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Objectives:

- Introduce ASTM Organization and Activities
- Offer ASTM as a Resource
- Recruit New, Active Members
American Society for Testing and Materials

Definition:

A not-for-profit, voluntary, full-consensus Standards Development Organization.

ASTM publishes standards for Materials, Products, Systems and Services

Activities encompass Metals, Composites, Adhesives, Plastics, Textiles, paints, petroleum, construction, energy, the environment, consumer products, medical services and devices, computer systems, electronics, and many others.
American Society for Testing and Materials

Purpose:

"the Development of Standards . . . and the Promotion of Related Knowledge."

Promotion of Related Knowledge Accomplished through:

- Symposia and Workshops
- Technical Publications
American Society for Testing and Materials

ASTM produces six principal types of *Standards*. They are:

**Standard Test Methods** - a definitive procedure for the identification, measurement, and evaluation of one or more qualities, characteristics, or properties of a material, product, system, or service that produces a test result.

**Standard Specification** - a precise statement of a set of requirements to be satisfied by a material, product, system, or service that also indicates the procedures for determining whether each of the requirements is satisfied.

**Standard Practice** - a definitive procedure for performing one or more specific operations or functions that does not produce a test result.

**Standard Terminology** - a document comprised of terms, definitions, descriptions of terms, explanations of symbols, abbreviations, or acronyms.

**Standard Guide** - a series of options or instructions that do not recommend a specific course of action.

**Standard Classification** - a systematic arrangement or division of materials, products, systems, or services into groups based on similar characteristics such as origin, composition, properties, or use.
American Society for Testing and Materials

Technical Publications:

ASTM publishes a variety of technical documents other than standards. They include:

Special Technical Publications (STPs) - collections of peer-reviewed technical papers. Most STPs are based on symposia sponsored by ASTM Technical Committees.

Manuals, Monographs, and Data Series -

Technical Journals:

- *Journal of Composites Technology and Research*
- *Journal of Testing and Evaluation*
- *Cement, Concrete, and Aggregates*
- *Geotechnical Testing Journal*
- *Journal of Forensic Sciences*

*Note: Papers presented in all publications are peer reviewed.*
American Society for Testing and Materials

Facts and Figures:

- Organized In 1898.

- Membership Totals 34,000 Worldwide.

- 132 Standards-Writing Committees.

- Publishes 9000 ASTM Standards In The 69 Volume Annual Book Of ASTM Standards.

- Conducts Approximately 40 Symposia Annually.

- Publishes 40 To 50 Standard Technical Publications (STPs) Annually.
American Society for Testing and Materials

Information:

American Society for Testing and Materials
1916 Race Street
Philadelphia, Pa
19103-1187

Telephone: (215) 299-5400
FAX: (215) 977-9679
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Test Methods, Practices, Guides, and Terminology Documents:

High Modulus Fibers and Their Composite Materials

Test Methods:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Method</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D2344 - 84 (1989)</td>
<td>Apparent Interlaminar Shear Strength of Parallel Fiber Composites by Short-Beam Method</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D2290 - 87</td>
<td>Apparent Tensile Strength of Ring or Tubular Plastics and Reinforced Plastics by Split Disk Method</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D3410 - 87</td>
<td>Compressive Properties of Unidirectional or Crossply Fiber-Resin Composites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D3171 - 76 (1990)</td>
<td>Fiber Content of Resin-Matrix Composites by Matrix Digestion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D3553 - 76 (1989)</td>
<td>Fiber Content by Digestion of Reinforced Metal Matrix Composites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D3532 - 76 (1989)</td>
<td>Gel Time of Carbon Fiber-Epoxy Prepreg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D2585 - 68 (1990)</td>
<td>Preparation and Tension Testing of Filament-Wound Pressure Vessels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C613 - 67 (1990)</td>
<td>Resin Content of Carbon and Graphite Prepregs by Solvent Extraction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D3529/3529M - 90</td>
<td>Resin Solids Content of Carbon Fiber-Epoxy Prepreg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D3552 - 77 (1989)</td>
<td>Tensile Properties of Fiber Reinforced Metal Matrix Composites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D3039 - 76 (1989)</td>
<td>Tensile Properties of Fiber-Resin Composites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D3479 - 76 (1990)</td>
<td>Tension-Tension Fatigue of Oriented Fiber Resin Matrix Composites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D4108 - 87</td>
<td>Thermal Protective-Performance of Materials for Clothing by Open-Flame Method</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D3530/D3530M-90</td>
<td>Volatiles Content of Epoxy-Matrix Prepreg by Matrix Dissolution</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ASTM Committee D-30, on High Modulus Fibers and Their Composites

Test Methods, Practices, Guides, and Terminology Documents (Cont.) :

Practices:
D2291 - 83 (1989) Fabrication of Ring Test Specimens for Glass-Resin Composites
D3518 - 91 Inplane Shear Stress-Strain Response of Unidirectional Reinforced Plastics

Terminology Relating:
D3878 - 87 High-Modulus Reinforcing Fibers and Their Composites

Guides:
D4762 - 88 Automotive/Industrial Composite Materials, Testing of
D4255 - 83 Inplane Shear Properties of Composite Laminates, Testing

High Modulus Fibers

Test Methods:
D3800 - 79 (1990) Density of High-Modulus Fibers
D4018 - 81 Tensile Properties of Continuous Filament Carbon and Graphite Yarns, Strands, Rovings, and Tows
D3379 - 75 (1989) Tensile Strength and Young's Modulus for High-Modulus Single-Filament Materials

Terminology Relating:
D3878 - 87 High-Modulus Reinforcing Fibers and Their Composites

Guides:
D3544 - 76 (1989) Reporting Test Methods and Results on High Modulus Fibers
ASTM Committee D-30, on High Modulus Fibers and Their Composites

Recent Special Technical Publications:

STP 1059: Composite Materials: Test and Design (Ninth Volume)
S. P. Garbo, Ed. - 1990

STP 1080: Thermal and Mechanical Behavior of Metal Matrix and Ceramic Matrix Composite Materials

STP 1110: Composite Materials: Fatigue and Fracture (Third Volume)

STP 1120: Composite Materials: Testing and Design (Tenth Volume)
G. C. Grimes, Ed. - 1992

STP 1128: Damage Detection in Composite Materials
J. E. Masters, Ed. - 1992

STP 1156: Composite Materials: Fatigue and Fracture (Fourth Volume)
W. W. Stinchcomb and N. E. Ashbaugh, Eds. - 1993

STP 1174: High Temperature and Environmental Effects on Polymeric Composites
C. E. Harris and T. S. Gates, Eds. - 1993

J. E. Masters and L. N. Gilbertson, Eds. - 1993

STP 1206: Composite Materials: Testing and Design (Eleventh Volume)
E. T. Camponeschi, Ed. - 1993
A Survey Of Major Aircraft Manufacturers Indicates that:

- Procedures Are Designed to Minimize the Risk of Spending A Large Amount Of Funds On Materials Which Do Not Meet *Structural* or *Processing* Requirements.


- Although The Tests Employed Were Not Identical, The Properties Measured At Each Level Of Investigation Were Similar From Company To Company.

- Majority Of Tests Focus On Obtaining The Mechanical Properties Which Are Most Useful To The *Designer* and The *Structural Analyst* But Which May *Not* Be Of Great Interest To The *Material Scientist*.

- Three Major Design Factors that Control the Weight of an Aircraft: *Stiffness, Damage Tolerance, and Stress Concentrations at Cut-Outs and Loaded Bolt Holes.*
Composite Material: Characterization and Evaluation

Screening Evaluation:

- First Step In the Material Characterization and Evaluation Process.

- Objective: Determine Material **Acceptability** for Aircraft Structural Applications.

- Compared Candidate Material To A Baseline Material To Determine if a More Extensive Evaluation Program is Warranted.

- 50 to 60 Tests Typically Performed.
Composite Material: Characterization and Evaluation

Screening Evaluation Tests:

A list of test methods commonly employed in screening evaluations is contained in the following table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Type</th>
<th>Properties Measured</th>
<th>Environmental Condition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0° Tension</td>
<td>Strength, Modulus</td>
<td>RTA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0° Compression</td>
<td>Strength, Modulus</td>
<td>RTA, ETW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+/- 45° Tension</td>
<td>Strength, Modulus</td>
<td>CTA, RTA, ETW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interlaminar Shear</td>
<td>Strength</td>
<td>RTA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laminate Compression</td>
<td>Strength</td>
<td>RTA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open Hole Tension</td>
<td>Strength</td>
<td>CTA, RTA, ETW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open Hole Compression</td>
<td>Strength</td>
<td>CTA, RTA, ETW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compression after Impact</td>
<td>Strength</td>
<td>RTA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bolt Bearing Tension</td>
<td>Strength</td>
<td>RTA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: CTA indicates -65 ° F/Ambient Moisture Conditions
RTA indicates Room Temperature/Ambient Moisture Conditions
ETW indicates Elevated Temperature/Saturated Moisture Conditions
Material Characterization:

- Objective: Establish *Preliminary* Design Properties for Design and Analysis of Test Components for Design Trade Studies.

- Measure Lamina Properties Required to Support Laminated Plate Theory and Failure Criteria.

- Measure Laminate Properties to Support Analysis and Design.

- 200 to 250 Tests Typically Performed.
Composite Material: Characterization and Evaluation

Materials Characterization Tests:

A list of test methods commonly employed in materials characterization tests is contained in the following table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Type</th>
<th>Properties Measured</th>
<th>Environmental Condition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0° Tension</td>
<td>Strength, Modulus, Poisson's Ratio</td>
<td>CTA, RTA, ETW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90° Tension</td>
<td>Strength, Modulus, Poisson's Ratio</td>
<td>CTA, RTA, ETW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0° Compression</td>
<td>Strength, Modulus</td>
<td>CTA, RTA, ETW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90° Compression</td>
<td>Strength, Modulus</td>
<td>CTA, RTA, ETW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+/- 45° Tension</td>
<td>Modulus</td>
<td>CTA, RTA, ETW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In-Plane Shear</td>
<td>Strength</td>
<td>RTA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interlaminar Shear</td>
<td>Strength</td>
<td>RTA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interlaminar Tension</td>
<td>Strength</td>
<td>RTA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laminate Compression</td>
<td>Strength, Modulus</td>
<td>CTA, RTA, ETW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open Hole Tension</td>
<td>Strength</td>
<td>CTA, RTA, ETW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open Hole Tension (Fatigue)</td>
<td>S-N Data</td>
<td>RTA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filled Hole Tension</td>
<td>Strength</td>
<td>RTA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open Hole Compression</td>
<td>Strength</td>
<td>CTA, RTA, ETW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filled Hole Compression</td>
<td>Strength</td>
<td>RTA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compression after Impact</td>
<td>Strength</td>
<td>RTA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bolt Bearing Tension</td>
<td>Strength</td>
<td>RTA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mode I Delamination Resistance</td>
<td>GIC</td>
<td>RTA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mode II Delamination Resistance</td>
<td>GIIIC</td>
<td>RTA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: **Bold Type** indicates tests performed in Screening Evaluation  
CTA indicates -65 ° F/ Ambient Moisture Conditions  
RTA indicates Room Temperature/ Ambient Moisture Conditions  
ETW indicates Elevated Temperature/ Saturated Moisture Conditions
Composite Material: Characterization and Evaluation

**Development Of Design Allowables:**

- Objective: Develop *Complete* Database for *Final Design* and *Certification*.

- Same Types of Tests Used in Materials Screening and Characterization Evaluations.

- Test Matrix *Expanded* to Include Additional Laminate Configurations, Alternate Specimen Geometries (e.g. Width/Diam. Ratios), Additional Environmental Conditions, More Replicate Tests on Samples taken from Several Batches of Material.

- Could Total Thousands of Tests Depending on Certification Requirements.
Composite Material:  
Characterization and Evaluation

**Tests Applied to Laminated Tape Composites:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TEST TYPE</th>
<th>TEST METHOD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• TENSION:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unnotched</td>
<td>ASTM D3039, D3518</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MISC. COMPANY METHODS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notched</td>
<td>SACMA SRM 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NASA 1142- B9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• COMPRESSION:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unnotched</td>
<td>ASTM 3410</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SACMA SRM 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NASA SHORT BLOCK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MISC. COMPANY METHODS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notched</td>
<td>SACMA SRM 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NASA 1092 ST-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MISC. COMPANY METHODS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• COMPRESSION AFTER IMPACT</td>
<td>SACMA SRM 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NASA 1142 B11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• BOLT BEARING</td>
<td>MISC. COMPANY METHODS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• INTERLAMINAR TENSION</td>
<td>FLATWISE TENSION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CURVED BEAM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• INTERLAMINAR SHEAR</td>
<td>ASTM D2344</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• MODE I DELAMINATION</td>
<td>DOUBLE CANTILEVER BEAM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• MODE II DELAMINATION</td>
<td>END NOTCHED FLEXURE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: SACMA Indicates Test Methods Developed by the Suppliers of Advanced Composite Materials Association
Composite Material: 
Characterization and Evaluation

**Physical Properties Measured:**

**Prepreg Tape:**

- Resin Content
- Fiber Content
- Volatile Content

**Cured Laminates:**

- Resin Content
- Fiber Content
- Void Content
- Density/Specific Gravity
- Glass Transition Temperature (Dry and Wet)
- Equilibrium Moisture Content
- Thermal Conductivity
- Heat Capacity
- Coefs. of Thermal Expansion
- Thermal Oxidative Stability
Program Objective:

As indicated below, the objective of this on-going effort, simply stated, is to develop a set of test methods and guidelines to be used to measure the mechanical and physical properties of composite materials reinforced with fibrous textile preforms. Investigations conducted to date have indicated that existing methods, which were developed largely to evaluate laminated tape type composites, may not adequately address the subtleties of these new material forms.

Develop And Verify Recommended Mechanical Test Procedures And Instrumentation Techniques For Textile Composites
Development of Test Methods for Textile Composites

Statement of Problem:

The problem to be addressed is summarized in the two bullet statements given below. Simply stated, the test methods listed in the previous figures were developed to evaluate composite materials formed by laminating layers of pre-impregnated fiber-reinforced tape. The microstructure of these laminated composite materials differs significantly from the braided, woven, and stitched materials to be evaluated in this program. The fiber architecture will play a prime role in determining the mechanical response of these textile composite materials. Will existing methods and practices accurately reflect the material response of these materials?

➢ TEST METHODS DEVELOPED FOR LAMINATED TAPE COMPOSITES

➢ TEXTILE ARCHITECTURE CONTROLS MATERIAL RESPONSE
Development of Test Methods for Textile Composites

Textile Composites Testing Issues:

It is not difficult to identify a number of specific testing issues relative to textile composites. Several of these concerns, which are applicable to virtually all of the test methods listed on the previous page, are listed below.

The first two reflect the unique size effects these materials may present. A unit cell is defined as the smallest unit of repeated fiber architecture. It may be considered the building block of the material. The size of the unit cell is dependent on a number of factors including the size of the yarns, the angle at which they are intertwined or interwoven, and the intricacy of the braid or weave pattern. A representative volume of material must be tested and monitored to accurately reflect true material response. Specimen geometry and strain gage sizes must be reexamined in terms of unit cell size. The effect of the sizes of the yarn bundles must also be considered since they may also affect the performance and the measurements. This is expressed in the third statement.

The final three items on the list reflect concerns over specimen geometry. Test specimen dimensions established for tape type composites may not be applicable to textile composites. The degree of heterogeneity present in the latter materials is quite different than that encountered in the former. The potential effects of these differences must be also quantified.

A limited amount of relevant data has been developed for 2-D triaxially braided textile composites. These results will be reviewed in the following section. They include Moiré interferometry and strength and modulus measurements.

- EFFECT OF UNIT CELL SIZE ON MECHANICAL PERFORMANCE
- EFFECT OF UNIT CELL SIZE ON STRAIN GAGE AND DISPLACEMENT MEASUREMENTS
- EFFECT OF TOW SIZE AND FIBER ARCHITECTURE ON MECHANICAL PERFORMANCE
- EFFECT OF FINITE WIDTH ON UNNOTCHED AND OPEN-HOLE SPECIMENS
- EFFECT OF EDGE CONDITIONS ON MECHANICAL PERFORMANCE
- EFFECT OF TEXTILE THICKNESS ON MECHANICAL PERFORMANCE
Development of Test Methods for Textile Composites

Program Approach:

A straightforward approach has been adopted to meet the objective outlined in the previous figure. An extensive test program will be conducted to gather data addressing the concerns listed earlier. The program, which will include a wide variety of woven, braided, and stitched preform architectures, will consider several loading conditions.

The general approach is outlined below. Details of material tested and test methods are supplied in the following pages.

- IDENTIFY AND/OR DESIGN AND DEVELOP SPECIMEN CONFIGURATIONS AND TEXT FIXTURES

- CONDUCT MECHANICAL TEST PROGRAM
  - Variety of Test Methods
  - Variety of Instrumentation Techniques
  - Full Field Strain Measurements
  - Analytical Support

- IDENTIFY SMALLEST LEVEL OF HOMOGENEITY

- IDENTIFY APPROPRIATE TEST METHODS AND INSTRUMENTATION GUIDELINES
Development of Test Methods for Textile Composites

Description of Material Tested: Preforms and Textile Parameters Studied

Fifteen woven, braided, and stitched preforms will be evaluated in the program. The preform types are listed below in the table; the number of each type to be tested is indicated in parentheses. The table also lists the braid parameter that will be varied for each preform type. The list of materials reflects the material forms that are being evaluated by the aircraft manufacturers in the ACT program.

TEXTILE PREFORM TYPES:

➢ 2-D TRIAXIAL BRAIDS - (4)
   • Tow Size
   • % Longitudinal Tows
   • Braid Angle

➢ 3-D INTERLOCK WEAVE - (6)
   • Weave Type - (3)
   • Warp, Weft, and Weaver Tow Size

➢ STITCHED UNIWEAVE - (5)
   • Stitch Material
   • Stitch Spacing
   • Stitch Yarn Size

MATERIALS:

FIBER: HERCULES AS4
RESIN: SHELL 1895
Development of Test Methods for Textile Composites

Description of Material Tested:
Triaxial Braid Pattern

The specimens studied in this investigation featured 2-D triaxially braided AS4 graphite fiber preforms impregnated with Shell 1895 epoxy resin. In a triaxially braided preform three yarns are intertwined to form a single layer of $0^\circ/\pm 45^\circ$ material. In this case, the braided yarns are intertwined in a 2 x 2 pattern. Each $+45^\circ$ yarn crosses alternatively over and under two $-45^\circ$ yarns and vice versa. The $0^\circ$ yarns were inserted between the braided yarns. This yields a two dimensional material. The figure below schematically illustrates the fiber architecture and establishes the nomenclature used in the paper.

The yarns were braided over a cylindrical mandrel to a nominal thickness of 0.125 in. The desired preform thickness was achieved by overbraiding layers; there are no through-the-thickness fibers. After braiding, the preforms were removed from the mandrel, slit along the $0^\circ$ fiber direction, flattened, and border stitched to minimize fiber shifting. The resin was introduced via a resin transfer molding process.
Development of Test Methods for Textile Composites

Triaxial Braid Configurations Tested:

Three preform parameters, braid angle, yarn size, and 0° yarn content, were varied in this study. The last parameter listed is typically expressed as a percentage of 0° yarns. It is the volumetric proportion of longitudinal yarns to total yarn content and is a function of braid angle and yarn size. Yarn size is expressed in terms of the number of filaments per yarn. The AS4 fibers used in these materials have a nominal diameter of 7 microns. The longitudinal yarns were larger than the braided yarns in all cases. The B1 and B2 architectures had the same yarn sizes; they differed in braid angle and 0° yarn content. The preform parameters are listed in the table.

The fabrics were formed with a 144 carrier New England Butt triaxial braider, incorporating 72 longitudinal yarns. The mandrel diameters varied for each architecture. Since the number of carriers was constant, this had the effect of changing the yarn spacing. These parameters are also listed in the table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MATERIAL</th>
<th>BRAID PATTERN</th>
<th>BRAIDER YARN SIZE</th>
<th>0° YARN SIZE</th>
<th>0° YARN CONTENT (%)</th>
<th>0° YARN SPACING (Yarn/In.)</th>
<th>BRAID YARN SPACING (Yarn/In.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td>0/±63°</td>
<td>12K</td>
<td>24K</td>
<td>31.5</td>
<td>4.17</td>
<td>9.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B1</td>
<td>0/±66.5°</td>
<td>6K</td>
<td>18K</td>
<td>37.6</td>
<td>4.77</td>
<td>11.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2</td>
<td>0/±70°</td>
<td>6K</td>
<td>18K</td>
<td>34.0</td>
<td>4.37</td>
<td>12.74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: K indicates thousands. For the AS-4 yarns, each filament is 7 microns in diameter.
Development of Test Methods for Textile Composites

Unit Cell Definition:

A convenient way to describe textile preforms is to identify a unit cell of material—a repeatable unit of fabric geometry. The unit cell represents the complete yarn intertwine ment pattern. The unit cell approach has become the foundation of textile analysis and serves as a convenient framework in which to interpret experimental data.

The rhombic frame shown in the figure defines a unit cell for the 2-D triaxially braided material studied in this program. For computational purposes, it is desirable to define the smallest unit cell possible. In some analyses, rectangular unit cells are also required. The rectangular section shown in the figure represents the smallest unit cell identified.

The table shown below contains the dimensions of the unit cells for the three architectures tested. The unit cell width is dependent on the mandrel diameter and the number of yarns braided. The height of the unit cell is dependent on the cell width and the braid angle. Even though a conservative definition of the unit cell was applied in this case, the data in the table indicate that the unit cells can be quite large compared to typical specimen and strain gage dimensions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MATERIAL</th>
<th>WIDTH (in.)</th>
<th>HEIGHT (in.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B1</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
As indicated earlier, Moiré interferometry was used to define the full field strain distribution in these braided specimens. The technique defines deformation patterns in both the vertical and horizontal directions. The technique was applied to specimens subjected to longitudinal and transverse loading. These results are shown in this and the following figures.

The figure below illustrates the specimen geometry and highlights the section studied. The vertical displacement field that resulted when a specimen was loaded to 1200 micro-strain along the 0° fiber direction is also shown in the figure.

The vertical displacement fields (V fields) consist of basically horizontal fringes; this indicates specimen extension where points along one fringe have been displaced vertically with respect to points along a neighboring fringe. For a uniform extension the fringes should be evenly spaced and straight. The fringes for the specimens tested, however, are wavy and the spacing between them varies. The variation is cyclic and coincides with the repeated unit of the textile architecture.
The horizontal displacement patterns (U fields) consist of zigzag vertical fringes that display the Poisson's effect. For uniform contraction the fringes should be straight and the spacing constant. The fringes however display a variation which is cyclic, and matches that of the braid geometry. The sharp kinks in the U field fringes reveal the presence of shear strains between the fiber bundles.
ENLARGED VIEW OF TWO UNIT CELLS OF SPECIMEN
(Axial Loading)

The figure shows the V and U fields of a highly magnified region of specimen that consists of two unit cells. The boundaries between adjacent fiber bundles and the outline of the cells are marked. It was revealed that the shear deformation at interfaces between the fiber bundles occurred over a finite width. This width is illustrated in the patterns as the distance between the closely spaced lines. This is consistent with the presence of the resin rich areas between the fiber bundles, which was on the order of one fifth of the width of the fiber bundle itself. The U field shows that the shear strain $\gamma_{xy}$ in the resin rich zones was on the order of 0.5 times that of the average applied normal strain $\varepsilon_y$. Additionally, the U field shows that the Poisson effect was nearly constant across the unit cell. The V displacement pattern clearly shows that the strain $\varepsilon_y$ varies significantly within each unit cell as can be seen by the nonuniform fringe spacing. The ratio of maximum strain $\varepsilon_y$ to minimum strain was about 2 to 1. The normal strain varies on top of the fiber bundles and is nearly constant throughout all of the resin rich zones.
Interferometry was also performed on specimens loaded in the transverse direction (i.e. at 90° to the axial direction). This figure shows the region investigated in these specimens. The pattern of the surface braided yarns is shown schematically in the figure. The deformation fields that developed in these coupons are shown in the next two figures.
In general, the interferometry results indicate that greater variations in normal and shear strains existed in specimens loaded in the transverse direction than in the axial direction.

This figure displays the vertical displacement field for a coupon loaded in the transverse direction. The location of the yarns is evident in the vertical displacement fringe patterns, where sudden jogs in the fringes represent strong shear strains in the resin rich regions between the yarns. From the V displacement pattern, the spacing of the fringes in the vertical direction displays a cyclic variation. The strains are highest over the region where there are 90° fibers under the braider yarns. They are lowest over the regions where the braider yarns cross. The difference between the average strains in these areas is on the order of 3 times.

Unlike the axial loading case, the cyclic variation is not confined to the dimensions of the unit cell. The variation breaches the unit cell to form a global material response that covers the entire specimen. This is illustrated by the horizontal bands seen in the figure. They span several unit cells and extend across the specimen width.
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Effect of Strain Gage Size on Modulus:

The inhomogeneity in the strain fields demonstrated in the Moiré interferometric results discussed in the previous slides has significant implications with regard to specimen instrumentation. The large strain gradients seen within the unit cell graphically illustrate the need to measure strain over a truly representative volume of material to get an accurate determination of the global material response. Local strain readings can be misleading and confusing.

The data shown in the figure below demonstrate these points. The figure plots the measured transverse modulus of several B1 laminates vs. the size of the gages used to measure the strain. The gages ranged in length from 0.062 in. to 1.0 in.; the preform's unit cell measures 0.42 in. in this direction. The average modulus and the standard deviation of the data are shown in the figure. As the figure indicates, significant scatter was evident in the results obtained using the small gages. These effects are reduced as the length of the gage increased. The results also indicate that average value also decreased as strain gage size increased.

The results illustrate the need to consider the textile architecture when choosing instrumentation for a specimen.

Transverse Modulus vs Strain Gage Size
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Effect of Strain Gage Size on Modulus:

The figure shown below presents results of a second evaluation of the effect of strain gage size on modulus measurements. The figure plots the coefficient of variation in the computed modulus measurements versus strain gage size (normalized to the dimension of the unit cell in the direction of loading). Six strain gage types were examined in these measurements. Their dimensions are indicated in the legend in the figure. A line indicating the point where the strain gage length equals the unit cell dimension has been added to the figure to aid in interpreting the data. Similarly, a line marking the point where the coefficient of variation equals 5% has also been added to the figure.

The figure again illustrates the need to consider the textile architecture when choosing instrumentation for a specimen.

![Graph showing the effect of strain gage size on modulus measurements. The x-axis represents the ratio of gage length to unit cell length (%), and the y-axis represents the coefficient of variation (%). Different markers indicate different gage types, such as Gage: 125 BZ (.125 x .062), Gage: 125 AD (.125 x .125), Gage: 250 UN (.250 x .120), Gage: 250 AE (.250 x .250), Gage: 500 UW (.500 x .180), and Gage: 500 AE (.500 x .500). A horizontal line at 5% coefficient of variation is also shown.]
MATERIAL ARCHITECTURE AND 
TEST COUPON GEOMETRY

The preform architecture must also be considered when designing test specimens. The figure below contains a photograph of a tensile test coupon. The specimen, which is typical of those commonly used in screening and evaluation test programs, is 1.5 in. wide and 10 in. long. Superposed on the photograph are the B2 architecture's unit cell dimensions. As the figure illustrates, when oriented in this direction, the specimen is only three unit cells wide. This again raises the question of whether a representative volume of material is being sampled in the test.

Specimen width and thickness must be considered when designing test specimens to attain true measures of modulus and strength. Unfortunately, design criteria have not yet been established for these materials.
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Effect of Specimen Width on Strength:

A series of longitudinal tensile tests were conducted to judge cursorily the effect of specimen width on strength of the B2 type 2-D triaxially braided laminates defined in an earlier figure. In these tests specimen width was varied from 1.0 in. (2 unit cells wide) to 4.0 in. (8 unit cells wide).

The results of these tests are shown in the figure below. The data, which have been normalized to 55% fiber volume to simplify the comparison, indicate that specimen width had no apparent effect on the test results for this architecture. The average strengths and the standard deviations of the results (indicated by the bars in the figure) were comparable for each group of tests (note: the 4.0 in. data represents the average of two tests; the standard deviation was not computed).

A larger, more complete, examination of the interaction of textile architecture and test laminate geometry is underway as a part of an effort to develop test methods for textile composites. This effort will be outlined in the following pages.
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Summary Investigation of 2-D Braids:

A brief summary of the technical results reviewed in the presentation is given below. The experimental investigation conducted on 2-D braided materials indicated that significant strain gradients existed within the materials unit cell as a result of the braid architecture. This inhomogeneity in the strain field is an important factor that must be considered when choosing instrumentation for a test specimen. Although the 2-D braided laminates tested did not demonstrate a width effect, the size of the unit cell must also be considered when designing a test specimen.

Finally, the concerns discussed above and others listed in an earlier figure will be addressed in an on-going test method development effort.

- Moiré interferometry identified large strain gradients within the unit cell
- Inhomogeneity in strain field effects instrumentation
- Unit cell size may affect test results
- On-going investigation to define textile test methods underway