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1.0 INTRODUCTION

In this report, the types of composites flown on the Long Duration Exposure Facility (LDEF) are identified, essential findings summarized, recession data not previously published are presented, and references to published results for each composites related experiment are provided. Composite related summary discussions have also been published at a number of LDEF related meetings (refs. 1-5).

Almost all composite materials flown on LDEF were test specimens. The only non-experimental specimens among the composite materials are; 1) fiberglass shims used with the wire harness clamps on the interior of LDEF, 2) the fiberglass/epoxy viscous damper shroud mounted on LDEF's interior, and 3) the outer Teflon/fiberglass thermal blankets covering the batteries on experiment A0138. The fiberglass shims, shielded from LDEF's exterior environment, were only exposed to vacuum and thermal cycling. Minimal analysis was performed on the shims which showed all shims remained intact. The shims were discussed in the LDEF Interior Materials report (ref. 6) and will not be discussed further in this report. The viscous damper shroud was intact and appeared visually to be in excellent condition post-flight. The only measurement on this hardware was a measurement of peel strength of the aluminum tape (ref. 7) which covered the fiberglass shroud. The tape was in good condition post-flight and the peel strength was nominal. Results from testing of the two A0138 Teflon/fiberglass thermal blankets, exposed on LDEF's trailing edge (tray B3), showed some erosion of the Teflon matrix. Because of the minimal atomic oxygen exposure, the erosion was thought to be induced by the combination of UV and thermal cycling (ref. 8).
2.0 LDEF MISSION PROFILE

The LDEF was a large (about 9 meters in length, 4.3 meters in diameter), reusable, unmanned spacecraft to accommodate technology, science, and applications experiments which require long-term exposure to the space environment. LDEF was designed to be transported into space in the payload bay of a Space Shuttle, free-fly in low Earth orbit (LEO) for an extended time period, and then be retrieved by a Shuttle during a later flight. The LDEF was passively stabilized, and each surface maintained a constant orientation with respect to the direction of motion.

The LDEF was deployed by the Shuttle Challenger into a 482 km. nearly circular orbit with a 28.4 degree inclination on April 7, 1984. The planned 10-month to 1-year mission carried 57 experiments. A schematic diagram of the location(s) of each experiment on LDEF is shown in figure 1. Due to schedule changes and the loss of the Space Shuttle Challenger, the duration of this flight was extended well beyond the original planned exposure period. The levels of exposure to atomic oxygen and solar radiation as functions of position on the LDEF are shown in figures 2 and 3, respectively.

The LDEF was retrieved by the Space Shuttle Columbia on January 12, 1990 after spending 69 months in orbit. A photo of the LDEF during retrieval operations is shown in figure 4. During these 69 months, LDEF completed 32,422 orbits of Earth and decreased in altitude to 340 km., where it was grappled, photographed extensively from the Shuttle crew cabin, and then placed in the Shuttle payload bay for return to Earth. The LDEF remained in the payload bay of the Space Shuttle Columbia for the landing at Edwards Air Force Base and during the ferry flight to Kennedy Space Center (KSC). The LDEF was removed from Columbia at KSC and brought to the Spacecraft Assembly and Encapsulation Building (SAEF-2) where the LDEF and its experiments were examined visually and photographed, radiation measurements were conducted, and the experiments removed from the structure tray by tray. Each tray was photographed individually subsequent to removal. System level tests were carried out for particular experiments and support hardware. External surfaces were examined for evidence of impacts, contamination, and other exposure induced changes.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BAY</th>
<th>ROW</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>AO175</td>
<td>S0001</td>
<td>GRAPPLE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>AO178</td>
<td>S0001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>AO178</td>
<td>AO136</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>AO178</td>
<td>A0054</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>S0001</td>
<td>AO178</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>S0001</td>
<td>S0001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td>AO175</td>
<td>AO178</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td>AO171</td>
<td>S0001,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>AO056, AO147</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td>S0001, AO134</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td>AO178</td>
<td>S1005</td>
<td>GRAPPLE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td>AO187</td>
<td>S0001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td>S0001</td>
<td>AO201</td>
<td>S0109</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BAY</th>
<th>ROW</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>F</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>AO178</td>
<td>S0001</td>
<td>S0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>AO178, AO172</td>
<td>AO178</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>M0003, M0002</td>
<td>AO187, S1002</td>
<td>S0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>M0003</td>
<td>S0001</td>
<td>AO178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>AO178</td>
<td>S0001,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>AO044, AO135</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>AO201, S0001</td>
<td>AO023, S1006</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>S1003, M0002</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td>AO178</td>
<td>S0001</td>
<td>S0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td>M0003</td>
<td>AO187</td>
<td>M0004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td>M0003, M0002</td>
<td>S0001</td>
<td>AO038</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td>AO054</td>
<td>A0178</td>
<td>S0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td>AO178</td>
<td>S0001</td>
<td>S0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td>AO023, AO019, AO180</td>
<td>AO038</td>
<td>S1001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. Schematic Diagram of the Location(s) of each LDEF Experiment.
Figure 2. Atomic Oxygen Fluences for all Row, Longeron, and End-bay Locations of LDEF.

Figure 3. Solar UV Fluence (Equivalent Sun Hours of Solar Radiation Normal to a Surface) as a Function of Location on LDEF.
Figure 4. NASA on-orbit photo taken during retrieval showing Rows 12-3, including several trays, A1, B3, D3, and D12, which each contained a number of composite specimens.
3.0 RESULTS FROM COMPOSITES EXPERIMENTS

Eight experiments from LDEF were either completely or partially dedicated to evaluation of composite materials. These experiments were designed to evaluate the effects of space exposure on a variety of mechanical, physical and optical properties of selected composites. Table 1 lists the composites flown on LDEF and the experiment(s) on which each material was flown.

3.1 AO019 - Influence of Extended Exposure in Space on Mechanical Properties of High-Toughness Graphite Epoxy Composite Material

Elastic modulus, strength, and fracture toughness was determined for T300/5208 graphite epoxy composite alternated with layers of perforated mylar. The unique material (refs. 9 & 10) was produced with intermittent interlaminar bonding in order to improve the fracture toughness properties. Pre-flight and post-flight measurements were made on two sets of ground control specimens, and on the flight specimens. Space exposure decreased the fracture toughness by roughly a factor of two relative to the control specimens. Similar tensile values were obtained for the flight tensile specimens and the control specimens. This experiment flew on tray D12, located 82-degrees from ram.

Selected areas on the space exposed side of tensile specimen A9 from AO019 were examined by laser profilometry. Two line scans were obtained at either end of the specimen. Each line scan was about 5 cm in length, starting at an exposed location and ending at a location which was covered on-orbit. The locations of the scans on the specimen and the orientation of the specimen with respect to ram is shown in figure 5 (this figure also contains a full size photo of the scanned specimen). The line scans labeled step 1 and step 2 show only the rough texture of the surface and no net recession in the exposed areas. Because of the extreme impingement angle (82 degrees) of atomic oxygen, the sample holder blocked atomic oxygen from attacking the “exposed” areas at this end of the specimen. By contrast, the line scans labeled step 3 and step 4 show distinct steps (40-120 microns) between the exposed and unexposed areas at this end of the specimen. In figure 6 a scan of an area adjacent to the step 3 location shows the texture of the composite surface and the apparent recession. The line scans for steps 1 - 4 are shown on pages 24-27 of Appendix A.

3.2 AO054 - Space Plasma High-Voltage Drainage Experiment

Panels of 0.188 inch thick fiberglass/epoxy coated on their exposed side with A276 white thermal control paint were used to hold specimens on this experiment. These panels survived the LDEF mission intact. A brief discussion of the characteristics of impacts on this surface is included in the report on this experiment (ref. 11).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experiment #</th>
<th>Composite Materials</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AO019</td>
<td>T300 interleaved with Kapton/5208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AO134</td>
<td>T300/5208, T300/934, C6000/P1700, C6000/P1700, GY70/930</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S0010</td>
<td>Graphite/epoxy (coated and uncoated)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AO138-8</td>
<td>T300/V108, GY70/V108, GY70/BSL 914, GY70/code 87, T300 fabric/V108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AO138-9</td>
<td>GY70/934, Kevlar/V-108, G837/V108, GY70/V108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AO054</td>
<td>Fiberglass/epoxy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AO175</td>
<td>T300/F178, C6000/PMR-15, T300/934, C6000/LaRC-160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AO171</td>
<td>HMS/934, P75S/934, HMF/P1700, S-Glass/epoxy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AO180</td>
<td>T300/934, T300/5208, T300/SP288, SP328 Kevlar/epoxy, SP290 Boron/epoxy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M0003-8</td>
<td>T300/934, T300/P1700, C6000/PMR-15, Coated specimens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M0003-9</td>
<td>GY70/CE339, T50/F263, T50/934, T50/X904B, T50/E788, HMS/3501-5A, C6000/E788, P75/934, HMF176/934, E-Glass/CE339, P75/F593</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M0003-10</td>
<td>GY70/X904B, HMS/3501-5A, E-Glass/X904B, GY70/X-30, GY70/CE339, P75S/CE339, P75S/934, GY70/934, W722/P1700, T300/V378A, T300/5208, T300/P1700, T300/PES, C6000/Polyimide, T300/934, AS/3501-6, C6000/PMR-15, Graphite/LaRC-160, Coated specimens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P0005</td>
<td>Carbon/carbon, Graphite/epoxy, Kevlar/epoxy, Glass/epoxy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Composite Materials flown on LDEF
Figure 5. Photograph of composite specimen (A9) from row 12 Experiment AO019 and diagram showing locations of post-flight profilometry measurements and in-flight orientation of this specimen.
Figure 6. Laser profilometry scan over selected area of specimen AO019. This scan is of the area marked "surface" in figure 5.
3.3 AO134 - Space Exposure of Composite Materials for Large Space Structure

Mechanical and chemical properties of graphite/polysulfone and graphite/epoxy materials were evaluated post-flight. These materials were flown on tray B9 (ref. 12). Specific materials flown were C6000/P1700, T300/934, and T300/5208. Results show preferential loss of resin compared to fiber. The decrease in tensile and modulus properties was due to atomic oxygen induced erosion of material. Figure 7 shows an on-orbit photo of tray B9, which includes this experiment, taken as part of the retrieval photo survey.

3.4 AO138-8 - Effect of Space Exposure of some Epoxy Matrix Composites on their Thermal Expansion and Mechanical Properties

Two identical sets of composite materials were flown on this trailing edge experiment (tray B3). Measurement results for both sets of materials are reported in the first symposium proceedings (refs. 13 & 14). One set of materials was positioned within the canister used on this experiment and were only directly exposed to exterior space environment for the first 9 months of the mission. Specimens included epoxy resin face sheet-aluminum core honeycomb sandwich structures, unidirectional graphite epoxy mechanical test specimens and graphite fabric/epoxy. No evidence of property changes was found for these materials.

3.5 AO138-9 - The Effect of the Space Environment on Composite Materials

Another set of materials were flown to evaluate changes in the coefficient of thermal expansion (CTE) due to space exposure, changes in simple elements and honeycomb sandwich assemblies, and to compare performance of two epoxy resins (ref. 14).

3.6 AO171 - Solar-Array-Materials Passive LDEF Experiment

Three carbon fiber (HMF 322/P1700, HMS/934, and P75S/934) and one glass fiber (S-glass/epoxy) composite systems were evaluated on this experiment (ref. 15). AO recession data for these materials are included in table 2, in which recession data is presented for leading edge and near-leading composites flown on LDEF's exterior.
Figure 7. NASA on-orbit photograph showing composite specimens of AO134 and S0010 on tray B9. The closed canister seen on this tray housed a duplicate set of S0010 specimens.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location (row #)</th>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Recession Technique</th>
<th>Recession Rate (10E-24 cm³/atom)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>AS/3501-6</td>
<td>mass loss</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>C6000/PMR-15</td>
<td>mass loss</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Gr/LaRC-160</td>
<td>mass loss</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>T300/P1700</td>
<td>mass loss</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>T300/P1700</td>
<td>cross-section</td>
<td>1.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>T300/934</td>
<td>mass loss</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>T300/934</td>
<td>cross-section</td>
<td>1.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Gr/PMR-15</td>
<td>cross-section</td>
<td>1.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>T300/934</td>
<td>laser profilometry</td>
<td>0.82, 0.91, 0.99, 1.00*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>GY70/CE339</td>
<td>cross-section</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>T300/934</td>
<td>unknown</td>
<td>1.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Kevlar/epoxy</td>
<td>cross-section</td>
<td>0.7-1.2**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>T300/934</td>
<td>cross-section</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>T300/5208</td>
<td>cross-section</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>T300/5208</td>
<td>cross-section</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>T300/934</td>
<td>unknown</td>
<td>1.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>GY70/CE339</td>
<td>cross-section</td>
<td>0.79, 0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>T300/934</td>
<td>cross-section</td>
<td>0.86, 0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>T300/PS-C</td>
<td>cross-section</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>T300/PES-C</td>
<td>cross-section</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>P1700/HMF322</td>
<td>profilometry</td>
<td>0.89 - 2.2**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>HMS/934</td>
<td>profilometry</td>
<td>0.96, 0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>P75S/934</td>
<td>profilometry</td>
<td>0.96, 0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>S-glass/epoxy</td>
<td>profilometry</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>C6000/PMR-15</td>
<td>cross-section</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Four measurements from one large specimen

** Range of measurements

Table 2. Recession rates of selected composites determined by mass loss, cross-sectioning, or profilometry techniques (each value is an individual specimen unless noted).
3.7 AO175 - Evaluation of Long-Duration Exposure to the Natural Space Environment on Graphite-Polyimide and Graphite-Epoxy Mechanical Properties

Rockwell Corp. flew a variety of graphite fiber reinforced resin matrix composites. Composite laminates made from T300/934 epoxy and C6000/LaRC-160 polyimide, and a bonded honeycomb sandwich panel with T300/934 facesheets and a Nomex core were flown on tray A1. Laminates made from T300/F178 bismaleimide and C6000/PMR-15 were flown on a near leading edge environment (tray A7). Measured weight loss on the tray A7 specimens corresponds to an erosion depth of 40-microns if all weight loss was due to AO erosion. Some on-orbit microcracking was observed, caused by the thermal cycling. Many mechanical tests were carried out on each of these materials. Rockwell concluded that the structural performance of these materials was not measurably changed by their in-flight exposure (ref. 16).

3.8 AO180 - The Effect of Space Environment Exposure on the Properties of Polymer Matrix Composite Materials

The University of Toronto experiment contained several composite material systems and provided significant amounts of temperature and dimensional change data as a function of time for the first year of the LDEF mission (refs. 17-19). Depending on the specific material, significant outgassing occurred for periods of the first 40 to 120 days on-orbit. This caused significant dimensional changes, as measured on-orbit (ref. 20). A comprehensive investigation of these materials has been carried out, including examination of impacts, recession due to atomic oxygen, mechanical and optical properties where appropriate. The investigators also carried out examination of specimens provided by several other experimenters. Results from this survey are included in tables 2-4.

3.9 M0003 - Space Environment Effects on Spacecraft Materials

The M0003 experiment flown by The Aerospace Corporation, included opportunities for a number of organizations to fly their own sub-experiments (refs. 21-23). A large set of composite specimens, both uncoated and coated, were flown as part of this experiment, on trays D8, D9, D3, and D4.

The Aerospace Corporation also flew their own set of organic composite materials (refs. 24-26) and several metal matrix composite materials. A detailed description of the performance of the metal matrix composites is included in references 27 and 28. Results show the graphite/aluminum and graphite/magnesium were more resistant to atomic oxygen and less susceptible to thermal cycling induced microcracking than organic composites.
### Table 3. Erosion and optical data for uncoated composites as a function of exposure conditions (ref 35).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Angle from ram (degrees)</th>
<th>AO fluence (atoms/cm²)</th>
<th>UV (ESH)</th>
<th>α</th>
<th>ε</th>
<th>Erosion (microns)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>U. of Toronto Institute for Aerospace Studies Specimens</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T300/934</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>1.33x10²¹</td>
<td>6900</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T300/5208</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>1.33x10²¹</td>
<td>6900</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lockheed Specimens</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GY70/CE339</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8.99x10²¹</td>
<td>11100</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GY70/CE339</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>1.32x10¹⁷</td>
<td>11100</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rockwell Specimens</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T300/934</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>2.92x10¹⁷</td>
<td>7500</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C6000/PMR15</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>3.39x10²¹</td>
<td>7200</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boeing Specimens</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T300/934</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8.99x10²¹</td>
<td>11100</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T300/934</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>7.15x10²¹</td>
<td>9400</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T300/934</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>1.32x10²¹</td>
<td>11100</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T300/934</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>2.31x10⁵</td>
<td>10400</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U of Michigan Specimen</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T300/5208</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>1.33x10²¹</td>
<td>6900</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Dynamics Specimens</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GY70/CE339</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>6.93x10²¹</td>
<td>9400</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T300/934</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>6.93x10²¹</td>
<td>9400</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McDonnell Douglas Specimens</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T300/PS-C</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>6.93x10²¹</td>
<td>9400</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T300/PES-C</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>6.93x10²¹</td>
<td>9400</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T300/PS-C</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>1.32x10¹⁷</td>
<td>11100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Material</td>
<td>Angle from ram (degrees)</td>
<td>AO fluence (atoms/cm²)</td>
<td>UV fluence (ESH)</td>
<td>$\alpha$</td>
<td>$\varepsilon$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S13G Coating</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T300/5208</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>2.31x10⁵</td>
<td>10500</td>
<td>0.37, 0.36</td>
<td>0.88, 0.87</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T300/PES</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>2.31x10⁵</td>
<td>10500</td>
<td>0.34, 0.31</td>
<td>0.80, 0.88</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T300/PES</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>2.31x10⁵</td>
<td>10500</td>
<td>0.32, 0.32</td>
<td>0.89, 0.88</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T300/5208</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>7.15x10²¹</td>
<td>9400</td>
<td>0.19, 0.19</td>
<td>0.88, 0.87</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T300/P1700</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>7.15x10²¹</td>
<td>9400</td>
<td>0.21, 0.22</td>
<td>0.88, 0.88</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T300/PES Ground Control</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.14, 0.13</td>
<td>0.90, 0.89</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Leafing Aluminum Coating

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Angle from ram (degrees)</th>
<th>AO fluence (atoms/cm²)</th>
<th>UV fluence (ESH)</th>
<th>$\alpha$</th>
<th>$\varepsilon$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T300/5208</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>2.31x10⁵</td>
<td>10500</td>
<td>0.75, 0.75</td>
<td>0.78, 0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T300/PES</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>2.31x10⁵</td>
<td>10500</td>
<td>0.76, 0.76</td>
<td>0.78, 0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T300/PES Flight Control</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.67, 0.67</td>
<td>0.79, 0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T300/P1700</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>7.15x10²¹</td>
<td>9400</td>
<td>0.60, 0.61</td>
<td>0.73, 0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ground Control</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A276 Coating

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Angle from ram (degrees)</th>
<th>AO fluence (atoms/cm²)</th>
<th>UV fluence (ESH)</th>
<th>$\alpha$</th>
<th>$\varepsilon$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T300/PES Flight Control</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.31, 0.31</td>
<td>0.90, 0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T300/P1700</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>7.15x10²¹</td>
<td>9400</td>
<td>0.29, 0.29</td>
<td>0.94, 0.93</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Erosion and optical data for coated composites as a function of exposure conditions.
Figure 8 shows an on-orbit photo of tray D8 and part of tray D9, showing the large number of composite test specimens flown on this experiment. Figure 9 is a post-flight photo of tray D4 showing the companion set of composite specimens to those from D8. The Boeing portion of this experiment included an 11.75" x 16.75" T300/934 panel flown on tray D9 (refs. 29-31). The panel is visible in the lower right hand corner of figure 8. This panel was divided into four quadrants. One quadrant was bare composite, one was coated with A-276 polyurethane based white paint, one with Z-306 black polyurethane based paint, and one with Boeing Material Specification (BMS) 10-60 polyurethane based white paint. A photo of this panel is shown in figure 10. The panel was held in place by eight bolts each with a one inch diameter washer. These washers shielded small areas of each part of the panel which served as on-orbit controls for recession measurements and degradation evaluations. These measurements provide recession data for bare T300/934 composite, A276 and BMS 10-60 white thermal control paints, and Z306 black thermal control paint. Table 5 is a summary of the recession data for exposed areas near the various washers. Locations of laser profilometry measurements around each of these shielded areas are included in Appendix A along with their respective profilometry scans. Figure 11 shows a detailed three-dimensional laser profilometry scan in the area of a panel washer. A study of microcrack density as a function of ply number for each of the areas on the panel was also performed. Results of this study are shown in figure 12. It can be clearly seen that areas under the white coatings, which decreased the temperature range of the thermal cycles in comparison with the areas under black surfaces, suffered much less microcracking.

3.10 P0005 - Space Aging of Solid Rocket Materials
Thiokol Corp. flew materials used on Thiokol STAR motors. Included in this experiment were both 2D and 3D carbon-carbon (C-C) specimens and carbon-phenolic sandwich specimens. The 2D C-C materials were representative of STAR motor exit cones and the 3D C-C material was used on nozzle throats. All the P0005 specimens were contained in a stainless-steel container mounted on LDEF's interior frame assembly. The container had a vent valve designed to open in space and close during the return to Earth. Control specimens were kept throughout the mission. Results show the phenolic resin based specimens lost more weight than other specimens due to the loss of volatiles, water, and propyl alcohol, which are byproducts of the phenolic cure reaction (ref. 32). Mechanical testing of both C-C flight and control specimens showed no significant changes.
Figure 8. NASA on-orbit photograph of tray D8 showing M0003 composite test specimens.
Figure 9. NASA post-flight photograph of tray D4 showing M0003 composite test specimens.
Figure 10. Pre-flight photo of coated T300 Graphite/934 Epoxy panel from experiment M0003, tray D9.
### Recession Measurement Location

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recession Measurement Location</th>
<th>Measured Erosion (mils)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>T300/934 Recession Data</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bare T300/934 (page A-2)</td>
<td>3.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bare T300/934 (page A-3)</td>
<td>3.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bare T300/934 (page A-4)</td>
<td>3.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bare T300/934 (page A-5)</td>
<td>2.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>A276 Recession Data</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A276 (page A-7)</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A276 (page A-8)</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A276 (page A-9)</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A276 (page A-10)</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A276 (page A-11)</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A276 (page A-12)</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BMS 10-60 Recession Data</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMS 10-60 (page A-14)</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMS 10-60 (page A-15)</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMS 10-60 (page A-16)</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMS 10-60 (page A-17)</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMS 10-60 (page A-18)</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMS 10-60 (page A-19)</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Please page A-x denotes location of profilometry scan)

Table 5. Summary of erosion data from laser profilometry scans on the T300/934 leading edge composite panel. Appendix A contains all profilometry scans.
Figure 11. Laser profilometry map of the T300/934 panel, including both A276 coated and bare areas shielded by a washer and both A276 coated and bare areas directly exposed to the space environment.
Figure 12. Microrack density vs ply number for coated and bare areas of the T300/934 composite panel from tray D9.
3.11 S0010 - Exposure of Spacecraft Coatings
This was a companion experiment to AO134. A selected set of sputter-coated composite specimens were flown on tray B9. A duplicate set of composite specimens with the same coatings were included in the environmental exposure control canister (EECC) on tray B9. The canister was opened after 10 days on-orbit. The canister was then closed on day 297 and remained sealed for the duration of the mission. The closed canister is visible in figure 7. Results showed that thin sputtered coatings eliminated the atomic oxygen induced erosion associated with bare composites located on LDEF's leading edge (ref. 33).

3.12 S1003 - Ion-Beam-Textured and Coated Surfaces Experiment
A fiberglass panel, coated on both sides with an ion beam sputter-deposited molybdenum, was flown on this experiment (ref. 34). The surface appeared unaltered upon post-flight inspection. The fiberglass substrate was not examined.
4.0 COATED COMPOSITES

As can be seen in table 4, the emittance of the S13G coating on the composite material was not significantly altered by any of the exposures on LDEF. Absorptance increases for leading edge specimens ranged between 0.04-0.08. For trailing edge specimens the absorptance changes ranged between 0.17-0.23. These changes are similar to changes of this material reported for other LDEF locations (ref. 36). The AO exposure removes some of the UV damaged layer and minimizes the absorptance change.

The leafing aluminum coating was applied to the composites using an epoxy binder. The absorptance decrease (0.05-0.06) and the slight emittance decrease (~0.05) observed for leading edge specimens is due to AO removal of the epoxy. The 0.09-0.10 increase in absorptance for the trailing edge specimens is primarily due to darkening of the epoxy by the solar UV. A flight specimen flown in a shielded configuration showed virtually identical optical properties to the ground control specimen. The width of the coated specimens is smaller than the aperture of the Gier-Dunkle instrument used for determining emissivity. This led to concern over the accuracy of the measured values. To overcome this concern, standard materials used to calibrate the instrument were masked using a black cloth so that the same area as the actual specimens was exposed to the light beam. The remainder of the aperture was blocked with the black cloth. The instrument was recalibrated using this standard configuration. The largest difference between the masked standards and the standards in their usual configuration (covering the entire aperture) was only about 0.02. The emissivity of the specimens was then measured with the same black cloth used with the standards as a background. The results obtained in this manner agree with data from larger paint specimens of the same materials which received similar exposure.
5.0 SUMMARY

The recession rate of organic resin matrix materials were observed by several investigators to be substantially higher than recession rates of the graphite fibers. This is reported in quantitative fashion in the plot of rate of recession vs % resin in the matrix (ref. 25). The lower erosion rate of the graphite fibers also causes the average recession rate for these materials on LDEF to be lower than was predicted using results from short term exposures on the Space Shuttle. Fiberglass materials exhibited behavior similar to A276 paints. The glass fibers uncovered during atomic oxygen induced erosion of the matrix resin act as a protective barrier, as do the A276 pigment particles on eroded A276.

Selected composite specimens were coated to achieve protection from atomic oxygen and/or to alter the basic optical properties of the composite. These types of specimens were flown on experiments S0010 and M0003. Coatings used include sputter-coated nickel, aluminum film, SiOx, white paints, leafing aluminum. Each coating protected the underlying composite substrate, even though a variety of changes were visible on the white paints.

Uncoated composites lost a maximum of about 1 ply under the worst exposure conditions. Resin material which remained was essentially unchanged in chemical properties relative to ground control specimens. Mechanical properties of flight specimens decreased in proportion to thickness loss.
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Appendix A
Laser Profilometry Scans for Selected Composite Specimens

Appendix Figure List

Figure A-1. Location of profilometer scans taken through the washer protected area and adjacent exposed areas in the bare T300/934 quadrant of the panel on tray D9.

Figure A-2. Location of profilometer scans taken through the washer protected area and adjacent exposed areas in the bare 934/T300 and A276 white paint coated quadrants of the panel on tray D9.

Figure A-3. Laser profilometry scan 3 from unexposed to exposed area of bare T300/934 surface on tray D9 composite panel.

Figure A-4. Laser profilometry scan 4 from unexposed to exposed area of bare T300/934 surface on tray D9 composite panel.

Figure A-5. Laser profilometry scan 1 from unexposed to exposed area of bare T300/934 surface on tray D9 composite panel.

Figure A-6. Laser profilometry scan 2 from unexposed to exposed area of A276 coated surface on tray D9 composite panel.

Figure A-7. Location of profilometer scans taken through the washer protected area and adjacent exposed areas in the A276 white paint coated quadrant of the panel on tray D9.

Figure A-8. Location of profilometer scan taken through the washer protected area and adjacent exposed area in the A276 white paint coated quadrant of the panel on tray D9.

Figure A-9. Laser profilometry scan 1 from unexposed to exposed area of A276 coated surface on tray D9 composite panel.

Figure A-10. Laser profilometry scan 2 from unexposed to exposed area of A276 coated surface on tray D9 composite panel.
Appendix Figure List Continued

Figure A-11. Laser profilometry scan 3 from unexposed to exposed area of A276 coated surface on tray D9 composite panel.

Figure A-12. Laser profilometry scan 4 from unexposed to exposed area of A276 coated surface on tray D9 composite panel.

Figure A-13. Laser profilometry scan 3 from unexposed to exposed area of A276 coated surface on tray D9 composite panel.

Figure A-14. Location of profilometer scan taken through the washer protected area and adjacent exposed area in the BMS 10-60 white paint coated quadrant of the panel on tray D9.

Figure A-15. Location of profilometer scans taken through the washer protected area and adjacent exposed areas in the BMS 10-60 white paint coated quadrant of the panel on tray D9.

Figure A-16. Laser profilometry scan 1 from unexposed to exposed area of BMS 10-60 white paint coated surface on tray D9 composite panel.

Figure A-17. Laser profilometry scan 1 from unexposed to exposed area of BMS 10-60 coated surface on tray D9 composite panel.

Figure A-18. Laser profilometry scan 2 from unexposed to exposed area of BMS 10-60 coated surface on tray D9 composite panel.

Figure A-19. Laser profilometry scan 3 from unexposed to exposed area of BMS 10-60 coated surface on tray D9 composite panel.

Figure A-20. Laser profilometry scan 4 from unexposed to exposed area of BMS 10-60 coated surface on tray D9 composite panel.

Figure A-21. Location of profilometer scans taken through the washer protected area and adjacent exposed areas in the BMS 10-60 white paint and bare T300/934 composite quadrants of the panel on tray D9.
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Figure A-22. Laser profilometry scan 3 from unexposed to exposed area of bare T300/934 surface on tray D9 composite panel. Taken from washer area between bare composite and BMS 10-60 coated quadrants.

Figure A-23. Laser profilometry scan 4 from unexposed to exposed area of BMS 10-60 coated surface on tray D9 composite panel. Taken from washer area between bare composite and BMS 10-60 coated quadrants.

Figure A-24. Laser profilometry scan from exposed to unexposed area of composite tensile specimen from AO019 shown in figure 5, along line marked step 1.

Figure A-25. Laser profilometry scan from exposed to unexposed area of composite tensile specimen from AO019 shown in figure 5, along line marked step 2.

Figure A-26. Laser profilometry scan from exposed to unexposed area of composite tensile specimen from AO019 shown in figure 5, along line marked step 3.

Figure A-27. Laser profilometry scan from exposed to unexposed area of composite tensile specimen from AO019 shown in figure 5, along line marked step 4.
Figure A-1. Location of profilometer scans 3 and 4 taken through the washer protected area and adjacent exposed areas in the bare T300/934 quadrant of the panel on tray D9.

Figure A-2. Location of profilometer scans taken through the washer protected area and adjacent exposed areas in the bare T300/934 and A276 white paint coated quadrants of the panel on tray D9.
Figure A-3. Laser profilometer scan 3 from unexposed to exposed area of bare T300/934 surface on tray D9 composite panel.
Figure A-4. Laser profilometry scan 4 from unexposed to exposed area of bare T300/934 surface on tray D9 composite panel.
Figure A-5. Laser profilometry scan 1 from unexposed to exposed area of bare T300/934 surface on tray D9 composite panel.
Figure A-6. Laser profilometry scan 2 from unexposed to exposed area of A276 coated surface on tray D9 composite panel.
Figure A-7. Location of profilometer scans taken through the washer protected area and adjacent exposed areas in the A276 white paint coated quadrant of the panel on tray D9.

Figure A-8. Location of profilometer scan taken through the washer protected area and adjacent exposed area in the A276 white paint coated quadrant of the panel on tray D9.
Figure A-9. Laser profilometry scan 1 from unexposed to exposed area of A276 coated surface on tray D9 composite panel.
Figure A-10. Laser profilometry scan 2 from unexposed to exposed area of A276 coated surface on tray D9 composite panel.
Figure A-11. Laser profilometry scan 3 from unexposed to exposed area of A276 coated surface on tray D9 composite panel.
Figure A-12. Laser profilometry scan 4 from unexposed to exposed area of A276 coated surface on tray D9 composite panel.
Figure A-13. Laser profilometry scan 3 from unexposed to exposed area of A276 coated surface on tray D9 composite panel.
Figure A-14. Location of profilometer scan taken through the washer protected area and adjacent exposed area BMS 10-60 white paint coated quadrant of the panel on tray D9.

Figure A-15. Location of profilometer scans taken through the washer protected area and adjacent exposed areas in the BMS 10-60 white paint coated quadrant of the panel on tray D9.
Figure A-16. Laser profilometry scan 1 from unexposed to exposed area of BMS 10-60 coated surface on tray D9 composite panel.
Figure A-17. Laser profilometry scan 1 from unexposed to exposed area of BMS 10-60 coated surface on tray D9 composite panel.
Figure A-18. Laser profilometry scan 2 from unexposed to exposed area of BMS 10-60 coated surface on tray D9 composite panel.
Figure A-19. Laser profilometry scan 3 from unexposed to exposed area of BMS 10-60 coated surface on tray D9 composite panel.
Figure A-20. Laser profilometry scan 4 from unexposed to exposed area of BMS 10-60 coated surface on tray D9 composite panel.
Figure A-21. Location of profilometer scans taken through the washer protected area and adjacent exposed areas in the BMS 10-60 white paint and bare T300/934 composite quadrants of the panel on tray D9.
Figure A-22. Laser profilometry scan 3 from unexposed to exposed area of T300/934 surface on tray D9 composite panel. Taken from washer area between bare composite and BMS 10-60 coated quadrants.
Figure A-23. Laser profilometry scan 4 from unexposed to exposed area of BMS 10-60 coated surface on tray D9 composite panel. Taken from washer area between bare composite and BMS 10-60 coated quadrants.
Figure A-24. Laser profilometry scan from exposed to unexposed area of composite tensile specimen from AO019 shown in figure 5, along line marked step 1.
Figure A-25. Laser profilometry scan from exposed to unexposed area of composite tensile specimen from AO019 shown in figure 5, along line marked step 2.
Figure A-26. Laser profilometry scan from exposed to unexposed area of composite tensile specimen from AO019 shown in figure 5, along line marked step 3.
Figure A-27. Laser profilometry scan from exposed to unexposed area of composite tensile specimen from AO019 shown in figure 5, along line marked step 4.

A-27
Organic composite test specimens were flown on several LDEF experiments. Both bare and coated composites were flown. Atomic oxygen eroded bare composite material, with the resins being recessed at a greater rate than the fibers. Selected coating techniques protected the composite substrate in each case. Tensile and optical properties are reported for numerous specimens. Fiberglass and metal matrix composites were also flown.