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Abstract

Steady-state and transient computer models of the RL10A-3-3A rocket engine have been created using the ROCKet Engine Transient Simulation (ROCETS) code. These models were created for several purposes. The RL10 engine is a critical component of past, present, and future space missions; the model will give NASA an in-house capability to simulate the performance of the engine under various operating conditions and mission profiles. The RL10 simulation activity is also an opportunity to further validate the ROCETS program. The ROCETS code is an important tool for modeling rocket engine systems at NASA Lewis. ROCETS provides a modular and general framework for simulating the steady-state and transient behavior of any desired propulsion system. Although the ROCETS code is being used in a number of different analysis and design projects within NASA, it has not been extensively validated for any system using actual test data. The RL10A-3-3A has a ten year history of test and flight applications; it should provide sufficient data to validate the ROCETS program capability. The ROCETS models of the RL10 system were created using design information provided by Pratt & Whitney, the engine manufacturer. These models are in the process of being validated using test-stand and flight data. This paper includes a brief description of the models and comparison of preliminary simulation output against flight and test-stand data.

Introduction

The ability to simulate rocket engine system behavior is critical to the successful design and operation of such systems. Rocket engine cycles typically involve the interaction of several non-linear processes including combustion, heat transfer, flow resistance, turbine and pump operation. Relatively subtle variations of such processes can have a dramatic effect on the engine efficiency and stability. Predicting the behavior of rocket engines requires the use of digital computers and a great deal of effort on the part of scientists and programmers.1

The RL10A-3-3A rocket engine, manufactured by Pratt & Whitney, is an important component of commercial and military launches performed by the United States. The RL10 is used to propel the Centaur upper stage vehicles which carry payloads from a high altitude into orbit. The ability to adequately predict the behavior of the RL10 has a significant impact on the success of such missions. The NASA Lewis Research Center has begun an effort to develop a model of the RL10 for in-house use. This model has been created using design data such as performance maps, line volumes and resistances, and heat transfer correlations supplied by Pratt & Whitney under contract to NASA (see also Ref.2). Ultimately, the goals of this effort include the development of more detailed RL10 component models using analysis tools which are still under development at NASA.

NASA Lewis has created its version of the RL10A-3-3A computer model using the Rocket Engine Transient Simulator (ROCETS) software.3 ROCETS has been selected for this task because it provides a modular, flexible, and powerful modeling capability in a non-proprietary package. The ROCETS program has also been used to model several other rocket engine systems, such as the Space Shuttle Main
Engine (SSME) and Space Transportation Main Engine (STME). The RL10 modeling activity is an opportunity to evaluate ROCETS capability to simulate the operation of expander cycle engines. Although the RL10 is not highly instrumented, it has a rich database of test-stand and flight operation which can be used to validate ROCETS model output. ROCETS was designed to perform both steady-state and transient analysis. The first, steady-state version of the RL10 model includes volume, line, and rotor dynamics, but uses a steady-state heat transfer subroutine based on test data. This model was used to predict the performance of the engine at the full power level, and for several different fuel-to-oxidizer mixture ratios. These predictions have been compared with Pratt & Whitney simulations and test-stand data.

The transient version of the RL10 model is derived from the steady-state version, but uses a five node transient heat transfer model suitable for engine-start simulations. The original combustion property tables have also been replaced with tables which cover the lower pressure region of operation (for start-up). The differences between the steady-state and transient model performance predictions at full power are discussed further in subsequent sections of this paper. The transient RL10 model has also been used to simulate the start behavior of the engine. Results from this simulation are compared with flight telemetry data.

In the following sections of this paper, the RL10A-3-3A engine system and its model in ROCETS are discussed in greater detail. A comparison of steady-state model predictions with test-stand data is then presented. Finally, a comparison of start transient behavior with flight data is discussed.

**Description of RL10A-3-3A Rocket Engine**

The RL10A-3-3A is a cryogenic hydrogen/oxygen rocket engine manufactured by Pratt & Whitney for upper stage and orbital transfer applications. The engine system, represented schematically in Figure 1, is based on the expander cycle. In this cycle, heat from the main combustion chamber is transferred to the fuel via a regenerative cooling jacket; the heated fluid is used to drive a turbine. Power from the turbine drives the fuel and oxidizer pumps, connected through a gearbox. The engine develops a rated thrust of 16500 lbf (73395 N), with a specific impulse of approximately 445 seconds. The fuel turbopump has a design speed of 30000 rpm while the LOX pump design speed is 12000 rpm. Combustion chamber pressure is nominally 475 psia. The engine consumes approximately 6 lbm/sec of hydrogen and 30 lbm/sec of oxygen at rated thrust.2

In its present configuration, the RL10A-3-3A is not intended to be throttled. Chamber pressure is controlled by varying the amount of turbine bypass flow; this is achieved through a pneumatic servo-system which prevents the system from overshooting its desired operating point on start-up. Mixture ratio control is possible in order to optimize propellant utilization during the mission. During start, the engine accelerates to full power using only tank pressure and heat picked up in the cooling jacket; no boost pumps are currently used.

**Description of RL10 Model in ROCETS**

The ROCETS code is a general-purpose system analysis code. This code is written in ANSI standard FORTRAN 77 and provides a flexible, modular, and powerful system modeling capability. The ROCETS package includes a library of commonly used component models such as dynamic volumes, flow resistances, pumps, turbines, etc. as well as physical property tables for hydrogen, oxygen, helium, nitrogen, and combustion products. The user may also attach new generic or special purpose component subroutines. The model configuration is defined in a text format, which is then automatically converted into a FORTRAN program. A separate set of instructions directs execution of the model, allowing the same model configuration to be used in a number of different applications, including parametric design, steady-state analysis, transient simulation, and generation of linearized models.3 The ROCETS system dramatically reduces the time required to generate and debug model simulation programs.

The ROCETS model of the RL10A-3-3A system is depicted in Figure 2. Each major component is represented by a separate subroutine in this model. Duct volumes and resistances, however, are lumped such that each resistance or volume in the model may actually represent multiple sections of ducts or manifolds. The volumes and resistances are lumped according to recommendations made by Pratt & Whitney based on their own RL10 engine models.

The first and second stage pump performances are represented by maps (tables) of head coefficient and efficiency versus flow coefficient.4 These maps are based on test-stand data. At present, the maps do not contain data for the high and low extremes of flow-coefficient. The LOX pump is represented as fifth-order polynomial curve-fit relationships of head coefficient and efficiency to flow coefficient. Approximate leakage rates from the pump stages to the gearbox have been included. The fuel turbine performance is represented as a map of efficiency versus velocity ratio.4 The turbine pressure drop is modeled in a fashion similar to compressible flow through an orifice.

The steady-state and transient versions of the RL10 model use different heat transfer calculations. The steady-state version uses a map (table) of heat transfer rate versus chamber pressure and mixture ratio based on empirical test
data. The transient version of the model uses a more complex five-node heat transfer model. In the transient model, the hot-gas side heat transfer coefficients are based on a Bartz correlation while the cool-side coefficients are determined using a Colburn correlation. The thermal capacity of the metal is taken into account, as well as the volume dynamics of the cooling jacket.

Valves and ducts are both modeled as fluid resistances. Effective areas can be varied to simulate the action of the valves. Actuator dynamics (forces required to actuate valves, pneumatic and hydraulic line pressures, etc.) have not been included in the current model. The flow area of the fuel turbine bypass valve (FTBV) is used to set chamber pressure and thrust. The mixture ratio control valve (MRCV) is used to set mixture ratio. For steady-state analysis, it is possible to set these valve areas to a desired value or automatically vary the valve areas until a desired target thrust and mixture ratio are achieved. For start transient operation, the areas of all valves are set according to schedules rather than using closed loop control.

Each dynamic volume module has two state variables: density and internal energy. The combustion chamber pressure, temperature, and mixture ratio, and the fuel pump shaft speed and discharge flow-rate are also system states. These states represent the key dynamic parameters in the system model. Several additional algebraic balances, or ‘loops’, are also required for this system. The states and additional balances define the Jacobian matrix for the system. This matrix is inverted and used in an iterative Newton-Raphson algorithm to determine model solution. For steady-state analyses, the state time-derivatives are driven to zero. During transient simulations, the integration corrector-term error is driven to zero.

Steady-state model predictions vs. Test data

In order to verify the steady-state RL10A-3-3A model, system performance was predicted for several different mixture ratios above the rated thrust level of 16500 lbf. These steady-state results were compared with the Pratt & Whitney test-stand data. Tables 1 through 5 show the ROCETS model predictions for ten key performance parameters at five different thrust and mixture ratio settings. The degree of difference between the model and test data is also listed in the tables. Each parameter has been classified according to the percent difference found between test and model results (in 1% intervals). The total number of parameters in each percent-error group is then totaled over the five test cases. These percent totals are shown as a histogram in Figure 3.

As indicated by Figure 3, the model predictions are within 4% of the test data for all measured performance parameters. The figure also indicates that the majority of these predicted values are within 1% of test results, averaged over the five test cases.

The transient version of the RL10 model was also driven to steady-state for comparison with test data. The principle difference between the steady-state and transient models is in the cooling jacket heat transfer calculation. The steady-state model heat transfer is based on test data while the transient model uses a more complex theoretical calculation as described in the introduction. The results from the transient model do not match the steady-state test data quite as well as the steady-state model does. The RL10 transient model still matches measured test data within 4%, with the exception of turbine inlet temperature, which matches within 7% in all tests.

Start Transient simulation results vs. Flight Data

To add transient simulation capabilities to the model used for steady-state analysis above, it was necessary only to replace the steady-state heat transfer model with a dynamic heat transfer model and to extend the hot-gas property tables to include lower chamber pressures; the original version of the model already included volume dynamics, and fluid and rotor inertias. Because the basic RL10A-3-3A engine is not normally throttled, there is no suitable empirical data for mainstage transient operation. In order to validate the ROCETS RL10 model for transient operation, it is necessary, therefore, to compare simulation results with data for start-up and shut-down operations. Thus far, efforts have been focused on modeling the start sequence only.

Simulating the start transient operation of an engine is extremely difficult for three reasons: 1) the system pressures are low enough for two-phase flow to exist, 2) the low speed performances of the RL10-3-3A turbines and pumps are not well characterized, and 3) the near zero values of system states leads to numerical instabilities in the simulation itself.

Figures 4, 5,6, and 7 show plots of chamber pressure, venturi inlet pressure, LOX pump speed, and LOX pump discharge pressure respectively. On each plot, simulation results are overlaid with data from Atlas/Centaur flight AC-69. In each of these plots, the ROCETS prediction parallels the flight data but the acceleration begins too late relative to flight measurements. The simulation results shown here are representative, although it has been observed that the start-time may be earlier or later depending on the choice of initial conditions and other factors as discussed below.

Discussion of Results

The close correlation of the RL10 steady-state model output with test data, as shown in Figure 3, indicates that ROCETS can accurately predict the performance of the system at mainstage operation. There is good agreement between the various system pressures, temperatures, flows and shaft speeds. It should be noted here that the turbine bypass...
(FTBV) and mixture ratio (MRV) valves are varied, in the

effect.

It has also been noted that using the transient heat transfer

efforts are currently underway at NASA Lewis to

model to predict mainstage steady-state performance is not

accurate as using the heat transfer correlations derived

from empirical data. Although the 7% disagreement in

temperatures is not an uncommon degree of error for such

models, efforts are currently underway at NASA Lewis to

improve the transient heat transfer model.

RL10 modeling efforts at NASA Lewis are presently

focused on refining the start transient model. The time at

which the system begins accelerating to full-power

operation is extremely sensitive to variations in the flow

rate through the Interstage Cooldown Valve, and in the fuel

pump discharge pressure. The three areas of the RL10

model presently being given closer scrutiny are 1) the

turbopump performance maps, 2) the resistance at the

pump discharge cutwaters (where the pump impeller and
discharge volute meet), and 3) the flow model for the

Cooldown Valves. For the first 0.30 seconds of time after

the start signal, there is an appreciable flow through the

pumps (0.5 to 1.5 lbm/sec) while the shaft speed is still

relatively low (less than 2000 rpm for the fuel pump).

This condition causes the pump to actually dissipate energy

from the liquid, creating a pressure drop across the pump.

This area of operation has not been extensively tested nor
documented for the RL10A-3-3A pumps, and the empirical
pump maps do not describe this dissipative effect. There
may also be an additional fluid resistance due to cavitation
in the pump discharge cutwaters. The cavitation losses are
included in the model as simple resistances when the pump
discharge pressures approach the fluid vapor pressures. The
Cooldown Valves, particularly the Interstage valve (see
Figure 1), play a major role in the start-transient. The
hydrogen will vaporize as it is vented overboard but the
location where the vaporization occurs will vary depending
on upstream conditions. These effects significantly
complicate transient simulation of the start process. If the
model predicts too high a pressure drop over the pump,
there will be insufficient energy to bootstrap; if too little
pressure drop is predicted, the turbine will receive too much
power at first and the system will accelerate prematurely.
The RL10A-3-3A engine is minimally instrumented,
giving limited information with which to understand the
true operation of the pumps at start-up. Numerical
instabilities during the simulation have also thwarted
efforts to determine the correct starting conditions and
design parameters via parametric studies. Present RL10
modeling efforts are focused on solving these problems.

In addition to the acceleration delay, there are differences in
the shapes of the simulated and flight data curves as the

engine approaches full thrust. The RL10A-3-3A uses a

pneumatic servo-control system to open the turbine bypass
valve (FTBV) at higher chamber pressures. A model of this
servo-control has not been available for inclusion in the
model. It is believed that actuator dynamics are the cause of
the differences in data as the system levels off to full power.
The small mismatches in the steady-state levels at the end of
the start transient occur because the start simulation is run
without closed loop control of thrust level.

Concluding Remarks

Steady-state and transient computer models of the RL10A-3-3A have been created using the ROCETS software. This

effort serves the double purpose of providing NASA with an

in-house capability to predict the performance of the RL10

engine, and of providing a test case to validate the
capabilities of the ROCETS code. The models were created
using design information provided to NASA by Pratt &
Whitney. These models have been used to perform steady-
state performance predictions and to simulate the system
transient behavior during start-up. The predictions from the
steady-state model are within 4% of test data for all measured
performance parameters, and most parameters are within 1% of the test data. The success of the steady-state analyses
demonstrates the utility of this model for mainstage
performance predictions. The start transient simulation
results accurately depict the acceleration of the engine, but
the time at which the acceleration process begins differs from
that observed in flight. Current efforts are focused on
refining the component and system models, particularly in
the area of the fuel turbopump, in order to improve the start
predictions. With some additional refinements, the transient
model will also prove useful for predicting the transient
behavior of the engine. The ROCETS code has proven very
useful in these modeling efforts, facilitating the creation of
the RL10 model with minimal time and effort required to
program and debug the simulations.
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### Table 1 - Test Point 1 - ROCETS vs Test Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model Input</th>
<th>ROCETS</th>
<th>Test Point 1</th>
<th>ROCETS vs Test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>THRUST (lb)</td>
<td>16603.00</td>
<td>16610.00</td>
<td>-0.45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inlet O/F - Mixture Ratio</td>
<td>5.63</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FUEL TANK Pressure (static psia)</td>
<td>27.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FUEL TANK Temperature (deg R)</td>
<td>36.60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOX TANK Pressure (static psia)</td>
<td>35.80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOX TANK Temperature (deg R)</td>
<td>174.70</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Output</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fuel Pump Disch Pressure (static psia)</td>
<td>1033.26</td>
<td>1061.40</td>
<td>-2.65%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel Pump Inlet Volumetric Flow (gpm)</td>
<td>586.87</td>
<td>580.56</td>
<td>1.08%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venturi Inlet Pressure (static psia)</td>
<td>739.95</td>
<td>800.45</td>
<td>-0.85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turbine Inlet Temperature (deg R)</td>
<td>425.09</td>
<td>439.32</td>
<td>-3.24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel Injector Manifold Pressure (static psia)</td>
<td>527.96</td>
<td>534.19</td>
<td>-1.17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOX Pump Discharge Pressure (static psia)</td>
<td>598.18</td>
<td>613.80</td>
<td>-2.54%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOX Pump Shaft Speed (rpm)</td>
<td>12576.00</td>
<td>12697.00</td>
<td>-0.94%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOX Pump Inlet Volumetric Flow (gpm)</td>
<td>206.99</td>
<td>205.62</td>
<td>0.57%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOX Injector Plenum Pressure (static psia)</td>
<td>525.90</td>
<td>532.50</td>
<td>-1.24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combustion Pressure (inj. face static psia)</td>
<td>468.72</td>
<td>472.35</td>
<td>-0.77%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2 - Test Point 2 - ROCETS vs Test Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model Input</th>
<th>ROCETS</th>
<th>Test Point 2</th>
<th>ROCETS vs Test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>THRUST (lb)</td>
<td>16588.00</td>
<td>16688.00</td>
<td>-0.55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inlet O/F - Mixture Ratio</td>
<td>5.55</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FUEL TANK Pressure (static psia)</td>
<td>27.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FUEL TANK Temperature (deg R)</td>
<td>36.60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOX TANK Pressure (static psia)</td>
<td>35.30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOX TANK Temperature (deg R)</td>
<td>174.70</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Output</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fuel Pump Disch Pressure (static psia)</td>
<td>1039.00</td>
<td>1068.90</td>
<td>-2.80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel Pump Inlet Volumetric Flow (gpm)</td>
<td>593.44</td>
<td>588.90</td>
<td>0.77%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venturi Inlet Pressure (static psia)</td>
<td>803.95</td>
<td>806.56</td>
<td>-0.37%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turbine Inlet Temperature (deg R)</td>
<td>419.37</td>
<td>432.92</td>
<td>-2.93%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel Injector Manifold Pressure (static psia)</td>
<td>528.89</td>
<td>535.67</td>
<td>-1.27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOX Pump Discharge Pressure (static psia)</td>
<td>601.86</td>
<td>619.10</td>
<td>-2.76%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOX Pump Shaft Speed (rpm)</td>
<td>12617.60</td>
<td>12747.00</td>
<td>-1.02%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOX Pump Inlet Volumetric Flow (gpm)</td>
<td>206.08</td>
<td>205.59</td>
<td>0.24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOX Injector Plenum Pressure (static psia)</td>
<td>525.91</td>
<td>532.67</td>
<td>-1.27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combustion Pressure (inj. face static psia)</td>
<td>469.23</td>
<td>472.95</td>
<td>-0.79%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3 - Test Point 3 - ROCETS vs Test Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model Input</th>
<th>ROCETS</th>
<th>Test Point 3</th>
<th>ROCETS vs Test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>THRUST (lb)</td>
<td>16458.00</td>
<td>16598.00</td>
<td>-0.80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inlet O/F - Mixture Ratio</td>
<td>4.99</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FUEL TANK Pressure (static psia)</td>
<td>26.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FUEL TANK Temperature (deg R)</td>
<td>36.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOX TANK Pressure (static psia)</td>
<td>35.80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOX TANK Temperature (deg R)</td>
<td>174.70</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Output</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fuel Pump Disch Pressure (static psia)</td>
<td>1083.44</td>
<td>1112.50</td>
<td>-2.61%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel Pump Inlet Volumetric Flow (gpm)</td>
<td>640.56</td>
<td>634.90</td>
<td>0.89%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venturi Inlet Pressure (static psia)</td>
<td>636.14</td>
<td>639.53</td>
<td>-0.40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turbine Inlet Temperature (deg R)</td>
<td>382.29</td>
<td>390.35</td>
<td>-2.07%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel Injector Manifold Pressure (static psia)</td>
<td>536.47</td>
<td>540.20</td>
<td>-0.69%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOX Pump Discharge Pressure (static psia)</td>
<td>634.20</td>
<td>647.70</td>
<td>-2.08%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOX Pump Shaft Speed (rpm)</td>
<td>12921.20</td>
<td>13040.10</td>
<td>-0.91%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOX Pump Inlet Volumetric Flow (gpm)</td>
<td>203.47</td>
<td>199.61</td>
<td>0.43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOX Injector Plenum Pressure (static psia)</td>
<td>527.44</td>
<td>531.50</td>
<td>-0.76%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combustion Pressure (inj. face static psia)</td>
<td>473.75</td>
<td>475.46</td>
<td>-0.36%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 4 - Test Point 4 - ROCETS vs Test Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model Input</th>
<th>ROCETS</th>
<th>Test Point 4</th>
<th>ROCETS vs Test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>THRUST (lb)</td>
<td>16376.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inlet OF - Mixture Ratio</td>
<td>4.67</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FUEL TANK Pressure (static psia)</td>
<td>26.20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FUEL TANK Temperature (deg R)</td>
<td>38.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOX TANK Pressure (static psia)</td>
<td>36.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOX TANK Temperature (deg R)</td>
<td>174.70</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Output</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel Pump Disch Pressure (static psia)</td>
<td>1111.00</td>
<td>1143.10</td>
<td>-2.81%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel Pump Inlet Volumetric Flow (gpm)</td>
<td>673.44</td>
<td>667.40</td>
<td>0.91%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venturi Inlet Pressure (static psia)</td>
<td>854.74</td>
<td>860.85</td>
<td>-0.71%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turbine Inlet Temperature (deg R)</td>
<td>359.77</td>
<td>366.93</td>
<td>-2.48%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel Injector Manifold Pressure (static psia)</td>
<td>541.16</td>
<td>543.65</td>
<td>-0.45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOX Pump Discharge Pressure (static psia)</td>
<td>654.21</td>
<td>665.90</td>
<td>-1.76%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOX Pump Shaft Speed (rpm)</td>
<td>13111.60</td>
<td>13236.50</td>
<td>-0.94%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOX Pump Inlet Volumetric Flow (gpm)</td>
<td>197.16</td>
<td>196.34</td>
<td>0.42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOX Injector Plenum Pressure (static psia)</td>
<td>528.39</td>
<td>530.93</td>
<td>-0.48%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combustion Pressure (Inj. face static psia)</td>
<td>429.88</td>
<td>476.80</td>
<td>-0.96%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 5 - Test Point 5 - ROCETS vs Test Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model Input</th>
<th>ROCETS</th>
<th>Test Point 5</th>
<th>ROCETS vs Test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>THRUST (lb)</td>
<td>16555.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inlet OF - Mixture Ratio</td>
<td>5.42</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FUEL TANK Pressure (static psia)</td>
<td>27.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FUEL TANK Temperature (deg R)</td>
<td>38.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOX TANK Pressure (static psia)</td>
<td>35.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOX TANK Temperature (deg R)</td>
<td>174.70</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Output</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel Pump Disch Pressure (static psia)</td>
<td>1047.21</td>
<td>1076.90</td>
<td>-2.76%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel Pump Inlet Volumetric Flow (gpm)</td>
<td>602.39</td>
<td>598.40</td>
<td>0.67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venturi Inlet Pressure (static psia)</td>
<td>809.78</td>
<td>817.10</td>
<td>-0.90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turbine Inlet Temperature (deg R)</td>
<td>411.17</td>
<td>420.63</td>
<td>-2.25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel Injector Manifold Pressure (static psia)</td>
<td>530.15</td>
<td>537.03</td>
<td>-1.28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOX Pump Discharge Pressure (static psia)</td>
<td>607.54</td>
<td>624.50</td>
<td>-2.72%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOX Pump Shaft Speed (rpm)</td>
<td>12688.80</td>
<td>12798.00</td>
<td>-1.01%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOX Pump Inlet Volumetric Flow (gpm)</td>
<td>204.74</td>
<td>204.33</td>
<td>0.20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOX Injector Plenum Pressure (static psia)</td>
<td>525.84</td>
<td>532.04</td>
<td>-1.17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combustion Pressure (Inj. face static psia)</td>
<td>469.88</td>
<td>473.03</td>
<td>-0.67%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 2 - RL10A-3-3A model configuration in ROCETS
Figure 3 - Difference between ROCETS Steady-State Model Predictions and Test Data

(expressed as % of total number of measured parameters in all five tests)
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Steady-state and transient computer models of the RL10A-3-3A rocket engine have been created using the ROCket Engine Transient Simulation (ROCETS) code. These models were created for several purposes. The RL10 engine is a critical component of past, present, and future space missions; the model will give NASA an in-house capability to simulate the performance of the engine under various operating conditions and mission profiles. The RL10 simulation activity is also an opportunity to further validate the ROCETS program. The ROCETS code is an important tool for modeling rocket engine systems at NASA Lewis. ROCETS provides a modular and general framework for simulating the steady-state and transient behavior of any desired propulsion system. Although the ROCETS code is being used in a number of different analysis and design projects within NASA, it has not been extensively validated for any system using actual test data. The RL10A-3-3A has a ten year history of test and flight applications; it should provide sufficient data to validate the ROCETS program capability. The ROCETS models of the RL10 system were created using design information provided by Pratt & Whitney, the engine manufacturer. These models are in the process of being validated using test-stand and flight data. This paper includes a brief description of the models and comparison of preliminary simulation output against flight and test-stand data.