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ABSTRACT

Model calculations have been made to simulate the effect of atmospheric ozone and geographical as well as meteorological parameters on solar UV radiation reaching the ground. Total ozone values as measured by Dobson spectrophotometer and Brewer spectrometer as well as turbidity were used as input to the model calculation. The performance of the model was tested by spectroradiometric measurements of solar global UV radiation at Potsdam. There are small differences that can be explained by the uncertainty of the measurements, by the uncertainty of input data to the model and by the uncertainty of the radiative transfer algorithms of the model itself. Some effects of solar radiation to the biosphere and to air chemistry are discussed. Model calculations and spectroradiometric measurements can be used to study variations of the effective radiation in space and time. The comparability of action spectra and their uncertainties are also addressed.

1. INTRODUCTION

Solar UV radiation affects the biosphere, some types of materials and the chemistry of atmospheric trace gases (UNEP 1989, 1991). Due to its dependence on atmospheric ozone, cloudiness and turbidity natural and anthropogenic variations of those parameters can alter the diversity of living species, the air quality in the planetary boundary layer and the climate of the earth. For effects with known action spectra the effective radiation can be determined from model calculations and/or measurements of the spectral distribution of solar radiation.

2. MODEL CALCULATION OF THE EFFECTIVE SOLAR UV RADIATION

A modified version of the model radiation by Green et al. (1974 a, b, 1980) and Schippner and Green (1982) has been applied to simulate solar UV radiation falling on a horizontal or spherical plane in the UV region using a stepwidth of \( \Delta \lambda = 1 \) nm. Depending on location and time of the year, solar zenith angles and distances between the earth and the sun were determined from the algorithms given by Sonne (1989). The extraterrestrial radiation was taken from CIMO (1981), corresponding to a solar constant of 1367 W m\(^{-2}\), and the ozone absorption coefficients from Bass and Par (1985). Values of the effective radiation \( E_{r} (\Theta, z, A) \) for an effect X were determined by

\[
E_{r} (\Theta, z, A, \tau) = \int_{0}^{\infty} e (\lambda) E (\lambda, \Theta, z, A, \tau) d\lambda
\]

For a first approximation, equation (1) can also be used to determine the photolysis rate in [s\(^{-1}\)] of a photodissociation process. In that case we have

\[
e (\lambda) = \phi (\lambda) \cdot \sigma (\lambda)
\]

with the absorption cross section \( \sigma (\lambda) \) of the gas and the quantum yield \( \phi (\lambda) \) of the photodissociation process. In that case, \( E (\lambda, \Theta, z, A) \) is the radiation falling on a sphere.

Fig. 1 shows the radiation amplification factors RAF determined from model calculations for UVB radiation and for some effects of UV radiation. The RAF is defined here as the percentage change of effective UV radiation for a 1% ozone reduction. It can be seen that the RAF depends on the ozone concentration in a non-linear manner, and it also depends on the solar zenith angle (not shown).

Therefore, the latitudinal and seasonal effects of ozone changes on daily totals of the effective solar UV radiation reaching the surface should be estimated by model calculations carried out for typical average conditions and assumed changes of atmospheric parameters. Effects with a longer tail of the action spectrum at wavelengths in the UVA, such as erythemal and photocarcinogenic radiation, show a "saturation effect", i.e. a slight decrease of the RAF values with higher total ozone values.

With \( \sigma (\lambda) \) in eq. (2) as the absorption cross section of ozone (Bass and Par 1985) and \( \phi (\lambda) \) the quantum yield (Aleksandrov et al. 1982), equation (1) was applied to determine the photolysis rate of ozone dissociation

\[
O_{3} + hv \rightarrow O^{3}(D) + O^{3}(A) \quad \lambda < 320 \text{ nm}
\]

which is important for the production of the hydroxyl radical from water vapour in the lower troposphere

\[
O^{3}(D) + H_{2}O \rightarrow 2 OH
\]

Fig. 2 shows the modelled dependence of the \( O \) photolysis rate on total ozone and on height above the surface. Due to aerosol absorption, which is strongest in the lower troposphere, the strong increase in upward scattering of the radiation from air molecules and aerosols with height, and due to the decreasing attenuation of solar radiation with increasing height, the photolysis rate increases by two orders of magnitude, with the strongest increase occurring in the lowest 1000 m above the surface. For a decrease of total ozone from 400 D to 200 D the \( O \) photolysis rate increases by a factor of 3 to 4.

3. MEASUREMENTS OF SOLAR RADIATION

A spectrometer OL 752/10 (Optronic Laboratories) was used for measurements of solar radiation at Potsdam. The instrument is a double monochromator with dual holographic gratings that allow a spectral resolution of 1.5 nm to 10 nm halfwidth. The spectrometer was calibrated by a 200 W tungsten filament lamp, which is absolutely calibrated against an Eppley Standard Cell at the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST).
spectroradiometric accuracy of the calibration relative to NIST is given as ±(2...4)%. Due to a straylight problem below 295 nm radiance values with λ < 295 nm had to be extrapolated from radiances measured at higher wavelengths. The spectrometer was placed on the tower roof platform of the Dobson spectrophotometer (ADDS) at Potsdam. The measured ozone value was decreased by 2.7% to account for the inadequate ozone absorption coefficients that were in use before January 1, 1992 (Hudson et al. 1991). The model calculation is thus in two ways based on the Bass and Pear (1985) ozone absorption coefficients. It can be seen from Fig. 2 that the correspondence between model calculation and measurement is quite good.

However, the uncertainties in the effective radiation depend both on the uncertainties in the measured and modelled solar radiation, and on the uncertainties in the action spectrum. As an example, Table 1 shows the erythemal radiation determined from one spectrum of solar global radiation (cf. Fig. 3), but using erythemal action spectra from different sources. For comparison, the last row in Table 1 shows the result for the photocarcinogenesis. It can be seen that the radiation producing photocarcinogenesis is closest to the latest erythemal action spectrum used (BGBi 1987). The different shapes of the action spectra of the erythemal effect do not only provide different absolute values of the erythemal radiation, but do also produce different dependencies of the effective radiation on atmospheric ozone. If model calculations and measurements are to be compared, there must be a consensus on the action spectra applied. The model has been used to simulate variations of the effective radiation in space and time. As an example, Table 2 shows the percentage ratios of daily totals of UV radiation on June 21, September 23, and December 21, referred to March 21.

4. CONCLUSION

Effects of solar radiation to the biosphere can be studied both by model calculations and measurements. The uncertainties in both approaches do not only arise from inaccurate algorithms, uncertainties of the input parameters to the radiation model and measurement errors, but also from the uncertainties of the action spectra, which describe an "average" or typical behaviour of an individual or a group of species under definite conditions. The different types of erythemal action spectra, which produce different values of erythemal radiation, are an example of the increasing

---

**Table 1** Erythemal radiation determined for a spectrum of measured solar global radiation, G = 59.63%, O3 = 271 D.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Erythemal radiation (W m⁻²)</th>
<th>Rel. to BGBi (1987)</th>
<th>Reference of action spectrum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0194</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>Coblenz and Stair (1924)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0171</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>Berger et al. (1968)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0257</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>Cripps and Ramsey (1970)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0159</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>Komhyr &amp; Machta (1973)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0188</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>DIN (1979)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0283</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>BGBi (1987)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0266</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>Photocarcinogenesis (CIE 1986)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2** Percentage ratios of daily totals of solar global radiation for different effects at the station Arkona (54° 41' N, 13° 26' E) on June 21, September 23, and December 21, referred to March 21.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>June 21</th>
<th>Sept. 23</th>
<th>Dec. 21</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UV (λ &lt; 400 nm)</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UVA (315 &lt; λ &lt; 400)</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UVB (λ &lt; 315 nm)</td>
<td>402</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erythema (DIN 1979)</td>
<td>488</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photocarcinogenesis (CIE 1986)</td>
<td>499</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bactericide (DIN 1979)</td>
<td>716</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pigmentation (DIN 1979)</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant response (Caldwell 1971)</td>
<td>606</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conjunctivitis (DIN 1979)</td>
<td>950</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photokeratitis (DIN 1979)</td>
<td>385</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yellowing of PVC (Andrady and Scarle 1989, Andrady et al. 1989)</td>
<td>244</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
knowledge about radiation effects to human skin. Despite the deficiencies of the approach to use model calculations and spectroradiometric measurements for estimating the effective radiation, they provide an opportunity to study the effects of solar radiation and its changes to different kinds of living species as well as on air chemistry.
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Figs. 1, 2 Radiation amplification factors for biologically effective radiation at the earth's surface in dependence on the total ozone value (DNA: DNA absorption, Sutherland and Griffin 1981; UVB: λ < 315 nm; ERY: erythemal radiation, PHC: photocarcinogenesis; CON: photoconjunctivitis; KER: photokeratitis; BAC: bactericide effect; PLR: plant response). Solar zenith angle: 60°, surface albedo: 5 %, clear sky.
Fig. 3  Solar global radiation at Potsdam (52° 22' N, 13° 5' E) on October 11, 1991, 11.24 CET, Ω = 59.63°

- Extraterrestrial radiation from CIMO (1981) corrected for solar zenith angle and distance sun-Earth
- Measurements of global solar radiation taken with the spectrometer OL 752/10 at Potsdam 18 m above the ground, clear sky
- Model calculation of global radiation (direct + diffuse) with O₃ = 271 D and an aerosol optical thickness of τ = 0.4 with λ = 350 nm

Fig. 4  Latitudinal and seasonal percentage change of UVB (λ < 315 nm) (a) and photococonjunctivitis (b) radiation modelled for a uniform reduction of total ozone by 10%