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Abstract

This paper presents an improved dynamic analysis for liquid annular seals with arbitrary profile based on a method, first proposed by Nelson and Nguyen. An improved first order solution that incorporates a continuous interpolation of perturbed quantities in the circumferential direction, is presented. The original method uses an approximation scheme for circumferential gradients, based on Fast Fourier Transforms (FFT). A simpler scheme based on cubic splines is found to be computationally more efficient with better convergence at higher eccentricities. A new approach of computing dynamic coefficients based on external specified load is introduced. This improved analysis is extended to account for arbitrarily varying seal profile in both axial and circumferential directions. An example case of an elliptical seal with varying degrees of axial curvature is analyzed. A case study based on actual operating clearances (6 axial planes with 68 clearances/plane) of an interstage seal of the Space Shuttle Main Engine High Press Oxygen Turbopump (SSME-ATD-HPOTP) is presented.
NOMENCLATURE

\( a_i, b_i \)  
spatially dependent parts of first order solution

\( A_1, A_2, A_3 \)  
coefficients of the variables of first order axial momentum equation

\( B_u, B_v, B_h \)  
coefficients of the variables of first order circumferential momentum equation

\( c_0 \)  
nominal clearance (m)

\( c_i, c_e \)  
inlet and exit clearances (m)

\( c_x, c_y \)  
x, y axis clearances of elliptical seal (m)

\( C_{xz}, C_{yy} \)  
direct damping coefficients (N-s/m)

\( c_{xy}, c_{yx} \)  
cross coupled damping coefficients (N-s/m)

\( c(z, \beta) \)  
clearance function

\( E_x, E_y \)  
eccentricities along x and y axes (m)

\( f_{r0}, f_{ro} \)  
friction coefficients (Moody’s or Hirs’)

\( F_x, F_y \)  
x and y components of seal force (N)

\( f_x, f_y \)  
unbalance forces (N)

\( h_0, u_0, v_0, p_0 \)  
variables of zeroth order (steady state) equations

\( h_1, u_1, v_1, p_1 \)  
variables of first order (perturbed) equations

\( h \)  
milm thickness (m)

\( K_{xx}, K_{yy} \)  
direct stiffness coefficients (N/m)

\( k_{xy}, k_{yx} \)  
cross coupled stiffness coefficients (N/m)

\( L \)  
length of the seal (m)

\( M_{xx}, M_{yy} \)  
direct mass coefficients (kg)

\( m_{xy}, m_{yx} \)  
cross coupled mass coefficients (kg)

\( p_0 \)  
entrance pressure (Pa)

\( p_\infty \)  
exit pressure (Pa)

\( psr \)  
pre-swirl ratio

\( R \)  
radius of the rotor (m)

\( t \)  
time (s)

\( u, v \)  
axial and tangential velocities (m/s)

\( w \)  
rotor surface velocity, \( \omega R \) (m/s)

\( W \)  
 preload

\( X_0, Y_0 \)  
axes of the elliptical whirl orbit

\( z, \beta \)  
axial and circumferential coordinates

\( \rho \)  
density (kg/m³)

\( \mu \)  
dynamic viscosity (Pa-s)

\( \delta \)  
eccentricity, \( (c_x - c_y)/c_x \)

\( \epsilon_x, \epsilon_y \)  
eccentricity ratios

\( \psi \)  
external load angle (rad)

\( \xi \)  
entrance loss coefficient

\( \omega \)  
angular frequency (rad/s)
INTRODUCTION

Distortions in the interstage seals of the Space Shuttle Main Engine (SSME) High Pressure Oxygen Turbopump (ATD-HPOTP) due to mechanical and thermal loads have been investigated utilizing finite element models of the entire pump. Annular seals, initially designed with either straight or tapered clearance profile have been found to be severely distorted during the course of their operation.

Starting with Black's (1969) analysis of high-pressure seals, followed by Allaire's (1972) eccentric seal analysis and Childs' (1983) Hirs' bulk-flow model for tapered seals there has been a steady improvement in the modeling of annular seals and the agreement of their predicted behavior with experimental results.

The effect of seal distortion on the rotordynamic coefficients was first considered by Sharrer and Nunez (1989). They adapted the analysis of a plain seal to the case of a seal with wavy profile. The distorted seal profile was fitted with a clearance function in the form of a polynomial. Their analysis confirmed a marked change in rotordynamic coefficients due to a change in the seal profile. Similar results for this case were reported by San Andres (1991) using a variable properties model. Scharrer and Nelson (1990), treated a similar problem using a partially tapered seal model.

All the work reported in the literature is limited to distortion along the length of the seal. Detailed thermoelastic studies have revealed seal distortion is not limited to axial direction and a similar distortion occurs along the circumference also. An example of a distorted seal profile is shown in Fig 1. The clearances for this profile were obtained from a thermoelastic analysis.

This paper presents an improved dynamic analysis for an annular seal with arbitrary profile. The arbitrary seal profile may be due to distortion as above, or by design. The analysis used for this purpose is based on an approach, first proposed by Nelson and Nguyen. (1989). The original analysis showed good agreement with experimental results. This analysis is modified by including a more exact first order solution that accounts for the variation of perturbed variables along the circumference with a continuous interpolation.

Typically, seal coefficients are computed in a minimum film thickness coordinate system as a function of eccentricity and then transformed into the user defined coordinate system for actual application. Such a procedure is not valid for an arbitrary profile seal and a method for computing these coefficients directly in a global coordinate system is presented. In addition, a new procedure for computing seal coefficients based on external load specification is also discussed.

An example film thickness analysis for an elliptical seal with varying axial curvature, is discussed. The above improved analysis is employed to analyze a distorted interstage seal of a SSME Turbopump and the results are compared to those of a similar seal with average inlet and exit clearances.

THEORY

Bulk Flow Governing Equations

Mass conservation and force equilibrium considerations in the axial and circumferential directions for the control volumes in figures 2a and 2b yield the following bulk flow continuity, axial momentum and circumferential momentum equations for an incompressible fluid.

\[
\frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial (hv)}{\partial \beta} + \frac{\partial (hu)}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial h}{\partial t} = 0 \quad (1)
\]
Figure 1: Predicted Clearance Profile for Turbopump Annular Seal

\[
- \frac{h}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial z} = h \left\{ \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \frac{v}{R} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \beta} + \frac{u}{\partial z} \right\} \\
+ \bar{f}_s \frac{u}{2} \sqrt{u^2 + v^2} + \bar{f}_r \frac{u}{2} \sqrt{u^2 + (v - w)^2}
\]  

(2)

\[
- \frac{h}{\rho R} \frac{\partial p}{\partial \beta} = h \left\{ \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + \frac{v}{R} \frac{\partial v}{\partial \beta} + \frac{u}{\partial z} \right\} \\
+ \bar{f}_s \frac{v}{2} \sqrt{u^2 + u^2} + \bar{f}_r \frac{(v - w)}{2} \sqrt{u^2 + (v - w)^2}
\]  

(3)

where the friction factors $\bar{f}_s$ and $\bar{f}_r$ are defined for the Hirs and Moody friction factor models in the appendix.

The boundary conditions at the inlet and exit of the seal are given as,

\[
p_{0i} - p_0(0, \beta) = (1 + \xi) \frac{1}{2} \rho u_i^2(0, \beta)
\]

(4)

\[
v_0(0, \beta) = psr \times \omega R
\]

(5)

\[
p_0(L, \beta) = p_{0e}
\]

(6)

where $p_{0i}$ and $p_{0e}$ are the entrance and exit pressures respectively, $\xi$ is the entrance loss coefficient and $psr$ is the pre-swirl ratio.

**Film Thickness**

The expression for film thickness $h(z, \beta)$ as a function of eccentricity is derived in a fixed coordinate system, instead of a "minimum film thickness" coordinate system. The
coordinate system \((x, y)\) shown in Fig. 3, is fixed at the static eccentric position and is oriented parallel to a user defined-global coordinate system. Typically, for eccentric operation of a uniform profile seal such as a straight or tapered seal, the rotodynamic coefficients are computed as a function of eccentricity in a coordinate system aligned with the line of minimum film thickness. The use of these coefficients in an application such as a stability analysis requires their transformation into the user defined coordinate system. This procedure, which is valid for a seal with uniform profile is not applicable for seals with non-uniform profile in the circumferential direction. Such seals require the computation of these coefficients in the user defined coordinate system directly, as these coefficients vary with the angle of minimum film thickness (angle of eccentricity).

The seal geometry is, in general, defined by its clearance function \(c(z, \beta)\). A constant \(c\) specifies a straight seal, a linear function in \(z\) defines a tapered seal and so on. The seal profile will be non-uniform if \(c\) varies with \(\beta\). The film thickness, which varies with eccentricity, is derived as a function of \(c(z, \beta)\) and the eccentricity \(E\). The expression for the film thickness and its gradients are given below with reference to Fig. 3. Besides specifying the film thickness in a fixed coordinate system, this general expression is more accurate, particularly at high eccentricities, than the more commonly used approximate form, \(h_0 = c - E_x \cos \beta - E_y \sin \beta\).

\[
h_0(z, \beta) = \sqrt{(R + c)^2 - (E_x \sin \beta - E_y \cos \beta)^2 - (E_x \cos \beta + E_y \sin \beta) - R}
\]  

\[
\frac{\partial h_0}{\partial \beta} = \frac{(R + c) \frac{\partial c}{\partial \beta} - (E_x \sin \beta - E_y \cos \beta)(E_x \cos \beta + E_y \sin \beta)}{\sqrt{(R + c)^2 - (E_x \sin \beta - E_y \cos \beta)^2}} + (E_x \sin \beta - E_y \cos \beta)
\]

\[
\frac{\partial h_0}{\partial z} = \frac{(R + c) \frac{\partial c}{\partial z}}{\sqrt{(R + c)^2 - (E_x \sin \beta - E_y \cos \beta)^2}}
\]
Solution Procedure for Zeroth-Order Equations

The solution for zeroth order equations involves the direct integration of the three coupled nonlinear partial differential equations. Typically, an iterative procedure is used to solve for the pressure distribution. The original analysis of Nelson and Nguyen (1988) proposed a method by which the coupled partial differential equations are reduced to coupled ordinary differential equations by approximating the circumferential gradients of the variables \( u_0, v_0 \) and \( p_0 \). At each axial step in the iterative procedure, the gradients with respect to \( \beta \) are computed based on the values of the variables at the previous step. An approximation scheme based on Fast Fourier Transforms (FFT) was used for this purpose. In the present analysis, a simpler method based on cubic splines is used. This method is more accurate as no truncation error is involved as in the FFT method. Also, convergence at higher eccentricities is achieved with relatively fewer iterations than the FFT method. It is also computationally more efficient as it does not involve the computation of CPU intensive trigonometric functions. A similar approach based on forward differences was reported by Simon and Frene (1992). Figure 4 illustrates typical subdivisions in the axial and circumferential directions. Note that the elliptical seal in Figure 5 represents a special case of the arbitrary profile shown in Figure 4.

The three steady state equations are arranged in the following fashion and integrated from inlet to the exit.

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\frac{\partial p_0}{\partial z} \\
\frac{\partial u_0}{\partial z} \\
\frac{\partial v_0}{\partial z}
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
g_u(u_0, v_0, p_0, \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial \beta}, \frac{\partial v_0}{\partial \beta}, \frac{\partial p_0}{\partial \beta}) \\
g_v(u_0, v_0, p_0, \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial \beta}, \frac{\partial v_0}{\partial \beta}, \frac{\partial p_0}{\partial \beta}) \\
g_p(u_0, v_0, p_0, \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial \beta}, \frac{\partial v_0}{\partial \beta}, \frac{\partial p_0}{\partial \beta})
\end{bmatrix} 
\]

(10)

The circumference is divided into segments of equal length. The above equations are integrated starting at each circumferential location in the direction of the corresponding point at the next axial step. When this step is reached, all the variables i.e., \( u_0, v_0 \) and \( p_0 \) are known along the circumference. These values are then used to compute the circumferential gradients for the next step. In other words, at the \( i \)-th axial step, the circumferential
First Order Equations

The perturbed or first order equations are obtained for a small motion of the rotor about the steady state eccentric position using the following expressions. \( h = h_0 + \epsilon h_1 \), \( p = p_0 + \epsilon p_1 \), \( u = u_0 + \epsilon u_1 \) and \( v = v_0 + \epsilon v_1 \).

Substitution of these expressions into equations 1-3 and neglecting second and higher order terms yields the following first order equations.

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{h_0}{\rho} \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial t} + \frac{h_0}{\rho} \frac{\partial v_1}{\partial z} + \frac{h_0}{\rho} \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial \beta} + \frac{h_0 v_0}{\rho} \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial \beta} + A_u u_1 + A_v v_1 &= A_h h_1 \\
\frac{v_0}{\rho} \frac{\partial h_1}{\partial t} + \frac{v_0}{\rho} \frac{\partial p_1}{\partial z} + \frac{h_0 v_0}{\rho} \frac{\partial h_1}{\partial \beta} + \frac{h_0 v_0}{\rho} \frac{\partial v_1}{\partial \beta} + B_u u_1 + B_v v_1 &= B_h h_1
\end{align*}
\]

where \( A_u, A_v, A_h, B_u, B_v \) and \( B_h \) are functions of steady state variables \( u_0, v_0, p_0 \) and their axial and circumferential gradients. These expressions are given in the appendix for both the Hir's and Moody's friction factors models.

The boundary conditions for the first order solution are (Nelson and Nguyen, 1988),
\begin{align*}
\dot{p}_1(0, \beta) &= -(1 + \xi)\rho u_0(0, \beta)u_1(0, \beta) \quad (14) \\
v_1(0, \beta) &= 0 \quad (15) \\
p_1(L, \beta) &= 0 \quad (16)
\end{align*}

Assuming that the rotor whirls about its equilibrium position in an elliptical orbit whose semi-major and semi-minor axes are \(X_0\) and \(Y_0\) respectively, then the position of the center of the rotor relative to its static eccentric position is given by,

\begin{align*}
X &= X_0 \cos \alpha \quad (17) \\
Y &= Y_0 \sin \alpha \quad (18)
\end{align*}

where \(\alpha = \omega t\) and \(\omega\) is the whirl frequency.

Let \(\Delta x = \frac{X_0}{c_0}\), and \(\Delta y = \frac{Y_0}{c_0}\), where \(c_0\) is the nominal clearance, and;

\begin{align*}
\epsilon \dot{p}_1 &= \Delta \epsilon_z p_{1x} + \Delta \epsilon_y p_{1y} \quad (19) \\
\epsilon \dot{u}_1 &= \Delta \epsilon_z u_{1x} + \Delta \epsilon_y u_{1y} \quad (20) \\
\epsilon \dot{v}_1 &= \Delta \epsilon_z v_{1x} + \Delta \epsilon_y v_{1y} \quad (21) \\
\epsilon \dot{h}_1 &= \Delta \epsilon_z h_{1x} + \Delta \epsilon_y h_{1y} \quad (22) \\
&= -c_0 \cos \alpha \cos \beta \quad (23) \\
h_{1y} &= -c_0 \sin \alpha \sin \beta \quad (24)
\end{align*}

Assume a solution of the form:

\begin{align*}
p_{1x} &= a_1(z, \beta) \cos \alpha + a_2(z, \beta) \sin \alpha \quad (25) \\
u_{1x} &= a_3(z, \beta) \cos \alpha + a_4(z, \beta) \sin \alpha \quad (26) \\
v_{1x} &= a_5(z, \beta) \cos \alpha + a_6(z, \beta) \sin \alpha \quad (27) \\
p_{1y} &= b_1(z, \beta) \cos \alpha + b_2(z, \beta) \sin \alpha \quad (28) \\
u_{1y} &= b_3(z, \beta) \cos \alpha + b_4(z, \beta) \sin \alpha \quad (29) \\
v_{1y} &= b_5(z, \beta) \cos \alpha + b_6(z, \beta) \sin \alpha \quad (30)
\end{align*}

Using the above substitutions in the set of first order equations yields 12 coupled linear partial differential equations. The same solution procedure that is used for the zeroth order solution is used to numerically solve for variables \(a_i\) and \(b_i\).
The first order boundary conditions are expressed in the assumed solution variables as:

\[ a_1(0, \beta) = -(1 + \xi) \rho a_3(0, \beta) \]  

\[ a_2(0, \beta) = -(1 + \xi) \rho a_4(0, \beta) \]  

\[ a_5(0, \beta) = 0 \]  

\[ a_6(0, \beta) = 0 \]  

\[ a_1(L, \beta) = 0 \]  

\[ a_2(L, \beta) = 0 \]  

Similar boundary conditions apply to governing equations involving \( b_i \)'s.

The original analysis assumed these variables to be harmonic and separated them into two auxiliary functions of the form,

\[ a_i = f_i(z) \cos \beta + g_i(z) \sin \beta \]  

where \( f_i \) and \( g_i \) are assumed not to vary with \( \beta \). Nelson and Nguyen (1988a) thereby apply a second separation of variables substitution to the first order differential equations (eqs. 14–16). While the above form of assumed solution yields results that agree with available experimental results, an examination of the numerical values of the functions \( f_i(z) \) and \( g_i(z) \) revealed a \( \beta \) dependence, particularly at eccentricities above (0.5). The inclusion of these circumferential gradients should therefore improve the solution at higher eccentricities.

The \( a_i \) and \( b_i \) in the current analysis are totally general functions of \( z \) and \( \beta \) which thereby avoids the mathematical contradiction discussed above. Furthermore, in many cases the results of the current approach show better agreement with experimental results than the earlier results.

The solution procedure for the 12 linear PDE's is exactly the same as that of the zeroth order solution. The solution is performed with 4-th and 5-th order Runge-Kutta method and also with a predictor-corrector method. Both methods almost identical results, the with Runge-Kutta based method being the fastest.

**Dynamic Coefficients**

The force components acting on the rotor due to its motion about a static eccentric position is given by integrating the first order pressure field, i.e.,

\[ -\Delta F_x = \int_0^L \int_0^{2\pi} \epsilon p_1 \cos \beta R \, d\beta \, dz \]  

\[ -\Delta F_y = \int_0^L \int_0^{2\pi} \epsilon p_1 \sin \beta R \, d\beta \, dz \]  

The following linearized force-motion model is used to define the rotordynamic coefficients. In this equation, \( X \) and \( Y \) define the relative displacement of the rotor and \( F_x, F_y \) are the components of the force due to first order pressure field.
\[ -\begin{bmatrix} \Delta F_x \\ \Delta F_y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} R_{xx} & k_{xy} \\ -k_{yx} & K_{yy} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} C_{xx} & c_{xy} \\ -c_{yx} & C_{yy} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \dot{x} \\ \dot{y} \end{bmatrix} \\
+ \begin{bmatrix} M_{xx} & m_{xy} \\ -m_{yx} & m_{yy} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \ddot{x} \\ \ddot{y} \end{bmatrix} \quad (40) \]

The original analysis discretized the circumference into a number of strips and the function values \((f_i, g_i)\) are assumed to be independent of \(\beta\) over each strip. The current method improves this approach by allowing the \(a_i\) and \(b_i\) to vary over each strip in obtaining the rotordynamic coefficients.

Substitute eqs. 28–33 into 22–27 and in turn substitute the results into eqs. 43–45. Also substitute eqs. 20 and 21 into 43–45. This yields:

\[ K_{xx} - M_{xx} \omega^2 = \frac{1}{c_0} \int_0^L \int_0^{2\pi} a_1 \cos \beta R \, d\beta \, dz \quad (41) \]

\[ c_{xy} \omega = \frac{1}{c_0} \int_0^L \int_0^{2\pi} b_1 \cos \beta R \, d\beta \, dz \quad (42) \]

\[ -k_{yx} + m_{yx} \omega^2 = \frac{1}{c_0} \int_0^L \int_0^{2\pi} a_1 \sin \beta R \, d\beta \, dz \quad (43) \]

\[ C_{yy} \omega = \frac{1}{c_0} \int_0^L \int_0^{2\pi} b_1 \sin \beta R \, d\beta \, dz \quad (44) \]

\[ -C_{xx} \omega = \frac{1}{c_0} \int_0^L \int_0^{2\pi} a_2 \cos \beta R \, d\beta \, dz \quad (45) \]

\[ k_{yx} - m_{yx} \omega^2 = \frac{1}{c_0} \int_0^L \int_0^{2\pi} b_2 \cos \beta R \, d\beta \, dz \quad (46) \]

\[ c_{yz} \omega = \frac{1}{c_0} \int_0^L \int_0^{2\pi} a_2 \sin \beta R \, d\beta \, dz \quad (47) \]

\[ K_{yy} - m_{yy} \omega^2 = \frac{1}{c_0} \int_0^L \int_0^{2\pi} b_2 \sin \beta R \, d\beta \, dz \quad (48) \]

These 8 equations are evaluated for at least two whirl frequencies to obtain solutions for the 12 dynamic coefficients. A least squares approach is employed for this step. The 2D integration performed numerically are an improvement over the average value approach employed by the previous researchers.

**Dynamic Coefficients based on External Load Specification**

In some cases, it is possible to specify the angle at which external load is supported by the seal during the operation of the turbomachine. This external load is equal and opposite to the resultant seal force. A new method of computing the rotordynamic coefficients based on this load angle is described below.

The static operating position of the rotor is located iteratively such that there is equilibrium between the external specified load and the resultant seal force. The angle at which
the resultant seal force acts is forced to align $(180^\circ)$ with the specified external load angle. For example, unit 3-01, an experimental seal under design at NASA (results to be discussed later) supports the external load at a constant angle of $290^\circ$ in the rotor coordinate system.

### Determination of Steady State Force Equilibrium Position

A modified Newton-Raphson approach is used in two dimensions to locate the operating position. At the steady state equilibrium position,

$$f_x = F_x - W \sin \beta = 0$$
$$f_y = F_y - W \cos \beta = 0$$

The modified 2-D Newton-Raphson iteration procedure is described below.

$$\Delta x \frac{\partial f_x}{\partial x} |_{x_i,y_i} + \Delta y \frac{\partial f_x}{\partial y} |_{x_i,y_i} + f_x |_{x_i,y_i} = 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (49)$$

$$\Delta x \frac{\partial f_y}{\partial x} |_{x_i,y_i} + \Delta y \frac{\partial f_y}{\partial y} |_{x_i,y_i} + f_y |_{x_i,y_i} = 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (50)$$

The seal forces $F_x$ and $F_y$ are computed using an initial guess of rotor position $(x_i, y_i)$. The gradients $\frac{\partial f_x}{\partial x}$, $\frac{\partial f_y}{\partial y}$, $\frac{\partial f_x}{\partial y}$, and $\frac{\partial f_y}{\partial x}$ are computed using finite differences about $(x_i, y_i)$. This iterative procedure is repeated until the specified external load is balanced by the resultant seal forces. Once this equilibrium position is attained, the remaining analysis proceeds as before.

### Verification Case: Allaire, et. al.

The first illustrative example compares the original and current Nguyen-Nelson approach results to the "short seal" solution employed by Allaire. All three approaches show similar direct stiffness, damping and cross-coupled stiffness vs. eccentricity as seen in figures 6, 7 and 8 respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Seal Parameters for Allaire et al. case</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>seal length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rotor radius</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$c_i$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$c_c$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$c_0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fluid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>density, $\rho$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>viscosity, $\mu$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta P$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rotor speed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>friction factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>relative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>roughness, $e/2c_0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pre-swirl ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inlet loss, $\xi$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 5: Direct Stiffness vs. Eccentricity for Allaire Example

Figure 6: Direct Damping vs. Eccentricity for Allaire Example
EXAMPLE OF AN ARBITRARY PROFILE SEAL: AN ELLIPTICAL SEAL

The above analysis for an arbitrary profile is applied to the case of an elliptical seal with axially varying curvature. The results for a similar linearly tapered elliptical seal, were initially reported by San Andres (1992). The motivation for this study is two fold. The first is to show the general steps involved in the analysis of arbitrary profile seals and the other is to show, in qualitative terms, the effect of a change in profile on the dynamic coefficients.

Two cases of curvature are considered for this analysis: one with a linear axial profile and the other a quadratic axial profile. For this study, the mid-point clearance of the quadratic profile is made 75% of \((c_i + c_e)/2\), i.e., 0.75 times the mid-point clearance of a linear profile with similar inlet and exit clearances.

The equation of an ellipse is given by,

\[ x = a \cos \beta \]
\[ y = b \sin \beta \]

where \(a\) and \(b\) are the semi-major and semi-minor axes respectively. At any angular position \(\beta\) along the circumference, the radius \(r\) of the ellipse is given by,

\[ r = \sqrt{(a \cos \beta)^2 + (b \sin \beta)^2} \]

and the clearance \(c\) at this location is given by,

\[ c = r - R \]

where \(R\) is the radius of the rotor.

If the semi-major and semi-minor axes of the ellipse vary in some functional form along the length of the seal, the clearance is given by,

\[ c(z, \beta) = \sqrt{(f_1(z) \cos \beta)^2 + (f_2(z) \sin \beta)^2} - R \]

where \(f_1(z)\) and \(f_2(z)\) are the semi-major and semi-minor axes variations along the \(z\)-axis. The gradients of this clearance function are given in the appendix.

The ellipticity \(\delta\) is defined as (Fig. 5),

\[ \delta = \frac{c_x - c_y}{c_x} \]

where \(c_x\) and \(c_y\) are clearances at semi-major and semi-minor axes respectively and,

\[ c_x = c_i \text{ at inlet} \]
\[ c_x = c_e \text{ at exit} \]

and from above,

\[ c_y = c_x(1 - \delta) \]

When \(\delta = 0\), the ellipse reduces to a circle and for \(\delta = 1\), the seal contacts the rotor. The appendix provides the functions \(f_1(z)\) and \(f_2(z)\) for a linear profile and a quadratic profile, as a function of delta . The results shown are for a centered seal as a function of ellipticity. The dynamic coefficients are normalized with respect to the coefficients for the linear profile case at \(\delta = 0\). The values used for this normalization are \(K_{zz} = 44975\) kN/m (256883 lb/in), \(C_{zz} = 21.78\) kN·s/m (124.4 lb·s/in) and \(k_{xy} = 15821\) kN/m (90364 lb/in). The seal parameters for this case are given below.
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Figure 7: Diagram for Deriving Elliptical Seal Clearance Expression

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Seal Parameters for Elliptical Seal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>seal length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rotor radius</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$c_i$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$c_s$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$c_0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fluid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>density, $\rho$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>viscosity $\mu$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta P$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rotor speed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>friction factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>relative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>roughness,$e/2c_0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pre-swirl ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inlet loss, $\xi$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The plot for direct stiffness (Fig. 9) shows the effect of a small change in profile on the direct stiffness. For the linear case, there is a complete loss of stiffness at around $\delta = 0.65$. The stiffness for the quadratic profile is almost twice that of the linear profile. Also, it retains its stiffness over a much wider range than the linear profile. The difference in the other coefficients (Figs. 10,11) are relatively small.

**CASE STUDY OF A DISTORTED SEAL**

The distorted clearance profile for an interstage seal of the Space Shuttle Main Engine High Pressure Oxygen Turbopump (SSME-ATD-HPOTP) is shown in Fig. 1. The distorted
Figure 8: Normalized Direct Stiffness vs. Ellipticity (Elliptical Seal)

Figure 9: Normalized Direct Damping vs. Ellipticity (Elliptical Seal)
clearance profile of this seal is obtained from a thermoelastic analysis. The clearances are provided at six axial planes along the length of the seal with 68 clearances at each plane. The clearances along the circumference are located roughly equidistant.

The rotordynamic coefficients of the distorted profile are compared with those computed using average clearances at inlet and outlet respectively. The geometry and operating conditions at full power level FPL are given in the following table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Seal Parameters for Distorted Seal Unit 3-01</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>seal length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rotor radius</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>avg. ( c_i )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>avg. ( c_x )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( c_0 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fluid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>density, ( \rho )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>viscosity ( \mu )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \Delta P )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rotor speed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>friction factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>relative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>roughness, ( e/2c_0 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pre-swirl ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inlet loss</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The distorted seal profile is fitted with bi-cubic splines. The purpose of this spline fitting is two fold; one is to interpolate clearances at any given axial and circumferential location and the other is to numerically compute axial and circumferential gradients of the seal profile at any required location.

According to the manufacturer's specifications, the side-load on the seal acts at a constant angle of 290°. The seal coefficients for this variable profile seal are computed as a function of side-load acting at this angle.

Figure 12. shows the relation between seal forces and eccentricity. No load operation requires the seal to be slightly off-centered due to the distortion in the seal. Figs. 13, 14 and 15 show how the dynamic coefficients vary with externally applied load and the effects of distorted clearance profile versus average profile (average of clearances at the inlet and exit circumferences). The coefficients are seen to be sensitive to high loads and also show significant changes due to the distorted profile, i.e., see Fig 15.

CONCLUSIONS

The current approach has improved on the original Nelson–Nguyen method (NNM) by:

(a) Employing a continuous interpolation of the first order variables in the circumferential direction, and

(b) Utilizing cubic splines instead of Fourier series for the circumferential interpolation of both zeroeth and first order variables.

In addition the current method models seals with arbitrary clearance profiles in the circumferential and axial directions. This capability was demonstrated with the operating seal
Figure 10: Eccentricity vs. Preload for Operating Seal Clearance Profile (Fig 1.)

Figure 11: Direct Stiffness vs. Preload for Operating Seal Clearance Profile (Fig. 1)
Figure 12: Direct Damping vs. Preload for Operating Seal Clearance Profile (Fig. 1)

Figure 13: Cross Coupled Stiffness vs. Preload for Operating Seal Clearance Profile (Fig. 1)
profile of a SSME-HPOTP seal. Finally a procedure is presented for locating the operating equilibrium position of the seal given the preload acting on the seal.
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APPENDIX

The coefficient expressions for the first order equations are defined as

\begin{align*}
A_u &= h_0 \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial z} + \left( \frac{F_{so}}{U_{so}} + \frac{F_{ro}}{U_{ro}} \right) + (f_v U_{so} + f_r U_{ro}) \\
A_v &= h_0 \frac{\partial u_0}{R \partial \beta} + u_0 v_0 \frac{F_{so}}{U_{so}} + u_0 (v_0 - w) \frac{F_{ro}}{U_{ro}} \\
A_h &= -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p_0}{\partial z} - u_0 \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial z} - \frac{v_0}{R} \frac{\partial v_0}{\partial \beta} + \frac{u_0}{h_0} (h_{so} U_{so} + h_r U_{ro}) \\
B_u &= h_0 \frac{\partial v_0}{\partial z} + u_0 v_0 \frac{F_{so}}{U_{so}} + u_0 (v_0 - w) \frac{F_{ro}}{U_{ro}} \\
B_v &= h_0 \frac{\partial v_0}{R \partial \beta} + v_0^2 \frac{F_{so}}{U_{so}} + (v_0 - w)^2 \frac{F_{ro}}{U_{ro}} + f_s U_{so} + f_r U_{ro} \\
B_h &= -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p_0}{\partial z} - u_0 \frac{\partial v_0}{\partial z} - \frac{v_0}{R} \frac{\partial v_0}{\partial \beta} + v_0 U_{so} \frac{h_{so}}{h_0} + (v_0 - w) U_{ro} \frac{h_r}{h_0} \\
\end{align*}

with further definitions for Moody's and Hirs' friction models given in the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Moody's Model</th>
<th>Hirs' Model</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$U_{so} = (u_0^2 + (v_0 - w)^2)^{1/2}$</td>
<td>$U_{so} = (u_0^2 + (v_0 - w)^2)^{1/2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_{so} = (u_0^2 + v_0^2)^{1/2}$</td>
<td>$R_{so} = (u_0^2 + v_0^2)^{1/2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f_{rs} = \frac{0.0055}{4} \left[ 1 + (10^4 \frac{K_r}{h_0} + 10^6 \frac{1}{R_{ro}})^{1/3} \right]$</td>
<td>$f_{rs} = \frac{0.0055}{4} \left[ 1 + (10^4 \frac{K_r}{h_0} + 10^6 \frac{1}{R_{ro}})^{1/3} \right]$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f_{so} = \frac{0.0055 \times 10^8}{4} \left[ 1 + (10^4 \frac{K_s}{h_0} + 10^6 \frac{1}{R_{so}})^{1/3} \right]$</td>
<td>$f_{so} = \frac{0.0055 \times 10^8}{4} \left[ 1 + (10^4 \frac{K_s}{h_0} + 10^6 \frac{1}{R_{so}})^{1/3} \right]$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$g_{rs} = \frac{0.0055 \times 10^6}{12} \left( 10^4 \frac{K_r}{h_0} + 10^6 \frac{1}{R_{ro}} \right)^{-2/3}$</td>
<td>$g_{rs} = \frac{0.0055 \times 10^6}{12} \left( 10^4 \frac{K_r}{h_0} + 10^6 \frac{1}{R_{ro}} \right)^{-2/3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$g_{so} = \frac{0.0055 \times 10^6}{12} \left( 10^4 \frac{K_s}{h_0} + 10^6 \frac{1}{R_{so}} \right)^{1/3}$</td>
<td>$g_{so} = \frac{0.0055 \times 10^6}{12} \left( 10^4 \frac{K_s}{h_0} + 10^6 \frac{1}{R_{so}} \right)^{1/3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$h_{rs} = \frac{0.0055 \times 10^6}{12} \left( 10^4 \frac{K_r}{h_0} + 10^6 \frac{1}{R_{ro}} \right)^{1/3}$</td>
<td>$h_{rs} = \frac{0.0055 \times 10^6}{12} \left( 10^4 \frac{K_r}{h_0} + 10^6 \frac{1}{R_{ro}} \right)^{1/3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$h_{so} = \frac{0.0055 \times 10^6}{12} \left( 10^4 \frac{K_s}{h_0} + 10^6 \frac{1}{R_{so}} \right)^{1/3}$</td>
<td>$h_{so} = \frac{0.0055 \times 10^6}{12} \left( 10^4 \frac{K_s}{h_0} + 10^6 \frac{1}{R_{so}} \right)^{1/3}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The first-order governing equations are expressed in terms of the $a_i$ and $b_i$ functions as;
\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{h_0}{\rho} \frac{\partial a_1}{\partial z} + (A_u - u_0 \frac{\partial h_0}{\partial z}) a_3 + h_0 \omega a_4 (A_u - \frac{u_0 \partial h_0}{R \partial \beta}) a_5 &= -\frac{h_0 v_0}{R} \frac{\partial a_3}{\partial \beta} + \frac{h_0 u_0}{R} \frac{\partial a_5}{\partial \beta} \\
- c_0 [(A_h + u_0 \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial z} + \frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial v_0}{\partial \beta}) \cos \beta - \frac{u_0 v_0}{R} \sin \beta] \\
\frac{h_0}{\rho} \frac{\partial a_2}{\partial z} - h_0 \omega a_3 + (A_u - u_0 \frac{\partial h_0}{\partial z}) a_4 + (A_u - \frac{u_0 \partial h_0}{R \partial \beta}) a_6 &= c_0 \omega u_0 \cos \beta - \frac{h_0 v_0}{R} \frac{\partial a_4}{\partial \beta} \\
&+ \frac{h_0 u_0}{R} \frac{\partial a_6}{\partial \beta} \\
\frac{h_0}{\rho} \frac{\partial a_3}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial h_0}{\partial z} a_3 + \frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial h_0}{\partial \beta} a_5 &= c_0 [(\frac{\partial u_0}{\partial z} + \frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial v_0}{\partial \beta}) \cos \beta - \frac{v_0}{R} \sin \beta] - \frac{h_0}{\rho} \frac{\partial a_5}{\partial \beta} \\
\frac{h_0}{\rho} \frac{\partial a_4}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial h_0}{\partial z} a_4 + \frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial h_0}{\partial \beta} a_6 &= -c_0 \omega \cos \beta - \frac{h_0}{\rho} \frac{\partial a_6}{\partial \beta} \\
h_0 u_0 \frac{\partial a_5}{\partial z} + B_u a_3 + B_v a_5 + h_0 \omega a_6 &= -c_0 B_h \cos \beta - \frac{h_0}{\rho} \frac{\partial a_1}{\partial \beta} - \frac{h_0 v_0}{R} \frac{\partial a_5}{\partial \beta} \\
h_0 u_0 \frac{\partial a_6}{\partial z} + B_u a_4 - h_0 \omega a_5 + B_v a_6 &= -\frac{h_0}{\rho} \frac{\partial a_2}{\partial \beta} - \frac{h_0 v_0}{R} \frac{\partial a_6}{\partial \beta} \\
h_0 \frac{\partial b_1}{\rho} \frac{\partial z} + (A_u - u_0 \frac{\partial h_0}{\partial z}) b_3 + h_0 \omega b_4 + (A_u - \frac{u_0 \partial h_0}{R \partial \beta}) b_5 &= -c_0 \omega u_0 \sin \beta - \frac{h_0 v_0}{R} \frac{\partial b_3}{\partial \beta} \\
&+ \frac{h_0 u_0}{R} \frac{\partial b_5}{\partial \beta} \\
h_0 \frac{\partial b_2}{\rho} \frac{\partial z} - h_0 \omega b_3 + (A_u - u_0 \frac{\partial h_0}{\partial z}) b_4 + (A_u - \frac{u_0 \partial h_0}{R \partial \beta}) b_6 &= -c_0 [(A_h + u_0 (\frac{\partial u_0}{\partial z} + \frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial v_0}{\partial \beta}) \sin \beta + \frac{u_0 v_0}{R} \cos \beta] - \frac{h_0 v_0}{R} \frac{\partial b_4}{\partial \beta} + \frac{h_0 u_0}{R} \frac{\partial b_6}{\partial \beta} \\
h_0 \frac{\partial b_3}{\rho} \frac{\partial z} + \frac{\partial h_0}{\partial z} b_3 + \frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial h_0}{\partial \beta} b_5 &= c_0 \omega \sin \beta - \frac{h_0}{\rho} \frac{\partial b_5}{\partial \beta} \\
h_0 \frac{\partial b_4}{\rho} \frac{\partial z} + \frac{\partial h_0}{\partial z} b_4 + \frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial h_0}{\partial \beta} b_6 &= c_0 \frac{v_0}{R} \cos \beta + [(\frac{\partial u_0}{\partial z} + \frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial v_0}{\partial \beta}) \sin \beta] - \frac{h_0}{\rho} \frac{\partial b_6}{\partial \beta} \\
h_0 u_0 \frac{\partial b_5}{\rho} \frac{\partial z} + B_u b_3 + B_v b_5 + h_0 \omega b_6 &= -\frac{h_0}{\rho} \frac{\partial b_1}{\partial \beta} - \frac{h_0 v_0}{R} \frac{\partial b_5}{\partial \beta} \\
h_0 u_0 \frac{\partial b_6}{\rho} \frac{\partial z} + B_u b_4 - h_0 \omega b_5 + B_v b_6 &= -c_0 B_h \sin \beta - \frac{h_0}{\rho} \frac{\partial b_2}{\partial \beta} - \frac{h_0 v_0}{R} \frac{\partial b_6}{\partial \beta}
\end{align*}
\]
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Linear Taper</th>
<th>Quadratic Curve</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( f_1(z) = a_1 + a_2 z )</td>
<td>( f_1(z) = a_1 + a_2 z + a_3 z^2 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( f_2(z) = b_1 + b_2 z )</td>
<td>( f_2(z) = b_1 + b_2 z + b_3 z^2 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial z} = a_2 )</td>
<td>( \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial z} = a_2 + 2a_3 z )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial z} = b_2 )</td>
<td>( \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial z} = b_2 + 2b_3 z )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( a_1 = R + c_i )</td>
<td>( a_1 = R + c_i )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( a_2 = \frac{1}{L}(c_r - c_i) )</td>
<td>( a_2 = \frac{1}{L}(c_r - 4c_m + 3c_i) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( a_3 = \frac{2}{L^2}(c_r - 2c_m + c_i) )</td>
<td>( a_3 = \frac{2}{L^2}(c_r - 2c_m + c_i) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( b_1 = R + (1 - \delta)c_i )</td>
<td>( b_1 = R + (1 - \delta)c_i )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( b_2 = \frac{1}{L}(1 - \delta)(c_r - c_i) )</td>
<td>( b_2 = \frac{1}{L}(1 - \delta)(c_r - 4c_m + 3c_i) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( b_3 = \frac{1}{L^2}(1 - \delta)(c_r - 2c_m + c_i) )</td>
<td>( b_3 = \frac{1}{L^2}(1 - \delta)(c_r - 2c_m + c_i) )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Gradients of the clearance function for elliptical seal are given by:

\[
\begin{align*}
  c(z, \beta) &= \sqrt{(f_1(z)\cos\beta)^2 + (f_2(z)\sin\beta)^2} - R \\
  \frac{\partial c}{\partial z} &= \frac{f_1 f_1' \cos^2 \beta + f_2 f_2' \sin^2 \beta}{\sqrt{(f_1 \cos \beta)^2 + (f_2 \sin \beta)^2}} \\
  \frac{\partial c}{\partial \beta} &= \frac{(f_2^2 - f_1^2) \cos \beta \sin \beta}{\sqrt{(f_1 \cos \beta)^2 + (f_2 \sin \beta)^2}}
\end{align*}
\]