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Abstract

Hyperstreamlines are a generalization to second order tensor fields of the conventional streamlines used in vector field visualization. As opposed to point vortices commonly used in visualizing tensor fields, hyperstreamlines form a continuous representation of the complete tensor information along a three-dimensional path. This technique is useful in visualizing both symmetric and asymmetric three-dimensional tensor data. Several examples of tensor field visualization in solid materials and fluid flows are provided.
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1 Introduction

Many practical problems are currently visualized incompletely in terms of vector or scalar data because of a lack of proper tensor display techniques. Providing scientists with a methodology for visualizing 3-D second order tensor data can lead to new insights into these problems. Second order tensor fields are fundamental to many disciplines of engineering and physical sciences. Stresses and strains in solids, for example, are tensor fields. In fluid flows, stresses, viscous stresses, rate-of-strain, and momentum transfers are all described in terms of tensor data. Also, the steady-state Navier-Stokes equations describing gas flows involve only one quantity: a tensor field called momentum flux density. Table 1 gives the definition of some common 3-D tensor fields. It shows that tensor data are very rich in information content: they include diverse physical quantities such as pressure, kinetic energy density, mass density, velocity, and derivatives of the velocity field. Visualizing tensor fields provides a correlation between these quantities.

As a result of this wealth of highly multivariate information, tensor visualization is a challenging enterprise. Indeed, a 3-D second order tensor field \( \mathbf{T} \) consists of a 3x3 array of scalar functions \( \{ T_{i j} \} \), \( i, k = 1, 2, 3 \) defined over a 3-D domain. Independent visualization of these nine functions is possible but meaningless. This article presents a methodology based on the concept of a hyperstreamline, which is the simplest continuous tensor structure that can be extracted from a tensor field (as opposed to many other scalar or vector features).

In the next section, hyperstreamlines are introduced for the particular case of symmetric tensor fields \( \mathbf{U} = \{ U_{i j} \} \) whose individual components are related to each other by \( U_{i j} = U_{j i} \) for \( i, k = 1, 2, 3 \). As seen in Table 1, symmetric tensor fields are very common in fluid flow studies. Then, a structural depiction of symmetric tensor fields is derived from the representation of a large number of hyperstreamlines. Finally, a methodology to visualize non-symmetric tensor data is provided by encoding an additional vector field along the trajectory of the hyperstreamlines.

\(^{1}\)Useful information about tensor fields can be found in Ref. [1].
Table 1: Tensor fields in fluid flows. \( p \) = pressure, \( \rho \) = mass density, \( \tau \), and \( \varepsilon \) = velocity components, and \( \eta \) = viscosity. \( \delta_{ik} \) is the Kronecker symbol, \( \mathbf{u} \) = anisotropic, \( \mathbf{s} \) = symmetric.

2 Symmetric tensor fields and hyperstreamlines

Symmetric tensor fields \( \mathbf{U} = \{ U_{ik} \} = \{ U_{ki} \} \) are very common in physics and engineering and their visualization is an important problem per se. Also, it is a necessary step for the display of general anisotropic data, which will be addressed in the last section of this article.

A symmetric tensor \( \mathbf{U} \) may be described by three orthogonal vector fields. Indeed, \( \mathbf{U} \) has at every point \( \mathbf{t} \) three real eigenvalues \( \lambda^{(j)}, j = 1, 2, \) or \( 3 \) ordered according to

\[
\lambda^{(1)} \geq \lambda^{(2)} \geq \lambda^{(3)}
\]

as well as three real and orthogonal unit eigenvectors \( \mathbf{t}^{(j)} \) [1]. We consider the three orthogonal vectors \( \mathbf{t}^{(i)} \) given by

\[
\mathbf{t}^{(i)} = \lambda^{(i)} \mathbf{e}^{(i)}
\]

Because of the particular ordering of the eigenvalues, we refer to \( \mathbf{t}^{(1)} \) as the major eigenvector, \( \mathbf{t}^{(2)} \) as the medium eigenvector, and \( \mathbf{t}^{(3)} \) as the minor eigenvector.

Visualizing \( \mathbf{U} \) is fully equivalent to visualizing simultaneously the three vector fields \( \mathbf{t}^{(i)} \), since they include all the amplitude information (the eigenvalues \( \lambda^{(j)} \)) and all the directional information (the unit eigenvectors \( \mathbf{t}^{(j)} \)) represented in matrix notation by the components \( U_{ik} \). Furthermore, visualizing the three vectors \( \mathbf{t}^{(i)} \) allows one to understand the behavior of the six independent components \( U_{ik} \) with little or no training [2].
some recently developed methods attempt to visualize these three vectors at a point by using point tensor icons. Examples of such icons include ellipsoids having for principal axes the three vectors \( \hat{\mathbf{c}} \) or Haber's tensor ellipsoids \( \hat{\mathbf{c}}_0 \). Point tensor icons represent the tensor information at a given location but their discrete nature does not reveal the underlying continuity of the data field. For this reason, Dawson [1] used vector field lines which are streamlines of one of the eigenvector fields \( \hat{\mathbf{c}} \). While emphasizing data continuity, tensor field lines are only vector icons and represent only partially the tensor field (this is analogous to representing a vector field by examining only one of its scalar components).

What is more desirable is a line tensor icon that represents all the tensor information along a 3-D path in space or, equivalently, that encodes a continuous distribution of ellipsoids along a given trajectory. For this purpose we generalize the vector notion of a streamline to the tensor concept of a hyperstreamline:

a geometric primitive of finite size sweeps along one of the eigenvector fields \( \hat{\mathbf{c}} \) while stretching in the transverse plane under the combined action of the two other orthogonal eigenvector fields. The surface obtained by linking the stretched primitives at the different points along the trajectory is called a hyperstreamline and is color-coded by means of a user-defined function of the three eigenvalues—generally the amplitude of the longitudinal eigenvalue.

The color and trajectory of a hyperstreamline fully represent the longitudinal eigenvector field and the cross-section encodes the two remaining transverse eigenvector fields. Thus, hyperstreamlines form a continuous representation of the whole tensor data along the trajectory. Hyperstreamlines are called major, medium, or minor depending on the longitudinal eigenvector field \( \hat{\mathbf{c}} \) that defines the trajectory.

Figures 1 and 2 illustrate the properties of hyperstreamlines for two elastic stress fields in a semi-infinite steel solid, and are described in detail below. The color scales for these and every other figure in this article are shown in Fig. 2.
2.1 Trajectory of a hyperstreamline

Hyperstreamline trajectories correspond to Dickinson's tensor field lines [5]; these patterns of lines show, for example, how forces propagate in a stress tensor field, and how the momentum is transferred in a momentum flux density tensor field. Figure 1(top) illustrates this phenomenon in an elastic stress tensor field induced by two compressive forces on the top surface of the cube. The lines propagating upward are along the most compressive direction (the minor eigenvector $\mathbf{P}^{(3)}$), and converge towards the regions of high stress where the forces are applied. Note the sudden divergence of close trajectories on each side of the plane of symmetry. Similarly, trajectories along the two other eigenvectors delineate a surface shown near the bottom face of the cube. This surface is everywhere perpendicular to the most compressive direction.

Figure 1: Stress tensor induced by two compressive forces. Hyperstreamline trajectories (top): minor tubes, medium and major helices (bottom): solenoidal property of a minor tube (right). Color scale $\lambda$ of Fig. 2 is used.
2.2 Cross-section of a hyperstreamline

Hyperstreamlines are further characterized by the geometry of their cross-section, i.e., the geometric primitive that sweeps along the trajectory. We consider two types of primitives: 1) a circle that stretches into an ellipse while sweeping and that generates a hyperstreamline called a tube; and 2) a cross that generates a hyperstreamline called a helix. Figure 1(bottom) shows two minor tubes propagating upward as well as four medium and major helices in the stress tensor field corresponding to Fig. 1(top). In a tube, the principal axes of each elliptical cross-section are along the transverse eigenvectors and have a length proportional to the magnitude of the transverse eigenvalues. The same property holds for a helix, whose arms are proportional to the transverse eigenvectors (helices owe their name to the spiraling pattern of their arms that can be observed in some cases). In this manner both directional and amplitude information are encoded along the trajectory. The local sign of the transverse eigenvalues can be detected by examining the singularities in the cross-section of the hyperstreamline. Indeed, the cross-section reduces to a single line or a point wherever one of the transverse eigenvalues changes sign.

Tubes and helices encode the same information about the tensor field, but some aspects of the data are better perceived with one hyperstreamline than with the other. Tubes, for instance, show better where the tensor is degenerate in the transverse plane, since recognizing that an ellipse is circular is easier than comparing the length of two perpendicular line segments. Further, if the tensor field is transversely degenerate in a whole region of space, helices are not adequate since in this case the direction of the transverse eigenvectors is not determined. Helices, on the other hand, provide better cues for perceiving precisely the directions of the transverse eigenvectors.

Four different stages of a minor tube in a stress tensor field are displayed in Fig. 2. The tensor field is similar to that of Fig. 1 but an additional tension force is added. In the top-left, the cross-section is circular, and the transverse stresses are equal in magnitude. The top-right shows an increasing anisotropy of the transverse stresses together with a decrease of the longitudinal eigenvalue (color). In the bottom-left, the cross-section is reduced to
Figure 2: Four different stages of a minor tube in an elastic stress tensor field. Color scale A is used.

a straight line; one transverse eigenvalue is zero and the stresses are locally 2-D. In the
bottom-right, the stresses are 3-D once again; the eigenvectors undergo a rapid rotation
and a substantial stretching which reveals an important gradient of shear and pressure in
the region.

Degenerate and singular points. Computing hyperstreamlines is complicated because
degeneracies can occur along the trajectory at and in between the sampling points requested
by the adaptive integration algorithm. We assume that the tensor field is smooth, i.e., that
the direction of the longitudinal eigenvector is not likely to vary by more than a user-
defined angle between two successive sampling points, unless the trajectory just crossed
a degeneracy involving the longitudinal eigenvalue. In this case, we search for the degeneracy
between the last two sampling points and, if found, terminate the curve there. We can then
jump the degeneracy and continue integrating in a selected eigendirection. The points where
the transverse eigenvalues vanish are also detected and included to the curve in order not
to miss a singularity of the cross-section of the hyperstreamline.
2.3 Solenoidal tensor fields

In solenoidal tensor fields, the hyperstreamlines have the additional property that the number of color along the trajectory capture some behavior of neighboring hyperstreamlines. We define these tensor fields as solenoidal by analogy with the properties of solenoidal vector fields.

A vector field \( \mathbf{F} \) is called solenoidal if it is divergence-free, i.e.,

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{3} \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial x_i} = 0
\]

Examples of solenoidal vector fields include the vorticity or the velocity in steady-state incompressible flows, Much of the structure of solenoidal vector fields can be explained by their property of having a constant flux inside a streamtube \([4]\); the magnitude of the vector field must increase locally in regions where the streamlines converge towards each other and decrease where the streamlines diverge from each other.

By analogy, we define a tensor field \( \mathbf{T} \) as solenoidal if it satisfies

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{3} \frac{\partial T_{ik}}{\partial x_i} = 0
\]

for \( k = 1, 2, 3 \) which implies that the three vector fields obtained by multiplying \( \mathbf{T} \) by any three constant orthogonal directions are solenoidal.

Solenoidal tensor fields are not rare mathematical objects. They are fundamental to fluid and solid-state mechanics. For example the stress tensor \( \sigma_{ik} \) in solids at rest (in regions where no external forces are applied) and the momentum flux density tensor \( H_{ik} \) in gravity-free steady-state fluid flows both satisfy Eqn. 2 and are solenoidal tensor fields (the assumption of no gravity is common practice when computing gas flows). However, the stress and viscous stress tensors in fluid flows are not solenoidal.

Hyperstreamlines of solenoidal tensor fields have a convergence/divergence property analogous to the property of streamlines in solenoidal vector fields. More precisely, if \( \lambda^{(1)} \) is the longitudinal eigenvalue of a hyperstreamline along the eigenvector \( \mathbf{F}^{(1)} \) and if \( \lambda^{(1)} \) and \( \lambda^{(2)} \) are the two transverse eigenvalues, Eqn. 2 is equivalent to

\[
\lambda^{(1)} = K_{ij}(\lambda^{(1)} - \lambda^{(2)}) = K_{ij}(\lambda^{(1)} - \lambda^{(3)})
\]
where \( M^{ij} \) is the derivative of the longitudinal eigenvalue \( \lambda \) along the trajectory \( \beta \). \( K_j \) and \( K_k \) are geometric factors that are positive if neighboring hyperstreamlines along \( \beta \) converge in the corresponding transverse directions \( j \) or \( k \) and negative otherwise. Thus, when the longitudinal eigenvalue of a \textit{major} hyperstreamline increases during its propagation (\( M^{ij} > 0 \)), neighboring \textit{major} hyperstreamlines converge towards each other. Any divergence in one transverse eigendirection must be compensated by a stronger convergence in the other transverse eigendirection. The opposite property holds true for \textit{minor} hyperstreamlines: an increasing longitudinal (\textit{minor}) eigenvalue correlates with a global divergence of neighboring \textit{minor} hyperstreamlines. If they converge in one transverse eigendirection, they must diverge more strongly in the other transverse eigendirection. Conversely, a decreasing longitudinal eigenvalue corresponds to diverging \textit{major} hyperstreamlines and converging \textit{minor} hyperstreamlines.

It follows, then, that encoding the longitudinal eigenvalue into the color of a hyperstreamline in a solenoidal tensor field gives information about the behavior of neighboring
hyperstreamlines. The figure at the beginning of this article illustrates this property for major tubes of the momentum flux density tensor in the flow past a hemisphere cylinder. The decrease of the longitudinal eigenvalue (color) is accompanied by diverging trajectories.

The former property explains why the minor hyperstreamlines in Fig. 1 converge towards the applied forces (quickly decreasing longitudinal eigenvalue) and why the major hyperstreamlines propagate mostly parallel to each other with an almost constant color. A close view of the sudden divergence of minor hyperstreamlines on one side of the plane of symmetry is given in Fig. 1(right). The local divergence of minor trajectories creates a sudden increase of the longitudinal eigenvalue counterintuitive to the notion that the minor eigenvalue should decrease uniformly when approaching one of the two applied compressive forces.

Figure 3 shows a rake of major tubes of the momentum flux density tensor in the flow past an ogive cylinder. The air flow comes in from a direction 5° to the left of the ogive axis and vortices are created in the wake of the body. Major tubes that become entangled in the vortices undergo a fast decrease in color while diverging from each other. In other regions of the flow, the color is constant and in some places it even increases slightly from orange to red. In these regions the apparent divergence of the tubes in the direction parallel to the surface of the body is compensated by a stronger convergence in the perpendicular direction. Both divergence and convergence exactly compensate each other in the tail between the two vortices.

2.4 The reversible momentum flux density tensor

A specific example of fluid flow analysis illustrates how hyperstreamlines may be used to correlate several different physical quantities. For the reversible part of the momentum flux density tensor, $\Pi_{r}^{k}$ (see Table 1), one may correlate pressure $p$, velocity direction $\overline{v}$, and kinetic energy density $k$ [2]. Indeed, the major eigenvalue of $\Pi_{r}^{k}$ is $\lambda^{(1)} = p + 2k$ and the corresponding unit eigenvector is the velocity direction $\overline{v}$. The other eigenvalues are degenerate ($\lambda^{(2)} = \lambda^{(3)} = p$) in the whole space. It follows that only major tubes can be
Their trajectory is everywhere tangent to the velocity direction $\mathbf{T}_v$ and their cross-section is circular, with a diameter proportional to the pressure $p$. The color of the tubes is determined by the function

$$color \sim \frac{\lambda^{(1)} - 0.5(\lambda^{(2)} + \lambda^{(3)})}{2} = k$$

which represents the kinetic energy density $k$. Thus, the trajectory, diameter, and color of the major tubes encode the velocity direction, pressure and kinetic energy density, respectively.

Figure 1 shows $\Pi_k^e$ in the flow past a hemisphere cylinder. The direction of the incoming flow is $5^\circ$ to the left of the hemisphere axis. The detachment at the end of the cylinder is clearly visible. The pattern of hyperstreamlines indicates that the momentum is transferred from the tip of the body to the end fairly uniformly with a globally decreasing kinetic energy as shown by color variations. However, there is a sudden change of kinetic energy (color) and pressure (diameter) associated with a significant variation of the direction of the first five tubes.
2.5 Color coding schemes

Usually, color encodes the longitudinal eigenvalue in order to represent the whole tensor data along the trajectory. In practice, the color coding scheme can be modified to reveal other aspects of the data. An example is the color coding function of Eqn. 4 which allows decoupling of pressure and kinetic energy density when visualizing the reversible momentum transfers in a flow. For stresses in solids or viscous stresses in fluids, color can be used to discriminate between compressive and tensile directions in the cross-section of a tube. This is done by coloring the tube according to

\[ \text{color} \sim \cos(\varphi) \]

where \( \varphi \) is the angle between the normal \( \overline{n} \) to the elliptical cross-section of a tube and the force \( \overline{F} = U\overline{n} \) acting on it. Figure 5 represents the same minor tube as in Fig. 2, but colored according to Eqn. 5. Red corresponds to \( \varphi = 0^\circ \) and indicates that the corresponding directions \( \overline{n} \) are in pure tension. Blue indicates purely compressive directions (\( \varphi = 180^\circ \)), and green reveals pure shear (\( \varphi = 90^\circ \)).

When using the color function of Eqn. 5 for other tensor data, the meaning of compressive and tensile directions is lost. However, this scheme encodes the sign of the transverse eigenvalues: a principal direction of the elliptical cross-section is red if the corresponding eigenvalue is positive, and blue otherwise.

3 Structural depiction of symmetric tensor fields

Two factors limit the practicality of hyperstreamlines: 1) the resulting display depends on the initial conditions of integration and 2) a large number of hyperstreamlines produces visual clutter. The same problems arise in 3-D scalar and vector field visualization. For example, when visualizing a scalar field with isosurfaces, the final image depends on the particular isosurfaces chosen and only a few of them can be displayed simultaneously. Also, the conventional streamlines used in vector field visualization lead to a display dependent on the initial conditions of integration and the presence of too many streamlines clutters
Figure 5: The minor tube of Fig. 2 colored as a function of the normal force. Color scale B of Fig. 2 is used.

the image. In the latter case, these problems are overcome by algorithms that extract automatically the vector field topology [7, 8]. These algorithms can be seen as a way of coding the collective behavior of a large set of vector streamlines. Analogous to these vector techniques, a structural depiction of tensor data can be obtained by coding the collective behavior of a large number of hyperstreamlines.

Consider the collection \( \{HS^{(i)}\} \) of hyperstreamlines propagating along the eigenvector field \( \mathbf{\tau}^{(i)} \) as given by Eqn. 1. Important features exist in both the trajectory and the cross-section of these hyperstreamlines. For example, the locus

\[ \lambda^{(i)} = 0 \]

is the set of the critical points\(^2\) in the trajectory the hyperstreamlines \( \{HS^{(i)}\} \). Further, the surface

\[ \lambda^{(j)} \lambda^{(k)} = 0 \]

\(^2\)At a critical point of a vector field, the magnitude vanishes and the direction of the streamline is locally undefined. See Ref. [9] for a complete discussion of this topic.
where $\lambda^{(j)}$ and $\lambda^{(k)}$ are the transverse eigenvalues, is the locus of points where the cross-section of the hyperstreamlines $\{HS^{(i)}\}$ is singular, i.e. is reduced to a straight line or a point. In general, a surface of constant eccentricity is the locus of points where the cross-section of each hyperstreamline in $\{HS^{(i)}\}$ has the same shape, regardless of its orientation and scaling. In particular, the locus

$$\lambda^{(j)} \pm \lambda^{(k)} = 0$$

is the set of points where the cross-section degenerates into a circle (zero eccentricity).\footnote{The locus $\lambda^{(j)} + \lambda^{(k)} = 0$ was omitted in Ref. [2].}

A structural depiction of the stress tensor of Fig. 1 is given in Fig. 6. The yellow surface is the locus of critical points of the medium eigenvector $\pi^{(2)}$ and the green surface represents the critical points of the major eigenvector $\pi^{(1)}$. On both of these surfaces, the cross-section of each minor tube (four of them are shown) reduces to a straight line. On the blue surface, the transverse eigenvalues are opposite to each other and the cross-section is circular. Below the yellow surface, both transverse eigenvalues are positive and every transverse direction in the cross-section of the minor tubes is in tension. Above the yellow surface, the medium
eigenvalue becomes negative and some transverse directions are in tension while others are compressive. Inside the green surface, however, every transverse direction is in compression.

### 3.1 Stress and viscous stress tensors in fluid flows

Another example of structural depiction is given for the stress tensor $\sigma_{ik}$ and the viscous stress tensor $\sigma'_{ik}$ in fluid flows. As shown in Table 1, these two tensors differ only by an isotropic pressure component, implying that the unit eigenvectors of both tensor fields are identical. However, the eigenvalues of $\sigma_{ik}$ are equal to the eigenvalues of $\sigma'_{ik}$ minus a large pressure component. Table 1 also shows that visualizing $\sigma'_{ik}$ is equivalent to visualizing the rate-of-strain tensor $\epsilon_{ik}$ in incompressible flows.

Hyperstreamlines of the stress tensor in the flow past a hemisphere cylinder are shown in Fig. 7(top) (the flow is the same as in Fig. 4). The major tubes in front are along the least compressive direction $\bar{\eta}^{(1)}$. Their trajectory shows how forces propagate from the region in front of the cylinder to the surface of the body. The cross-section of the tubes is circular, indicating that the pressure component of the stresses is dominant, as expected. However, the viscous stresses close to the body create a slightly anisotropic cross-section. On the yellow surface, the eccentricity is equal to 10%.

The helices are along the medium eigenvector field. They propagate mainly parallel to the cylinder surface and the orientations of their arms indicate a fairly constant direction of the two transverse eigenvectors. The third helix exhibits a more complex behavior, suggesting that the stress tensor is less uniform in the region of contact between the tubes and the body than in other parts of the flow.

Figure 7(bottom) shows the viscous stress tensor $\sigma'_{ik}$ in the same flow. As expected, the trajectories are similar to those in Fig. 7(top), but removing the large isotropic pressure contribution dramatically enhances the anisotropy of the cross-section of the tubes. The surface corresponds to a constant eccentricity of 90% and is crossed twice by each tube.
Figure 7: Stress tensor \((\text{top})\) and viscous stress tensor \((\text{bottom})\) in the flow past a hemisphere cylinder. Color scale A of Fig. 2 is used.

4 Unsymmetric tensor fields

Hyperstreamlines are useful in visualizing symmetric tensor fields whose three eigenvector fields \(\mathbf{\lambda}^{(i)}\) given by Eqn. 1 are real and orthogonal. However, visualizing unsymmetric 3x3 tensor fields \(\mathbf{T} = \{T_{ij}\}\) is more difficult because their eigenvectors \(\mathbf{\lambda}^{(i)}\) are generally complex and not orthogonal. A 3-D vector field visualization technique, the stream polygon [10], can reveal aspects of vector field gradients. It does not apply, however, to other kinds of unsymmetric data, and the tensor information, even for vector gradients, is only partially rendered.

In this section, we show that it is always possible to decompose unsymmetric tensor data into two components: a symmetric tensor field and a vector field. The symmetric tensor field is visualized with hyperstreamlines as before. However, in order to represent the complete (unsymmetric) tensor information, we need to encode the additional vector field along the trajectory. Depending on the physics involved, two reductions of the unsymmetric data are possible: a symmetric / antisymmetric decomposition or a polar decomposition.
4.1 Symmetric / antisymmetric decomposition

The tensor field can be decomposed into the sum of symmetric and antisymmetric components according to

\[
T = \frac{T + T^T}{2} - \frac{T - T^T}{2}
\]

where \(T^T\) is the transpose of \(T\). The antisymmetric tensor has only three independent components that form a vector known as the axial vector \([1, 11]\). For instance, the velocity gradient in fluids is the sum of the rate-of-strain tensor \(\epsilon_{ik}\) (symmetric) and the rate-of-rotation tensor (antisymmetric) which is half the vorticity vector.

Figure 9(top) shows a line tensor icon for unsymmetric data based on this decomposition. A hyperstreamline is integrated along one eigenvector field \(\pi^{(1)}\) of the symmetric tensor component and is color coded either according to the longitudinal eigenvalue or as in Eqn. 5. An additional ribbon is added outside of the tube surface in order to represent the axial vector. The ribbon position and width encode locally the vector component which is perpendicular to the trajectory. The color of the ribbon maps the angle between the axial vector and the direction of propagation of the tube according to color scale B of Fig. 2 (red is parallel, green is perpendicular, and blue is antiparallel). In Fig. 9(top) for example, color shows that the vector field is everywhere close to alignment with the direction of propagation. It is, however, not exactly aligned since the ribbon has a finite width.

When visualizing the velocity gradient in fluid flows, this icon shows the position of the vorticity with respect to the principal strains, which is an important factor for understanding turbulence \([12]\).

4.2 Polar decomposition

An alternative reduction of the unsymmetric data is the polar decomposition \([11]\), which is a generalization to tensors (or matrices in general) of the usual decomposition of a complex number into the product of an amplitude and a phase. Assume as in Fig. 8 that the tensor \(T\) at a given point \(\pi\) maps the vertices of a cube from an initial state to a final deformed state. This global deformation can be decomposed into more elementary transformations.
Figure S: Polar Decomposition of unsymmetric data.

For example, one can first stretch the cube by a tensor $U$ and then rotate the stretched rhomboid by an isometric transformation $Q$ in order to reach the final state. Alternatively, one can first rotate the cube and then stretch it by the tensor $V$.

Mathematically, these are two equivalent ways of decomposing the unsymmetric data into the product of a stretch tensor $U$ or $V$ (the amplitudes) with an isometric transformation $Q$ (the phase):

$$T = QU = VQ$$

where both $U = \sqrt{T^TT}$ and $V = \sqrt{T^TT}$ are symmetric positive definite tensors, i.e. symmetric tensors having real and positive eigenvalues, and $Q = TU^{-1}$ is an orthogonal tensor. It can be shown [11] that this decomposition is unique wherever $\det T \neq 0$, i.e. there is a one-to-one correspondence between the matrix $T$ and the set of matrices $\{Q, U, V\}$. We will explain below how we handle points where $\det T = 0$. From now on, we restrict our discussion to the first decomposition in Eqn. 6 without loss of generality.

Figure 9(bottom) shows a line tensor icon for $T$ based on this decomposition. The symmetric tensor $U$ is represented by a tube along one of its eigenvectors. In regions where $\det T > 0$, the isometric transformation $Q$ is simply a rotation and is characterized by an
Figure 9: Examples of line tensor icons for two different unsymmetric tensor fields: symmetric / antisymmetric decomposition (top) and polar decomposition (bottom). Color scales A and B of Fig. 2 are used for tubes and ribbons respectively.

angle $\theta$ ($0 \leq \theta \leq \pi$) and a unit axis of rotation $\pi$. Thus, $Q$ can be represented by the vector

$$\pi^{(1)} = \theta \pi$$

However, a rotation of angle $\theta$ about the axis $\pi$ is physically identical to a rotation of angle $2\pi - \theta$ about the axis $-\pi$. Thus, $Q$ is also equivalent to the vector

$$\pi^{(2)} = (2\pi - \theta)(-\pi)$$

To visualize $Q$, two ribbons are added that represent the vectors $\pi^{(1)}$ and $\pi^{(2)}$, respectively. Note that in regions where $\det T < 0$, the transformation $Q$ involves an additional inversion in the direction of the rotation axis. A row of white pearls across the ribbons marks the onset of the inversion during the propagation, and a row of black pearls indicates its cancellation.

**Singular points.** In some points of the trajectory, the vectors $\pi^{(1)}$ and $\pi^{(2)}$ may not be defined. We then simply interpolate them between adjacent points in order to avoid
discontinuities in the ribbons. These singular points occur a) at the pearls where \( \det U = \det T = 0 \) (\( U \) is defined but not invertible and \( Q \) can not be computed) and b) where \( Q \) reduces to plus or minus the identity matrix (the rotation axis \( \pi \) is undefined and \( T \) is locally symmetric with all eigenvalues having the same sign). Thus, the assumption is that singularities are isolated points along the trajectories. This approach fails only if there is an entire subvolume where condition a) or b) occur. In the latter case, however, simple hyperstreamlines are useful since the data is symmetric.

5 Conclusions

The wealth of information contained in second order tensor data is extracted and rendered as hyperstreamlines. By representing continuously both the amplitude and the directional information typical of tensor data, hyperstreamlines reveal much of the physics involved in complicated processes that are otherwise only partially visualized in terms of vector or scalar functions. Hyperstreamlines are the simplest continuous tensor structures that can be extracted from symmetric or unsymmetric tensor fields, and coding their collective behavior is a first step in obtaining a structural depiction of tensor fields analogous to extracting vector field topology. Future work must be carried out to obtain more advanced structural depictions. To this aim, it might be necessary to focus on specific tensors each at a time and to use the known underlying physics and the resulting tensor properties within the framework of this article.
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