5.867 5.146 4.865</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: Concentration and composition splits were divided from solution prior to adding the $^{206}$Pb enriched spike. All other analyses were of splits from crushed solid material and the concentration portions were totally spiked prior to dissolution.

†The gross difference between the CP and C only calculations must be because of an heterogeneous splitting of this sample prior to spiking—calculated U/Pb ratios from the concentration only data (i.e. where only the $^{206}$Pb/$^{204}$Pb ratio from the composition run was utilized) are the most accurate.

Table 12: Age parameters and single-stage Pb ages of 72255 samples.
(Nunes et al., 1974b)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Weight (mg)</th>
<th>Run</th>
<th>Corrected for analytical blank</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$^{206}$Pb $^{235}$U $^{207}$Pb $^{233}$U $^{208}$Pb $^{238}$U</td>
<td>$^{206}$Pb $^{235}$U $^{207}$Pb $^{233}$U $^{208}$Pb $^{238}$U</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72255.67 matrix (dark)</td>
<td>100.8</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>1.596 908.5 1.526</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>132.5</td>
<td>C*</td>
<td>1.92 624.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72255.54 matrix (light)</td>
<td>124.1</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>2.089 1.085 2.023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>98.2</td>
<td>C*</td>
<td>1.734 898.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72255.60 matrix mixture (dark and light)</td>
<td>190.3</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>1.987 1.107 1.909</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>196.7</td>
<td>C*</td>
<td>1.897 1.060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72255.49 Civet Cat clast plag-deficient</td>
<td>66.2</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>185.7 129.9 163.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>51.6</td>
<td>C*</td>
<td>192.2 127.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72255.49 Civet Cat clast plag-enriched*</td>
<td>32.9</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>204.9 153.2 148.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>35.7</td>
<td>C*</td>
<td>160.0 138.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Samples totally spiked prior to digestion.
†$^{206}$Pb spike contribution subtracted from Pb concentration data.
‡Analytical total Pb blanks ranged from 0.59 to 1.96 ng except for the 75055 composition blank (2.9 ng), and the 74220 concentration blank (2.8 ng).
P = composition data; C = concentration data.
Table 13: Fission track analysis of whitlockite in 72255
(from Goswami et al., 1976a). Track density in cm^-2 \times 10^7. The table differs from that in Goswami and Hutcheon (1975) in that the observed track density has increased from 30.2 and the row labelled "spallation recoils" has been added, exactly accounting for the increase. Goswami and Hutcheon (1975) also mislabelled the density units as being multiplied by a factor of 10^{-7} instead of 10^7.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Track contributions</th>
<th>72255*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Observed track density</td>
<td>36.3 ± 1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe-group cosmic rays</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spallation recoils</td>
<td>6.1 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reactor-induced fission</td>
<td>1.65 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lunar neutron-induced fission</td>
<td>&lt; 0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-energy cosmic ray-induced fission†</td>
<td>3.3 ± 1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spontaneous fission tracks</td>
<td>24.2 ± 2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tracks from ^{238}U fission</td>
<td>16.1 ± 1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tracks from ^{239}Pu fission</td>
<td>8.1 ± 1.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observed $\rho_{\text{Pu}}/\rho_u$</td>
<td>0.51 ± 0.14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Goswami and Hutcheon (1975).
†This work.
 Assumest Th/U = 12.2.
 $\text{Cu} = 71 ± 4$ ppm; track retention age = 3.96 G.y.
 $\text{C} = 83 ± 4$ ppm; track retention age = 3.96 G.y.
 Calculations use the following decay constants: $\lambda_{\text{Pu}}^{238} = 7.03 \times 10^{-11}$ yr^{-1} (Roberts et al., 1968); $\lambda_{\text{Pu}}^{239} = 1.55 \times 10^{-11}$ yr^{-1} (Jaffey et al., 1971); $\lambda_{\text{Pu}}^{239} = 1.045 \times 10^{-11}$ yr^{-1} (Fields et al., 1966); $\lambda_{\text{Pu}}^{235} = 8.50 \times 10^{-10}$ yr^{-1} (Fields et al., 1966).

Table 14: Rb-Sr data for the Civet Cat norlite in 72255
(Compston et al., 1975).
Rb, Sr, and $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr for samples of the Civet Cat clast 72255,41. Total-rock samples are independent fragments rather than homogenized aliquots, so analytical differences are expected due to sampling effects. Mineral separates are grouped with the total-rocks from which they were separated.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Weight (mg)</th>
<th>Rb (ppm)</th>
<th>Sr (ppm)</th>
<th>$^{87}$Rb/$^{86}$Sr</th>
<th>$^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr ± se *</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Plagioclase-rich total-rock (1)</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>4.20</td>
<td>219.5</td>
<td>0.0552</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plagioclase-rich total-rock (2)</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>3.96</td>
<td>226.5</td>
<td>0.0504</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'Mixed' total-rock (1)</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>2.56</td>
<td>101.4</td>
<td>0.0729</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'Mixed' total-rock (2)</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>3.02</td>
<td>100.9</td>
<td>0.0864</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plagioclase (1)</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>3.59</td>
<td>224.6</td>
<td>0.0461</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plagioclase (2)</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>3.60</td>
<td>221.2</td>
<td>0.0469</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plagioclase (3)</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>3.29</td>
<td>209.5</td>
<td>0.0453</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plagioclase (4)</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>2.89</td>
<td>198.0</td>
<td>0.0421</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyroxene (green)</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>16.12</td>
<td>0.1956</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyroxene (black)</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>22.08</td>
<td>0.0972</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyroxene-rich total-rock (1)</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>5.68</td>
<td>79.8</td>
<td>0.2053</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyroxene-rich total-rock (2)</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>5.75</td>
<td>99.7</td>
<td>0.1662</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plagioclase (5)</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>6.67</td>
<td>147.1</td>
<td>0.1309</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyroxene (green + black)</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>19.16</td>
<td>0.2721</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Internal standard error of mean.
 b Strong anomalous isotopic fractionation – discard.
material), and granitic material (see 72215, Fig. 9 for diagram). U, Th-Pb isotopic data and age parameters for matrix samples were presented by Nunes et al. (1974b) (given here as Tables 10, 11, and 12, with data for the Civet Cat norite), and also discussed by Nunes and Tatsumoto (1975). The matrix data plot within error of concordia in a 4.24 to 4.44 Ga range (see 72215, Fig. 10).

Although these Boulder 1 data can by themselves be explained by a simple 2-stage U-Pb evolutionary history whereby ~4.5 Ga material was disturbed by ~4.0 Ga event(s), other intermediate events could be masked by the uncertainty of the data. Hutcheon et al. (1974b), Braddy et al. (1975b), Goswami and Hutcheon (1975), and Goswami et al. (1976a,b) used fission tracks to assess the age of a whitlockite grain in the 72255 matrix (Table 13, Fig. 13).

Adopting 71 ppm U for the whitlockite, the tracks are in excess of those from $^{238}\text{U}$ alone, and the excess is assumed to result from $^{244}\text{Pu}$. A ($\text{Pu}/\text{U}$)$_0$ of 0.020 gives an age of 3.90-3.93 Ga for the whitlockite (Hutcheon et al., 1974b); if the ratio is assumed to be the same as that of the St. Severin meteorite, i.e. 0.015, then the age is 3.96 (+0.04, -0.07) Ga. Such a track retention age of the whitlockite most probably refers to the last high-temperature event.

Radiogenic isotopes in the Civet Cat norite clast were investigated by Leich et al. (1975a,b) (Ar-Ar), Compston et al. (1975) (Rb-Sr), and Nunes et al. (1974b) and Nunes and Tatsumoto (1975a) (U, Th-Pb). The Ar-Ar release data are shown in Fig. 10. From 800 to 1200 degrees Centigrade the sample has an apparent-age plateau of 3.93 +/- 0.03 Ga; the plateau includes 57% of the total $^{39}$Ar. The 1400 degree centigrade fraction has an age significantly higher (~3.99 Ga) and contains 25% of the total $^{39}$Ar. The Ar isotopic data as a whole suggest that the plateau age is reliable, and it is consistent with the disturbances indicated in the Sr

**Figure 10:** Apparent-age and K/Ca data from 72255,42. (Leich et al., 1975a)

**Figure 11:** Isochron defined by total-rock fragments and low-Rb plagioclases from the Civet Cat clast (72255,41). The age with new constants is 4.08 Ga; the model line corresponds with 4.31 Ga. (Compston et al., 1975)
EXPOSURE AGES:

Leich et al. (1975a,b) measured the isotopic compositions of the rare gases He, Ne, Ar, Kr, and Xe in a matrix sample and the Civet Cat norite in 72255. Trapped gas abundances are very low, with only small to negligible solar wind components. The cosmogenic Kr isotopic spectra for the matrix sample gave an exposure age of 44.1 +/- 3.3 Ma. (Leich et al., 1975b, tabulated preliminary Kr ages of 44.6 +/- 2.9 for the matrix and 36 +/- 10 for the Civet Cat, but in Leich et al., 1975a, no Kr age was tabulated for the Civet Cat norite sample because there are large uncertainties in the cosmogenic 81Kr/83Kr ratio). The age is similar to that of 72215, but lower than the exposure age of 72275 (52 Ma), probably because of differences in shielding. The less-precise Ar-Ca exposure ages (48 Ma for Civet Cat, 56 Ma for matrix, +/- about 25%) are consistent with the Kr age.

Particle track data bearing on exposure were reported by MacDougall et al. (1974), Hutcheon et al. (1974b), Bradby et al. (1975b), Goswami and Hutcheon (1975), and Goswami et al. (1976a,b). The track density profile was produced from thick sections 72255, 30 and 32, using SEM and optical methods. The interpretation is complicated by correction for exposure geometry (assumed equivalent to present-day exposure throughout) and uncertainty in the erosive history (assumed as 1 mm/Ma). The external surface of the sample is saturated with craters, suggesting a recent exposure of more than 1 Ma. Hutcheon et al. (1974b) using a simple one-stage exposure model calculated an age of 19 +/-2 Ma. The uneven distribution of shock alteration effects could be a complicating factor. Goswami and Hutcheon (1975) added more data (Fig. 14); they found that if normalized to the Kr exposure age of 42 Ma, then agreement at depths greater than 1 cm was good, but not at less than this depth (Fig. 15). The disagreement could result from small-scale (mm size) cratering event late in the boulder history. MacDougall et al. (1974) had placed an upper limit of 15 to 20 Ma on the exposure, but noted that erosion was in any case a problem for interpretation; such track ages do not necessarily date the time that the boulder rolled into its present position, but only some later spalling event.

Yokoyama et al. (1974) noted that 72255 was saturated in 26Al, requiring an exposure of at least a few million years.

PHYSICAL PROPERTIES

Magnetic data for 72255 samples were reported by Banerjee et al. (1974a,b) and Banerjee and Swits (1975). Samples from the Boulder were oriented with respect to each other (accurate to within about +/-20 degrees). Two samples from 72255 had the same direction (Fig. 16), and within error of those from 72255. The two 72255 samples had the same intensity of 1.2 x 10^-5 emu/g. In an attempt to separate stable primary NRM from unstable secondary NRM, the authors attempted thermal demagnetization, avoiding oxidation; however, from the continually decreasing NRM (Fig. 17), it appeared that permanent damage was done to the magnetic carriers and the procedure was unadvisable. AF-demagnetization showed no zig-zag patterns, and the NRM direction after demagnetization in fields at 80 Oe or greater are stable and primary; however, these fields differ in direction from those in 72275 by 130 degrees (Fig. 18). Banerjee and Swits (1975) presented data for paleointensity, suggesting a field of 0.35 Oe, different from those of 72225 and 72215 (suggesting 3 different events, as also suggested by the differing directions of NRM under AF-demagnetization). However, given the problems of...
obtaining and interpreting magnetic data for lunar samples then neither the directions nor the intensities can be said to have known meanings (see also discussion by Cisowski et al., 1977).

Adams and Charette (1975) reported spectral reflectance measurements for the 0.35-2.5 micron range for a gray noritic breccia that was heavily contaminated with saw-blade metal (.74). The reflectance curve may be artificially flattened by the presence of the opaque contaminant; it shows little absorption at the 1.9 micron band that results from pyroxene and that is typical of other highland rock samples.

**PROCESSING**

The details of the initial processing of 72255 were given by Marvin in CI 1 (1974). Three documented pieces had broken away during transport, and partly used for thin sections. The sawing of a 1.5 cm-thick slab (Figs. 1,2) was accomplished in July, 1973. The slab, 72255,10, was removed as a single piece and ,11 broke off along a pre-existing crack. Some chalky white material was sawn from the east tip (,18) and used for thin sections and chemistry, and surface chips were taken from elsewhere on the main mass (Fig. 2). The slab was subdivided, with the main divisions as shown in Fig. 19. Many thin sections were made from slab materials. A second slab and related pieces were cut from the main mass 72255,23 in 1984, but studies of them have only recently commenced.

![Figure 12: Rb-Sr mineral data for the pyroxene-rich fragments of the Civet Cat clast. With new constants, the "3.9 Ga reference line" corresponds with 3.82 Ga reference, and the 4.17 isochron to 4.08 Ga. The reference isochron corresponds with the time of redistribution of $^{87}$Sr and/or Rb after original igneous cooling at 4.08 Ga. (Compston et al., 1975).](image)

![Figure 13: Maximum age estimate for the Civet Cat norite (4.36 Ga with new decay constants). The diagram assumes that new Rb entered the pyroxene-rich component during deformation and shearing at breccia assembly, but that all plagioclase separates and black pyroxene were unaffected. Then the "4.2" Ga (new constants give 4.11 Ga) becomes a mixing line of no simple time significance. (Compston et al., 1975).](image)
Figure 14: Measured track densities in 72255.30 and .32 plotted as a function of distance from the exterior surface. SEM and optical data, without normalization. The solid line is the best fit through the data points. (Goswami and Hutcheon, 1975).

Figure 15: Observed and expected track density profiles for 72255. The solid line is taken from Figure 14; the dotted and dashed lines are calculated for two different exposure ages. (Goswami and Hutcheon, 1975).
Figure 16: Absolute NRM directions of samples of 72255 and 72275. Average directions for each sample are denoted by the larger symbols. 95% cones of confidence are indicated. (Banerjee et al., 1974a).

Figure 17: Decay of NRM intensity on thermal demagnetization of 72255 and 72275 samples in zero field and in an H2, CO2 gas-buffered furnace. (Banerjee et al., 1974a).

Figure 18: Main subdivisions of the first slab cut from 72255, in 1973 (from Marvin, in Cl 1, 1974).

Figure 19: Changes in NRM directions on AF-demagnetization of 72255 and 72275 samples. The numbers refer to peak AF values. The stable direction for 72275 is average of points 75, 100, and 150; that for 72255 for points 75 and 100. (Banerjee et al., 1974a).
INTRODUCTION

72275 is a fragmental breccia that may represent the matrix of Boulder 1, although it stood up in bold relief on the top of the boulder (see section on Boulder 1, St. 2, Fig. 2). It is predominantly light gray [N7], fairly friable, and encloses several protruding subrounded coherent knobs. Most such knobs are darker colored (medium gray [N5]). The sample was 17 cm long, and irregularly shaped with rounded corners. After collection it broke into several pieces (Fig. 1). The exposed surface had a thin patchy brown patina, with a few zap pits on some surfaces (N, E, B). Splashes of black glass covered some of the sample. An opposite face, tilted down toward the boulder, had a powdery covering that was layered and ripple-marked.

72275 is a porous aggregate of angular mineral, devitrified glass, and lithic fragments constituting a fragmental polymict breccia. The sample is not a regolith breccia. A few of the clasts are more than a centimeter across, including a conspicuous rimmed clast (Figs. 1, and 2) labelled Clast #1 or the Marble Cake clast. Other conspicuous clasts are the Apollo 17 KREEPy basalts (~3.93 Ga old) unique to this sample, many dark melt-matrix breccias, and varied feldspathic granulite and other feldspathic breccias. Numerous rock types,

Figure 1: Reconstructed 72275, with documented pieces mainly on the right, and undocumented pieces in the foreground. The exposed north side shows thin brown patina. Clast #1 (Marble Cake clast) is prominent on the front face. Scale in centimeters. S-73-16077.
PETROGRAPHY

72255 is conspicuously polymict (Figs. 1-5). LSPET (1973) described the sample as a layered light gray-breccia. Simonds et al. (1975) listed it as a fragmental breccia. The most detailed descriptions of the petrography of 72275 are given in Stoeser et al. (1974a, and in CI 1, CI 2, 1974), Marvin (in CI 1, 1974), and Ryder et al. (1975b), who described 72275 as a light gray friable breccia. The Apollo 17 KREEPy basalts were described in particular by Ryder et al. (1977) and Salpas et al. (1987).

Mapping of the sample before and after slabbing (Marvin, in CI 1, 1974) showed four main lithologic types (Fig. 3a, b)

1) the light gray matrix with minor darker gray zones, appearing as a friable aggregate of mineral and lithic clasts with a range of sizes up to about 0.5 mm. Plagioclase and a few percent brown and yellow mafic silicates were identifiable, with sparse grains of pink or amber spinel, and metallic iron.

2) anorthositic clasts of which the most conspicuous is clast #1 (Marble Cake clast), with a black rim. Smaller white clasts, with and without rims, occur throughout the specimen. Clast #1 is not pure white, but has 10 to 20% yellow mafic silicates, and appears to be a fluidized cataclastic breccia, interlayered with gray breccia and black rim material.

3) Dark gray aphanitic clasts, including clasts #2 and #3, which are hard, resistant dark gray materials (later identified as aphanitic impact melts). These clasts contain small angular fragments and thin white streaks indicating that they are polymict breccias. Small fragments are common in 72275.

4) Basaltic clasts and zones, which are the relics of the Apollo 17 KREEPy basalts. Most of the clasts...
are rounded, and consist of white feldspar laths and yellow pyroxene. Most conspicuous are clasts #4 and #5 on the slab pieces. The clasts are embedded in zones of fine-grained basaltic debris, but these zones are difficult to delineate macroscopically. (Other basaltic clasts were later found and mapped on the newer slab cuts by Salpas et al., 1985, 1987).

Three distinct lithologic units in the 1984 surfaces were recognized by Willis (1985). A darker and coarser unit separated two lighter, more fine-grained units. Each is distinct with respect to clast sizes, abundance, and types. One of the lighter units consists mainly of basalts sitting in crushed basalts, whereas the other changes from breccia clasts (mainly dark melt breccias) to basalts towards the interior of the rock. The dark coarse zone consists mostly of dark melt breccia clasts. In all the units the average clast dimension decreases from the first face exposed to the last.

Stoeser et al. (1974a, and in CI 1, 1974) and Ryder et al. (1975b) considered that the sample had two major lithologic types, that of the gray polymict breccia, and that of the KREEPy basalt (which they referred to as "pigeonite basalt") breccia; the latter forms about 30% of the exposed surfaces. The light-gray friable breccia is composed of porous, poorly-sintered matrix, with angular mineral and lithic clasts (Fig. 6a, b). A clast population survey was tabulated by Stoeser et al. (1974a) (Table 1); however, this table omits the dark matrix breccias (the aphanitic melts) that are the dominant clast type. The dark aphanitic melts, which resemble samples 72215 and 72225 in petrography and chemistry, are themselves polymict, containing all the other clast types except for the KREEPy basalts. Materials similar to the Civet Cat norite and granites appear to be dominantly, if not absolutely, confined to the dark aphanitic melts. Neither glass spherules or

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1: Population survey of clast types in 72275 light gray matrix, excepting the dark impact melt breccias. % by number, not area. (Stoeser et al., 1974a).</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clast type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Granulitic ANT breccias</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Granulitic polygonal anorthosite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crushed anorthosite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Devitrified glass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glass shards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ultramafic particles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basaltic troctolite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pigeonite basalt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other basaltic particles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Granitic clasts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Civet Cat&quot; type norite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monomineralic plagioclase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monomineralic mafic silicates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monomineralic spinel &amp; opaques</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of clasts surveyed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Marvin, in CI 1, 1.74; Stoeser et al., in CI 1, 1974). The clasts are rounded, with prominent white feldspar and yellow mafic silicates. Few of the relict basalt fragments are more than a few millimeters across; rare examples reach one centimeter.

Most of the KREEPy basalts clasts have a mesostasis-rich subophitic to intersertal texture (Fig. 6e) (Stoeser et al., CI 1, 1974; CI 2, 1974, 1974a,b; Ryder et al., 1975b, 1977; Irving, 1975; Salpas et al., 1985, 1986a, 1987). Most have a medium grain size (silicates 500-1000 microns), but there is a range down to fine-grained equigranular and glassy vitrophyric varieties, which are less common. The textures are homogeneous, and the fragments contain no xenoliths or other features suggestive of an impact origin for the melt phase. The chemical evidence (below) also suggests that these basalts are volcanic. The range in grain sizes and textures suggests that a sampling of both flow interiors and exteriors was obtained. The dominant subophitic basalts consist of approximately equal amounts of plagioclase and clinopyroxene (mainly pigeonite), with 10% to 30% of a complex fine-grained and opaque mesostasis (Fig. 6e). A
Figure 3: Slabbing and mapping of 72275. a) Sawn surface of the main mass (.102), and the slab (.42). b) Surface of clast #1 and the east end piece (.27). c) 1984 reslabbing of main mass .102. Cube is 1 inch.
Figure 4: Exposed west face of first 1984 slab (328) after removing irregular surface left in 1973 slabbing. Most of the surface visible is that exposed in Fig. 2; another large clast has been exposed. Cube is 1 inch; rule scale is centimeters. S-84-45540.

Figure 5: Exposed east face of second 1984 slab (337) and its subdivisions. There is an obvious lack of large clasts compared with the earlier exposed faces. Cube is 1 inch; rule scale is centimeters. S-84-46145.
Figure 6: Photomicrographs of 72275. All plane transmitted light except c), crossed polarizers. All about 2mm field of view.

a) 72275,13: general friable matrix of undocumented chip, showing feldspathic granulite clasts and schlieren (right), clasts or blobs of dark melt matrix breccias, and numerous mineral clasts.
b) 72275,134: general matrix of 1973 slab near clast #5, showing rounded dark melt breccia pieces, mineral clasts, and small fragments of KREEPy basalts.
c) 72275,138: anorthositic breccia from the core of clast #1, the Marble Cake clast.
d) 72275,145: matrix of clast #2, a dark melt breccia.
e) 72275, 147: clast #5, a monomict breccia or cataclasite of KREEPy basalt.
Figure 7: Compositions of pyroxenes in 72275 light gray friable matrix samples. The large outlined area is the range of compositions of pyroxenes in the KREEPy basalts; the smaller outlined areas are the ranges for anorthositic breccias. a) b) are general matrix, c) is a white streak in the matrix in 72275. a) from Stoeser et al. (1974a). b) c) from Stoeser et al. in CI I, 1974.

Figure 8: Histograms of olivine compositions in 72275 matrix and clasts. a) monomineralic olivines in general light gray matrix. b) olivines from feldspathic granulite clasts. c) olivines in clast #1 and the white streak in 72275. 128. d) olivines in the troctolitic basalts (impact melts?) and the KREEPy basalts (= pigeonite basalts). Stoeser et al. (1974a).
Clinopyroxene, which encloses plagioclases, is elongate to tabular. Many are twinned; none are sector zoned. The first pyroxene to crystallize was Mg-pigeonite, orthopyroxene such as is common in A 15 KREEP basalts is absent. Zoning to more Fe-, Ca-rich pyroxenes is commonly erratic.

The silica polymorph is a late-stage phase, and composes as much as 5% of some clasts. It has the mosaic fracture pattern characteristic of cristobalite. Some grains are laths (poorly-developed) and up to 500 microns long. Chromite is a euhedral to subhedral early-crystallizing phase, most less than 50 microns, that is aluminous and zoned to titanian chromite rims. Olivine is rare, small (less than 300 microns), and a compositional range from Fo69-64. It appears to have survived by enclosure in other silicates. The mesostasis forms interstitial triangular patches several hundred microns across.

There is no evidence of immiscibility, although it is heterogeneous, appearing to be more Fe-rich adjacent to pyroxene and more silicic adjacent to plagioclases (Stoeser et al., in CI 1, CI 2, 1974). The mesostasis rims are not all sharply defined. The bulk composition of the mesostasis is Fe-, Si-, and P-rich, and poor in K compared with many other lunar mesostasis compositions. Fe-metal and (less common) troilite occur in the mesostasis, as veins, and as blebs in early-crystallizing phases. Their low Ni contents are consistent with lack of meteoritic contamination and thus, a volcanic origin for the basalts.

Dark Impact Melt Breccias:
Materials originally labelled "dark matrix breccias" (Stoeser et al., in CI 1, 1974) and later gray to black competent breccias (e.g. in Ryder et al., 1975b) are a distinctive feature of 72275. They are the dominant clast material, and occur as discrete clasts and as rinds to, or intermixed with, feldspathic clasts such as feldspathic granulites. They are similar to the dark matrix materials that form the other Boulder 1 samples 72215 and 72255, and were similarly eventually recognized as aphanitic impact melts (e.g. Ryder and Wood, 1977; Spudis and Ryder, 1981) and not the metamorphosed breccias originally suggested (e.g. Stoeser et al., 1974a, Ryder et al., 1975b). They are also similar to the Station 3 samples 73215, 73235, and 73255 (e.g. James et al., 1978). The dark breccias were described by Stoeser et al., (1974a,b, and in CI 1, CI 2, 1974), Ryder et al. (1977b), and Spudis and Ryder (1981).

Most of the dark melt breccias are less than 1 mm, but some are much larger, including Clasts #2 and #3 exposed on the sawn faces (Fig. 2-4). Clast #3 was not allocated, but clast #2 was allocated for petrographic and chemical studies. The Marble Cake clast (clast #1) is a complex rimmed clast (see below). Clasts #1 and #2, and many of the smaller dark breccias, have a "globby" nature, with rounded and irregular outlines (Figs. 2, 4, and 6a, b). In thin sections they are very dark and dense, with a very fine-grained groundmass enclosing a variety of clasts, usually small (Figs. 6a, b, d). The lithic clast population consists of feldspathic granulites, other feldspathic breccias, some basalts and coarser impact melts, and sparse granites. Monomineralic clasts are mainly plagioclase, but olivines and pyroxenes are also common. Some dark clasts have vesicles. The melt matrices are fine-grained, mainly plagioclase and probably pyroxene commonly less than 5 microns, and the melt fraction is probably about 50-70% of the volume. Compositions of monomineralic silicate phases, mainly clasts, are shown in Fig. 9b (plagioclases) and Fig. 10 (olivines and pyroxenes). The range in compositions of mafic minerals is greater than that of anorthositic breccias (e.g. granulites), and indicates that a wide variety of lithologies contributed to the dark melt breccias. However, no fragments of the A 17 KREEPy basalts have been found in these melt breccias. Defocused beam
analyses (Table 2) show that the dark matrix breccias have low-K Fra Mauro basalt compositions similar to those in 72215 and 72255 (see also chemistry section), suggesting a common source, although there is some variation.

Clast #1 (Marble Cake):
The distinctive 3 cm clast visible on the north face (Fig. 1) and after slabbing (Figs. 2-4) was described by Stoeser et al. (1974a, and CI 1 and CI 2, 1974), Marvin et al. (1974), and Ryder et al. (1975b). It consists of a light-colored core (white, with about 10 to 20% yellow minerals) with a dense envelope of dark breccia material that also is crudely interlayered with the core. The rim and the core have been fluidized simultaneously. Part of the clast was thin sectioned and mapped (Fig. 15). Compositions of mafic mineral phases are shown in Fig. 16. Defocused beam analyses of some clasts are given in Table 3. The dark breccia consists of an aphanitic impact melt, similar to other dark breccias in 72275 except that it is darker, more vesicular, and higher in K and P than most (Table 2, col. 9) (Stoeser et al., in CI 2, 1974). The core material is a complex mix, dominated by a coarse-grained feldspathic lithology that has been crushed (Fig. 6c). Some of its fragments are granulitic, and more than one feldspathic rock type may be present. The parent rock was plagioclase-rich (more than 80%), and contained olivine (FoO0-68), bronzite, and augite: a cataclastic troctolitic ferroan anorthosite.

Ilmenite microgabbros are small igneous (or possibly metamorphic) fragments that are fine-grained and not reported from other lunar samples; they consist of 43-57% plagioclase (AnO3-80 Or5-15), 25-46% pyroxene (Mg' about 50; see Fig. 16), and 9-18% ilmenite. They also contain minor amounts of cristobalite, troilitie, and metallic iron. They are more similar to sodic ferrogabbro fragments at Apollo 16 (Roedder and Weiblen, 1974) than other samples, but are actually unique. Some exsolved pyroxene fragments that are 200 microns across (hence bigger than those in the ilmenite microgabbros) have a composition similar to those in the ilmenite microgabbros; their source could be a coarser-grained equivalent. Other clast types include an orange glass (spinel troctolite composition), some fine-grained "basalts" with quenched appearance that give the impression of being impact melts, and microgranites. The latter are fairly common.

Feldspathic Breccias:
72275 contains a variety of feldspathic lithic materials ranging from cataclastic ferroan anorthosite-like materials to feldspathic granulites; some of them reach several centimeters long. Apart from the dark melt breccias (in which they are a clast-type), they are the most abundant clasts in 72275; they also occur as discrete fragments in the light gray friable breccia. The feldspathic clasts were described by Stoeser et al. (1974a, and in CI 1, CI 2, 1974), and by Ryder et al. (1975b) under the now-obsolete acronym ANT (anorthosite, norite, troctolite). Some are several centimeters in size, and are petrographically similar to those found in other Boulder 1 samples and elsewhere at the Apollo 17 site. Recrystallized varieties (feldspathic granulites, both poikilitic and granulitic in texture) are most common. The compositions range from noritic to troctolitic anorthosites. They have a range of mineral compositions (e.g. Fig. 17), though most individual clasts are fairly well-equilibrated. The ranges are not unlike those reported for other feldspathic highlands breccias; they do not include mafic minerals with Mg' much higher than 0.83, and the plagioclases are dominantly very calcic.

The samples described by Stoeser et al. (1974a, and in CI 1, CI 2, 1974) and Ryder et al. (1975b)
were classified as unrecrystallized, granulitic, and poikiloblastic "ANT" breccias. Poikilitic fragments are rare to absent. The unrecrystallized fragments have porous fragmental matrices, and appear to be crushed anorthositic igneous rocks. The dominant part of the core of the Marble Cake clast is one such fragment. The granulitic fragments are characterized by triple point textures typical of recrystallization. Their compositions and textures are varied. Poikiloblastic fragments are distinguished by their small poikilitic pyroxenes enclosing smaller plagioclases, set in a mosaic of much coarser plagioclases; all are fine grained, with even the larger plagioclases rarely more than 200 microns. Salpas et al. (1986b, 1987a) described an anorthositic clast from the 1984 slabbing that they referred to as the first Apollo 17 ferroan anorthosite; however, there are other candidates for that honor (including the core of the Marble Cake clast, which is certainly closely related). The small fragment (less than 5 mm) is monomict, consisting of about 95% anorthite (An95.1-97.1) and 5% pyroxene (augite and pigeonite; Fig. 18). The pyroxene occurs as small (less than 100 micron) grains interstitial to larger plagioclases. Salpas et al. (1986b, 1987a) also described six feldspathic granulite clasts from the 1984 slabbing. Their characters are summarized in Table 4. In general they are composed of rounded to angular fragments of plagioclase and olivine in granoblastic or poikiloblastic matrices of plagioclase and pyroxene. The amount of olivine is rather small (<5%). The textures of the granulites suggest that most are brecciated assemblages which were subsequently recrystallized.

72275 also contains small amounts of other lithic clast types, ranging from olivine-normative mare basalt-like fragments, ultramafic particles, troctolitic basalts (probably impact melts), and granitic fragments (Table 1).

**CHEMISTRY**

A large number of chemical analyses have been made on 72275 matrix and its clastic components, ranging from fairly comprehensive analyses to analyses for one or two elements as part of geochronological studies. The chemical data are given in Tables 5a, b, c (light gray matrix and dark melt breccias), Tables 6a, b (KREEPy basalts), Table 7 (Clastic # 1, Marble Cake, lithologies), and Table 8 (feldspathic breccia clasts). The data given by Jovanovic and Reed (several papers) includes some combined leach and residue data.

Light gray friable matrix and dark melt breccias: The several analyses of bulk friable matrix show some variability at the scale of the rather small samples generally analyzed (less than 50 mg) (Tables 5a, b; Fig. 19). The chemistry differs from that of the dark melt breccias and from other boulder matrices at the Apollo 17 site in being less aluminous and more iron-rich. The chemistry is consistent with a mix of dark melt breccias, feldspathic breccias, and KREEPy basalts. The latter component is seen in the very high Ge content of the matrix (Morgan et al., 1974, 1975), as high Ge is a distinctive character of the KREEPy basalts. The matrix analyses reported by Salpas et al. (1987b) are identical in all respects with the KREEPy basalts themselves and these samples must have very low contents of feldspathic granulites or melt breccias. Their abundances of incompatible elements (Fig. 19b) is higher than most other matrix samples and similar to those in the KREEPy basalts (Fig. 20).

Of the dark melt breccias, only clast #2 (Table 5c) and the Marble Cake rind (below) were analyzed, apart from the defocused beam microprobe analyses (the defocused beam analysis of clast #2 agrees tolerably well with the atomic absorption analysis except for its higher normative feldspar). The

**Figure 11:** Compositions of plagioclases in A 17 KREEPy basalts. a) Ryder et al. (1975b) b) Salpas et al. (1985, 1987). Clastic refers to plagioclases in comminuted zones.
Figure 12: Compositions of pyroxenes in A 17 KREEPy basalts and breccias, plotted on quadrilaterals. a) Stoeser et al. (1974). b) Ryder et al. (1977). c) Salpas et al. (1987).

Figure 13: Abundances and ratios of minor elements in pyroxenes in A 17 KREEPy basalts. Arrow indicates direction of crystallization. Ryder et al. (1977).
Figure 14: Compositions of metals in A 17 KREEPy basalts. a) Ryder et al. (1977). b) Salpas et al. (1987). In b) field labelled "72275" is taken from a) and the difference is stated by Salpas et al. (1987) to be an analytical problem in the Ryder et al. (1977) study.

Figure 15: Sketch map of the interior of clast #1 (the Marble Cake clast). The white areas consist of a mixture of finely-crushed gabbroic anorthosite and ilmenite microgabbro. Uncrushed remnants large enough to map are indicated by clast type. Stoesser et al. (in CI 2, 1974) and Marvin et al. (1974).
Table 2: Defocused beam electron microprobe analyses of dark aphanitic melt breccias in 72275.

Key: 5) 72275,128, average of 10 analyses of 2 clasts. 6) 72275,134, average of 21 analyses of clast. 7) 72275,12, average of 5 analyses of rind around anorthositic clast. 8) Clast #2, average of 15 analyses. 9) Dark melt material of clast #1 (the Marble Cake clast). (Stoeser et al., in CI 1, 1974).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>72275, 128</td>
<td>72275, 134</td>
<td>72275, 134</td>
<td>72275, 140</td>
<td>72275, 140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMB</td>
<td>matrix</td>
<td>DMB</td>
<td>vesicular</td>
<td>matrix</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>49.7</td>
<td>47.7</td>
<td>43.9</td>
<td>47.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr₂O₃</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>22.9</td>
<td>22.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>8.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>8.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>12.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂O</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₂O₅</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>101.6</td>
<td>102.7</td>
<td>101.7</td>
<td>102.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fo 0.0 3.4 16.5 4.5 1.6
Fa 0.0 2.7 8.8 3.3 1.5
En 22.0 19.5 6.1 14.8 18.2
Fs 16.3 13.9 3.0 9.9 15.5
Wo 2.1 0.7 0.0 0.8 1.1
Or 2.0 1.3 1.6 1.3 2.9
Ab 3.9 4.2 5.4 4.9 5.5
An 47.5 51.1 55.1 57.4 48.7
Him 2.1 2.0 1.6 1.8 2.5
Chr 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3
Qtz 2.7 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0
Cor 0.0 0.0 1.0 0.0 0.0
Ap 1.1 1.0 0.6 1.0 1.8

Figure 16: Compositions of pyroxenes and olivines in phases of the interior of clast #1 (the Marble Cake clast) and sodic ferrogabbros for comparison.
Table 3: Defocused beam electron microprobe analyses of four types of clast in the light-colored interior of clast #1 (the Marble Cake clast). (Stoeser et al., in CI 2, 1974).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WT. % OXIDES</th>
<th>1.</th>
<th>2.</th>
<th>3.</th>
<th>4.</th>
<th>5.</th>
<th>6.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>43.3</td>
<td>41.1</td>
<td>45.0</td>
<td>48.4</td>
<td>47.1</td>
<td>43.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>4.38</td>
<td>6.59</td>
<td>2.89</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>20.87</td>
<td>29.96</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>40.5</td>
<td>10.09</td>
<td>13.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr₂O₃</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO</td>
<td>7.85</td>
<td>4.16</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>15.19</td>
<td>9.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>8.79</td>
<td>3.56</td>
<td>5.67</td>
<td>3.38</td>
<td>7.32</td>
<td>12.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg₂O</td>
<td>58.9</td>
<td>60.7</td>
<td>32.16</td>
<td>31.1</td>
<td>32.1</td>
<td>7.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₂O₃</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂O</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₂O₅</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>94.29</td>
<td>95.43</td>
<td>97.27</td>
<td>95.05</td>
<td>98.02</td>
<td>98.81</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CIPW NORM

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1.</th>
<th>2.</th>
<th>3.</th>
<th>4.</th>
<th>5.</th>
<th>6.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PO</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>38.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FO</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>11.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EN</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FS</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WO</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OR</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AB</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AN</td>
<td>58.9</td>
<td>83.1</td>
<td>34.0</td>
<td>25.8</td>
<td>34.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ILN</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRH</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QTZ</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COR</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AP</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

COMP. NORM MIN.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1.</th>
<th>2.</th>
<th>3.</th>
<th>4.</th>
<th>5.</th>
<th>6.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OL</td>
<td>66.5</td>
<td>60.7</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>83.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PX</td>
<td>58.9</td>
<td>60.7</td>
<td>42.0</td>
<td>47.0</td>
<td>39.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EN</td>
<td>58.9</td>
<td>39.3</td>
<td>32.16</td>
<td>31.1</td>
<td>32.1</td>
<td>7.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FS</td>
<td>29.7</td>
<td>39.3</td>
<td>32.16</td>
<td>31.1</td>
<td>32.1</td>
<td>7.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WO</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>25.8</td>
<td>21.8</td>
<td>28.9</td>
<td>52.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLG:</td>
<td>OR</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AB</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>11.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AN</td>
<td>69.6</td>
<td>71.0</td>
<td>72.0</td>
<td>84.0</td>
<td>82.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

atomic Mg/(Mg+Fe) | 0.666 | 0.604 | 0.463 | 0.375 | 0.462 | 0.827 |

MgO/(MgO+FeO) | 0.528 | 0.461 | 0.326 | 0.252 | 0.325 | 0.729 |

Each of the other Boulder I samples: Group 3H, and 3L for the 72215 and 72235 samples. All are distinct from most other Apollo 17 samples (Group 2). The distinctions are not a result of the high Ge in the KREEPy basalts. Jovanovic and Reed (e.g. 1975c) interpreted their data on some volatile elements as constraining the thermal history of Boulder I: since consolidation it probably has not been subjected to temperatures greater than 450 degrees C, and vapor clouds from external sources permeated the source regions for the boulder materials.

A 17 KREEPy BASALTS:
Analyses of the KREEPy basalts sampled from the 1973 sawing (clast 5 and probably clast 4) are given in Table 6a, and numerous analyses of small clasts (mainly basaltic breccia) sampled from the 1984 sawing in Table 6b. Most of the latter are partial analyses. The rare earths are shown in Fig. 20. The KREEPy basalt is quartz-normative, with an evolved Mg' similar to some mare basalts, but with elevated rare earths compared with mare basalts. The sample lacks meteoritic contamination (Morgan et al., 1974, 1975). The rare earth elements are KREEP-like, but the heavy rare earths have a slightly steeper slope than other KREEPy basalts. These basalts cannot be related to other known KREEPy basalts by fractional crystallization or partial melting of common sources. They are quite distinct from the only other volcanic KREEP samples known, the Apollo 15 KREEPy basalts (Ryder et al., 1977; Irving, 1975). Ryder et al. (1977) discussed the chemistry as being intermediate between mare and KREEPy basalts. Sulpas et al. (1987b) found that the breccias and the actual basalt clasts were indistinguishable in composition. They interpreted their analyses to represent fragments of a single flow or a series of related flows, with a fairly consistent trend on an OI-Si-An diagram for the 9 samples that they analyzed more completely (Fig. 21). However, this diagram may be misleading: Some of the variation that they found undoubtedly results from unrepresentative sampling, and the SiO₂ abundances are obtained by difference, not analysis. The trend on the diagram is not that of pyroxene or pyroxene+plagioclase (as the petrography would indicate), but of olivine control; it may be an artifact.

The very high Ge content of the KREEPy basalt is distinctive, and is accompanied by lesser enrichments in Sb and Se (Morgan et al., 1974, 1975).
Clast #1 (Marble Cake clast): Analyses of both white and dark portions of the Marble Cake clast are given in Table 7, with the rare earth elements shown in Fig. 22. Both phases are polymict, although the white material is dominantly a cataclastic troctolitic anorthosite/feldspathic granulite, and the dark material is dominantly an aphanitic melt breccia. The analysis of the white material probably includes some dark melt component (Blanchard et al., 1975) and presumably ilmenite microgabbros and other lithologies. The rare earth element abundances are higher than expected for anorthositic or granulitic rocks. The dark rim material contains much higher incompatible element abundances than most other dark melts in the boulder; this includes Rb, U, and Th as well as the rare earths. These abundances are higher than their counterparts in the KREEPy basalts and are more similar to the levels in A 14 or A 15 KREEP. The rim and the core are absolutely distinct in composition; the rim is not melted core, but appears to be plastered on in flight, as suggested by Stoeser et al. (1974a). The rim material contains meteoritic contamination, but no analysis for meteoritic siderophiles was made for the core. The rim siderophiles have ratios corresponding with group 3 siderophiles that characterize other boulder matrix samples.

Feldspathic breccias: Salpas et al. (1987a) provided analyses of an anorthositic clast and six feldspathic granulites obtained from the 1984 sawing (Table 8; Fig. 23). The anorthosite (.350) is similar to other ferroan anorthosites except that its rare earth elements and transition metals are slightly higher than typical; however, the sample mass was only 17 mg. The clast has a positive Eu anomaly and on the basis of the low upper limits on the Ni and Ir abundances, the sample would appear to be uncontaminated with meteoritic material.

The six granulites show a range in alumina from 22.1 to 27.2%, with corresponding variations in Fe, Mg, Sc, and other transition metals.

They appear to represent distinct sources, because they show a range in Mg consistent with their mineralogy. All are intermediate in major element compositions between ferroan anorthosites and Mg-suite troctolites. Their rare earth element abundances are similar, with fairly flat patterns and mainly small Eu anomalies. All show elevated Ni, Au, and Ir abundances indicative of substantial meteoritic contamination; these elements show abundances higher than in A 16 feldspathic granulites.

STABLE ISOTOPES

Oxygen isotope ratios were measured by Clayton and Mayeda (1975a, b) and Mayeda et al. (1975) for a friable matrix sample, both bulk mineral separates, and for mineral separates from a KREEPy basalt fragment. The bulk breccia, for which delta 18O (5.80) and delta 17O (2.94) were measured, falls on the Earth-Moon mass fractionation line (Clayton and Mayeda, 1975a,b). A second split of the matrix gave delta 18O of

---

**Figure 17:** Compositions of silicate mineral phases in feldspathic (mainly feldspathic granulite) breccia clasts in 72275 (and including data for some similar clasts in 72255). a) pyroxenes, Stoeser et al. (1974a). b) pyroxenes, Ryder et al. (1975b). c) plagioclases, Ryder et al. (1975b). d) olivines, Ryder et al. (1975b).
5.40, with plagioclase at 5.61 and pyroxene at 5.20. The basalt separates gave plagioclase 5.69 and pyroxene 5.35 (Mayeda et al., 1975). The matrix values are at the low end of highlands rocks.

**RADIOGENIC ISOTOPES AND GEOCHRONOLOGY**

Light gray friable matrix:
Compton et al. (1975) analyzed a 16.2-mg sample of matrix for Rb and Sr isotopes (Table 9). The data, which are plotted but not specifically discussed by Compston et al. (1975), fall on the mixing line between "gabbroic anorthosites" and microgranites discussed in the section on 72255. These data are fairly similar to those of the KREEPy basalt, which is probably a component of the sample analyzed. Nyquist et al. (1974a,b) also analyzed a bulk matrix sample for Rb and Sr isotopes (Table 9), with results similar to those of Compston et al. (1975). The Nyquist et al. (1975a,b) data correspond to TBABI and TLUNI model ages of 4.13 and 4.15 Ga respectively (original calculation of 4.22 and 4.24 Ga ± 0.05 using the old decay constant).

Leich et al. (1975a, b) attempted to date a friable matrix sample using 40Ar-39Ar methods. The release diagram (Fig. 24) for this sample (.57) shows an incipient apparent-age plateau that is cut short by a drop-off in the 1000 degree C fractions. The release is broadly similar to that of clast #5 KREEPy basalt (also on Fig. 24). As .57 is from matrix adjacent to KREEPy basalt clast #4, this matrix sample may be reflecting the pattern for the KREEP basalt. The friable matrix has many components, so a simple, one age release cannot particularly be expected. Leich et al. (1975a, b) interpret the pattern as resulting from truncation of the plateau to two temperature steps from outgassing of Fe- or Ti-rich (or both) phases, and state that the data are not adequate for chronological interpretation.

Nunes et al. (1974), Nunes and Tatsumoto (1975a), and Tatsumoto et al. (1974) reported U,Th-Pb isotopic data and age parameters for 72275 samples, including the friable matrix (72275,73; Table 10). The Pb data plots within error of concordia at about 4.25 Ga (see Table 4: Petrographic features of 6 feldspathic granulite clasts in 72275 (Salpas et al., 1987a).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>INAA/PM:</th>
<th>439/495</th>
<th>355/502</th>
<th>351/9019</th>
<th>397/9021</th>
<th>433/493</th>
<th>none/480</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Texture</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plagioclase</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mode</td>
<td>c-g</td>
<td>g-c</td>
<td>c-g</td>
<td>g-c</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>g-c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size</td>
<td>&lt; 10-350</td>
<td>&lt; 10-400</td>
<td>&lt; 10-650</td>
<td>&lt; 10-500</td>
<td>&lt; 10-340</td>
<td>&lt; 10-500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An</td>
<td>95.9-97.1</td>
<td>94.1-95.3</td>
<td>95.8-96.4</td>
<td>95.4-96.3</td>
<td>94.8-95.8</td>
<td>95.2-97.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyroxene</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mode</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size</td>
<td>&lt; 10 μm</td>
<td>&lt; 10 μm</td>
<td>&lt; 10 μm</td>
<td>&lt; 10 μm</td>
<td>&lt; 10 μm</td>
<td>&lt; 10 μm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olivine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mode</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size</td>
<td>40-175 μm</td>
<td>50-150 μm</td>
<td>80-450 μm</td>
<td>100-150 μm</td>
<td>30-45 μm</td>
<td>45-500 μm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe metal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mode</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>&lt; 1%</td>
<td>&lt; 1%</td>
<td>&lt; 1%</td>
<td>&lt; 1%</td>
<td>&lt; 1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size</td>
<td>&lt; 10 μm</td>
<td>&lt; 10 μm</td>
<td>&lt; 10 μm</td>
<td>&lt; 10 μm</td>
<td>&lt; 10 μm</td>
<td>&lt; 10 μm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

=c = cataclastic; g = granulitic; na = not analyzed. Analyzed compositions are for mineral fragments and do not include groundmass minerals that were generally too small for accurate analysis.
#### Table 5a: Chemical analyses of friable matrix samples from 72275.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Split</th>
<th>68</th>
<th>68</th>
<th>181</th>
<th>68</th>
<th>68</th>
<th>181</th>
<th>68</th>
<th>68</th>
<th>181</th>
<th>68</th>
<th>68</th>
<th>181</th>
<th>68</th>
<th>68</th>
<th>181</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>wt %</td>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>Cr₂O₃</td>
<td>FeO</td>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td>K₂O</td>
<td>P₂O₅</td>
<td>Sc</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>Cr</td>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>Cu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>48.6</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>0.444</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>9.52</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>0.480</td>
<td>0.278</td>
<td>0.236</td>
<td>44.7</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ppm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**
- References and methods:
  1. Blanchard et al. (1975); AAS; INAA; CR (1) CR (2)
  2. Morgan et al. (1974, 1975); INAA; CR (1) CR (2)
  3. Leach et al. (1973); lavaranion MS (K, Cr, other), IMA5 CR (2)
  4. Compton et al. (1975); IMA5
  5. Nance et al. (1974); Tronson et al. (1974) CR (1); IMA5 T.G. et al. (1974).

- The values in parentheses are uncertainties.
- AAS; INAA = 1.42%
- AAS; INAA = 1.18%
- Data from Waters and Hubbard et al. (1974) gives 0.288%
Table 5a: Continued.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Split wt %</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>47.31</td>
<td>47.54</td>
<td>48.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>16.90</td>
<td>17.01</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₂O₃</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.343</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>12.45</td>
<td>11.58</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr₂O₃</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>9.47</td>
<td>9.35</td>
<td>8.94</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>11.72</td>
<td>11.71</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂O</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>(0.276</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₂O₅</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In ppm:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Si</td>
<td>45.4</td>
<td>45.4</td>
<td>44.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>122.7</td>
<td>121</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr</td>
<td>87.8</td>
<td>87.8</td>
<td>87.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>120</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nb</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>8.97</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>122.7</td>
<td>121</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>160</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zr</td>
<td>565</td>
<td>605</td>
<td>613</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ba</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>440</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La</td>
<td>2.29</td>
<td>2.29</td>
<td>2.29</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pr</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nd</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sm</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eu</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gd</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tb</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dy</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Er</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tm</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yb</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lu</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hf</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ta</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nb</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Re</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Os</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ir</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hg</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tl</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bi</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

References and methods:
(7) Rose et al. (1976); XRF, OES, etc.
(8) Hubbard et al. (1976); Weastman & Hubbard (1975); AAS, EDXRF. Nyquist et al. (1974) a,b.
(9) LSPET (1973 a,b); XRF
(10) Moore et al. (1974 a,b); Moore & Lewis (1976); combination
(11) Gibson and Moore (1974 a,b)
(12) Taylor et al. (1974); SSMS/microprobe.
Table 5b: Chemical analyses of friable matrix samples from 72275. All data by neutron activation. Salpas et al. (1987b).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>413</th>
<th>417</th>
<th>423</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Major Elements (wt %)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO</td>
<td>14.50</td>
<td>15.65</td>
<td>15.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>12.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na2O</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Trace Elements (ppm)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Se</td>
<td>45.7</td>
<td>48.6</td>
<td>49.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V&lt;sup&gt;2+&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>na</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr</td>
<td>3062</td>
<td>3088</td>
<td>3255</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn&lt;sup&gt;2+&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>na</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co</td>
<td>31.3</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>35.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>&lt;110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rb</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>&lt;160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cs</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ba</td>
<td>370</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La</td>
<td>47.9</td>
<td>50.2</td>
<td>52.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nd</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sm</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>25.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eu</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>1.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tb</td>
<td>4.59</td>
<td>4.97</td>
<td>5.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yb</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>13.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lu</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>1.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zr</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>765</td>
<td>700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hf</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>17.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ta</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>1.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nb</td>
<td>5.52</td>
<td>5.46</td>
<td>6.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>1.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ir</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au(ppb)</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;7</td>
<td>&lt;6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>weight (mg)</td>
<td>105.10</td>
<td>123.88</td>
<td>23.59</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*SiO<sub>2</sub> by difference.

V<sup>2+</sup> = not detected (Ir detection limit = 2 ppb).

Na<sup>2+</sup> = not analyzed.

section on 72215, Fig. 10). The high U and Tb abundances in 72275,73 suggest that it contains a high proportion of a 17 KREEPy basalt.

A 17 KREEPy basalt:
Compston et al. (1975 and in Cl 2, 1974) reported Rb-Sr isotopic data for separates of a KREEPy basalt sample, 72275,171, described as a basalt of medium grain size. It was probably a subsample of clast #4; it certainly was not clast #5. The data conform to an internal isochron age of 3.93 +/- 0.04 Ga with an initial 87Sr/86Sr of 0.70957 +/-14 (Table 11a; Fig. 25a). All the splits fit the isochron within analytical uncertainty. Compston et al. (1975) interpret the age to be that of original lava crystallization, before incorporation into the breccia.

Rb-Sr isotopic data for separates of a split ,543 of the KREEPy basalt were reported by Shih et al. (1992) (Table 11b). The data yield an isochron age of 4.09 +/- 0.08 Ga (new Rb decay constants) and initial 87Sr/86Sr of 0.69960 +/- 0.00012 (Fig. 25b). A subset of whole-rock and 3 separates yields a good linear relationship corresponding with 4.06 +/- 0.01 Ga. The age is older than and resolved from that calculated from the data of Compston et al. (1975). The initial isotopic ratios agree within uncertainty. Shih et al. (1992) infer separate but similar volcanic events. The data scatter around the best fit line and suggest some disturbance. The model age (TLuni) for ,543 is similar to that of other KREEPy materials at about 4.3 Ga.

Shih et al. (1992) also reported Sm-Nd isotopic data for separates of split ,543. (Table 11c). The data correspond with an age of 4.08 +/- 0.07 Ga (Fig. 25c), with all points fitting within uncertainty of the Rb-Sr age (whichever Rb decay constant is used, and whether the whole Sr data set or the subset is used). Shih et al. (1992) prefer the old Rb decay constant and suggest that the basalt is 4.08 Ga old, and significantly older than Apollo 15 KREEPy basalts. The initial (Epsilon) Nd value relative to CHUR is slightly negative at -0.61 +/- 0.23, suggesting derivation from a non-chondritic, low Sm/Nd (light rare earth enriched) source.

Leich et al. (1975) provided 40Ar-39Ar data for 72275,91, a subsample of the clast #5 KREEPy basalt (Fig. 24). They found the data inadequate for chronological interpretation, mainly because of the drop-off at 1000 degrees C, similar to the friable matrix sample. The highest ages indicated correspond roughly with the Rb-Sr isochron age.

Nunes and Tatsumoto (1975) provided U,Th-Pb isotopic data and age parameters for 72275,170, the same clast analyzed by Compston et al. (1975) (Table 12). The data lie within analytical uncertainty of an approximately 3.9 - 4.4 Ga discordia line; varied calculated single-stage ages are in the 4.05 - 4.10 Ga range. However, if the crystallization age is 3.93 Ga (Rb-Sr), then the older 207Pb/206Pb age (4.1 Ga) must result from addition of Pb to the sample. This is presumably from the boulder matrix.

Dark Impact melt breccias:
Leich et al. (1975a) provided 40Ar-39Ar data for the dark melt breccia class #2, split 72275,83 (Fig. 26a). The drop-off of the intermediate plateau precludes an age determination, although an age of about 3.9 +/- 0.1 Ga is surely suggested by the data.
Table 5c: Chemical analyses of dark melt breccia (clast #2) in 72275.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Split wt %</th>
<th>SiO₂</th>
<th>TiO₂</th>
<th>Al₂O₃</th>
<th>Cr₂O₃</th>
<th>FeO</th>
<th>MgO</th>
<th>CaO</th>
<th>Na₂O</th>
<th>K₂O</th>
<th>P₂O₅</th>
<th>REE</th>
<th>ppm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>46</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>19.7</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Elements</th>
<th>ppm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sc</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hf</td>
<td>147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nb</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eu</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti</td>
<td>9.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg</td>
<td>10.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca</td>
<td>12.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REE</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Elements</th>
<th>ppm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sr</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yb</td>
<td>18.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eu</td>
<td>1.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y</td>
<td>7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho</td>
<td>12.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lu</td>
<td>1.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>0.395</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl</td>
<td>28.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Br</td>
<td>0.055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca</td>
<td>0.055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Elements</th>
<th>ppm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Au</td>
<td>1.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>3.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ga</td>
<td>178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ge</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>As</td>
<td>6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Se</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Br</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr</td>
<td>1.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yb</td>
<td>2.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ba</td>
<td>0.534</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hf</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ta</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bi</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

References and methods:
3. Leach G, et al., (1975), Irradiation/MS
4. Ivanov & Reed (1975 a,b,c,d), RRAA

(1) (2) (3) (4)
Table 6a: Chemical analyses of A17 KREEPpy basalts made from 1973 slab allocations, plus 543

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Split</th>
<th>51</th>
<th>51</th>
<th>51</th>
<th>51</th>
<th>51</th>
<th>51</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>48</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>0.156</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₂O₅</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>2.53</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ba</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>2.73</td>
<td>7.23</td>
<td>7.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>81.1</td>
<td>91.8</td>
<td>89.20</td>
<td>89.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zr</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>2.55</td>
<td>6.35</td>
<td>6.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nb</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>355</td>
<td>65.15</td>
<td>10.13</td>
<td>10.13</td>
<td>10.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Th</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dy</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hf</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yb</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lu</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bi</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>As</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Se</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hf</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yb</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lu</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bi</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

References and methods:
1. Blanchard et al. (1975); AAS; IDMS CR1(CR2)
2. Morgan et al. (1974, 1975); RNAA CR1-CR2
3. Leake et al. (1975); AAS; IDMS CR1-CR2
4. Computer et al. (1975); RNAA CR1-CR2
5. House & Towne (1975); RNAA CR1-CR2

Notes:
.91 in clin 85
.70 and .71 are probably clin 84.
Table 6b: Chemical analyses of A 17 KREEPy basalts and pristine basaltic breccias made from 1984 slab allocations. All data from neutron activation; SiO₂ where given is by difference. (Salpas et al., 1987b).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SiO₂</th>
<th>Major Elements (wt %)</th>
<th>Trace Elements (ppm)</th>
<th>Trace Elements (ppm)</th>
<th>Trace Elements (ppm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
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### Table 7: Chemistry of components of clast #1 (Marble Cake clast) of 72275.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Split</th>
<th>White (An Bx)</th>
<th>Dark (BC Bx)</th>
<th>Split</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>wt %</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>158</td>
<td>166(62)</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>88</td>
<td>168(62)</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>168(63)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>18.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr₂O₃</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>10.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₂O₃</td>
<td>0.077</td>
<td>0.104</td>
<td>0.107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>5.24</td>
<td>9.43</td>
<td>9.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>11.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂O</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₂O₅</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>ppm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>0.670</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>3.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>3.160</td>
<td>3.280</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>1.600</td>
<td>7.878</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>78</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>206</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>2.14</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>2.04</td>
<td>2.54</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>35.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>3.91</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>3.46</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>2.53</td>
<td>0.330</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**References and methods:**

1. Blancard et al (1975); AAS, INAA (Cl(1) Cl(2))
3. Leicht et al (1975); Irradiation, MS (K, Ca) and MSXO (others) Cl(2)

**Notes:**

(a) Dark separations from interior white.

(b) AAS; INAA = 10.8%
Table 8: Partial analyses of six feldspathic granulites and one anorthosite (FAN) from 72275, obtained by neutron activation. Salpas et al. (1987a).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Granulites</th>
<th>FAN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Major Elements (wt %)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;O&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>22.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO</td>
<td>8.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>11.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>11.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;O</td>
<td>0.307</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trace Elements (ppm)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr</td>
<td>2414</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn</td>
<td>594</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co</td>
<td>35.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr</td>
<td>124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca</td>
<td>0.124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ba</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La</td>
<td>4.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce</td>
<td>10.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nd</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sm</td>
<td>2.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eu</td>
<td>0.698</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tb</td>
<td>0.473</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yb</td>
<td>2.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lu</td>
<td>0.302</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hf</td>
<td>1.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ta</td>
<td>0.266</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Th</td>
<td>1.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ir (ppb)</td>
<td>9.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au (ppb)</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

na = not analyzed.
nd = not detected (Ir detection limit = 2 ppb).

Table 9: Rb-Sr isotopic data for 72275 friable matrix samples.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Mass mg</th>
<th>Rb ppm</th>
<th>Sr ppm</th>
<th>87Rb/87Sr</th>
<th>87Sr/87Sr +/− s.e.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) 1.52</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>8.20</td>
<td>115.3</td>
<td>0.2053</td>
<td>0.71139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) 2</td>
<td>52.8</td>
<td>8.97</td>
<td>122.7</td>
<td>0.2115</td>
<td>0.71188</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a) Compston et al. (1975) b) Nyquist et al. (1974a,b).
Table 10: U,Th-Pb data and age parameters for 72275 friable matrix and clast #1 (Marble Cake) samples. Nunes et al. (1974).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Weight (mg)</th>
<th>Concentrations (ppm)</th>
<th>238Th/235U</th>
<th>235U/206Pb</th>
<th>206Pb/207Pb</th>
<th>207Pb/208Pb</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Boulder 1, Station 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72275.73 matrix</td>
<td>131.8</td>
<td>1.561</td>
<td>3.096</td>
<td>3.95</td>
<td>4.284</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72275.81 clast #1</td>
<td>31.7</td>
<td>3.500</td>
<td>7.878</td>
<td>3.90</td>
<td>2.493</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72275.117 clast #1</td>
<td>50.7</td>
<td>0.670</td>
<td>1.410</td>
<td>4.245</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Weight (mg)</th>
<th>Observed ratios</th>
<th>Corrected for analytical blank</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Boulder 1, Station 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72275.73 matrix</td>
<td>162.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72275.81 clast #1</td>
<td>53.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72275.117 clast #1</td>
<td>83.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Weight (mg)</th>
<th>Corrected for blank and primordial Pb</th>
<th>Single-stage ages in m.y.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>72275.73 matrix</td>
<td>C1P</td>
<td></td>
<td>4,256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72275.81 clast #1</td>
<td>C1P</td>
<td></td>
<td>4,568</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72275.117 clast #1</td>
<td>C1P</td>
<td></td>
<td>4,553</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 11a: Rb-Sr data for KREEPy basalt separates. Compton et al. (1975).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Weight (mg)</th>
<th>Rb (ppm)</th>
<th>Sr (total) (ppm)</th>
<th>$^{87}$Rb/$^{86}$Sr</th>
<th>$^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr ($\pm$ s.e.)</th>
<th>$^{87}$Rb/6Sr ($\pm$ s.e.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mesostasis</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>122.9</td>
<td>0.4417</td>
<td>0.72489 ± 6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plagioclase</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>173.3</td>
<td>0.02799</td>
<td>0.70117 ± 4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pigeonite</td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td>0.427</td>
<td>5.80</td>
<td>0.2127</td>
<td>0.71124 ± 42</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total-rock</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>6.34</td>
<td>81.1</td>
<td>0.2260</td>
<td>0.71262 ± 3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total-rock (1)</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>7.53</td>
<td>91.8</td>
<td>0.2370</td>
<td>0.71307 ± 9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Internal standard error of mean.

Table 11b: Rb-Sr data for KREEPy basalt separates. Shih et al. (1992).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Wt. (mg)</th>
<th>Rb (ppm)</th>
<th>Sr (ppm)</th>
<th>$^{87}$Rb/$^{86}$Sr</th>
<th>$^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr</th>
<th>$^{87}$Rb/6Sr</th>
<th>$^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr</th>
<th>$T_{LUNI}$ (Ga)</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WR</td>
<td>11.16</td>
<td>7.323</td>
<td>89.20</td>
<td>0.2375 ± 12</td>
<td>0.713690 ± 17</td>
<td>4.31 ± 0.02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plag</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>1.040</td>
<td>184.1</td>
<td>0.01634 ± 12</td>
<td>0.700530 ± 19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opx</td>
<td>2.76</td>
<td>0.677</td>
<td>18.95</td>
<td>0.10350 ± 74</td>
<td>0.705463 ± 25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opaques</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>28.10</td>
<td>96.95</td>
<td>0.8386 ± 49</td>
<td>0.748935 ± 19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho &lt; 2.75$</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>6.364</td>
<td>199.3</td>
<td>0.09241 ± 48</td>
<td>0.705221 ± 10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho = 3.3 - 3.55$</td>
<td>6.94</td>
<td>3.250</td>
<td>21.25</td>
<td>0.4424 ± 23</td>
<td>0.725513 ± 29</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho &gt; 3.55$</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>2.859</td>
<td>18.68</td>
<td>0.4428 ± 24</td>
<td>0.725716 ± 19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NBS 987 (n = 13)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.710251 ± 28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Uncertainties correspond to last figures and are 2$s\sigma_m$.
* Normalized to $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr = 0.137521 and $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr = 0.71025 for NBS 987.
* Calculated for $A^{87}$Rb = 0.0139 Ga$^{-1}$.
* Density in g/cm$^3$ for all mineral separates obtained using heavy liquids.

Table 11c: Sm-Nd data for KREEPy basalt separates. Shih et al. (1992).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Wt. (mg)</th>
<th>Sm (ppm)</th>
<th>Nd (ppm)</th>
<th>$^{147}$Sm/$^{144}$Nd</th>
<th>$^{144}$Nd/$^{144}$Nd</th>
<th>$T_{CHONI}$ (Ga)</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WR</td>
<td>11.16</td>
<td>18.13</td>
<td>65.15</td>
<td>0.16830 ± 17</td>
<td>0.511036 ± 12</td>
<td>4.60 ± 0.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plag</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>1.549</td>
<td>6.160</td>
<td>0.15203 ± 75</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opx</td>
<td>2.76</td>
<td>2.127</td>
<td>6.394</td>
<td>0.20118 ± 29</td>
<td>0.511943 ± 12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opaques</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>88.47</td>
<td>326.3</td>
<td>0.16398 ± 17</td>
<td>0.510937 ± 13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho &lt; 2.75$</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>9.926</td>
<td>376.3</td>
<td>0.15951 ± 17</td>
<td>0.510816 ± 12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho = 3.3 - 3.55$</td>
<td>6.94</td>
<td>9.118</td>
<td>30.27</td>
<td>0.18219 ± 18</td>
<td>0.511418 ± 12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho &gt; 3.55$</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>10.10</td>
<td>34.71</td>
<td>0.17607 ± 18</td>
<td>0.511257 ± 12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ames Nd Standard (n = 16)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.511088 ± 12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Uncertainties correspond to last figures and are 2$s\sigma_m$.
* Normalized to $^{144}$Nd/$^{144}$Nd = 0.724140 and $^{144}$Nd/$^{144}$Nd = 0.51138 for the Ames Nd metal standard which is equivalent to CIT nNd$\beta$ standard of Wasserburg et al. [15].
* Calculated for $A^{147}$Sm = 0.00654 Ga$^{-1}$.
* Model age relative to the CHONdritic Initial $^{144}$Nd/$^{144}$Nd (CHONI = 0.505893 of Jacobsen and Wasserburg [31]).
* Density in g/cm$^3$ for all mineral separates obtained using heavy liquids.
* Mean value of twelve Nd standard measurements made during this investigation; 325 ng of Nd standard were used for each measurement; error limits are 2$s\sigma_p$, as reported in [14].
Table 12: U, Th-Pb data and age parameters for 72275 KREEPy basalt (probably clast #4).
Nunes and Tatsumoto (1975a).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Run</th>
<th>Weight (mg)</th>
<th>Concentrations</th>
<th>(^{226}\text{Th}/^{238}\text{U} \times 10^6)</th>
<th>(^{208}\text{U}/^{204}\text{Pb})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>72275.170</td>
<td>Pigeonite basalt clast (PB)</td>
<td>C1</td>
<td>38.6</td>
<td>1.635</td>
<td>6.255</td>
<td>3.047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3045</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Run</th>
<th>Weight (mg)</th>
<th>Observed Ratios</th>
<th>Corrected for Analytical Blank</th>
<th>Single-stage ages x 10^6 yr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>72275.170</td>
<td>Pigeonite basalt clast (PB)</td>
<td>C1</td>
<td>38.9</td>
<td>2360</td>
<td>1079</td>
<td>2387</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(15592)</td>
<td>(34420)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P = composition run; C = concentration run; (GCBx) = gray competent breccia; (PB) = pigeonite basalt.  
* Totally spiked runs from solid sample splits; other runs were obtained from samples which were divided from solution.  
\(^b\) Pb blanks ranged from 1.4 to 2.1 ng for the solution aliquoted data and were 1.05 ng for the totally spiked data.  
\(^c\) Raw data corrected for mass discrimination of 0.15% per mass unit. \(^{208}\text{Pb}\) spike contribution subtracted from concentration data.  
All 72215 samples are competent breccias with colors ranging from black to light-gray.

Table 13: Magnetic properties of 72275, 2.
Pearce et al. (1974b).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>(J_x) (emu/g)</th>
<th>(X_o) (emu/g Oe) (\times 10^6)</th>
<th>(X_a) (emu/g Oe) (\times 10^6)</th>
<th>(H_e) (Oe)</th>
<th>(H_m) (Oe)</th>
<th>Equiv. wt.% Fe^(\alpha)</th>
<th>Equiv. wt.% Fe^(\delta)</th>
<th>(\text{Fe}^\alpha/\text{Fe}^\delta)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Noritic rocks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72275.2</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>35.0</td>
<td>.51</td>
<td>8.72</td>
<td>.59</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 14: Magnetic properties (hysteresis parameters) of 72275,67.
Brecher et al. (1974).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample (mass. mg)</th>
<th>U(35)</th>
<th>O(104)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>300</td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(T , (^\circ K))</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(J, \text{ (emu/g)})</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>1.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(J_0 \times 10^3 \text{ emu/g})</td>
<td>.05</td>
<td>.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\chi_0 \times 10^4 \text{ emu/g - Oe})</td>
<td>34.6</td>
<td>48.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\chi_p \times 10^6 \text{ emu/g - Oe})</td>
<td>6.92</td>
<td>6.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(H_c , (\text{Oe}))</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(m_{Fe}(\text{wt.%}))</td>
<td>.59</td>
<td>.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(f_{Fe'}(\text{wt.%}))</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>12.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{Fe}^\circ/\text{Fe}^{++})</td>
<td>.036</td>
<td>.045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(J_0/J)</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td>.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(J_0/\chi_0)</td>
<td>1850</td>
<td>1790</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 15: Native iron determined from \(J_s\) measurement of 72275 samples.
Banerjee and Swits (1975).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample No.</th>
<th>(J_s , (\text{G-cm}^2 \text{g}^{-1}))</th>
<th>(\text{Fe}^\circ \text{ content (wt. %)})</th>
<th>Average</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>72275,46</td>
<td>3.26</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72275,47 (1)</td>
<td>4.47</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>1.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72275,47 (2)</td>
<td>2.70</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72275,56</td>
<td>4.09</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 19a: Abundances of rare earth elements in 72275 friable matrix and dark melt samples. Dark melt breccia clast #2 (,83) is a solid line with +'s, and is similar to typical matrix. The extremely high REE sample (dashed line with +'s) is a split of ,57, and is KREEPy basalt rich. Another split of ,57 (long dashes) has high light but not heavy rare earths. Split ,101 is shortest dashes with x's. A larger sample, ,2, is a solid line without added symbols, and ,108 is dash-dot with o's. For references, see Tables 5a and 5c.

EXPOSURE AGES AND PARTICLE TRACKS

Leich et al. (1975a) tabulated extensive rare gas isotopic data (He, Ne, Ar, Kr, Xe) for 72275 samples: friable matrix (,57), clast #1 (Marble Cake) core (,76) and rind (,80 and ,166), and the KREEPy basalt clast #5 (,91). Only ,80 shows trapped Ne and Ar components that might be indicative of a small amount of solar wind contamination. 81Kr-Kr exposure ages for four of these samples (KREEPy basalt not included in the exposure tabulations) give a weighted mean of 52.5 m.y., with a 1.3 m.y. standard deviation. This age is about 10 m.y. older than that of samples 72215 and 72255, and indicate different shielding parameters for boulder samples. Exposure ages from 38Ar, 83Kr, and 126Xe are fairly consistent, but from 21Ne and 3He are somewhat lower. Exposures calculated from 38Ar-Ca determinations are unreliable (Leich et al., 1975a).

Clast #1 (Marble Cake):
Leich et al. (1975a) provided 40Ar-39Ar data for the rind (,80) and the interior (,76) of the Marble Cake clast (Figs. 26a, b). Like the other samples discussed above, the data for the interior allow no firm chronological interpretation, although again some age around 3.9 Ga for outgassing is suggested by the data. Leich et al. (1975a) however do attach significance to the intermediate plateau for the rind, which gives an age of 3.93 +/- 0.03 Ga (new constants; Fig. 26b).

Nunes et al. (1974) provided U, Th-Pb data for both rind (,81) and interior (,117) of the Marble Cake clast (Table 10). The data plot within error of concordia near the 4.5 Ga point.

PHYSICAL PROPERTIES

Magnetic properties of 72275 friable matrix samples were reported by Pearce et al. (1974a, b), Brecher et al. (1974), Brecher and Morash (1974), Banerjee et al. (1974a, b), and Banerjee and Swits (1975). The data from Pearce et al. (1974a, b) is given in Table 13, and that from Brecher et al. in Table 14. Native metal contents inferred from JG measurements by Banerjee and Swits (1975) are in Table 15, and are substantially higher than those inferred for the matrix sample by Pearce et al. (1974a, b) or Brecher et al. (1974a, b). All measured samples contain much more native metal than do mare samples. Banerjee et al. (1974a, b) and Banerjee and Swits (1975) used samples of known mutual orientations (known within about 20 degrees). They found that the average directions of natural remanent magnetism in all the 72255 and 72275 samples were approximately the same (see
diagrams in section on 72255). In an attempt to separate stable primary NRM from unstable secondary NRM, the authors attempted thermal demagnetization, avoiding oxidation; however, it appeared that permanent damage was done to the carriers and the procedure unavoidable. AF-demagnetization showed no zig-zag patterns, and the NRM direction after demagnetization in fields of 80 Oe and greater are stable and primary; however, they differ from those in 72255 by 130 degrees (see diagrams in 72255 section). Banerjee and Swits (1975) presented data for paleointensity, suggesting a field of about 0.19 Oe, lower than those for 72215 and 72255. However, given the problems of obtaining and interpreting magnetic data for lunar samples, neither the directions nor the intensities can be said to have known meanings.

PROCESSING

The 1973 processing and sawing was described by Marvin (in CI 1, 1974), and the 1984 processing by Salpas et al. (1985). The sample arrived from the Moon with several pieces dislodged from the friable matrix; some of these could be fitted together, but others remained undocumented. Some were used for thin sections and chemical analyses. A slab (.42) was cut (Figs. 2, 3), and subdivided (Fig. 28). Many allocations were made from this slab. The end pieces remained largely untouched. In 1984 two more slabs were cut parallel to the first one (Fig. 5c, 4, and 5) and allocations, mainly of clasts, were made from them.

Table 16: Magnetic properties of 72275,67. Brecher et al. (1974a).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples (Mass, g)</th>
<th>72275,67 (0.932)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NRM $\left( x 10^{-3} \text{emu} \right)$</td>
<td>6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRM$^a \left( x 10^{-3} \text{emu} \right)$</td>
<td>4.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRM$^b$/NRM</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRM$\left(H_{\text{room}}\right)$ $\times 10^{-3} \text{emu} \left( \text{Oe} \right)$</td>
<td>3.36 (0.087)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRM$/NRM$</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H$_{fr}$ (Oe)</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRM$^c$ $\left( x 10^{-3} \text{emu} \right)$</td>
<td>48.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRM$^d$/IRM$^c$</td>
<td>10.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRM$\left(H_{\text{room}}\right)$ $\times 10^{-3} \text{emu} (\text{Oe})$</td>
<td>253 (0.63)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRM$/NRM$</td>
<td>41.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H$_{fr}$ (Oe)</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRM$^e$ $\left( x 10^{-3} \text{emu} \right)$</td>
<td>128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRM$^f$/IRM$^e$</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adams and Charette (1975) and Charette and Adams (1977) measured the spectral reflectance (0.35 - 2.5 microns) of two samples from 72275 (Fig. 27). 72275,98 is undocumented fines from sawing, and 72275,103 is a surface chip of matrix; both represent general friable matrix. They show the typical absorption bands near 0.9 microns and 1.9 microns that arise from electronic transitions of Fe$^{2+}$ in orthopyroxene, and a broad absorption band near 0.6 microns that is commonly associated with ilmenite.
Figure 19b: Abundances of rare earth elements in 72255 friable matrix. These samples are all rich in KREEPy basalts, and may be pure KREEPy basalt breccias. Data from Table 5b (Salpas et al., 1987b).

Figure 20: Rare earth elements in samples of KREEPy basalts and KREEPy basalt breccias. 72275,91 is the solid line near the middle of the range (Blanchard et al., 1975). The other five analyses are the two most REE-rich (#357 and 363b), the two most REE-poor (#393 and 359), and one close to an average composition (#347) from Salpas et al. (1987b).
Figure 21: Pseudoquaternary phase diagram (Ol-Si-An) for A 17 KREEPy basalts (Salpas et al., 1987b). The black dots are the 9 analyses that included major elements, with SiO2 by difference; the filled star is the average of these 9 analyses. The enclosed star is the analysis of Blanchard et al. (1975). The open circles are defocused beam microprobe analyses of Ryder et al. (1977), with their average as an open star.

Figure 22: Rare earth elements in lithologies of clast #1 (Marble Cake clast). The two upper plots are for rind materials and are very similar. The lower plot is for the white interior, and probably includes a component of dark rind material. All data from Blanchard et al. (1975).

Figure 23: Rare earth elements in six felspathic granulites (top patterns) and a ferroan anorthosite (lower pattern) from 72275. Grid is drawn to conform as closely as possible with other diagrams in this section, so lower pattern falls below grid. Data from Salpas et al. (1987a).
Figure 24: $^{40}$Ar release diagram for 72275,57 (friable matrix) and 72275,91 (clast #5, KREEPy basalt). The apparent age scale is calibrated to the old decay constants. Leich et al. (1975a).

Figure 25a: Rb-Sr internal isochron for 72275 KREEPy basalt (probably clast #4). The age is 3.93 +/- 0.04 Ga with the new decay constants. Left hand axis is $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr; lower axis is $^{87}$Rb/$^{86}$Sr. Compston et al. (1975).

Figure 25b: Rb-Sr isochron for KREEPy basalt sample 72275,543. Ages calculated with old Rb decay constant. Shih et al. (1992).
Figure 25c: Sm-Nd isochron for KREEPy basalt sample 72275,543. Shih et al. (1992).

Fig. 26: Apparent $^{40}$Ar age and K/Ca for 72275 samples. Age calibrations are with old decay constants. Leich et al. (1975b). a) 72275,76 (Marble Cake interior) and 72275,83 (dark melt breccia clast #2). b) 72275,80 (Marble Cake rind).
Figure 27: Diffuse reflectance spectra for 72275 and some other A17 samples. Adams and Charette (1975).

Figure 28: Subdivisions of 1973 slab 72275,42. (Marvin, in CI 2, 1974).
Boulder 2 at Station 2 was one of three boulders sampled on the lower slopes of the South Massif (see section on Boulder 1, Station 2 for description of area). Boulder 2 lay approximately 50 m southwest of the LRV parking spot. It is greenish-gray or tan gray, and approximately 2 m wide and 2 m high as measured from the lunar surface. It is rounded, and smoother than Boulder 1. Several sets of fractures can be recognized, but no layering is visible. The boulder has a fillet about 25 cm high on its uphill side but overhangs the ground surface on its downhill side (fillet material was sampled as 72320).

The astronauts took 5 samples from Boulder 2 (Fig. 1). During sampling, Schmitt observed a distinctive half-meter patch on the surface that he interpreted as a clast of material similar to the rest of the boulder. Sample 72315 represents this "clast", and 72335 represents the "contact" with the groundmass. 72355, 72375, and 72395 represent normal boulder matrix. Petrographic and chemical studies show that all five samples are virtually identical; the distinctive patch was probably a spall. Each sample has an exterior side (with brown patina and zap pits) and a freshly-exposed interior surface.

Most of the studies of Boulder 2 were made by a loosely-knit Consortium led by the Caltech group (Dymek et al. 1976). All are clast-bearing fine-grained impact melts of low-K Fra Mauro with composition similar to others at the Apollo 17 landing site. The boulder is generally interpreted as a piece of an impact melt unit created in the Serenitatis impact at -3.86 Ga ago. It rolled down the South Massif to its present position about 20 m.y. ago, according to exposure data.

Figure 1. Sampling of Boulder 2, Station 2. The gnomon has a height of 62 cm. (AS137-20913).
INTRODUCTION

72315 is a fine-grained, clast-bearing impact melt with a poikilitic texture. Although it was sampled to represent an apparent distinctive half-meter clast (see section on Boulder 2, Station 2), 72315 is identical in all analyzed respects with all other samples from Boulder 2. Although no definitive geochronological data exist, a general assumption is that 72315 crystallized at the same time as other melts of similar petrography and chemistry at the Apollo 17 site, i.e. 3.86 Ga ago. The sample, 10 x 5.5 x 2 cm, is an angular elongate light gray (N7) slab (Fig. 1). It is tough and homogeneous, but with an irregular distribution of clasts and vugs, and there are some penetrative fractures. Clasts larger than 1 mm compose less than 5% of the rock. The exposed surface (T) of 72315 has many zap pits and the broken surface is hackly (Figs 1, 2, 3). Irregular cavities forming about 10% of the sample range up to 3 mm, although most are much less than 1 mm across; the larger ones have brown pyroxene linings, smaller ones have drusy linings.

72315 is so similar to other samples from Boulder 2 that it will not be described here in detail, but specific studies are referenced. It was studied mainly under a consortium led by the Caltech group (Dymek et al., 1976a), but not in as much detail as 72395. The description of 72395 can be assumed as a description of 72315. Following chipping of a few small pieces of 72315, the sample was sawn to produce mainly two end pieces and two central slabs. These slabs were entirely subdivided and produced oriented samples for track studies.

PETROGRAPHY

All five samples from Boulder 2 are very similar in petrography. Dymek et al. (1976a) gave descriptions of the petrography subsequent to a briefer description by Albee et al. (1974b) and Dymek et al. (1976b). They did not give individual descriptions of the petrography and that practice is for the most part followed here. Thus, for a description and mineral diagrams of 72315 see sample 72395.

Figure 1: Exposed (top) and broken (lower) surfaces of 72315. The sample is homogeneous, with a few dark and light clasts visible. Scale in centimeters. S-73-18693.
Figure 2:  
a) Post-sawing pieces,16 (W end);,15 (broken-off N edge); and,18 (sawn from,17, and from between ,16 and,17). Split ,19 fell off,18. Cube is 2 cm. S-74-15094.  
b) Post-sawing piece,17 (E end) and subdivisions of 
an unnumbered slab cut from it adjacent to,18. Large cube is 2.54 cm. S-74-17830.
Figure 3: Subdivision of slab, 18. Cube is 1 cm. S-74-17835.

Figure 4: Photomicrographs of 72315, 78. Plane transmitted light, all about 1 mm field of view. a) Melt groundmass and smaller clasts (larger white areas), mainly plagioclases with lesser mafic minerals. Ilmenites are mainly grown in the groundmass. b) Contact between melt (top) and a larger lithic clast of feldspathic granulite (bottom).
The sample is a fine-grained impact melt with a micropoikilitic texture and some small clasts (Fig. 4 and Dymek et al., 1976a). Simonds et al. (1974) referred to it as "clast-rich ophitic" with matrix feldspars and pyroxenes respectively 10 to 40 and 20 to 80 microns long. Photomicrographs of matrix and clasts are given in Dymek et al. and pyroxenes rich ophitic" with matrix feldspars and pyroxenes respectively 10 to 40 and 20 to 80 microns long. Engelhardt (1979) noted the poikilitic texture and classified the paragenesis as one with ilmenite crystallizing only after pyroxene finished crystallizing.

CHEMISTRY

Chemical analyses of bulk rock (groundmass plus clasts) are given in Table 1 and rare earth elements are plotted in Figure 5 with other data for comparison. Laul and Schmitt (1974a,b,c) and Laul et al. (1974) analyzed both exterior and interior chips which are essentially indistinguishable. The chemistry is similar to that of the other samples from Boulder 2 and other LKFM poikilitic melts from the Apollo 17 landing site; the incompatible element abundances are the lowest among the Boulder 2 samples (Fig. 5).

RADIOGENIC ISOTOPES AND CHRONOLOGY

Tera et al. (1974a) reported Rb and Sr isotopic data for a 24 mg whole-rock split of 72315 without specific discussion. \(^{87}\text{Rb}/^{86}\text{Sr}\) (0.1445) and \(^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}\) (0.70839+/−5) correspond with \(\text{TBAB}_{1}\) of 4.44 Ga. Hutcheon et al. (1974b) studied fission tracks in apatite crystals, tabulating densities. Assuming negligible cosmic ray induced fission, the densities correspond with ages of 3.09 Ga and 2.94 Ga; assuming induced fission, the densities correspond with ages of 2.51 Ga and 2.30 Ga (Table 2). These ages are younger than the probable crystallization age of the rock (about 3.86 Ga) because of thermal fading of tracks over the last 10 to 20 Ma, in which 50 to 60% of tracks have been annealed.

EXPOSURE AGES

Hutcheon et al. (1974a,b,c) and MacDougall et al. (1974) studied cosmic ray tracks in samples from 72315. Hutcheon et al. (1974a) described the collection and sampling of 72315; the studied sample was a column (Fig. 6). The inner side of 72315 was a crevice on the boulder, and with the known orientation, allows the determination of the direction in space from which the particles arrived. The sampling allowed a virtually uneroded Fe spectrum averaged over several hundred thousand years, in the range of about 1 to about 460 MeV/a.m.u. By tying the intensity of solar flare to that of galactic cosmic rays, an exposure age can be determined assuming production rates. The track density-depth relationships are shown in Figure 7. From these data and the production spectrum of Walker-Yuhas, Hutcheon et al. (1974a) derived an exposure to galactic cosmic rays of about 0.22 Ma, and exposure to solar flares for 0.52 Ma (probably consistent with each other), for an estimated exposure of the crevice for 0.27 Ma. This exposure age is almost certainly unrelated to the time that the boulder rolled down the slope, and reflects only the age of a spall event that removed a large fragment from the surface of the boulder. Surface microcrater counts suggest an exposure age of about 0.15 Ma. Hutcheon et al. (1974b) measured tracks in an unoriented
Table 1: Chemical analyses of bulk samples of 72315.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Split</th>
<th>A(c)</th>
<th>A(d)</th>
<th>A(e)</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>0.186</td>
<td>0.187</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>0.111</td>
<td>0.111</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂O</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.341</td>
<td>0.336</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₂O₅</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.317</td>
<td>0.346</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sc</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>340</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>165.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>400</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zr</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ba</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>4.80</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Th</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U</td>
<td>0.450</td>
<td>0.450</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>31</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sm</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eu</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gd</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dy</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Er</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yb</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lu</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Be</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ar</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zr</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>120</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nb</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ta</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hf</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ta</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Os</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ir</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bi</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

References and notes:
(1) Last et al. (1976), A.A. and Schmidt (1974); R.A.
(2) Last et al. (1974), A.A. and Schmidt (1974 a, c); R.A.
(3) Kerr et al. (1974 a, b); gamma-ray spec.
(4) Ten et al. (1974 a), R.A.

Notes:
- (a) probably high from contamination, according to author.
- (b) Typographical error, reported as 6 in original paper.
- (c) Mostly extinct.
- (d) Mostly extinct.
interior chip in 72315, located several centimeters from the column sample. Assuming a simple exposure history would suggest an exposure of about 5 Ma, but from the shape of the track density profile in the whole column it can be shown that the boulder has experienced a complicated exposure extending over several million years in an orientation different from that at the present, and that a spall occurred about 0.27 Ma ago (above).

Keith et al. (1974a,b) tabulated count data for cosmogenic nuclides without specific discussion. Yokoyama et al. (1974) used the data of Keith et al. (1974a,b) in discussing $^{22}$Na-$^{26}$Al relationships. They found the sample to be unsaturated in $^{26}$Al, suggesting very short exposure times (of the order of 10$^5$ years), consistent with the Hutcheon et al. (1974a) results.

### PROCESSING

Several early allocations were made from small documented chips (.2 to .6 and .11) removed from 72315 prior to sawing. In 1973/4 sawing produced the W end piece ,16 (17.1 g, now stored at Brooks; Fig. 2a) and E end piece,17 (now 70.6 g). Piece ,17 was resawn to produce the slab ,18 (which was subsequently entirely subdivided, Fig. 3) and a second unnumbered slab that was also entirely subdivided (Fig. 2b). During sawing a large piece (.15, 7.8 g) fell off (Fig. 2a). Few of the slab pieces have been used for allocations.

### Table 2: Fission track data and calculated ages for apatites in 72315 (Hutcheon et al., 1974b). a=assuming cosmic ray induced fission.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>72315 Apatite 1</th>
<th>72315 Apatite 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Uranium content (ppm)</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total track density (t/cm$^2$)</td>
<td>$1.55 \times 10^9$</td>
<td>$1.58 \times 10^9$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reactor induced (t/cm$^2$)</td>
<td>$2.82 \times 10^7$</td>
<td>$3.06 \times 10^7$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cosmic ray (t/cm$^2$)</td>
<td>$3.0 \times 10^6$</td>
<td>$3.0 \times 10^6$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C.R. induced fission* (t/cm$^2$)</td>
<td>$2.82 \times 10^7$</td>
<td>$3.06 \times 10^7$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age† (m.y.) (a)</td>
<td>$2.51 \times 10^9$</td>
<td>$2.30 \times 10^9$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b)</td>
<td>$3.09 \times 10^9$</td>
<td>$2.94 \times 10^9$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 6:** Sketch of the crevice side of 72315, showing the orientation of the track column extending through the sample. Height of the sample is about 10 cm. (Hutcheon et al., 1974a).
Figure 7: Track density profiles through interior (a) and exterior (b) parts of 73215. Triangles are for TEM measurements and open circles are for SEM measurements. (Hutcheon et al., 1974a).
INTRODUCTION

72335 is a fine-grained, clast-bearing impact melt with a poikilitic texture. It was collected to sample the contact of the matrix of Boulder 2, Station 2, with an apparent clast, represented by 72315 (see section on Boulder 2, Station 2). However, like 73215, it is identical in all analyzed respects with all other samples from Boulder 2. Nonetheless, the literature about 72335 is distinct in that a granulite clast dominated the early allocations, rather than the matrix, leading to a temporary inference that it was distinct. Although no definitive geochronological data exist, a general assumption is that 72335 crystallized at the same time as other melts of similar petrography and chemistry at the Apollo 17 site, i.e. 3.86 Ga ago. The sample, 8 x 1.5 x 1.5 cm, is angular and greenish gray (5GY 6/1) (Fig. 1). It is tough, homogeneous, and lacks penetrative fractures. Clasts larger than 1 mm compose less than 10% of the rock. The exposed surface (N,T, part of E) of 72335 has a thin patina and many zap pits. Irregular cavities with drusy crystals form about 30% of the surface; they range up to 1 mm, although most are about 0.2 mm across.

72335 is so similar to other samples from Boulder 2 that it will not be described here in detail, but specific studies are referenced. It was studied mainly under a consortium led by the Caltech group (Dymek et al., 1976a), but not in as much detail as 72395. The description of 72395 can be assumed as a description of 72335. Following chipping of a few pieces for allocation, the W end of the sample was sawn off (.16; 33.6 g; Fig. 2) and stored at Brooks.

PETROGRAPHY

All five samples from Boulder 2 are very similar in petrography. Dymek et al. (1976a)
gave descriptions of the petrography subsequent to a briefer description by Albee et al. (1974b) and Dymek et al. (1976b). They did not give individual descriptions of the petrography, and that practice is for the most part followed here; thus, for a description and mineral diagrams of 72335 matrix see sample 72395.

Dymek et al. (1976a, c) described the sample, following a briefer description by Albee et al. (1974b). They noted that the earliest allocations had been of a 1-cm clast of a fine-grained, granulitic anorthositic norite (feldspathic granulite), and that the actual matrix was similar to the other Boulder 2 samples (Fig. 3). The description by Simonds et al. (1974) is of the granulitic clast: feldspars 25 to 500 microns and mafic grains 10 to 30 (rarely 100) microns. The paragenesis of Engelhardt (1979) (ilmenite crystallizing later than pyroxene) is for the actual matrix.

Figure 2: Sawn face of W end piece 72335,16 showing high proportion of vugs. Small divisions on scale are 1 mm. S-76-24377.

Figure 3: Photomicrograph of 72335,5 showing poikilitic impact melt matrix (left) and feldspathic granulite clast (left). Plane transmitted light. Field of view about 1 mm wide.
CHEMISTRY

Chemical analyses of the matrix and the granulitic clast are tabulated separately (Tables 1 and 2 respectively). The rare earth elements are also plotted separately (Figs. 4 and 5). The chemistry of the matrix is identical with that of other Boulder 2 samples, with rare earths at the lower end of the range (Fig. 4). In the earliest publications (e.g. Laul and Schmitt, 1974a,b), the granulite clast was assumed to represent bulk rock. Later publications (e.g. Laul and Schmitt, 1975) recognize that the first allocations were atypical, but instead of recognizing the presence of a granulitic clast, suggested that the 72335 matrix was heterogeneous. The distinct siderophile ratios of the feldspathic sample (Group 3, cf. Group 2 of the other matrices) was recognized. It is possible that the sample of feldspathic granulite analyzed included matrix contamination, as it was not specifically sampled as a clast.

RADIOGENIC ISOTOPES AND CHRONOLOGY

Tera et al. (1974a) reported Rb and Sr isotopic data for a split that is probably at least mainly a feldspathic granulite clast, without specific discussion. \(^{87}\text{Rb}/^{86}\text{Sr} (0.03695)\) and \(^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr} (0.70136\pm0.0005)\) are distinct from those of the matrix of the other Boulder 2 samples and correspond with TBABJ of 4.40 Ga.

EXPOSURE

MacDougall et al. (1974) and Hutcheon et al. (1974b) studied a small undocumented chip, supposedly from the surface, for tracks. However, there was no track density gradient discernable on the edge examined. Interior feldspars showed solar flare track gradients extended over several grains; a maximum density of more than 5 \(\times\) \(10^8\) cm\(^{-2}\) fell to about 3 \(\times\) \(10^7\) cm\(^{-2}\), then rose again. Large variations in track densities occurred adjacent to olivines and feldspars. The results may suggest some irradiation of grains prior to compaction, unusual exposure geometries, or annealing differences.

PROCESSING

A few small chips were first taken for allocations. One of these earlier chips (2) appears to have been a clast (or dominantly a clast) of feldspathic granulite. Further chipping was made for allocations of the matrix. In 1975, a saw cut was made to remove the W end (16; 33.6 g, Fig. 2) for remote storage at Brooks.
Table 1: Chemical analyses of bulk rock/matrix of 72335.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Split</th>
<th>wt %</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>0.190</td>
<td>0.200</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>0.112</td>
<td>0.114</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂O</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₂O₅</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sc</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>230</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rb</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zr</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>450</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nb</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hf</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>300</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ba</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cs</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ta</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sm</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dy</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Er</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yb</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lu</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Be</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Br</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ir</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

References and methods:
(1) Laul and Schmitt (1974); INAA

Table 2: Chemical analyses of a feldspatic granulite clast in 72335.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Split</th>
<th>wt %</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>27.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>0.100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>0.060</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂O</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₂O₅</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sc</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn</td>
<td>330</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>145</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rb</td>
<td>147.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zr</td>
<td>150</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nb</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hf</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ba</td>
<td>120</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tm</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce</td>
<td>0.095</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co</td>
<td>31</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pr</td>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sm</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eu</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tb</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dy</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Er</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yb</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lu</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pr</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nd</td>
<td>54</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sm</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eu</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tb</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Er</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yb</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lu</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Be</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Br</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ir</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

References and methods:
(1) Laul and Schmitt (1974a,b,c); Laul et al. (1974); INAA, INAA
(2) Tera et al. (1974a); ID348

*Note: Values shown in red are in original reference (1). Values shown in blue are in original reference (2).
INTRODUCTION

72355 is a fine-grained, clast-bearing impact melt with a poikilitic texture. It was collected to sample the matrix of Boulder 2, Station 2 (see section on Boulder 2, Station 2). It is identical in all analyzed respects with all other samples from Boulder 2. Although no definitive geochronological data exist, a general assumption is that 72355 crystallized at the same time as other melts of similar petrography and chemistry at the Apollo 17 site, i.e. 3.86 Ga ago. The sample, 10 x 6.5 x 6.5 cm, is blocky/angular and light olive gray (5Y 6/1) (Fig. 1). It is tough, homogeneous (although apparently less so than other Boulder 2 samples) and has a few non-penetrative fractures. Clasts larger than 1 mm compose less than about 10% of the rock. The exposed surface (mainly N) of 72355 has a patina and many zap pits. Vugs form 3-4% of the rock, with some as large as several millimeters. All have crystal linings, and the larger vugs have larger crystals.

72355 is so similar to other samples from Boulder 2 that it will not be described here in detail, but specific studies are referenced. It was studied mainly under a consortium led by the Caltech group (Dymek et al., 1976a), but not in as much detail as 72395. The description of 72395 can be assumed as a description of 72355. Only a few chips were taken from the sample for allocation and it was never sawn.

PETROGRAPHY

All five samples from Boulder 2 are very similar in petrography. Dymek et al. (1976a) gave descriptions of the petrography subsequent to a briefer description by Albee et al. (1974b) and Dymek et al. (1976b). They did not give individual

Figure 1: B face of sample 72355. The exposed surface (at the top) has a darker-colored patina; the lower right area is broken surface. Scale in centimeters. S-73-17285.
descriptions of the petrography, and that practice is for the most part followed here; thus, for a description and mineral diagrams of 72355 matrix see sample 72395.

Dymek et al. (1976a,c) described the sample, following a briefer description by Albee et al. (1974b), noting that the matrix was similar to the other Boulder 2 samples (Fig. 2). Simonds et al. (1974) described the sample as clast-rich ophitic, with feldspars 10 to 50 microns and mafic grains 10 to 100 microns, showing a photomicrograph. Engelhardt (1979) tabulated the paragenesis as one with ilmenite crystallizing later than pyroxene.

CHEMISTRY

Chemical analyses of the bulk matrix are given in Table 1, with the rare earth elements plotted in Figure 3. The chip analyzed by Laul and Schmitt (1974a,b,c) was an exterior chip, but is in any case similar in chemistry to the other Boulder 2 matrix samples. The siderophiles (equivalent to 2.4% chondritic contamination) are assigned to Group 2, correlated with Serenitatis.

RADIOGENIC ISOTOPES AND CHRONOLOGY

Tera et al. (1974a) reported Rb and Sr isotopic data for a matrix split without specific discussion. \( {87Rb/86Sr = 0.1523} \) and \( {87Sr/86Sr = 0.70855+/-6} \) are similar to those of the matrix of the other Boulder 2 samples, and correspond with TBAI of 4.29 Ga.

EXPOSURE

Keith et al. (1974a,b) tabulated cosmogenic nuclide gamma ray count rate data, without specific discussion.

PROCESSING

A few small chips were taken for allocations, but the sample was never sawn or extensively subdivided.

Figure 2: Photomicrograph of 72355,4, showing poikilitic impact melt matrix. Plane transmitted light. Field of view about 1 mm wide.

Figure 3: Rare earth element abundances of matrix samples in 72355 (bold line) with other Boulder 2 data for comparison.
### Table 1: Chemical analyses of bulk rock/matrix of 72355.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Split</th>
<th>.7</th>
<th>.7</th>
<th>.0</th>
<th>.5</th>
<th>Split</th>
<th>.7</th>
<th>.7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>wt%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>wt%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>18.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr₂O₃</td>
<td>0.193</td>
<td>0.193</td>
<td>0.193</td>
<td>0.193</td>
<td>Cr₂O₃</td>
<td>0.193</td>
<td>0.193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>FeO</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>8.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>0.114</td>
<td>0.114</td>
<td>0.114</td>
<td>0.114</td>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>0.114</td>
<td>0.114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>11.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂O</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.304</td>
<td>0.3828</td>
<td>K₂O</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₂O₅</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P₂O₅</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Elements**

- Sc: 16
- V: 50
- Co: 34
- Ni: 340
- Rb: 8.0
- Sr: 157
- Y: 5.3
- Zr: 500
- Nb: 12
- Hf: 280
- Th: 6.1
- U: 1.8
- Ca: 95
- Ta: 1.6
- Pb: 34
- La: 95
- Pr: 54
- Nd: 15.0
- Hf: 1.92
- Gd: 3.1
- Tb: 19
- Dy: 1.9
- Er: 12
- Yb: 1.6
- Lu: 18
- Li: 18
- Be: 18
- B: 18
- C: 18
- N: 18
- S: 18
- P: 18
- Cl: 18
- Br: 18
- Ca: 18
- Zn: 2.4
- Zrbb: 3
- Au: 10
- Ir: 7.3
- I: 1
- Ag: 0.87
- Cs: 5.1
- In: 0.2
- Sn: 2.2
- Te: 0.73
- W: 0.34
- Re: 0.24
- Pt: 0.24
- Hg: 0.24
- Ti: 0.24
- Bi: 0.24

**Notes:**

- (a) listed as B in original reference.

### References and methods:

1. (1) Lud and Schmitt (1974a,b,c); INAA/RNAA
2. (2) Keith et al. (1974a,b); Gamma ray spectroscopy
3. (3) Tera et al. (1974a); IDMS
RADIOGENIC ISOTOPES AND CHRONOLOGY

Tera et al. (1974a) reported Rb and Sr isotopic data for a matrix split without specific discussion. $^{87}\text{Rb}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ (0.1173) and $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ (0.70632±6) are similar to those of the matrix of the other Boulder 2 samples and correspond with TBABI of 4.28Ga.

PROCESSING

Three small chips were taken from a single location for allocations, but the sample was never sawn or extensively subdivided.
72395
Micropoikilitic Impact Melt Breccia
St. 2, 536.4 g

INTRODUCTION

72395 is a fine-grained, clast-bearing impact melt with a poikilitic texture. It was sampled as typical groundmass of Boulder 2, Station 2 (see section on Boulder 2, Station 2, Fig. 1). Although no definitive geochronological data exist, a general assumption is that 72395 crystallized at the same time as other melts of similar petrography and chemistry at the Apollo 17 site, i.e. 3.86 Ga ago. The sample, 12 x 9 x 5.5 cm, is angular, tabular, and light olive gray (N5Y 6/1). It is tough and homogeneous. Its exposed surface (T,N,W) has many zap pits and is knobby and rounded. The broken surface (S,E,B) is angular and hackly (Fig. 1). Vugs are present on the broken surface, ranging from 0.2 to 2 mm. They tend to be irregular but some are elongate, and many are lined with drusy crystal terminations.

72395 is typical of the samples from Boulder 2, and has only a few clasts larger than a few millimeters. Plagioclases and pale green olivine are the most abundant. The few large lithic clasts are typically fine-grained feldspathic rocks, including granulites. The groundmass contains abundant vesicles smaller than 25 microns, and consists mainly of plagioclase and pigeonite. The pigeonite forms small oikocrysts (less than 100 microns). The smaller clasts are difficult to distinguish from groundmass phases. The total clast content of the less-than-1-mm fraction is probably 10 to 20%.

Most of the studies of 72395 were conducted under a consortium led by the Caltech group (Dymek et al., 1977). Following chipping of a few small pieces for petrographic study, a slab was cut across 72395 (Fig. 2). Many other small pieces and two larger end pieces were obtained (Fig. 3).

Figure 1: Broken surface of 72395. The sample is homogeneous and structureless, and few clasts are visible at this scale. Slit vesicles are common. The dark feature in the center is a vuggy area lined with pyroxene and plagioclase crystals. Scale in centimeters. S-73-16052.
Petrography

All five samples from Boulder 2 are very similar in petrography. Dymek et al. (1977) gave detailed descriptions of the petrography subsequent to the brief description by Albee et al. (1974b). They did not give separate descriptions of the petrography, and that practice is for the most part followed here. 72395 has the most thin sections and will be the "type" for description. The mineral diagrams for all 5 samples will be included in this section for ease of comparison.

The samples are rather homogeneous and consist of several percent clasts (1 mm to 1 cm) in a fine-grained crystalline matrix. The matrix (grains less than 1 mm) is composed of abundant tiny clasts and a groundmass that crystallized from the melt (Fig. 4a) (Dymek et al., 1976a, Simonds et al., 1974). Simonds et al., (1974) referred to these samples as "matrix supported breccias" to emphasize the abundance of fine-grained material. They labelled 72395 as clast-rich ophitic, with matrix feldspars 10 to 40 microns long and matrix mafic minerals 20 to 100 microns across. The texture appears to be distinct from other coarser poikilitic boulders at the Apollo 17 landing site. Dymek et al. (1977) drew a distinction between clasts and groundmass at 100 microns grain size. Nonetheless, the distinction of clastic material and melt-crystallized material in the <100 micron fraction is not definite. The total amount of clastic material appears to be about 10 to 20%. Voids, approximately 10% of each sample, are commonly 1 to 25 micron dispersed angular pores, with some slit vesicles up to 250 microns long. Other vugs and vesicular pods are present. In 72395 a few areas consist of pyroxene "shells" enclosing glass of granitic composition; Dymek et al. (1977) believe that these represent a residual liquid from the crystallization of the melt, rather than relict clasts.

The groundmass of all samples consists of an interlocking network of tiny pyroxene oikocrysts that enclose abundant chadacrysts of plagioclase. Olivine occurs as...
Figure 3: End pieces 7 and 8 and numerous smaller pieces of 72395. The slab pieces, 9 and 10 and other small pieces are not shown. End piece 7 shows the exterior surface with zap pits. Cube is 2 cm. S-74-15103.

angular, irregularly-shaped grains between the pyroxene oikocrysts and between pyroxene and plagioclase. Ilmenite forms irregularly-shaped grains, up to a few hundred microns long, with a sieve-texture (enclosing pyroxene and plagioclase). Engelhardt (1979) noted that ilmenite started crystallization after pyroxene started and finished crystallization after pyroxene finished. Ilmenite contains chromite and rutile lamellae and there is some baddelyite at ilmenite margins. There is some K-rich mesostasis. Troilite and lesser Fe-metal are present. According to Dymek et al. (1977), the paragenetic sequence was plagioclase followed by olivine, then low-Ca pyroxene, then high-Ca pyroxene. Olivine ceased at about the same time as high-Ca pyroxene entry, and a reaction relationship of olivine with the melt to produce the low-Ca pyroxene is suggested by resorbed-appearing olivine cores to oikocrysts. Ilmenite and other minor phases completed the crystallization.

Dymek et al. (1977) listed the phase abundances, phase compositions, and the bulk-chemical composition (from a microprobe point count) of 72395 (Table 1). The tabulated phase compositions appear to represent those in the melt groundmass, not clasts. Dymek et al. (1977) also diagrammed the mineral compositions for the five individual samples, reproduced here as Fig. 5 (plagioclases), Fig. 6 (pyroxenes), and Fig. 7 (olivines and Fe-Ti oxides), which show the general similarity of the samples. These diagrams do not distinguish clasts from groundmass phases, but they are distinguished on a summary diagram for all rocks, reproduced here as Fig. 8. The majority of the oikocrysts are pigeonite (En75Wo2 to En65Wo10), with some high-Ca types (En54Wo28 to En45Wo40). The chadacrysts have a small range in composition (An92 to An85), but laths and blocky plagioclases between the oikocrysts have a wider range (An95 to An79). The olivine in the groundmass has a narrow compositional range from Fo72 to Fo68.

Most of the clasts in all the samples are single mineral crystals.
Figure 4: Photomicrographs of 72395. All plane transmitted light, all about 1 m field of view.

a) Melt groundmass and small clasts (larger white areas), mainly plagioclases with lesser mafic minerals. b) feldspathic granulite clast, evidently a metamorphosed breccia. c) Coarser poikilitic feldspathic granulite clast, of less obvious precursor material. Chadacrysts (white) are plagioclases, oikocrysts (darker) are dominantly low-Ca pyroxene. d) Mafic granulite. e) Devitrified plagioclase (grayer areas) and ilmenite (black) in a coarse anorthositic fragment.
Plagioclase is the most abundant, then olivine; low- and high-Ca pyroxene, ilmenite, Fe-Co-Ni metal, and pink spinel clasts are present (Dymek et al., 1976a). They are typically rounded to subangular, and a few show shock effects. Some display rims that are either overgrowths of the same phase or different minerals (coronas). The most prominent coronas are on pink spinels. Clast mineral compositions are included in Figures 5 to 7 for individual rocks, and distinguished on the summary diagram of Fig. 8. The clasts show a much wider range of compositions than do the groundmass minerals. Plagioclase clasts are generally unzoned, but many show conspicuous reaction rims. The most prominent reaction rims are on grains more sodic than the groundmass plagioclases, and many of these sodic rimmed grains have clouded cores. The olivines include many examples zoned to their rims by reaction with the melt, and some are mantled by low-Ca pyroxene. Both high- and low-Ca pyroxenes have overgrowth rims, and typically there is little difference in composition between clast rim and core, but some cores are distinctly more magnesian (Fig. 8); rims tend to have compositions similar to groundmass pyroxenes. Ilmenite and metal clasts typically occur with rounded to amoeboid forms. The ilmenite clasts contain tiny globules of metal, troilite, plagioclase, and pyroxene, unlike any seen in any lithic clast in Boulder 2.

Most of the lithic clasts are of feldspathic highlands lithologies, but there is a range of textures, grain sizes, and compositions. The most abundant group, termed anorthosites by Dymek et al. (1977), are typically fine-grained, and most are recrystallized feldspathic granulites (Figs. 4b,c). They grade with increasing mafic content into anorthositic troctolites and norites (Fig. 4d). A few cases are poikilitic, with oikocrysts up to 3 mm. One type of anorthositic fragment was distinguished by

Dymek et al. (1977) for its ilmenite content of up to 10% and its brown coloring; its plagioclases are shocked and partly devitrified (Fig. 4e). A few clasts of gabbro, troctolite, and dunite are present, including one troctolite similar to 76535, though more granulated and recrystallized. The dunites (Fo70–77) are more iron-rich than the dunite 72415.

**CHEMISTRY**

Chemical analyses of bulk rock (groundmass plus clasts) are given in Table 2; the major element analyses agree well with that derived by Dymek et al. (1976a) (Table 1). The rare earth elements are plotted as Figure 9, with other Boulder 2, Station 2 data for comparison. These chemical data were originally reported with little discussion. The samples have a low-K Fra Mauro basalt composition, similar to many other impact melt samples at the Apollo 17 site. All the Boulder 2 samples are similar; the incompatible element abundances for 72395 are higher than the average. The samples clearly have meteoritic contamination. Laul and Schmitt (1974a) identified the siderophiles with Group 3, attributed to Serenitatis, and again like many other impact melts of low-K Fra Mauro composition at the Apollo 17 site. Jovanovic and Reed (1974a, 1975, 1980), who made analyses of leaches and residues from leaching, identified the CI residual/P2O5 ratio with an Apollo 11,12, and 15 basalt line, but the significance of such an identification is not apparent.
Figure 6: Compositions of pyroxenes in 72315, 72335, 72355, 72375, and 72395 (Dymek et al., 1976a).
Table 1: Phase abundances, "average" phases compositions, and bulk chemical composition derived from point-counting of 72395 (Dymek et al., 1976a).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Low-Ca</th>
<th>High-Ca</th>
<th>Olivine</th>
<th>Ilmenite</th>
<th>Metal*</th>
<th>Ca-Phox</th>
<th>Bulk composition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Plag.</td>
<td>pyx</td>
<td>pyx</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vol.%</td>
<td>56.2</td>
<td>25.4</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wt.%</td>
<td>50.4</td>
<td>28.4</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Plag.</td>
<td>pyx</td>
<td>pyx</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50.4</td>
<td>28.4</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Elemental abundances; converted to oxides for calculating bulk composition.
*Assumed 1:1 mixture of fluorapatite and whitlockite.

An 86.1 Wo 3.3 We 3.3 Fo 70.6
Ab 13.2 En 69.4 Fo 45.2 Fa 29.4
Or 0.7 Fs 23.1
Others 4.2 8.1

Average—boulder # 2-5 samples
Vol.% 59.9 22.9 5.8 7.7 1.6 0.1 0.1 0.8 0.9
Wt.% 54.1 25.8 6.4 9.0 2.5 0.1 0.4 0.9 0.8

Figure 7: Compositions of olivines and Fe-Ti oxides in 72315, 72335, 72355, 72375, and 72395 (Dymek et al., 1976a).
Figure 8: Summary diagram of mineral phases in 72315, 72335, 72355, 72375, and 72395 (Dymek et al., 1976a), distinguishing groundmass phases from clasts.
RADIOGENIC ISOTOPES

Tera et al. (1974a) reported U, Th, and Pb isotopic data for a whole-rock split of 72395 (Table 3) without specific discussion. As for other KREEP rocks, \( \mu \) \((=^{238}\text{U}/^{204}\text{Pb})\) is high, about 2200. The data lie on the same ~3.9 - 4.4 Ga concordia curve as most highlands samples, and towards the lower age end as typical of brecciated KREEP rocks (model ages are in the range 4.06 to 4.09 Ga).

EXPOSURE AGES

Hutcheon et al. (1974b) and MacDougall et al. (1974) studied tracks in a column cut from 72395. Unfortunately the column was oriented parallel to the surface at 3 cm depth, so no depth variations could be measured. No systematic variations in track density occurred along the column. Track densities among adjacent feldspars vary between 2 and 5 \( \times 10^6 \) cm\(^{-2} \), far beyond statistical variation. The authors infer that shock erased some tracks about 11 Ma ago. Assuming a single stage irradiation, the maximum track density implies exposure of 27 Ma (from start of track accumulation at 3 cm depth. Fission tracks in an apatite crystal (Table 4) give ages of about 800 Ma, much younger than the probable crystallization age of the sample, suggesting a severe heating, or shock event exceeding 100 kb pressure.

PHYSICAL PROPERTIES

Charette and Adams (1977) measured the spectral reflectivity (0.5 to 2.5 microns wavelength range) of an interior chip of 72395, which they referred to as an "ANT-suite norite". The spectrum shows deep Fe\(^{2+}\) bands for pyroxene and plagioclase, with a high left shoulder near 0.7 microns. However, there is no absorption band near at 0.6 microns that would be indicative of ilmenite.

Horai and Winkler (1976) measured the thermal diffusivity of a split of 72395 under varied conditions. The sample had a bulk density of 2.539 g/cm\(^3\) and an intrinsic density of 3.073 g/cm\(^3\). The porosity was 17.4 %. The diffusivity measurements are tabulated in Tables 5 and 6, and diagrammed in Figure 10.

PROCESSING

Following chipping of a few small pieces for petrographic and chemical study, 72395 was sawn into two pieces: .7 and .8 (Fig. 2). Between them slab pieces ,9 (29.5g), .10 (25.2 g), and smaller pieces ,11 to ,26 were cut. Pieces were then chipped from .8 (now 59.8 g), as shown in Fig. 3. Many allocations were made from both the slab pieces and the fragments from .8. .7 is now 251.9 g, and .8 (stored at Brooks) is now 59.8 g.
Table 2: Chemical analyses of bulk samples of 72395.

<p>| | | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>46.9</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>0.210</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>0.116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>0.3044</td>
<td>9.29</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>11.97</td>
<td>11.27</td>
<td>0.694</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>11.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>11.97</td>
<td>11.97</td>
<td>11.97</td>
<td>11.97</td>
<td>11.97</td>
<td>11.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂O</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg₂O₃</td>
<td>0.325</td>
<td>0.325</td>
<td>0.325</td>
<td>0.325</td>
<td>0.325</td>
<td>0.325</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pm</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zr</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nb</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Th</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pa</td>
<td>0.160</td>
<td>0.160</td>
<td>0.160</td>
<td>0.160</td>
<td>0.160</td>
<td>0.160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ta</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pr</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sm</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>15.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eu</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>1.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tb</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dy</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Er</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>13.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tm</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yb</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lu</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Br</td>
<td>.044</td>
<td>.044</td>
<td>.044</td>
<td>.044</td>
<td>.044</td>
<td>.044</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>3.55</td>
<td>3.55</td>
<td>3.55</td>
<td>3.55</td>
<td>3.55</td>
<td>3.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kr</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At</td>
<td>4550</td>
<td>4550</td>
<td>4550</td>
<td>4550</td>
<td>4550</td>
<td>4550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cs</td>
<td>440</td>
<td>440</td>
<td>440</td>
<td>440</td>
<td>440</td>
<td>440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ag</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tc</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ru</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rh</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pd</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ag</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Os</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ir</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ag</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Os</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ir</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**References and methods:**

1. Lei and Schmidt (1974); INAA, RNAA
2. Wente et al. (1976); XRF, INAA, RNAA
3. Moore et al. (1974a,b); CRP, Moore (1976)
4. Hays and Reed (1974a); RNAA
5. Turner (1974c); IDPAA

**Notes:**

- a) listed by authors as Bd
- b) contamination?
- c) values of 0.2916 also tabulated
- d) residues and leach combined
- e) detected in leach only.
Table 3: U, Th, Pb isotopic data for 72395,3 (Tera et al., 1974).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Weight (mg)</th>
<th>206 Pb</th>
<th>207 Pb</th>
<th>208 Pb</th>
<th>204 Pba</th>
<th>αd</th>
<th>206 Pb, Δ(206 Pb)b</th>
<th>204 Pb,b</th>
<th>204 Pb,b</th>
<th>206 Pb,b</th>
<th>204 Pb,b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>72395,3</td>
<td>9.628</td>
<td>55.58</td>
<td>26.63</td>
<td>59.11</td>
<td>0.0309</td>
<td>1.294</td>
<td>1.204</td>
<td>0.0185</td>
<td>0.0018</td>
<td>3.20 +0.97</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Total rock unless otherwise indicated. Acid washed samples designated by L; material removed from sample by acid wash designated by Leach. b In picomoles. c Corrected for blank with = 18.26, = 15.46 and = 37.59. d Uncorrected for blank, values for concentration runs (conc) are corrected for cross contamination from spikes. e Magnitude of negative error, corresponding to +100% increase in the blank, is twice the value given for the positive error shown, which corresponds to ~50% decrease in the blank.

Figure 10a: Thermal diffusivity (K) of 72395,14 as a function of temperature T with interstitial gas pressure 1 atm and 10^-6 torr of air. Horai and Winkler (1976).

Figure 10b: Thermal diffusivity (K) of 72395,14 as a function of temperature T with interstitial gaseous pressure 1 atm and 5 torr of carbon dioxide. Smoothed curves of K as a function of T with interstitial gas pressure 1 atm and 10^-6 torr are from Figure 10a. Horai and Winkler (1976).

Figure 10c: Thermal diffusivity (K) of sample 72395,14 as a function of interstitial gas pressure P of carbon dioxide at temperatures of 200 degrees and 460 degrees K. Horai and Winkler (1976).
Table 4: Fission track data for an apatite crystal in 72395 (Hutcheon et al., 1974b).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>72395 Apatite</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Uranium content (ppm)</td>
<td>108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total track density (t/cm²)</td>
<td>$8.28 \times 10^7$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reactor induced (t/cm²)</td>
<td>$4.22 \times 10^7$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cosmic ray (t/cm²)</td>
<td>$3.0 \times 10^6$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C.R. induced fission* (t/cm²)</td>
<td>$4.22 \times 10^7$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (m.y.) (a)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (m.y.) (b)</td>
<td>$8.1 \times 10^6$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5: Thermal diffusivity ($K$) (cm²/sec) as a function of temperature $T$ (degrees K), $K = A + B/T + C/T^2 + DT^2$. Horai and Winkler (1976).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>A (10⁻³ cm²/sec)</th>
<th>B (cm² K/sec)</th>
<th>C (10⁻⁰ cm² K/sec)</th>
<th>D (10⁻⁰ cm² K²/sec)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>72395.14</td>
<td>1-atm air</td>
<td>0.207</td>
<td>0.488</td>
<td>0.090</td>
<td>-0.203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10⁻⁹-torr air</td>
<td>0.160</td>
<td>-0.154</td>
<td>0.133</td>
<td>0.190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1-atm CO₂</td>
<td>0.816</td>
<td>-2.735</td>
<td>3.638</td>
<td>-0.789</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5-torr CO₂</td>
<td>0.214</td>
<td>-0.053</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>-0.121</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6: Thermal diffusivity (in the unit of $10^{-3}$ cm²/sec) of lunar solid rock samples under atmospheric conditions (a) and under vacuum (b). Horai and Winkler (1976).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature, degrees K.</th>
<th>100</th>
<th>150</th>
<th>200</th>
<th>250</th>
<th>300</th>
<th>350</th>
<th>400</th>
<th>450</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a)</td>
<td>7.82</td>
<td>5.67</td>
<td>4.65</td>
<td>4.04</td>
<td>3.61</td>
<td>3.29</td>
<td>3.02</td>
<td>2.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b)</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>1.71</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Boulder 3 at Station 2 was the smallest of three boulders sampled on the lower slopes of the South Massif (see section on Boulder 1, Station 2 for locations). It probably rolled from near the top of the massif. Boulder 3 is an equant, 40 cm subangular block (Fig. 1) with an overall dull blue-gray color. Clasts as large as 10 cm are visible in lunar surface photographs. Three fractures cutting the boulder are recognized, but no well-developed fracture or cleavage sets are visible. The boulder has a poorly-developed fillet.

The boulder contained a prominent 10 cm pale-colored clast; on several pieces were collected from it. These were later designated as 72415 (two mated pieces), and 72416 to 72418. Astronaut Schmitt recognized the clast as light pastel green material in an even paler matrix, and suggested that it was "olivine and something." Laboratory study showed it to be a unique shocked dunite sample and it has been intensively studied. The matrix of the boulder was also sampled (72435, Fig. 1).

Many of the studies of Boulder 3 samples were made by a loosely-knit consortium led by the Caltech group (Dymek et al., 1975b, 1976a). The matrix is a clast-bearing, fine-grained impact melt of low-K Fra Mauro composition similar to others at the Apollo 17 landing site. Geochronological data suggest an age of 3.86 Ga, also similar to that of other Apollo 17 low-K Fra Mauro melts, and the matrix is assumed to represent impact melt created in the Serenitatis impact. Strontium isotopic data for the dunite suggest that it crystallized 4.45 Ga ago, and Pb isotopic data are in agreement with such an old age (4.37 to 4.52 Ga).

Figure 1: Sampling of Boulder 3, Station 2, with view towards north-west. The photograph was taken prior to sampling, and shows the location of the samples. The total height of the gnomon is 62 cm. AS17-138-21049.
INTRODUCTION

72415 is a complexly cataclasized dunite that was collected, along with 72416, 72417, and 72418, to sample a 10 cm clast in the impact melt matrix of Boulder 3, Station 2 (see section on Boulder 3, Station 2, Fig. 1). It was originally a coarse-grained igneous rock consisting mainly of magnesian olivine. Pb isotopic data suggest an igneous age of between 4.37 and 4.52 Ga, in agreement with strontium isotopic analyses of paired sample 72417, which suggest that the dunite crystallized 4.45 Ga ago. It has since suffered a complex history of deformation and excavation. 72415 is a slabby sample consisting of two homogeneous matched pieces (Fig. 1), originally labelled A and B. Zap pits and a patina are prevalent on the lunar-exposed surfaces of 72415.

The two pieces of 72415 are each about 4 x 2 x 0.8 cm, and pale yellowish to greenish gray (5Y 8/1 to 5GY 8/1). Although the sample appeared to break easily in the lunar sampling, it is tough, and the ease of sampling was a result of a few penetrative fractures. Macroscopically the sample consists of about 30% pale yellow green olivines larger than a millimeter, set in a matrix (65%) of mainly similar-colored material that is less than 1 mm (mainly less than 0.1 mm) in grain size. A few of the larger grains appear more grayish, others reddish. In thin section the sample is dominantly olivine with varied aspects of deformation, with some plagioclase, pyroxenes, and Cr-spinel.

Many but not all of the studies of 72415 were conducted under a loosely-knit consortium led by the Caltech group (e.g. Dymek et al., 1975b). Following allocation of small undocumented and documented chips, piece A, the thicker of the two, was sawn in 1974 to produce several pieces for study. Subsequently several other small pieces were taken from varied locations of both piece A and piece B.

Figure 1: Two matching pieces of 72415 prior to sampling or sawing. Clasts larger than 1 mm are visible. Cube has 1 cm sides. S-73-16199.
Figure 2: Sawing of one of the main pieces of 72415. An end piece was sawn first, and divided to give 15 and 16. A second cut produced a slab that was sawn across to produce 17 and 18; the latter was made into thin sections. 74-19014.

PETROGRAPHY

72415 is a cataclasized dunite (LSPET, 1973; Albee et al., 1974a, 1975; Simonds et al., 1974; Stoffler et al., 1979; Ryder 1992a). The Caltech consortium described the petrography of 72415 and 72417 in detail (Albee et al., 1974a, 1975; Dymek et al., 1975b), providing photomicrographs and microprobe data. Because the two samples appear to be virtually identical, the descriptions do not always distinguish them. Most of the thin sections were from 72415 and show a complex history of deformation following original crystallization (Fig. 3).

The mineralogy of the sample was summarized by Dymek et al. (1975b) (Table 1). It consists of 93% olivine, with small amounts of other silicates, and trace amounts of Cr-spinel and metal; there is also extremely rare troilite, whitlockite, and Cr-Zr armalcolite. The abundance of plagioclase varies significantly among thin sections. The dunite is about 60% angular to subangular clasts of single crystals of olivine up to 10 mm across in a fine-grained matrix that is dominantly olivine (Fig. 3a). The existing texture results mainly from cataclastic crushing, and not from recrystallization at the microscopic scale. Many clasts show subgrains and strain bands (Figs. 3b,c), and many show inclusions that give a cloudy appearance. Some clasts are polygonalized olivine (Fig. 3d). Sparse symplectites consist mainly of chromite and pyroxenes. Fairly common veinlets cutting olivines contain plagioclases as well as olivines. Inclusions, microsplectites, shock and recrystallization features of olivines, strain bands, and relict grain boundaries are truncated by the cataclasis, showing that they existed prior to that event. On the basis of the mineralogy, James and Flohr (1982) and James et al. (1982) suggested that the sample was related to Mg-norites rather than Mg-gabbro-norites, but the evidence was not conclusive. The injection of feldspar-rich material of uncertain source was a factor contributing to the problem.

The compositions of silicate and oxide minerals in 72415 and 72417 are shown in Figure 4, and metal compositions in Figure 5 (Dymek et al., 1975b). The olivines show a small range in composition from Fog6-99, with no systematic variation with petrography. This range was confirmed by Bell et al. (1975). LSPET (1973) gave a range of Fog5-90 but this is not confirmed by others. Ryder (1984, 1992a) showed that that individual grains were different and zoned over distances of two to three millimeters, and that the range was wider than in dunites from terrestrial plutonic cumulates, the Marjalahti pallasite, or troctolite.
Figure 3: Photomicrographs of 72415,28 (a,d) and 72415,25 (b,c). All about 1 mm width of view, all crossed polarizers except a) plane transmitted light. a) General view of cataclastic matrix, with olivine clasts in an olivine matrix. Olivines show inclusions and cloudiness, subangular shapes, and varied sizes. b) larger olivine clast showing presence of subgrains and deformation bands. c) larger olivine clast showing subgrains and a veinlet system (mainly lathy plagioclase + olivine). d) general matrix showing lithic clast to left of polygonalized olivine.
76535 (Figs. 6 and 7). He also showed that the calcium in olivines had a substantial range and was higher than in 76535. The zoning is concluded to be an original igneous feature, not a deformation-related one. These data suggest a cooling rate faster than is consistent with deep plutonic processes i.e. shallow cumulate processes.

Ryder (1983) and Bersch (1990) analyzed Ni in the olivines, showing a range from 220-70 ppm, and higher than in 76535 olivines. Bersch (1990) also analyzed precisely for other minor elements in olivines.

The composition of plagioclases varies with petrography, with felty plagioclases tending to be the most calcic (An94-97), laths zoned from An94-95, and plagioclase associated with symplectites the most sodic (An91-89)(Fig. 4). That associated with recrystallized olivines covers a wide range (An95-89). The pyroxene also varies with petrography (Fig. 4). Those with higher Ca abundances are probably real, not mixtures. The chrome-spinel has a restricted composition (Fig. 4), but that in symplectites is more iron-rich. The metal grains contain high Ni and Co (Fig. 5; data also presented in Dymek et al., 1976a; Ryder et al. (1980a) obtained even higher Ni abundances of 36 to 37%. Analyses of silicate phases by Richter et al. (1976a) are similar to those of Dymek et al. (1975b).

The symplectites consist of mainly of Cr-spinel and high-Ca pyroxene; low-Ca pyroxene, olivine, plagioclase, and metal are present in some (Dymek et al., 1975b). Their textures range from granular to vermicular. Albee et al. (1974a,1975) and Dymek et al. (1975b) interpret these intergrowths as late-stage magmatic products, not solid-state reaction products. Bell and Mao (1975) and Bell et al. (1975) described these symplectites as rosettes, and tabulated bulk compositions derived from microprobe data (Table 2). They
Table 1: Phase abundances, "average" phase compositions, and bulk chemical composition, derived from microprobe point counts, of 72415 and 72417 (Dymek et al., 1975b).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Low-Ca</th>
<th>High-Ca</th>
<th>Olivine</th>
<th>Cr-spinel</th>
<th>Metal*</th>
<th>Bulk composition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Plag. Vol.%</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>92.5</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wt.%</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>93.0</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>44.79</td>
<td>56.05</td>
<td>54.13</td>
<td>40.24</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>35.00</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
<td>16.71</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr₂O₃</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>51.81</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>32.29</td>
<td>18.40</td>
<td>47.65</td>
<td>10.60</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>6.94</td>
<td>2.71</td>
<td>12.29</td>
<td>19.27</td>
<td>67.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>19.25</td>
<td>2.24</td>
<td>22.50</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂O</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BaO</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZrO₂</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V₂O₅</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nb₂O₅</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiO</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>30.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100.16</td>
<td>99.18</td>
<td>100.34</td>
<td>100.50</td>
<td>100.14</td>
<td>100.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>98.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An</td>
<td>92.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>41.7</td>
<td>87.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ab</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>49.7</td>
<td>21.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Or</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Elemental abundances, converted to oxides for bulk-composition calculation.
Includes 0.02 wt.% P.
n.a. = not analyzed.

Table 2: Microprobe analyses in weight % of symplectites in 72415 (Bell et al., 1975). Each analysis is the average of four or five separate analyses made within single symplectites that average 30 microns diameter.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>13</th>
<th>Host olivine</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R**</td>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>16.06</td>
<td>24.72</td>
<td>24.30</td>
<td>19.07</td>
<td>17.80</td>
<td>17.95</td>
<td>21.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FeO</td>
<td>8.17</td>
<td>9.83</td>
<td>9.32</td>
<td>8.29</td>
<td>7.84</td>
<td>8.10</td>
<td>8.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NiO</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>14.75</td>
<td>5.36</td>
<td>6.90</td>
<td>12.91</td>
<td>14.55</td>
<td>12.22</td>
<td>12.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cr₂O₃</td>
<td>18.02</td>
<td>15.95</td>
<td>15.48</td>
<td>15.90</td>
<td>16.12</td>
<td>17.04</td>
<td>14.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>4.61</td>
<td>3.97</td>
<td>4.16</td>
<td>4.48</td>
<td>4.13</td>
<td>5.36</td>
<td>3.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>37.13</td>
<td>39.59</td>
<td>39.39</td>
<td>38.12</td>
<td>38.05</td>
<td>38.45</td>
<td>38.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Arithmetic total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>99.58</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Arithmetic total 99.58 99.83 100.09 99.26 98.99 99.50 99.14 100.04
described their detailed occurrences. Bell and Mao (1975) concluded that the bulk compositions of symplectites were equivalent to garnet, and that the symplectites (and the dunite) had formed at high-pressure. Bell et al. (1975) included authors with differing interpretations, although all disagreed with the Dymek et al. (1975b) interpretation of late-stage magmatic products. Two authors continued to prefer the garnet hypothesis, comparing the observations with high-pressure experimental products; two preferred an origin from the diffusion of elements from olivine.

Dymek et al. (1975b) outlined the history of the dunite on the basis of the deformation features and their superpositions. The isotopic data (for 72417) suggest an early igneous origin, with little subsequent disturbance of the isotopic system. Nonetheless, petrographically the sample underwent a complex history. The primary differentiation produced a coarse plutonic cumulate, with olivine and Cr-spinel crystallizing prior to plagioclase, then Cr-spinel, pyroxenes, plagioclase, and metal crystallized from trapped interstitial

---

Figure 6: Variation in olivine compositions expressed as FeO wt% in samples of dunite 72415, two Stillwater troctolites, and Marjalahti. Ryder (1992a).

Figure 7: Sketches of zoning in larger olivines in 72415 samples, expressed as contours of FeO wt%. Dots are analytical locations. a) grains in 72415,27; the smaller grain shows a very steep gradient. b) grain in 72415,28. Ryder (1992a).
magma. None of the plagioclase appears to be cumulate. The sample was then shocked to about 330 Kb or more (according to work of Snee and Ahrens, 1975a,b; see below), consistent with an excavation depth of 50 to 150 km, producing maskelynite and a silicic melt from the intercumulus material. However, there are unshocked plagioclase laths that crystallized from that melt. Some recrystallization then took place. A second shock event produced the present observed cataclasis; this took place prior to the incorporation of the clast into the 72435 host melt (although perhaps only seconds before).

Snee and Ahrens (1975a,b) studied the shock-induced deformation features of 72415 and compared them with the products of experiments. 72415 shows varied shock features, including irregular fractures, planar fractures (single planes and sets), well-defined deformation bands, planar elements, isolated mosaicism, and a few completely recrystallized grains. The orientation of the planar fractures are similar to those observed in experiments of shock from 330 to 440 Kb. Some bipyramid orientations in the sample are not present in the experiment products; similarly, the experimental products do not include recrystallization features.

Richter et al. (1976a,b) made a detailed study of the deformation features in 72415, using SEM as well as microscopic and microprobe techniques, concentrating on the microcracks and micropores. The microstructures show a diverse complex history that is different from any other rocks studied. Healed and sealed cracks are abundant, but open ones are rare. The healed cracks are planes of solid phases and pores; some of the solids are Fe-metal. Symplectites tend to be on or near to microcracks, suggesting a genetic link; microprobe analyses show that Al and Cr are concentrated along cracks even where symplectites are not present. Cracks in olivine are commonly sealed by plagioclase, some of which may be injected shock melt. Others contain abundant micropores (0.1 to 0.4 microns); the micropores have irregular subspherical shapes. Some form subparallel strings, others are random. The open cracks are unlike any others described from lunar rocks, being narrow (0.1 microns) with isolated terminations. The matrix is cataclastic, and most of its plagioclase is free of shock effects. In contrast with the Dymek et al. (1985b) interpretation, Richter et al. (1966a) note that there is definite sintering (as revealed by the SEM) that produced a highly porous spongy mass in the matrix, with delicate necks preserved. The history as derived by Richter et al (1966a) is shown as Table 3. While consistent with that of Dymek et al. (1975b) it is more detailed. A stage of tectonic deformation followed by slow annealing of cracks and then the development of symplectites took place after igneous crystallization. The major shock deformation that followed was in turn followed by some recovery before the latest cataclasis and some sintering.

Using the mineral chemical data for pyroxenes of Dymek et al. (1975b) and an orthopyroxene-augite geothermometer, Ishii et al. (1976) derived a temperature of 1120 degrees C for the last equilibration of pyroxenes. Herzberg (1979) estimated a pressure of crystallization of 0 +/- 0.5 Kb using the alumina content of the pyroxenes in the ol+2px+plag assemblage, and assuming a temperature of equilibration of 1000 +/- 50 degrees C estimated from the pyroxene quadrilateral locations. Finnerty and Rigden (1981) in contrast used olivine barometry (from the Ca-content) to derive a pressure of 6.4 to 11.6 Kb (for a temperature estimate of 948-988 degrees C), which they claim is consistent with the depth estimate made by Snee and Ahrens (1975a). However, in the same study they derived a depth estimate for 76535 of 600 km, which seems wholly unrealistic. Clearly these temperature and pressure estimates are inconsistent and unreliable, presumably at least in part because the original igneous crystallization did not produce a totally equilibrated assemblage, and because of the complex history following crystallization.

### CHEMISTRY

Chemical analyses are listed in Table 4. The analyses correspond with a magnesian dunite with low abundances of incompatible elements and those compatible with feldspars. There are no analyses for

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3: Summary of events in the deformation history of 72415 (Richter et al., 1976a).</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Crystallization—igneous prehistory</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tectonic cracking</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Microcrack annealing. Development of symplectites</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Major shock (330—440 kbar—probably confined)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Plagioclase sealed cracks</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Annealing</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cataclasis by tectonic process</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Excavation by shock</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sintering</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
Table 4: Chemical analyses of bulk rock for 72415

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Split</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>10b</th>
<th>.31</th>
<th>.33a</th>
<th>.33b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>wt%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>39.93</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr₂O₃</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO</td>
<td>11.34</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>43.61</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td>&lt;0.02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂O</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₂O₅</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ppm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sc</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>173</td>
<td></td>
<td>149</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rb</td>
<td>&lt;0.2</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr</td>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zr</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nb</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hf</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ta</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pr</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eu</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tb</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Er</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yb</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lu</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Th</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pa</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ba</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U</td>
<td>0.0062</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cs</td>
<td>0.0142</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ta</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pr</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eu</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tb</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Er</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yb</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lu</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Th</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pa</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ba</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U</td>
<td>0.0084</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cs</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.4(a)</td>
<td>2.4(a)</td>
<td>2.3(a)</td>
<td>4.1(a)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zr</td>
<td>0.255</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ir</td>
<td>0.0052</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>0.105</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca</td>
<td>29.8</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>320</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cs</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tc</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ru</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ag</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cd</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sn</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sb</td>
<td>&lt;0.036</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Te</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td>0.0048</td>
<td>0.158</td>
<td>&lt;0.04</td>
<td>&lt;0.06</td>
<td>&lt;0.07</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Re</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Os</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hg</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bi</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
(a) erroneously tabulated by authors as ppb
(1) LSPET (1973), Rhodes (1973); XRF
(2) Higuchi and Morgan (1975a,b); RNAA
(3) Gibson and Moore (1974a,b); combustion
(4) Morgan and Windle (1988); RNAA
the rare earths. In addition to the tabulated data, Gibson et al. (1977) published a hydrogen abundance of 9.4 ppm without discussion. This abundance is higher than in mare basalts and about twice as high as in impact melts.

The major element analyses are fairly consistent with those for 72417. However, within the Caltech consortium, 72415 appears to have been considered as "normal" and 72417 as comparatively "alkali-rich" (Higuchi and Morgan, 1975a); the tabulated analyses do not support such a distinction, with Higuchi and Morgan (1975a) noting that their "alkali-rich" sample had lower Rb than their "normal" sample. While siderophiles (Ni, Ir, and some others) and some volatiles are high in some subsamples, Higuchi and Morgan (1975a) and Morgan and Wandless (1979) noted that they were not in meteoritic proportions and considered them to be indigenous. Morgan and Wandless (1988) analyzed for siderophile and volatil elements in further small subsamples that were randomly chosen but cannot be considered to be representative whole rocks because of their sizes. The data confirm an indigenous origin for these elements, and suggest a source magma that contained about 6x as high volatile abundances as mare basalts. They also noted that 3 of their subsamples were lower in volatiles and siderophiles than one other in 72415 and than the 72417 subsamples similarly analyzed.

Delano (1980) used the published data for compatible elements to place constraints on their abundance in the parental magma of the dunite.

RADIogenic ISOTOPES

Premo and Tatsumoto (1993) reported preliminary Pb-Pb and U-Pb isotopic data for four separates from 72415 (two "whole-rock," one olivine, and one magnetically removed mixture that is mainly pyroxenes and spinel), summarized in Figure 8. The separates were treated with water-alcohol and very dilute acids to remove secondary Pb components. The Pb from all the separates is very radiogenic (olivine the most radiogenic), but very little Pb is in them so laboratory blank is a significant component in all. With a best-guess blank correction, the magnetic and olivine separates give a minimum age of 4.37 ± 0.23 Ga applicable to the dunite as a whole. The two whole-rocks do not plot together and suggest that WR-2 contains some uncorrected non-radiogenic Pb (above blank). Olivine and WR-1 are most reliable and indicate an age of 4.52 ± 0.06 Ga, older but within error limits of the Rb-Sr age of 72417 (4.45 ± 01 Ga). Corrections to align the whole rock and the magnetic separates with olivine are too great to be explained by laboratory chemistry, and suggest pre-preparation contamination, possibly meteoritic. Regardless, the Pb-Pb age is constrained between about 4.37 and 4.52 Ga, assuming the olivine data is unmovable. The data clearly indicates derivation from a high-μ source (>500), similar to results from norite 78235 and 76535 by the same laboratory.

EXPOSURE

Keith et al. (1974a,b) tabulated disintegration counts for cosmogenic radionuclides in 72415, without discussion. Yokoyama et al. (1974) used the 26Al and 22Na data to state that the sample was saturated in 26Al, hence exposed for at least a few million years.

PHYSICAL PROPERTIES

Pearce et al. (1974a,b) tabulated magnetic properties of 72415 (Table 5) with little specific discussion. The metal content is exceptionally low.

Brecher (1975, 1976a) described magnetic anisotropy (high-field saturation and remanence) in 72415 as reflecting the petrographic texture of the sample. Some features with a preferential orientation produced by shock, such as metal decorating planar structures, would certainly produce a magnetic anisotropy. (However, it is not obvious in any petrographic description that there are preferred orientations within 72415; most planar features appear to predate the last cataclasis. This puts Brecher's hypothesis in some doubt in this particular case).

PROCESSING

72415 was created from two pieces that matched (Fig. 1), termed A and B. The first subdivisions were a loose undocumented chip (.1, thin sections); two combined pieces from opposite ends of piece A (.2, chemistry, magnetic); small chips and fragments (.4, unallocated); and an undocumented chip (.6, tracks, no published data). Subsequently piece A was sawn as shown in Figure 2. Sample .18 was consumed making thin sections. .17 (4.5 g); and .16 (1.4 g) remain intact. Small pieces for chemistry, radiogenic isotopes, and thin sections were later taken from .8, .15; and .10 (small chips from piece B), and other small chips allocated for spectral reflectance studies. Piece B (now .9, 12.3 g) is virtually intact and stored at Brooks. Sample .8 is now 4.4 g.
Table 5: Some magnetic properties of 72415 (Pearce et al., 1977a).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>$J_0$ (emu/g)</th>
<th>$X_0$ (emu/g Oe) $\times 10^0$</th>
<th>$X_0$ (emu/g Oe) $\times 10^0$</th>
<th>$H_x$ (Oe)</th>
<th>$H_y$ (Oe)</th>
<th>$J_x/J_y$</th>
<th>Equiv. wt.%</th>
<th>Equiv. Fe$^+$ wt.%</th>
<th>Equiv. Fe$^{2+}$ wt.%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dunite clast</td>
<td>0.064</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>8.85</td>
<td>0.0033</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 8: Pb-Pb correlation diagram for lunar dunite 72415 separates. WR-1 and WR-2 are whole-rock samples, OLIV is the olivine separate, and MAG is a magnetic separate consisting mainly of pyroxene and spinel. CDT is Canyon Diablo troilite.
INTRODUCTION

72416 is a cataclasized dunite that was collected, along with 72415, 72417, and 72418, to sample a 10 cm clast in the impact melt matrix of Boulder 3, Station 2 (see section on Boulder 3, Station 2, Fig. 1). 72416 is an irregular, slabby piece that measures 2.1 x 1.2 x 0.9 cm, with many zap pits and patina on the one lunar-exposed surface (Fig. 1). It is very similar to 72415 and 72417. 72416 has never been dissected or allocated for study.

Figure 1: Photograph of 72416. The piece is about 2 cm long. Part of photograph S-73-17968.
INTRODUCTION

72417 is a complexly cataclasized dunite that was collected, along with 72415, 72416, and 72418, to sample a 10 cm clast in the impact melt matrix of Boulder 3, Station 2 (see section on Boulder 3, Station 2, Figure 1). It was originally a coarse-grained igneous rock consisting mainly of magnesian olivine. Radiogenic isotope analyses suggest that the dunite crystallized 4.45 Ga ago, but has since suffered a complex history of deformation and excavation. 72417 is an irregular, slabby chip, with many zap pits and a patina on the lunar-exposed face (Fig. 1).

72417 is 1.2 x 2.1 x 3.2 cm, and pale yellowish to greenish gray (5Y 8/1 to 5GY 8/1). It is tough, but has a few non-penetrative fractures. It is essentially identical with 72415 both macroscopically and microscopically, consisting dominantly of pale yellow-green olivine fragments in a fine-grained matrix that is also dominantly olivine.

All the early studies of 72417 were conducted under a loosely-knit consortium led by the Caltech group (e.g. Dymek et al., 1975b; Papanastassiou and Wasserburg, 1975a), and the entire sample was allocated to that group for dissection and re-allocation. The details of the dissection are not available, although suballocations to investigators outside of Caltech are documented by mass.

PETROGRAPHY

There are fewer thin sections of 72417 than there are of 72415, and most authors do not distinguish the two samples. Thus the petrographic description of 72415 applies in general to 72417 as well (e.g. Albee et al., 1974a and Dymek et al., 1975b). However, Dymek et al. (1975b) distinguished the composition of metal grains between the two samples (section on 72415, Fig. 5). Bell et al. (1975) gave two microprobe analyses of olivines that were hosts for symplectites, and gave an average composition derived from microprobe analyses of 3 symplectites; their compositions are roughly similar to those in 72415. They also depicted symplectites in 72417. Dymek et al. (1975b) noted that heavy liquid separations of materials in 72417 included a single grain of ilmenite, a phase not identified in thin sections of 72415 or 72417. Ryder (1984b) suggested on the basis of published olivine compositions that 72417 might be a little more iron-rich than 72415.

Lally et al. (1976a,b) made a very detailed optical and electron petrographic study of deformation, recovery, and recrystallization of 72417. They inferred at least four stages of shock deformation and at least two stages of annealing; at least one heating event may have accompanied the shock deformations. Like the study of Richter et al. (1976a) for 72415, the interpretation of 72417 by Lally et al. (1976a,b) is consistent with but more detailed than that of Dymek et al. (1975b). High-voltage electron microscopy was used to define the substructure of crystals and matrix grains (latter defined as less than 50 microns). The olivines are moderately deformed, with planar kink boundaries, undulating extinctions, open and healed fractures, and inclusions. Subgrains in large olivine clasts are bounded by dislocations, and the subgrain sizes are very varied. Symplectites occur in planar boundaries. The matrix contains the most highly-deformed grains, but also some recovery and extensive recrystallization. Annealing followed brecciation, and the matrix has genuine porosity and some genuine sintering. Lally et al. (1976a) infer that the fractures observed by Snee and Ahrens (1975a,b) are probably not from the original shock event that produced the subgrains, as most of these recovered, but are from a later event, or even thermal in origin. A lower limit to the shock pressure is given by the presence of maskelynite and plagioclase melts. Most of the dislocations are probably shock-induced, since such...
fractures rarely occur after crystallization and slow cooling. In large olivine crystals, recovery is dominant; in smaller olivines, there is both recovery and recrystallization; in the matrix, recrystallization is dominant. The smallest olivines must have had the greatest dislocation density.

The history of 72417 as inferred by Lally et al. (1975a) is given in Table 1. Its main difference from that of Dymek et al. (1975b) is the matrix recrystallization, which cannot be seen optically. The recrystallization might result from the inclusion of the dunite in the melt matrix of Boulder 3, Station 2. The large grains of olivine have an unusual heterogeneity of sizes. Shock event IIa (Table 1) produced a coherent rock, with well-recovered and recrystallized olivine and pyroxenes equilibrated at less than 810 degrees C. The present structure of the sample was produced in shock event IIIa, at 50 to 100 kb, without the production of maskelynite.

### CHEMISTRY

Among the chemical analyses are for 72417 given in Table 2, none can be particularly said to represent bulk rock. The data from Laul and Schmitt (1975a) in Table 2 are weighted means of 9 different subsamples that were chosen to sample the visual variety of materials composing 72417, and which themselves show a wide range in compositions (Table 3). The 9 subsamples range from 70 to 130 mg. Nonetheless, this mean corresponds reasonably with the analyses given for 72415, and corresponds with a magnesian dunite with low abundances of incompatible and felsic elements. The rare earth elements for the individual samples and the mean are shown in Figure 2; their main feature is the consistent flat pattern of light rare earth elements and changing slope of heavy rare earth elements among subsamples. Laul and Schmitt (1975a,b) attempted to calculate the composition of the parent magma, which in essence must be enriched in rare earths (cf. chondrites) and comparatively more enriched in light rare earths (e.g. La 14 x chondrites, Lu 7 x chondrites; Fig. 3). However, such calculations are very model dependent. Laul and Schmitt (1975a) explored several possible models, favoring garnet in the history to produce the light rare earth element enrichment. They suggested that the parent magma was a second-stage product, needing a previous history in which the products of melting of a gabbroic anorthosite was mixed with an earlier Mg-rich cumulate in some form of magma pool from which the dunite crystallized. McKay et al. (1979) used the data of Laul and Schmitt (1975a,b) to reinvestigate the composition of the parent magma; using updated coefficients and a trapped liquid model, they suggested a parent magma with rare earth abundances only about half of those of Laul and Schmitt (1975a) but with a similar overall pattern. The inferred magma had Ca/AI less than

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>Nature of events</th>
<th>Resulting fabric</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Initial crystallization, accumulation of dunite; slow cooling.</td>
<td>Coarse-grained; presumably cumulate or modified cumulate texture.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IIa</td>
<td>Shock deformation: plastic deformation of olivine; melting of plagioclase; and injection of plag melt. Introduction of crack porosity.</td>
<td>Texture unknown; probably cohesive and massive.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IIIa</td>
<td>Shock deformation: brecciation, cataclastic deformation; plastic flow especially in fine-grained material. Consolidation (?)</td>
<td>Present breccia texture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IIIb</td>
<td>Recovery and local recrystallization: Possibly responsible for contributing to consolidation.</td>
<td>Present breccia texture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>Incorporation in melt (72435) of cohesive breccia fragments; heating by melt.</td>
<td>Present breccia texture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>Excavation of Boulder 3 to present location. Little or no effect on dunite fabric.</td>
<td>Present breccia texture</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 2: Chemical analyses of "whole rock" 72417 samples.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Split 1</th>
<th>Split 2</th>
<th>Split 3</th>
<th>Split 4</th>
<th>Split 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>0.0028</td>
<td>0.0024</td>
<td>0.0006</td>
<td>0.0051</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr</td>
<td>0.114</td>
<td>0.113</td>
<td>0.111</td>
<td>0.112</td>
<td>0.111</td>
<td>0.110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nb</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>0.032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ta</td>
<td>0.0008</td>
<td>0.0008</td>
<td>0.0008</td>
<td>0.0008</td>
<td>0.0008</td>
<td>0.0008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nb</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ta</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nb</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pr</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nd</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sm</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eu</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gd</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tb</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dy</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Er</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tm</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yb</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lu</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
- (1) Weighted mean of 8 (70-130 mg) samples from different locations of 72417 from Caltech consortium samples.
- (2) Combined mean and relative values.
- (3) Interpolated.
chondritic, and this and other non-chondritic aspects probably could not be derived by fractional crystallization of a chondritic parent.

Higuchi and Morgan (1975a) and Morgan and Wandless (1979) inferred that the siderophile and volatile elements were of indigenous, not meteoritic, origin. Continued analyses of small subsamples by Morgan and Wandless (1988) showed that the 72417 samples generally had higher siderophiles and volatiles than 72415, and confirmed that the siderophiles were indigenous; for instance, the refractory siderophiles Os, Re, and Ir do not correlate with other siderophiles. Ni, Co, and Ge correlate with each other, suggesting that Ge acted as a siderophile and that all three elements reside mainly in Fe-metal. Morgan and Wandless (1988) infer a source magma for the dunite that had about 6 x the volatiles of a mare basalt.

The data of Jovanovic and Reed (e.g. 1974a) includes separate leach and residue data for Cl and Br. Although most of their data is presented with little discussion, they claim that the Ru/Os ratio is roughly chondritic, but that is a sign of primitiveness rather than contamination. Such a ratio is much lower than that of mare basalts (about 24). For Hg they present some temperature release (<130 degrees C) data.

RADIOGENIC ISOTOPES

Papanastassiou and Wasserburg (1975a,b, 1976b) gave a detailed description of analyses of subsamples of 72417 for Rb and Sr isotopic ratios (Table 4). The subsamples were varied chips and splits of 50 to 150 mg chosen for their distinctive characters, and the analyses included handpicked olivine and symplectite fragments. None specifically represent bulk rock. The data define a precise age

![Figure 2: Rare earth elements in small subsamples (70 to 130 mg) of 72417 (lighter lines), from Laul and Schmitt (1975a). The weighted mean is included (heavier line with strokes).](image-url)

![Figure 3: Calculation of abundances of incompatible elements in the parent magma of 72417 according to one of the models of Laul and Schmitt (1975; their Fig. 7), and a calculated parent for anorthosite 15415.](image-url)
Figure 4: Rb-Sr evolution diagram for mechanically separated samples of the dunite 72417. The age is determined for decay constant for $^{87}\text{Rb} = 1.39 \times 10^{-11}/\text{yr}$; for decay constant for $^{87}\text{Rb} = 1.42 \times 10^{-11}/\text{yr}$, age is 4.47 Ga.Inset shows deviations in parts of $10^4$ from the best-fit line (Papanastassiou and Wasserburg, 1975a).

Figure 5: Element correlation diagram for dunite 72417 samples. The distinctly low K/Rb data fall along line AD. These data require the presence of at least three different phases with distinct Rb/Sr values (Papanastassiou and Wasserburg, 1975a).

Figure 6: Element correlation diagram for dunite 72417 samples. These data require the presence of at least three different phases. The olivine appears to be sampling phases distinct from the handpicked coarse symplectites. (Papanastassiou and Wasserburg, 1975a).
used the old decay constant), which is the same age as that of the host melt. However, no details were published. Tera et al. (1974b) reported some limited Pb isotopic data that also suggested a somewhat younger age than 4.6 Ga and might indicate a disturbed system. The Pb is distinctly radiogenic.

**STABLE ISOTOPES**

Clayton and Mayeda (1975a, b) and Mayeda et al. (1975) reported isotopic analyses of oxygen in 72417 splits, with little discussion (Table 5). The delta 18O values for olivines are in the middle of the range for lunar olivines.

**PROCESSING**

The sample was entirely allocated to the Caltech group for study and further allocation, and the details of the subdivisions are not generally available. Allocations to investigators outside of Caltech are documented by mass.

---

**Table 3: Chemical analyses of small subsamples of 72417 (70 to 130 mg) from Laul and Schmitt (1975a); the weighted average is included in Table 2.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Weight (mg)</th>
<th>K ppm</th>
<th>Ba ppm</th>
<th>Sr ppm</th>
<th>Sr/Ba:</th>
<th>Sr/Cr(sic):</th>
<th>Cr(sic)/Sr:</th>
<th>Cr(sic)/Cr:</th>
<th>Sr/Ba:</th>
<th>Cr(sic)/Sr:</th>
<th>Cr(sic)/Cr:</th>
<th>Sr/Ba:</th>
<th>Cr(sic)/Sr:</th>
<th>Cr(sic)/Cr:</th>
<th>Sr/Ba:</th>
<th>Cr(sic)/Sr:</th>
<th>Cr(sic)/Cr:</th>
<th>Sr/Ba:</th>
<th>Cr(sic)/Sr:</th>
<th>Cr(sic)/Cr:</th>
<th>Sr/Ba:</th>
<th>Cr(sic)/Sr:</th>
<th>Cr(sic)/Cr:</th>
<th>Sr/Ba:</th>
<th>Cr(sic)/Sr:</th>
<th>Cr(sic)/Cr:</th>
<th>Sr/Ba:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chip-1</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>1.213</td>
<td>0.0830</td>
<td>2.712</td>
<td>7.14</td>
<td>0.70370 ± 6</td>
<td>40.58</td>
<td>2.37</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>6.02</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>1.642</td>
<td>0.0827</td>
<td>3.713</td>
<td>5.19</td>
<td>0.70249 ± 6</td>
<td>55.76</td>
<td>2.40</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>4.99</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-3</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0931</td>
<td>4.075</td>
<td>5.33</td>
<td>0.70231 ± 10</td>
<td>54.35</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0817</td>
<td>4.438</td>
<td>4.29</td>
<td>0.70171 ± 10</td>
<td>67.45</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>2.77</td>
<td>0.1053</td>
<td>8.561</td>
<td>2.838</td>
<td>0.70070 ± 9</td>
<td>102.0</td>
<td>3.31</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>6.10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-6</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>19.9</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>0.0934</td>
<td>10.37</td>
<td>2.110</td>
<td>0.70041 ± 6</td>
<td>137.2</td>
<td>3.44</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>6.22</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-7b</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>0.664</td>
<td>0.0645</td>
<td>9.610</td>
<td>16.53</td>
<td>0.70017 ± 6</td>
<td>97.5</td>
<td>3.99</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>6.84</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sym-1</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>29.1</td>
<td>4.66</td>
<td>0.0843</td>
<td>15.08</td>
<td>1.304</td>
<td>0.69985 ± 5</td>
<td>222.1</td>
<td>4.34</td>
<td>404</td>
<td>6.24</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>31.3</td>
<td>5.14</td>
<td>0.0905</td>
<td>15.38</td>
<td>1.372</td>
<td>0.69992 ± 5</td>
<td>211.0</td>
<td>3.18</td>
<td>405</td>
<td>6.09</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olivine</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>0.0271</td>
<td>6.074</td>
<td>1.041</td>
<td>0.69665 ± 9</td>
<td>278.3</td>
<td>3.79</td>
<td>458</td>
<td>6.24</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1Sample 7a represents a quarter split of a homogenized sample after crushing to less than 75 μm; 7b is an eight split of the same original sample.

---

**Table 4: Analyses of subsamples of 72417 for Rb and Sr isotopic ratios.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample*</th>
<th>Weight (mg)</th>
<th>K ppm</th>
<th>Ba ppm</th>
<th>Rb ppm</th>
<th>*Sr ppm</th>
<th>*Sr/Rb ppm</th>
<th>Sr/Sr ppm</th>
<th>Sr/Sr ppm</th>
<th>Sr/Sr ppm</th>
<th>Sr/Sr ppm</th>
<th>Sr/Sr ppm</th>
<th>Sr/Sr ppm</th>
<th>Sr/Sr ppm</th>
<th>Sr/Sr ppm</th>
<th>Sr/Sr ppm</th>
<th>Sr/Sr ppm</th>
<th>Sr/Sr ppm</th>
<th>Sr/Sr ppm</th>
<th>Sr/Sr ppm</th>
<th>Sr/Sr ppm</th>
<th>Sr/Sr ppm</th>
<th>Sr/Sr ppm</th>
<th>Sr/Sr ppm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chip-1</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>1.213</td>
<td>0.0830</td>
<td>2.712</td>
<td>7.14</td>
<td>0.70370 ± 6</td>
<td>40.58</td>
<td>2.37</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>6.02</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>1.642</td>
<td>0.0827</td>
<td>3.713</td>
<td>5.19</td>
<td>0.70249 ± 6</td>
<td>55.76</td>
<td>2.40</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>4.99</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-3</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0931</td>
<td>4.075</td>
<td>5.33</td>
<td>0.70231 ± 10</td>
<td>54.35</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0817</td>
<td>4.438</td>
<td>4.29</td>
<td>0.70171 ± 10</td>
<td>67.45</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>2.77</td>
<td>0.1053</td>
<td>8.561</td>
<td>2.838</td>
<td>0.70070 ± 9</td>
<td>102.0</td>
<td>3.31</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>6.10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-6</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>19.9</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>0.0934</td>
<td>10.37</td>
<td>2.110</td>
<td>0.70041 ± 6</td>
<td>137.2</td>
<td>3.44</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>6.22</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-7b</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>0.664</td>
<td>0.0645</td>
<td>9.610</td>
<td>16.53</td>
<td>0.70017 ± 6</td>
<td>97.5</td>
<td>3.99</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>6.84</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sym-1</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>29.1</td>
<td>4.66</td>
<td>0.0843</td>
<td>15.08</td>
<td>1.304</td>
<td>0.69985 ± 5</td>
<td>222.1</td>
<td>4.34</td>
<td>404</td>
<td>6.24</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>31.3</td>
<td>5.14</td>
<td>0.0905</td>
<td>15.38</td>
<td>1.372</td>
<td>0.69992 ± 5</td>
<td>211.0</td>
<td>3.18</td>
<td>405</td>
<td>6.09</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1Sample 7a represents a quarter split of a homogenized sample after crushing to less than 75 μm; 7b is an eight split of the same original sample.
Table 5: Oxygen isotopic analyses for samples of 72417 (Clayton and Mayeda, 1975a,b; Mayeda et al., 1975).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Split</th>
<th>description</th>
<th>delta $^{18}$O</th>
<th>delta $^{17}$O</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>.9011</td>
<td>dunité</td>
<td>4.77</td>
<td>2.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.9011</td>
<td>dunité</td>
<td>5.20</td>
<td>2.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.9011</td>
<td>mx in dunité</td>
<td>4.82</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.9010</td>
<td>ol in dunité</td>
<td>5.09</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
INTRODUCTION

72418 is a cataclasized dunite that was collected, along with 72415, 72416, and 72417, to sample a 10 cm clast in the impact melt matrix of Boulder 3, Station 2 (see section on Boulder 3, Station 2, Fig. 1). 72418 is an irregular, slabby piece that measures 1 x 2.5 x 0.4 cm, with many zap pits and patina on the one lunar-exposed surface (Fig. 1). It is very similar to 72415 and 72417, but appears to contain a few exceptionally large spinels (up to 1.5 mm). 72418 has never been dissected or allocated for study.

Figure 1: Photograph of 72418. The piece is about 2 cm long. Part of photograph S-73-17968.
INTRODUCTION

72435 is a very fine-grained, clast-bearing impact melt with a micropoikilitic texture. It was sampled as typical matrix of Boulder 3, Station 2 (see section on Boulder 3, Station 2, Fig. 1). The sample has a major and trace element chemistry similar to other Apollo 17 low-K Fra Mauro impact melts, and can be assumed to have formed in the Serenitatis event. It is among the finest-grained of such samples. Radiogenic isotopic data on matrix and clasts show that it crystallized close to 3.86 Ga ago, and that matrix and clasts did not totally equilibrate with each other, even for argon. The larger clasts are dominantly feldspathic granulites, and brecciated dunitic, troctolitic, and noritic fragments.

The sample, consisting of two mated pieces (4 x 5 x 3 cm, and 5 x 4 x 3 cm) is angular, and gray (N4) (Fig. 1). It is commonly referred to as blue-gray (e.g. LSPET, 1973). It is tough but with one penetrative fracture that broke the sample. The sample is homogeneous, except for apparent variation in grain size near some cavities. Clasts up to 1 cm are visible in the sample; larger clasts (including the sampled dunite) were visible in the parent boulder. Clasts larger than 1 mm compose about 5% of the sample. Both clasts and elongate cavities in 72435 are aligned, but most cavities are spherical. Some are as large as 8 mm. Smaller cavities are smooth or drusy; some larger ones have crystal linings. Cavities occupy less than 1% of the sample. The exposed surface (B and W) are knobby, discolored, and rounded, with zap pits. The broken interior is hackly.

Most of the studies of 72395 were conducted under a consortium led by the Caltech group (Dymek et al., 1976a; Papanastassiou and Wasserburg 1975a; Huneke, 1978). Following chipping of two small samples, advantage was taken of the samples breakage to produce a slab with a single saw cut across the large of the ends. This slab was dissected (Fig. 2), and nearly all subsequent allocations were made from this slab.

Figure 1: Fractured surface of 72435. Clasts as large as 10 mm are visible, as well as vesicles up to 8 mm (lower center right). Scale in centimeters. S-73-19652.
Petrography

72435 consists of mineral and lithic clasts in an extremely fine-grained, poikilitic, partially clastic matrix (Dymek et al., 1976a,b). The sample formed as the result of crystallization of a clast-bearing melt produced in an impact. In thin section the matrix has a dark gray appearance resulting from the aphanitic nature (Fig. 3a). The larger clasts are lithic fragments, most less than 2 cm across. The smaller clasts include abundant mineral clasts. According to Dymek et al. (1976a), clasts in the 1 to 20 mm range compose 5 to 10% of the sample. The igneous groundmass has an average grain-size of less than 50 microns (Fig. 3b), and the microclasts have a seriate grain-size distribution. Simonds et al. (1974) referred to 72435 as a crystalline, matrix-supported, micropoikilitic rock with matrix feldspars 5 to 30 microns long and matrix mafic grains 25 to 50 microns long. Chao and Minkin (1974b) noted that 72435 was similar to 77135. Albee et al. (1974) noted that the sample differs from the Boulder 2, Station 2 samples in being blue-gray, having fewer and smaller clasts, and some larger vesicles; they also noted some zones of aligned slit vesicles.

The most detailed petrographic description of both clasts and matrix for 72435 are by Dymek et al. (1976a), who present microprobe data. Further details on a specific spinel-troctolite clast were given by Herzberg (1978), Herzberg and Baker (1980), and Baker and Herzberg (1980a,b). Most of the groundmass is homogeneous, but there are some areas (about 300 microns) that are much finer-grained. Other areas up to 500 microns across contain aggregates of plagioclase laths; these might be either clasts or a type of "synneusis" texture. The groundmass appears to be unaffected by the local alignment of slit vesicles and clasts. Dymek et al. (1976a) listed the phase abundances, phase compositions, and the bulk chemical composition (from a microprobe point count) of 72435 (Table 1). The tabulated phase compositions appear to represent those of the groundmass, not clasts. These authors also diagrammed the mineral compositions for the sample, reproduced here as Fig. 4 (plagioclases), Fig. 5 (pyroxenes), Fig. 6 (olivines and Fe-Ti oxides), Fig. 7 (spinel), and Fig. 8 (metal). Most of these diagrams include data from dunite 72415-7 for comparison, and distinguish clasts from groundmass phases.

The groundmass consists of fine-grained intergrown pyroxene, plagioclase, olivine, and ilmenite. The mafic silicate grains form tiny oikocrysts (about 10-50 microns across) that enclose finer grains of plagioclase; most of the ilmenite is interstitial to the oikocrysts (Fig. 3b). Most of the oikocrysts are low-
Figure 3: Photomicrographs of 72435. Both transmitted light; fields of view about 1 mm (a) and about 300 microns (b). a) shows the dense nature of the groundmass, the subrounded/subangular nature of the clasts, and the small size of most clasts. b) shows the igneous nature of the groundmass, with ilmenite (black) forming interstitially to the maifit oikocrysts, which seem mottled because they are studded with tiny plagioclases.

Figure 4: Compositions of plagioclases in 72435, with groundmass and clast plagioclases distinguished, and data for dunite 72415-7 for comparison (Dymek et al., 1976a).

Figure 5: Compositions of pyroxenes in 72435, with groundmass and clast pyroxenes distinguished, and data for dunite 72415-7 for comparison (Dymek et al., 1976a).
Figure 6: Compositions of olivines in 72435, with groundmass and clast olivines distinguished, and data for dunite 72415-7 for comparison (Dymek et al., 1976a).

Figure 7: Compositions of spinels in 72435, with groundmass and clast spinels distinguished, and data for dunite 72415-7 for comparison (Dymek et al., 1976a).

Figure 8: Ni and Co concentrations of metals in 72435 groundmass. Most fall in the "meteoritic" field. (Dymek et al., 1976a).
Table 1: Phase abundances, "average" phase compositions, and calculated bulk chemical composition of 72435,39. (Dymek et al., 1976a).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Low-Ca</th>
<th>High-Ca</th>
<th>Olivine</th>
<th>Ilmenite</th>
<th>Troilite</th>
<th>Metal</th>
<th>Ca Pyroxene</th>
<th>Mesocryst</th>
<th>SiO$_2$</th>
<th>Bulk Comp</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vol %</td>
<td>64.03</td>
<td>21.31</td>
<td>8.27</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'95</td>
<td>64.03</td>
<td>21.31</td>
<td>8.27</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We %</td>
<td>52.04</td>
<td>21.31</td>
<td>9.53</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Spinel clasts have spectacular reaction rims. Most of the lithic clasts in 72435 are feldspathic highlands lithologies, similar to those in Boulder 2, Station 2 samples, and compose several percent of the rock. They have a range of textures, grain sizes, and compositions. Plagioclases in these fragments are generally more calcic than An90. Pyroxenes and olivines have compositions similar to those of the mineral clasts. The lithologies (according to Dymek et al., 1976a) include recrystallized anorthositic, noritic, and troctolitic rocks, poliklitic norites, dunites, and spinel cataclasite. Many of these are feldspathic granulitic breccias, i.e. recrystallized. The dunites resemble 72415-8 samples i.e., coarse-grained. Some fine-grained samples differ in having polygonal textures and are not cataclasites.

Dymek et al. (1976a) noted two spinel cataclastic fragments, a distinctive lithology, and reported mineral analyses. The fragments are friable, and consist of a broken...
assemblage of plagioclase (70%; An98-94), olivine (20%; Fo72), pink spinel (5%), low-Ca pyroxene (1%), and smaller amounts ilmenite, troilite, and Fe-metal. One of the clasts contains a single grain of cofdierite (30 microns) as an inclusion in spinel. No high-Ca pyroxene was observed by Dymek et al. (1976a). The major mineral phases are unshocked and clear. Herzberg (1978), Herzberg and Baker (1980), and Baker and Herzberg (1980a, b) further studied the spinel cataclasites in an attempt to define temperatures and pressures of origin from thermodynamic constraints based on experimental data. They provided new mineral composition data (Figs. 9, 10) that is consistent with the Dymek et al. (1976a) data and detailed petrographic descriptions. A summary of the compositions and conclusions based on them is given as Table 2, with the cordierite-bearing (in ,8) and cordierite-free (in ,30) samples distinguished. Ranges in composition of spinels and pyroxenes show that the fragments are not in equilibrium, and some grains may not be indigenous. However, much of the olivine, spinel, and pyroxene may be in equilibrium. The two samples produce different estimates of the pressure, with the cordierite-free sample suggestive of mid- to lower-crust levels, and the cordierite-bearing sample giving negative pressures.

CHEMISTRY

Chemical analyses of bulk rock (groundmass plus clasts) for 72435 are given in Table 3; the major element analyses agree fairly well with that derived by Dymek et al. (1976a) from a microprobe point count (Table 1). The rare earth elements are plotted as Figure 11. These data were reported with little specific discussion. The sample has a low-K Fra Mauro basalt composition, similar in major and trace elements to many other impact melt samples at the Apollo 17 site. It clearly has meteoric contamination, but the siderophile element data are inadequate to specify a meteoritic group (a la Anders group).

RADIOGENIC ISOTOPES AND GEOCHRONOLOGY

Rubidium and strontium isotopic data for whole-rock samples of 72435 were reported by Nyquist et al. (1974a, b) and Papanastassiou and Wasserburg (1975a, b), and the latter authors also reported data for splits derived from handpicking of clasts and density separations of a matrix sample. These isotopic data are reproduced in Tables 4 (whole rock) and 5 (separates).

According to Nyquist et al. (1974a), the data lie on a line with other Apollo 17 melt samples with a slope equivalent to an age of 3.94 +/-0.1 Ga. 72435 has lower Rb than most of these other samples, giving older model ages. Papanastassiou and Wasserburg (1975a) found that
Table 2: Pressure-temperature summary for spinel cataclasites in 72435, with summary of relevant mineral compositions. (Baker and Herzberg, 1980a).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Selenothermometer</th>
<th>T(°C)</th>
<th>Depth (km)</th>
<th>I</th>
<th>II</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1000–1200</td>
<td>≥32</td>
<td>≥12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>800–1200</td>
<td>≥28</td>
<td>≥12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>680–810</td>
<td>≥26</td>
<td>≥12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1170–1230</td>
<td>≥32</td>
<td>≥12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1: from highest Al/(Al + Cr) and Mg/(Mg + Fe) in spinel
II: from lowest Al/(Al + Cr) and Mg/(Mg + Fe) in spinel

72435.8

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Selenothermometer</th>
<th>T(°C)</th>
<th>Depth (km)*</th>
<th>I</th>
<th>II</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>−6</td>
<td>−12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>no solution</td>
<td>?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>950–1020</td>
<td>−10</td>
<td>−18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1290–1310</td>
<td>−16</td>
<td>−24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* 72435.8 is a univariant mineral assemblage. In principle, a specific T and P can be determined.
I: from lowest Al2O3 in opx
II: from highest Al2O3 in opx

Figure 11: Rare earth elements in splits of 72435. Solid line is I (Hubbard et al., 1974; Nyquist et al., 1974a). Fine dashed line is II (Murali et al., 1977).
Table 3: Chemical analyses of bulk rock for 72435.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Split</th>
<th>.1</th>
<th>.1</th>
<th>.11</th>
<th>.1</th>
<th>.11 T-1</th>
<th>.11 T-2</th>
<th>.11 (a)</th>
<th>Split</th>
<th>.1</th>
<th>.1</th>
<th>.11</th>
<th>.1</th>
<th>.11 T-1</th>
<th>.11 T-2</th>
<th>.11 (a)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>45.76</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>19.23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr₂O₃</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.1185</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.217</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cr₂O₃</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO</td>
<td>8.70</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FeO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MnO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>11.63</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MgO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>11.72</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CaO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂O</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.2163</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.2394</td>
<td>0.2393</td>
<td>0.2131</td>
<td>K₂O</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₂O₅</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P₂O₅</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ppm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sc</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sc</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>V</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Co</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>320</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ni</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rb</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>3.93</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.528</td>
<td>3.445</td>
<td>2.762</td>
<td>Rb</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>171.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>168.0</td>
<td>169.5</td>
<td>165.4</td>
<td>Sr</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y</td>
<td>107</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zr</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>473</td>
<td>430</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Zr</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nb</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Nb</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hf</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hf</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ba</td>
<td>334</td>
<td>310</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ba</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Th</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Th</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>U</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ca</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ta</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ta</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pb</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La</td>
<td>31.7</td>
<td>37.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>La</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce</td>
<td>80.6</td>
<td>92</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ce</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pr</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pr</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nd</td>
<td>51.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Nd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sm</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eu</td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Eu</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gd</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Gd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tb</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tb</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dy</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ho</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Er</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Er</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yb</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Yb</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lu</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lu</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Li</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Be</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Be</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>945</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>F</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cl</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Br</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Br</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cu</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Zn</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Au</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ir</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ir</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

References and methods:
1) LSPET (1973); XRF
2) Hubbard et al. (1974), Nyquist et al. (1974a); ID/MS except Na by AAS
3) Murali et al. (1977); INAA
4) Gibson and Moore (1974a); combustion
5) Papanastassiou and Wasserburg (1975a); ID/MS

Notes:
(a) matrix adjacent to clast E.
Table 4: Whole-rock Rb-Sr isotopic data for 72435. Ages have been recalculated for new decay constant for $^{87}$Rb (decay constant = $1.42 \times 10^{-11}$ y$^{-1}$) and are +/- .06 to .09 Ga. Isotopic ratios have not been adjusted for interlaboratory bias.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Rb ppm</th>
<th>Sr ppm</th>
<th>$^{87}$Rb/$^{86}$Sr</th>
<th>$^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr</th>
<th>TBABI</th>
<th>TLUNI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nyquist et al. (1974a)</td>
<td>3.93</td>
<td>171.6</td>
<td>0.0662 +/- 6</td>
<td>0.70360 +/- 5</td>
<td>4.63</td>
<td>4.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Papanastassiou and Wasserburg (1975a)</td>
<td>3.528</td>
<td>168.0</td>
<td>0.0609</td>
<td>0.70306 +/- 5</td>
<td>4.57</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.445</td>
<td>169.5</td>
<td>0.0589</td>
<td>0.70300 +/- 5</td>
<td>4.65</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.762</td>
<td>165.4</td>
<td>0.0484</td>
<td>0.70245 +/- 6</td>
<td>4.88</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5: Rubidium and strontium isotopic data for 72435 whole-rock and separates as reported by Papanastassiou and Wasserburg (1975a). TBABI ages are for a decay constant of $1.39 \times 10^{-11}$ y$^{-1}$. The first two and the last rows appear in modified form in Table 4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>K'</th>
<th>Rb'</th>
<th>$^{87}$Sr'</th>
<th>$^{87}$Rb/$^{86}$Sr</th>
<th>$^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr</th>
<th>$^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr</th>
<th>$^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr</th>
<th>$^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr</th>
<th>$^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr</th>
<th>$^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>mg</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>10$^{-4}$ mole/g</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total-1</td>
<td>M 73</td>
<td>1995</td>
<td>4.128</td>
<td>158.0</td>
<td>6.09</td>
<td>0.70360 +/- 5</td>
<td>4.63</td>
<td>4.70</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total-2</td>
<td>M 35</td>
<td>1944</td>
<td>4.031</td>
<td>159.5</td>
<td>5.89</td>
<td>0.70300 +/- 5</td>
<td>4.75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total-clast A</td>
<td>M 21</td>
<td>755</td>
<td>2.819</td>
<td>126.1</td>
<td>5.21</td>
<td>0.70234 +/- 5</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plag clasts</td>
<td>M 3.4</td>
<td>776</td>
<td>0.879</td>
<td>242.3</td>
<td>0.846</td>
<td>0.69957 +/- 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Density separates (on 2.8 g of matrix)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2.70 &lt; p &lt; 2.8</th>
<th>2.60 &lt; p &lt; 2.7</th>
<th>2.40 &lt; p &lt; 2.45</th>
<th>2.35 &lt; p &lt; 2.40</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M 15</td>
<td>M 5.3</td>
<td>M 1.9</td>
<td>L 2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.70</td>
<td>23.70</td>
<td>12.11</td>
<td>2315</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>260.8</td>
<td>257.7</td>
<td>186.6</td>
<td>7350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.10</td>
<td>21.45</td>
<td>15.14</td>
<td>3.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.70240 +/- 4</td>
<td>0.71245 +/- 11</td>
<td>0.70828 +/- 6</td>
<td>0.70540 +/- 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.67 +/- 0.05</td>
<td>4.38 +/- 0.04</td>
<td>4.29 +/- 0.03</td>
<td>4.42 +/- 0.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Density separates (on 48 mg of matrix)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2.6 &lt; p &lt; 2.8</th>
<th>2.4 &lt; p &lt; 2.5</th>
<th>2.8 &lt; p &lt; 3.0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L 2.2</td>
<td>L 1.6</td>
<td>L 2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.2186</td>
<td>1886</td>
<td>2503</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>22.65</td>
<td>4.65</td>
<td>6.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.70</td>
<td>7.60</td>
<td>8.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.70536 +/- 5</td>
<td>0.70396 +/- 9</td>
<td>0.70448 +/- 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.57 +/- 0.08</td>
<td>4.58 +/- 0.02</td>
<td>4.54 +/- 0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Clast E

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Plag*1</th>
<th>Plag*2</th>
<th>Plag*3</th>
<th>Plag*4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M 1.2</td>
<td>M 1.0</td>
<td>M 3.5</td>
<td>M 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1148</td>
<td>1464</td>
<td>1725</td>
<td>1740</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.141</td>
<td>2.575</td>
<td>4.495</td>
<td>5.067</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>174.2</td>
<td>178.2</td>
<td>197.3</td>
<td>203.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.867</td>
<td>3.370</td>
<td>5.32</td>
<td>5.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.70074 +/- 6</td>
<td>0.70111 +/- 9</td>
<td>0.70206 +/- 7</td>
<td>0.70239 +/- 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.69 +/- 0.07</td>
<td>5.45 +/- 0.02</td>
<td>5.45 +/- 0.06</td>
<td>4.10 +/- 0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Rim*1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>M 2.3</th>
<th>M 1.6</th>
<th>M 0.8</th>
<th>M 3.9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>2043</td>
<td>1930</td>
<td>1776</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.893</td>
<td>4.076</td>
<td>4.374</td>
<td>3.232</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>198.5</td>
<td>178.7</td>
<td>174.8</td>
<td>155.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.57</td>
<td>5.32</td>
<td>5.84</td>
<td>4.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.70199 +/- 9</td>
<td>0.70246 +/- 7</td>
<td>0.70270 +/- 12</td>
<td>0.70245 +/- 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.59 +/- 0.14</td>
<td>4.56 +/- 0.09</td>
<td>4.44 +/- 0.14</td>
<td>4.98 +/- 0.09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Matrix*1

*Sample obtained mechanically [M] or by heavy liquid density separations [L].
*Samples from the interior of the clast.
*Samples from pink-grey rim of clast.
*Matrix sample adjacent to clast E.
*Repeat analysis.
**Uncertainties in the concentrations: K ± 1%; Rb ± 0.4%; $^{87}$Sr ± 0.1%.
**Uncertainties correspond to last significant figures and are ±2σ.
Figure 12: Rb-Sr evolution diagram for materials from 72435 (Papanastassiou and Wasserburg, 1975a). All ages on the diagram are for the old decay constant of $1.39 \times 10^{-11} \text{ yr}^{-1}$. Lack of isotopic homogenization at any unique time in the past is obvious (a) for total rocks and clasts and (b) for mineral separates from the finer-grained matrix. The dashed line is for interior samples from clast E.

Figure 13: Rb-Sr evolution diagram for mineral separates from a 48 mg matrix sample of 72435 (Papanastassiou and Wasserburg, 1975a). The fit of the data to a straight line could result from mixing of only two phases. The age is for the old decay constant of $1.39 \times 10^{-11} \text{ yr}^{-1}$.

Figure 14: Rb-Sr evolution diagram for clast E from 72435 (Papanastassiou and Wasserburg, 1975a). Interior samples define a straight line (solid) from which rim and adjacent matrix samples are offset. The age (given on the diagram for the old decay constant of $1.39 \times 10^{-11} \text{ yr}^{-1}$) has a large uncertainty because of the low spread in Rb/Sr.

Huneke et al. (1977) reported argon isotopic data for 4 combined plagioclase clasts from 72435, totalling 1.5 mg with 530 ppm K. The age is constant at $3.87 \pm 0.07$ (new constant) over the entire release (Fig. 16), with no suggestion of older ages suggested by the primitive Sr isotopes in 72435 plagioclases. Huneke and Wasserburg (1978) and Huneke (1978) reported further studies on the matrix and clasts do not fall on an isochron (Fig. 12); the plagioclases are distinctly non-radiogenic and lie on a whole-rock-BABI line equivalent to 4.5 Ga. Thus there is no Sr isotopic equilibration between matrix and clasts. (However, the paper refers to a Pb isotope study by Tera and Wasserburg that shows Pb equilibration between matrix and plagioclase clasts in 72435 at about 3.8 Ga; the reference given is erroneous). The density separates on the matrix also do not lie on a straight line so the matrix is not homogeneous. Matrix separates for a single 48 mg split fall on a line corresponding to an age of $4.18 \pm 0.21$ Ga (Fig. 13) but little credence can be given to such an age with the data available, the small spread in Rb/Sr, and the independent data for a younger age for the matrix-forming event. Data for clast E (predominantly plagioclase with a range of compositions) are shown in Figs. 14 and 15, with clast interior, rim, and adjacent matrix shown separately. The clast data correspond with an age of $3.77 \pm 0.18$ Ga; with large uncertainties resulting from the small spread in Rb/Sr. The adjacent rim and matrix samples fall distinctly off the isochron. Panastassiou and Wasserburg (1975a) could not distinguish whether the age of the clast was primary or metamorphic. The lowest model ages for breccia components are those of separates of clast E at about 3.9 Ga (decay constant $1.42 \times 10^{-11} \text{ yr}^{-1}$), and these are maximum ages for breccia formation. The age of clast E itself would indicate a younger age or some disturbance.

Figure 15: Rb-Sr evolution diagram for mineral separates from a 48 mg matrix sample of 72435 (Papanastassiou and Wasserburg, 1975a). The fit of the data to a straight line could result from mixing of only two phases. The age is for the old decay constant of $1.39 \times 10^{-11} \text{ yr}^{-1}$.
argon isotopes in two individual clasts (Fig. 17), tabulating release data. One consisting of a plagioclase crystal and 25% matrix (0.4 mg; 1200 ppm K) gave a well-defined age of 3.86 +/- 0.04 Ga over the entire release. A large plagioclase crystal (0.6 mg; 190 ppm K) gave a similar age over the first 40% of 39Ar release, then the age rose to 4.04 Ga for the remainder of the release. This plagioclase was incompletely degassed at 3.86 Ga, and 4.04 Ga is a lower limit to its age.

Goswami et al. (1976a) reported track data for 72435. The boundary-track method gave preliminary results of an upper limit to compaction less than 4.1 Ga ago. A more precise determination was hindered by a lack of cosmic ray exposure ages, as there was a high background of cosmic ray tracks. No data were presented.

**PHYSICAL PROPERTIES**

Pearce et al. (1974a,b) listed the magnetic properties of 72435,1 (Table 6) without specific discussion. The metallic iron contents are similar to other Apollo 17 impact melts and much higher than mare basalts. The metal is coarse-grained with low Jrs/Js.

**PROCESSING**

The sample was received as two pieces originally numbered as 72435 and 72436; they were combined as 72435 when it was realized that they fitted together. Two small chips (1; 2) were removed from 0. Advantage was taken of the natural break to produce a slab across the sample with only one sawcut, leaving the large E end .13 (now 71 g), the W end .22 (two broken pieces, 40 g, now at Brooks), and the slab sections (Fig. 2). The slab was subdivided by perpendicular sawcuts and most allocations made from it. One piece, 11(21 g) was sent for subdivision and study by the consortium led from Caltech. Some matrix pieces were taken from .22 before it was stored at Brooks.
Table 6: Magnetic properties of 72435,1. Pearce et al (1974a,b)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>$J_0$ (emu/g)</th>
<th>$X_0$ (emu/g Oe)</th>
<th>$X_o$ (emu/g Oe)</th>
<th>$J_o/J_0$</th>
<th>$H_r$ (Oe)</th>
<th>$H_c$ (Oe)</th>
<th>Equiv. Fe° wt.%</th>
<th>Equiv. Fe°-° wt.%</th>
<th>Fe°</th>
<th>Fe°-°</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>72435.1</td>
<td>.86</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>.003</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>.39</td>
<td>6.83</td>
<td>.058</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
INTRODUCTION

72505 is an angular tough block (Fig. 1) collected as part of the soil with the first rake sample at Station 2, near Boulder 2. The sample was picked during sieving of soil 72500 as a fragment larger than 1 cm. It has macroscopic characters that suggest that it is an impact melt; identification is uncertain because it has never been allocated or dissected. According to LSIC 17 (1973), 72505 is light gray (N7) to olive gray (5Y 6/1); according to Keil et al. (1974) it is medium dark gray (N4). It is similar in appearance to several other green-gray breccias from the South Massif that are impact melts (e.g. 72549). Keil et al. (1974) suggested that 72505 was melted or recrystallized. It was originally described as a high grade metaclastic rock, with an equigranular holocrystalline homogeneous fabric (LSIC 17, 1973). The mineralogy was identified as 55% colorless plagioclase, 41% mainly pale gray pyroxene, 3% yellow green olivine, and 1% black opaques. Most grains other than a few clasts are smaller than 200 microns. About 1% of the volume is crystal-lined vugs, most smaller than about 100 microns. One outer surface is rounded with many zap pits (some of which are also present on parts of adjacent surfaces). The sample is coherent without fractures.
INTRODUCTION

72535 is a fine-grained clast-bearing impact melt with a subophitic groundmass texture. Its chemistry is similar to the common low-K Fra Mauro melts that dominate the Apollo 17 highlands samples. It has an exposure age of about 96 Ma and may have been excavated as part of a landslide caused by Tycho secondaries.

72535 was one of several blue-gray breccias (LSIC 17, 1973) collected in the first rake sample from Station 2, adjacent to Boulder 2. It is 7.6 x 6.8 x 5.9 cm, and medium dark gray (N4) (Keil et al., 1974). It is subrounded (Fig. 1) and coherent, with a few non-penetrative fractures and about 1% small vugs. There are many zap pits and one surface has a thin layer of dark glass. Other surfaces were described by Keil et al. (1974) as granulated. Matrix material (less than 1 mm grain size) was estimated as 92% of the sample.

PETROGRAPHY

The groundmass of 72535 is a very fine-grained crystallized melt, with small clasts quite distinct from the groundmass (Fig. 2). It has some patchiness but is generally homogeneous. Warner et al. (1977b,c; 1978f) described 72535 as a microsubophitic matrix breccia. Their modal data (Table 1) shows a high proportion of melt groundmass (85%) and a clast population dominated by plagioclase, similar to many other impact melt samples at the Apollo 17 site. Warner et al. (1977b,c; 1978f) described the dark porous groundmass as basaltic-textured, with plagioclase laths less than 30 microns long subophitically enclosed by irregular mafic crystals. Some mafic grains are locally ophitic to micropoikilitic in habit. Opaque minerals (mainly ilmenite) occur as irregular discrete

Figure 1: Sample 72535. S-73-20457B. Scale divisions in centimeters.
Figure 2: Photomicrograph of 72535, showing general groundmass. White phases are plagioclase clasts and some vugs. Plane transmitted light; width of field about 1 mm.

Figure 3: Microprobe analyses of minerals in 72535 (Warner et al., 1978f). Filled symbols = matrix phases. In histograms, open symbols = mineral clasts and cross-hatched = minerals in lithic clasts. In other diagrams, open circles = mineral clasts and open triangles = minerals in lithic clasts.

rods less than 5 microns wide and up to 20 microns long. Tiny grains of Fe-metal and troilite are widely disseminated. Microprobe analyses (Warner et al., 1978f) are shown in Figure 3. The matrix olivines show a narrow range of composition (Fo69-71), but matrix pyroxenes and plagioclases show a wider range. Engelhardt (1979) tabulated ilmenite paragenetic features, inferring that ilmenite crystallization started after plagioclase but before pyroxene.

Both mineral and lithic clasts tend to be subrounded to subangular. Calcic plagioclases dominate the mineral clasts, and most are smaller than 100 microns; mafic mineral clasts also tend to be more refractory than the groundmass counterparts (Fig. 3). The lithic clasts are common highlands lithologies, including poikilitic norites, granoblastic feldspathic breccias, and several fine-grained crystalline feldspathic breccias. Two lithic fragments are broadly granitic.

CHEMISTRY

A 771 mg sample was analyzed by Laul and Schmitt (1975c) (Table 2; Fig. 4). The chemistry is fairly similar to that of other Apollo 17 impact melts (although K appears to be lower), and Laul and Schmitt (1975c) suggested that 72535 could be a fragment from Boulder 2 Station 2. A microprobe defocused beam analysis for the major elements (Table 3) agrees well with the neutron activation analysis.

RARE GASES AND EXPOSURE

Arvidson et al. (1976) reported Kr and Xe isotopic data for 72535, and a calculated 81Kr-Kr exposure age of 107 +/- 4 Ma. The hard Kr and Xe spallation spectra suggested that the sample received little shielding, and the relatively low (131Xe/126Xe)_K is also
characteristic of simple surface exposure. Assuming single stage exposure, therefore, and correcting for erosion, the exposure age was inferred to be 96 +/- 5 Ma. The exposure age is one of a group of similar exposure ages that includes samples from the central crater cluster on the mare plains and may be attributable to secondary cratering from Tycho that created the cluster and caused the light mantle landslide.

**PROCESSING**

A few exterior chips with total mass less than 2 g were taken in 1974. Sample 1 was used for thin sections and the chemical analysis, and 2 for the rare gases. The three small chips composing 3 remain unallocated.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1: Modal analysis of 72535,6 (Warner et al., 1977b).</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>72535</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Points counted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matrix</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mineral clasts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lithic clasts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mineral clasts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plagioclase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olivine/pyroxene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opaque oxide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metal/troilite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lithic clasts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Devitrified anorthosite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breccia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Percent of matrix (normalized to 100)

| Plagioclase | 52.9 |
| Olivine/pyroxene | 43.8 |
| Opaque oxide | 2.9 |
| Metal/troilite | 0.1 |
| Other | 0.2 |

Figures 4: Chondrite-normalized rare earths in 72535,1 (solid line; Laul and Schmitt, 1973c) and average of Boulder 2 at Station 2 (dashed line; Laul and Schmitt, 1974a).
Table 2: Chemical analysis of bulk sample 72535.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Split</th>
<th>wt %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>17.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>0.190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO</td>
<td>8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>11.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂O</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₂O₅</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ppm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sc</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co</td>
<td>29.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rb</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zr</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nb</td>
<td>8.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ba</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Th</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ta</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La</td>
<td>25.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pr</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sm</td>
<td>13.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eu</td>
<td>1.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gd</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tb</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Er</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yb</td>
<td>9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lu</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Microprobe defocused beam analysis of matrix of 72535 (from Warner et al., 1977b).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Split</th>
<th>wt %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>47.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>1.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>18.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr₂O₃</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO</td>
<td>8.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>10.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>11.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂O</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₂O₅</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Normalized to 100%).

References and methods:
(1) Laul and Schmitt (1975c); INAA
INTRODUCTION

72536 is a fine-grained clast-bearing impact melt with a subophitic groundmass texture. Its chemistry is similar to the common low-K Fra Mauro melts that dominate the Apollo 17 highlands samples.

72536 was one of several blue-gray breccias (LSIC 17, 1973) collected in the first rake sample from Station 2, adjacent to Boulder 2. It is 2.1 x 2.9 x 5.5 cm and medium dark gray (N4) (Keil et al., 1974). It is subrounded (Fig. 1) and coherent, with a few non-penetrative fractures. It lacks cavities but has many zap pits on most surfaces. It contains more and larger clasts than most other blue-gray breccias.

Matrix material (less than 1 mm grain size) was estimated to compose 94% of the rock (Keil et al., 1974).

PETROGRAPHY

The groundmass of 72536 is a very fine-grained crystallized melt very similar to 72535, with small clasts quite distinct from the groundmass (Fig. 2). It is a little more heterogeneous than 72535, with patches of finer material. Warner et al. (1977b,c; 1978f) described 72536 as a microsubophitic matrix breccia. Their modal data (Table 1) shows a high proportion of melt groundmass (83%) and a clast population dominated by plagioclase, similar to many other impact melt samples at the Apollo 17 site. Warner et al. (1977b,c; 1978f) described the dark porous groundmass as basaltic-textured, with plagioclase laths less than 30 microns long subophitically enclosed by irregular mafic crystals. Opaque minerals (mainly ilmenite) occur as irregular discrete rods less than 5 microns wide and up to 20 microns long. Tiny grains of Fe-metal and troilite are widely disseminated. Microprobe analyses (Warner et al., 1978f) are shown in Figure 3. Engelhardt (1979) tabulated ilmenite paragenetic features, inferring that ilmenite crystallization started after plagioclase but before pyroxene.

Both mineral and lithic clasts tend to be subrounded to subangular;

Figure 1: Sample 72536 S-73-20438. Scale divisions in centimeters.
they tend to be larger than those in other blue-gray breccias. Calcic plagioclases dominate the mineral clasts; mafic mineral clasts also tend to be more refractory than the groundmass counterparts (Fig. 3). Some of the pyroxene contains exsolution lamellae. The lithic clasts are common highlands lithologies, including several fine-grained crystalline feldspathic breccias, a granoblastic anorthosite, devitrified anorthositic material, and a tiny intersertal basaltic fragment. Two lithic fragments are broadly granitic.

**CHEMISTRY**

A 630 mg sample was analyzed by Murali et al. (1977a) (Table 2; Fig. 4). The chemistry is fairly similar to that of other Apollo 17 impact melts, and demonstrates meteoritic contamination (about 3% CI equivalent). A defocused beam analysis for the major elements (Table 3) agrees well with the neutron activation analysis.

**PROCESSING**

A few exterior chips were taken from a single area of the sample in 1974. Chips 3 were used for the thin section and chemical analysis; other chips remain unallocated.
Figure 4: Chondrite-normalized rare earths in 72536 (solid line; Murali et al., 1977a) and average of Boulder 2 at Station 2 (dashed line; Laul and Schmitt, 1974a).

Table 1: Modal analysis of 72536,8 (Warner et al., 1977b).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Points counted</th>
<th>3496</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Matrix</td>
<td>82.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mineral clasts</td>
<td>12.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lithic clasts</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mineral clasts
- Plagioclase   | 8.9  |
- Olivine/pyroxene | 3.9  |
- Opaque oxide    | tr   |
- Metal/troilite  | 0.1  |
- Other           | —    |
- Total           | 12.9 |

Lithic clasts
- ANT            | 2.6  |
- Devitrified anorthosite | 1.0  |
- Breccia         | 0.6  |
- Other           | tr   |
- Total           | 4.2  |

Percent of matrix (normalized to 100)
- Plagioclase     | 52.3 |
- Olivine/pyroxene | 44.3 |
- Opaque oxide    | 3.0  |
- Metal/troilite  | 0.3  |
- Other           | tr   |
### Table 2: Chemical analysis of bulk sample 72536.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Split wt %</th>
<th>SiO$_2$</th>
<th>TiO$_2$</th>
<th>Al$_2$O$_3$</th>
<th>Cr$_2$O$_3$</th>
<th>FeO</th>
<th>MnO</th>
<th>MgO</th>
<th>CaO</th>
<th>Na$_2$O</th>
<th>K$_2$O</th>
<th>P$_2$O$_5$</th>
<th>ppm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>0.286</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>0.120</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wt %</td>
<td>SiO$_2$</td>
<td>TiO$_2$</td>
<td>Al$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>Cr$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>FeO</td>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>Na$_2$O</td>
<td>K$_2$O</td>
<td>P$_2$O$_5$</td>
<td>ppm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO$_2$</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3: Microprobe defocussed beam analysis of matrix of 72536 (from Warner et al., 1977b).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Split wt %</th>
<th>SiO$_2$</th>
<th>TiO$_2$</th>
<th>Al$_2$O$_3$</th>
<th>Cr$_2$O$_3$</th>
<th>FeO</th>
<th>MnO</th>
<th>MgO</th>
<th>CaO</th>
<th>Na$_2$O</th>
<th>K$_2$O</th>
<th>P$_2$O$_5$</th>
<th>ppm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>46.7</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

References and methods:

(1) Murali et al. (1977a); INAA
72537
Impact Melt Breccia (?)
St. 2, 5.2 g

INTRODUCTION

72537 is a small block (Fig. 1) collected as part of the first rake sample at Station 2, near Boulder 2. It has macroscopic characters that suggest that it is an impact melt; identification is uncertain because it has never been allocated or dissected. According to LSIC 17 (1973), 72537 is a blue-gray breccia; according to Keil et al. (1974) it is medium dark gray (N4). It is similar in appearance to several other green-gray breccias from the South Massif that are impact melts (e.g. 72535). The sample is 2.1 x 1.2 x 1.5 cm, subrounded and coherent, with a few non-penetrative fractures (Fig. 1). Matrix material (grain size less than 1 mm) is about 96% of the sample; the remaining 4% consists mainly of small plagioclase clasts. It has zap pits on most surfaces, but no internal cavities or vugs.

Figure 1: Sample 72537. Small scale divisions in millimeters. S-73-19643.
INTRODUCTION

72538 is a small block (Fig. 1) collected as part of the first rake sample at Station 2, near Boulder 2. It has macroscopic characters that suggest that it is an impact melt; identification is uncertain because it has never been allocated or dissected. According to LSIC 17 (1973), 72538 is a blue-gray breccia; according to Keil et al. (1974) it is dark gray (N4). It is similar in appearance to several other green-gray breccias from the South Massif that are impact melts (e.g. 72535). The sample is 3.3 x 2.1 x 1.6 cm, angular, coherent, and without fractures (Fig. 1). Matrix material (grain size less than 1 mm) is about 92% of the sample; the remaining 8% consists mainly of small plagioclase clasts. It has zap pits on most surfaces, and small spherical vesicles (about 1%).
INTRODUCTION

72539 is a fine-grained clast-bearing impact melt with a subophitic groundmass texture. Its chemistry is similar to the common low-K Fra Mauro melts that dominate the Apollo 17 highlands samples.

72539 was one of several blue-gray breccias (LSIC 17, 1973) collected in the first rake sample from Station 2, adjacent to Boulder 2. It is 2.5 x 2.5 x 1.3 cm and medium dark gray (N4) (Keil et al., 1974). It is subrounded (Fig. 1) and coherent, with a few non-penetrative fractures. It has about 4% vesicles, and a few zap pits. The clast-matrix contrast is a little sharper than in other blue-gray breccias, partly because the matrix is among the most fine-grained. Matrix material (less than 100 microns grain size) was estimated to compose 91% of the rock (Keil et al., 1974).

PETROGRAPHY

72539 is a very fine-grained crystallized melt, similar to 72535 and 72536 but finer-grained (Fig. 2a). It differs in that the opaque grains are extremely minute (less than 1 micron) and tend to be clustered at the edges of mafic grains. Warner et al. (1977b,c; 1978f) described 72539 as a microsubophitic matrix breccia. Their modal data (Table 1) shows a high proportion of melt groundmass (88%) and a clast population.
Figure 2: a) Photomicrograph of 72539.5 showing general groundmass. Dark areas are many vesicles. Crossed polarized light; width of field about 1 mm. b) Graphic granite fragment (center) and vesicle (upper right) in 72539.5. Crossed polarized light; width of field about 500 microns.

dominated by plagioclase, similar to many other impact melt samples at the Apollo 17 site. Warner et al. (1977b,c; 1978f) described the groundmass as having a well-developed igneous texture. Microprobe analyses (Warner et al., 1978f) are shown in Figure 3. The groundmass olivine, which is prominent and euhedral, has a narrow range of compositions (Fo70-74). Engelhardt (1979) tabulated ilmenite paragenetic features, inferring that ilmenite crystallization started after plagioclase but before pyroxene.

Plagioclase clasts dominate the mineral fragment population, but pink spinels are present. A variety of feldspathic lithic clasts is present, including polikloblastic norites and devitrified anorthositic fragments. Several granitic fragments are present, including one prominent one with a graphic texture (Fig. 2b) that contains a silica phase, a K-feldspar phase, and a ternary feldspar phase (Warner et al., 1977b).

CHEMISTRY

The only analysis is a defocused beam analysis for the major elements (Table 2). The composition is similar to that of many other Apollo 17 impact melts.

PROCESSING

A few exterior chips were taken from a single area of the sample in 1974. Chip 1 was used for the thin section, which consists of four serial slices. The other chips remain unallocated.
Figure 3: Microprobe analyses of minerals in 72539 (Warner et al., 1978). Filled symbols = matrix phases. In histograms, open symbols = mineral clasts and cross-hatched = minerals in lithic clasts. In other diagrams, open circles = mineral clasts and open triangles = minerals in lithic clasts.

Table 1: Modal analysis of 72539,5 (Warner et al., 1977b).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Points counted</th>
<th>4072</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Matrix</td>
<td>87.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mineral clasts</td>
<td>7.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lithic clasts</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mineral clasts</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plagioclase</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olivine/pyroxene</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opaque oxide</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metal/troilite</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>tr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>7.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lithic clasts</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANT</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Devitrified anorthosite</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breccia</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Percent of matrix (normalized to 100)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Minerals</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Plagioclase</td>
<td>51.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olivine/pyroxene</td>
<td>45.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opaque oxide</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metal/troilite</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Microprobe defocused beam analysis of matrix of 72539 (from Warner et al., 1977b).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>wt%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr₂O₃</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₂O₅</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
72545
Impact Melt Breccia (?)
St. 2, 4.06 g

INTRODUCTION

72545 is a subrounded coherent block (Fig. 1) measuring 1.7 x 1.2 x 0.8 cm. It was collected as part of the first rake sample at Station 2, near Boulder 2. It is a microbreccia with macroscopic characters that suggest that it is an impact melt; identification is uncertain because it has never been allocated or dissected. According to LSIC 17 (1973), 72545 is a blue-gray breccia; according to Keil et al. (1974) it is medium dark gray (N4). It is similar in appearance to several other breccias from the South Massif that are impact melts. Keil et al. (1974) described the matrix as resembling that of 72705; the dark matrix, with grain-size less than 100 microns, constitutes 93% of the rock. Most clasts are plagioclase-rich. 72545 has less than 1% vugs, and lacks zap pits.

Figure 1: Sample 72545, showing prominent plagioclase or feldspathic fragment. Small scale divisions in millimeters. S-73-19625.
INTRODUCTION

72546 is a subangular coherent block (Fig. 1) measuring 1.8 x 1.7 x 1.0 cm. It was collected as part of the first rake sample at Station 2, near Boulder 2. It is a microbreccia with macroscopic characters that suggest that it is an impact melt; identification is uncertain because it has never been allocated or dissected. According to LSIC 17 (1973), 72546 is a blue-gray breccia; according to Keil et al. (1974) it is medium dark gray (N4). It is similar in appearance to several other breccias from the South Massif that are impact melts. The dark matrix, with grain-size less than 1 mm (and mainly less than 100 microns), constitutes 93% of the rock (Keil et al., 1974). Most clasts are plagioclase-rich. 72546 lacks vugs, but has many zap pits on one surface.

Figure 1: Sample 72546, showing surface with many zap pits. Small scale divisions in millimeters. S-73-33462.
72547
Impact Melt Breccia (?)
St. 2, 5.0 g

INTRODUCTION

72547 is a subrounded coherent block (Fig. 1) measuring 2.0 x 1.7 x 1.1 cm. It was collected as part of the first rake sample at Station 2, near Boulder 2. It is a microbreccia with macroscopic characters that suggest that it is an impact melt; identification is uncertain because it has never been allocated or dissected. According to LSIC 17 (1973), 72547 is a blue-gray breccia; according to Keil et al. (1974) it is medium dark gray (N4). It is similar in appearance to several other breccias from the South Massif that are impact melts. The dark matrix, with grain-size less than 1 mm (and mainly less than 100 microns), constitutes 90% of the rock (Keil et al., 1974). Most clasts are plagioclase-rich. 72547 lacks vugs, but has a few zap pits.

Figure 1: Sample 72547, showing surface with zap pits and patina as well as fresh surfaces. Small scale divisions in millimeters. S-73-19626.
INTRODUCTION

72548 is a fine-grained clast-bearing impact melt with a microgranular to micropoikilitic groundmass texture. Its chemistry is similar to the common low-K Fra Mauro melts that dominate the Apollo 17 highlands samples.

72548 was one of several blue-gray breccias (LSIC 17, 1973) collected in the first rake sample from Station 2, adjacent to Boulder 2. It is 4.1 x 2.5 x 2.0 cm and medium dark gray (N4) (Keil et al., 1974). It is subrounded and coherent, with a few non-penetrative fractures; it broke up during processing (Fig. 1). It lacks cavities, but has a few zap pits. Matrix material (mainly less than 100 micron grain size) was estimated to compose 94% of the rock (Keil et al., 1974). Most of the clast material is feldspathic.

PETROGRAPHY

72548 is a crystallized impact melt containing lithic and mineral clasts (Fig. 2). Warner et al. (1977b,c; 1978f) described 72548 as a microgranular-micropoikilitic matrix breccia. It has a coarser grain size than the microsubophitic melts represented by 72535. The modal data (Table 1) shows a high proportion of melt groundmass (80%) and a clast population dominated by plagioclase, similar to many other impact melt samples at the Apollo 17 site. The groundmass plagioclase occurs as laths or stubby grains, many with rounded corners; mafic and opaque grains are equant to subequant. Microprobe analyses (Warner et al., 1978f) are shown in Figure 3. The groundmass olivine, which is prominent and euhedral, has a narrow range of compositions (Fo69-70). Engelhardt (1979) tabulated ilmenite paragenetic

Figure 1: Sample 72548, showing post-processing subdivisions. Smallest scale divisions in millimeters. S-74-19023.
Figure 2: Photomicrograph of 72548.11, showing general groundmass and some larger lithic and mineral clasts. Plane light; width of field about 1 mm.

Figure 3: Microprobe analyses of minerals in 72548 (Warner et al., 1978f). Filled symbols = matrix phases. In histograms, open symbols = mineral clasts and cross-hatched = minerals in lithic clasts. In other diagrams, open circles = mineral clasts and open triangles = minerals in lithic clasts.

features, inferring that ilmenite crystallization was simultaneous with plagioclase and pyroxene.

The clasts are more rounded with more evidence of reaction (e.g. coronas) than in the finer-grained, subophitic melts. Plagioclase clasts dominate the mineral fragment population; pink spinels are present. A variety of feldspathic lithic clasts is present, including poikiloblastic norites and devitrified anorthositic fragments.

CHEMISTRY

The only analysis is a defocused beam analysis for the major elements (Table 2). The analysis is similar to that of many other Apollo 17 impact melts, but is slightly more aluminous and thus falls of the plagioclase-pyroxene cotectic in the Ol-Si-An system. However, this is probably a sampling effect.

PROCESSING

The sample was broken into several documented pieces during chipping in 1974 (Fig. 1). The only allocation was the two fragments 1.5, which were made into two thin sections.
Table 1: Modal analysis of 72548,11 (Warner et al., 1977b).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>72548</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Points counted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matrix</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mineral clasts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lithic clasts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mineral clasts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plagioclase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olivine/pyroxene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opaque oxide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metal/troilite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lithic clasts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Devitrified anorthosite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breccia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of matrix (normalized to 100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plagioclase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olivine/pyroxene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opaque oxide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metal/troilite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Microprobe defocused beam analysis of matrix of 72548 (from Warner et al., 1977b).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>wt.%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr₂O₃</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₂O₅</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*normalized.
72549 is a fine-grained clast-bearing impact melt with a microgranular to micropoikilitic groundmass texture. Its chemistry is similar to the common low-K Fra Mauro melts that dominate the Apollo 17 highlands samples.

72549 was one of several green-gray breccias (LSIC 17, 1973) collected in the first rake sample from Station 2, adjacent to Boulder 2. It is 2.8 x 2.5 x 2.4 cm and medium dark gray (N4) (Keil et al., 1974). It is subrounded and coherent, with no fractures (Fig. 1). It has 1% vugs and a few zap pits. Matrix material (mainly less than 100 microns grain size) was estimated to compose 91% of the rock (Keil et al., 1974). More than half of the clast material in the 1 to 2 mm range is feldspathic, the remainder consists of reddish brown and yellow-green mafic minerals.

PETROGRAPHY

72549 is a crystallized impact melt containing mineral clasts (Fig. 2); lithic clasts are rare. Warner et al. (1977b,c; 1978b) described 72549 as a microgranular-micropoikilitic matrix breccia. It has a coarser grain size than the microsubophitic melts represented by 72535. While it is similar to 72548, the mafic minerals and opaque grains are coarser-grained. The modal data (Table 1) shows a high proportion of melt groundmass (84.3%) and a
clast population dominated by plagioclase, similar to many other impact melt samples at the Apollo 17 site. The groundmass plagioclase occurs as laths or stubby grains, many with rounded corners; mafic and opaque grains are equant to subequant. Armalcolite is present. Microprobe analyses (Warner et al., 1978) are shown in Figure 3. The groundmass olivine, which is prominent and euhedral, has a narrow range of compositions (Fo71.75). The opaque mineral grains are larger than those in 72548. Engelhardt (1979) tabulated ilmenite paragenetic features, inferring that ilmenite crystallization post-dated pyroxene.

The clasts are more rounded with more evidence of reaction (e.g. coronas) than in the finer-grained, subophitic melts. Plagioclase clasts dominate the mineral fragment population. The rare lithic clasts are mostly recrystallized feldspathic and devitrified anorthositic fragments.

### CHEMISTRY

The only analysis is a defocused beam analysis for the major elements (Table 2). The analysis is similar to that of many other Apollo 17 impact melts, and falls on the plagioclase-pyroxene coticic in the Ol-Si-An system.

### PROCESSING

The sample was broken into several documented pieces during chipping in 1974. The only allocation was the two fragments, 2 which were made into two thin sections.
Table 1: Modal analysis of 72549,7 (Warner et al., 1977b).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>72549</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Points counted</td>
<td>2464</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matrix</td>
<td>84.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mineral clasts</td>
<td>14.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lithic clasts</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mineral clasts</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plagioclase</td>
<td>10.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olivine/pyroxene</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opaque oxide</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metal/troilite</td>
<td>tr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>14.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lithic clasts</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANT</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Devitrified anorthosite</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breccia</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of matrix (normalized to 100)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plagioclase</td>
<td>53.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olivine/pyroxene</td>
<td>43.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opaque oxide</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metal/troilite</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Microprobe defocused beam analysis of matrix of 72549 (from Warner et al., 1977b).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>wt%</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>48.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>19.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr₂O₃</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO</td>
<td>7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>11.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>12.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂O</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₂O₅</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td>101.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
72555
Impact Melt Breccia (?)
St. 2, 10.48 g

INTRODUCTION

72555 is a subangular tough block (Fig. 1) collected as part of the first rake sample at Station 2, near Boulder 2. It has macroscopic characters that suggest that it is an impact melt; identification is uncertain because it has never been allocated or dissected. According to Keil et al. (1974) 72555 is medium dark gray (N4) and 2.6 x 1.8 x 1.7 cm. It is similar in appearance to several other green-gray breccias from the South Massif that are impact melts (e.g. 72549). It is coherent with a few non-penetrative fractures, has about 1% vugs, and lacks zap pits. It consists of about 92% matrix material (mainly less than a few hundred microns), with a few plagioclase and mafic clasts in the 0.5 to 2 mm range.

72555 has never been dissected or allocated. A reference by Levsky et al. (1981) is a misprint for 72255.

Figure 1: Sample 72555. Small scale divisions in millimeters. S-73-33436.
INTRODUCTION

72556 is a subangular tough block (Fig. 1) collected as part of the first rake sample at Station 2, near Boulder 2. It has macroscopic characters that suggest that it is an impact melt; identification is uncertain because it has never been allocated or dissected. According to Keil et al. (1974) 72556 is medium gray (N5) and 1.5 x 1.5 x 1.5 cm. It is similar in appearance to several other green-gray breccias from the South Massif that are impact melts (e.g. 72549). It is coherent with no fractures, has about 3% vugs, and a few zap pits. It consists of about 97% matrix material (mainly less than 100 microns), with a few plagioclase and mafic clasts less than 0.5 mm across. 72556 has never been dissected or allocated, although 1.0 consists of a small fragment as well as the main mass.

Figure 1: Sample 72556. Small scale divisions in millimeters. S-73-33427.
72557
Impact Melt Breccia (?)
St. 2, 4.56 g

INTRODUCTION

72557 is a subrounded tough block (Fig. 1) collected as part of the first rake sample at Station 2, near Boulder 2. It has macroscopic characters that suggest that it is an impact melt; identification is uncertain because it has never been allocated or dissected. According to Keil et al. (1974) 72557 is medium gray (N5) and 2.0 x 1.8 x 1.6 cm. It is similar in appearance to several other green-gray breccias from the South Massif that are impact melts (e.g. 72549). It is coherent with no fractures, has less than 1% vugs, and zap pits on one side. It consists of about 97% matrix material (mainly less than 100 microns), with a few plagioclase and mafic clasts less than 0.5 to 2.0 mm across. 72557 has never been dissected or allocated.

Figure 1: Sample 72557. Small scale divisions in millimeters. S-73-33399.
INTRODUCTION

72558 is a fine-grained clast-bearing impact melt. Although its chemistry was reported to differ from most other melts at the Apollo 17 site in being higher in potassium, an unpublished analysis for major and trace elements shows that it is similar to the common low-K Fra Mauro melts that are presumed Serenitatis melts. It was also reported to contain more clastic material than most of the local impact melt samples; possibly the sampled chip was unrepresentative.

72558 was one of several green-gray breccias (LSIC 17, 1973) collected in the first rake sample from Station 2, adjacent to Boulder 2. It is 1.8 x 1.5 x 1.4 cm and medium gray (N5) (Keil et al., 1974). It is subangular and coherent, with no fractures (Fig. 1). It has a few zap pits and about 1% vugs. Matrix material (mainly less than 100 micron grain size) was estimated to compose 92% of the rock (Keil et al., 1974). Thin sections show a higher abundance of clasts, and the sample might be more heterogeneous than most melts.

PETROGRAPHY

72558 is a crystallized impact melt containing lithic and mineral clasts (Fig. 2, Table 1). Warner et al. (1977b,c; 1978f) described 72558 as a microgranular-micropoikilitic matrix breccia, similar to 72549 but...
with mafics almost wholly pyroxene. They distinguished 72258 with 72735 as a high-K KREEP breccia on the basis of the high K2O (0.57%) evident in the defocused beam analysis (Table 2). However, this is probably not the case (see CHEMISTRY section). Nonetheless, there is a lack of olivine, and several other differences from most local impact melts. The grain size is coarser than that of the microsubophitic melts represented by 72535. The modal data (Table 1) shows a low proportion of melt groundmass (52%), and the clast population is dominated by lithic clasts, unlike most melts. Microprobe analyses (Warner et al., 1978) are shown in Figure 3; the matrix pyroxenes are more iron-rich than those in other melts, and more varied in composition. There is interstitial K-rich phase. Quite likely, the chip is unrepresentative or possibly even a clast. Engelhardt (1979) tabulated ilmenite paragenetic features, inferring that ilmenite crystallization was simultaneous with plagioclase and pyroxene.

The mineral and lithic clasts almost exclusively appear to be derived from coarse-grained feldspathic rocks. In many cases it is in schlieren or obscurely-defined masses. One plagioclase grain polikilitically encloses several pink spinels.

CHEMISTRY

The only published analysis is a defocused beam analysis for the major elements (Table 2). The analysis is unlike that of most other impact melts from the Apollo 17 site in that it contains higher silica, lower titania, and lower mg	extsuperscript{+}, but most significantly in its higher K2O. However, an analysis of a chip for major and trace elements (Ryder, unpublished) shows that 72558 is identical with the common low-K Fra Mauro basalt that is inferred to be the Serenitatis impact melt.
PROCESSING

The sample was broken to produce a few documented pieces during chipping in 1974. The only original allocation was, which was made into two thin sections. A subsequent allocation was made for chemistry.

Table 1: Modal analysis of 72558,5 (Warner et al., 1977b).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Points counted</td>
<td>1098</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matrix</td>
<td>51.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mineral clasts</td>
<td>9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lithic clasts</td>
<td>39.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mineral clasts</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plagioclase</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olivine/pyroxene</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opaque oxide</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metal/troilite</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lithic clasts</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANT</td>
<td>38.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Devitrified anorthosite</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breccia</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>39.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of matrix (normalized to 100)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plagioclase</td>
<td>53.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olivine/pyroxene</td>
<td>40.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opaque oxide</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metal/troilite</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Microprobe defocused beam analysis of matrix of 72558 (from Warner et al., 1977b).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>wt%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>50.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>19.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr₂O₃</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>8.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>11.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂O</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₂O₅</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td>100.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
INTRODUCTION

72559 is a subrounded, partly subangular tough block (Fig. 1) collected as part of the first rake sample at Station 2, near Boulder 2. Its petrography and chemistry show that it is a feldspathic impactite, unique among individual South Massif samples. According to LSIC Apollo 17 (1973) and Keil et al. (1974) 72559 is light olive gray (5Y 6/1) and 3.4 x 2.3 x 1.6 cm. It was singled out as distinct macroscopically among the rake samples, of which it is one of the largest as well as most obviously feldspathic. Keil et al. (1974) identified it as a cataclastic anorthosite. Macroscopically it appeared to be virtually all plagioclase, but thin sections show about 75% feldspar. 72559 is coherent with a few non-penetrative fractures, has no vugs, and some zap pits (LSIC Apollo 17, 1973 states many; Keil et al., 1974, states few).

PETROGRAPHY

72559 is a granoblastic feldspathic impactite with a fine-grained groundmass (Fig. 2) and is a recrystallized anorthositic norite. It has a partly poikiloblastic texture and some apparent relict lithic clasts. Petrographic descriptions were given by Warner et al. (1977c, 1978c, 1978f), and Nehru et al. (1978); the latter is the more detailed. The texture and homogeneous mineral chemistry of 72559 is most consistent with an origin of brecciation of a source consisting only of related feldspathic igneous rocks followed by thermal metamorphism.

According to Nehru et al. (1978), 72559 contains (in volume %) plagioclase (74.5), olivine (14.4), orthopyroxene (10.2), and...
Figure 2: Photomicrograph of 72559.10, showing typical texture. Field of view about 1mm wide. a) plane transmitted light. b) crossed polarized light.

accessories (0.9); that include high-Ca pyroxene, Mg-Al-spinel, chromite, armalcolite, ilmenite, zircon, K-feldspar, metal, and troilite. Larger grains of plagioclase and olivine are set in a fine-grained granoblastic groundmass of plagioclase, olivine, and orthopyroxene (Fig. 2). Orthopyroxene is partly poikiloblastic. Microprobe analyses are given in Fig. 3, with representative analyses in Table 1. The silicate minerals are very homogeneous (An98.96; Fo81; En97.81 Wo3.4) but the opaque oxides show ranges. Two areas (each about 1 mm²) are apparently lithic clasts; one is almost 100% plagioclase i.e. an anorthosite, with a granoblastic texture; the other is a troctolite. The mineral compositions of the lithic clasts are the same as in the matrix.

The textural evidence and mineralogical evidence suggest that a fairly homogeneous KREEP-free source was brecciated and thermally metamorphosed. The opaque oxides may represent

Figure 3: Microprobe analyses of mineral phases in 72559.10 (Warner et al., 1978f; Nehru et al., 1978).
Table 1: Representative analyses of silicates in 72559 (Nehru et al., 1978). 1) large plagioclase. 2) matrix plagioclase. 3) large olivine. 4) matrix olivine. 5) matrix orthopyroxene. 6) matrix clinopyroxene.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO$_2$</td>
<td>43.3</td>
<td>44.1</td>
<td>39.3</td>
<td>39.5</td>
<td>54.7</td>
<td>51.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO$_2$</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>0.07&lt;0.05</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>35.2</td>
<td>35.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.01&lt;0.01</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>2.63</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>&lt;0.05&lt;0.05</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>41.8</td>
<td>41.9</td>
<td>29.2</td>
<td>16.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>19.4</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td>21.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na$_2$O</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K$_2$O</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>98.9</td>
<td>99.5</td>
<td>99.1</td>
<td>98.9</td>
<td>99.0</td>
<td>98.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CHEMISTRY

Trapped liquid in originally cumulate rocks, whereas most of the silicates represent cumulus phases. The homogeneity of the minerals could represent either original igneous plutonic homogeneity or metamorphic equilibration. The pyroxenes do not show exsolution, but the coexisting high-Ca and low-Ca phases suggest re-equilibration at 950-1000 degrees C. The range in composition of the oxides is preferred by Nehru et al. (1978) to represent the original igneous variation rather than reaction, except for the Mg-Al spinels.

PROCESSING

In 1974 a few chips were removed from one end of 72559. Two small pieces (.1) were allocated and used for a thin section and for chemistry. In 1977 fragments constituting .2 were allocated for chemistry and three further thin section. Four small chips constituting .3 remain in storage, as well as the main mass,.0 (26.5 g).

Table 2: Chemical analyses of 72559.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Split</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO$_2$</td>
<td>42.4</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO$_2$</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>28.5</td>
<td>25.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>.14</td>
<td>.130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>8.41</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>13.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na$_2$O</td>
<td>.35</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K$_2$O</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.093</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P$_2$O$_5$</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>1.86</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CHEMISTRY

Two analyses are reproduced in Table 2, and the rare earths are shown in Fig. 4 (with average LKFM from Boulder 2 at Station 2 for comparison). The two analyses are reasonably consistent, and show that the rock is a magnesian anorthositic troctolite, with considerable meteoritic contamination and lack of a KREEP component. The chemistry is fairly typical for a feldspathic granulitic impactite, with low rare earths and a positive Eu anomaly, quite distinct from the LKFM melt rocks. Warren and Wasson (1978) noted some discrepancies of their analysis (<250 mg) with that of Murali et al. (1977a) (605 mg) that they attributed mainly to non-representative sampling.

Table 2: Chemical analyses of 72559.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Split</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO$_2$</td>
<td>42.4</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO$_2$</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>28.5</td>
<td>25.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>.14</td>
<td>.130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>8.41</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>13.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na$_2$O</td>
<td>.35</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K$_2$O</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.093</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P$_2$O$_5$</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>1.86</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CHEMISTRY

Two analyses are reproduced in Table 2, and the rare earths are shown in Fig. 4 (with average LKFM from Boulder 2 at Station 2 for comparison). The two analyses are reasonably consistent, and show that the rock is a magnesian anorthositic troctolite, with considerable meteoritic contamination and lack of a KREEP component. The chemistry is fairly typical for a feldspathic granulitic impactite, with low rare earths and a positive Eu anomaly, quite distinct from the LKFM melt rocks. Warren and Wasson (1978) noted some discrepancies of their analysis (<250 mg) with that of Murali et al. (1977a) (605 mg) that they attributed mainly to non-representative sampling.

PROCESSING

In 1974 a few chips were removed from one end of 72559. Two small pieces (.1) were allocated and used for a thin section and for chemistry. In 1977 fragments constituting .2 were allocated for chemistry and three further thin section. Four small chips constituting .3 remain in storage, as well as the main mass,.0 (26.5 g).

Table 2: Chemical analyses of 72559.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Split</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO$_2$</td>
<td>42.4</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO$_2$</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>28.5</td>
<td>25.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>.14</td>
<td>.130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>8.41</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>13.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na$_2$O</td>
<td>.35</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K$_2$O</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.093</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P$_2$O$_5$</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>1.86</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CHEMISTRY

Two analyses are reproduced in Table 2, and the rare earths are shown in Fig. 4 (with average LKFM from Boulder 2 at Station 2 for comparison). The two analyses are reasonably consistent, and show that the rock is a magnesian anorthositic troctolite, with considerable meteoritic contamination and lack of a KREEP component. The chemistry is fairly typical for a feldspathic granulitic impactite, with low rare earths and a positive Eu anomaly, quite distinct from the LKFM melt rocks. Warren and Wasson (1978) noted some discrepancies of their analysis (<250 mg) with that of Murali et al. (1977a) (605 mg) that they attributed mainly to non-representative sampling.

PROCESSING

In 1974 a few chips were removed from one end of 72559. Two small pieces (.1) were allocated and used for a thin section and for chemistry. In 1977 fragments constituting .2 were allocated for chemistry and three further thin section. Four small chips constituting .3 remain in storage, as well as the main mass,.0 (26.5 g).

CHEMISTRY

Two analyses are reproduced in Table 2, and the rare earths are shown in Fig. 4 (with average LKFM from Boulder 2 at Station 2 for comparison). The two analyses are reasonably consistent, and show that the rock is a magnesian anorthositic troctolite, with considerable meteoritic contamination and lack of a KREEP component. The chemistry is fairly typical for a feldspathic granulitic impactite, with low rare earths and a positive Eu anomaly, quite distinct from the LKFM melt rocks. Warren and Wasson (1978) noted some discrepancies of their analysis (<250 mg) with that of Murali et al. (1977a) (605 mg) that they attributed mainly to non-representative sampling.

PROCESSING

In 1974 a few chips were removed from one end of 72559. Two small pieces (.1) were allocated and used for a thin section and for chemistry. In 1977 fragments constituting .2 were allocated for chemistry and three further thin section. Four small chips constituting .3 remain in storage, as well as the main mass,.0 (26.5 g).
Figure 4: Rare earth elements in two samples of 72559 (bottom) and typical LKFM from Station 2 boulders (upper). Solid line from Warren and Wasson (1978), short-dash line from Murali et al. (1977a). (LKFM, wide dash line).
INTRODUCTION

72705 is a vesicular impact melt that is probably a fine-grained variant of the common Apollo 17 LKFM composition such as forms Boulders 2 and 3 at the sample station. It contains two conspicuous white clasts, the investigated one of which is a cataclasized troctolitic anorthosite with flame-textured plagioclases.

72705 was picked from the soil collected with the second rake sample from Station 2, on the southeast rim of Nansen crater. 72705 was described as a miscellaneous sample in LSIC Apollo 17 (1973), consisting of "one-half crushed anorthosite and one-half black glass. The glass is highly dust-coated." According to Keil et al. (1974), 72705 is 1.6 x 1.0 x 0.7 cm, and medium dark gray (N4). It is subangular (Fig. 1) and coherent, with a few non-penetrative fractures, about 1% small vugs, and no zap pits. Matrix material (less than 1 mm grain size) appeared to be a devitrified glass and was estimated as 90% of the sample; the remainder appeared as white plagioclase clasts up to 3 mm across. The two white clasts became more conspicuous on breaking the sample.

PETROGRAPHY

72705 has a fine-grained mainly crystalline melt matrix that consists dominantly of plagioclase, pyroxene, some olivine, and cryptocrystalline or possibly glassy interstitial material. It contains conspicuous spherical vesicles, and is clast-rich (Fig. 2). The groundmass appears similar to that of 76035, one of the finest-grained of the Apollo 17 LKFM samples, except that the olivine microphenocrysts in 72705 are not so well developed. Warren and Wasson (1979), however, described the matrix as cataclastic,
Figure 2: Photomicrograph of 72705, showing vesicular dark groundmass and flame-textured plagioclase in clast A. Width of field about 1 mm. a) plane-transmitted light. b) crossed polarizers.

inconsistent with Warren (1979), who had described it as granulitic.

The mineral clasts include both very rounded and very angular varieties. Warren and Wasson (1979) analyzed silicate mineral fragments, and found them all to be olivines and plagioclases with compositions identical with those in the white lithic clast they analyzed (Fig. 3 and below). Nearly all of the plagioclases also have a flame-texture identical with those in the lithic clast.

72705 was investigated mainly because of its white clasts. Two were identified on breaking the sample, and labelled A and B. Thin sections show only matrix and clast A. Part of clast A is shown in Fig. 2. It consists mainly of plagioclase, which has a flame-texture normally assumed to be indicative of devitrified maskelynite, and some olivine. The clast was cataclasized, leaving plagioclase relics up to 2 mm across. Both cataclasis and maskelynitization occurred prior to breakup of the clast and its

Figure 3: Microprobe analyses of minerals in clast A and the matrix of 72705 (Warren and Wasson, 1979).
immersion in the melt, which left the clast with a fragmental porous interior and a baked and sintered border against the melt. Clast A was described by Warren (1979) and Warren and Wasson (1979) who identified the cataclasism as preceding maskelynitization. They found that the clast was about 2/3 plagioclase and 1/3 olivine, with each phase of very restricted composition (about An95, Fo89; Fig. 3). They found no pyroxene, but that a trace of Cr-spinel is present. They interpreted clast A as essentially a pristine igneous lithology that had been cataclasized.

**CHEMISTRY**

A chemical analysis of clast A, made on a 75 mg subsample, is reproduced in Table 1, with the rare earth elements plotted in Fig. 4. No analysis has been made of the melt groundmass of 72705. Clast A is normatively a troctolite (61% feldspar, 38% olivine, in good agreement with the mode, given the small size of both the thin section and the analyzed split). It is free of meteoritic siderophile contamination, and its rare earth element pattern is fractionated compared with KREEP; thus the sample is considered to be a pristine igneous lithology by Warren (1979) and Warren and Wasson (1979). Its mineral chemistry is like that of troctolite 76535.

**PROCESSING**

The sample was not chipped until 1978, when it was investigated for its white material rather than its dark matrix. 72705 was broken to reveal two white clasts (A and B). A small chip of pure clast A (1) was used for chemical analysis and for a tiny thin section; a chip (2) consisting of matrix and a little of clast A was used to make thin sections 3 and 4. Clast A probably had an original mass of about 0.5 g. The remainder of clast A occurs with matrix in .9 (0.97 g). Clast B occurs with matrix in ,5 (1.1 g).

**Table 1: Chemical analysis of clast A in 72705.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>wt%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO2</td>
<td>42.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO2</td>
<td>23.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al2O3</td>
<td>0.134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>0.040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>16.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>12.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na2O</td>
<td>0.305</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K2O</td>
<td>0.064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe2O3</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nb</td>
<td>16(a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y</td>
<td>190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zr</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ba</td>
<td>170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Th</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce</td>
<td>0.062</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La</td>
<td>8.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce</td>
<td>19.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pr</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nd</td>
<td>2.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sm</td>
<td>1.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gd</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tb</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dy</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Er</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au</td>
<td>0.170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ir</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At</td>
<td>3400(a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ag</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bi</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cs</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sn</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Te</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pr</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hg</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

References and methods:

Notes: * Incorrectly labelled as 72075,1 on one data line by Warren (1979).
**INTRODUCTION**

72735 is a fine-grained clast-bearing impact melt. Its chemistry differs from the common low-K Fra Mauro melts that dominate the Apollo 17 highlands samples in having much higher K and other incompatible element contents, and a lower Mg/Fe. It also contains more clastic material than most of the local rake impact melt samples.

Of the four samples of the second rake sample from Station 2, on the southeast rim of Nansen crater, 72735 was the only one described as a green-gray breccia by LSIC 17 (1973). 72735 is 4.2 x 3.5 x 3.0 cm and medium dark gray (N4) (Keil et al., 1974). It is subrounded and coherent, with a few non-penetrative fractures (Fig. 1). It has a few zap pits on all sides, and about 5% vugs. Matrix material (mainly less than 100 microns grain size) was estimated to compose 94% of the rock (Keil et al., 1974), with the clasts mainly appearing to be plagioclase. LSIC 17 (1973) estimated clasts about 1mm across as less than 1% of the rock.

**PETROGRAPHY**

72735 is a crystallized impact melt containing lithic and mineral clasts (Fig. 2, Table 1). Warner et al. (1977b,c; 1978f) described 72735 as a microgranular-micropoikilitic matrix breccia, similar to 72549 but with mafics almost wholly pyroxene. They distinguished 72735 with 72558 as a high-K KREEP breccia (however, see 72558 CHEMISTRY) on the basis of the high K2O (0.89%) evident in the defocused beam analysis (Table 2; confirmed by the INAA analysis, Table 3). However, apart from the lack of olivine, there are several other differences from most other local impact melts; the overall appearance is like some of the Boulder 1, Station 2 samples. The grain size is finer than that of the other supposed high-K breccia 72558. The modal data (Table 1) shows a low proportion of melt groundmass (73%), and the clast population is dominated by lithic clasts, unlike most melts.

Microprobe analyses (Warner et al., 1978f) are shown in Figure 3; the matrix pyroxenes are more iron-rich than those in other melts, and more varied in composition. There is an interstitial K-rich phase. Engelhardt (1979) tabulated ilmenite paragenetic features, inferring that ilmenite crystallization followed that of pyroxene.

Figure 1: Sample 72735. Scale divisions in centimeters. S-73-19444.
The mineral and lithic clasts almost exclusively appear to be derived from coarse-grained feldspathic rocks. Some of the larger ones include troctolitic varieties. Warner et al. (1977b) described and depicted two troctolitic clasts larger than 1 mm as being the only two highlands feldspathic clasts in their Apollo 17 melt samples to have preserved an original igneous texture. One has a cumulate texture, with olivines (Fo86-87) poikilitically enclosed by plagioclase (An92), with trace pink spinel, ilmenite, and chromite. The other is basically of basaltic texture, with olivines similar to the first described clast but smaller plagioclases (An91-96), and pyroxenes as well as several trace phases. Microprobe analyses of the phases in these clasts are shown in Fig. 3. Bulk compositions determined by defocused beam microprobe analyses (Warner et al., 1977b) indicate that they are of picritic composition.

**CHEMISTRY**

A chemical analysis of a 574 mg split by INAA is reproduced as Table 3. The major elements agree reasonably well with those of the defocused beam analysis (Table 2). 72735 is unlike all other impact melts from the Apollo 17 site in that it contains higher silica, lower titania, and lower Mg/Fe, but most significantly higher K2O and other incompatible element abundances. However, Murali et al. (1977b) did not remark on the difference of 72735 from other melt samples. The rare earth element pattern is that of KREEP (Fig. 4). The Ir abundance is extremely high, and unusually so given the comparatively low Ni abundance.
PROCESSING

The sample was chipped in one area to produce a few documented pieces in 1974. Six small chips were divided into ,2 and ,3; and a larger chip (,4) was taken adjacent to them. These remain unallocated. Two small chips were combined as ,1 and used for chemical analysis and to make thin section ,12.

Table 1: Modal analysis of 72735,5 (Warner et al., 1977b).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>72735</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Points counted</td>
<td>2827</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matrix</td>
<td>72.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mineral clasts</td>
<td>12.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lithic clasts</td>
<td>14.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mineral clasts</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plagioclase</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olivine/pyroxene</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opaque oxide</td>
<td>tr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metal/troilite</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>12.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lithic clasts</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANT</td>
<td>10.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Devitrified anorthosite</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breccia</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>tr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>14.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Percent of matrix (normalized to 100)

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Plagioclase</td>
<td>52.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olivine/pyroxene</td>
<td>42.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opaque oxide</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metal/troilite</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4: Plot of rare earth elements in 72735,1 (solid line) with typical A17 LKFM as represented by average Boulder 2 Station 2 samples (dashed line).
Table 2: Microprobe defocused beam analysis of matrix of 72735 (from Warner et al., 1977b).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>wt%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>50.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>20.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr₂O₃</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO</td>
<td>7.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>8.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>11.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂O</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₂O₅</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td>100.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Chemical analysis of 72735,1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>ppm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Si</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca</td>
<td>50.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na</td>
<td>127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>15.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sm</td>
<td>18.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eu</td>
<td>1.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gd</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tb</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dy</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Er</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yb</td>
<td>15.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lu</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nd</td>
<td>50.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sm</td>
<td>18.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eu</td>
<td>1.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gd</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tb</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dy</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Er</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yb</td>
<td>15.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lu</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nd</td>
<td>50.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sm</td>
<td>18.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eu</td>
<td>1.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gd</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tb</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dy</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Er</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yb</td>
<td>15.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lu</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nd</td>
<td>50.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sm</td>
<td>18.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eu</td>
<td>1.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gd</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tb</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dy</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Er</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yb</td>
<td>15.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lu</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nd</td>
<td>50.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sm</td>
<td>18.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eu</td>
<td>1.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gd</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tb</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dy</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Er</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yb</td>
<td>15.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lu</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nd</td>
<td>50.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sm</td>
<td>18.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eu</td>
<td>1.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gd</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tb</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dy</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Er</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yb</td>
<td>15.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lu</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nd</td>
<td>50.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sm</td>
<td>18.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eu</td>
<td>1.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gd</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tb</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dy</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Er</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yb</td>
<td>15.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lu</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nd</td>
<td>50.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sm</td>
<td>18.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eu</td>
<td>1.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gd</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tb</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dy</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Er</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yb</td>
<td>15.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lu</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nd</td>
<td>50.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sm</td>
<td>18.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eu</td>
<td>1.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gd</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tb</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dy</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Er</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yb</td>
<td>15.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lu</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nd</td>
<td>50.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sm</td>
<td>18.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eu</td>
<td>1.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gd</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tb</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dy</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Er</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yb</td>
<td>15.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lu</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nd</td>
<td>50.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sm</td>
<td>18.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eu</td>
<td>1.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gd</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tb</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dy</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Er</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yb</td>
<td>15.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lu</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nd</td>
<td>50.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sm</td>
<td>18.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eu</td>
<td>1.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gd</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tb</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dy</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Er</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yb</td>
<td>15.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lu</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nd</td>
<td>50.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sm</td>
<td>18.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eu</td>
<td>1.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gd</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tb</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dy</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Er</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yb</td>
<td>15.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lu</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nd</td>
<td>50.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sm</td>
<td>18.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eu</td>
<td>1.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gd</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tb</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dy</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Er</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yb</td>
<td>15.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lu</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nd</td>
<td>50.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sm</td>
<td>18.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eu</td>
<td>1.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gd</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tb</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dy</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Er</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yb</td>
<td>15.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lu</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nd</td>
<td>50.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sm</td>
<td>18.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eu</td>
<td>1.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gd</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tb</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dy</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Er</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yb</td>
<td>15.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lu</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nd</td>
<td>50.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sm</td>
<td>18.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eu</td>
<td>1.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gd</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tb</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dy</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Er</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yb</td>
<td>15.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lu</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nd</td>
<td>50.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sm</td>
<td>18.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eu</td>
<td>1.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gd</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tb</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dy</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Er</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yb</td>
<td>15.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lu</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nd</td>
<td>50.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sm</td>
<td>18.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eu</td>
<td>1.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gd</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tb</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dy</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Er</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yb</td>
<td>15.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lu</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nd</td>
<td>50.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sm</td>
<td>18.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eu</td>
<td>1.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gd</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tb</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dy</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Er</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yb</td>
<td>15.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lu</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nd</td>
<td>50.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sm</td>
<td>18.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eu</td>
<td>1.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gd</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tb</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dy</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Er</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yb</td>
<td>15.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lu</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nd</td>
<td>50.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sm</td>
<td>18.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eu</td>
<td>1.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gd</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tb</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dy</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Er</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yb</td>
<td>15.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lu</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nd</td>
<td>50.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sm</td>
<td>18.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eu</td>
<td>1.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gd</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tb</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dy</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Er</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yb</td>
<td>15.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lu</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nd</td>
<td>50.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sm</td>
<td>18.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eu</td>
<td>1.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gd</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tb</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dy</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Er</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yb</td>
<td>15.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lu</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

References and methods:
(1) Murali et al. (1977a); INAA
72736
Micropoikilitic Impact Melt Breccia
St. 2, 28.7 g

INTRODUCTION

72736 is a fine-grained clast-bearing impact melt with a microgranular to micropoikilitic groundmass texture. Its chemistry is similar to the common low-K Fra Mauro melts that dominate the Apollo 17 highlands samples.

72736 was one of two tan breccias (LSIC 17, 1973) collected in the second rake sample from Station 2. It is 5.0 x 2.6 x 1.8 cm and medium dark gray (N4) (Keil et al., 1974). It is subangular and coherent, with few, non-penetrative, fractures, although the sample broke in half (Fig. 1). It has 1% vugs, and many zap pits. Matrix material (mainly less than 100 microns grain size) was estimated to compose 95% of the rock (Keil et al., 1974). Nearly all of the clast material in the 1 to 2 mm range is feldspathic.

PETROGRAPHY

72736 is a crystallized impact melt containing mineral clasts (Fig. 2) similar to 72549 but slightly finer-grained. The texture is somewhat blotchy, with finer-grained areas such as are apparent in Fig. 2a; these areas are not clasts. Lithic clasts are rare, although two larger ones (2 mm) constitute much of the thin section. Warner et al. (1977b,c; 1978) described 72736 as a microgranular-micropoikilitic matrix breccia. It has a coarser grain size than the microsubophitic melts represented by 72535. The modal data (Table 1) shows a lower proportion of melt groundmass (72.2%) than other melt samples because of the two large clasts. The mineral clast population is dominated by plagioclase, similar to many other impact melt samples at the Apollo 17 site; two pink spinels with reaction coronas are in the thin section.

The groundmass plagioclase occurs as laths or stubby grains, many with rounded corners; mafic and opaque grains are equant to subequant. Microprobe analyses (Warner et al., 1978) are shown in Figure 3. The groundmass olivine, which is prominent and euhedral, has a narrow range of compositions (Fo71-75). Engelhardt (1979) tabulated ilmenite paragenetic features, inferring that ilmenite crystallization post-dated pyroxene.

The clasts are more rounded with more evidence of reaction (e.g coronas) than in the finer-grained, subophitic melts. Plagioclase clasts dominate the mineral fragment population. The two larger lithic clasts are a flame-textured devitrified anorthosite (Fig 2b) and a microgranular breccia.

Figure 1: Sample 72736. Scale divisions in centimeters. S-73-19436.
CHEMISTRY

The only analysis is a defocused beam analysis for the major elements (Table 2). The analysis is similar to that of many other Apollo 17 impact melts (although apparently lower in TiO₂), and falls on the plagioclase-pyroxene cotectic in the Ol-Si-An system.

PROCESSING

The sample broke into two pieces (.5 and .6) before chipping in 1974. Chips .2 and .3 (each consisting of two fragments) were taken from .5. Only .2 was used, producing thin sections .9 and .10.

Figure 2: Photomicrographs of 72736,9, showing general groundmass and mineral clasts. Plane light: width of field about 1 mm. a) shows blotchy groundmass, with ilmenite needles growing across apparent boundaries. b) shows sharp contact of flame-textured anorthositic clast with the groundmass.

Figure 3: Microprobe analyses of minerals in 72736 (Warner et al., 1978f). Filled symbols = matrix phases. In histograms, open symbols = mineral clasts and cross-hatched = minerals in lithic clasts. In other diagrams, open circles = mineral clasts and open triangles = minerals in lithic clasts.
Table 1: Modal analysis of 72736,7 (Warner et al., 1977b).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>72736</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Points counted</td>
<td>3097</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matrix</td>
<td>72.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mineral clasts</td>
<td>12.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lithic clasts</td>
<td>15.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mineral clasts

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Plagioclase</td>
<td>8.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olivine/pyroxene</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opaque oxide</td>
<td>tr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metal/troilite</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>12.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Lithic clasts

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ANT</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Devitrified anorthosite</td>
<td>7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breccia</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>tr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>15.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Percent of matrix (normalized to 100)

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Plagioclase</td>
<td>50.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olivine/pyroxene</td>
<td>46.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opaque oxide</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metal/troilite</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Microprobe defocused beam analysis of matrix of 72736 (from Warner et al., 1977b).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>wt%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>47.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>19.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr₂O₃</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO</td>
<td>7.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>11.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>11.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂O</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₂O₅</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td>100.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
INTRODUCTION

72737 was one of two tan breccias (LSIC 17, 1973) collected in the second rake sample from Station 2. It is 1.5 x 1.1 x 1.1 cm and medium gray (N5) (Keil et al., 1974). It is subrounded and coherent, with few non-penetrative fractures (Fig. 1). It has less than 1% vugs, and a few zap pits. Matrix material (mainly less than 100 microns grain size) was estimated to compose 93% of the rock (Keil et al., 1974). Most of the clast material in the 1 to 2 mm range is feldspathic. Macroscopically it appears to be very similar to 72736. The sample has never been divided or allocated.
72738

**Microsubophitic Impact Melt Breccia**

St. 2, 23.8 g

**INTRODUCTION**

72738 is a fine-grained clast-bearing impact melt with a subophitic groundmass texture. Its chemistry is similar to the common low-K Fra Mauro melts that dominate the Apollo 17 highlands samples.

72738 was the only blue-gray breccia (LSIC 17, 1973) collected in the second rake sample from Station 2. It is 3.8 x 2.9 x 2.5 cm and medium dark gray (N4) (Keil et al., 1974). It is subangular (Fig. 1) and coherent, with no fractures and a few vugs. There are no zap pits. Matrix material (less than 1 mm grain size) was estimated as 90% of the sample, with plagioclase and lithic clasts dominating the remainder. Splitting attempted to include a 6 mm clast, but the allocated material either has little of this clast or it is identical with the groundmass.

**PETROGRAPHY**

The groundmass of 72738 is a very fine-grained crystallized melt, with small clasts quite distinct from the groundmass (Fig. 2). It is generally homogeneous, and slightly finer-grained than 72535. Warner et al. (1977b,c; 1978f) described 72738 as a microsubophitic matrix breccia. Their modal data (Table 1) shows a high proportion of melt groundmass (87%) and a clast population dominated by plagioclase, similar to many other impact melt samples at the Apollo 17 site. Warner et al. (1977b,c; 1978f) described the dark porous groundmass as basaltic-textured, with plagioclase laths less than 30 microns long subophitically enclosed by irregular mafic crystals. Microprobe analyses (Warner et al., 1978f) are shown in Figure 3. The matrix olivines show a wider range of compositions than the other subophitic samples studied by Warner et al. (1978f) (Fo71_79). Engelhardt (1979) tabulated ilmenite paragenetic features, inferring that ilmenite crystallization started after plagioclase but before pyroxene.

Both mineral and lithic clasts tend to be subrounded to subangular. Calcic plagioclases dominate the mineral clasts, and most are smaller than 100 microns; mafic mineral clasts also tend to be more refractory than the groundmass counterparts but quite a few are less refractory (Fig. 3). The rare lithic

---

*Figure 1: Sample 72738. S-73-33454. Smallest scale divisions in millimeters.*
Figure 2: Photomicrograph of 72738, showing general groundmass. White phases are plagioclase clasts and some vugs. Plane transmitted light; width of field about 1 mm.

Figure 3: Microprobe analyses of minerals in 72738 (Warner et al., 1978f). Filled symbols = matrix phases. In histograms, open symbols = mineral clasts and cross-hatched = minerals in lithic clasts. In other diagrams, open circles = mineral clasts and open triangles = minerals in lithic clasts.

CHEMISTRY

A 413 mg sample was analyzed by Murali et al. (1977a) (Table 2; Fig. 4). The chemistry is fairly similar to that of other Apollo 17 impact melts, although it is a little lower in incompatible elements. A microprobe defocused beam analysis for the major elements (Table 3) agrees well with the neutron activation analysis except for being lower in FeO. If the sample included the 6 mm clast that was targeted, then the clast may have a composition similar to the bulk rock; alternatively, it may be responsible for the analysis having lower incompatible elements than the Boulder 2 groundmass.

PROCESSING

72738 was sawn to provide samples, and was entirely subdivided. The W end piece is 14 g, and the E end piece is 1.8 g. The slab produced was subdivided into several pieces, with .5 remaining as 3.8 g. Piece .9, described as having a 6 mm clast composing 15% of it, was allocated for chemical analysis and subsequent thin sectioning; but no hint of clast/groundmass differences was given in reports. Other pieces were not allocated.
Figure 4: Chondrite-normalized rare earths in 72738,1 (solid line; Murali et al., 1977a) and average of Boulder 2 at Station 2 (dashed line: Laul and Schmitt, 1974a).

Table 1: Modal analysis of 72738,6
(Warner et al., 1977b).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>72738</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Points counted</td>
<td>2561</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matrix</td>
<td>86.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mineral clasts</td>
<td>10.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lithic clasts</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mineral clasts</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plagioclase</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olivine/pyroxene</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opaque oxide</td>
<td>tr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metal/troilite</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>tr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>10.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lithic clasts</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANT</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Devitrified anorthosite</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breccia</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of matrix (normalized to 100)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plagioclase</td>
<td>50.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olivine/pyroxene</td>
<td>43.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opaque oxide</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metal/troilite</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2: Chemical analysis of bulk sample 72738.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>wt %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>18.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>0.176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO</td>
<td>10.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>0.113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>11.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂O</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ppm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sc</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rb</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr</td>
<td>380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zr</td>
<td>10.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nb</td>
<td>350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hf</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ba</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Th</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ta</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pr</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eu</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tb</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Er</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yb</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lu</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ppm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ir</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

References and methods:
(1) Murali et al. (1977a); INAA

Table 3: Microprobe defocused beam analysis of matrix of 72738 (from Warner et al., 1977b).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>wt %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>46.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>1.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>18.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr₂O₃</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>10.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>11.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂O</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₂O₅</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>98.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

References and methods:
(1) Murali et al. (1977a); INAA
Impact Melt Breccia (?)  
St. 2A (LRV-4), 5.6 g

INTRODUCTION

73145 was picked from soil sample 73140 taken from the bottom of a 15cm-deep trench on the landslide or avalanche from the South Massif, 600 m NE of Nansen Crater. The tough sample is slabby and angular (Fig. 1), with dimensions of 2.5 x 2.0 x 1 cm. It is medium dark gray (N4) and homogeneous, with about 75% being fine-grained matrix and about 25% being small plagioclase clasts. Its appearance is similar to homogeneous impact melts, with its groundmass described as possibly diabasic in LSIC 17 (1973). It lacks zap pits and cavities. It does have some irregular fractures on which euhedral pyroxenes are visible.

Figure 1: Sample 73145. Scale bar 1 centimeter. Part of S-73-21776.
INTRODUCTION

73146 was picked from soil sample 73140, taken from the bottom of a 15 cm-deep trench on the landslide or avalanche from the South Massif, 600 m NE of Nansen Crater. It is a troctolitic anorthosite that is probably chemically igneous although cataclasized and partly recrystallized.

The sample is subangular and blocky (Fig. 1), and very light gray (N8). It is tough and homogenous. It was described in LSIC 17 (1973) as an anorthosite, consisting of about half plagioclase clasts (up to 2 mm) and half white matrix (less than 100 microns), with only about 2% pale green mafic minerals. However the chips eventually taken show a higher percentage of green material in the form of bands; these are olivine-rich bands.

PETROGRAPHY

73146 consists mainly of plagioclase, with schlieren of olivine-rich material (Fig. 2a,b). The plagioclase consists of fragments up to 2 mm across but with a seriate size range. Individual olivine fragments are rarely as large as 100 microns. The appearance is generally that of a cataclastic rock, but the tough sample has been partly recrystallized, and in some areas bonded by a melt. The plagioclase fragments contain numerous chains of uncertain nature (Fig. 2c), although at least some of them include opaque phases; the interstitial melt has crystallized plagioclases without such chains, but with tiny mafic grains that give the spotted appearance in Fig. 2c. Most of the olivine fragments are clear, but varied inclusions occur in some. One vermicular chromite growth occurs in one olivine in thin section ,3.

Warren and Wasson (1979) and Wasson et al. (1979) gave a mode of 85% plagioclase, 15% olivine, and a trace of low-Ca pyroxene, roughly consistent with their chemical norm for two tiny sections. They noted problems with the mode in that the olivines are concentrated in one corner (however, they imply that the

CHEMISTRY

A chemical analysis of a small chip (104 mg) is given in Table 1. The incompatible elements are low and extremely fractionated relative to KREEP (Fig. 4). The Ir concentration of less than 3 x 10^-4 times C1 chondrites leave little doubt that the sample is pristine igneous (Warren and Wasson, 1979). However, the Ni abundance is high for such a feldspathic igneous fragment, suggesting that the olivines may contain unusually high Ni (several hundred parts per million) among lunar magnesian suite rocks.

PROCESSING

In 1978 one end of the sample was chipped. The piece so derived was further chipped to produce 4 small pieces and some finer material. Two adjacent of these pieces were designated ,1 and ,2; both contained about half of green-rich bands, ,1 (0.11 g) was used for chemistry and to make a small thin section, while ,2 (0.10 g) was used to make two small thin sections. The other pieces remain with ,0 (total 2.7 g).
Figure 2: Photomicrographs of 73146-3. a) b) general view, width of field 2 mm, showing streaks of olivine-rich material and overall feldspathic nature. a) plane light b) crossed polarizers. c) feldspathic area showing relics with numerous chains and tiny mafics in the main fine groundmass that appears to be a mixture of melt and small plagioclase clasts. Width of field about 400 microns, plane transmitted light.
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Figure 3: Microprobe analyses of silicate minerals in 73146,4; from Warren and Wasson (1979).
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Figure 4: Plot of rare earth elements in 73146,1 (Data from Warren and Wasson, 1979; Warren et al., 1979).

Table 1: Chemical analysis of bulk rock 73146.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Weight %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>43.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>30.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>16.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂O</td>
<td>0.058</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sc</td>
<td>1.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>8.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rb</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr</td>
<td>190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zr</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hf</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ba</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Th</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dy</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Er</td>
<td>0.051</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lu</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nd</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sm</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eu</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gd</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tb</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dy</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Er</td>
<td>0.051</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lu</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nd</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sm</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eu</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gd</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tb</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dy</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Er</td>
<td>0.051</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lu</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

References and methods:
(1) Warren and Wasson (1979), Warren et al. (1979), Warren and Kallemeyn (1984); INAA, RNAA, MFP.
73155
Impact Melt Breccia
St. 2A (LRV-4), 79.3 g

INTRODUCTION

73155 was scooped up on the landslide or avalanche from the South Massif, 600 m NE of Nansen Crater. It lay on the surface, only slightly impressed into the regolith. The sample is rather heterogeneous, with a medium dark gray (N4) color. It consists of about 85% fine-grained matrix that appears to correspond with fine-grained impact melt in the thin sections, and the remainder mainly lithic clasts. Unpublished analyses show that the melt matrix has a typical Apollo 17 low-K Fra Mauro composition, similar to those poikilitic melt rocks commonly inferred to be the Serenitatis melt.

A prominent clast-type is a crushed igneous gabbro, and coarse granoblastic impactite is also common.

73155 is blocky and subrounded (Fig. 1), and tough; it has a few penetrative fractures. It was described as metaclastic in LSIC 17 (1973). It has many zap pits on several sides and a few on all of the others. It has less than 1% cavities, mostly as irregular slits, with some vugs up to 2 mm across. The clast abundances are difficult to estimate because of the zapping of all the surfaces and indistinct borders of many clasts. One prominent zap pit was targeted for sampling. The matrix was described in LSIC 17 (1973) as very fine-grained, with a salt-and-pepper texture. One large clast (12 x 7 mm) was fine-grained yellowish-gray and equigranular. The lithic clasts appear to be dominantly fine-grained with a variety of colors (mainly varieties of gray) and shapes. Mineral clasts larger than 0.5 mm compose only 2 or 3% of the rock.

PETROGRAPHY

The thin sections, made from one chip, indicate that the fine-grained groundmass of 73155 is a clast-rich impact melt (Fig. 2a). The melt fraction has a rather equigranular and regular grain-size (less than 20 microns). It consists of plagioclase, mafic minerals, Ti-oxides, metal, and other minor phases. The melt penetrates and separates some of the larger clasts. The clast population is varied. In the thin sections the dominant clast is an unusual lithology that consists of schlieren made of plagioclase and pyroxene in subequal amounts; clinopyroxene is more common than pigeonite (Ryder 1992b). The clinopyroxene is distinctly riddled with inclusions of sulfide and
Figure 2: Photomicrographs of 73155, all widths of field about 2 mm. a) general melt groundmass of 73155,30, showing very fine and homogeneous groundmass and small mineral and lithic clasts; plane transmitted light. b) plagioclase-pyroxene-glass schlieren (gabbro) (right) adjacent to melt matrix (left) in 73155,29. The clast is spread out into wide cataclasized zones or schlieren that may not be perfectly monomict. The phase with dark inclusions is augite; plane transmitted light. c) clast of granoblastic impactite in 73155,30, presumptively that referred to by Bickel and Warner (1978c) and Steele et al. (1980) and Steele and Smith (1980).
silicic glass with potash feldspar. The pigeonite contains exsolved lamellae of clinopyroxene. The pyroxenes are fairly evolved and zoned (Fig. 3) with some reaction at their edges. The plagioclase includes sodic compositions (An82) as well as anorthite. Other phases present include ilmenite and silica, and both colorless and brown glass (Fig. 2b). The schlieren is partly mixed with matrix and possibly with granoblastic impactite.

The only other published references to 73155 are to granoblastic impactite; such material does occur in the thin section, and either is part of the lithology with the fayalitic olivine(?) or is intimately mixed with it in the sections. Such granoblastic impactite in thin section 73155,30 was referred to by Bickel and Warner (1978c) (without description) and by Steele and Smith (1980) and Steele et al. (1980). The latter analyzed trace elements in plagioclase with the ion probe; the revised data (in Steele et al., 1980) lists plagioclase with 13 ppm Li, 6.8 mol % Na, 330 ppm Mg, 965 ppm K, 260 ppm Ti, 250 ppm Sr, and 125 ppm Ba. These abundances are generally quite distinct from those of plagioclases in ferroan anorthosites, and similar to those in some other feldspathic impactites. The clast analyzed is presumptively that shown in Fig. 2c.

**CHEMISTRY**

Major and trace element analyses, as yet unpublished (Ryder), show that the melt groundmass has a composition similar to that of the generally accepted Serenitatis melt sheet such as represented by the Station 6 boulders. Analysis of a small chip of the igneous gabro shows that it is an evolved gabro with high K2O (0.87 wt%) but with low abundances of other incompatible elements. The rare earth elements have a fairly flat chondrite-normalized pattern (about 25x chondrites) with a small positive Eu anomaly.

**PROCESSING**

Processing in 1974 was targeted first to sample a prominent zap pit. Chipping created two pieces, but was stopped because one of the visible fractures started to widen drastically. Chip ,2 (0.30 g) was stored. Chip ,1 (0.67 g) had the zap pit, which was made into potted butts ,26 and ,27. They have apparently not been studied. The remainder was made into 4 serial thin sections, ,28 to ,31, leaving a large potted butt (0.61 g). Some further processing in 1992 produced small chips for chemical and petrographic studies.

![Figure 3: Compositions of pyroxenes in gabro schlieren in 73155,29, with pyroxenes from evolved Apollo 15 rocks for comparison. (Ryder, 1992b).](image-url)
INTRODUCTION

73156 was scooped up with 73155 on the landslide or avalanche from the South Massif, 600 m NE of Nansen Crater. However, it does not look like 73155 and was probably not a part of it. The tough sample is wedge-shaped and angular (Fig. 1), with dimensions of 1.5 x 1 x 1 cm. It is light gray and homogeneous, with a very fine grain size (99% less than 0.2 mm). Most appears to be granoblastic plagioclase and a mafic silicate(?). There are a few zap pits on all surfaces, with white, pale green, and dark glass linings. There are a few cavities at one end with projecting crystals of plagioclase, and the sample has a few penetrative fractures. Apart from it being a fine-grained, clast-poor crystalline lithology, its identification remains in doubt. It has never been allocated or subdivided.

Figure 1: Sample 73156. Scale bar 1 centimeter. Part of S-73-17878.
INTRODUCTION

73215 is a polymict breccia consisting largely of dark aphanitic impact melt and entrained clasts. It is characterized by structure indicating flow and shear during and after consolidation. Its composition is similar to other local aphanitic melt breccias in being a little higher in Al₂O₃ and a little lower in TiO₂ than the common low-K Fra Mauro basalt composition. Its melt appears to have crystallized close to 3.87 Ga ago, and the rock was exposed to radiation about 240 Ma ago.

73215 was collected from the landslide on the rim of the 10 m crater at Station 3. It is irregular in shape (Fig. 1), about 12 x 11 x 8.5 cm, and is blocky and tough. It has a few penetrative fractures. The rock is heterogeneous, with a light part that is pale yellow gray (5Y 8/1) and a dark part that is medium gray (N5). Flow gives the appearance of the light part, which occurs as lenses from 3 cm long down to minute veinlets, invading the dark part. The light part appears to be about 30% on the exterior, but the sawn interiors show rather less light material (Fig. 2). Most of the dark appears as closely packed aphanitic clasts embedded in a matrix of similar appearance, with subtle color variations. One surface is irregularly knobby, most of the others irregular, and one is a broken surface. There are many zap pits on two sides, fewer on the others, and none on the broken surface. No cavities are apparent. 73215 has been extensively studied in a consortium led by O. James. The sample was sawn to produce a slab in 1973, and most allocations were made from slab pieces. A second slab parallel to the first was cut in 1989 and subdivided for further studies (Fig. 3).

Figure 1: Pre-processing view of 73215, showing heterogeneous mix of light and dark banding. Cube and scale divisions are 1 cm. S-73-24270.
Figure 2: Sawn surface of end piece, showing predominance of dark material and the apparent flow structures. Cube and scale divisions are 1 cm. S-76-26038.

PETROGRAPHY

The hand specimen is characterized by prominent structures, particularly flow banding, formed by differential flow or shear or both during and after aggregation and consolidation (James et al. 1975a,b). The bands are composed of several different kinds of gray to black aphanitic rock and different kinds of granulated clastic materials (Fig. 4). Locally, fault-like structures displace the banding. All types of aphanitic matrix consist of abundant small lithic and mineral fragments set in a dark groundmass (Fig. 5). The clasts are dominated by feldspathic impactites (granulites) and other feldspathic lithologies. Felsites are minor, and clasts with basaltic textures are rare.

Simonds et al. (1974) listed 73215 as granular, with matrix feldspars and mafic minerals from 1 to 10 microns, and with about 50-60% feldspar. They found it to be one of the finest-grained of the micropoikilitic-subophitic-granular group, with the poorest development of tabular feldspar. They also noted the concentration of mineral clasts into vein-like segregations. Knoll and Stoffler (1976) classified 73215 as a dark, fine-grained, equigranular crystalline matrix breccia that partly contained areas of light-colored, coarser matrix, similar to 72215 and 72255. Dence et al. (1976) and Dence and Grieve (1976) described 73215 as genetically comparable with suevites, with the rock consisting of pods of dark breccia in a light porous clastic matrix. They noted the fine grain size of the dark material (1-2 microns in the finest areas) and the varied color. Shocked rounded plagioclases are conspicuous in it, and the clast population consists of highland rock types. However, there is not so much strongly shocked material as in terrestrial suevites. 73215 seems to be more thoroughly mixed than suevites, perhaps because of lower viscosity. They interpret the dark matrix to be shock melted material, with unshocked material from the upper 25 km of the crust and shocked materials from deeper levels; they infer that the melt is of Serenitatis origin.

By far the greater amount of work on 73215 has been done under the auspices of the James consortium (e.g. James et al., 1975a,b; James and Blanchard, 1976). Consortium members also prefer a Serenitatis melt origin for the sample. James et al. (1976a,b) identified a distinct groundmass that binds the fragments together. James (1976) clearly distinguished "matrix" as a binocular-microscope designation for aphanitic bulk masses distinct
from separable clasts from "groundmass" as the fine-grained intergrowth that encloses even small clasts (Fig. 5b); that distinction will be followed here (this acceptance of the dark melt as matrix and the porous feldspathic materials as clasts is distinct from that of Dence et al., 1976, and Dence and Grieve, 1976, but is the status referred to by most workers). The dominant constituents of the groundmass are plagioclase and mafic minerals, with minor amounts of opaque oxides, and some Fe-metal and troilite. Generally felsic or silicic mesostasis is not apparent. The groundmass textures range from microintergranular to microsubophitic, and average grain size is from about 1 to 8 microns. The different aphanite types (color, coherency, etc.) relate to differences in groundmass grain-size and porosity, with the darkest aphanites being the least porous.

James et al. (1976a,b) identified the enclosed fragments as dominated by plagioclases, with the lithic fragments mainly being coarse and fine granular feldspathic impacitates. Many of these exist as monomict schlieren. The clasts have had diverse shock histories, with many showing no visible shock-induced microstructures. They have also had diverse thermal histories, with some, particularly felsites, being melted but most showing little if any thermal effects from breccia formation. Few reaction rims are visible, except for very unstable minerals such as silica and spinel; although overgrowth rims are present on some mafic clasts. In many matrix samples, elongate fragments show weak to strong parallel preferred orientations. Intensely sheared areas seen on sawed surfaces have higher porosity; shear and groundmass crystallization appear to have been contemporaneous.

James (1976b,c), James et al. (1976) and Nord and James (1977) made a detailed study of the aphanitic matrix lithologies, which form the bulk of the rock. The rock formed as a mechanically mixed aggregate of crystalline clasts and silicate melt. The electron petrographic study of Nord and James (1977) confirms the melt origin of the groundmass, with microsubophitic laths of plagioclase clearly visible. While most of the aphanitic material is a matrix, similar material forms clast-like bodies, most commonly gray spheroids within the matrix, and black clasts within granulated feldspathic materials. The gray spheroids at least are probably equivalent to cogenetic accretionary lapilli. The black aphanites form both angular particles and rinds and they are the darkest and toughest aphanites. James (1976) described several different types of aphanite.

Figure 3: End piece, 9 and new pieces sawn from it. Cube is 2.5 cm. S-89-46188.
Figure 4: Maps of the lithologies in sawed faces of 73215, as mapped by James (James et al., 1976a). a) is face of butt end (as in Fig. 2). b) is one of the slab faces, parallel to but about 1.5 cm removed from a). James et al. (1975a).
Figure 5: Photomicrographs of 73215,119. All plane transmitted light. a) general aphanitic matrix, with rounded and angular clasts, mainly plagioclases and feldspathic impactites. Field of view about 2 mm wide. b) mixed zone of aphanitic clasts and schlieren of porous feldspathic cataclasite with angular mineral fragments. Field of view about 2 mm. c) melt groundmass of the aphanite, showing distinction of fine-grained uniform melt from even small clasts. Field of view about 500 microns.
The aphanites contain from 60 to 70% groundmass melt, with the darkest aphanites having the most groundmass (Table 1).

The clasts were derived from a more homogeneous source than those in regolith breccias and most were cool and unshocked. Larger olivine clasts tend to be more magnesian than groundmass olivines. Pyroxene clasts too are more magnesian than groundmass pyroxenes. Most metal grains fall in the field appropriate for meteoritic metal. Most competent clasts were not deformed during or after breccia aggregation, although some devitrified maskelynitic have outlines suggestive of plastic flow. Clasts of felsite show textures indicating plastic flow during incorporation. Other than felsites, few clasts show evidence of internal partial melting. A few clasts have overgrowth rims, and some mineral clasts much different from the groundmass have reacted or partly re-equilibrated, as described in detail in James (1976b).

James (1976a,b) infers that the matrix aphanites were about 50% melt when it formed and the melt was fluid and superheated. During mixing with cold clasts in a debris cloud, the melt cooled and crystallized rapidly, producing lithologic banding as it flowed.

The most common clast-type is feldspathic impactite or granulite, commonly referred to as "anorthositic gabbro". Examples have been briefly described in the general consortium references; the most detailed descriptions are in James (1977a), James and...
Figure 7: Compositions of pyroxenes in 73215 aphanites. James (1976b).

Figure 8: Compositions of plagioclases in 73215 aphanites. James (1976b).

Figure 9: Compositions of plagioclases in 73215 aphanites. James (1976b).

Figure 10: Compositions of metals in 73215 gray matrix. James (1976b).
Hammarstrom (1977), and James and Hedenquist (1978). The three clasts described in detail by James and Hammarstrom (1977) and James (1977a) were also analyzed by other members of the consortium. The clasts are modally anorthositic norites; one (29,9) is coarse poikilitic, the other two (45,25 and 45,33) are finer-grained and have mosaic as well as poikilitic textures. All are fairly well equilibrated, as shown by the microprobe analyses (Figs. 11-14), and are similar except that the coarser-grained sample has a lower mg' (Figs. 12,13). The cores of the large plagioclases, which are commonly surrounded by olivine "necklaces" are probably relics of pre-existing rocks. The impactites also contain trace constituents including K-feldspar, K-Si-rich glass, apatite, whitlockite, ilmenite, chromite, Ni-Fe metal, and baddeleyite. The metal compositions fall squarely in fields appropriate for meteorite contaminated rocks (Fig. 14).

James and Hammarstrom interpret the texture and mineral chemical variations as being the products of crystallization from melts and solid-state crystallization. 29,9 is inferred to be mainly from melt, 45,25 mainly from solid-state crystallization, and 45,33 from melt (poikilitic) and solid-state (mosaic). Thus an origin as heated, partly-melted and/or recrystallized polymict breccias appears most likely. All three samples show healed fractures that post-date the recrystallization events.

James and Hedenquist (1978) described a 5 mm clast of spinel-bearing troctolitic basalt that consists of patches of basaltic-textured rock enclosed by very fine-grained granoblastic material (also analyzed by other members of the consortium). The boundaries between the two textures vary from sharp to gradational. The granoblastic material, a mosaic of anhedral grains, has grain sizes from 5 to 270 microns. The basaltic material has plagioclase laths 75-100 microns long with subhedral...
olivine and pyroxene (50-200 microns) and pink spinel (20-50 microns). Both domains have the same mineral compositions (Fo87-82, En54Wo4, En50Wo44) except for plagioclase (basaltic An95-84; granoblastic An90-99). The troctolitic melt must have cooled quickly (otherwise the spinel would have been absorbed) and then partial granulation occurred. Subsequently the granulated areas were recrystallized. Several other clasts are like it.

Nord and James (1977) made electron petrographic investigations of 200 micron clast of "hornfelsic norite". The clast had a grain size of 5 to 50 microns. The texture indicates that the clast was deformed and recrystallized prior to incorporation in the breccia. The transmission electron microscopy shows that recrystallization was extensive, producing straight contacts and triple junctions among small plagioclase grains. Antiphase domain boundaries are type (b) and probably formed by subsolidus recrystallization rather than from a phase transition during cooling. The orthopyroxenes have a moderate density of dislocations and a high density of linear defects (lamellae). The lamellae are clinopyroxene that are clinohypersthene, probably promoted by shock-induced shear. Hewins and Goldstein (1975a, b) analyzed metal in four clasts of "anorthositic hornfels" in 73215, fine-grained granoblastic materials. The metals are at the lower end of the meteoritic field. Two other clasts were analyzed: a devitrified shocked plagioclase has metal with high Co (2.2%) but low Ni (1%), and a light matrix breccia (presumably a porous feldspathic shlieren) has metal with low Ni (1-2%) but at the low end of the meteoritic field.

Neal et al. (1990d) reported preliminary data on a spinel troctolite assemblage in a clast in 73215, with olivine (Fo89.92), plagioclase (An91.96) and Mg-Al spinel with 8-11 wt % Cr2O3. Individual grains are unzoned. Eckert et al. (1991a, b, c) reported further on this clast, which appears to be a statically recrystallized cumulate rock. The mode is about 78% plagioclase, 21% olivine, 2% spinel, with minor high-Ca and low-Ca pyroxene, FeNi metal, and chromite (Eckert et al. 1991a). The pyroxene may not be in equilibrium with the rest of the assemblage. The olivines have very low CaO abundances, indicative of slow cooling. The mineral assemblage appears to have originated at relatively high pressure, deeper than about 25 km. Eckert et al. (1991b) also reported a cataclasized dunite clast, with spinel and a glass mesostasis cored by an SiO2...
The main silicates have ranges in composition: olivine Fo72-92, plagioclase An90-97.

Hansen et al. (1979b) and Smith et al. (1980) reported some precise minor element data (microprobe) for olivines and low-Ca pyroxenes in a feldspathic impactite and an Mg-rich plutonic troctolitic rock. The reports are not very specific about the parent lithologies. The Mg-rich plutonic has olivine with Fo90-91 and plagioclase with An96, while the feldspathic impactite is more iron-rich (Fo76). Steele et al. (1980) reported ion probe data for plagioclase in the Mg-rich troctolite. James and Hammarstrom (1976) and Nord and James (1977) gave a detailed description of a felsite clast that was also studied by other members of the consortium. The felsite comprises two components: crystalline felsite and veins of silicic glass. James and Hammarstrom (1976) detail the textures and the mineral and glass chemistry (Figs. 15-17; Table 2), and their genetic inferences therefrom. The crystalline felsite consists mainly of a vermicular intergrowth of quartz (40%) and K-feldspar (60%); minor plagioclase forms blebs associated with the quartz. Trace amounts of ilmenite, zircon, olivine, apatite, and whitlockite are present, and some mosaic patches include pyroxene. Some of the feldspars have an unusual ternary composition (Fig. 15); the electron petrographic studies show this ternary feldspar to be a homogeneous phase, with some probable initial attempts at phase separation apparent (Nord and James, 1977). The minerals do not show shock effects; dislocation density in the quartz is very low, and that in the K-feldspar not much higher. The felsic glass is varied in vesicularity, color, and relic mineral content; second-generation minerals quenched from the glass are present. Most of the glass is brown, with abundant needle-like crystallites. Electron petrographic study shows that the bulk of this

Figure 15: Compositions of feldspars in the felsite clast in 73215. James and Hammarstrom, 1977.

Figure 16: Compositions of feldspars in the felsite clast in 73215. James and Hammarstrom, 1977.
material is uncrystallized (Nord and James, 1977). All the glasses are Si- and K-rich. The crystalline felsite clearly crystallized from a melt to produce a texture similar to terrestrial granophyres. The felsic glass forms veins and patches and was emplaced as dikes, not by \textit{in situ} melting. The fracturing and diking preceded incorporation of the clast into the breccia. Nonetheless, the parent of the glass, presumably shock produced, must have been from the same felsite body. The bulk clast was quite hot when it was incorporated in the breccia, because some of the glass contains did form at that time by \textit{in situ} melting. It was then rapidly cooled, precipitating second generation pyroxene and K-feldspar.

Miura (1988) reported the presence of "anomalous" plagioclases (i.e., deficient in Al, Na) in 73215 as is present in some lunar basalts. However, the plagioclases are not otherwise described. Bickel and Warner (1978a) listed 73215,234 in their study of plutonic and granulitic lunar samples, but did not otherwise provide any data on such sample from 73215.

**CHEMISTRY**

Many chemical analyses have been made of bulk rock, aphanite samples, and clasts or schlieren. Both bulk rock/matrix and aphanite analyses are compiled in Table 3, with the rare earth elements plotted in Figure 18. Microprobe defocused beam analyses of the groundmass are reproduced as Table 4 and Figure 19. Clast analyses are compiled in Table 5, with the rare earth elements plotted in Figures 20 and 21. A guide to how some of the split numbers correspond with lithologies is shown in Figure 22 and Table 6 (from James and Blanchard, 1976). (The text of Bence et al. (1975) erroneously refers to 72315 where 73215 is intended.) Ehmann et al. (1975a,b) reported an O analysis of 47.2\% for ,172 aphanite. James et al. (1975a) reported that no CH$_4$ or CD$_4$ in excess of 0.06 ug/g were found in interior or exterior samples.

The matrix and bulk sample analyses are dominated by the aphanitic phase. In general both black and gray aphanites have the same composition; however, at least some of the black materials appear to be higher in volatile and incompatible elements. Individual differences are probably a result of variation in included clasts (e.g. James et al., 1975a,b). All matrix and aphanite samples are aluminous, low-K Fra Mauro basalt compositions, distinguished from the typical Apollo 17 impact melt by the lower TiO$_2$ and higher Al$_2$O$_3$ of the 73215 materials. The incompatible elements show a range from about 70x chondrites to about 120 x chondrites, part or all of which is probably a reflection of varied clast contents (e.g., Blanchard et al., 1976) as well as the small sample sizes; similar considerations probably apply to variations in Co and Ni as well. The Zn is much lower than that found in typical soils or regolith breccia, lending weight to the argument that 73215 was not created from regolith, but from a larger event (James et al., 1975a). The meteoritic siderophiles in the aphanites fall into Group 2 of Morgan et al.(1976), attributed to Serenitatis, and different from the Boulder 1, Station 2 aphanites. Although one analyzed (38,57) appeared to be a group 6 (Morgan et al., 1976) a second analysis appeared quite normal; the reason for the first analysis being different remains a mystery (Morgan and Petrie, 1979a,b).

James (1976) made defocused beam microprobe analyses of the varied aphanites (with subtraction of clast compositions) to attempt to obtain the composition of the melt groundmass (Table 4). The groundmass composition is similar to that of the bulk aphanites and is fairly homogeneous.
Table 2: Compositions of minor phases and glasses in felsite (wt%; electron microprobe analyses).

(James and Hammarstrom, 1977).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(1)</th>
<th>(2)</th>
<th>(3)</th>
<th>(4)</th>
<th>(5)</th>
<th>(6)</th>
<th>(7)</th>
<th>(8)</th>
<th>(9)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>74.2</td>
<td>78.2</td>
<td>76.7</td>
<td>76.8</td>
<td>78.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>12.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO</td>
<td>45.4</td>
<td>31.8</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>1.89</td>
<td>2.29</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>38.7</td>
<td>53.4</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂O</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>9.58</td>
<td>6.90</td>
<td>7.20</td>
<td>7.88</td>
<td>7.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BaO</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr₂O₅</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>38.1</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y₂O₃</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>4.48</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La₂O₃</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce₂O₃</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>3.01</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nd₂O₃</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sm₂O₃</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gd₂O₃</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₂O₅</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>40.5</td>
<td>40.4</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZrO₂</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>2.88</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>98.54</td>
<td>96.66</td>
<td>96.17</td>
<td>99.16</td>
<td>100.54</td>
<td>100.02</td>
<td>100.06</td>
<td>99.95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Ilmenite: average of 12 analyses of grains in felsic glass and crystalline felsite.
(2) Aluminous chromite: inclusion in ilmenite grain in crystalline felsite (low total due to small size of grain).
(3) Whitlockite: average of three analyses of grains in crystalline felsite (low total due to destruction of grains during analysis).
(4) Apatite: average of eight analyses of grains in felsic glass and crystalline felsite.
(5) Brown glass: average of 20 analyses.
(6) Uncrystallized colorless glass in felsic glass veins and patches: average of ten analyses.
(7) Uncrystallized colorless glass haloes around second-generation and relic mafic mineral grains: average of 12 analyses.
(8) Uncrystallized colorless glass selvages in vermicular intergrowth in crystalline felsite: average of five analyses.
(9) Uncrystallized colorless glass bands at contact of crystalline felsite and matrix: average of five analyses.
Table 3: Chemical analyses of bulk rock/matrix and aphanites in 73215.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>161</th>
<th>161</th>
<th>74</th>
<th>161</th>
<th>177</th>
<th>166</th>
<th>76</th>
<th>170</th>
<th>76</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>mBb</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>48.1</td>
<td>46.1</td>
<td>46.8</td>
<td>46.1</td>
<td>46.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>19.4</td>
<td>21.7</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>19.9</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr₂O₃</td>
<td>0.168</td>
<td>0.200</td>
<td>0.230</td>
<td>0.221</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₂O₅</td>
<td>7.66</td>
<td>7.39</td>
<td>7.20</td>
<td>7.28</td>
<td>7.28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td>0.624</td>
<td>0.495</td>
<td>0.488</td>
<td>0.487</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂O</td>
<td>0.656</td>
<td>0.167</td>
<td>0.170</td>
<td>0.191</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₂O₅</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ba</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>23.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>25.6</td>
<td>27.8</td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>27.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nb</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>150</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rb</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>8.93</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>118</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8.34</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zr</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hf</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ba</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.123</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>25.6</td>
<td>24.3</td>
<td>36.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pr</td>
<td>62</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>55</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sm</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eu</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gd</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tb</td>
<td>3.55</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>3.11</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dy</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Er</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La</td>
<td>1.91</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>82</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pr</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nd</td>
<td>72</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>39</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eu</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tb</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Er</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yb</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lu</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Br</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>As</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Se</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si</td>
<td>4160</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca</td>
<td>252</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>175</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr</td>
<td>72</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>39</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.274</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ag</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

References and methods:
(1) James et al. (1975a); BNA, RNA, AAS.
(2) Isotope dilution methods.
(10) Morgan et al. (1978); BNA, RNA.
Table 3 continued: Chemical analyses of bulk rock/matrix and aphanites in 73215.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>SiO&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>TiO&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>Al&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;O&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>Cr&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;O&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>FeO</th>
<th>MgO</th>
<th>MnO</th>
<th>Na&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;O</th>
<th>K&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;O</th>
<th>P&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;O&lt;sub&gt;5&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>REE</th>
<th>Hf</th>
<th>Ta</th>
<th>Pb</th>
<th>La</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bulk</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aphanites</td>
<td>&lt;1.5</td>
<td>&lt;1.5</td>
<td>&lt;1.5</td>
<td>&lt;1.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>324</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>284</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

References and methods: James et al. (1975a); combustion/mass spectrometry
Table 3 continued: Chemical analyses of bulk rock/matrix and aphanites in 73215.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Soli</th>
<th>.04</th>
<th>.49</th>
<th>.140</th>
<th>.32</th>
<th>.90</th>
<th>Avg. of</th>
<th>.81</th>
<th>.136</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>m_k</td>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>Cr₂O₃</td>
<td>FeO</td>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>CaO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>47.67</td>
<td>46.47</td>
<td>46.53</td>
<td>46.75</td>
<td>46.34</td>
<td>46.4</td>
<td>46.4</td>
<td>46.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>22.95</td>
<td>20.66</td>
<td>20.64</td>
<td>20.07</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>20.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr₂O₃</td>
<td>0.118</td>
<td>0.204</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO</td>
<td>5.39</td>
<td>6.38</td>
<td>7.73</td>
<td>7.56</td>
<td>7.79</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>11.47</td>
<td>11.16</td>
<td>11.79</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>11.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>12.81</td>
<td>12.25</td>
<td>12.10</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>11.79</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>11.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ba</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>46.4</td>
<td>46.4</td>
<td>46.4</td>
<td>46.4</td>
<td>46.4</td>
<td>46.4</td>
<td>46.4</td>
<td>46.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>20.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr₂O₃</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>11.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>11.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ba</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73215</td>
<td>46.4</td>
<td>46.4</td>
<td>46.4</td>
<td>46.4</td>
<td>46.4</td>
<td>46.4</td>
<td>46.4</td>
<td>46.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73215</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73215</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>20.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73215</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73215</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73215</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>11.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73215</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>11.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73215</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73215</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

References and methods:
(2) Benson et al. (1975); EMP/spark source mass spectrometry
(3) Blackwood et al. (1976); INAA
(12) Ivanovski and Reid (1976a,b, 1980a); neutron and photon activation analysis
Notes:
(a) Combined breach and residue
Table 3 continued: Chemical analyses of bulk rock/matrix and aphanites in 73215.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>46.2</th>
<th>46.7</th>
<th>46.10</th>
<th>46.19</th>
<th>46.19.4</th>
<th>38.17</th>
<th>38.32</th>
<th>38.57</th>
<th>38.57.6</th>
<th>38.57</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2O5</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K2O</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rb</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yb</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zr</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hf</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ta</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La</td>
<td>26.6</td>
<td>28.4</td>
<td>27.7</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>25.9</td>
<td>32.0</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>65</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Be</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yb</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ba</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>73</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tb</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Er</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lu</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ba</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca</td>
<td>0.0358</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>5.63</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si</td>
<td>3.24</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>50.8</td>
<td>&lt;6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>315</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>73</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

References and methods:
(3) Blanchard et al. (1976); INAA; AAS
(9) Gee et al. (1976); RANAA
(10) Morgan et al. (1976); RANAA
(11) Morgan and Panet (1979); RANAA

Notes:
* indicates value according to authors
a black aphanite clasts
b grey aphanite spherulites
Table 3 continued: Chemical analyses of bulk rock/matrix and aphanites in 73215.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SiO$_2$</th>
<th>TiO$_2$</th>
<th>AI$_2$O$_3$</th>
<th>Cr$_2$O$_3$</th>
<th>FeO</th>
<th>FeO$^*$</th>
<th>MgO</th>
<th>CaO</th>
<th>K$_2$O</th>
<th>Na$_2$O</th>
<th>V</th>
<th>Cr</th>
<th>Co</th>
<th>Ni</th>
<th>Cu</th>
<th>Zn</th>
<th>Sr</th>
<th>Y</th>
<th>Zr</th>
<th>Nb</th>
<th>Mo</th>
<th>Ru</th>
<th>Rh</th>
<th>Pd</th>
<th>Pt</th>
<th>Au</th>
<th>Br</th>
<th>U</th>
<th>Th</th>
<th>Pb</th>
<th>Ra</th>
<th>Am</th>
<th>Bk</th>
<th>Cm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21.4</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>0.250</td>
<td>0.200</td>
<td>0.206</td>
<td>0.210</td>
<td>0.091</td>
<td>0.200</td>
<td>0.234</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>7.7*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.1(a)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.51</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.200</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>34</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>563</td>
<td>362</td>
<td>411</td>
<td>866</td>
<td>613</td>
<td>743</td>
<td>486</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>331</td>
<td>337</td>
<td>576</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>8.64</td>
<td>9.24</td>
<td>19.7</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**References and methods:**
(6) Ehmann et al. (1975a,b); INAA, RNAA; Zr values corrected for U radiation (decreased less than 10 ppm) by Gerg and Ehmann (1976a)
(7) Eldridge et al. (1975a,b); Gamma ray spectrometry.
(13) Hughes and Schmitt (1985); INAA

**Notes:**
(6) error as data from analytical error reported in Ehmann et al. (1975b)
(7) approximation
(a) clearly erroneously high; major element oxides without CaO and TiO$_2$ total 99%
Table 4: Compositions of groundmass in aphanites, from defocused beam microprobe analyses and clast subtraction. James (1976).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Black aphanitic matrix</th>
<th>Gray aphanitic matrix</th>
<th>Schlieren-rich aphanitic matrix</th>
<th>Clast-poor aphanitic matrix</th>
<th>Black aphanite clast</th>
<th>Gray aphanite clast</th>
<th>Bulk matrix†</th>
<th>Bulk black aphanite clast</th>
<th>Bulk gray aphanite sphene†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Average</td>
<td>Range</td>
<td>Average</td>
<td>Range</td>
<td>Average</td>
<td>Range</td>
<td>Average</td>
<td>Range</td>
<td>Average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>46.4</td>
<td>45.5-47.3</td>
<td>46.5</td>
<td>45.2-46.9</td>
<td>46.9</td>
<td>46.0-47.6</td>
<td>46.3</td>
<td>47.0-48.3</td>
<td>46.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>0.20-1.47</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.20-1.47</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.20-1.47</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>0.20-1.47</td>
<td>1.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>18.0-20.0</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>18.0-20.0</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>18.0-20.0</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>18.0-20.0</td>
<td>19.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.00-0.17</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.00-0.17</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.00-0.17</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.00-0.17</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>119.0</td>
<td>118.0-120.0</td>
<td>119.0</td>
<td>118.0-120.0</td>
<td>119.0</td>
<td>118.0-120.0</td>
<td>119.0</td>
<td>118.0-120.0</td>
<td>119.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td>8.35</td>
<td>8.00-9.00</td>
<td>8.35</td>
<td>8.00-9.00</td>
<td>8.35</td>
<td>8.00-9.00</td>
<td>8.35</td>
<td>8.00-9.00</td>
<td>8.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂O</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>1.50-1.52</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>1.50-1.52</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>1.50-1.52</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>1.50-1.52</td>
<td>1.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₂O₅</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.38-0.43</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.38-0.43</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.38-0.43</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.38-0.43</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Number of analyses: Average 14 4 2 7 7 11

*Derived from broad-beam microprobe analysis of 100 μm spots (analyzed by G. H. Conrad and K. Keil, University of New Mexico); contributions from all clasts >5 μm across within each spot have been subtracted and the resulting corrected compositions have been normalized to 100%.

†Determined by atomic absorption spectrophotometry and instrumental neutron activation analyses (Blanchard et al., 1976).
Table 5: Chemical analyses of clasts in 73215.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>.94</th>
<th>.32</th>
<th>.29</th>
<th>.40</th>
<th>.29</th>
<th>.40</th>
<th>.29</th>
<th>.40</th>
<th>.40</th>
<th>.40</th>
<th>.40</th>
<th>.40</th>
<th>.40</th>
<th>.40</th>
<th>.40</th>
<th>.40</th>
<th>.40</th>
<th>.40</th>
<th>.40</th>
<th>.40</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>44.47</td>
<td>44.71</td>
<td>45.9</td>
<td>46.6</td>
<td>44.1</td>
<td>44.1</td>
<td>44.1</td>
<td>44.1</td>
<td>44.1</td>
<td>44.1</td>
<td>44.1</td>
<td>44.1</td>
<td>44.1</td>
<td>44.1</td>
<td>44.1</td>
<td>44.1</td>
<td>44.1</td>
<td>44.1</td>
<td>44.1</td>
<td>44.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>30.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CrO₃</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>17.21</td>
<td>17.21</td>
<td>17.21</td>
<td>17.21</td>
<td>17.21</td>
<td>17.21</td>
<td>17.21</td>
<td>17.21</td>
<td>17.21</td>
<td>17.21</td>
<td>17.21</td>
<td>17.21</td>
<td>17.21</td>
<td>17.21</td>
<td>17.21</td>
<td>17.21</td>
<td>17.21</td>
<td>17.21</td>
<td>17.21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**References and methods:**
(1) Bence et al. (1978a), EMP/spot source mass spectrometer.
(2) Blumberg et al. (1977a), INAA, AAS
(3) Blumberg et al. (1977b), INAA, AAS
(4) Morgan and Petrie (1979a), INAA
(5) Compston et al. (1978b), TDM/DMS

**Notes:**
a not characterized
b spined trachyte
c coarse-grained hornblastic gabbro
d fan-grained hornblastic gabbro
e biotite
f didymitic polymict material
Table 5 continued: Chemical analyses of clasts in 73215.

| SiO₂ | Al₂O₃ | FeO | Fe₂O₃ | MgO | CaO | Na₂O | K₂O | TiO₂ | V | Cr | MnO | Ni  | Cu  | Co  | Ni  | Zn  | Zr  | Nb  | Mo  | Sc  | Ba  | Sr  | Y  | La  | Ce  |
|------|-------|-----|-------|-----|-----|------|------|------|---|----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
|   38.18 |   38.26 |   38.45 |   46.5 |   38.5 |   38.23 |   38.33 |   170.007 |   170.5 |   0.0255 |   0.0256 |   0.0255 |   0.0256 |   0.0255 |   0.0256 |   0.0255 |

References and methods:
(1) Blenkinsop et al. (1977b), INAA, AAS
(2) Fegley et al. (1979a), INAA
(3) Geis et al. (1976), INAA
(4) Morgan et al. (1976), INAA
(5) Morgan et al. (1976), INAA
(6) Cameron et al. (1977b), INAA
(7) Cameron et al. (1977b), INAA

Notes:
a granulite-derived material
b granulite-derived material
c relictic granoblastic
d mylonite-derived
e gabbroic xenolithic
Table 6: Clast allocation types in 73215; see Figure 22. From James and Blanchard (1976).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Principal Investigator</th>
<th>Gray matrix</th>
<th>Black matrix</th>
<th>Heterogeneous black matrix</th>
<th>Silver-</th>
<th>Light gray matrix</th>
<th>Gray spherulites</th>
<th>Black aphanitic clasts</th>
<th>Read on ANT plate chart</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Andrews</td>
<td>76 (3)</td>
<td>900 (1)</td>
<td>60 (1)</td>
<td>38.37 (2)</td>
<td>46.10 (2)</td>
<td>46.19 (2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hgten</td>
<td>75 (3)</td>
<td>92 (4)</td>
<td>126 (3)</td>
<td>38.37 (2)</td>
<td>46.10 (2)</td>
<td>46.19 (2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brunst</td>
<td>72 (1)</td>
<td>90 (1)</td>
<td>126 (3)</td>
<td>38.37 (2)</td>
<td>46.10 (2)</td>
<td>46.19 (2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blanchard</td>
<td>45 (1)</td>
<td>94 (1)</td>
<td>126 (3)</td>
<td>38.37 (2)</td>
<td>46.10 (2)</td>
<td>46.19 (2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compton</td>
<td>40.2 (2)</td>
<td>170 (2)</td>
<td>170 (2)</td>
<td>46.32 (2)</td>
<td>46.32 (2)</td>
<td>46.32 (2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hunton</td>
<td>46.32 (2)</td>
<td>190 (2)</td>
<td>170 (2)</td>
<td>46.32 (2)</td>
<td>46.32 (2)</td>
<td>46.32 (2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James</td>
<td>28 (2)</td>
<td>127 (2)</td>
<td>190 (2)</td>
<td>46.32 (2)</td>
<td>46.32 (2)</td>
<td>46.32 (2)</td>
<td></td>
<td>46.32 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kasub</td>
<td>196 (2)</td>
<td>278 (2)</td>
<td>190 (2)</td>
<td>46.32 (2)</td>
<td>46.32 (2)</td>
<td>46.32 (2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaussen</td>
<td>900 (1)</td>
<td>41 (1)</td>
<td>900 (1)</td>
<td>46.32 (2)</td>
<td>46.32 (2)</td>
<td>46.32 (2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malin</td>
<td>196 (2)</td>
<td>278 (2)</td>
<td>190 (2)</td>
<td>46.32 (2)</td>
<td>46.32 (2)</td>
<td>46.32 (2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Price</td>
<td>32 (1)</td>
<td>10 (1)</td>
<td>42 (2)</td>
<td>46.32 (2)</td>
<td>46.32 (2)</td>
<td>46.32 (2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reed</td>
<td>194 (2)</td>
<td>196 (2)</td>
<td>196 (2)</td>
<td>46.32 (2)</td>
<td>46.32 (2)</td>
<td>46.32 (2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silver</td>
<td>196 (2)</td>
<td>196 (2)</td>
<td>196 (2)</td>
<td>46.32 (2)</td>
<td>46.32 (2)</td>
<td>46.32 (2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eminent</td>
<td>196 (2)</td>
<td>196 (2)</td>
<td>196 (2)</td>
<td>46.32 (2)</td>
<td>46.32 (2)</td>
<td>46.32 (2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taylor, S. C</td>
<td>90 (4)</td>
<td>99 (2)</td>
<td>99 (2)</td>
<td>46.32 (2)</td>
<td>46.32 (2)</td>
<td>46.32 (2)</td>
<td>46.32 (2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Numbering system is as follows: samples numbered 29.X, 36.X, 38.X, and 46.X were obtained by chipping of large consortium pieces in air and distributed by D. P. Blanchard; samples numbered 90DX.Y are from pieces obtained by chipping in the SSP1, and then further subdivided in the lab of a consortium member—the initial recipient has retained the split with the original specific number Y; all other samples are listed by NASA-assigned specific numbers. The number in parentheses after the specific number indicates the figure on which the subsample appears.

† Investigators who are not members of the consortium but who have analyzed samples from the rock.

‡ These are not all lithologically equivalent nor are they from the same area of the rock.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clast-size classes</th>
<th>Finely-grained enstatite gr.</th>
<th>Granulated troctolite</th>
<th>Spinel-bearing troctolite, basalt</th>
<th>Granulated enstatite troctolite</th>
<th>Feclote clasts</th>
<th>F. exposed top</th>
<th>Partly buried top</th>
<th>Buried bottom</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>29 (3)</td>
<td>46.32 (2)</td>
<td>900 (1)</td>
<td>60 (1)</td>
<td>38.37 (2)</td>
<td>46.10 (2)</td>
<td>46.19 (2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 (2)</td>
<td>46.32 (2)</td>
<td>900 (1)</td>
<td>60 (1)</td>
<td>38.37 (2)</td>
<td>46.10 (2)</td>
<td>46.19 (2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 (2)</td>
<td>46.32 (2)</td>
<td>900 (1)</td>
<td>60 (1)</td>
<td>38.37 (2)</td>
<td>46.10 (2)</td>
<td>46.19 (2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32 (2)</td>
<td>46.32 (2)</td>
<td>900 (1)</td>
<td>60 (1)</td>
<td>38.37 (2)</td>
<td>46.10 (2)</td>
<td>46.19 (2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33 (2)</td>
<td>46.32 (2)</td>
<td>900 (1)</td>
<td>60 (1)</td>
<td>38.37 (2)</td>
<td>46.10 (2)</td>
<td>46.19 (2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34 (2)</td>
<td>46.32 (2)</td>
<td>900 (1)</td>
<td>60 (1)</td>
<td>38.37 (2)</td>
<td>46.10 (2)</td>
<td>46.19 (2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35 (2)</td>
<td>46.32 (2)</td>
<td>900 (1)</td>
<td>60 (1)</td>
<td>38.37 (2)</td>
<td>46.10 (2)</td>
<td>46.19 (2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36 (2)</td>
<td>46.32 (2)</td>
<td>900 (1)</td>
<td>60 (1)</td>
<td>38.37 (2)</td>
<td>46.10 (2)</td>
<td>46.19 (2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37 (2)</td>
<td>46.32 (2)</td>
<td>900 (1)</td>
<td>60 (1)</td>
<td>38.37 (2)</td>
<td>46.10 (2)</td>
<td>46.19 (2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38 (2)</td>
<td>46.32 (2)</td>
<td>900 (1)</td>
<td>60 (1)</td>
<td>38.37 (2)</td>
<td>46.10 (2)</td>
<td>46.19 (2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39 (2)</td>
<td>46.32 (2)</td>
<td>900 (1)</td>
<td>60 (1)</td>
<td>38.37 (2)</td>
<td>46.10 (2)</td>
<td>46.19 (2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 (2)</td>
<td>46.32 (2)</td>
<td>900 (1)</td>
<td>60 (1)</td>
<td>38.37 (2)</td>
<td>46.10 (2)</td>
<td>46.19 (2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41 (2)</td>
<td>46.32 (2)</td>
<td>900 (1)</td>
<td>60 (1)</td>
<td>38.37 (2)</td>
<td>46.10 (2)</td>
<td>46.19 (2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42 (2)</td>
<td>46.32 (2)</td>
<td>900 (1)</td>
<td>60 (1)</td>
<td>38.37 (2)</td>
<td>46.10 (2)</td>
<td>46.19 (2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43 (2)</td>
<td>46.32 (2)</td>
<td>900 (1)</td>
<td>60 (1)</td>
<td>38.37 (2)</td>
<td>46.10 (2)</td>
<td>46.19 (2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44 (2)</td>
<td>46.32 (2)</td>
<td>900 (1)</td>
<td>60 (1)</td>
<td>38.37 (2)</td>
<td>46.10 (2)</td>
<td>46.19 (2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 (2)</td>
<td>46.32 (2)</td>
<td>900 (1)</td>
<td>60 (1)</td>
<td>38.37 (2)</td>
<td>46.10 (2)</td>
<td>46.19 (2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46 (2)</td>
<td>46.32 (2)</td>
<td>900 (1)</td>
<td>60 (1)</td>
<td>38.37 (2)</td>
<td>46.10 (2)</td>
<td>46.19 (2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 18: Chondrite-normalized plot of rare earth elements in bulk rock/matrix and aphanites in 73215. Data from Table 3.

a) data of James et al. (1975a) and average of 11 samples by Blanchard et al. (1976).
b) data for individual samples of Blanchard et al. (1976).
c) data of Bence et al. (1975a).
Several groups have reported analyses of "anorthositic gabbros" or feldspathic, granulitic impactite clasts in 73215. Blanchard et al. (1977a,b) reported that .46,25 and .46,33 were similar to each other and are similar to other feldspathic impactites such as 78155. They are somewhat different from .29,9, which has higher rare earth elements and a negative Eu anomaly (Fig. 20) and also has high Cd and Sb (Morgan and Petrie, 1988). Clast .45,25 is also high in meteoritic siderophiles (e.g., Ni more than 400 ppm), whereas .29,9 has much lower levels of meteoritic contamination. Gros et al. (1976) placed .46,25 in meteoritic Group 3L; sample .29,9 was also Group 3 (Morgan and Petrie, 1988). Some other analyses in Table 5 may also be of similar materials, but accurate descriptions have not been retrieved.

Blanchard et al. (1977a,b) reported analyses of other feldspathic breccias, some of which are probably polymict (Table 5, Figure 21). The high rare earths and continuous rare earth slopes of some samples are probably a result of matrix contamination. The fine-grained, igneous-textured spinel-bearing troctolite analyzed appears to have indigenous rather than meteoritic siderophiles (Higuchi and Morgan, 1975a,b) and roughly matches the 72417 dunite in the siderophile relative abundances (Morgan and Wandless, 1988). Bence et al. (1975) reported data for a spinel troctolite clast of undetermined nature; it is certainly feldspathic with fairly low incompatible element abundances (Fig. 21). Eckert et al. (1991a,b) reported on the chemistry of an apparently cumulate spinel troctolite without tabulating the data. The sample has 28% Al2O3 and a positive Eu anomaly. The small dunite clast analyzed by the same group (Eckert et al., 1991b) has low rare earth element abundances with a fairly flat rare

Figure 19: Compositions of groundmass melt in 73215 and other samples on silica-olivine-plagioclase plot. James (1976).
Figure 20: Chondrite-normalized plot of rare earth elements in feldspathic impactites (granulites, or "anorthositic gabbros") in 73215. Data from Table 5.

Earth element pattern and only a small negative Eu anomaly; however, the mineral variability suggests that this sample is polymict, not pristine igneous. One of the two clasts analyzed by Bence et al. (1975) was described as spinel troctolite and is the most feldspathic of 73215 clasts analyzed; the other clast, not described, is similar but has twice the abundance of incompatible elements.

The felsite pieces analyzed were tiny (less than 14 mg). The sample is K-rich and poor in FeO and Na2O, with high rare earth element abundances (La 130 x chondrites; Fig. 21). The pattern is V-shaped, and the chemistry suggestive of an origin that includes liquid immiscibility (Blanchard et al., 1977b).

---

**STABLE ISOTOPES**

Sulfur isotope data for matrix samples were reported by James et al. (1975a). The $^{34}$S/oo values for two samples of interior heterogeneous matrix were 1.0 and 1.7 and for surface chips were 1.9 and 2.0. These values are like those of lunar crystalline rocks and unlike those of typical regoliths or regolith breccias.

---

**RADIgenic ISOTOPES AND GEOCHRONOLOGY**

Geochronological studies have been conducted on both aphanitic matrix and varied clasts in 73215. Because of the fine-grained nature of the aphanites, most of the work on them has been on the Ar-Ar system. The clasts and the melt groundmass have not equilibrated with each other in any of the investigated systems. The argon data clearly demonstrate incomplete degassing during the breccia-forming event and Ar loss appears to have been varied depending on particular thermal histories and clast types. Both stepwise heating and laser Ar studies have been conducted on 73215 materials.

Jessberger et al. (1976a,b; 1977) and Staudacher et al. (1977) included several aphanitic matrix materials in their stepwise heating studies of 73215. They tabulated the data and produced release diagrams (Figs. 23 and 24; note the age axes use the "old" decay constants). The argon age data are summarized in Table 7, where the "new" decay constants are used. The results clearly demonstrate incomplete degassing, with the structure of the releases apparently resulting from combinations of old clastic material and melt. Few of the plateaus are very constant or very flat (Figs. 23, 24). Given that the age of the melt is probably best given by the age of the melted felsite clast within it (see below) and thus 3.87 +/- 0.01 Ga, then even claimed good plateaus such as that of gray matrix, 73.1, which produces an age of 4.09 +/- 0.01 Ga must reflect undegassed clast material. None of the samples analyzed are pure groundmass melt, and thus the "ages" yield only upper limits of the events that formed them (Jessberger et al., 1976a). Although the aphanitic melt spheroids and clasts give old "ages", the petrographic and chemical data strongly imply that these clasts and the matrix aphanites all formed in the same event, and thus these "ages" of up to 4.18 Ga (new constants) also reflect incomplete degassing of clasts. There is a reasonable correlation among aphanite samples of decreasing "age" with increasing K content, which may reflect the content of better-degassed felsite clasts (Jessberger et al., 1976). Jessberger et al. (1977) find it at
Figure 21: Chondrite-normalized plot of rare earth elements in felsite and feldspathic breccias in 73215. Data from Table 5.

Figure 22: Map of slab sample locations and allocated material for 73215; see Table 6. (From James and Blanchard, 1976).

least conceivable, however, that the data can be interpreted in a straightforward manner and that some of these clasts are indeed old melts.

Muller et al. (1977a,b) and Eichorn et al. (1978a,b) conducted Ar isotopic studies on 73215 aphanitic materials using pulsed laser release of argon from polished surfaces. The method allows precise selection of the target through petrographic observation; the releases are from small areas (10-100 micron half-spheres) so individual small phases can be targeted. The method differs from step-wise heating in that all the gas is measured at once, because temperature control is not possible; thus the method is in essence K-At, not Ar-Ar. Pre-heating of the samples at 550-750°C (after irradiation, but before laser pulsing) was used to remove argon from unretentive phases and thus to single out gas from retentive phases that have greater chronological significance. Numerous small clasts in the matrix as well as groundmass melt were targeted. The data are reproduced in Tables 8 and 9, which are taken from Eichorn et al. (1978) who revised the older data from Muller et al. (1977a) with correction of the K/Ca ratios (Table 8). Fuller descriptions of the targets are given in the original papers.

Muller et al. (1977a,b) analyzed clasts and groundmass in two matrix chips (Table 8), one a schlieren-rich gray matrix, the other just gray matrix, with about 50% recognizable clasts in a microsubophitic melt. The data indicate clearly that during the breccia-forming event degassing of argon was incomplete on a scale of tens of microns. The three groundmass age determinations agree within error at 4.01 Ga, but plagioclase clasts show variedly older ages and some clasts, including felsites, have younger ages (about 3.90 Ga). The most likely of possible alternative explanations is that the younger ages represent an upper limit to the
Table 7: Summary of argon ages for 73215 materials, using new decay constants. Jessberger et al. (1978).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subnumber</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>K-Ar Age AE</th>
<th>4°Ar-39Ar Plateau Age AE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>43.3</td>
<td>felsite clast</td>
<td>3.83</td>
<td>3.87 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36.2</td>
<td>black</td>
<td>3.77</td>
<td>3.93 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41.1</td>
<td>black</td>
<td>3.93</td>
<td>3.99 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46.44</td>
<td>light gray</td>
<td>3.90</td>
<td>3.97 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73.1</td>
<td>gray</td>
<td>4.03</td>
<td>4.09 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>177.1</td>
<td>schlieren-rich gray</td>
<td>4.04</td>
<td>4.07 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38.32.5</td>
<td>gray spheroid</td>
<td>3.98</td>
<td>4.03 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38.57.4</td>
<td>gray spheroid</td>
<td>4.05</td>
<td>4.10 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46.7.3</td>
<td>vesicular black</td>
<td>3.92</td>
<td>3.97 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46.19.5</td>
<td>non-vesicular black</td>
<td>3.97</td>
<td>4.03 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46.10.7</td>
<td>non-vesicular black</td>
<td>4.08</td>
<td>4.18 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46.6.1</td>
<td>dark gray clast</td>
<td>4.05</td>
<td>4.11 ± 0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38.39.1.1</td>
<td>troctolite vein</td>
<td>3.90</td>
<td>3.95 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38.39.1.1</td>
<td>feldspathic clast</td>
<td>4.13</td>
<td>4.05 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29.9.6</td>
<td>anorthositic</td>
<td>4.10</td>
<td>4.18 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46.25.5</td>
<td>gabbro</td>
<td>4.07</td>
<td>4.02 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46.33.4</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.09</td>
<td>4.16 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Age of the breccia-forming melt event (and not a subsequent heating event), and older ages represent incomplete degassing during that event. The groundmass melt "age" of 4.01 Ga then has no real chronological significance, and represents incomplete degassing of even the silicate liquid phase, or a still-appreciable content of very tiny, undegassed clasts, or gain of argon by the melt from the clasts during cooling. Eichorn et al. (1978) analyzed aphanitic clast material that was black, and which had given an anomalously old age by Ar-Ar (Jessberger et al., 1976a). The purpose was to establish the ages of various components of clasts and melt groundmass (Table 9). The results strongly support the suggestion that the black aphanite clast is cogenetic with the main matrix samples; a felsic glass clast is the same age as that of other felsic clasts and the breccia-forming event, i.e. 3.89 Ga, and the groundmass itself gives a similar age. The older age of Jessberger et al. (1976a) must clearly reflect the presence of undegassed clasts.

Compston et al. (1977a,b) reported Rb-Sr isotopic data on six bulk matrix and five aphanitic clasts in 73215 (Table 10). The range in Rb/Sr results principally from differences in the Rb contents and reflects mainly a variation in felsite clast content. At breccia formation (about 3.83 Ga, new constants) there were small differences in 87Sr/86Sr ratios; data for the matrices are correlated along a mixing line that passes near though not exactly through the felsite data. Mixing is evident, with the low Rb members consisting of feldspathic materials with low initial 87Sr/86Sr and material with higher initial ratios. The data shown in Fig. 25, which includes data for Boulder 1, Station 2, emphasizes such differences and demonstrates the lack of Sr isotope equilibration on a 1 cm to 1 mm scale. The age of the breccia-forming event cannot be determined directly from the aphanite data, but must be inferred from clast data, particularly the felsites, which give an age of 3.83 +/- 0.05 Ga (new constants). Figure 25 shows that many 73215 aphanites have model ages greater than 4.5 Ga; one possible explanation is volatile loss of Rb during melt formation, although it is not known whether the "excess"-age component is the melt phase or a clast phase. Compston et al. (1977a) note that if the older Ar ages result from incomplete degassing and the older Rb-Sr model ages result from Rb loss, then there should be a reciprocal relationship between the Ar age and the K content of aphanites, but such a correlation is in fact weak, and degassing and volatilization must be complex.

James et al. (1975) reported data from a U, Th, and Pb isotopic study of black matrix material. On a concordia diagram the 73215 data fall within the field defined by other Apollo 17 melt breccias such as Boulder 1, Station 2 and the North Massif melt boulders (Fig. 26). The data plot very roughly along a chord with intersections at 4.4-4.5 and 4.0 Ga, suggesting old components strongly modified by outgassing during the breccia-forming event. James et al. (1976) reported Nd isotopic data for a sample of black matrix (also plotted in a Figure in Lugmair et al., 1975). The 143Nd/144Nd of 0.51185 +/- 0.00003 is lower than chondritic evolution, and the data require at least a two-stage evolution, with a stage very early in lunar history with Sm/Nd even lower than in the present breccia.
Figure 23: Ar release diagrams and ages for 73215 materials; ages are for old constants and should be reduced by about 0.06 Ga for new decay constants. Jessberger et al. (1977a). Key: Part 1, a) black matrix, b) gray matrix, c) shilieren-rich gray matrix, d) black matrix plotted against $^{37}$Ar*, e) gray aphanite spheroid, f) black aphanite clast. Part 2, a) dark gray aphanite clast, b) olivine in troctolite vein, c) feldspathic clast, d)-f) feldspathic granulites ("anorthositic gabbros").
Figure 24: Ar release diagrams and ages for 73215 materials; ages are for old constants and should be reduced by about 0.06 Ga for new decay constants. Jessberger et al. (1977a).
Table 8: Laser argon results for aphantic matrix material in 73215; new decay constants.
Originally from Muller et al. (1977a,b), revised by Eichorn et al. (1978) in simplified form.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>K/Ca</th>
<th>$^{40}Ar/^{39}Ar$</th>
<th>Age (Gy)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Groundmass</td>
<td>021</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>3.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>± 001</td>
<td>± 1.57</td>
<td>± 0.03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Groundmass preheated to 550°C</td>
<td>021</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>4.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>± 002</td>
<td>± 0.98</td>
<td>± 0.07</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Center of 0.28 mm plagioclase clast</td>
<td>008</td>
<td>8.75</td>
<td>4.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>± 001</td>
<td>± 0.29</td>
<td>± 0.08</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Centers of 29-40 μm plagioclase clasts</td>
<td>011</td>
<td>8.40</td>
<td>4.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>± 002</td>
<td>± 0.21</td>
<td>± 0.04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.24 mm clast of devitrified maskelynite</td>
<td>012</td>
<td>8.56</td>
<td>4.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>± 002</td>
<td>± 0.04</td>
<td>± 0.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Groundmass preheated to 750°C</td>
<td>021</td>
<td>7.63</td>
<td>3.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>± 001</td>
<td>± 1.22</td>
<td>± 0.02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Granulated 0.4 mm core of 0.9 mm anorthosite clast</td>
<td>007</td>
<td>7.18</td>
<td>3.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>± 001</td>
<td>± 0.14</td>
<td>± 0.04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Granulated 0.4 mm core of 0.9 mm anorthosite clast, repolished</td>
<td>008</td>
<td>6.87</td>
<td>3.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>± 001</td>
<td>± 0.03</td>
<td>± 0.04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recrystallized rim of 0.9 mm anorthosite clast</td>
<td>009</td>
<td>7.82</td>
<td>3.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>± 001</td>
<td>± 0.31</td>
<td>± 0.04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4 mm clast of recrystallized anorthosite</td>
<td>010</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>3.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>± 001</td>
<td>± 0.06</td>
<td>± 0.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4 mm clast of recrystallized anorthosite, repolished</td>
<td>011</td>
<td>8.08</td>
<td>4.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>± 001</td>
<td>± 0.32</td>
<td>± 0.04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.15 mm clast of felsite (vermicular intergrowth of K-feldspar, quartz, and plagioclase)</td>
<td>1.328</td>
<td>82.34</td>
<td>4.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>± 0.54</td>
<td>± 0.09</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.25 mm clast of felsic glass</td>
<td>2.788</td>
<td>75.18</td>
<td>3.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>± 0.971</td>
<td>± 1.84</td>
<td>± 0.03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.25 mm clast of felsic glass, repolished</td>
<td>1.787</td>
<td>69.89</td>
<td>3.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>± 0.787</td>
<td>± 1.63</td>
<td>± 0.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Data from Müller et al. (1977) recalculated using “new” preferred values for the isotopic composition of K, the decay constants, and the monitor composition (see text). K/Ca values have also been revised because the previously published values were in error.*
Table 9: Laser argon results for black aphanite clast material and inclusions. Eichorn et al. (1978a).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analysis Number</th>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Number of Pulses</th>
<th>$^{36}$Ar</th>
<th>$^{36}$Ar*</th>
<th>$^{36}$Ar*</th>
<th>$^{36}$Ar</th>
<th>$^{36}$Ar</th>
<th>K/Ca</th>
<th>$^{36}$Ar/$^{36}$Ar*</th>
<th>Age (Gy)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 (09131)</td>
<td>Groundmass</td>
<td>~100</td>
<td>525.5</td>
<td>6.87</td>
<td>3.91</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>4.79</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>76.3</td>
<td>3.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 (09133)</td>
<td>Groundmass</td>
<td>~100</td>
<td>554.0</td>
<td>7.45</td>
<td>5.34</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>7.81</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>74.0</td>
<td>3.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 (01212)</td>
<td>Center of 0.25 × 0.40 mm plagioclase clast A</td>
<td>~35</td>
<td>232.6</td>
<td>2.85</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>81.7</td>
<td>4.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 (01256)</td>
<td>Plagioclase clast A, repolished</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>777.4</td>
<td>9.58</td>
<td>5.60</td>
<td>612</td>
<td>76.9</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>81.2</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 (01252)</td>
<td>75 × 100 μm felsic glass clast</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>244.2</td>
<td>3.23</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>75.7</td>
<td>3.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 (01254)</td>
<td>130 μm clast of K-Ca-rich plagioclase</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>659.0</td>
<td>7.96</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>4.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 (02033)</td>
<td>130 μm clast of K-Ca-rich plagioclase, repolished</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1139.8</td>
<td>13.53</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.185</td>
<td>84.3</td>
<td>4.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^{36}$Ar, $^{36}$Ar*, and $^{36}$Ar corrected for n-induced contributions from Ca; $^{36}$Ar also corrected for n-induced contributions from K.

Table 10: Rb-Sr isotopic data for 73215 whole-rock chip aphanite samples. Compston et al. (1977a).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rb</th>
<th>Sr</th>
<th>$^{87}$Rb/$^{86}$Sr</th>
<th>$^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>46,10.6 Black clast</td>
<td>A 22.2</td>
<td>4.83</td>
<td>137.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B 10.1</td>
<td>5.02</td>
<td>143.7</td>
<td>.1007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38.57.5 Gray spheroid</td>
<td>A 17.7</td>
<td>2.94</td>
<td>155.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B 20.0</td>
<td>3.02</td>
<td>150.4</td>
<td>.0579</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>157 Heterogeneous black matrix</td>
<td>A 24.0</td>
<td>5.96</td>
<td>139.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B 25.4</td>
<td>5.67</td>
<td>138.6</td>
<td>.1179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>258 Heterogeneous black matrix</td>
<td>A 43.1</td>
<td>3.01</td>
<td>145.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B 28.8</td>
<td>2.88</td>
<td>141.4</td>
<td>.0588</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46.45 Light-gray matrix</td>
<td>A 21.2</td>
<td>2.26</td>
<td>139.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B 16.9</td>
<td>2.33</td>
<td>140.4</td>
<td>.0478</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>178 Schliere-rich gray matrix</td>
<td>A 25.3</td>
<td>3.46</td>
<td>138.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B 23.5</td>
<td>3.24</td>
<td>139.9</td>
<td>.0669</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38.49 Black matrix</td>
<td>A 16.6</td>
<td>8.20</td>
<td>146.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B 15.4</td>
<td>8.32</td>
<td>148.5</td>
<td>.1618</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46.102 Gray aphanite clast</td>
<td>A 6.21</td>
<td>3.27</td>
<td>131.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46.102 Gray aphanite clast</td>
<td>B 6.77</td>
<td>3.45</td>
<td>133.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38.32 Gray spheroid</td>
<td>A 15.7</td>
<td>7.16</td>
<td>147.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B 19.4</td>
<td>6.87</td>
<td>148.8</td>
<td>.1333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46.19 Black aphanite clasts</td>
<td>25.6</td>
<td>3.65</td>
<td>136.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36.3 Black matrix</td>
<td>I 22.2</td>
<td>5.82</td>
<td>165.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II 21.4</td>
<td>10.53</td>
<td>173.5</td>
<td>0.1753</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 25: Sr evolution diagram for 73215 aphanite materials, after removal of radiogenic $^{87}\text{Sr}$ produced since 3.83 Ga (new constants). Compston et al. (1977a).

Figure 26: U-Pb concordia diagram for a 73215 matrix sample and other Apollo 17 breccia materials. James et al. (1975).
the breccia with results from the Ar and Sr systems.

The feldspathic impactite ("anorthositic gabbro") clasts were included in the argon studies of Jessberger et al. (1976a,b) and Eichorn et al. (1978a,b), and the strontium studies of Compston et al. (1977a,b). Jessberger et al. (1976a) stated that the releases (Fig. 23) for three samples had distinct two step plateaus: intermediate-temperature ones with ages of about 4.0 Ga (new constants) and high-temperature ones with ages of about 4.16-4.22 Ga (new constants). The KAr ratios do not show concomitant changes. The lower age corresponds roughly with the breccia-forming event age, and is interpreted by Jessberger et al. (1977a) as thermal resetting by that event that left some material incompletely degassed. The older ages are thus lower limits on the age of the parent material. Two of these clasts were also studied by laser release methods, which also show a range of ages (Tables 11 and 12) (Muller et al. 1977a,b). For the laser release studies, the samples were pre-heated as for the aphanites (above) and the ages are K-Ar on the more retentive phases. Small spots (30-60 micron half-spheres) were targeted, and ranged from cores of large and small plagioclases to small interstitial phases and rims. Plagioclase was the dominant phase being outgassed. The tabulated results show that cores of plagioclase crystals have higher ages (4.11-4.28 Ga, new constants) and recrystallized and apparent melt products have younger ages (3.81-3.88 Ga). The pattern of dates is reasonably consistent with production by partial outgassing through grains and along grain boundaries when the clasts were incorporated in 73215, although not all of the observations fit such a process. The combined data for the two clasts set a lower limit of 4.26 Ga on the date of the high-temperature melting/recrystal-

ation that affected them. The alternative that the melting event in the clast took place at about 4.0 Ga and that incorporation into 73215 had only minor effects is an unpreferred alternative explanation.

Compston et al. (1977a,b) performed Rb-Sr isotopic studies on separates from two of the feldspathic granulites ("anorthositic gabbros") (Table 13, Fig. 27). Sample 299 has enough dispersion among plagioclase, olivine, and bulk rock to define an imprecise isochron at 4.18 +/- 0.31 Ga (new constants) with an initial 87Sr/86Sr of 0.69918 +/-0.0016. There is inadequate dispersion among the analyzed phases from 4525 to define an isochron, although the data is consistent with the 299 isochron. Model ages based on BABI are about 4.3 Ga and supposedly constitute older limits on the age of the observed melting. If the systems were not entirely closed during incorporation into 73215, then the olivine model age of 299 might be a better estimate of its age; such a model age is 4.5 +/-0.2 Ga, hence the impactite could be very old.

Other feldspathic and troctolitic clasts were analyzed in the argon and the strontium studies. Jessberger et al. (1976a) analyzed a feldspathic clast that gave results similar to those of the feldspathic impactites (Fig. 23), with an older, higher temperature plateau and a younger, lower temperature plateau. Olivines picked from a stringer or vein do not give a good plateau and the errors are large because of the small amount of K in the sample. The spectrum shows a steady decrease in ages with temperature, possibly a result of recoil from included material, and the overall age is low, less than 4.0 Ga. Several of the fragments in the melt analyzed using laser release by Muller et al. (1977a,b) were feldspars or feldspathic materials and gave a variety of ages from 4.17 Ga to 3.69 Ga (Table 8). The sample of feldspathic material analyzed for Rb-Sr isotopes by Compston et al. (1977a,b) (Table...
Table 11: Laser argon release data for feldspathic impactite, 46.25 (new constants). Efchorn et al. (1978a).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analysis Number</th>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Number of Pulses</th>
<th>$^{38}Ar$</th>
<th>$^{39}Ar$</th>
<th>$^{40}Ar$</th>
<th>$^{76}Kr$</th>
<th>$^{84}Kr$</th>
<th>$^{80}Kr$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 (01014)</td>
<td>Centers of two 0.3 mm relic cores, grains A + B</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1003.0</td>
<td>11.55</td>
<td>7.90</td>
<td>9.81</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 (01181)</td>
<td>Intermediate zone in relic core of grain A (after repolishing)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>726.3</td>
<td>8.75</td>
<td>5.64</td>
<td>4.98</td>
<td>3.27</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 (01911)</td>
<td>Intermediate zone in relic core of grain B (after repolishing)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>787.1</td>
<td>9.12</td>
<td>4.44</td>
<td>4.93</td>
<td>2.77</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are in $10^{-12}$ cm$^{-3}$ STP; all values are corrected for blank. As the volume of material melted by each laser pulse is somewhat variable, we have not attempted to estimate gas concentrations in the rock sample (see text). Blank levels for $^{38}Ar$, $^{39}Ar$, and $^{40}Ar$, were, respectively, 4, 79, 280, 12, 4, and 740 for measurements 1, 5, 11, 12, 14, and 15, and 6, 8, 1.4, 2.5, 2.5, and 7.6 for the remaining measurements. The blank is mainly the mass spectrometer tube background; the change in blank values was correlated with a change of the mass spectrometer multiplier and the accompanying bake outs. The blank for $^{38}Ar$ was variable by a factor of about 2; variation in the $^{40}Ar$ blank for the first set of measurements was ±0.04 x 10$^{-12}$ cm$^{-3}$ STP and for the second set of measurements was ±2 x 10$^{-12}$ cm$^{-3}$ STP. Gas samples in which the level of the $^{38}Ar$ from the rock was less than twice the blank level were found to give unreliable results so we have not reported analyses of such samples. Uncertainties reported in the ages are one standard deviation and indicate precision only, to facilitate intercomparison of the data; the absolute uncertainty is ±0.2 Gyr. (1a)

$^{39}Ar$, $^{38}Ar$, and $^{40}Ar$ corrected for n-induced contributions from Ca; $^{39}Ar$ also corrected for n-induced contributions from K.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>K/Ca</th>
<th>$^{29}Ar_0/^{39}Ar_0$</th>
<th>Age (Gy)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sample 73215, 29.9 unheated</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>~0.4 mm relic core of ~0.8 mm grain</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>90.16</td>
<td>4.21 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>~0.4 mm relic core of ~0.8 mm grain</td>
<td>±0.01</td>
<td>±1.97</td>
<td>±0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample 73215, 29.9 preheated to 550°C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>~0.4 mm relic core of ~0.8 mm grain</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>98.53</td>
<td>4.36 ± 0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>~0.4 mm relic core of ~0.8 mm grain</td>
<td>±0.001</td>
<td>±5.62</td>
<td>±0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>~0.4 mm relic core of ~0.8 mm grain</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>97.75</td>
<td>4.35 ± 0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three ~0.2 mm relic cores in ~0.6 mm grains</td>
<td>±0.001</td>
<td>±5.84</td>
<td>±0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Centers of 48 075–210 mm melt-derived grains</td>
<td>±0.001</td>
<td>±1.70</td>
<td>±0.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data from Muller et al. (1977) recalculated using "new" preferred values for the isotopic composition of K, the decay constants, and the monitor composition (see text). K/Ca values have also been revised because the previously published values were in error.

Table 13: Rb-Sr data for clasts of feldspathic impactite (anorthositic gabbro), granulated feldspathic material, and the felsite from 73215. Compston et al. (1977a).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Weight (mg)</th>
<th>Rb ppm</th>
<th>Sr ppm</th>
<th>$^{87}Rb/^{87}Sr$</th>
<th>$^{87}Sr/^{86}Sr$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. 29.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. total-rock</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>2.43</td>
<td>167.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>2.48</td>
<td>167.2</td>
<td>.0427</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. plagioclase</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>208.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>185.9</td>
<td>.0253</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. olivine</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>.40</td>
<td>15.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>.70</td>
<td>39.2</td>
<td>.0510</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. 46.25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. total-rock</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>2.21</td>
<td>143.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>2.31</td>
<td>143.5</td>
<td>.0465</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>3.55</td>
<td>193.1</td>
<td>.0531</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>3.22</td>
<td>181.0</td>
<td>.0513</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. 46.102 feldspathic material</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. plagioclase</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>23.7</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>154.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>25.9</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>154.8</td>
<td>.03278</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>199.8</td>
<td>.00666</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>2.52</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>207.2</td>
<td>.00777</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. 46.10 plagioclase fragment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. 43.1 felsite chip</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>255.5</td>
<td>158.0</td>
<td>4.666</td>
<td>.96616 ± 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43.II glass concentrate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>252.0</td>
<td>91.9</td>
<td>7.910</td>
<td>1.14662 ± 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43.II grey fraction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>290.0</td>
<td>156.4</td>
<td>5.350</td>
<td>1.00460 ± 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43.I white fraction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>342.8</td>
<td>213.4</td>
<td>4.634</td>
<td>.96423 ± 5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
12) is probably polymict and might contain some 73215 matrix material. Its bulk analysis falls significantly above the feldspathic impactite isochron, and even above the 4.44 Ga reference isochron through BABI. In contrast, separated plagioclase falls below the feldspathic impactite isochron.

Jessberger et al. (1979) reported argon temperature release data for a clast of pink spinel-bearing troctolitic basalt (Fig. 28). This clast has been interpreted to contain indigenous, not meteoritic, siderophiles, and to have first crystallized rapidly and later to have suffered partial granulations and recrystallization, and then fragmentation. The age spectrum shows a two-step pattern similar to that of the feldspathic impactites, with an upper age of 4.46 +/- 0.04 Ga which must be a minimum age for the melting. The younger age of about 3.94 +/- 0.07 Ga is in agreement with the age of breccia-formation.

The felsite clast ,43,3 was analyzed by stepwise argon release by Jessberger et al. (1977a,b), and gave a good plateau at 3.86 +/- 0.01Ga (new constants). The felsite was molten at the time of incorporation, so this age dates that of resetting of the felsite rather than its primary crystallization age; it is also the best definition of the age of the melt and breccia formation. Rb-Sr isotopic data (Compston et al., 1977a,b) for the same felsite (Table 13 and Figure 29) give an age of 3.84 +/- 0.05 Ga (new constants), in good agreement with the argon age. The slope of the isochron is controlled largely by the melted brown glass, and the age is that of the aggregation. The Rb-Sr model age of a "total-rock" chip gives a maximum crystallization age of 3.94 Ga. Felsic glasses analyzed in the laser argon studies also give ages in the 3.85 +/- 0.05 Ga range (Muller et al., 1977a,b; Eichorn et al., 1978a,b).

RARE GAS AND EXPOSURE

Rare gas analysis shows that trapped solar wind gases are essentially absent from 73215 (James et al., 1975a). Trapped Ne and Ar are less than 3 x 10^-8 cc/g. James et al. (1975a) reported a Kr-Kr exposure age of 243 +/- 7 Ma for black matrix (both Kr and Xe are dominantly from in situ-produced spallation and neutron-capture).

38Ar exposure ages for three matrix chips reported in the same study are about the same: 185, 217, and 227 +/- 30 Ma. A moderate amount of shielding during irradiation is indicated by the data, on average about 10-15 cm of rock or soil. The data can be interpreted as dominantly a simple exposure history with one irradiation of about 243 Ma, or a more complex multi-stage irradiation; the latter seems less likely.
Figure 29: Internal Rb-Sr isochron for a felsite clast in 73215. Age stated is for old decay constants; new constant gives 3.84 +/- 0.05 Ga for the age of the felsite. Compston et al. (1977a).

Jesberger et al. (1977a; 1978a) reported 38Ar cosmic ray exposure ages for several matrix and clast samples, including aphanitic clasts, feldspathic impactite clasts, and the felsite. All have the same exposure within uncertainty and average 244 +/- 9 Ma, very close to the reported Kr-Kr ages. The 38Ar exposure age for the pink spinel troctolitic basalt reported by Jessberger et al. (1979) at 256 +/- 10 Ma is also in agreement within uncertainty.

O'Kelley et al. (1974a,b) reported cosmogenic nuclide data for the bulk rock 73215, measured soon after splashdown. Their discussion mainly concerns the August 1972 solar flare event. 73215 appears to have been at least partly shielded from that flare, having low 54Mn, 56Co, and 46Sc compared with other nuclides. Yokoyama et al. (1974) used the saturation of 26Al and 22Na data to determine that the rock had had an exposure of at least a few million years.

Hutcheon et al. (1974b) measured track densities in 73215 and suggested that it had had a very complex irradiation history. The exposed surfaces are saturated with impact pits (according to Horz), hence at least a million years of exposure is suggested; the bottom had no craters, so there was no turnover in that time frame. However, the track density profile from the top to the bottom is virtually flat: 5 x 10^6/cm^2 at 1.6 cm; 4.0 x 10^6/cm^2 at 4.8 cm; and 3.7 x 10^6/cm^2 at 6.7 cm. A long irradiation (more than 50 Ma) in a different orientation at a few centimeters depth is required, with the prediction of a long spallation age (which is in fact the case). Hutcheon et al. (1974b) found no solar flare track density gradient near the surface of the sample measured. Nord and James (1977a) also found track densities consistent with those of Hutcheon et al. (1974b); one quartz grain showed higher densities that are probably a result of an adjacent U-Th-rich phase. Braddy et al. (1975a,b) and Goswami et al. (1976a,b) used such track data to estimate the compaction age of 73215 (see RADIOGENIC ISOTOPES AND GEOCHRONOLOGY section, above).

PHYSICAL PROPERTIES

Housley et al. (1976) made ferromagnetic resonance studies of 73215 and established that it did not have the FMR intensity characteristic of glassy agglutinates.

A detailed study of the magnetic properties of 73215 was made by Brecher (1975, 1976a,b,c; also partly reported in James et al. 1975a,b). She concluded that there are intimate interrelationships between the dominant petrographic features and the magnetization behaviour that she terms textural remanent magnetism. The samples used were two cubes (3.4 g and 1.9 g) from 5 centimeters apart and mutually oriented. Both were aphanitic matrix materials, one black and one gray. Small chips of similar material were subjected to thermomagnetic analysis. The average Fe^0 (0.121 and 0.15 wt%) and Fe^2+ (6.31 and 6.35 wt%) of the cubes show the low degrees of reduction typical of crystalline highlands rocks and the sample show no evidence of a previous regolith history. Multi-domain metal grains dominate the magnetic behaviour and the thermomagnetic analyses establish that they have meteoritic Ni.

The initial magnetic moments (Natural Remanent Magnetization, or NRM) were similar in the cubes as received and decayed only a little in two months storage in a zero field; thus acquisition of a viscous remanence from earth's field is probably negligible. The cubes were subjected to standard AF demagnetization (Fig. 30); the NRM is rather soft. The microcoercivity spectra of both
NRM and saturation remanence are similar in the two samples, with the saturation remanence about 50x the NRM. Figure 31 summarizes the directional changes. A stable and possibly primordial NRM should show directional convergence. The initial NRM directions of the two samples are different (points marked 0 in Fig. 31), in fact almost reversed. Cleaning in high fields results in oscillations with directions close to shear or other planes. Both the initial and convergence directions of magnetization are distinctly different in the two matrix cubes.

Thermomagnetic curves are reproduced as Figure 32. The samples were heated to 850 degrees C. The reproducible thermal hysteresis loops show that no chemical changes took place. The two samples are nearly identical, and the major phase is kamacite. The transformation temperature (gamma to alpha) corresponds with a Ni content of about 5%; the cooling behaviour indicates that only 1% of the metal is pure FeO. Some low temperature inflections probably result from sulfides. Full magnetization curves and hysteresis loops were obtained for the two cubes (Figure 33), from which the FeO and Fe2+ contents are determined. The average values of the hysteresis parameters (Table 14) confirm the predominance of multi-domain magnetic grains.

Brecher (1976b) measured the magnetic anisotropy by three different methods to detect the presence of the magnetic fabric implied by the directional behaviour of the NRM. She used high-field anisotropy, where a comparison of the derived hysteresis loop parameters for the orthogonal directions indicates that both cubes are magnetically anisotropic. The anisotropy differs in sense and magnitude for each magnetic parameter. Anisotropy in the acquisition of anhysteretic remanent magnetization (ARM) indicates that both samples have anisotropy in the same sense but to different degrees; the actual value for the degree of anisotropy is probably meaningless. The qualitative conclusion may be drawn that the gray matrix sample has a more pronounced magnetic fabric. Low-field anisotropic susceptibility also shows that the two breccias are magnetically anisotropic, and probably as a result of a magnetic fabric mimetic to the observed rock fabric. Brecher (1976a,b,c) discusses in some detail the model of textural remanent magnetism.

**PROCESSING AND SUBDIVISIONS**

Following separation of a few small chips, 73215 was sawn in late 1973, producing end pieces (.8, 140 g; and .9, 644 g) and a slab (.10) about 1.5 cm thick (Fig. 2). Because of the complex structure of the rock, lithological maps were constructed to assist processing and allocation correlations (Figs. 4 and 22) for the consortium study led by O. James. The slab was greatly subdivided by sawing (Fig. 22). A large number of thin sections from several pieces were cut from this rock, and allocations for many
types of study were made. In 1989 a further slab was cut from end piece, 9, which is now 372 g. This slab piece broke into pieces and allocations were made of clasts for chemical and petrographic studies.

Figure 31: Directional behaviour of NRM and the orientation of the magnetic susceptibility ellipsoid relative to petrofabric features of the two cubes from 73215. Brecher (1976b).

Figure 32: Thermomagnetic behaviour for the cubes from 73215; Curves (1) are heating and (2) are cooling. Brecher (1976b).

Figure 33: Sets of magnetization curves obtained with the magnetic field sequentially parallel to the cube axes. Brecher (1976b).
Table 14: 300° K hysteresis loop parameters for cubes 73215,21 and ,34. Brecher (1976b).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Jc (e.m.u./g)</th>
<th>Fe⁺⁺ (e.m.u./g) × 10⁻²</th>
<th>(Jc) / Jc</th>
<th>Xc (e.m.u./Oe.g)</th>
<th>Fe⁺⁺ (wt.%) / Fe⁺⁺</th>
<th>Hc (Oe)</th>
<th>Xc × 10⁻³</th>
<th>Jc / Xc</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H[NS]</td>
<td>21 0.263</td>
<td>0.195</td>
<td>0.148</td>
<td>0.0006</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5.58</td>
<td>0.0215</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>34 0.3095</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.151</td>
<td>0.0049</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>6.39</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H[FW]</td>
<td>21 0.267</td>
<td>0.121</td>
<td>0.0684</td>
<td>0.0025</td>
<td>13.95</td>
<td>6.49</td>
<td>0.0186</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>34 0.338</td>
<td>0.153</td>
<td>0.177</td>
<td>0.0052</td>
<td>13.18</td>
<td>6.13</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H[TB]</td>
<td>21 0.271</td>
<td>0.123</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.0044</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>6.87</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>34 0.346</td>
<td>0.157</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.0058</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>6.33</td>
<td>0.0247</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
INTRODUCTION

73216 is a tan to olive gray (5Y5/1) tough breccia collected near the rim-crest of a 10-m crater. It is an impact melt breccia (originally described as metaclastic) that has a homogeneous groundmass and about 5% lithic clasts. The sample is subrounded and 7 x 5 x 3 cm. It has many zap pits on most sides (Fig. 1) and a thin glass film occurs as a small patch on one face. A few percent cavities, some spherical, others slit-like are present (Fig. 2) and some of these are crystal-lined. Following early allocations from chipping, the sample was sawn and broken for more detailed study in 1989.

PETROGRAPHY

No description of the groundmass has been published. It appears to be a crystalline impact melt containing angular mineral and lithic clasts, with the thin sections showing a fairly dark, fine-grained groundmass. It was described by Wolfe et al. (1981) as having a fine-grained granoblastic matrix.

A variety of small clasts were selected and studied by a group organized by L. Taylor (Neal et al., 1990b, d; 1992; Eckert et al., 1991b, c; Neal and Taylor, 1991). Neal et al. (1990d) and Eckert et al. (1991b) reported mineral composition data on four highlands clasts (a troctolite, an anorthosite, a noritic anorthosite, and a gabbroic anorthosite) and a high-Ti basalt clast (Table 1; Fig. 3). Neal et al. (1990c) and Neal and Taylor (1991) reported whitlockite analyses from three of these clasts. Eckert et al. (1991b) interpreted the anorthosite as being monomict igneous with a striking cumulate texture and the noritic anorthosite, also as igneous cumulate. They and Neal et al. (1992) interpreted the high-Ti basalt as being a plagioclase-rich polymict impact melt, and the troctolite similarly, because it has radiating acicular plagioclase in a melt matrix. The mineral compositions of four clast fall in the field of the
Mg-suite pristine rocks of the highlands; the anorthosite .57 falls between the Mg-suite and the ferroan anorthosites. Neal et al. (1992) referred to the gabbroic anorthosite .49 as a norite and to the noritic anorthosite .36 as an anorthosite.

Studies of the whitlockites and numerical modeling of their origin are presented in Neal et al. (1990e) and Neal and Taylor (1991). The whitlockite compositions strongly influence the rock compositions, despite their small abundances. Models of metasomatism are preferred by the authors as being most consistent with the observations.

---

**CHEMISTRY**

Some chemical data by neutron activation for the five bulk clasts were presented (not tabulated) in Eckert et al. (1991b,c) and Neal et al. (1992). (Their sample numbers correspond with the petrographic descriptions as follows: .36 = .66; .38 = .67; .42 = .68; .49 = .69; .57 = .70). All samples contain Ir and Au, including the anorthosite interpreted as monomict (pristine igneous) by Neal et al. (1992). They suggest the possibility of vapor transport of siderophiles during impact melting. This sample is the only one with low REEs and a positive Eu anomaly. The (noritic) anorthosite .66 had matrix chips included in the analyzed sample and has light rare earth elements about 30 x chondrites; it is feldspathic. The troctolite impact melt has light rare earth elements about 100 x chondrites with a negative Eu anomaly, as do the high-Ti basalt and the gabbroic anorthosite (norite), suggesting that they are polymict.

---

**PROCESSING**

Original allocations were minimal and made by picking. In 1989, the sample was sawn to produce two butt ends: .0 (Fig. 1, 2) being about twice the size of .30. End .0 was broken into two subequal parts and .30 into two non-equal parts.
During this processing samples of the five clasts were taken for petrographic and chemical work. End .0 is now about 46 g and its broken subsample .55 about 49 g. End .30 is now about 40 g and its broken subsample .45 about 9 g.

### Table 1: Summary of mineral compositions in five clasts from 73216.
From Neal et al. (1990d).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>OLVINE</th>
<th>PLAG.</th>
<th>PYROXENE</th>
<th>ILM</th>
<th>ARM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fo</td>
<td>An</td>
<td>Ab</td>
<td>Wo</td>
<td>En</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73216,36</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>87-98</td>
<td>1-10</td>
<td>4-39</td>
<td>46-72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73216,38</td>
<td>68-71</td>
<td>83-95</td>
<td>4-13</td>
<td>4-39</td>
<td>46-76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73216,42</td>
<td>66-68</td>
<td>77-93</td>
<td>6-11</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73216,49</td>
<td>68-70</td>
<td>82-97</td>
<td>3-16</td>
<td>3-40</td>
<td>46-75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73216,57</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>93-98</td>
<td>1-7</td>
<td>3-41</td>
<td>46-73</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 3:** Pyroxene quadrilaterals for four clasts in 73216 a) noritic anorthosite ,36, b) high-Ti (?) impact melt ,38, c) gabbroic anorthosite. From Neal et al. (1990d).
INTRODUCTION

73217 is a tough impact melt; its bulk groundmass may be the low-K Fra Mauro basalt composition common at the Apollo 17 landing site but data is lacking. The rock contains a prominent white anorthosite clast (Fig. 1) as well as conspicuous and abundant fragments apparently derived from a plutonic gabbro with plagioclase, exsolved pyroxenes, and ilmenites. Brown silicic glass is conspicuous. Zircon clasts, probably part of the gabbro, have been dated as 4.36 Ga old. 73217 is medium gray (N5), subangular and blocky and has dimensions of 6.5 x 4.5 x 3.0 cm. The clast distribution is varied, with one face more rubbly with a different lithology comprising 20% of the rock and consisting of clasts and matrix. Zap pits are irregularly distributed; the sample was collected from a half-buried position. Cavities and vesicles are uncommon except on the rubbly face. Allocations of 73217 have been made from chipped samples (e.g., Fig. 2).

PETROGRAPHY

Most published petrographic work has concentrated on the clasts and not on the general matrix of the breccia. Most of the thin sections consist of abundant mineral clasts in a fine-grained impact melt groundmass (Fig. 3a). However, lithic clasts are present, particularly coarse granoblastic feldspathic impactites and the remains of a gabbro phase with feldspar, two pyroxenes (with complex exsolution) and ilmenite (Fig. 3b) with little host melt present. The rock and its clasts looks very similar to 73155. The augitic pyroxenes contain numerous inclusions very similar to those in 73155. Many mineral clasts have thin rims formed post-brecciation by overgrowth or reaction. Silicic brown glass is conspicuous particularly where the gabbro exists. Its petrographic nature is uncertain; in places it appears to be relict mesostasis of the gabbro, but

Figure 1: Pre-processing photograph of sample 73217. Scale divisions in centimeters. S-73-16786.
Figure 2: Processing to obtain the prominent white clast in 73217. S-81-25234

elsewhere appears to post-date the gabbro. Locally the brown glass is independent of the gabbro, and exists as patches in the groundmass, commonly with coronas, that might be residual melt or reacted clasts. The anorthosite clast is a finely ground, fairly pure cataclasized anorthosite with a broad reaction rim with the matrix.

Crawford (1975a, b) described the melt groundmass in 73217,15 as grading from a finely granulated aggregate of plagioclase with small amounts of pyroxene to a clear to pale brown glass. She noted an abundance of monomineralic clasts, particularly plagioclase, and proposed that the whole rock was generated by in situ partial melting of the clast population, which in turn had a plutonic, crustal origin. She described and depicted all phases, with microprobe data. The plagioclase is predominantly anorthitic (near An93), but grains as sodic as An72 are reported. Common glass inclusions appear to be the same composition as the host anorthite. The sodic plagioclases show considerably more melting. Crawford (1975a, b) distinguished three classes of pyroxene: "plutonic" ortho- and clino- pyroxenes with coarse exsolution lamellae; fine-grained pyroxene in coronas; and groundmass melt pyroxene. She diagrammed their compositions (Fig. 4). She interpreted the augite inclusions as products of exsolution during her proposed partial melting of the plutonic rock. The orthopyroxene exsolution suggests equilibration in the original plutonic environment at about 800 degrees centigrade. The brown glass is evolved (e.g. tabulated probe analysis of 81%SiO₂ and 4.4% K₂O) and its difference from bulk melt groundmass, she states, is the best evidence for the partial melting origin. Crawford (1975a) supposes 73217 to be the first convincing case supporting impact triggered partial melting on the Moon. She concludes that the product of such partial melting is not KREEP basalt.

Ishii et al. (1980, 1981, 1983) made a detailed study of the petrology and thermal history of 73217 from examination of the pyroxene crystallization sequence, pyroxene exsolution, and geothermometry. They used petrographic, microprobe, and x-ray diffraction methods. They too conclude that an early plutonic event was succeeded by a thermal event; however, they disagree with Crawford (1975) that the thermal event was one of in situ partial melting. Ishii et al. (1980,1981,1983) describe the breccia (as seen in 72317,26) as a calcic-plagioclase-rich breccia containing abundant angular mineral clasts which are rare lithic
Figure 3: Photomicrographs of 73217-27. Plane transmitted light, fields of view about 2 mm wide. a) general view showing dark fine melt groundmass and abundant angular mineral clasts. b) view showing area dominated by gabbroic lithology with melt groundmass prominent only at top; remainder dominated by crushed gabbro, including plagioclase (white), ilmenite (black), and pyroxenes (pale to gray). Fuzzy phases are small patches of silicic brown glass.

Figure 4: Pyroxene quadrilateral. (Crawford, 1975a).
compositions in domains A and B overlap. Ishii et al. (1983) conclude that both domains could be derived from a single pluton, A at the bottom (early, 1100 degrees C or so) and B at the top (later, a few tens of degrees lower temperature). (The troctolitic, etc., lithic clasts are unrelated to this sequence).

However, the pyroxene crystallization trend (Figs. 5, 6, 9) is so complicated that at least two or more episodes are required: an early plutonic/hypabyssal event, and a later thermal/annealing event. They propose a first event forming layered bodies, a second event mixing mineral only in the upper part of a pluton (B) and a third event that mixed lower (A) and upper (B). During the latter event temperatures rose to over 1000 degrees C and the rock was partially melted. The precise nature of this latter event is not clarified by Ishii et al. (1983).

Warren et al. (1982a, b) described the prominent white clast, estimated to weigh about 1.7 g. The boundary between the clast and the groundmass is extremely diffuse. The thin section studied (.41) includes the boundary area. The central, matrix-free part is almost entirely plagioclase, whereas the diffuse area, presumably contaminated with matrix, contains about 40% pyroxene. The central anorthosite is fine-grained and cataclasized. The plagioclase is An90.2-95.4 with a mean of An93.3. The pyroxene, about En72Wo4, may not even be part of the anorthosite. Warren et al. (1982a) refer to the clast as "quasi-pristine," i.e., it is likely to be essentially pristine but to have undergone subtle changes.

Compston et al. (1984a) described and depicted zircon grains and their associated assemblages from 73217, providing zircon microprobe analyses. They describe the host as a clast that has a granitic melt composition that contains seriate mineral clasts of anorthite, augite, hypersthene, ilmenite, and zircon. The zircons are inferred to
be an integral part of the gabbroic igneous assemblage, one zircon being attached to ilmenite. (The zircons were used for ion-microprobe U-Pb isotopic studies). The zircons are anhedral and have evidence of resorption, presumably during the melting of the matrix, and have no overgrowths.

Bersch et al. (1988, 1991) reported precise minor element and major element compositions for some pyroxene grains in 73217,41; the pyroxene is most likely to derive from clasts in the matrix and not from the anorthosite itself.

CHEMISTRY

The only chemical analyses are two splits of the anorthosite clast, one at least of which is rather impure (Warren et al., 1982a, b). A single chip was handpicked to separate as much pure white clast as possible from groundmass. The analyses are presented in Table 2. The purer handpicked part appears to be a true anorthosite, as also indicated by the thin section. The pristine nature of the sample is ambiguous on the basis of Au at least, although Ir and Ni are both low. However, the impure separate has no more Au or Ni than the more pure separate. Possibly there has been some diffusion of elements across the boundary with the groundmass. The rare earth elements, while low, have a KREEP pattern (Fig. 10), also indicating some contamination. The sample, on the basis of plagioclase compositions and incompatible element abundances, is not an alkali anorthosite, but is at least close to the established range for ferroan anorthosites.

RADIOGENIC ISOTOPES AND GEOCHRONOLOGY

Four zircons were analyzed for U-Pb isotopes using high resolution ion microprobe techniques (Compston et al., 1984a, b) (Table 3). The zircons were analyzed in thin sections, and are probably part
Table 1: Crystallographic data for pyroxenes in 73217. (Ishii et al., 1983)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Crystal number</th>
<th>Pyroxenes</th>
<th>a(Å)</th>
<th>b(Å)</th>
<th>c(Å)</th>
<th>β (°)</th>
<th>Space group</th>
<th>Analysis* number</th>
<th>Crystal stage</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ia</td>
<td>A 12</td>
<td>Host Augite</td>
<td>9.74</td>
<td>8.91</td>
<td>5.25</td>
<td>106.11</td>
<td>C2/c</td>
<td>19 Aug</td>
<td>Plutonic 50</td>
<td>20 (volume ratio)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(001) Pigeonite</td>
<td></td>
<td>9.74</td>
<td>8.91</td>
<td>5.22</td>
<td>108.00</td>
<td>P2_1/c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(100) Orthopyroxene</td>
<td></td>
<td>18.29</td>
<td>8.91</td>
<td>5.24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Pbca</td>
<td>23 Pig</td>
<td>Plutonic</td>
<td>Kintoki-san type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ih</td>
<td>K 13</td>
<td>Host Orthopyroxene</td>
<td>18.27</td>
<td>8.88</td>
<td>5.21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Pbca</td>
<td>23 Pig</td>
<td>Plutonic</td>
<td>Kintoki-san type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(001) Augite</td>
<td></td>
<td>9.70</td>
<td>8.88</td>
<td>5.25</td>
<td>105.07</td>
<td>C2/c</td>
<td>05 Aug</td>
<td>A2</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(100) Orthopyroxene</td>
<td></td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Pbca</td>
<td>13 Opx</td>
<td>A2</td>
<td>Bushveld type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IIb</td>
<td>B 10</td>
<td>Host Orthopyroxene</td>
<td>18.31</td>
<td>8.88</td>
<td>5.22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Pbca</td>
<td>13 Opx</td>
<td>A2</td>
<td>Bushveld type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(001) Augite</td>
<td></td>
<td>9.73</td>
<td>8.88</td>
<td>5.22</td>
<td>105.40</td>
<td>C2/c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(100) Orthopyroxene</td>
<td></td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Pbca</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(001) Pigeonite</td>
<td></td>
<td>9.74</td>
<td>8.94</td>
<td>5.26</td>
<td>106.29</td>
<td>C2/c</td>
<td>16 Aug</td>
<td>B1</td>
<td>Chemical zoning</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

of the gabbroic assemblage (one is attached to ilmenite) whose exsolved pyroxenes are so prominent as clasts. The zircons show zoning that is visible microscopically and confirmed by electron- and ion-probe data. All four crystals show little loss of radiogenic Pb, and give U-Pb ages that are within 10% of concordance at 4356 ±23, -14 Ma. (Fig. 1a, b). This age presumably is that of the igneous event that produced the gabbro, or whatever parent assemblage the zircons reflect. Two of the crystals show evidence of initial radiogenic lead that evolved in a source with $\mu = 2000$. The lower intersect on the concordia diagram is earlier than 1000 Ma, showing that lead loss was not a very recent event. However, the common 3900 Ma lunar event(s) does not show up in this zircon data.

**PROCESSING**

73217 has never been sawn. In 1973 chips were removed from one end (apparently typical rock) for early allocations including thin sections from potted butt, 11. No samples were taken of the rubbly lithology or of the white clast. 73217 was designated a posterity sample, hence temporarily denied further processing. Nonetheless, eventually further chipping was carried out (1982) to obtain samples of the prominent white clast (Fig. 2) for chemical and petrographic studies. Further thin sections were cut from a chip ((10) that had been separated from adjacent 11 in the original processing.

![Figure 9: Summary of pyroxene crystallization trends (Ishii et al., 1983).](image-url)
Figure 10: Rare earth elements in pure (lower) and impure (upper) anorthositic samples from prominent white clast in 73217 (from data of Warren et al., 1982a, b).

Table 2: Chemical analyses of pure (.35a) and impure (.35b) anorthositic samples from prominent white clast in 73217. (Warren et al., 1982a, b).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>.35a</th>
<th>.35b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>44.9</td>
<td>45.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>.07</td>
<td>.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>35.0</td>
<td>31.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr₂O₃</td>
<td>.020</td>
<td>.047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO</td>
<td>.77</td>
<td>2.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MoO₃</td>
<td>.015</td>
<td>.047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>.75</td>
<td>2.1021.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>16.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td>.67</td>
<td>.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂O</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td>.115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₂O₅</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ppm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sc</td>
<td>1.91</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>8.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rh</td>
<td></td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zr</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nb</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hf</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ba</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Th</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>2.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cs</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>9.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>23.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pr</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nd</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>13.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sm</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>3.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eu</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>1.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tb</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dy</td>
<td>1.89</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>1.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Er</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yb</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>2.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lu</td>
<td>0.141</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Be</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Br</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cs</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zr</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>1040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ba</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y</td>
<td>7200</td>
<td>8200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rb</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yb</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lu</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Be</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Br</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cs</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zr</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>0.141</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
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<td>Ni</td>
<td></td>
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Figure 11: Concordia diagram showing analyses of 73217 zircons, corrected assuming evolution of initial lead in a low u source (a) and a high u source (b) (Compston et al., 1984a). Each point represents the mean of 10 observations of the isotopic composition. In most cases, three such determinations were made at a single spot. Line in a) is reference line for 207Pb/206Pb age of grains 15A, 15B, and 16B, 4340 Ma. Age of 16A is higher. Line in b) is best fit with intercepts of 4356 ±23, -14 Ma, and 1680 ±580 Ma.
Table 3: Summary of ion microprobe data for 73217 zircons. (Compston et al., 1984a).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spot</th>
<th>U*</th>
<th>Th*</th>
<th>Pb*</th>
<th>$^{187}$Th-$^{188}$U*</th>
<th>$^{208}$Pb-$^{206}$Pb*</th>
<th>$^{207}$Pb-$^{206}$Pb*</th>
<th>$^{206}$Pb-$^{207}$Pb*</th>
<th>$^{208}$Pb-$^{208}$Pb*</th>
<th>$^{209}$Pb-$^{208}$Pb*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>300 ± 10</td>
<td>103 ± 4</td>
<td>378 ± 20</td>
<td>0.133 ± 9</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.0852 ± 1</td>
<td>0.125 ± 4</td>
<td>0.5370 ± 8</td>
<td>0.903 ± 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>307 ± 9</td>
<td>215 ± 6</td>
<td>415 ± 12</td>
<td>0.070 ± 3</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.1766 ± 6</td>
<td>0.231 ± 3</td>
<td>0.3338 ± 9</td>
<td>0.916 ± 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>329 ± 8</td>
<td>240 ± 5</td>
<td>456 ± 14</td>
<td>0.028 ± 3</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.1818 ± 3</td>
<td>0.221 ± 3</td>
<td>0.3469 ± 7</td>
<td>0.933 ± 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>346 ± 11</td>
<td>123 ± 8</td>
<td>551 ± 15</td>
<td>0.016 ± 2</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.2023 ± 6</td>
<td>0.231 ± 2</td>
<td>0.3545 ± 10</td>
<td>0.931 ± 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>154 ± 6</td>
<td>136 ± 4</td>
<td>209 ± 8</td>
<td>0.085 ± 11</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.222 ± 6</td>
<td>0.224 ± 4</td>
<td>0.5394 ± 25</td>
<td>0.896 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.2084</td>
<td>0.228</td>
<td>0.5425</td>
<td>0.919</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>174 ± 4</td>
<td>168 ± 8</td>
<td>256 ± 7</td>
<td>0.971 ± 21</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>0.2084</td>
<td>0.228</td>
<td>0.5425</td>
<td>0.919</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>141 ± 10</td>
<td>137 ± 10</td>
<td>194 ± 14</td>
<td>0.977 ± 3</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.256 ± 3</td>
<td>0.233 ± 3</td>
<td>0.5493 ± 23</td>
<td>0.888 ± 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>156 ± 49</td>
<td>213 ± 30</td>
<td>469 ± 64</td>
<td>0.597 ± 9</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.1547 ± 7</td>
<td>0.235 ± 3</td>
<td>0.5371 ± 22</td>
<td>0.905 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>253 ± 31</td>
<td>228 ± 18</td>
<td>532 ± 45</td>
<td>0.610 ± 3</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.1571 ± 8</td>
<td>0.252 ± 4</td>
<td>0.5355 ± 20</td>
<td>0.978 ± 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>373 ± 31</td>
<td>228 ± 18</td>
<td>532 ± 45</td>
<td>0.610 ± 3</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.1571 ± 8</td>
<td>0.252 ± 4</td>
<td>0.5355 ± 20</td>
<td>0.978 ± 8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
73218
Impact Melt Breccia
St. 3, 39.7 g

INTRODUCTION

73218 is a greenish-gray gray (5GY 6/1) angular breccia (Fig. 1). It is a fine-grained impact melt with small clasts. Its chemical composition might be similar to the common low-K Fra Mauro basalt impact melts common at the site, but chemical data is lacking and it was originally described as anorthositic. The sample is tough, crystalline, and homogeneous, with dimensions of 4 x 3 x 2.5 cm. The matrix is dominated by plagioclases, although small mafic crystals are also visible macroscopically. Several surfaces are fresh fractures; the other side is rounded with some zap pits with pale gray glass. A few small drusy cavities (less than 1 mm) are present. Splits were taken from 73218 by chipping, mainly of matrix. A composite potted butt from 4 particles was used to make 3 thin sections. No data has been published.

PETROGRAPHY

The thin sections of the four particles show a fine-grained impact melt groundmass (Fig. 2), with mineral and lithic clasts. The groundmass has plagioclase needles and ilmenite needles, demonstrating growth from a melt. The mineral clasts are predominantly plagioclase and olivine, some of the smaller of which have coronas. The larger clasts include recrystallized anorthositic breccias, feldspathic impactites, a coarse anorthosite with a metamorphic texture, and a single grain of multiply-exsolved and twinned pyroxene (presumably an inverted pigeonite).

PROCESSING

Most of 73218 is preserved in the parent (now 35.8 g). One large piece (10; 1.97 g) was allocated, but no data have been published. Twelve small chips, labelled A-L and predominantly matrix, were chipped from varied locations. Eight are preserved as . The others (A, typical matrix; B, olivine rich?; H, anorthositic plus typical matrix; and I, with brown mineral clasts were used to make make serial thin sections .

Figure 1: 73218, showing patina and zap pits on top left surface, broken surfaces below. Cube is 1 centimeter. S-73-24909.
Figure 2: Photomicrograph of typical groundmass in 73218,26. Clasts are seriate, and dominantly plagioclases. Plane transmitted light, field of view about 2 mm wide.
73219
High-Ti Mare Basalt
St. 3, 2.88 g

**INTRODUCTION**

73219 is a medium dark gray (N4), small blocky sample (Fig. 1) that is a high-titanium mare basalt, the only mare basalt as an individual rock fragment from the South Massif or landslide. It is olivine microporphyritic. The sample is coherent and measures 1.5 x 1.3 x 1.0 cm. It is holocrystalline (macroscopically microporphyritic, with obvious peridotite-green olivine), homogeneous, and with a hackly surface on a very fine scale. It has many zap pits on two surfaces, with few to none on others. There is about 1% of tiny drusy cavities, with the largest 0.2 mm. Small (2-4 mm) patches of dark glass suggest that 73219 might be locally vitrophyric. Five chips were taken (four from a single location) for one allocation.

**PETROGRAPHY**

Sample 73219 is a fine-grained olivine-bearing high-titanium mare basalt (Fig. 2). It was described with microprobe analyses of its mineral phases by Warner et al. (1975b, c, 1976a, b, 1978). The microprobe analyses are diagrammed as Figure 3. Warner et al. (1976a) reported a mode of 3.5% olivine, 42.1% pyroxene, 30.5% plagioclase, 2.5% silica, 19.6% ilmenite, 1.0% armalcolite, and 0.6% of other (mainly opaque) phases. They described the groundmass as consisting of irregular titanaugite crystals separated by intrafasciculate pyroxene-plagioclase intergrowths, with the olivines being subequant and hollow or skeletal. The oxides include common prismatic, ilmenite-mantled armalcolite, and ilmenite microphenocrysts with an armalcolite morphology.

**CHEMISTRY**

A bulk analysis by neutron activation techniques was reported by Warner et al. (1975b, c, 1976a) and by Laul et al. (1975b). It is reproduced here as Table 1 and the rare earth elements are plotted as Figure 3. The sample is unexceptional, and its slightly high alumina (compared with other Apollo 17 basalts) might merely reflect unrepresentative sampling in that the analyzed mass was only 258 mg.

**PROCESSING**

Five chips, four from a single location, were combined for the single allocation in 1974 from which a thin section and the chemical analyses were produced.
Figure 2: Photomicrograph of typical groundmass in 73219,26. Clasts are seriate, and dominantly plagioclases. Plane transmitted light, field of view about 2 mm wide.

Table 1: Chemical analysis of 73219, 258 mg whole-rock sample. (Warner et al., 1976a; Laul et al., 1976b)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>wt%</th>
<th>ppm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>16.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr₂O₃</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>2.44</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂O</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>1.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₂O₅</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

References and methods:
(1) Laul et al. (1975b), Warner et al. (1975b); INAA

Figure 3: Rare earth elements in 73219; data of Warner et al. (1976a) and Laul et al. (1976b).
INTRODUCTION

73225 is a light gray (N5) breccia that is equant (Fig. 1). It is tough, crystalline, homogeneous, and originally described as meta-polymict breccia. It contains some larger plagioclases and is most likely to be an impact melt breccia; possibly a granoblastic impactite. The sample is 1.7 x 1.5 x 1.3 cm, and all its surfaces appear to be fracture surfaces. It has a few dark glass lined zap pits, possibly on all surfaces, and abundant vugs with projecting crystals of plagioclase. A thin vein of black glass penetrates the rock. 73225 was picked from a regolith sample collected from the upper part of a trench wall. It has never been subdivided or allocated.

Figure 1: 73225, showing dust-covered rock. Cube is 1 centimeter. S-73-21764
73235
Aphanitic Impact Melt Breccia
St. 3, 878.3 g

INTRODUCTION

73235 is a clast-rich aphanitic melt breccia with a variety of mineral and lithic clasts. It is similar in petrography and chemistry to the aphanitic melts of Boulder 1, Station 2 and other Station 3 aphanites. It has about 75% dense matrix, 10% lithic clasts larger than a few millimeters across, and 15% mineral clasts larger than about 0.5 mm. Poorly-defined Ar-Ar plateaus suggest an age of about 3.91 Ga. The sample was collected on the rim of a 10-m crater, near 73255. It is tough with several shallow fractures, homogeneous, and medium light gray (N6) with mottling. It is subangular to rounded (Fig. 1) and 12 x 10 x 8 cm. None of the surfaces are fresh.

PETROGRAPHY

73235 has a dense, aphanitic melt groundmass with a seriate clast distribution (Figs 3a, b), very similar to other South Massif aphanitic impact melt breccias. The fine-grained groundmass consists mainly of plagioclase and pyroxene with some opaque oxide phases. Lithic clasts include granoblastic feldspathic impactites with a variety of grains sizes, shocked anorthosites, and cataclasized troctolites, and norites. Some ophitic/subophitic melt particles, probably of impact origin, and glassy/granitic fragments (Fig. 3a) are present. Many clasts are cataclasized and strung out as schlieren within the dense matrix (Fig. 3c). One prominent white clast is a cataclasized troctolite (Fig. 3d) that was large enough for separate allocation (see below). Mineral clasts include plagioclase, olivine, pyroxene, and rare pleonaste spinels. Shock features vary from non-existent to strong and most grains are at least a little rounded by resorption.

Brown et al. (1974) and Hodges and Kushiro (1974a, b) provided brief descriptions of 73235. Brown et al. (1974) described it as a polygenetic microbreccia with calcic plagioclase (An94), zoned Mg-olivines (Fo87_81 with low Cr2O3 that relates them to the 76535 allivalite), and bronzite. They also noted patches of potassic rhyolite and purple Cr-pleonastes. Hodges and Kushiro (1974a, b) described the sample as being a fine-grained, dark brown, slightly metamorphosed breccia with numerous mineral and lithic clasts. The mineral clasts exhibit a wide range of shock features. Hodges and Kushiro (1974a,b) provide some microprobe data for pyroxenes and olivines (Fig. 4) and spinels, noting that pyroxenes include grains zoned from pigeonite to subcalcic augite and that some augite show thin exsolution lamellae. The olivine clasts are more magnesian than those in the lithic clasts. The lithic clasts are described as relatively unshocked, consisting predominantly of gabbroic to anorthositic rocks, and lacking mare basalts. The clast population indicates a wide range

Figure 1: Pre-processing photograph of 73235, showing rounded surface with zap pits. Some white clasts are visible. Scale divisions and cube 1 cm S-73-19663.
of sources. Warren and Wasson (1979) reported in diagram form microprobe analyses of olivine (Fo79-92) and plagioclase (An93-96) in the matrix of 73235 (Fig. 5).

Dence et al. (1976a, b) described 73235 as consisting of two lithologies, a coherent clast-rich dark matrix breccia interlayered with lighter more porous clastic breccia, with the former predominant. The light clastic material has irregular, locally sheared boundaries, and evidently is the material existing as schlieren described above. Dence et al. (1976a, b) describe the clast population as large and distinctive, as much as 50% and ranging down to very small sizes. No sorting of grain size is apparent. The clasts are typical highlands samples, including noritic microbreccias and granoblastic or crushed anorthositic and troctolitic fragments. Most of the lithic clasts, except for some coarse plagioclase-rich fragments, display little shock effect, but mineral fragments have diverse shock effects. The light matrix materials consist of angular mineral fragments, especially plagioclase, but also pyroxene, olivine, and minor ilmenite. There is some loss of porosity along their contacts.

Howins and Goldstein (1975a, b) analyzed iron metal grains in two clasts of "anorthositic hornfels" without discussion. The metals have about 5.5 to 7.5% Ni and 0.5 to 0.7% Co on the edge of the meteoritic field.

Engelhardt (1979) listed 73235 as having a granular matrix and with a paragenesis in which ilmenite, plagioclase, and pyroxene crystallized simultaneously. Knoll and Stoffler (1979) described the matrix as equigranular, with areas of light, coarser matrix. Smith et al. (1986) described a clast ("pomegranate") that consisted largely of zircons entirely enclosed by bytownite (An90-95), as part of a geochronological study. The zircons, for which microprobe analyses are given, are 10-100 microns across and both they and the zircons were fractured at one time and later the zircons had overgrowth. Bickel and Warner (1978a) listed the sample in their study of plutonic and granulitic fragments, but presented no data or description. Simonds et al. (1974) listed 73535 as having a subophitic matrix with groundmass feldspars 5-15 microns long and pyroxene oikocrysts about 125 microns; this does not agree with the description given here and may be an erroneous tabulation.

Warren (1979) and Warren and Wasson (1979) described two clasts (with chemistry, below) from 73235. One (their c1), from a prominent white clast visible macroscopically, is extremely cataclastic (Fig. 3d) with no grain fragments more than about 1.3 mm
Figure 3: Photomicrographs of 73235, all plane transmitted light. Field of view 2mm except b) about 500 microns. All 73235,58 except d) 73235,83. a) general dense matrix with small mineral and lithic clasts ranging from angular to rounded. b) detail of groundmass and ragged edges of small clasts. Clast in lower left is a glassy silicic particle. c) schlieren of cataclasized feldspathic impactite (across top) in general dense groundmass. d) boundary of impure cataclasized troctolite (bottom) and dense matrix (top).
across. It contains about 60% plagioclase, 30% olivine, and 10% low-Ca pyroxene. Microprobe analyses are given in Figure 5, and show the troctolite to be a member of the Mg-suite of highlands rocks. Warren and Wasson (1979) favor a pristine igneous origin on the basis of the restricted mineral chemistry. A second clast studied by Warren (1979) and Warren and Wasson (1979) (their cl) is also a brecciated troctolite, with about 2/3 plagioclase and 1/3 olivine, and lacking pyroxene. The largest grain fragments are 0.7 mm across.

The sample clearly contains meteoritic contamination, but lacks regolith characteristics such as high C or S. The chemistry is similar to that of other aphanitic melt breccias from the South Massif, but tends to be slightly more aluminous and with slightly lower abundances of incompatible trace elements. The sample is slightly enriched in Br, Zn, and Cd compared with other "Serenitatis" melt rocks. Jovanovic and Reed (1974a, 1975c, 1980a) discussed the sample in terms of CI (residual after leaching)/P2O5 ratios. Masuda et al. (1974) and Tanaka et al. (1974) noted the presence of positive Ce and Yb anomalies in their rare earth element plots, and even a small Dy anomaly, compared with the straight line fit (which they call "liquid-type pattern") of the other rare earth elements.

Analyses of clasts from 73235 are given in Table 2 with rare earth elements plotted in Figure 8. The two analyses of the prominent white troctolite clast described by Warren and Wasson (1979). They also reported precise analyses of low- and high-Ca pyroxene, supposedly from a norite, from thin section,136 which contains the other troctolite.

CHEMISTRY

Chemical analyses of the groundmass/matrix of 73235 are given in Table 1, with the rare earth elements plotted in Figure 7. Most of the analyses were presented by the authors with little specific comment, other than some note of its general similarity with other Apollo 17 highlands materials, including local soil. The chemistry is similar to that of other aphanitic melt breccias from the South Massif, but tends to be slightly more aluminous and with slightly lower abundances of incompatible trace elements. The sample clearly contains meteoritic contamination, but lacks regolith characteristics such as high C or S. The ratios of the meteoritic siderophiles place 73235 in a group 2 (Serenitatis) of Morgan et al. (1976) and Hertogen et al. (1977); however, the sample is slightly enriched in Br, Zn, and Cd compared with other "Serenitatis" melt rocks. Jovanovic and Reed (1974a, 1975c, 1980a) discussed the sample in terms of CI (residual after leaching)/P2O5 ratios. Masuda et al. (1974) and Tanaka et al. (1974) noted the presence of positive Ce and Yb anomalies in their rare earth element plots, and even a small Dy anomaly, compared with the straight line fit (which they call "liquid-type pattern") of the other rare earth elements.

Analyses of clasts from 73235 are given in Table 2 with rare earth elements plotted in Figure 8. The two analyses of the prominent white troctolite, characterized by Warren and Wasson (1979) as a very probably pristine but cataclasized troctolite (their cl) are acceptably similar. The rare earth...
SAMPLE 73235—301

Figure 6: Plots of compositions of silicate mineral phases in 73235,136 troctolite. (Warren and Wasson, 1979).

Figure 7: Chondrite-normalized plot of rare earth elements in bulk rock or groundmass for 73235. Data from Table 1.

STABLE ISOTOPES

Rees and Thode (1974a) reported a (δ) 34S/32S ratio of +1.5, substantially lower than that in soils, and in the field of indigenous rocks, signifying that the bulk of the components of 73235 had no significant history of surface residence prior to their incorporation in the melt.

GEOCHRONOLOGY AND RADIOGENIC ISOTOPES

Nyquist et al. (1974a) presented Rb and Sr isotopic data for a bulk rock sample of 73235 (Table 3). The sample falls with other Apollo 17 noritic melt breccias on a 3.94 +/- 0.10 Ga line (old constants 4.02 +/- 0.10 Ga), whose age significance is uncertain. Oberli et al. (1978) also presented bulk rock Rb and Sr isotopic data, as well as data for a bulk clast (Table 3). The clast contains much less Rb than the
Table 1: Chemical compositions of bulk rock or groundmass for 73235.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Elements</th>
<th>(1)</th>
<th>(2)</th>
<th>(3)</th>
<th>(4)</th>
<th>(5)</th>
<th>(6)</th>
<th>(7)</th>
<th>(8)</th>
<th>(9)</th>
<th>(10)</th>
<th>(11)</th>
<th>(12)</th>
<th>(13)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>45.96</td>
<td>46.20</td>
<td>46.4</td>
<td>46.7</td>
<td>47.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>22.57</td>
<td>21.28</td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>20.79</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr₂O₃</td>
<td>0.183</td>
<td>0.196</td>
<td>0.194</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.198</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>6.68</td>
<td>7.32</td>
<td>7.33</td>
<td>7.40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>0.091</td>
<td>0.093</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>11.05</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiO</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>12.55</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sc</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.466</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>0.173</td>
<td>0.250</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.197</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.197</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₂O₅</td>
<td>0.192</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.197</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.197</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rf</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
- (1) Value of 0.42 ppm also given
- (b) combined on all and made

References and methods:
(1) Budhewal et al. (1974); (2) Duncan et al. (1974a); XRF
(3) Hubbard et al. (1974); Nyquist et al. (1974a); ID/MS, AA
(4) Rhodesia et al. (1974a); XRF, AA
(5) Philpotts et al. (1974a); ID/MS
(6) Taylor et al. (1974); McFarlane/Spark source MS
(7) Whisle et al. (1977); INAA, RNA, XRF.
(8) Mada et al. (1974), Tanaka et al. (1974); ID/MS
(9) Shinnick et al. (1974), Miller et al. (1974); INAA.
(10) Morgan et al. (1974a, b); Herring et al. (1977); RNA
(11) Rice and Thode (1974b); Chemistry/gravimetry
(12) Tarnasi and Fiedel (1974, 1975c); INAA, RNA
(13) Moore et al. (1974a); Moore and Lewis (1976), Rebus
(14) Ocher et al. (1978); ID/MS
Table 2: Chemical compositions of clasts in 73235.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Split</th>
<th>.49 (a)</th>
<th>.54A (b)</th>
<th>.54B (c)</th>
<th>.127(d)</th>
<th>.135(e)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>44.2</td>
<td>44.3</td>
<td>42.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>23.1</td>
<td>24.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AI₂O₃</td>
<td>.54</td>
<td>.54</td>
<td>.54</td>
<td>.54</td>
<td>.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr₂O₃</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.197</td>
<td>0.103</td>
<td>0.157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO</td>
<td>5.06</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.275</td>
<td>.381</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂O</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.056</td>
<td>0.077</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

References and methods:
(1) Taylor et al. (1974); Microprobe/Spark source MS
(2) Ehmann and Chyi (1974), Chyi and Ehmann (1974), Garg and Ehmann (1976a), Miller et al. (1974); RNA

Notes:
(a) white clast
(b) *anorthositic inclusion*
(c) "basaltic clast"
(d) same clast as that of Taylor et al. (1974)
(e) white troctolite clast
Table 3: Rb and Sr isotopic data for 73235.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Split</th>
<th>Rb(^{86}\text{Sr} )</th>
<th>(^{87}\text{Sr} / {^{86}}\text{Sr} )</th>
<th>TBabi (Ga) ((I=0.69910))</th>
<th>TLuni (Ga) ((I=0.69903))</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>bulk:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.55</td>
<td>0.1010(\pm)9</td>
<td>0.70539(\pm)6</td>
<td>4.35(\pm)0.08a</td>
<td>4.39(\pm)0.08a</td>
<td>(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.50B</td>
<td>0.1134</td>
<td>0.70606(\pm)5</td>
<td>4.35(\pm)0.04a</td>
<td>4.30(\pm)0.08b</td>
<td>(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>clast:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.50W</td>
<td>0.02159</td>
<td>0.70030(\pm)5</td>
<td>4.27(\pm)0.16a</td>
<td>4.18(\pm)0.16b</td>
<td>(2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a) old decay constant, \(\lambda(87\text{Rb}) = 0.0139 \text{ Ga}^{-1}\)
b) new decay constant, \(\lambda(87\text{Rb}) = 0.0142 \text{ Ga}^{-1}\).

(1) Nyquist et al. (1974a)
(2) __

Table 4: Sm and Nd isotopic data for 73235.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>(^{147}\text{Sm} / {^{144}}\text{Nd} )</th>
<th>(^{143}\text{Nd} / {^{144}}\text{Nd} )</th>
<th>(T_{\text{Juv}}^a)</th>
<th>(T_{\text{Chur}}^b)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>.50B bulk</td>
<td>0.1688(\pm)1</td>
<td>0.511057(\pm)17</td>
<td>4.54(\pm)0.02</td>
<td>4.73(\pm)0.13</td>
<td>(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.50W clast</td>
<td>0.1656(\pm)1</td>
<td>0.510931(\pm)21</td>
<td>4.51(\pm)0.02</td>
<td>4.86(\pm)0.13</td>
<td>(1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a) model age calculated from the initial \(^{143}\text{Nd} / {^{144}}\text{Nd} \) of Juvinas
b) model age calculated from the intersection of sample evolution line with the chondritic evolution line.

(1) Oberli et al. (1978).

Table 5: Elastic wave velocities for 73235.18. (Mizutani and Osako, 1974a, b)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pressure Kb</th>
<th>0.0</th>
<th>0.5</th>
<th>1.0</th>
<th>2.0</th>
<th>3.0</th>
<th>5.0</th>
<th>7.0</th>
<th>9.0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(V_p \text{ km/sec} )</td>
<td>5.42</td>
<td>6.02</td>
<td>6.39</td>
<td>6.72</td>
<td>6.88</td>
<td>7.08</td>
<td>7.12</td>
<td>7.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(V_s \text{ km/sec} )</td>
<td>2.95</td>
<td>3.32</td>
<td>3.48</td>
<td>3.66</td>
<td>3.77</td>
<td>3.86</td>
<td>3.90</td>
<td>3.92</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
73235 clasts

Figure 8: Chondrite-normalized plot of rare earth elements in clasts in 73235. Data from Table 2.

Figure 9: Ar release for 73235,27 (Phinney et al. 1975)

Figure 10: Ar release for 73235,30 (Turner and Cadogan 1975a).

groundmass and gives slightly younger model ages. The model ages require young crust formation (i.e. about 4.3 Ga) or remelting at less than 4.3 Ga of materials relatively rich in Rb that had been produced before 4.3 Ga; loss of Rb as a volatile does not explain the data.

Oberli et al. (1978) presented Sm and Nd isotopic data for a bulk rock sample of 73235, as well as for the same clast that was analyzed for Rb and Sr isotopes (Table 4). The model ages are older than those for the Rb system, demonstrating that there was no change in the Sm/Nd ratio while events might have been
Figure 11: U-Pb evolution diagram for 73235 and some other lunar samples. The u values are given in parentheses. A reference line is drawn through points on concordia corresponding to 4.42 Ga and 3.90 Ga. 12021 is a mare basalt. (Oberli et al. 1978).

Figure 12: Concordia diagram for rim and interior of zircon assemblage in 73235,82. Open symbols = 1984 data, closed symbols = 1985 data.

increasing the Rb/Sr ratio in source materials.

Phinney et al. (1975) and Turner and Cadogan (1975a, b) presented bulk sample Ar-Ar stepwise heating isotopic data, depicted in Figures 9 and 10. Phinney et al. (1975) reported a plateau age of 3.92 +/- 0.04 Ga (new decay constants; old decay age is 3.98 Ga), noting, however, that the plateau was at best "poorly developed" (Fig. 10). The release is dominated by 40 Ar loss over the first 50% of release, and the total Ar age is only 3.69 +/- 0.03 Ga (new decay constants; old decay age is 3.74 Ga). The K/Ca ratio is fairly constant, implying well mixed Ca and K rather than release from a single mineral. The bulk K and the K/Ca ratio determined are much lower than either bulk rock or the Turner and Cadogan (1975a, b) samples. Turner and Cadogan (1975a, b) reported a plateau age of 3.91 +/- 0.04 (new decay constants; old decay age is 3.96 Ga), but although the plateau is better defined than that of Phinney et al. (1975), it is not a good one (Fig. 10). It is bimodal with lower apparent ages at high temperatures (3.90 Ga) than at low (3.80-3.86 Ga) and perhaps reflects a recoil effect. The total Ar age is 3.87 Ga (no uncertainty stated).

Oberli et al. (1978) presented U, Th, and Pb isotopic data for the bulk rock sample of 73235 that they also analyzed for Rb-Sr and Sm-Nd isotopes. The U-Th-Pb data are concordant at 4.47 Ga within 0.1%. However, the near-tangential relationship of a discordant data array as represented by the reference line with the concordia curve (Fig. 11) provides poor discrimination between truly concordant and discordant data. The 207Pb/206Pb age is 4.470 +/- 0.001 Ga; the 206Pb/238U age is 4.474 +/- 0.018/-0.022 Ga; and the 208Pb/232Th age is 4.474 +/-0.044/-0.047 Ga.
Smith et al. (1986) used a high resolution ion microprobe to make Pb isotopic determinations and ages on zircons in a zircon-anorthite clast ("pomegranate") in thin section 73235,82 (Figure 12). The zircons have been through an original crystallization event at 4.310 Ga, were fractured, and then overgrown at 4.183 Ga i.e., the rims are 120 Ma years younger. The clast was emplaced in the groundmass (at about 3.9 Ga according to the Ar isotopic data) without either Pb loss or gain.

EXPOSURE

Phinney et al. (1975) calculated an Ar exposure age of 195 +/- 20 Ma for split ,27 which could be interpreted as the age of the light mantle if a single-stage model is appropriate. However, Phinney et al. (1975) are reluctant to assume a single stage model. A similarly-calculated Ar exposure age by Turner and Cadogan (1975a, b) is only 110 Ma (Fig. 10), similar to that of Boulder 1, Station 2 and some other South Massif samples. Horz et al. (1975) reported a 110 Ma exposure age for the sample, citing Reynolds (pers. comm.) as the source.

Padawer et al. (1974) attempted to obtain a hydrogen profile from the exterior to the interior of the sample, using LNM microanalysis with a Van de Graaff accelerator. However, for this sample, interferences were too great to obtain hydrogen concentrations.

Watson et al. (1974) used thermomagnetic analysis (J_s v. T) and microscopy to identify the magnetic carriers in 73235. The carriers are mainly iron metals, some nickel free and others with up to 6% nickel. The total Fe^0 is 0.31 wt %, more than in basalts. The average NRM is 6 x 10^-6 emu/gm, less than about a third that of basalts. The iron is fine-grained compared with basalts, and is partially oxidized (in the experiment) to Fe_3O_4, even in fO_2 of 10^-22. Some of the iron is "newly-formed" iron, that is, produced in the impact event and not relict clasts.

PROCESSING

A small number of chips were initially taken from different parts of 73235 for allocations. Subsequently a slab (.11) was cut through the sample and itself subdivided (Fig. 2), providing interior and exterior samples. The sawing produced two butt ends, of which .9 at over 500 g remains unprocessed. Butt end .8 was completely subdivided such that its largest subsample (.35) is now 50 g. This butt end is the source of the conspicuous white troctolite clast. Four different chips from both the slab and the .8 butt have been used for thin sections, of which a large number exist.

PHYSICAL PROPERTIES

Mizutani and Osako (1974a, b), referring to the sample as a "fine-grained anorthositic breccia," reported elastic wave velocities, both compressional and shear wave, for 73235,18 (Table 5). The velocities are lower than those appropriate for the "lower layer" (25-65 km depth) of the lunar crust.
73245
Granoblastic Impactite(?)
St. 3, 1.60 g

INTRODUCTION

73245 is a medium gray (N6) tough sample that is probably a feldspathic granulite with a little adhering light brownish gray regolith breccia. The granulite may have been a clast in the breccia. 73245 is cuboidal with dimensions of 1 x 1 x 0.8 cm, and lacks fractures, zap pits, and cavities. (Fig. 1). The sample is homogeneous and fine-grained. The photomicrograph (Fig. 2) suggests that the sample is a metamorphosed, fine-grained, polymict breccia. Small chips representing average rock were taken from the same area for the thin section and for chemical analysis; no data has been published.

Figure 1: Pre-processing photograph of 73245, showing white friable blocky rock. Cube is 1 centimeter. S-73-21775

Figure 2: Photomicrograph of 73245, 4, transmitted light. Field of view 2.4 mm wide.
INTRODUCTION

73255 is an aphanitic impact melt breccia that is unusual in being an oblate spheroid that has retained, to a large extent, the original shape and internal structures produced in the breccia-forming event. It is essentially an agglomeritic bomb with abundant clasts (Figs. 1-4). It consists of a clast-rich, non-vesicular, very fine-grained melt breccia core surrounded by a rind (up to 1 cm thick) of more vesicular, less clast-rich melt breccia that generally has a sharp contact with the interior (Figs. 3, 4). The core itself is an agglomeration of melt breccias. The chemical composition of the aphanitic melts is very similar to that of the common low-K Fra Mauro melt breccias that are generally assumed to be the Serenitatis impact melt, although it has lower TiO₂. The aphanitic melt crystallized at about 3.87 Ga, while older clasts such as pristine norites (one dated at 4.23 Ga), aluminous mare basalts, felsites, and feldspathic impactites are contained within it.

73255 was collected from the surface on the rim of a 10 m crater on the landslide at Station 3. Exposure appears to have occurred about 95 Ma ago, which is also the generally inferred age for the landslide itself. The sample is medium light gray (N5-N6), locally very light gray (N8), and is tough with a few penetrative fractures. It is 8 x 7.5 x 5 cm and subrounded. Its surface is rough and there are a few zap pits on most surfaces.

PETROLOGY

The structure and petrology of 73255 have been studied in some detail. It consists of a core of non-vesicular aphanitic melt breccias (Fig. 5a, b) enclosed in a rind of vesicular aphanitic melt breccia; all were created in a single impact event.

Figure 1: Pre-processing photograph of 73255, showing cindery appearance of vesicular rind, and prominent lithic clasts. Cube is 1 centimeter. S-73-24202.
Figure 2: Photograph of 73255, post-slabbing, showing end pieces .12 and .17 (and .20 which was part of the end piece), and the slab piece, 27 which has been subdivided. Cube is 1 cm. S-74-22994.

Figure 3: Sawn surface of end piece .17, showing large clasts and the distinct vesicular rind. Cube is 1 cm. S-76-25842.
event (James et al., 1978). All melt breccias contain mineral and lithic clasts, and the core aphanites include small cryptocrystalline globular masses. The core contains abundant coherent lithic and mineral clasts, patches of friable white granulated plagioclase-rich rock, and mottled areas, as mapped by James et al. (1978) (Fig. 4). The clasts are from about 1 cm across down to the limits of resolution.

The general structure of 73255 and the petrology of the aphanitic melt breccias have been described and discussed by James et al. (1978), James and Marti (1977), James and Hedenquist (1978a), and Nord and James (1978a, b), and were briefly discussed by Simonds et al. (1974) and Spudis and Ryder (1981). Two igneous norite clasts were described and discussed by James and McGee (1979a, b), and Nord and James (1979a, b). These two norites were described and used by James (1982) and James and Flohr (1982) as representatives of two main groups of pristine norites among lunar samples. Felsite clasts were described by James and McGee (1980c) and Nord and James (1978a, b), and five mare basalt clasts were described by James and McGee (1980a, b). Brief descriptions of other clasts were given in James et al. (1978) and electron petrographic work on some anorhinitic fragments was described in Nord and James (1978a, b).

James et al. (1978), James and Marti (1977), and James and Hedenquist (1978a) described the various lithologies, particularly the varied aphanitic melt breccias, and structure of 73255. They made a detailed study of four particular types of aphanitic melt breccia that dominate the rock: 1) non-vesicular core, 2) vesicular rind, 3) slightly vesicular material at the core-rind boundary, and 4) cryptocrystalline aphanitic melt that forms small particles within other aphanitic material in the core. The latter are most common in the mottled lithology of the core, in which the aphanites form irregular blebs and angular fragments. A summary of the characteristics of these four types is given in Table 1. The groundmasses are mainly subophitic to opitic, and consist of plagioclase and pyroxenes, mainly 1-5 microns in dimension (Simonds et al., 1974, listed 1-10 microns for both phases, and suggested an "almost granular" texture). The grain size of the groundmass is finer than rock 73215, another aphanitic melt breccia collected nearby. Table 1 shows that the groundmass volume is varied from 59-85 volume % (virtually all melt-derived; clasts smaller than 5 microns have not been identified), and its abundance is roughly inversely correlated with grain size. The abundance of vesicles and their size are positively correlated.

Defocused beam microprobe analyses show that the melt is close to the same composition in all melts (see CHEMISTRY section). There are virtually no post-consolidation shock features except some fractures, with no evidence of post-consolidation heating or shear.

The origin of the groundmasses as rapidly-cooled melts is shown in

---

**Figure 4:** Lithologic map of one face of the slab cut through 73255, with key. From James et al. (1978).
Figure 5: Photomicrographs of 73255,287, showing dense aphanitic groundmass and rounded to angular clasts. Plane transmitted light. a) large plagioclase clast (bottom) and fine-grained feldspathic impactite (center left). Field of view about 2 mm wide. b) elongated fine-grained feldspathic impactite. Field of view about 500 microns wide.

electron petrographic (TEM) studies (Nord and James, 1978 a, b), in which the fine-grained subophitic/ophitic textures, with lath-shaped plagioclases, are clear. The plagioclases are a little more blocky in the more vesicular samples. Electron diffraction characteristics establish the pyroxene as pigeonite. These studies also found minor augite, but there was no orthopyroxene, olivine, or silica in the groundmass in the pieces investigated. Exsolution in the groundmass pyroxenes is of two types, as established in the TEM studies, and demonstrates rapid subsolidus cooling of the melt, and the absence of significant reheating after crystallization. Neither are there any shock-induced microstructures in the groundmass minerals, so there has been no significant post-consolidation shock event (>25kb).

Two norite clasts have been interpreted as being pristine igneous rocks. One, 27,80 was 1/2 cm across, the other 27,45, almost 1 cm in maximum dimension. Norite 27,80 is modally a pyroxene anorthosite with about 90% plagioclase and 10% orthopyroxene, but is probably an unrepresentative sample of a norite, whereas 27,45 has only about 50% plagioclase. They are rather different in detail, and were used by James (1982) and James and Flohr (1982) as representatives of Mg-norites and Mg-gabbronorites respectively. Both cooled beneath the surface of the Moon, but in detail have different thermal and subsequent shock histories. They have been described in detail by James and McGee (1979a, b) and Nord and James (1979a, b) who provide petrographic (including TEM) descriptions and microprobe mineral analyses and have been subjected to other types of study (see CHEMISTRY and GEOCHRONOLOGY sections, below).

A thin section of pyroxene anorthosite 27,80 consists of plagioclase (83%), orthopyroxene (15%), about 1% augite, 1% quartz+cristobalite, and less than 1% trace phases (James and McGee 1979a,b). The trace phases are K-feldspar, chromite, rutile, iron metal, apatite, whitlockite, baddelyite, armalcolite, troilite, ilmenite, and zirkelite. The texture is igneous, with equant plagioclase and pyroxene with sizes of 0.3 to 2 mm (most about 1 mm). The plagioclases have cores with inclusions (most almost certainly exsolved) and the orthopyroxene has some well-developed shock-produced plastic deformation features, and the orthopyroxene has some patchy...
Table 1: Characteristics of 73255 aphanites and 73215 aphanite. James et al. (1978).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groundmass texture</th>
<th>73255</th>
<th>73215</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cryptocrystalline</td>
<td>Subophitic to devitrified-appearance; little intergranular porosity</td>
<td>Subophitic, locally variolitic or graphic; few percent intergranular porosity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonvesicular</td>
<td>Subophitic; locally variolitic or graphic; few percent intergranular porosity</td>
<td>Subophitic with blocky pyroxene grains; locally variolitic or graphic; few percent intergranular porosity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slightly vesicular</td>
<td>Subophitic; locally variolitic or graphic; few percent intergranular porosity</td>
<td>Subophitic to intergranular; locally graphic or variolitic, intergranular porosity highly variable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vesicular</td>
<td>Subophitic to intergranular; locally graphic or variolitic; intergranular porosity highly variable</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groundmass grain size</th>
<th>73255</th>
<th>73215</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;1 μm</td>
<td>average ~2 μm (1-5 μm)</td>
<td>average ~4.5 μm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-4 μm</td>
<td>average ~1 μm (1-5 μm)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-4 μm</td>
<td>average ~0.6 μm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-5 μm</td>
<td>average ~0.4 μm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-10 μm</td>
<td>average ~0.2 μm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-20 μm</td>
<td>average ~0.1 μm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vesicle content (vol %)</th>
<th>73255</th>
<th>73215</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;1 μm</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-4 μm</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-4 μm</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-5 μm</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-10 μm</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-20 μm</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-30 μm</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-40 μm</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vesicle size (μm)</th>
<th>73255</th>
<th>73215</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;1 μm</td>
<td>average ~0.1 μm</td>
<td>average ~0.4 μm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-4 μm</td>
<td>average ~0.2 μm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-4 μm</td>
<td>average ~0.6 μm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode (vol %, normalized to zero porosity)</th>
<th>73255</th>
<th>73215</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Groundmass</td>
<td>70.85</td>
<td>66.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plagioclase clasts &gt;5 μm</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>20.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mafic-mineral clasts &gt;5 μm</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>9.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lithic clasts &gt;5 μm</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other clasts</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of areas counted</td>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>(3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1From visual estimates.
2From point counts on transparent overlays traced from reflected light photomicrographs of 0.6 x 1.4 mm areas.
3James (1976).

extinction. Fe-S rich glass veinlets have partly penetrated the clast during the 73255 breccia-forming event. Electron petrographic studies show heterogeneous microstructures in anorthites, the most striking of which are polygonal grains about 1 micron in diameter; other areas show recovery and defect-free patches. The potash feldspar inclusions have glass and some dislocations. The orthopyroxenes have 1000 angstrom-thick augite lamellae, and abundant stacking faults. The silica polymorphs show a wide range of shock features, including glass. The glasses in silica, anorthite, and K-feldspar indicate shock pressures of 250-450 Kb; only the glasses in anorthite devitrified later. The only subsolidus, post-crystallization events, apart from shock, are the exsolutions; the orthopyroxene exsolutions suggest equilibration to 800 degrees C. The norite appears to have crystallized from an indigenous melt, slowly beneath the surface of the Moon. There are no recognizable xenocrysts and the

Figure 6: Compositions of pyroxenes in pyroxene anorthosite (norite) 27,80. See James and McGee (1979a) for details.
melt appears to have been rather evolved (to give an early plagioclase capable of exsolving K-feldspar and the many trace phases; see also CHEMISTRY). There may have been two generations of plagioclase growth.

Gabbro-norite 27,45 did not retain an igneous texture, although a few relic grain boundaries are preserved; instead it has a granulated texture, with a regular variation of intensity across the clast. Most grains are angular. Grains up to 1.8 mm and monomineralic areas suggest an original grain size of about 2 mm. James and McGee (1979a, b) gave a mode of 53% plagioclase, 40% orthopyroxene, 5% augite, 0.5% ilmenite, and <1.5% all other phases. The trace phases are apatite, whitlockite, chromite, trolite, iron-metal, stanfieldite (Ca-Mg-rich phosphate), armalcolite, and rutile. Single grains of K-feldspar and K-Si-rich glass were also found. The plagioclases do not contain inclusions, but the orthopyroxenes do contain inclusions as well as thin, widely spaced exsolution lamellae. The augites contain abundant exsolution lamellae of low-Ca pyroxene.

Plagioclase compositions are fairly homogeneous (An88.6, range An86.7-90.5) and more sodic than in pyroxene anorthosite 27,80. The pyroxenes show significant correlation with texture, with exsolution lamellae differing from host grains of the same phase; individual grains are not zoned (Fig. 8). All of the iron metals, which seem to be part of injected veins, contain significant Ni (Fig. 9), unlike those in the pyroxene anorthosite 27,80.

Electron petrographic studies show that the bytownite is mainly defect free, with a low density of anti-phase domains. All pyroxenes contain exsolution, and orthopyroxenes have abundant stacking faults and Ca-enriched (GP) zones in varied density. The sub-solidus effects indicate that the gabbro-norite cooled at rates similar to the Bushveld intrusion, down to 600 degrees C, and cooling to those temperatures in about 3000 years. This, with the compositional variation of pyroxenes, suggests cooling in the upper part of the lunar crust. The gabbro-norite was shocked and granulated, with no significant production of theomorphic glass, at about 50 Kb, substantially lower than the shock pressures of 27,80. This was accompanied by an Fe-S vapor.

Only slight modification by post-shock events occurred.

Two tiny felsite clasts were described with mineral analyses by James and McGee (1980c). One (27,3) consists of a vermicular intergrowth of quartz and K-feldspar, with optically continuous quartz as ribbons 15-45 microns thick. Shock has converted K-feldspar largely to glass, but original textures are preserved. The clast is cut by a veinlet of host aphanitic melt breccia, with reddish brown glass at the contact that is higher in SiO2 and K2O than host breccia. The plagioclase is rich in both K and Na (An56.3Ab39.0Or4.7), and the K-feldspar is rich in Ba. Ca contents are higher in shock-vitrified K-feldspar than in non-vitrified K-feldspar. The other clast (253,24) is more strongly shocked, although it originally had a similar texture of vermicular intergrowth of quartz and K-feldspar. The K-feldspar was converted completely to glass by shock and flowed. The contact with the surrounding breccia is marked by a band of devitrified glass. Neither clast displays textures unequivocally igneous or metamorphic in origin, but by comparison with a clast in 73215 is almost certainly igneous. The shock event(s) occurred prior to the incorporation of the felsites into the breccia, and melted and injected material into the clasts. At the time of incorporation into the breccia the clasts were hot or were heated at that time. Electron petrographic work on a separate (?) felsite was reported by Nord and James (1978a, b), although this felsite is similar to the others in having the same textures and shock glass in K-feldspar. The TEM studies confirm glass at the grain boundaries and within K-feldspar and a lack of glass in quartz. No microstructures indicative of deformation, or deformation followed by recovery, were observed in either the K-feldspar or the quartz. Pigeonite grains contain antiphase domain boundaries, abundant twins, and exsolved augite lamellae. Some glass at

Figure 7: Compositions of potash feldspars in pyroxene anorthosite (norite) 27,80. Open circles are inclusions in plagioclase; filled are interstitial grains. James and McGee (1979a).
grain boundaries was produced by heating within the breccia, not by direct shock heating, whereas K-feldspar glass shows characteristics indicative of both thermal and shock melting. Injection of veinlets and globules of mafic melt probably accompanied the shock event. The evidence suggests that the clast was heated to more than 990 degrees C after incorporation into the breccia.

James and McGee (1980a, b) extracted five small mare basalt clasts from 73255, describing them and providing microprobe analyses. They are fractured and locally granulated; one is a collection of basalt fragments in a fine-grained matrix. The fragments are rounded and concentrated in the mottled lithology of the core. The basalts are generally subophitic but are all at least slightly different in grain size and texture; they probably form a sequence related by differentiation. The finest-grained fragment has some variolitic patches. Olivine and Cr-spinel crystallized first, followed by composite pyroxenes (pigeonite cores to ferroaugite rims) and plagioclase. Pyroxene and olivine analyses are shown in Fig. 10; these phases are iron-rich (e.g. olivine Fo<66) as they are in mare basalts. The plagioclases are calcic, averaging about An93. The basalts are high-alumina mare basalts, petrographically most similar to high-alumina mare basalt 14053. The granulation of the fragments appears to have taken place before the basalts had finished crystallizing; because the granulation appears to be a result of the shock event that produced the breccia, the basalts are inferred to be about 3.87 Ga old. The fragment consisting of basalts in a fine-grained matrix also has some patches of very fine-grained melt that is aluminous like the host aphanite.

Nord and James (1978a,b) reported electron petrographic studies of an undeformed anorthite (An97; about 540 x 700 microns) and a shocked anorthite (An94, 450 x 200 microns). The undeformed anorthite showed no visible deformation in normal microscopy. The TEM studies showed a low dislocation density and unusually small type (c) antiphase domains; the former indicates no significant shock effects, the latter that the latest event was rapid cooling through 600 degrees C. The shocked anorthite had microscopically visible deformation lamellae and undulatory extinction. The TEM studies showed the presence of tiny crystallites, each with minute twin lamellae. The anorthite had been shocked into a glass and then devitrified, cooling rapidly, perhaps in two stages, to less than 840 degrees C.

The petrographic studies of the structure and lithologies of 73255 show that it crystallized from a mass of aphanitic melts of similar composition, including the vesicular rind, that contained numerous mineral and lithic clasts of varied shock history. All clasts were heated by the melt to above
900 degrees C and then cooled rapidly. Following crystallization, there were no significant shock effects.

CHEMISTRY

Major and trace element analyses of the bulk rock and aphanitic melt phases are compiled in Tables 2 and 3, with plots of the rare earths abundances in Fig. 11. A plot of rare earth abundances in comparative vesicular/non-vesicular aphanite pairs (James et al., 1978a) is given as Figure 12. Defocused beam microprobe analyses of the melt phase of the aphanitic melt phases are given in Table 4. Major and trace element analyses of separated clasts are given in Table 5, with a description of the clasts as analyzed by Blanchard and Budahn (1979a) given as Table 6. The rare earth element plots of Blanchard and Budahn (1979a) for different clast groups are presented as Fig. 13a-c. Mineral separates for pyroxene anorthosite (norite) 27,80, and not a bulk rock sample, were analyzed for trace elements by Blanchard and Budahn (1979a); the rare earth element plot for these separates and

Figure 10: Compositions of pyroxenes and olivines in basalt clasts in 73255. Diagram d) contains data from 3 clasts; the others each are data from a single clast. James et al. (1980a).

Figure 11: Rare earth elements in aphanites from 73255 (James et al., 1978).
Figure 12: Comparison of rare earths in vesicular/non-vesicular aphanite pairs in 73255. (James et al. 1978).

Figure 13: Rare earth element plots for different clast groups. (Blanchard and Budahn, 1979a).
calculated parent melts are given as Fig. 14a, b. The aphanitic melt breccia data show that the compositions cluster very tightly, more so than those in 73215, and are rather similar to other Apollo 17 fragment-laden melts, such as the Station 6 and 7 boulders. However, the 73255 aphanites do have lower TiO$_2$ (James et al., 1978, Blanchard et al., 1978). The 73255 aphanitic melts differ from others such as 73215 and the Boulder 1 Station 2 samples in being less feldspathic and having a lower dispersion of Al$_2$O$_3$ and FeO among samples; however, most trace elements are virtually indistinguishable. The vesicular aphanites in 73255 appear to be slightly enriched in rare earth elements by about 10-30% compared with the non-vesicular aphanites (Table 3, Fig. 12). Other data suggests that the vesicular samples are enriched in some volatiles, such as Rb and Bi (Table 3). The aphanites are contaminated with meteoritic siderophiles and volatiles, corresponding with the Anders Group 2 assigned to Serenitatis rocks (Morgan and Petrie, 1979a, b). Defocused beam microprobe analyses of the groundmass of the aphanites, avoiding clasts and thus corresponding with melt, indicate that the melt is fairly homogeneous and corresponds closely with bulk rock (Table 4). This indicates that the melt population has a bulk composition similar to that of the melt (James et al., 1978).

The gabbronorite clast 27,45 was analyzed as a tiny (10-12 mg) bulk samples (2 chips with different compositions) by Blanchard and Budahn (1979a, b) and for Sm and Nd by Carlson and Lugmair (1981). The coarse grain size makes these unrepresentative. The incompatible element contents are fairly low (Table 5, Fig. 13a) The norite has low enough Ni to be considered lacking in meteoritic contamination, although one of the subsamples has some black veins. The pyroxene anorthosite (norite) clast 27,80 was analyzed only for trace elements on mineral separates (Blanchard and Budahn, 1979a, b); the data indicates crystallization from an evolved parent with incompatible trace elements not unlike the host aphanite breccia; however, clearly the norite did not crystallize in place from such a parent.

The felsite sample analyzed was tiny (2.0 mg) and can hardly be representative. It is similar in major elements to the 73215 felsite and to 12013; it lacks the negative Eu anomaly of these others (Fig. 13b). Blanchard and Budahn (1978a, b) find their data indicative of an origin by liquid immiscibility.

The three basalt fragments analyzed (Blanchard and Budahn, 1978a, b) have major element compositions similar to high-alumina mare basalts, particularly Apollo 14 examples such as 14053, but the rare earth elements are more similar to very low Ti mare basalts (Fig. 13c). The samples are generally similar but differ in detail; again, these are tiny analyzed pieces (less than 10 mg).
Table 2: Major and trace element chemistry of aphanite phases in 73255.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Elements</th>
<th>Sample 73255</th>
<th>Sample 73256</th>
<th>Sample 73257</th>
<th>Sample 73258</th>
<th>Sample 73259</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>52.5</td>
<td>52.3</td>
<td>52.3</td>
<td>52.8</td>
<td>52.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>11.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>13.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₂O₃</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂O</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trace Elements</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rb</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr</td>
<td>47.5</td>
<td>47.5</td>
<td>47.5</td>
<td>47.5</td>
<td>47.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lu</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nd</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sm</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eu</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gd</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tb</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yb</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lu</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Reference and methods:
(1) James et al. (1978), INAA; (2) from 73255 (a) also in Blanchard et al. (1978)
(3) Baur (1979). 

Notes:
V = vanadic
E = vanadiferous
S = slighter vanadic, from vanadiferous contact
A = large globules in matrix lithology
B = coating on edge of granulated xenoliths
C = blast Arens of brach and white mantle
D = coating on granulated source clast
E = bulk rock.
Table 3: Major and trace element chemistry of comparative core-rind vesicular/non-vesicular aphanite melt phases in 73255 (James et al., 1978).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Sample 1</th>
<th>Sample 2</th>
<th>Sample 3</th>
<th>Sample 4</th>
<th>Sample 5</th>
<th>Sample 6</th>
<th>Sample 7</th>
<th>Sample 8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>48.4</td>
<td>48.0</td>
<td>47.0</td>
<td>45.6</td>
<td>48.1</td>
<td>47.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr₂O₃</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂O</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

References and methods:
(1) James et al. (1978): INAA (SiO₂ by difference); (a) IDVMS (s) also in Blanchard et al. (1978)
(2) Morgan and Petes (1976a,b): BMMA

Notes:
V = vesicular
N = non-vesicular
(v) analysis on slightly vesicular, core-rind contact
Table 4: Defocused beam microprobe analyses of clast-free groundmass areas of 73255. (James et al. (1978).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section number</th>
<th>Analysis number</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>NV</th>
<th>SV</th>
<th>V</th>
<th>BFC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>73255</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>73.6</td>
<td>48.3</td>
<td>48.3</td>
<td>48.5</td>
<td>48.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>909(124)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>1.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>280(171)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9.03</td>
<td>8.84</td>
<td>9.37</td>
<td>9.40</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>11.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>315(158)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>316(265)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>9.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>315(158)</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>11.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>316(265)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>315(158)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>316(265)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>315(158)</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>316(265)</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>99.3</td>
<td>100.16</td>
<td>100.23</td>
<td>100.55</td>
<td>101.07</td>
<td>101.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>315(158)</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>100.78</td>
<td>100.97</td>
<td>100.66</td>
<td>101.32</td>
<td>100.70</td>
<td>100.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>316(265)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>11.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>315(158)</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>316(265)</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>315(158)</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>316(265)</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>99.3</td>
<td>100.16</td>
<td>100.23</td>
<td>100.55</td>
<td>101.07</td>
<td>101.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>315(158)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>100.78</td>
<td>100.97</td>
<td>100.66</td>
<td>101.32</td>
<td>100.70</td>
<td>100.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>316(265)</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>11.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>315(158)</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>316(265)</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>315(158)</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>316(265)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>99.3</td>
<td>100.16</td>
<td>100.23</td>
<td>100.55</td>
<td>101.07</td>
<td>101.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>315(158)</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>100.78</td>
<td>100.97</td>
<td>100.66</td>
<td>101.32</td>
<td>100.70</td>
<td>100.23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 4 continued...*
Table 5: Major and trace element chemistry of clasts in 73255.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Sample 73255</th>
<th>Sample 73255</th>
<th>Sample 73255</th>
<th>Sample 73255</th>
<th>Sample 73255</th>
<th>Sample 73255</th>
<th>Sample 73255</th>
<th>Sample 73255</th>
<th>Sample 73255</th>
<th>Sample 73255</th>
<th>Sample 73255</th>
<th>Sample 73255</th>
<th>Sample 73255</th>
<th>Sample 73255</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>43.6</td>
<td>46.1</td>
<td>46.1</td>
<td>46.5</td>
<td>46.9</td>
<td>46.9</td>
<td>46.9</td>
<td>46.5</td>
<td>46.4</td>
<td>47.1</td>
<td>46.8</td>
<td>46.6</td>
<td>47.1</td>
<td>49.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>1.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>31.3</td>
<td>27.4</td>
<td>29.7</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>28.1</td>
<td>19.9</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>19.9</td>
<td>32.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.056</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.181</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.102</td>
<td>0.297</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.297</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>3.24</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>12.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₂O₃</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.055</td>
<td>0.127</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>3.34</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>14.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiO</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>9.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO₂</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₂O₅</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>154.5</td>
<td>51.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ba</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>130</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>220</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nb</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>511</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ta</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>2.92</td>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>2.32</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>9.77</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>9.77</td>
<td>15.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cs</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>21.8</td>
<td>46.1</td>
<td>27.2</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>27.2</td>
<td>8.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nd</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sm</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>4.24</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>4.24</td>
<td>1.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eu</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gd</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dy</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>2.23</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>2.85</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>2.61</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>2.61</td>
<td>1.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Er</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>4.27</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tm</td>
<td>2.21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lu</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>172</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pr</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>62</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nd</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eu</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gd</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tb</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dy</td>
<td>0.582</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

References and methods:
(1) Blanchard and Block (1979a,b); INAA (SiO₂ by difference)
(2) Carius and Legrand (1981); IDMS
Notes: The analyses are all the same clast.
Table 6: Description of samples listed in Table 5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type sample number</th>
<th>Analyzed sample number</th>
<th>Sample descriptions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>27.76</td>
<td>27.76,1</td>
<td>Single, clean piece of vuggy basalt (6.69 mg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27.24</td>
<td>27.25,1</td>
<td>Basalt chip, black vuggy (9.33 mg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27,105</td>
<td>(27,109) (110,1)</td>
<td>Coarser grained basalt, subophitic, vuggy (3.87 mg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27,101</td>
<td>27,101,7</td>
<td>White material from the black and white nodule (see 27,101,10 for black) (9.96 mg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>253,12</td>
<td>253,12,1</td>
<td>3 plagioclase clasts (13.5 mg) from coherent gabbroic anorthosite clast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>228</td>
<td>228,13,5</td>
<td>Fine-grained, sugary clean piece of G–A (22.72 mg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27.1</td>
<td>27.12,2</td>
<td>Fine-grained, sugary clean piece of G–A (30.70 mg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27.48</td>
<td>27.48,7</td>
<td>Medium-grained, clean G–A (23.66 mg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>154.1</td>
<td>154.1,2</td>
<td>Very fine-grained G–A (8.32 mg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27.45</td>
<td>27.65,2,1</td>
<td>Clean sample of granulated, coarse-grained norite (12.91 mg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27.45</td>
<td>27.65,2,2</td>
<td>Norite chip same as 27.65,21 but containing black veins (10.63 mg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27.3</td>
<td>27.3</td>
<td>2 mg sample of hand picked, clean felsite and felsite glass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27.95</td>
<td>27.95,1</td>
<td>Pure plagioclase sample (3.9 mg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27.80</td>
<td>27.80,1</td>
<td>Hand picked plagioclase separate from coarse grained pyroxene anorthosite—very clean (2.5 mg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27.80</td>
<td>27.80,2</td>
<td>Hand picked pyroxene separate from coarse grained pyroxene anorthosite—probably not pure (0.7 mg)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The other highland breccia samples analyzed appear to be fairly typical lunar anorthositic breccias and feldspathic impactites, contaminated with meteoritic siderophiles. They have low rare earth abundances and positive Eu anomalies (Fig. 13a). One (154, not shown on Fig. 13a) is like a "very high alumina basalt" and appears to be an impact melt. Two of the feldspathic impactites ("anorthositic gabbros") were analyzed for meteoritic siderophiles and volatiles and appear to be different in total abundance but fall in the same group 3 inferred to be a pre-Serenitatis meteoritic component (Morgan and Petrie, 1979 a, b).

RADIOGENIC ISOTOPES AND GEOCHRONOLOGY

40Ar–39Ar stepwise heating analyses on several samples of aphanitic melt breccias were conducted by Jessberger et al. (1978) and Staudacher et al. (1979a, b); a single sample was similarly analyzed by Eichorn et al. (1979a, b), who also included aphanitic melt phases in their laser pulsed Ar study of materials in 73255. Jessberger et al. (1978) analyzed four samples of different aphanite types, summarized in Table 7 and Fig. 15. The apparent age spectra all show some structure, with clear low-temperature argon loss, then a low temperature "plateau" succeeded by a dip then a high-temperature "plateau". The low temperature "plateau" indicates a slightly younger age than the high temperature one (Table 7). The preferred interpretation of the authors is that the high-temperature region dates clasts and the low temperature region the melt; the dip might be a recoil effect. There are no clear differences between the melt age for vesicular and non-vesicular samples, but the vesicular samples appear to contain clasts that are much more degassed than those in the non-vesicular melts. Jessberger et al. (1978) conclude that the age of the melt and breccia-forming event is 3.88 +/-0.03 Ga. Staudacher et al. (1979a, b) analyzed four more aphanitic melts (Tables 8 and 9 and Fig. 16), with similar results, and further discussed the significance of the structure in the temperature releases. The age inferred for the melt, i.e. the low-temperature
"plateau", depends on the model used to understand the structure, as listed in Table 9. In model A the dip is explained by gas loss from microclasts during breccia formation; in model B the dip results from recoil from the fine-grained groundmass and results in slightly younger ages for breccia formation. Eichorn et al. (1979a, b) analyzed one sample of aphanite and obtained a roughly similar release structure (Fig. 17), although the dip is not so prominent. The precise age of the melt is difficult to infer from this release.

Eichorn et al. (1979a, b) used laser release from polished surfaces to obtain gas for Ar analyses of various phases in 73255. Samples were pre-heated to remove low-temperature gas, and the data correspond with K-Ar ages of an assumed higher-temperature plateau (assuming therefore no structure in the high-temperature release) because temperature cannot be controlled in this experiment. The inferred age data for the aphanites (included in the summary Table 10) are varied and determination of the age of the breccia-forming event is difficult at best. Clearly much material did not

Figure 15: Ar-Ar and K/Ca release diagrams for 73255 aphanitic melts. Vesicularity decreases from top left to bottom right. Jessberger et al. (1978).

Figure 16: Ar-Ar and K/Ca release diagrams for 73255 aphanitic melts. Staudacher et al. (1979a).
Table 7: Summary of Ar-Ar release age data for 73255 aphanitic melts. 156 is non-vesicular core; 124,9002 is a less-vesicular core/rind boundary sample; 124,9005 is a more-vesicular core/rind boundary; 130,1 is vesicular rind. Jessberger et al. (1978).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample Subnumber</th>
<th>K Ca Age</th>
<th>Exposure Age</th>
<th>K-Ar Age</th>
<th>(^{40})Ar-(^{39})Ar Plateau Ages</th>
<th>Temperature Ranges</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Plateau 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Plateau 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(^{40})Ar-loss corrected K-Ar Age</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156</td>
<td>1090</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>3.90</td>
<td>3.88 ± .02(670-810)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>124,9002</td>
<td>1420</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>3.89</td>
<td>3.88 ± .02(700-820)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>124,9005</td>
<td>1280</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td>3.92 ± .02(720-780)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130,1</td>
<td>1350</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td>3.90 ± .03(670-860)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Error is 15 m.y.

a Absolute uncertainty is 0.03 AE (1σ).

Uncertainties listed are 2σ and appropriate for comparison of the results within this study. Plateau ranges are given in brackets.

Table 8: Summary of Ar-Ar release age data for 73255 aphanitic melts and clasts. Plateau 2 is the high-temperature region inferred to represent clasts. Staudacher et al. (1979a).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample Subnumber</th>
<th>K Ca Age</th>
<th>Exposure Age</th>
<th>K-Ar Age</th>
<th>(^{40})Ar-(^{39})Ar Plateau Ages</th>
<th>Temperature Ranges</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Plateau 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Plateau 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(^{40})Ar-loss corrected K-Ar Age</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>253.13</td>
<td>aphanite coating</td>
<td>1380</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>3.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>135.5</td>
<td>aphanite in</td>
<td>1430</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>3.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27,101</td>
<td>mottled lithology</td>
<td>1130</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>3.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27,46</td>
<td>aphanite coating</td>
<td>1670</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>3.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156'</td>
<td>nonvesicular core aphanite</td>
<td>1090</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>3.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>124,9002*</td>
<td>less vesicular aphanite from core-rind contact</td>
<td>1420</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>3.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>124,9005*</td>
<td>more vesicular aphanite from core-rind contact</td>
<td>1280</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>3.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130,1'</td>
<td>highly vesicular rind aphanite</td>
<td>1350</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>3.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>228</td>
<td>clasts</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>3.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27,1.2</td>
<td>anorthositic</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>3.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27,1.1</td>
<td>gabbro</td>
<td>630</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>3.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27,48</td>
<td>clasts</td>
<td>590</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>3.89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Error is 10 m.y.

b Absolute uncertainty is .03 AE

c Low temperature ages for aphanites are listed in Table 3.

d An absolute error of ±.03 AE is not included.

Results are taken from Jessberger et al. (1978).
Table 9: Model ages for low temperature regions of Ar-Ar release data for 73255 aphanitic melts. Temperature range for corresponding fractions given in parentheses. Staudacher et al. (1979a).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample subnumber</th>
<th>Model A Age Plateau 1 [AE]</th>
<th>Model B Age [AE]</th>
<th>39Ar redistribution in Region I [%]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>73255.253.13</td>
<td>3.92 ± .02 (710-900)</td>
<td>3.89 ± .03 (710-1080)</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>135.5</td>
<td>3.93 ± .02 (730-880)</td>
<td>3.90 ± .02 (730-1090)</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27.101</td>
<td>3.90 ± .02 (650-890)</td>
<td>3.88 ± .02 (650-1010)</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27.46</td>
<td>4.01 ± .02 (680-890)</td>
<td>3.89 ± .09 (680-1030)</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156</td>
<td>3.88 ± .02 (670-810)</td>
<td>3.83 ± .03 (670-1010)</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>124.9002</td>
<td>3.88 ± .02 (700-820)</td>
<td>3.85 ± .02 (700-1030)</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>124.9005</td>
<td>3.92 ± .02 (720-780)</td>
<td>3.82 ± .04 (720-1060)</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130.1</td>
<td>3.90 ± .03 (670-860)</td>
<td>3.85 ± .04 (670-1080)</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 17: Ar-Ar release diagram for a 73255 aphanitic melt. Eichorn et al. (1979a).

completely degas at the time of melting, which is best inferred from the best-degassed clasts and the thermal releases (such as those of Staudacher et al. (1979a) which agree at 3.87 +/- 0.03 Ga.

James et al. (1978) reported Rb and Sr isotopic data on 7 aphanitic melt phases from 73255. Calculated to about 3.9 Ga, the data show that there were significant differences in 87Sr/86Sr at that time (Fig. 18), thus the melt did not then equilibrate over a scale of a few millimeters. The data indicate similarities with other aphanitic melts (Fig. 18) with the bulk data reflecting mixing of materials.

Staudacher et al. (1979a, b) conducted thermal release Ar-Ar studies on clasts in 73255: one felsite and three "anorthositic gabbros" (one duplicate). The data are summarized in Table 8 and the release diagrams shown as Fig. 19 (anorthositic gabbros) and Fig. 20 (felsite). Two of them (.228 and one chip of .27,1 are stated to have a marked 2-step release, with low temperature release indicating lower ages. The other two show low temperature releases that rise more gradually to high-temperature, higher ages (Fig. 19). The felsite (Fig. 20) is the same clast analyzed by Blanchard and Budahn (1979a, b); it shows a high-temperature plateau age of 3.89 +/- 0.03 Ga over the last 50% of Ar release. This is inferred to date the melting and degassing of the felsite as it was incorporated into the melt, thus dating the melt event.

Eichorn et al. (1979a, b) used laser release from polished surfaces to obtain gas for Ar analyses of various phases in 73255. Samples were pre-heated to remove low-temperature gas and the data correspond with K-Ar ages of an assumed higher-temperature plateau (assuming, therefore, no structure in the high-temperature release) because temperature cannot be controlled in this experiment. The inferred age data for the clasts are summarized in Table 10. They show a wide variety of ages, including some that are rather younger than the inferred age of the host melt, e.g. felsites range from 3.48 to 3.98 Ga. Devitrified maskelymites give "ages" as old as 5.5 Ga (Table 12), hence maskelymites must be considered unreliable for determining ages. No other samples except some groundmasses suggest ages older than 4.0 Ga.

Carlson and Lugmair (1981) reported a Sm-Nd isotopic analysis of the pristine igneous gabbro-norite ,27,45 (Table 13, Fig. 21). The three-point isochron gives an age of 4.23 +/-0.05 Ga and is well defined. The TICE age is similar to the isochron age, thus the
Table 10: Summary of laser Ar ages (Ga) in 73255, for melt and clasts (preheated samples).
Eichorn et al. (1979a).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Preheating temperatures$^*$</th>
<th>650$^\circ$C</th>
<th>700$^\circ$C</th>
<th>900$^\circ$C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Groundmass</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73255,255</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nonvesicular ($\sim 2 \mu$m grain size)</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.10 ± .01</td>
<td>4.09 ± .03*</td>
<td>4.21 ± .01*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cryptocrystalline ($&lt; 1 \mu$m grain size)</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.07 ± .01*</td>
<td>4.03 ± .01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73255,310</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>highly vesicular ($\sim 4-5 \mu$m grain size)</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.76 ± .01</td>
<td>3.78 ± .01*</td>
<td>3.86 ± .03*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>slightly vesicular ($\sim 2 \mu$m grain size)</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.80 ± .01*</td>
<td>3.78 ± .01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73255,309</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fine-grained ($\sim 1 \mu$m)</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.91 ± .01</td>
<td>4.09 ± .01</td>
<td>4.07 ± .01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>coarse-grained (5–10 $\mu$m)</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.80 ± .01</td>
<td>3.81 ± .01</td>
<td>3.70 ± .02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Felsic clast material</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73255,255</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>glass A</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.77 ± .01</td>
<td>3.72* ± .01</td>
<td>3.73* ± .01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K-feldspar A</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.96 ± .01</td>
<td>3.93* ± .01</td>
<td>3.92* ± .01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K-feldspar C</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.94 ± .02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K-feldspar B</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.73 ± .03</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73255,310</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>partly melted</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vermicular intergrowth C</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.72 ± .01</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.97 ± .02*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>partly melted</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.69* ± .01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vermicular intergrowth I</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.77 ± .01</td>
<td>3.82 ± .02*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>glass H</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.69 ± .01</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.87 ± .02*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>plagioclase H</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.87 ± .03</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>partly melted</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vermicular intergrowth D</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.86 ± .02*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vermicular intergrowth F</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.48 ± .03*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73255,312</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>glass D</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.59* ± .01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lithic clasts</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANT-suite fine-grained</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>anorthositic gabbro</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73255,310</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shocked clast M</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.81 ± .01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>small plagioclases in clast J</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.74 ± .01</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.84* ± .02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>large plagioclases in clast J</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.93 ± .01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 10: Continued.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Preheating temperatures&lt;sup&gt;11&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>650°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lithic clasts</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73255,309 clast B</td>
<td>3.67 ± .01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73255,312 clast B</td>
<td>3.73† ± .01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANT-suite coarse-grained pyroxene anorthosite</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73255,309 relict plagioclase of clast D</td>
<td>3.66 ± .02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shattered plagioclase of clast D</td>
<td>3.62 ± .02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fine-grained quenched basalt</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73255,309 Groundmass A1</td>
<td>3.84 ± .01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Groundmass A2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Devitrified maskelynite clasts</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73255,255 Centers of two 0.5-mm clasts</td>
<td>3.99 ± .01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73255,310 center of 0.3-mm clast A</td>
<td>3.99 ± .01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>center of 0.5-mm clast B</td>
<td>4.82 ± .02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>intermediate zone within 0.5-mm clast B</td>
<td>4.34 ± .03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>centers of 5 0.2-mm clasts</td>
<td>3.89 ± .01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73255,309 center of 0.3-mm clast</td>
<td>4.14 ± .02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>11</sup> Samples were preheated for 1.5 hours at each temperature successively. Ages marked with an * were obtained on samples that were preheated twice, for a total of 3 hours, at 650°C. Ages marked with a † were obtained on a sample that was not preheated.

Table 11: Rb-Sr isotopic data for 73255 aphanites. James et al. (1978).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Rb (ppm)</th>
<th>Total Sr (ppm)</th>
<th>&lt;sup&gt;87&lt;/sup&gt;Rb/&lt;sup&gt;86&lt;/sup&gt;Sr</th>
<th>&lt;sup&gt;87&lt;/sup&gt;Sr/&lt;sup&gt;86&lt;/sup&gt;Sr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vesicular rind aphanite</td>
<td>9.85</td>
<td>128.6</td>
<td>0.2214</td>
<td>0.71251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slightly vesicular aphanite, at core-rind contact</td>
<td>9.93</td>
<td>126.1</td>
<td>0.2276</td>
<td>0.71287</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9006 (110)</td>
<td>5.47</td>
<td>126.0</td>
<td>0.1254</td>
<td>0.70705</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9004 (124)</td>
<td>5.56</td>
<td>127.3</td>
<td>0.1261</td>
<td>0.70698</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonvesicular aphanite</td>
<td>5.31</td>
<td>122.8</td>
<td>0.1248</td>
<td>0.70679</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>176</td>
<td>5.54</td>
<td>125.4</td>
<td>0.1276</td>
<td>0.70701</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9008 (245)</td>
<td>5.28</td>
<td>118.1</td>
<td>0.1291</td>
<td>0.70723</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 12: Summary of laser-released Ar studies of a 1.4 mm clast of devitrified maskelynite in 73255.
Elchorn et al. (1979a).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Center</th>
<th>Zone 4</th>
<th>Zone 3</th>
<th>Zone 2</th>
<th>Edge</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Surface 1</td>
<td>4.20 ± .05</td>
<td>4.03 ± .01</td>
<td>4.49 ± .02</td>
<td>4.51 ± .02</td>
<td>4.13 ± .01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface 2</td>
<td>3.99 ± .02</td>
<td>3.39 ± .02</td>
<td>4.26 ± .01</td>
<td>4.96 ± .02</td>
<td>4.02 ± .02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface 3</td>
<td>3.99 ± .01</td>
<td>4.01 ± .01</td>
<td>3.99 ± .02</td>
<td>5.53 ± .01</td>
<td>5.68 ± .02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 13: Sm-Nd isotopic data for mineral separates and whole rock of .27.45 gabbroiorite.
(Carlson and Lugmair, 1981)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Weight (mg)</th>
<th>[Sm] (ppm)</th>
<th>[Nd] (ppm)</th>
<th>$^{147}$Sm/$^{144}$Nd a</th>
<th>$^{143}$Nd/$^{144}$Nd a</th>
<th>$T_{ICE}$ b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PI-I</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>0.841</td>
<td>4.52</td>
<td>0.1124</td>
<td>0.510393</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TR</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>4.27</td>
<td>0.2380</td>
<td>0.513899</td>
<td>4.23 ±17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Px-I</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>0.523</td>
<td>0.976</td>
<td>0.3237</td>
<td>0.516332</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*See footnote for Table 1.

b $T_{ICE}$ = "intercept with chondritic evolution" line; model parameters are: ($^{143}$Nd/$^{144}$Nd)$_{JUV}$ = 0.512636, ($^{147}$Sm/$^{144}$Nd)$_{JUV}$ = 0.1936.

Figure 18: Modified Sr evolution diagram for samples from Apollo 17 aphanitic melt rocks. The diagram shows how the pattern would have appeared if measured just after the breccias formed about 3.90 Ga ago. James et al. (1978).
granulation and breccia formation did not disturb the Sm-Nd system. Sources at 4.23 Ga had not sufficiently fractionated Sm/Nd or had not existed long enough to evolve a Nd isotopic signature reasonably different from the assumed chondritic reference. This implies that liquids with highly fractionated relative rare earths were crystallizing to produce melts of the Mg-suite 4.2 to 4.3 Ga ago.

EXPOSURE AGES

Microcraters on the surface of 73255 are sparse and tiny on all surfaces, and nowhere approach saturation. The uniform coverage shows that the sample must have been tumbled at least once (James and Marti, 1977).

Exposure ages have been determined from the Ar isotopic studies. Staudacher et al. (1979a, b) listed exposure ages for clasts and aphanitic melts (Table 8) that include revisions (apparently corrected for Ti- and Fe-contributions to the $^{38}\text{Ar}_C$ production) of the exposure ages reported for four aphanitic melts by Jessberger et al. (1978). These exposure ages average 91 Ma with a range from 71 Ma to 97 Ma. The laser study of Eichorn et al. (1979a) produced a similar range of Ar exposure ages for clasts and aphanitic melts (86-104 Ma, average about 97 Ma). The stepwise heating experiment on a single sample of aphanitic melt produced a similar exposure age. This age has occurred for other landslide samples and is inferred to be the age of the landslide.

James and Marti (1977) reported an $^{81}\text{Kr}$/$^{83}\text{Kr}$ age of 149 Ma for a non-vesicular aphanitic melt. This age is substantially older than the Ar exposure ages. The high spallation $^{78}\text{Kr}/^{83}\text{Kr}$ suggests little shielding during exposure and the xenon isotopes too suggest that the entire radiation took place within a few centimeters of the surface.

Yokoyama et al. (1974) found that 73255 was saturated with both $^{22}\text{Na}$ and $^{26}\text{Al}$, thus the sample has been exposed for at least a few million years.
PROCESSING

Following separation of a few small pieces for preliminary study, a slab about 1.5 cm thick was sawn through 73255 (Figs. 2, 4) in 1974 for the consortium study led by O. James. Butt end piece 17 (Fig. 3) remains intact at 102 g. Some further processing of butt end piece 12 (Fig. 2) was done but its mass too remains close to the original at 127 g. The slab piece, consisting mainly of 27, 20, and 29 has been extensively subdivided and many allocations made from both interior and exterior parts. More than 100 thin sections or probe mounts have been made from many different pieces of 73255.

Figure 21: Sm-Nd isochron for gabbnorite, 27, 45. Carlson and Lugmair (1981).
INTRODUCTION

73275 is a clast-bearing micropoikilitic impact melt breccia that has a chemical composition similar to the low-K Fra Mauro melts common at the site and generally presumed to represent the Serenitatis impact melt. An Ar-Ar age of about 3.90 Ga has been determined and it had a multi-stage exposure history. 73275 was collected from the surface on the rim of the 10-m crater at Station 3. The sample is light gray, tough with no penetrative fractures, and is homogeneous. Matrix material smaller than about 100 microns constitutes about 95% of the rock. It is 10 x 7 x 7 cm and blocky or subangular. Its surface is uneven, with many zap pits on most sides, but one area has a fresh fracture surface (Fig. 1). It has 2-3% vugs up to 6 mm in dimension, ranging in shape from near-hemispherical to slit-like; some have drusy linings. A slab was sawn through the rock (Fig. 2) and provided most but not all of the allocated subsamples.

PETROGRAPHY

No detailed description of 73275 has been published. It is a clast-bearing impact melt with a micropoikilitic texture (Fig. 3a, b, c). It is a homogeneous melt with an appearance very much like that of finer-grained "Serenitatis" melts. Large clasts are uncommon in the thin sections; those lithic clasts present are dominantly granoblastic impactites and coarse-grained feldspar-rich samples with plutonic igneous textures. Mineral

Figure 1: Pre-processing photograph of 73275, showing common eroded surface with patina and zap pits (left) and fresh broken surface (right). Scale divisions 1 cm S-73-16929
Figure 2: Slab cut of 73275. Small butt end, 2 (left) was partly broken. Large butt end, 1 (right, 274 g) was retained intact. The slab piece (center) is, 3. Further subsample numbers not shown. Small cubes are 1 cm.

S-73-34459.

clasts are dominantly plagioclase, with nonetheless conspicuous olivine and some pyroxene. The groundmass consists of tiny equant plagioclases and larger but still small (less than 150 micron, generally) oikocrysts of pyroxene; ilmenite is prominent as lathy to equant grains (Fig. 3a, b). In a few areas, tiny clasts are less abundant and the groundmass consists of elongated plagioclases ophitically enclosed in more clearly visible pyroxenes (Fig. 3c).

Simonds et al. (1974) listed 73275 as a rock with 50-60% feldspar and a subophitic-micropoikilitic texture. The tabulated matrix feldspar as 10-50 microns and matrix mafic grains as 10-100 microns in dimension. Their pyroxene and olivine analyses (Fig. 4) are similar to those of the other common melt rocks at Apollo 17, although some of the groundmass pyroxenes are of more magnesian composition (as much as Mg' 86). Engelhardt (1979) tabulated the paragenesis as one having ilmenite crystallization entirely post-date that of pyroxene. Heuer et al. (1974) and Radcliffe et al. (1974) described 73275 as a recrystallized breccia with low porosity. They found that the rock consisted of large clasts of plagioclase (0.3-1.00 mm), with smaller olivines (0.05-0.2 mm) and orthopyroxenes (0.1-0.2 mm) in a fine-grained recrystallized groundmass of the same phases. Many of the plagioclases have rims separated from the clasts by a ring of dark inclusions; the clasts are more calcic (An90-97) than the presumed overgrowth rims (An85-90). Electron transmission studies showed that their were dislocation substructures in plagioclase, and features due to movement of disassociated dislocations. In clinopyroxenes there are thin widely-spaced exsolution lamellae suggestive of prolonged annealing. Goldstein et al. (1976a,b) described the rock as having a fine-grained groundmass with plagioclase laths enclosed by poikilitic pyroxenes 0.1-0.5 mm across. Most of the clast are single crystal, but there are also coarse-grained granulites and devitrified plagioclase glasses. The focus of the study by Goldstein et al. (1976a, b), however, was the presence of the carboide phase cohenite ((Fe,Ni)3C) which occurs with kamacite interstitial to silicates. They depicted an example and made microprobe analyses across grains (Fig. 5).

CHEMISTRY

Chemical analyses are given in Table 1. Most of these were originally published with little specific comment, other than the general similarity with typical "Serenitatis" melt rocks. The little available rare earth element data are consistent with that similarity. The analyses demonstrate the similarity with common meteorite-contaminated melt rocks such as the Station 6 boulder and not with the aphanitic melt rocks, which have higher alumina and lower titania. Morgan et al. (1976) assign the sample to their Group 2, the "Serenitatis" group, on the basis of meteoritic siderophile ratios.
Figure 3: Photomicrographs of 73275. a,b) Same view with plane transmitted light (a) and crossed polarizers (b) of general clast-bearing micropoikilitic groundmass. Small clasts are obvious. Field of view about 2 mm wide. c) detail of ophitic, clast-poor area with lathy plagioclases. Crossed polarizers; field of view about 500 microns wide.
Figure 4: Microprobe analyses of pyroxenes and olivines in 73275, 60. Open symbols and dots are groundmass phases; closed symbols are clasts. Simonds et al. (1974).

Figure 5: Profiles across Fe-metal/cohenite grains in thin sections of 73275. Goldstein et al. (1976a).
Table 1: Chemical analyses of bulk samples of 73275.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>wt%</th>
<th>wt%</th>
<th>wt%</th>
<th>wt%</th>
<th>wt%</th>
<th>wt%</th>
<th>wt%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>46.16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>18.49</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr₂O₃</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₂O₃</td>
<td>9.05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>11.34</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>11.30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂O</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.269</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₂O₅</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sc</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rb</td>
<td>6.62</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>182</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr</td>
<td>171.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zr</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nb</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hf</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Th</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U</td>
<td>4.53</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>0.136</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>4.07</td>
<td>1.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cs</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.270</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ta</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pr</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sm</td>
<td>50.67</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eu</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tb</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Er</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yb</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lu</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bi</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>800</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Br</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ag</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sn</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sb</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Te</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Re</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Os</td>
<td>0.494</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hg</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bi</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
(a) Combined leach and residue.

References and methods:
(1) Rhodes et al. (1974a,b), Nyquist et al. (1974a), XRF, AA, IS/MS
(2) Reddige et al. (1974a,b); Gamma-ray spectroscopy
(3) Morgan et al. (1974a,b); INAA
(4) Gibson and Moore (1974a)
(5) Jovanovic and Reed (1974a,b,c, 1980a); INAA
(6) Oberoi et al. (1978); ED/MS
(7) Turner and Cadogan (1974a); from Ar-Ar irradiation
GEOCHRONOLOGY AND RADIOGENIC ISOTOPES

Turner and Cadogan (1974a, b) reported Ar-Ar release data. The data provide an extended plateau (Fig. 6) with a small high-temperature release. However, their is a fine structure in the plateau which is real and outside of experimental error. The apparent age is 3.90 +/-0.05 Ga (new decay constants; old decay constants 3.96 Ga), and the total Ar age is identical.

Nyquist et al. (1974a) provided Rb and Sr isotopic data that is similar to the other "noritic breccias" that they analyzed, falling on the same 3.96 Ga (new decay constants) line of unclear significance. The $^{87}\text{Rb}/^{86}\text{Sr} = 0.1112 +/- 0.0010$ and the $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr} = 0.70619 +/- 0.0005$. These data provide $T_{\text{Babi}}$ of 4.39 +/-0.07 Ga (new decay constants) and $T_{\text{Luni}}$ of 4.42 +/- 0.07 Ga. Oberli et al. (1978) provided corresponding isotopic ratios of 0.1598 and 0.70870 +/-5, and $T_{\text{Babi}}$ of 4.18 +/-0.03 Ga (new decay constants). As for sample 73235, the young Rb-Sr model ages imply that either crust formation occurred at these late model ages or that remelting of materials relatively rich in Rb/Sr took place then.

Oberli et al (1978) also provided Sm-Nd and U-Th-Pb isotopic data. The $^{147}\text{Sm}^{144}\text{Nd}$ of 0.1708 +/-0.0005 and the $^{143}\text{Nd}^{144}\text{Nd}$ of 0.511092 +/-0.0005 give $T_{\text{juv}}$ of 4.51 +/-0.02 Ga and $T_{\text{Chur}}$ of 4.91 +/- 0.15 Ga. As for sample 73235, these model ages are older than the Rb-Sr model ages, implying that the events that lead to Rb/Sr increases in the history of these samples were not accompanied by changes in the Sm/Nd ratio. The U-Th-Pb isotopic data are marginally concordant at 4.42 Ga. (Figure 7). However, the tangential relationship (again, like 73255) is poorly suited to discriminating a discordant array. The $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ age is 4.418 +/-2 Ga, the $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U}$ age is 4.404 +16/-17 Ga, and the $^{208}\text{Pb}/^{232}\text{U}$ age is 4.379 +/-41 Ga.

RARE GASES AND EXPOSURE

It is apparent that 73275 had a multi-stage exposure history. Turner and Cadogan (1974a) derived a nominal exposure age of 160 Ma from $^{38}\text{Ar}^{39}\text{Ar}$ data (Figure 5). Croaz et al. (1974a, b) reported Kr isotopic data and
spallation spectra. They derived an exposure age of 139 +/-5 Ma. This Kr data and also xenon isotopic data was also discussed by Arvidson et al. (1976). The relatively high $^{31}{\text{Xe}}/^{126}{\text{Xe}}_e$ of 5.7 and the fact that the $^{80}{\text{Kr}}_e/^{83}{\text{Kr}}_e$ and $^{82}{\text{Kr}}_e/^{83}{\text{Kr}}_e$ are the highest among the samples they analysed indicates substantial shielding and is inconsistent with a simple surface history. They suggest that the Kr age of 139 Ma overestimates the true surface residence time.

Crozaz et al. (1974a, b) also reported nuclear track data for 73275, giving 4.7 +/-1 Ma for a single point determination at 2.9 +/-0.4 cm depth, which provides a maximum surface exposure. They suggest (using soil radiation data also) that the age of Ballet Crater is 5 to 20 Ma. Goswami and Lal (1974) also studied track densities, giving a "sun tan" age of about 8 Ma. The "sun tan" age suggests frequent chipping of the rock. The flattening of the track profiles at depths greater than 1 cm clearly show a multiple exposure history. No pre-irradiated components were found among feldspar clasts.

Yokoyama et al. (1974) found the sample to be saturated in $^{22}{\text{Na}}$ and $^{26}{\text{Al}}$, the latter requiring exposure of at least 2 or 3 Ma.

**PHYSICAL PROPERTIES**

Huffman et al. (1974a) and Huffman and Dunphyre (1975) used Mossbauer and magnetic methods to understand the state of iron in various phases of 73275. 96.1% of the total Fe is in silicates (66.3% in pyroxenes, 29.8% 9 in olivines), 3.2% in ilmenite, 0.7% in FeS, and 0.735% as FeO. The total Fe$^{2+}$ is 8.5%. They were conducting low-temperature Mossbauer studies of superparamagnetic clustering of Fe$^{2+}$ spin in lunar olivines.

Nagata et al. (1974a, b, 1975a) tabulated some magnetic data for 73275,15 (Table 2) in a study that was partly meant to elucidate the origin of lunar iron. Housley et al. (1976) found no FMR intensity (unlike soils) in their magnetic study of 73275,25.

**PROCESSING**

A sawn slab (3) was produced in 1973, with exterior and interior pieces. Several chips were taken from exterior areas for exposure and other studies (Fig. 2). Two of these (4, and 6) were made into potted butts for thin sections. Some subdivisions and allocations were made from end piece 2, which is now 26 g. Further chipping from the subsamples was conducted in 1975. The large end piece ,1 is now 274 g, and the largest remaining slab piece (3) is 69 g. The three large pieces account for all but about 60 g of the original sample.

Table 2: Magnetic data for 73275,25. Nagata et al. (1974a).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>73275-15</th>
<th>Unit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$I_o$</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>$\times 10^{-2}$ emu/g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$H_{\parallel}$</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>$\times 10^{-2}$ emu/g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$H_{\perp}$</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>Oe · rms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$h$</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Oersteds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$H_0$</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Oe · rms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta I/ I$</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Stability of NRM (3)
INTRODUCTION

73285 is a friable polymict breccia that is dusty and partly coated with dark vesicular glass (Figure 1). It was picked from the regolith sample 73280 taken from a trench on the rim of a 10 m crater at Station 3. The sample is medium light gray (N6) and barely coherent. It is of very irregular shape with approximate dimensions of 1 x 1 x 2.5 cm. The glass is not uniformly distributed, being more common on one highly fragmented end of the sample, where it partly veins the breccia. The glass has a smooth surface. The breccia is fine-grained (mainly less than 0.1 mm) and consists of white plagioclase and somewhat less abundant pale gray and yellow mafic minerals. Anorthositic clasts, possibly granulites, up to a few millimeters across are present. There are a few zap pits on one end, and cavities in the shattered part. No subdivisions of 73285 have been made.

Figure 1: Photograph of 73285, showing dark glass and paler gray breccia. Scale divisions 1 cm S-73-19445.
74115
Friable Regolith Breccia
LRV-5, 15.4 g

INTRODUCTION

74115 is a rounded, very friable sample of regolith breccia, collected from the light mantle 700 m northwest of Station 3. It is a light gray polymict breccia. The sample is the largest of 5 similar pieces picked from regolith sample 74110 that was collected as light mantle material. LRV-5 is on the ejecta blanket of a 15-m crater and 74115 probably represents ejecta, possibly lithified by the impact. The fragments were observed during preliminary examination to contain about 10% white clasts and a trace of dark clasts in a light gray matrix. All the samples are rounded and shed fine-grained material.

PETROLOGY

74115 has a fine-grained matrix consisting of angular mineral and glass fragments (Fig. 1). Some of the larger clasts are lithic fragments including very dark glassy breccias, granulites, feldspathic breccias and feldspathic impact melts, as well as some high-Ti mare basalts. Orange glass balls and shards are conspicuous.

PROCESSING AND SUBDIVISIONS

Little was originally done with the 5 samples (74115-74119) following their original separation from the regolith sample because they were so friable. 74115 was partly subdivided in 1984 to produce subchip,1 (then 0.91g) and some smaller pieces,1 was made into a potted butt and partly used for four thin sections. Other splits were allocated for analyses but no data has been reported.

Figure 1: Photomicrograph of thin section 74115,8, showing dense matrix and mineral clasts. At top is a dense glassy breccia clast; center is a granulite. Plane polarized light. Field of view about 2 mm wide.
INTRODUCTION

74116 is a rounded, very friable sample of regolith breccia, collected from the light mantle 700 m northwest of Station 3. It is a light gray polymict breccia. The sample is the second largest of 5 similar pieces picked from regolith sample 74110 that was collected as light mantle material. LRV-5 is on the ejecta blanket of a 15-m crater and 74116 probably represents ejecta, possibly lithified by the impact. The fragments were observed during preliminary examination to contain about 10% white clasts and a trace of dark clasts in a light gray matrix. All the samples are rounded and shed fine-grained material. No subdivisions of 74116 have been made.
INTRODUCTION

74117 is a rounded, very friable sample of regolith breccia, collected from the light mantle 700 m northwest of Station 3. It is a light gray polymict breccia. The sample is one of the smallest of 5 similar pieces picked from regolith sample 74110 that was collected as light mantle material. LRV-5 is on the ejecta blanket of a 15-m crater and 74117 probably represents ejecta, possibly lithified by the impact. The fragments were observed during preliminary examination to contain about 10% white clasts and a trace of dark clasts in a light gray matrix. All the samples are rounded and shed fine-grained material. No subdivisions of 74117 have been made.
INTRODUCTION

74118 is a rounded, very friable sample of regolith breccia, collected from the light mantle 700 m northwest of Station 3. It is a light gray polymict breccia. The sample is among the smallest of 5 similar pieces picked from regolith sample 74110 that was collected as light mantle material. LRV-5 is on the ejecta blanket of a 15-m crater and 74118 probably represents ejecta, possibly lithified by the impact. The fragments were observed during preliminary examination to contain about 10% white clasts and a trace of dark clasts in a light gray matrix. All the samples are rounded and shed fine-grained material. No subdivisions of 74118 have been made.
**Introduction**

74119 is a rounded, very friable sample of regolith breccia, collected from the light mantle 700 m northwest of Station 3. It is a light gray polymict breccia. The sample is the smallest of 5 similar pieces picked from regolith sample 74110 that was collected as light mantle material. LRV-5 is on the ejecta blanket of a 15-m crater and 74119 probably represents ejecta, possibly lithified by the impact. The fragments were observed during preliminary examination to contain about 10% white clasts and a trace of dark clasts in a light gray matrix. All the samples are rounded and shed fine-grained material. No subdivisions of 74119 have been made.
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