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$\Omega$ normalization volume
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1. Introduction

The radiation dose received from high energy galactic cosmic rays (GCR) is a limiting factor in the design of long duration space flights and the building of lunar and martians habitats. It is of vital importance to have an accurate understanding of the interactions of GCR in order to assess the radiation environment that astronauts will be exposed to.

Most previous studies have concentrated on strong interaction processes in GCR. However there are also very large effects due to electromagnetic (EM) interactions. EM studies have previously concentrated on single photon exchange leading to nucleon removal. However two-photon processes also occur which lead to the production of lepton pairs with cross sections of the order of kilobarns. Also at high energy the stopping powers from these processes can exceed that due to atomic collisions. Thus even though very high energy GCR are not as abundant as lower energy GCR they still must be considered due to the fact that the cross sections and stopping powers are so much larger than normal.

In this report we describe our first efforts at understanding these EM production processes due to two-photon collisions. More specifically, we shall consider particle production processes in relativistic heavy ion collisions through two-photon exchange. Examples of this broad category of processes include:

\begin{align}
Z_1 Z_2 &\rightarrow Z_1 Z_2 l^+ l^- & (1.1a) \\
Z_1 Z_2 &\rightarrow Z_1 Z_2 s^+ s^- & (1.1b) \\
Z_1 Z_2 &\rightarrow Z_1 Z_2 V^+ V^- & (1.1c) \\
Z_1 Z_2 &\rightarrow Z_1 Z_2 H^0 & (1.1d) 
\end{align}

in which \( l^+ l^- \) denote charged leptons, \( s^+ s^- \) denote charged scalars, \( V^+ V^- \) denote charged vector particles, and \( H^0 \) is a neutral Higgs scalar.

We shall limit our consideration to cases in which the colliding nuclei are identical, so that \( Z_1 = Z_2 = Z \). An important Feynman diagram that contributes to (1.1a), (1.1b), and (1.1c) is shown in the following figure (fig. 1).
For process (1.1d), an important diagram is shown in figure 2, in which the triangular loop receives contributions from quarks, leptons and $W$ gauge bosons.

These processes are important for the following reasons (ref. 1).

1. These kinds of processes become increasingly important as the energy of the colliding nuclei increases, since their cross sections increase with energy. Thus their contributions to the stopping power of high energy ions also become more important at high energies.

2. These processes can be channels for production of charged particles, e.g., $l^+l^-$, $W^+W^-$, and neutral particles such as Higgs bosons, and various mesons.

3. For high $Z$ nuclei these processes can be used for studying non-perturbative effects in the electromagnetic interaction.

4. They must be taken into account in the study of strong interaction effects in heavy ion collisions since they can lead to important background events, and must be taken into account also in the design of experimental set up, since they can lead to significant beam loss.

Section 2 of this report gives a brief survey of a few major approaches used in the calculations for these processes. Section 3 examines some results of our calculations. We then point out briefly some open questions and make a few concluding remarks in Section 4.

The purpose of this report is threefold. (1) It gives a simple, elementary introduction to this field. (2) It provides sample calculations for illustrating the approach we use. (3) The background and techniques developed here can be used as a general base for launching further and more specialized studies into this field.

While it is not our main goal here to obtain new and original results, some of our results are possibly new, and are as yet not available in the literature.

2. A Brief Survey of Different Approaches

In this section, we briefly list a few major approaches used in calculating cross sections for the kind of processes we are interested in. The first approach has been discussed in
references 2 and 3. In this approach, each colliding nucleus is replaced by an equivalent spectrum of photons. Each nucleus is considered to move in a straight line, unperturbed by the interaction. At a distance $b$ from the line of motion of a nucleus, a spectrum of photons is generated, whose frequency distribution has the form:

$$N(\omega, b) = \frac{Z^2 \alpha}{\pi^2} \left( \frac{\omega}{\gamma u} \right)^2 \left( \frac{c}{u} \right)^2 \left[ K_1^2(x) + \frac{1}{\gamma^2} K_0^2(x) \right]$$

(2.1a)

where

$$x \equiv \frac{\omega b}{\gamma u}$$

(2.1b)

$K_0, K_1$ are modified Bessel functions, see reference 4, Sections 3.7 and 15.4.

The cross section for this process can be written as an integral of a photon distribution function multiplied by a photon-photon cross section.

$$\sigma = \int \frac{d\omega_1}{\omega_1} \int \frac{d\omega_2}{\omega_2} F(\omega_1, \omega_2) \sigma_{\gamma\gamma}(\omega_1, \omega_2),$$

(2.3a)

where

$$F(\omega_1, \omega_2) = 2\pi \int_{b_{\text{min}}}^{\infty} b_1 db_1 \int_{b_{\text{min}}}^{\infty} b_2 db_2 \int_{0}^{2\pi} d\phi N(\omega_1, b_1)$$

$$\times N(\omega_2, b_2) \theta(b' - R_1 - R_2)$$

(2.2b)

and

$$b' = \left( b_1^2 + b_2^2 - 2b_1 b_2 \cos \phi \right)^{1/2}$$

(2.2c)

where $\omega_1$ and $\omega_2$ are the frequencies of the photons emitted by the nuclei, $b_1$ and $b_2$ are the distances of the nuclei from the point where the photons collide. Details can be found in Appendix A. Various differential cross sections can be derived from these equations. First we consider $\frac{d\sigma}{dW^2}$ where $W$ is the mass of the produced charged particle pair. We note that $W^2 = 4\omega_1 \omega_2$. Hence we can equate in (2.2a)

$$\sigma = \int \frac{d\omega_1}{\omega_1} \int \frac{dW^2}{W^2} F \left( \omega_1, \frac{W^2}{4\omega_1} \right) \sigma_{\gamma\gamma} \left( \omega_1, \frac{W^2}{4\omega_1} \right),$$

(2.3a)
and
\[ \frac{d\sigma}{dW^2} = \frac{1}{W^2} \int \frac{d\omega_1}{\omega_1} F\left(\omega_1, \frac{W^2}{4\omega_1}\right) \sigma_{\gamma\gamma}\left(\omega_1, \frac{W^2}{4\omega_1}\right). \] (2.3b)

Next we define the probability for producing a particle pair \( P(b) \) at impact parameter \( b \) by
\[ P(b) \equiv \frac{1}{2\pi b} \frac{d\sigma}{db} \] (2.4a)
where
\[ \frac{d\sigma}{db} = \int \frac{d\omega_1}{\omega_1} \int \frac{d\omega_2}{\omega_2} F(\omega_1, \omega_2) \sigma_{\gamma\gamma}(\omega_1, \omega_2) \delta(b - b'), \] (2.4b)
in which it is understood that the \( \delta \) function is to be taken inside the triple integral which defines \( F(\omega_1, \omega_2) \). The correctness of (2.4) can be checked by integrating both sides of (2.4b) over all values of the impact parameter \( b \), which then yields (2.3a) for the total cross section. \( P(b) \) is the probability for the events in which two nuclei collide with each other at impact parameter \( b \), producing a charged particle pair in the process. A quantity \( L \), known as the two-photon luminosity function is defined by (see ref. 2, eqs. (1), (9), and (10))
\[ L = \int \frac{d\omega_1}{\omega_1} \int \frac{dW^2}{W^2} F\left(\omega_1, \frac{W^2}{4\omega_1}\right). \] (2.5a)
So
\[ \frac{dL}{dW^2} = \frac{1}{W^2} \int \frac{d\omega_1}{\omega_1} F\left(\omega_1, \frac{W^2}{4\omega_1}\right), \] (2.5b)
and
\[ \frac{d\sigma}{dW^2} = \frac{dL}{dW^2} \sigma_{\gamma\gamma}(W^2), \] (2.5c)
where we have used the fact that \( \sigma_{\gamma\gamma}(\omega_1, \omega_2) \) actually depends only on \( W^2 \) so that we can write
\[ \sigma_{\gamma\gamma}(\omega_1, \omega_2) = \sigma_{\gamma\gamma}(W^2). \] (2.6)
It is our view that equation (10) of reference 2 is in error, and have duly corrected the error in the above definition of the luminosity function \( L \). For the stopping power calculation, we use the formula
\[ -\frac{dE}{dx} = \rho \int \frac{d\omega_1}{\omega_1} \int \frac{d\omega_2}{\omega_2} (\omega_1 + \omega_2) F(\omega_1, \omega_2) \sigma_{\gamma\gamma}(\omega_1, \omega_2), \] (2.7)
where \( p \) is the number of nuclei per unit volume.

The second type of approach has been applied to a related set of purely quantum electrodynamic (QED) processes: \( e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- l^+ l^- \). This process can be calculated within the framework of QED. Cross sections can be obtained numerically by Monte-Carlo integration. Approximate formulas for total cross sections have also been obtained. See references 5 and 6. This kind of approach can be modified to apply to relativistic nucleus-nucleus collisions, provided one takes into account properly the effects of nuclear currents. See reference 7, Section II.

In an approach closely related to this second type of approach, Bottcher and Strayer treated the colliding nuclei classically, by regarding them as classical charge distributions. The remaining amplitude for the production of charged particle pair is then obtained in the framework of QED. Thus for the case of the reaction \( Z_1 Z_2 \rightarrow Z_1 Z_2 l^+ l^- \) the total cross section can be written in the form (ref. 8, eq. (10), p. 38):

\[
\sigma = \frac{Z_1^2 Z_2^2}{4v^2} \left(4\pi \alpha\right)^4 \int \frac{d^3 p_- d^3 p_+ d^2 k_{1\perp}}{(2\pi)^8 2p_0 2p_0} f_1^2 \left(k_1^2\right) f_2^2 \left(k_2^2\right) \\
\times \sum_{s_-, s_+} \left| \bar{u}(p_-, s_-) \left[ \frac{1}{p_- - k_1 - m_1} f_1 \right. \right. \\
+ \left. \left. \frac{1}{p_- - k_2 - m_1} f_2 \right] v(p_+, s_+) \right|^2,
\] 

(2.8)

where \( v \) denotes the velocity of one of the nuclei in the center of momentum frame, \( p_- \) and \( p_+ \) are the momenta of the produced leptons, \( s_- \) and \( s_+ \) are their polarizations, \( \bar{u}(p_-, s_-) \) and \( v(p_+, s_+) \) are the lepton spinors, \( k_1 \) and \( k_2 \) are the momenta of the exchanged photons, and \( f_1 \) and \( f_2 \) are the nuclear form factors. For any 4-vector \( A \), the slash notation \( A \) is defined by

\[
\mathcal{A} = \sum_{\mu=0}^3 A_\mu \gamma^\mu,
\] 

(2.9)

where \( \gamma^\mu \) are the Dirac \( \gamma \)-matrices. See for instance reference 9, Appendix 2, pages 355–361.
3. Results

In this report, we adopt the approach discussed in references 2 and 3. As samples of our calculations, we present a number of results for the process $^{208}Pb^{208}Pb \rightarrow ^{208}Pb^{208}Pb l^+l^-$, and some others. Most of our calculations are done for colliding beam energies of 3400 Gev and 8000 Gev per nucleon. The impact parameter $b$ varies over the range from 10 fm to 1000 fm. The mass of $l^+l^-$ varies from a threshold equal to $2m_l$ up to about 1000 Gev. In Appendix B we list the photon-photon cross sections for the following processes:

$$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow l^+l^-$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.1a)

$$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow s^+s^-$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.1b)

$$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow V^+V^-$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.1c)

$$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow H^0$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.1d)

The derivations of some of these cross sections are also given there. By using (2.2)-(2.6), we can then obtain various luminosity functions, differential and total cross sections, probabilities, and stopping powers.

Table 1 shows the total cross section for $ZZ \rightarrow ZZ\gamma\gamma$. We compare our numerical results based on (2.1) and (2.2), with the results based on the Racah formula (ref. 5, eq. (F.1), p. 276)

$$\sigma = \frac{28(Z_1Z_2\alpha^2)^2}{27\pi m_e^2}(l^3 - Al^2 + Bl + C)$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.2)

where

$$A \approx 6.36, \quad B \approx 15.7, \quad C \approx -13.8,$$

$$l = \ln \left( \frac{2p_1 \cdot p_2}{m_1 m_2} \right) = \ln (2\gamma_p).$$

$Z_i, p_i,$ and $m_i, i = 1, 2$ are the charges, momenta, and masses of the colliding nuclei.
Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Colliding nuclei $Z$</th>
<th>Incident energy/nucleon $E$ (GeV)</th>
<th>Total cross section (kilobarn)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Our results</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Calculated from formula</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-16</td>
<td>3400.0</td>
<td>0.2241 x 10^{-1}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8000.0</td>
<td>0.3052 x 10^{-1}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.2216 x 10^{-1}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.3020 x 10^{-1}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al-27</td>
<td>3400.0</td>
<td>0.1563</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8000.0</td>
<td>0.2128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.1545</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.2106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe-56</td>
<td>3400.0</td>
<td>0.2500 x 10^{1}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8000.0</td>
<td>0.3404 x 10^{1}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.2473 x 10^{1}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.3370 x 10^{1}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb-208</td>
<td>3400.0</td>
<td>0.2473 x 10^{3}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8000.0</td>
<td>0.3366 x 10^{3}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.2445 x 10^{3}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.3333 x 10^{3}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 shows the corresponding stopping power calculations. The energies of the incident particles are given for both the case of colliding beams and also the case of an incident beam colliding with a fixed target.

Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Incident energy/nucleon $E$ (Gev, colliding beams)</th>
<th>Incident energy/nucleon $E$ (Gev, fixed target)</th>
<th>$\frac{1}{\rho} \times (-\frac{dE}{dx})$ (Gev fm$^2$)</th>
<th>$(-\frac{dE}{dx})$ (Mev/cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.9636</td>
<td>1.039</td>
<td>0.2129 x 10^{-2}</td>
<td>7.02 x 10^{-4}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1367 x 10^{1}</td>
<td>3.036</td>
<td>0.4585 x 10^{2}</td>
<td>1.51 x 10^{1}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2704 x 10^{1}</td>
<td>14.64</td>
<td>0.6909 x 10^{3}</td>
<td>2.28 x 10^{2}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3400.0</td>
<td>0.2462 x 10^{8}</td>
<td>0.2032 x 10^{11}</td>
<td>6.70 x 10^{9}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8000.0</td>
<td>0.1363 x 10^{9}</td>
<td>0.1182 x 10^{12}</td>
<td>3.90 x 10^{10}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For Pb-208, $\rho \approx 3.30 \times 10^{22}$ cm$^{-3}$
Note that the stopping power for $e^+e^-$ production increases with energy. So as the energy of the colliding nuclei increases, the contribution of this process to stopping power also becomes more important. In contrast, the contribution to stopping power from atomic collision and other processes first increases with energy, and then decreases. Hence, as energy increases, eventually these other contributions become less important. To put our results into perspective, we note that for Fe-56 at a kinetic energy of 1 Gev, its stopping power in water due to atomic collision is around $10^4$ Mev/cm, see reference 10, figure 2.15, p. 74.

In figure 3, we give plots of $W^2 \frac{dL}{dW^2}$ as a function of $W$ in different ranges of $W$. The differential cross section $\frac{d\sigma}{dW^2}$ can be obtained from $\frac{dL}{dW^2}$ by multiplying $\frac{dL}{dW^2}$ by a $\gamma\gamma$ cross section as in (2.5c).

Figures 4a–d show plots of $\sigma_{\gamma\gamma}(W^2)$ for the reactions $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow l^+l^-$, $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow s^+s^-$, $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow V^+V^-$, and $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow H^0$.

Figure 5 shows plots of $P(b)$ for the reaction $^{208}Pb^{208}Pb \rightarrow ^{208}Pb^{208}Pb e^+e^-$ at different energies.

Figure 6 presents plots of the total cross section for the process $^{208}Pb^{208}Pb \rightarrow ^{208}Pb^{208}Pb H^0$.

We have compared some of our results with the published results of Papageorgi and Baur, and found good agreement. In the following, we give a sample of such comparisons.
Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Incident energy/nucleon (colliding beams)</th>
<th>$W$ (Gev)</th>
<th>$W/\sqrt{s}$</th>
<th>Our result</th>
<th>Papageorgiu's result</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$E = 3400.0$ Gev</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>$0.7070 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>$0.3152 \times 10^{3}$</td>
<td>$0.33 \times 10^{3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>141.4</td>
<td>$0.1000 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>$0.8630 \times 10^{2}$</td>
<td>$0.90 \times 10^{2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>212.2</td>
<td>$0.1500 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>$0.1206 \times 10^{2}$</td>
<td>$0.13 \times 10^{2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>282.9</td>
<td>$0.2000 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>$0.1990 \times 10^{1}$</td>
<td>$0.21 \times 10^{1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E = 8000.0$ Gev</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>$0.5709 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>$0.6129 \times 10^{3}$</td>
<td>$0.70 \times 10^{3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>280.0</td>
<td>$0.8413 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>$0.1708 \times 10^{3}$</td>
<td>$0.20 \times 10^{3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>370.0</td>
<td>$0.1112 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>$0.5444 \times 10^{2}$</td>
<td>$0.60 \times 10^{2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>460.0</td>
<td>$0.1382 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>$0.1881 \times 10^{2}$</td>
<td>$0.20 \times 10^{2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>550.0</td>
<td>$0.1653 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>$0.6866 \times 10^{1}$</td>
<td>$0.70 \times 10^{1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>640.0</td>
<td>$0.1923 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>$0.2606 \times 10^{1}$</td>
<td>$0.28 \times 10^{1}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>W(Gev)</th>
<th>( \frac{d\sigma}{dW_T} ) (fm(^2) Gev(^{-2}))</th>
<th>Our result</th>
<th>Baur's result</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.1200 \times 10^{-2}</td>
<td>0.6188 \times 10^{13}</td>
<td>0.62 \times 10^{13}</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1414 \times 10^{-2}</td>
<td>0.4923 \times 10^{13}</td>
<td>0.48 \times 10^{13}</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1732 \times 10^{-2}</td>
<td>0.2777 \times 10^{13}</td>
<td>0.28 \times 10^{13}</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2000 \times 10^{-2}</td>
<td>0.1714 \times 10^{13}</td>
<td>0.17 \times 10^{13}</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2200 \times 10^{-2}</td>
<td>0.1222 \times 10^{13}</td>
<td>0.12 \times 10^{13}</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2400 \times 10^{-2}</td>
<td>0.8894 \times 10^{12}</td>
<td>0.92 \times 10^{12}</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2600 \times 10^{-2}</td>
<td>0.6607 \times 10^{12}</td>
<td>0.69 \times 10^{12}</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3000 \times 10^{-2}</td>
<td>0.3846 \times 10^{12}</td>
<td>0.40 \times 10^{12}</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Papageorgiu and Baur's results were taken from appropriate graphs in their papers (ref. 2, fig. 3; and ref. 3, fig. 9).

Cross sections are expected to scale roughly as \( Z_1^2 Z_2^2 \). For our case \( Z_1 = Z_2 = Z \). So in order to obtain the corresponding cross sections, luminosity function, or stopping power for different nuclei, one can simply multiply the results we have here by a factor \( \frac{Z_1^2 Z_2^2}{(Z=82)^4} \). Thus if one wants the results for Al Fe collision, one can multiply the results presented in this section by the conversion factor \( 13256^2 \). The different nuclear sizes are expected to affect the results also. However for a rough order of magnitude estimate, such a simple scaling is expected to be reasonably accurate.
4. Open Questions and Conclusions

For small values of $b$, and $m_l$, such as $m_l = m_e$, $P(b)$ exceeds 1. This signifies the breakdown of perturbation theory. The question as to how to extract meaningful results from theory is under active investigation. See reference 11. In our simple approach, we have regarded the nuclei as point charges. By using form factors for the nuclei, the problem of violation of unitarity is expected to be somewhat ameliorated. However this problem still needs to be addressed, because for high $Z$ nuclei, the coupling constant for the electromagnetic interaction is of the order $Ze$, even with nuclear form factor taken into account, which may therefore still lead to a breakdown of the perturbative approach to cross-section calculation. In a collaboration with Mirek Fatyga of Brookhaven National Laboratory (BNL), we shall investigate lepton pair production and neutral meson production (such as $\epsilon^0$, $\eta^0$) in high energy heavy ion collisions. In these processes, we shall look for possible deviation in the measured rates or cross sections from values calculated by perturbation theory.

In many studies of the type of processes considered here, various approximations are used. We have mentioned the equivalent photon approximation, and the semi-classical approximation. Also, in the approach of references 2 and 3, which we have adopted in this report, the effect due to phase coherence of the electromagnetic field generated by the nuclei has not been properly taken into account. One needs to investigate how valid these approximations are and what the regions of validity are for them.

When one is primarily interested in the kind of electromagnetic processes discussed here, one needs to be able to estimate reliably the background due to strong interaction. Furthermore, there are other electromagnetic processes that also need to be studied, in addition to the ones we have looked at, even though the ones we have considered are among the most important.

In summary, we have given a brief introduction to two-photon exchange processes in high energy heavy ion collisions. Our calculations are based on an approach discussed in
references 2 and 3. In view of the significance of this class of processes, and the many open questions that remain to be answered, we believe that further study in these areas will be valuable, not only for gaining a better understanding into these processes themselves, but also for studies and experiments in strong interaction physics.

In the following Appendices, we discuss the derivation of some of the formulas we have used. We look at the equivalent photon approximation in Appendix A and show how this is applied to the two-photon exchange processes in relativistic nucleus-nucleus collisions. Then in Appendix B, derivations are given for some $\gamma\gamma$ cross sections. Appendix C provides a derivation of the fermion contribution to the process $H^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$. In Appendix D, we look at the details of how certain integrals encountered in our calculations are evaluated. Finally Appendix E gives a simple derivation of the formula (2.7) used for calculating stopping power.
Appendix A. Equivalent Photon Approximation

Consider a charge $q$ moving along the $x$-axis. The effect of this charge on another charge located a distance $b$ from the $x$-axis can be approximately calculated as follows.

By first considering the electromagnetic (EM) field due to $q$ in its own rest frame, and then making a Lorentz transformation to the laboratory frame, it is straightforward to show that the electromagnetic field due to $q$ is given by

$$E_1 = -q v\gamma t (b^2 + \gamma^2 v^2 t^2)^{-3/2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (A.1a)

$$E_2 = q b \gamma (b^2 + \gamma^2 v^2 t^2)^{-3/2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (A.1b)

$$B_3 = \frac{v}{c} E_2 = q \frac{v}{c} b \gamma (b^2 + \gamma^2 v^2 t^2)^{-3/2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (A.1c)

$$E_3 = B_1 = B_2 = 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (A.1d)

$t = 0$ corresponds to the instant when $q$ passes through the origin. When $v \approx c$, the components $E_2$ and $B_3$ can be thought of as the components of a pulse of plane-polarized EM wave travelling along $x$. The energy flux of this EM field is given by the Poynting vector

$$\vec{S} = \frac{c}{4\pi} \vec{E} \times \vec{B}. \hspace{1cm} (A.2)$$

So ignoring $E_1$ for the moment, $\vec{S}$ points along $x$, and its magnitude is

$$|\vec{S}| = \frac{c}{4\pi} E_2^2,$$  \hspace{1cm} (A.3)

in which we have made the approximation $\frac{v}{c} \approx 1$. Over a unit area, the flow of energy is

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\vec{S}| \, dt = \frac{c}{4\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} E_2^2(t) \, dt. \hspace{1cm} (A.4a)$$

Using Parseval's theorem, we therefore have

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\vec{S}| \, dt = \frac{c}{4\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\hat{E}_2(\omega)|^2 \, d\omega, \hspace{1cm} (A.4b)$$

where $\hat{E}_2$ is the Fourier transform (FT) of $E_2$, defined by

$$\hat{f}(\omega) \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t) \, e^{-i\omega t} \, dt \hspace{1cm} (A.5)$$
Hence the quantity $\hat{S}_2(\omega)$, defined by

$$\hat{S}_2(\omega) = \frac{c}{4\pi} |\hat{E}_2(\omega)|^2,$$

(A.6)

can be thought of as the energy per unit frequency per unit area of the EM field at frequency $\omega$ generated by the moving charge $q$. To obtain the photon number per unit frequency per unit area at frequency $\omega$, we set $n_2(\omega) = \frac{1}{\hbar\omega} \hat{S}_2(\omega)$, since each photon has energy $\hbar\omega$. For the function $n_2(\omega)$, the dependence on the distance $b$ is implicit. To make the dependence on $b$ explicit, we can write instead

$$n_2(\omega, b) = \frac{1}{\hbar\omega} \hat{S}_2(\omega).$$

(A.7)

From (A.1b), we obtain

$$\hat{E}_2(\omega) = \frac{q}{bv} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi \gamma \nu}} K_1 \left( \frac{\omega b}{\gamma \nu} \right)$$

(A.8)

Hence

$$\hat{S}_2(\omega) = \frac{c}{4\pi \nu v^2} \left( \frac{q}{b} \right)^2 \left( \frac{\omega b}{\gamma \nu} \right)^2 K_1^2 \left( \frac{\omega b}{\gamma \nu} \right)$$

(A.9)

The remaining component $E_1$, of the EM field can be complemented by a magnetic field so that they can be considered to form a pulse of plane polarized EM wave. The same treatment can be applied to these components, so that the energy spectrum can be similarly obtained as before. The result is

$$\hat{S}_1(\omega) = \frac{c}{4\pi} |\hat{E}_1(\omega)|^2 = \frac{c}{4\pi \gamma^2 v^2} \left( \frac{q}{b} \right)^2 \frac{2}{\pi} \left( \frac{\omega b}{\gamma \nu} \right)^2$$

$$\times K_2^2 \left( \frac{\omega b}{\gamma \nu} \right)$$

(A.10)

The effect of this pulse is roughly $\frac{1}{\gamma^2}$ that of the first pulse. So at high velocity, the second pulse can be neglected when compared with the first pulse.

In conventional treatment, the two pulses are simply added together, so that the effect due to the original moving charge $q$ is replaced by a spectrum of photons whose number density is simply the sum of the number densities from the two pulses discussed above. Thus
one set
\[ n(\omega, b) = \frac{1}{\hbar \omega} \left[ \hat{S}_2(\omega) + \hat{S}_1(\omega) \right] = \frac{1}{\hbar \omega} \frac{c}{4\pi v^2} \left( \frac{q}{b} \right)^2 \frac{2}{\pi} \left( \frac{\omega b}{\gamma v} \right)^2 \]
\[ \times \left[ K_1^2 \left( \frac{\omega b}{\gamma v} \right) + \frac{1}{\gamma^2} K_2^2 \left( \frac{\omega b}{\gamma v} \right) \right] \]
(A.11)

After identifying \( q = Z e, \frac{q^2}{\hbar c} = \alpha \), and noting
\[ \hat{S}_i(-\omega) = \hat{S}_i(\omega) \quad \text{for} \quad i = 1, 2, \]
(A.12)
the photon energy spectrum
\[ N(\omega, b) = \hat{S}_1(\omega) + \hat{S}_2(\omega) + \hat{S}_1(-\omega) + \hat{S}_2(-\omega) \]
\[ = \frac{Z^2 e^2}{\pi^2} \frac{1}{c} \left( \frac{c}{v} \right)^2 \left( \frac{\omega}{\gamma v} \right)^2 \left[ K_1^2 \left( \frac{\omega b}{\gamma v} \right) + \frac{1}{\gamma^2} K_2^2 \left( \frac{\omega b}{\gamma v} \right) \right] \]
\[ = h \frac{Z^2 e^2}{\pi^2} \left( \frac{c}{v} \right)^2 \left( \frac{\omega}{\gamma v} \right)^2 \left[ K_1^2 \left( \frac{\omega b}{\gamma v} \right) + \frac{1}{\gamma^2} K_2^2 \left( \frac{\omega b}{\gamma v} \right) \right] \]
(A.13)

**Application of the Equivalent Photon Approximation to Two-Photon Exchange Processes**

When two nuclei \( Z_1 \) and \( Z_2 \) collide with each other, their EM interactions can be studied in terms of the EM interaction of the spectra of photons emitted by the nuclei. The situation can be pictured as in figure A.2.

The two photons \( \gamma_1 \) and \( \gamma_2 \) are considered as colliding head-on with each other. Taking a cross-sectional view perpendicular to the direction of motion of the nuclei, the situation can be pictured as shown in figure A.3.

From our previous discussion, the number of photons emitted by \( Z_1 \) at \( P \), whose frequencies are between \( \omega_1 \) and \( \omega_1 + d\omega_1 \), is \( n(\omega_1, b_1)d\omega_1 \). Similarly, the number of photons incident at \( P \) emitted by \( Z_2 \) is \( n(\omega_2, b_2)d\omega_2 b_2 \). Therefore the EM cross section for the collision of \( Z_1 \) and \( Z_2 \) through two-photon exchange can be written as

\[ \sigma = \int n(\omega_1, b_1) n(\omega_2, b_2) \sigma_{\gamma\gamma}(\omega_1, \omega_2) b_1 db_1 \phi_1 b_2 db_2 \phi_2 \]
\[ \times \theta(b - R_1 - R_2) d\omega_1 d\omega_2 \]
(A.14)
in which \( R_1 \) and \( R_2 \) stand for the nuclear radii of \( Z_1 \) and \( Z_2 \), and the \( \theta \)-function takes into account that when \( b < R_1 + R_2 \), the two nuclei overlap, and the EM interaction is swamped by the strong interaction of the nuclei, and so one needs to restrict \( b \) to values \( > R_1 + R_2 \) if one wants to look only at EM interactions.

Since \( b = (b_1^2 + b_2^2 - 2b_1 b_2 \cos \phi)^{1/2} \), the integration \( \int d\phi_1 \, d\phi_2 \) in (A.14) can be simplified if one integrates over \( \phi_1 \) and converts the integration over \( \phi_2 \) into an integration over \( \phi \):

\[
\int d\phi_1 \, d\phi_2 \rightarrow 2\pi \int d\phi
\]

(A.15)

So (A.14) can be rewritten as

\[
\sigma = 2\pi \int n(\omega_1, b_1) \, n(\omega_2, b_2) \, \sigma_{\gamma \gamma}(\omega_1, \omega_2) \theta(b - R_1 - R_2)
\]

\[
\times b_1 \, db_1 \, b_2 \, db_2 \, d\phi \, d\omega_1 \, d\omega_2.
\]

(A.16)

If one now substitutes for \( n(\omega, b) \), \( i = 1, 2 \), using (A.11), one obtains (2.2).

Concerning the cutoff for \( b_1 \) and \( b_2 \), we observe the following. (A.14) involves an approximation, which consists of replacing the virtual photons emitted by \( Z_1 \) and \( Z_2 \) with real photons \( \gamma_1 \) and \( \gamma_2 \). This approximation is valid only if the masses of the virtual photons \( \Lambda_1 \) and \( \Lambda_2 \) are small compared to the mass of the produced system \( W \). (See ref. 5, Sections 6.1 and 6.7). By the uncertainty relations, \( \Lambda_i \approx \frac{1}{b_i}, i = 1, 2 \). Hence in order for the approximation in (A.13) to be valid, we must have \( \Lambda_i < W \), or \( \frac{1}{b_i} \ll W \). Therefore,

\[
b_i \geq \frac{1}{W}
\]

(A.17)

If \( b_i \) does not satisfy (A.17), the contribution to the cross section is small, and is generally considered negligible. See reference 5, Sections 6.1 and 6.2, and reference 12, Sections 7, 7.1–7.3. Another consideration for the values of \( b_i \) is that since we are interested in the effects of each nucleus acting as a single entity rather than as a collection of nucleons acting independently of each other, i.e., we are interested in the coherent effects of the collection of nucleons, we need to restrict

\[
b_i > R_i.
\]

(A.18)
So for reactions in which the Compton wavelength of the produced system is smaller than the nuclear radii, i.e., \( \frac{2}{W} < R_i \), we can set the minimum of \( b_i \) by

\[
b_{\text{imin}} = R_i.
\]  

(A.19)

This is the case for \( \mu^+\mu^- \) and \( \tau^+\tau^- \) pair function. But for \( e^+e^- \) pair production, the Compton wavelength of an electron \( \frac{1}{m_e} \) is \( > R_i \). So we set the minimum of \( b_i \) by

\[
b_{\text{imin}} = \frac{2}{W}.
\]  

(A.20)

Hence in general we set

\[
b_{\text{imin}} = \max \left\{ R_i, \frac{2}{W} \right\}
\]

Note that when \( W = 2m_e \) in (A.20), \( b_{\text{imin}} = \frac{1}{m_e} \), which is the cut off generally accepted in the literature for \( e^+e^- \) pair production (see e.g., reference 3, Section 3). With \( b_{\text{imin}} \) defined by (A.19) and (A.20), our calculations for \( e^+e^- \) pair production show good agreement with the results from the approximate formula (3.2), as is shown in Table 1.
Appendix B

First we list the cross sections for the processes in (3.1): $\gamma \gamma \rightarrow l^+l^-$, $\gamma \gamma \rightarrow s^+s^-$, $\gamma \gamma \rightarrow V^+V^-$, and $\gamma \gamma \rightarrow H^0$. (See ref. 2, eqs. (14)-(17), pp. 159, 160; and ref. 13, eqs. (10), (11), p. 95.)

$$\sigma(\gamma \gamma \rightarrow l^+l^-) = \frac{4\pi\alpha^2}{W^2} \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{y_l}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{y_l - 1}} \right]$$

$$- \frac{2(1 + y_l - 1/2y_l^2)\ln\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{y_l}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{y_l - 1}}\right)}{2(1 + y_l - 1/2y_l^2)}$$

$$- (1 + y_l)\sqrt{1 - y_l}, \quad \text{(B.1a)}$$

$$\sigma(\gamma \gamma \rightarrow s^+s^-) = \frac{2\pi\alpha^2}{W^2} \left[ (1 + y_s)\sqrt{1 - y_s - 2y_s} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2}y_s \right) \ln\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{y_s}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{y_s - 1}}\right) \right],$$

$$\text{(B.1b)}$$

$$\sigma(\gamma \gamma \rightarrow V^+V^-) = \frac{8\pi\alpha^2}{W^2} \left[ \frac{1}{t_v} \left( 1 + \frac{3}{4}t_v + 3t_v^2 \right) \Lambda - 3t_v(1 - 2t_v) \ln\left(\frac{1 + \Lambda}{1 - \Lambda}\right) \right], \quad \text{(B.1c)}$$

where

$$y_l = \frac{4m_l^2}{W^2}, \quad y_s = \frac{4m_s^2}{W^2}, \quad \text{(B.2a)}$$

$$t_v = \frac{m_v^2}{W^2}, \quad \Lambda = \sqrt{1 - 4t_v}. \quad \text{(B.2b)}$$

$W$ is the total energy of the two photons in the center of momentum frame.

$$\sigma(\gamma \gamma \rightarrow H^0) = \frac{8\pi^2}{m_H} \Gamma \delta(W^2 - m_H^2) \quad \text{(B.3)}$$

where $\Gamma$ can be written as (ref. 12, eq. (10), p. 95)

$$\Gamma = \frac{\alpha^2 G_F m_H^3}{8\pi^3\sqrt{2}} |I|^2, \quad \text{(B.4)}$$

and $I$ in turn has the form (ref. 13, eqn. (11), p. 95)

$$I = \sum_q q^2 I_q + \sum_l I_l + I_w, \quad \text{(B.5a)}$$
where for $\lambda > \frac{1}{4}$

$$f(\lambda) = -2 \left( \arcsin \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\lambda}} \right)^2,$$

and for $\lambda < \frac{1}{4}$

$$f(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \ln \frac{\eta^+}{\eta^-} \right)^2 - \frac{\pi^2}{2} + i\pi \ln \frac{\eta^+}{\eta^-},$$

$${\eta^\pm} = \frac{1}{2} \pm \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} - \lambda}$$

The subscripts $q$, $l$, and $W$ stand for quark, lepton and $W$-boson, respectively.

$$\lambda_i \equiv \frac{m_i^2}{m_H^2}, \quad \text{for} \quad i = q, l, W;$$

and $m_i$ are the rest masses of the corresponding particles. $m_H$ is the rest mass of $H^0$.

In the following, we give the derivations of the cross sections for the processes

$$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow s^+s^-, \quad (B.8)$$

$$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow l^+l^-; \quad (B.9)$$

We also give a derivation of the relationship between $\sigma$ and $\Gamma$ for the process

$$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow H^0 \quad (B.10)$$

**Derivation for $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow s^+s^-$.** The lagrangian for the system, including the EM interaction, can be written as

$$\mathcal{L}_{EM} = - \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} + ieA_\mu \right) \phi^+ \left( \frac{\partial}{2x^\mu} - ieA^\mu \right) \phi - m^2\phi^+\phi \quad (B.11)$$
in which $\phi$ denotes a scalar field operator, $A_\mu$ denotes the photon field, $\mu = 0, 1, 2, 3$. We use the convention that repeated indices are summed over, so that for example,

$$A_\mu A^\mu = A_0 A^0 + A_1 A^1 + A_2 A^2 + A_3 A^3$$  \hspace{1cm} (B.12a)

$$= A_0 A_0 - A_1 A_1 - A_2 A_2 - A_3 A_3.$$  \hspace{1cm} (B.12b)

This lagrangian can be separated into a free part, and an interaction part, so that

$$L_{\text{int}} = ie \left( \phi^+ A_\mu \frac{\partial \phi^+}{\partial x^\mu} - \frac{\partial \phi^+}{\partial x^\mu} A_\mu \phi \right)$$

$$+ e^2 A_\mu A_\mu \phi^+ \phi.$$  \hspace{1cm} (B.13)

To simplify notations, in this derivation, we are using $m$ instead of $m_s$ to denote the mass of the scalar particle. The $S$-matrix element that contributes to (B.8) can be written in the form

$$< p_-; p_+ | S^{(1)} + S^{(2)} | k_1, \epsilon_1; k_2, \epsilon_2 >$$  \hspace{1cm} (B.14)

in which $p_\pm$ denotes the momenta of $s^\pm$, $k_i, \epsilon_i$ are the momenta and polarization vectors of the photons, $i = 1, 2$, and $S^{(2)}$ is defined by

$$S^{(2)} = \frac{i^2}{2} T \int L_{\text{int}}(x_1) L_{\text{int}}(x_2) \, d^4 x_1 \, d^4 x_2,$$  \hspace{1cm} (B.15)

where $T$ denotes the time-ordering operator. Contribution from $S^{(2)}$ can be represented by the diagrams of Figures B.1a and B.1b.

Using (B.13) and (B.15), and standard techniques of field theory, one obtains

$$< p_-; p_+ | S^{(2)} | k_1, \epsilon_1; k_2, \epsilon_2 >$$

$$= ie^2(2\pi)^4(2p_{-o} \cdot 2p_{+o} \cdot 2k_{10} \cdot 2k_{20})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \Omega^{-2}$$

$$\times \left[ \frac{\epsilon_1^\mu(k_\mu + p_{-\mu})\epsilon_2^\nu(p_{+\nu} - k_{\nu}) + \epsilon_2^\mu(k_\mu + p_{-\mu})\epsilon_1^\nu(p_{+\nu} - k_{\nu})}{k^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon} \right]$$

$$\times \delta^4(p_- + p_+ - k_1 - k_2),$$  \hspace{1cm} (B.16)

where $k \equiv p_- - k_1 = k_2 - p_+$, $k' \equiv p_- - k_2 = k_1 - p_+$, $\Omega$ is the normalization volume, and $\epsilon$ denotes an infinitesimal quantity.
Likewise $S^{(1)}$ is defined by

$$S^{(1)} = i T \int \mathcal{L}_{\text{int}}(x) \, d^4 k,$$

(B.17)

and

$$< p_-; p_+ | S^{(1)} | k_1, \epsilon_1; k_2, \epsilon_2 > = ie^2 (2\pi)^4 (2p_- \cdot 2p_+ \cdot 2k_{10} \cdot 2k_{20})^{-1/2}$$

$$\times \Omega^{-2} 2\epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_2 \delta^4(p_- + p_+ - k_1 - k_2).$$

(B.18)

The diagram representing this matrix element is shown in figure B.2.

The total cross section is obtained by squaring (B.14), averaging over photon polarizations $\epsilon_1$ and $\epsilon_2$, integrating over phase space, and finally dividing by the photon flux. Hence we have

$$\sigma = \int \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2} |< p_-; p_+ | S^{(1)} + S^{(2)} | k_1, \epsilon_1; k_2, \epsilon_2 >|^2 \frac{d^3 p_- d^3 p_+}{(2\pi)^6}$$

$$\times \Omega^2 \times \frac{\Omega}{2c} \times \frac{1}{T_0},$$

(B.19)

in which $T_0$ is the normalization time. Substituting (B.16) and (B.18) into (B.19), we obtain

$$\sigma = e^4 a^2 \int f(\theta) \delta^4 (p_- + p_+ - k_1 - k_2) \frac{\Omega T_0}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{d^3 p_- d^3 p_+}{(2\pi)^6} \frac{\Omega^3}{2c T_0}$$

$$= \frac{e^4 a^2 \Omega^4}{(2\pi)^4 \cdot 2c \cdot (2\pi)^6} (p_-^2 - m^2)^{1/2} \frac{p_-}{2} \int_0^{\pi} f(\theta) \sin \theta \, d\theta \times 2\pi.$$

(B.20)

where

$$f(\theta) \equiv \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2} \left[ \frac{\epsilon_1 \cdot (k + p_-) \epsilon_2 \cdot (p_+ - k)}{k^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon} \right. \left. + \frac{\epsilon_2 \cdot (k' + p_-) \epsilon_1 \cdot (p_+ - k')}{k'^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon} + 2\epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_2 \right]^2,$$

(B.21)

$$a \equiv (2\pi)^4 (2p_- \cdot 2p_+ \cdot 2k_{10} \cdot 2k_{20})^{-1/2} \Omega^{-2},$$

(B.22)

and $\theta$ is the angle between $\vec{p}_-$ and the $z$-axis, which is chosen to be along the direction of $\vec{k}_1$. We shall work in the center of momentum frame of the two photons, and use the fact that for real photons,
\[ \epsilon_1 \cdot k_1 = \epsilon_2 \cdot k_2 = 0. \quad \text{(B.23)} \]

After some algebraic manipulation, we obtain

\[
f(\theta) = \beta^4 \sin^4 \theta \left[ \frac{1}{(1 - \beta \cos \theta)^2} + \frac{2}{(1 - \beta \cos \theta)(1 + \beta \cos \theta)} + \frac{1}{(1 + \beta \cos \theta)^2} \right]
+ 2 - 2\beta^2 \sin^2 \theta \left[ \frac{1}{1 - \beta \cos \theta} + \frac{1}{1 + \beta \cos \theta} \right],
\]

in which

\[ \beta \equiv \frac{|\vec{p}_-|}{p_o}. \quad \text{(B.24)} \]

(B.20) can be simplified by carrying out the integration over \( \theta \), so that

\[
\int_0^\pi f(\theta) \sin \theta \, d\theta = 4(2 - \beta^2) + 2(\beta^2 + 1)(\beta^2 - 1) \frac{1}{\beta} \ln \left| \frac{1 + \beta}{1 - \beta} \right|, \quad \text{(B.25)}
\]

and hence

\[
\sigma = \frac{e^4 a^2 \Omega^4}{(2\pi)^{10} \cdot 2c} \frac{p^2_o}{2} \beta \times 2\pi \\
\times \left[ 4(2 - \beta^2) + 2(\beta^2 + 1)(\beta^2 - 1) \frac{1}{\beta} \ln \left| \frac{1 + \beta}{1 - \beta} \right| \right]. \quad \text{(B.26)}
\]

Using the definition of \( a \) in (B.22), we then obtain

\[
\sigma = \frac{e^4}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{1}{16p^2_o} \frac{\Omega^4}{(2\pi)^{10} \times 2c} \times \frac{p^2_o}{2} \times 2\pi \times \left[ 4(2 - \beta^2) \beta \\
+ 2(\beta^2 + 1)(\beta^2 - 1) \ln \left| \frac{1 + \beta}{1 - \beta} \right| \right] \quad \text{(B.27a)}
\]

\[
= \frac{e^4}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{1}{16p^2_o} \frac{1}{4c} \times 2\pi \times \left[ 4(2 - \beta^2) \beta + 2(\beta^2 + 1)(\beta^2 - 1) \ln \left| \frac{1 + \beta}{1 - \beta} \right| \right]. \quad \text{(B.27b)}
\]

In the "natural units" in which one sets \( \hbar = c = 1 \), this result can be written in the form

\[
\sigma = \frac{e^4}{(4\pi)^2} \frac{1}{4p^2_o} \times 2\pi \left[ (2 - \beta^2) \beta + (\beta^2 + 1)(\beta^2 - 1) \times \frac{1}{2} \ln \left| \frac{1 + \beta}{1 - \beta} \right| \right]. \quad \text{(B.28)}
\]
In terms of the variables $y \equiv \frac{4m^2}{W^2}$, $W \equiv p_\omega + p_\omega = 2p_\omega$, we can write

$$
\sigma = \alpha^2 \times \frac{1}{W^2} \times 2\pi \left[ (1+y)\sqrt{1-y} - (2-y)y \ln \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{y}} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{y} - 1} \right) \right] \quad (B.29)
$$

in which $\alpha \equiv \frac{e^2}{4\pi}$ is the fine structure constant. This result is the same as the one obtained by Papageorgiu (ref. 2, eq. (15), p. 159).

**Derivation for $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow l^+l^-$**. For this case the interaction lagrangian can be written in the form

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\text{int}} = -e \bar{\psi}(x) \mathcal{A}(x) \psi(x), \quad (B.30)
$$

in which $\psi(x)$ denotes the lepton field operator. $\mathcal{A}(x) = A_\mu(x)\gamma^\mu$ and $\gamma^\mu$, $\mu = 0, 1, 2, 3$, are the Dirac $\gamma$-matrices. (See ref. 9, Appendix 2, p. 335–361.) $\bar{\psi}(x) = \psi^\dagger(x)\gamma_0$, where $\psi^\dagger(x)$ is the hermitian conjugate of $\psi(x)$. The second order term in the $S$-matrix is defined by (B.15), with $\mathcal{L}_{\text{int}}(x)$ defined by (B.30). The initial and final states can be denoted as

$$
|i> = |k_1, \epsilon_1; k_2, \epsilon_2>, \quad (B.31a)
$$

$$
|f> = |p_-, s_-; p_+, s_+>, \quad (B.31b)
$$

in which we have already defined $k_j, \epsilon_j, j = 1, 2$ as the photon momenta and polarization. $p_\pm, s_\pm$ are the momenta and spins of $l^+$ and $l^-$ respectively. Following the notations of reference 9, appendix 2, we can write the $S$-matrix element $<f|S^{(2)}|i>$ as

$$
<f|S^{(2)}|i> = -e^2 a \left[ \bar{u}(p_-, s_-) \frac{i(k + m)}{k^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon} \frac{i}{2} v(p_+, s_+) \right.

+ \bar{u}(p_-, s_-) \delta^4(p_+ + p_+ - k_1 - k_2)

$$

where

$$
k \equiv p_- - k_1 = k_2 - p_+, \quad k' \equiv p_- - k_2 = k_1 - p_+,
$$

$$
a \equiv (2\pi)^4 \left( 2p_\omega \cdot 2p_\omega + 2k_{10} \cdot 2k_{20} \right)^{-1/2} \Omega^{-2},
$$

23
\[ u(p_-, s_-) \text{ and } v(p_+, s_+) \] are the spinor wave-functions associated with \( l^- \) and \( l^+ \). For simplicity of notations, in this derivation we use \( m \) instead of \( m_l \) to denote the mass of the lepton. This \( S \)-matrix element can also be represented diagrammatically by Figures (B.1a) and (B.1b). The total cross section is given by a formula similar to (B.19):

\[
\sigma = \int \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i_1, i_2, i_-^+, i_+^+} \left| \langle f | S^2 | i \rangle \right|^2 \frac{d^3p_- d^3p_+}{(2\pi)^6} \frac{\Omega^2 \Omega}{2c T_o}.
\] (B.33)

Performing the sum over the photon and lepton spins, we can write

\[
\sum_{i_1, i_2, i_-^+, i_+^+} \left| \langle f | S^{(2)} | i \rangle \right|^2 = \sum_{i_1, i_2} e^4 a^2 \delta^4(p_- + p_+ - k_1 - k_2) \frac{\Omega T_o}{(2\pi)^4} \{(k^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon)^{-2}
\]

\[
\times \text{Tr} [\gamma_-^+ (k + m) \gamma_1^1 (k + m) \gamma_2^1 (\gamma_+^+ - m) \gamma_2^2 (-i)(k + m) \gamma_1^1]
\]

\[
+ (k^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon)^{-1} (k'^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon)^{-1} \text{Tr} [(\gamma_+^+ + m) \gamma_1^1 (k + m) \gamma_2^1 (\gamma_+^+ - m) \gamma_1^1 (k' + m) \gamma_2^2]
\]

\[
+ (k^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon)^{-1} (k'^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon)^{-1} \text{Tr} [(\gamma_+^+ + m) \gamma_2^1 (k' + m) \gamma_1^1 (\gamma_+^+ - m) \gamma_2^1 (k + m) \gamma_1^1]
\]

\[
+ (k'^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon)^{-2} \text{Tr} [(\gamma_+^+ + m) \gamma_2^1 (k' + m) \gamma_1^1 (\gamma_+^+ - m) \gamma_2^1 (k' + m) \gamma_1^1]
\] (B.34)

in which \( \text{Tr} \) denotes the trace operator. From (B.34), it can be seen that the sum in (B.34) can be naturally divided into four terms:

\[
T_1 \equiv \text{Tr} [(\gamma_+^+ + m) \gamma_1^1 (k + m) \gamma_2^1 (\gamma_+^+ - m) \gamma_2^2 (k + m) \gamma_1^1],
\] (B.35a)

\[
T_2 \equiv \text{Tr} [(\gamma_+^+ + m) \gamma_1^1 (k + m) \gamma_2^1 (\gamma_+^+ - m) \gamma_1^1 (k' + m) \gamma_2^2],
\] (B.35b)

\[
T_3 \equiv \text{Tr} [(\gamma_+^+ + m) \gamma_2^1 (k' + m) \gamma_1^1 (\gamma_+^+ - m) \gamma_2^2 (k + m) \gamma_1^1],
\] (B.35c)

\[
T_4 \equiv \text{Tr} [(\gamma_+^+ + m) \gamma_2^1 (k' + m) \gamma_1^1 (\gamma_+^+ - m) \gamma_1^1 (k' + m) \gamma_2^2].
\] (B.35d)
Hence we can write

\[
\sum_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2} \left| \langle f \rangle S^{(2)} | i \rangle \right|^2 = e^4 a^2 b^4 (p_- + p_+ - k_1 - k_2) \frac{\Omega T_0}{(2\pi)^4}
\]

\[
\times \sum_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2} \{(k^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon)^{-2} T_1 + (k^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon)^{-1}(k'^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon)^{-1} (T_2 + T_3) \\
+ (k'^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon)^{-2} T_4 \}. 
\]  

(B.36)

After some straightforward though tedious mathematics, one arrives at the following:

\[
\frac{1}{4} \sum_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2} T_1 = 8k_{10}^4 (1 - \beta \cos \theta) \left(1 + \frac{m^2}{k_{10}^2} + \frac{2m^2}{k_{10}^2} \beta \cos \theta + \beta^3 \cos^3 \theta \right)^{-8m^4}, \tag{B.37}
\]

\[
\frac{1}{4} \sum_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2} T_2 = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2} T_3 = 8k_{10}^4 \beta^2 (1 - \cos^2 \theta) \left[1 - \beta^2 (1 - \cos^2 \theta) \right], \tag{B.38}
\]

\[
\frac{1}{4} \sum_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2} T_4 = 8k_{10}^4 (1 + \beta \cos \theta) \left(1 + \frac{m^2}{k_{10}^2} - \frac{2m^2}{k_{10}^2} \beta \cos \theta - \beta^3 \cos^3 \theta \right)^{-8m^4}, \tag{B.39}
\]

where \( \beta \equiv \frac{|p_+ - p_-|}{p_{-o}} \). From (B.33) and (B.36) we obtain

\[
\sigma = e^4 (2\pi)^8 (2k_{10})^{-4} \Omega^{-4} \frac{\Omega T_0}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{\Omega^3}{(2\pi)^6} \frac{1}{2c T_0}
\]

\[
\times \int \left\{ \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2} [(k^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon)^{-2} T_1 + (k^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon)^{-1}(k'^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon)^{-1} (T_2 + T_3) \\
+ (k'^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon)^{-2} T_4] \right\} d^3 p_- d^3 p_+ d^4(p_- + p_+ - k_1 - k_2)
\]

\[
= \frac{e^4}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{1}{16k_{10}^4} \frac{1}{2c} \beta k_{10}^2 \times \int \left\{ \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2} [(k^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon)^{-2} T_1 \\
+ (k^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon)^{-1}(k'^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon)^{-1} (T_2 + T_3) + (k'^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon)^{-2} T_4] \right\}
\]

\[
\times \frac{1}{2} \sin \theta \, d\theta \times 2\pi. \tag{B.40}
\]

Now we use

\[
k^2 - m^2 = -2k_{10}^2 (1 - \beta \cos \theta), \tag{B.41}
\]
\[ k^2 - m^2 = -2k_{10}^2(1 + \beta \cos \theta), \quad \text{(B.42)} \]

together with (B.37), (B.38), and (B.39) to arrive at

\[
\int_0^\pi \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2} (k^2 - m^2 + ie)^{-2} T_1 \sin \theta \, d\theta = \int_0^\pi \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2} (k^2 - m^2 + ie)^{-2} T_4 \sin \theta \, d\theta \\
= -\frac{4}{3} \beta^2 - 4 - 8 \frac{m^2}{k_{10}^2} + 2 \left( 2 + \frac{3m^2}{k_{10}^2} \right) \frac{1}{\beta} \ln \left| \frac{1 + \beta}{1 - \beta} \right| - \frac{4m^4}{k_{10}^4} \frac{1}{1 - \beta^2}, \quad \text{(B.43)}
\]

\[
\int_0^\pi \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2} (k^2 - m^2 + ie)^{-1}(k^2 - m^2 + ie)^{-1} T_2 \sin \theta \, d\theta \\
= \int_0^\pi \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2} (k^2 - m^2 + ie)^{-1}(k^2 - m^2 + ie)^{-1} T_3 \sin \theta \, d\theta \\
= 8(1 - \beta^2) + \frac{4}{3} \beta^2 - \frac{2}{\beta} (2 - \beta^2)(1 - \beta^2) \ln \left| \frac{1 + \beta}{1 - \beta} \right|. \quad \text{(B.44)}
\]

So from (B.43) and (B.44) we have

\[
\int_0^\pi \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2} [(k^2 - m^2 + ie)^{-2} T_1 + (k^2 - m^2 + ie)^{-1}(k^2 - m^2 + ie)^{-1} (T_2 + T_3) \\
+ (k^2 - m^2 + ie)^{-2} T_4] \sin \theta \, d\theta \\
= -8(2 - \beta^2) + \frac{4}{\beta} [2 + 3(1 - \beta^2) - (2 - \beta^2) (1 - \beta^2)] \ln \left| \frac{1 + \beta}{1 - \beta} \right| \\
= -8(1 + y) + \frac{16}{\beta} \left( 1 + y - \frac{y^2}{2} \right) \ln \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{y}} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{y} - 1} \right|, \quad \text{(B.45)}
\]

where \( y \equiv \frac{4m^2}{W^2} = 1 - \beta^2 \). Putting this into (B.40), we have

\[
\sigma = \frac{e^4}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{1}{16k_{10}^4} \frac{1}{2c} \beta k_{10}^2 \times 8 \left[ -(1 + y) + \frac{2}{\beta} \left( 1 + y - \frac{y^2}{2} \right) \right] \\
\times \ln \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{y}} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{y} - 1} \right| \times \frac{2\pi}{2} \\
= \frac{e^4}{(4\pi)^2} \frac{1}{W^2} \times 4\pi \left[ -(1 + y)\sqrt{1 - y} + 2 \left( 1 + y - \frac{y^2}{2} \right) \ln \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{y}} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{y} - 1} \right| \right] \\
= \frac{\alpha^2}{W^2} \times 4\pi \left[ -(1 + y)\sqrt{1 - y} + 2 \left( 1 + y - \frac{y^2}{2} \right) \ln \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{y}} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{y} - 1} \right| \right], \quad \text{(B.46)}
\]

in “natural” units. This result is the same as the one obtained by Papageorgiu (ref. 2, eq. (14), p. 159).
Derivation for $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow s^0$

$s^0$ is a neutral scalar. Using $p_s$ to denote the momentum of $s^0$, the cross section for this process can be written as

$$\sigma = \int \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2} \left| < p_s \left| S \right| k_1, \epsilon_1 ; k_2, \epsilon_2 > \right|^2 \frac{d^3 p_s}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{\Omega \times \Omega}{2c} \times \frac{1}{T_0}, \quad (B.47)$$

in which $S$ denotes the $S$-matrix. For the reverse decay process $s^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$, the width $\Gamma$ can be written in the form

$$\Gamma = \frac{1}{T_0} \int \sum_{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2} \left| < k_1, \epsilon_1 ; k_2, \epsilon_2 \left| S \right| p_s > \right|^2 \frac{d^3 k_1}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{d^3 k_2}{(2\pi)^3} \Omega^2 \quad (B.48)$$

From conservation of momentum, we can write

$$< p_s \left| S \right| k_1, \epsilon_1 ; k_2, \epsilon_2 > = < p_s \left| T \right| k_1, \epsilon_1 ; k_2, \epsilon_2 > \delta^4(p_s - k_1 - k_2) \quad (B.49)$$

From (B.47) and (B.49) we now have

$$\sigma = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2} \left| < p_s \left| T \right| k_1, \epsilon_1 ; k_2, \epsilon_2 > \right|^2 \delta(p_{s0} - k_{10} - k_{20})$$

$$\times \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{\Omega^2}{2cT_0} \frac{\Omega T_0}{(2\pi)^4} \quad \text{(B.50a)}$$

$$= \frac{1}{8c} \frac{\Omega^3}{(2\pi)^7} \sum_{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2} \left| < p_s \left| T \right| k_1, \epsilon_1 ; k_2, \epsilon_2 > \right|^2 \delta(p_{s0} - 2k_1) \quad \text{(B.50b)}$$

In (B.50), we assume that we are working in the rest frame of $s^0$. Likewise (B.48) can also be rewritten in the form

$$\Gamma = \frac{1}{T_0} \sum_{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2} \left| < k_1, \epsilon_1 ; k_2, \epsilon_2 \left| p_s > \right|^2 \right| \delta^4(p_{s0} - k_{10} - k_{20})$$

$$\times \frac{\Omega^2}{2c} \frac{\Omega T_0}{(2\pi)^6} \frac{\Omega T_0}{(2\pi)^4} \quad \text{(B.51)}$$

From time-reversal invariance, we know that

$$\sum_{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2} \left| < k_1, \epsilon_1 ; k_2, \epsilon_2 \left| p_s > \right|^2 \right| = \sum_{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2} \left| < p_s \left| T \right| k_1, \epsilon_1 ; k_2, \epsilon_2 > \right|^2 \quad \text{(B.52)}$$
Therefore from (B.50) and (B.51) we now have

$$
\sigma = \frac{1}{8c} (2\pi)^2 \Gamma \times \frac{1}{k^2_{10}} \delta(p_{so} - 2k_{10}). \tag{B.53}
$$

In the rest frame of $s^o$,

$$
\sigma = (2\pi)^2 \Gamma \frac{1}{k_{10}} \delta(m^2_s - 4k^2_{10}) = \frac{8\pi^2}{m_s} \Gamma \delta(m^2_s - s), \tag{B.54}
$$

in which $s$ is the square of the total momentum $(k_1 + k_2)^2$. For $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow H^o$ in which $H^o$ is a neutral Higgs particle, $\Gamma$ can be written in the form given by (B.4)--(B.7).
Appendix C. Fermion Contribution to $\Gamma(H^0 \to \gamma\gamma)$.

In this appendix, we derive the Fermion contribution to the decay width of the decay of a Higgs particle $H^0 \to \gamma\gamma$. For this case the interaction lagrangian can be written as (ref. 14, eqs. (22.58), (22.78), pp. 676, 682)

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{int}}(x) = \mathcal{L}_{\text{int}}^{(e)}(x) + \mathcal{L}_{\text{int}}^{(h)}(x),$$  \hspace{1cm} (C.1a)

where

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{int}}^{(e)}(x) = q_f \bar{\psi}_f \mathcal{A}(x) \psi_f(x), \quad \mathcal{L}_{\text{int}}^{(h)}(x) = h_f \bar{\psi}_f(x) \eta(x) \psi_f(x).$$  \hspace{1cm} (C.1b)

$\psi_f(x)$ is the fermion field operator, $A_\mu(x)$ the photon field operator, and $\eta(x)$ the scalar Higgs field operator. $q_f$ denotes the charge of the fermion, and $h_f$ the coupling between the Higgs scalar and the fermion. The process $H^0 \to \gamma\gamma$ is third order in the interaction, so that the relevant term in the $S$-matrix is

$$S^{(3)} = \frac{i^3}{3!} T \left[ \int \mathcal{L}_{\text{int}}(x_1) \mathcal{L}_{\text{int}}(x_2) \mathcal{L}_{\text{int}}(x_3) \, d^4x_1 \, d^4x_2 \, d^4x_3 \right]$$  \hspace{1cm} (C.2)

The initial and final states can be denoted as

$$|i> = |p_h>, \quad \text{and} \quad |f> = |k_1, \epsilon_1; k_2, \epsilon_2>,$$  \hspace{1cm} (C.3)

in which $p_h$ denotes the momentum of the Higgs scalar, $k_j, \epsilon_j, j = 1, 2$, are the momenta and polarizations of the the photons.

We use $m_f$ and $m_H$ to denote the masses of the fermion and Higgs scalar. The width for the process is given by

$$\Gamma = \frac{1}{T_0} \frac{1}{4} \int \sum_{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2} \left| <k_1, \epsilon_1; k_2, \epsilon_2| S^{(3)} |p_h> \right|^2 \frac{d^3k_1 \, d^3k_2}{(2\pi)^6} \Omega^2.$$  \hspace{1cm} (C.4)
The $S$-matrix element can be represented by the diagrams:

Employing standard techniques of field theory, we find

$$< k_1, \epsilon_1; k_2, \epsilon_2 | S^{(3)} | p_h > = -q_f^2 h_f (2p_{h_0} \cdot 2k_{10} \cdot 2k_{20})^{-1/2} \Omega^{-3/2}$$

$$\times \int d^4p_1 \left\{ \left[ (p_1 - k_1)^2 - m_f^2 + i\epsilon \right]^{-1} Tr \left[ (p_1 + m_f) \not{\epsilon}_1 (\not{p}_1 - k_1 + m_f) \not{\epsilon}_2 (\not{p}_1 - k_1 - k_2 + m_f) \right] 
+ \left[ (p_1 - k_2)^2 - m_f^2 + i\epsilon \right]^{-1} Tr \left[ (p_1 + m_f) \not{\epsilon}_2 (\not{p}_1 - k_2 + m_f) \not{\epsilon}_1 (\not{p}_1 - k_1 - k_2 + m_f) \right] \right\}$$

$$\times \left[ (p_1^2 - m_f^2 + i\epsilon)^{-1} \left[ (p_1^2 - k_1 - k_2)^2 - m_f^2 + i\epsilon \right]^{-1} \delta^4(k_1 + k_2 - p_h) \right]$$

We can separate the two terms on the right hand side of (C.5) and let $S^{(3)} = S_1^{(3)} + S_2^{(3)}$, so that

$$< k_1, \epsilon_1; k_2, \epsilon_2 | S_1^{(3)} | p_h > = -q_f^2 h_f (2p_{h_0} \cdot 2k_{10} \cdot 2k_{20})^{-1/2} \Omega^{-3/2} \delta^4(k_1 + k_2 - p_h)$$

$$\times \int d^4p_1 \left[ (p_1^2 - m_f^2 + i\epsilon)^{-1} \left[ (p_1^2 - k_1 - k_2)^2 - m_f^2 + i\epsilon \right]^{-1} T_1^{(3)} \right]$$

where

$$T_1^{(3)} \equiv Tr \left[ (p_1 + m_f) \not{\epsilon}_1 (\not{p}_1 - k_1 + m_f) \not{\epsilon}_2 (\not{p}_1 - k_1 - k_2 + m_f) \right].$$
and

\[<k_1,\epsilon_1; k_2,\epsilon_2 \mid S_2^{(3)} \mid p_h> = -q_f^2 h_f (2\rho_{h_0} \cdot 2k_{10} \cdot 2k_{20})^{-1/2} \Omega^{-3/2} \delta^4(k_1 + k_2 - p_h)\]

\[\times \int d^4p_1 \left[ (p_1 - k_2)^2 - m_f^2 + i\epsilon \right]^{-1} \left[ p_1^2 - m_f^2 + i\epsilon \right]^{-1}\]

\[\times \left[ (p_1 - k_1 - k_2)^2 - m_f^2 + i\epsilon \right]^{-1} T_2^{(3)}, \quad (C.7)\]

where

\[T_2^{(3)} \equiv \tau \left[ (p_1 + m_f) \epsilon_2 (p_1 - k_2 + m_f) \epsilon_1 (p_1 - k_1 - k_2 + m_f) \right]. \quad (C.8)\]

The evaluation of the matrix elements (C.6) and (C.7) are quite similar. So we need only consider (C.6) in detail for illustration. By evaluating the trace in (C.6b), and using the fact that in the center of momentum frame of the two photons,

\[\epsilon_1 \cdot k_1 = \epsilon_1 \cdot k_2 = \epsilon_2 \cdot k_1 = \epsilon_2 \cdot k_2 = 0, \quad (C.9)\]

and also

\[k_1^2 = k_2^2 = 0, \quad (C.10)\]

for real photons, we find

\[T_1^{(3)} = 4m_f (4p_1 \cdot \epsilon_1 p_1 \cdot \epsilon_2 - \epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_2 p_1^2) + 8m_f \epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_2 p_1 \cdot k_1\]

\[+ 4m_f (-k_1 \cdot k_2 + m_f^2) \epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_2. \quad (C.11)\]

Now we use a standard technique of Feynman parameterization (ref. 15, Section 3.2, pp. 160–197).

\[\int_0^1 dx \int_0^{1-x} dy [(p_1 - Q)^2 + \rho_1^2]^{-3} \quad (C.12a)\]

where

\[Q^\mu \equiv xk_1^\mu + y(k_1^\mu + k_2^\mu), \quad (C.12b)\]
\[ \rho_1^2 \equiv -Q^2 - m_f^2 + k_1^2 x + (k_1 + k_2)^2 y + i \epsilon \]
\[ \equiv -Q^2 - m_f^2 + 2 k_1 \cdot k_2 y + i \epsilon. \] (C.12c)

Now (C.6) can be rewritten in the form
\[ < k_1, \epsilon_1; k_2, \epsilon_2 | S_1^{(3)} | p_h > = -q_f^2 h_f (2 p_{h_0} \cdot 2 k_1 \cdot k_20)^{-1/2} \Omega^{-3/2} \delta^4 (k_1 + k_2 - p_h) \]
\[ \times 2 \int_0^1 dx \int_0^{1-x} dy \int d^4 p_1 \left[ (p_1 - Q)^2 + \rho_1^2 \right]^{-3/2} T_1^{(3)}. \] (C.13)

From (C.11) and (C.13), it is apparent that in order to evaluate (C.13) we need to compute the following integrals:
\[ I_2^{\mu \nu} \equiv \int dx \ dy \ d^4 p_1 \ p_1^\mu p_1^\nu \left[ (p_1 - Q)^2 + \rho_1^2 \right]^{-3}, \] (C.14)
\[ I_1^{\mu} \equiv \int dx \ dy \ d^4 p_1 \ p_1^\mu \left[ (p_1 - Q)^2 + \rho_1^2 \right]^{-3}, \] (C.15)
\[ I_0 \equiv \int dx \ dy \ d^4 p_1 \left[ (p_1 - Q)^2 + \rho_1^2 \right]^{-3}. \] (C.16)

These integrals can be computed using the method of dimensional regularization in which one first computes the following integrals:
\[ I_2^{\mu \nu}(n) \equiv \int dx \ dy \ d^n p_1 \ p_1^\mu p_1^\nu \left[ (p_1 - Q)^2 + \rho_1^2 \right]^{-3}, \] (C.17)
\[ I_1^{\mu}(n) \equiv \int dx \ dy \ d^n p_1 \ p_1^\mu \left[ (p_1 - Q)^2 + \rho_1^2 \right]^{-3}, \] (C.18)
\[ I_0(n) \equiv \int dx \ dy \ d^n p_1 \left[ (p_1 - Q)^2 + \rho_1^2 \right]^{-3}, \] (C.19)

in which \( n \) is a real number, which in the final result is allowed to approach 4. Details of this process is given in Appendix D.

From the results in Appendix D, we find
\[ \int d^4 p_1 \frac{4 p_1^\mu p_1^\nu - p_1^2 g^{\mu \nu}}{[(p_1 - Q)^2 + \rho_1^2]^3} = -i \frac{\pi^2}{2} \frac{1}{\rho_1^2} \left[ g^{\mu \nu} (\rho_1^2 - Q^2) + 4 Q^\mu Q^\nu \right], \] (C.20)
\[ \int d^4 p_1 \frac{p_1^\mu}{[(p_1 - Q)^2 + \rho_1^2]^3} = -i \frac{\pi^2}{2} \frac{Q^\mu}{\rho_1^2}, \] (C.21)
and
\[ \int d^4 p_1 \frac{1}{[(p_1 - Q)^2 + \rho_1^2]^3} = -i \frac{\pi^2}{2} \frac{1}{\rho_1^2}. \]  

(C.22)

Define
\[ J_1 \equiv \int d^4 p_1 \left[ (p_1 - k_1)^2 - m_f^2 + i\epsilon \right]^{-1} \left( p_1^2 - m_f^2 + i\epsilon \right)^{-1}
\times \left[ (p_1 - k_1 - k_2)^2 - m_f^2 + i\epsilon \right]^{-1} \times T_1^{(3)}. \]  

(C.23)

By using (C.9)-(C.12), (C.20)-(C.23), we find
\[ J_1 = -i\pi^2 \int_0^1 dx \int_0^{1-x} dy \frac{1}{\rho_1^2} \left[ 4m_f \left( \rho_1^2 - Q^2 \right)
+ 8m_f Q \cdot k_1 + 4m_f \left( -k_1 \cdot k_2 + m_f^2 \right) \right] \epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_2. \]  

(C.24)

Using the definitions of \( \rho_1 \) and \( Q \) in (C.11) and (C.12), we can simplify (C.24) to
\[ J_1 = -i\pi^2 2m_f \epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_2 \int_0^1 dx \int_0^{1-x} dy \frac{4y(1-x-y)-1}{y(1-x-y)-\lambda + i\epsilon}, \]  

where \( \lambda \equiv \frac{m_f}{2k_1 \cdot k_2} = \frac{m_f^2}{m_f^2}. \)

The integral in (C.25) can be done after some changes of integration variables and applying some techniques in complex analysis. The result is
\[ J_1 = -i\pi^2 2m_f \epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_2 J_0, \]  

(C.26)

where for \( \lambda = \frac{m_f^2}{m_f^2} > \frac{1}{4}, \)

\[ J_0 = 2 - 2(4\lambda - 1) \left[ \arcsin \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\lambda}} \right) \right]^2, \]  

(C.27a)

and for \( \lambda \leq \frac{1}{4}, \)

\[ J_0 = 2 + (4\lambda - 1) \left\{ -\frac{\pi^2}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left[ \ln \left| \frac{1/2 + \sqrt{4/4 - \lambda}}{1/2 - \sqrt{4/4 - \lambda}} \right] \right]^2
\times \pi \ln \left( \frac{1/2 + \sqrt{4/4 - \lambda}}{1/2 - \sqrt{4/4 - \lambda}} \right) \}. \]  

(C.27b)
From (C.5), (C.6), (C.23) and (C.26) we have therefore

\[ < k_1, \epsilon_1; k_2, \epsilon_2 | S_1 \rangle \delta \geq p_h > = -q_f^2 h_f (2p_{ho} \cdot 2k_{10} \cdot 2k_{20})^{-1/2} \Omega^{-3/2} J_1 \delta^4 (k_1 + k_2 - p_h) \]

\[ = -q_f^2 h_f (2p_{ho} \cdot 2k_{10} \cdot 2k_{20})^{-1/2} \Omega^{-3/2} \delta^4 (k_1 + k_2 - p_h) \]

\[ \times \left( -i\pi^2 \times 2m_f \times \epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_2 J_0 \right). \]  

(C.28)

It is straightforward to check that

\[ < k_1, \epsilon_1; k_2, \epsilon_2 | S_2 \rangle \delta \geq p_h > = < k_1, \epsilon_1; k_2, \epsilon_2 | S_3 \rangle \delta \geq p_h >. \]  

(C.29)

Therefore using (C.3)–(C.8) and (C.28),

\[ \Gamma = \frac{1}{T_0} \frac{1}{4} \int \sum_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2} \frac{4q_f^4 h_f^2}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{\delta}{\Omega^{-3}} \delta^4 (k_1 + k_2 - p_h) \]

\[ \times \pi^4 \times 4m_f^2 \times (\epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_2)^2 |J_o|^2 \times \frac{\Omega T_o}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{d^3k_1 d^3k_2 \Omega^2}{(2\pi)^6}. \]  

(C.30)

Now

\[ \sum_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2} (\epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_2)^2 = 2, \quad 2k_{10} = 2k_{20} = p_{ho} = m_H. \]  

(C.31)

Therefore

\[ \Gamma = \frac{q_f^4 h_f^2}{(2\pi)^5} \frac{\pi^4 m_f^2}{m_H^3} \frac{2 |J_o|^2}{2} \int d^3k_1 \delta (k_{10} + k_{20} - p_{ho}) \]

\[ = \frac{1}{16 \times (2\pi)^5} q_f^4 h_f^2 m_H \lambda^2 |J_o|^2. \]  

(C.32)

\( h_f \) is related to the Fermi coupling constant \( G_F \) by \( h_f^2 = m_f^2 \times 2\sqrt{2} G_F \) (ref. 14, Section 22.2, eqs. (22.58), (22.70), and (22.83), pp. 676, 679, 684)

\[ \Gamma = \frac{1}{16(2\pi)^5} q_f^4 \frac{2\sqrt{2} G_F}{m_H^3} \lambda^2 |J_o|^2 \]

\[ = \frac{q_f^4}{e} \frac{\alpha^2 G_F}{8\sqrt{2}\pi^3} m_H^3 \lambda^2 |J_o|^2. \]  

(C.33)

We note that \( \frac{q_f}{e} \) is the charge of the fermion in units of the electron charge. Our result agrees with that in the literature. (See ref. 13, eqs. (10) and (11), p. 95.) We note that the sign of the imaginary part of \( J_o \) in (C.27b) is opposite to that of reference 12, equation (11).
However, since only $|J_o|^2$ enters into quantities of physical interest, such as $\Gamma$ and $\sigma$, therefore this difference in sign of the imaginary part of $J_o$ is not significant.
Appendix D. Evaluation of certain integrals.

In this appendix, we outline the procedures involved in evaluating the integrals in (C.14)–(C.19).

The following integrals can be evaluated by standard methods of calculus.

\[
\int_0^\infty \frac{u^m du}{(u^2 + M^2)^\ell} = C_{m,\ell} \times M^{-2\ell+m+1}, \tag{D.1}
\]

provided \( m \) is even, \( m \geq 0 \), \( \ell \) is an integer \( \geq 0 \), \( \ell \geq \frac{m}{2} + 1 \), and the coefficients \( C_{m,\ell} \) are defined by

\[
C_{m,\ell} = \frac{(\ell - \frac{m}{2} - 1)!}{(\ell - 1)!} \left( \frac{m - 1}{2} \cdot \frac{m - 3}{2} \cdots \frac{1}{2} \right) \times \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} (\cos \theta)^{2\ell-m-2} \, d\theta \tag{D.2}
\]

If \( \ell \) is a half-integer, (D.1) still applies with

\[
C_{m,\ell} = \left[ (\ell - 1)(\ell - 2) \cdots (\ell - \frac{m}{2}) \right]^{-1} \left( \frac{m - 1}{2} \cdot \frac{m - 3}{2} \cdots \frac{1}{2} \right) \times \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} (\cos \theta)^{2\ell-m-2} \, d\theta. \tag{D.3}
\]

Using methods of complex analysis, we can show that the same formula (D.1) applies if \( M \) is replaced by \( iM \) in (D.1).

\[
\int d^n p (p^2 + M^2)^{-\alpha} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dp_0 \int_0^\infty |\vec{p}|^{n-2} d|\vec{p}| \left( p_0^2 - |\vec{p}|^2 + M^2 \right)^{-\alpha - \frac{n}{2}} \, \Omega_n^{(0)}, \tag{D.4}
\]

where \( \Omega_n^{(0)} \) denotes the surface area of the \( n \)-dimensional unit sphere. Now using (D.1), we find

\[
\int d^n p (p^2 + M^2)^{-\alpha} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dp_0 (-1)^\alpha C_{n-2,\alpha} \left( -p_0^2 - M^2 \right)^{-\alpha + \frac{n-1}{2}} \, \Omega_n^{(0)}
\]

\[
= 2(-1)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} C_{n-2,\alpha} C_{0,\alpha-\frac{n-1}{2}} \, \Omega_n^{(0)} \times M^{-2\alpha+n}. \tag{D.5}
\]
From (D.5), it is straightforward to compute

\[
\int d^n p \left( p^2 + 2p \cdot Q + M^2 \right)^{-\alpha} = \int d^n p \left[ (p+Q)^2 + M^2 - Q^2 \right]^{-\alpha}
\]

\[
= 2(-1)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} C_{n-2,\alpha} C_{0,\alpha-\frac{n-1}{2}} \Omega^{(0)}_{n-2} \left( M^2 - Q^2 \right)^{-\alpha + \frac{n}{2}}. \quad (D.6)
\]

Now we can also evaluate

\[
\int d^n p \ p_\mu \left( p^2 + 2p \cdot Q + M^2 \right)^{-\alpha} = \frac{-1}{2(\alpha-1)} \frac{\partial}{\partial Q^\mu} \int d^n p \left( p^2 + 2p \cdot Q + M^2 \right)^{-\alpha + 1}
\]

\[
= (-1)^{\frac{n+1}{2}} \frac{2(\alpha - 1 - \frac{n}{2})}{\alpha - 1} C_{n-2,\alpha-1}
\]

\[
\times C_{0,\alpha-\frac{n+1}{2}} \Omega^{(0)}_{n-2} \left( M^2 - Q^2 \right)^{-\alpha + \frac{n}{2}} Q_\mu. \quad (D.7)
\]

Using similar techniques we can evaluate

\[
\int d^n p \ p_\mu p_\nu \left( p^2 + 2p \cdot Q + M^2 \right)^{-\alpha} = \left( -1 \right)^{\frac{n+3}{2}} \left( \alpha - 2 - \frac{n}{2} \right) (\alpha - 1)^{-1} (\alpha - 2)^{-1}
\]

\[
\times C_{n-2,\alpha-2} C_{0,\alpha-\frac{n+3}{2}} \Omega^{(0)}_{n-2} \left( M^2 - Q^2 \right)^{-\alpha + 1 + \frac{n}{2}}
\]

\[
\times \left[ g_{\mu\nu} + \left( M^2 - Q^2 \right)^{-1} \left( -\alpha + 1 + \frac{n}{2} \right) \right]
\]

\[
\times (-2Q_\mu Q_\nu), \quad (D.8)
\]

and

\[
\int d^n p \ p_\mu p_\nu \left( p^2 + 2p \cdot Q + M^2 \right)^{-\alpha} = \left( -1 \right)^{\frac{n+3}{2}} \left( \alpha - 2 - \frac{n}{2} \right) (\alpha - 1)^{-1} (\alpha - 2)^{-1}
\]

\[
\times C_{n-2,\alpha-2} C_{0,\alpha-\frac{n+3}{2}} \Omega^{(0)}_{n-2} \left( M^2 - Q^2 \right)^{-\alpha + 1 + \frac{n}{2}}
\]

\[
\times \left[ n + 2 \left( \alpha - 1 - \frac{n}{2} \right) \left( M^2 - Q^2 \right)^{-1} Q_\nu \right], \quad (D.9)
\]

in which \( g_{\mu\nu} \) is the metric tensor

\[
g_{00} = -g_{11} = -g_{22} = -g_{33} = 1, \quad (D.10)
\]

and all \( g_{\mu\nu} \) with \( \mu \neq \nu \) are 0.
We also note the following:
\[
\int_0^\infty \frac{u^m}{(u^2 + M^2)^\alpha} \, du = \frac{-1}{\alpha - 1} \frac{\partial}{\partial M^2} \int_0^\infty \frac{u^m}{(u^2 + M^2)^{\alpha - 1}} \, du \tag{D.11}
\]

Therefore, by using (D.1), we find
\[
C_{m,\alpha} = \left( \alpha - 1 - \frac{m + 1}{2} \right) (\alpha - 1)^{-1} C_{m,\alpha - 1} \tag{D.12}
\]

We can now use these results to evaluate
\[
\int d^n p_1 \left( 4p_1^\mu p_1^\nu - p_1^2 g^{\mu\nu} \right) \left[ (p_1 - Q)^2 + p_1^2 \right]^{-\alpha} = (-1)^{\frac{n+3}{2}} \left( \alpha - 2 - \frac{n}{2} \right) (\alpha - 1)^{-1} C_{n-2,\alpha - 1} \left[ C_{0,\alpha - n+3} \Omega_n^{(0)} \right] C_{n-2, \alpha - 2} \Omega_n^{(0)} \]
\[
\times \left[ g^{\mu\nu}(4 - n) \left( \rho^2 + Q^2 \right) \left( \rho^2_1 \right)^{-\alpha + \frac{n}{2}} - 4(4 - n)Q^\mu Q^\nu \left( \rho^2_1 \right)^{-\alpha + \frac{n}{2}} \right]. \tag{D.13}
\]

Using (D.12) in (D.13), we find
\[
\int d^n p_1 \left( 4p_1^\mu p_1^\nu - p_1^2 g^{\mu\nu} \right) \left[ (p_1 - Q)^2 + p_1^2 \right]^{-\alpha} = (-1)^{\frac{n+3}{2}} \left( \alpha - 1 - \frac{n}{2} \right)^{-1} C_{n-2,\alpha} C_{0,\alpha - n+1} \Omega_n^{(0)} \]
\[
\times \left[ g^{\mu\nu}(4 - n) \left( \rho^2 + Q^2 \right) \left( \rho^2_1 \right)^{-\alpha + \frac{n}{2}} - 4(4 - n)Q^\mu Q^\nu \left( \rho^2_1 \right)^{-\alpha + \frac{n}{2}} \right]. \tag{D.14}
\]

Setting \( \alpha = 3 \), and taking the limit as \( n \to 4 \), we find
\[
\int d^n p_1 \left( 4p_1^\mu p_1^\nu - p_1^2 g^{\mu\nu} \right) \left[ (p_1 - Q)^2 + p_1^2 \right]^{-3} = (-1)^{\frac{3}{2}} \times 2 \Omega_n^{(0)} \frac{1}{\rho^2_1} \left[ g^{\mu\nu} \left( \rho^2_1 - Q^2 \right) + 4Q^\mu Q^\nu \right] C_{2,3} C_{0,\frac{1}{2}} \]
\[
= -i \frac{\pi^2}{2} \frac{1}{\rho^2_1} \left[ g^{\mu\nu} \left( \rho^2_1 - Q^2 \right) + 4Q^\mu Q^\nu \right]. \tag{D.15}
\]

In similar fashion we find
\[
\int d^n p_1 \left[ (p_1 - Q)^2 + p_1^2 \right]^{-\alpha} = (-1)^{\frac{n+1}{2}} \left( \alpha - 1 - \frac{n}{2} \right) (\alpha - 1)^{-1} C_{n-2,\alpha - 1} \]
\[
\times \left[ C_{0,\alpha - n+1} \Omega_n^{(0)} \left( \rho^2_1 \right)^{-\alpha + \frac{n}{2}} \left( -Q^\mu \right) \right] C_{n-2, \alpha - 2} \Omega_n^{(0)} \left( \rho^2_1 \right)^{-\alpha + \frac{n}{2}} \left( -Q^\mu \right). \tag{D.16}
\]
Therefore,

\[
\int d^4p_1 \ p_1^\mu \left[ (p_1 - Q)^2 + \rho_1^2 \right]^{-3} = -i2C_{2,3} \ C_{0,1}^{(0)} \frac{1}{\rho_1^2} \ Q^\mu \\
= -i \frac{\pi^2}{2} \frac{Q^\mu}{\rho_1^2}.
\]  
(D.17)

Finally,

\[
\int d^4p_1 p_1^\mu \left[ (p_1 - Q)^2 + \rho_1^2 \right] = 2(-1)^{3/2}C_{2,3} \ C_{0,1}^{(0)} \frac{1}{\rho_1^2} \\
= -i \frac{\pi^2}{2} \frac{1}{\rho_1^2}.
\]  
(D.18)
Appendix E. Stopping Power

Consider the reaction

\[ Z_1 Z_2 \rightarrow Z_1 Z_2 X, \]  

(E.1)
in which \( X \) represents one or more particles produced in the process. Let \( Z_1 \) be an incident particle, and \( Z_2 \) represent a fixed target, whose density is \( \rho \) (number of nuclei per unit volume). Let \( \sigma \) denote the cross section for the process (E.1), and \( E_x \) the energy of the system \( X \). If we disregard the effect due to recoil of \( Z_2 \), then by the conservation of energy, the energy loss of \( Z_1 \) is equal to \( E_x \). Consider a slab of the target \( Z_2 \) of cross-sectional area \( A \) and thickness \( \Delta x \).

\[ \text{Figure E.1} \]

The number of \( Z_2 \) nuclei in this slab is \( \rho A \Delta x \). The cross section for an incident particle \( Z_1 \) to collide with a \( Z_2 \), producing \( X \) is given by

\[ \Delta \sigma = \rho A \Delta x \frac{d\sigma}{dE_x} dE_x, \]  

(E.2)

where we assume the energy of the produced system \( X \) to be between \( E_x \) and \( E_x + dE_x \).
Therefore the probability for this process is

\[ P(E_x) dE_x = \frac{\Delta \sigma}{A} = \rho \Delta x \frac{d\sigma}{dE_x} dE_x, \quad (E.3) \]

in which \( P(E_x) \) represents the probability density for the process. Therefore the total energy loss by the incident particle \( Z_1 \) per unit length is given by

\[ -\frac{dE}{dx} = \lim_{\Delta x \to 0} \frac{1}{\Delta x} \int E_x P(E_x) \, dE_x \]

\[ = \rho \int E_x \frac{d\sigma}{dE_x} \, dE_x. \quad (E.4a) \]

\[ = \rho \int E_x \frac{d\sigma}{dE_x} \, dE_x. \quad (E.4b) \]

The \(-\) sign in (E.4) signifies the fact that energy is lost by \( Z_1 \) in the process, so that the change in its energy \( dE \) is negative. See ref. 16, eq (6.4), page 741.

For two-photon processes of the kind that we have considered

\[ E_x = \omega_1 + \omega_2, \quad (E.5) \]

in which again we use the "natural units" for which \( \hbar = 1 \). The cross section is given by (2.2a). By switching the variables of integration from \( \omega_1, \omega_2 \) to \( \omega_1, E_x \), and using the fact

\[ d\omega_1 \, d\omega_2 = d\omega_1 \, dE_x, \quad (E.6) \]

which can be obtained from (E.5), (E.4b) can be written in the form

\[ -\frac{dE}{dx} = \rho \int \frac{d\omega_1}{\omega_1} \int \frac{dE_x}{E_x - \omega_1} E_x F(\omega_1, E_x - \omega_1) \times \sigma_{\gamma\gamma}(\omega_1, E_x - \omega_1) \]

\[ = \rho \int \frac{d\omega_1}{\omega_1} \int \frac{d\omega_2}{\omega_2} (\omega_1 + \omega_2) F(\omega_1, \omega_2) \sigma_{\gamma\gamma}(\omega_1, \omega_2) \]

\( (E.7b) \) is the same as (2.7).
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Figure 1. A Feynman diagram for the processes $Z_1 Z_2 \rightarrow Z_1 Z_2 t^+ t^-$, $Z_1 Z_2 \rightarrow Z_1 Z_2 s^+ s^-$, and $Z_1 Z_2 \rightarrow Z_1 Z_2 V^+ V^-$. 
Figure 2. A Feynman diagram for the process $Z_1 Z_2 \rightarrow Z_1 Z_2 H^0$. 
Figure 3. Plots of $W^2 \frac{dL}{dw^2}$.

$W^2 \frac{dL}{dw^2}$ for $^{208}$Pb $^{208}$Pb collision
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Figure 4a. $\sigma_{\gamma\gamma}(W^2)$ for the reaction $\gamma \gamma \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^-$. 
Cross-section for $\gamma \gamma \rightarrow s^+ s^-$

$\sigma_{\gamma\gamma}(W^2)$ for the reaction $\gamma \gamma \rightarrow s^+ s^-$.
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Figure 4c. $\sigma_{\gamma\gamma}(W^2)$ for the reaction $\gamma \gamma \rightarrow V^+ V^-$. 
Cross-section for $\gamma \gamma \rightarrow H^0$
(a delta function has been factored out)

$\sigma_{\gamma\gamma(W^2)}$ for the reaction $\gamma \gamma \rightarrow H^0$. 

Figure 4d.
Figure 5.

Plots of $P(b)$ for the reaction $^{208}\text{Pb} \rightarrow ^{208}\text{Pb} + ^{208}\text{Pb} e^+ e^-$ at different energies. Note: These plots are only rough estimates.
Cross-section for $^{208}\text{Pb}^{208}\text{Pb} \rightarrow ^{208}\text{Pb}^{208}\text{Pb} H^0$

Figure 6. Plots of the total cross section for the process $^{208}\text{Pb}^{208}\text{Pb} \rightarrow ^{208}\text{Pb}^{208}\text{Pb} H^0$. 
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Figure A.1. Electromagnetic fields generated by a charge $q$ moving along the $x$-axis.
Figure A.2. Photons emitted by two colliding nuclei, viewed along the direction of motion of the nuclei in their center of momentum frame.
Figure A.3. Cross-sectional view of the collision of two nuclei.
Figure B.1a

Figure B.1b

Figure B.1a–b. Second order Feynman diagrams for the process $\gamma\gamma \to s^+ s^-$. 

Figure B.2: First order Feynman diagram for the process $\gamma \gamma \rightarrow s^+ s^-$. 
Figure C.1a–b. Feynman diagrams representing fermion contribution to the process $H^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$. 
Figure E.1: A beam of particles $Z_1$ incident on a fixed target $Z_2$. 
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