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Significant Achievements & Activities:

PCC successfully cast and performed non-destructive testing, FPI & X-ray, on seventeen AMCC castings (NASA dwg. #96M66441 / PCC P/N 20013). Destructive testing, lab analysis & chemical milling, was performed on eleven of the castings and the remaining six castings were shipped to NASA or Aerojet. Two of the six castings shipped, lots 015 and 016, were fully processed per blueprint requirements. PCC has fully developed the gating and processing parameters of this part and feels the part could be implemented into production, after four more castings have been completed to ensure the repeatability of the process.

The AMCC casting has been a technically challenging part due to its size, configuration and alloy type. The height and weight of the wax pattern assembly necessitated the development of a hollow gating system to ensure structural integrity of the shell throughout the investment process. The complexity in the jacket area of the casting required the development of an innovative casting technology that PCC has termed ‘TGC’ or Thermal Gradient Control. This method, of setting up thermal gradients in the casting during solidification, represents a significant process improvement for PCC and has been successfully implemented on other programs. The alloy, JBK-75, is a relatively new alloy in the investment casting arena and required our engineering staff to learn the gating, processing and dimensional characteristics of the material.
Results:

Metallurgical integrity of the final four castings was very good. Only the areas of the parts that utilized 'TGC Shape & Location System #2' (see 'Preliminary Design Review' dated 9/21/94 - included in Volume II - Final Report) showed any significant areas of microshrinkage when evaluated by Non-Destructive Tests (FPI & X-ray). Alumina Oxides detected by FPI on the 'float' surfaces (top side surfaces of the casting during solidification) of the part were almost entirely less than the acceptance criteria of .032” in diameter. Destructive chem mill of the castings was required to determine the effect of the process variables used during the processing of these last four parts (with the exception of the 'Shape & Location of TGC' variable). The worst area of microshrinkage, detected by lab analysis of non-chem milled sections of the final four castings, was 4.5% shrinkage in a heavy cross-sectional area of the part (see lab results in 'Preliminary Design Review' dated 9/21/94 - included with Volume II - Final Report). Maximum observed IGA/IGO, in these same four parts, was .001” and only localized pooling of eta phase was detected in the heavy cross-sectional areas (see lab results in 'Preliminary Design Review' dated 9/21/94 - included with Volume II - Final Report). Maximum columnar grain detected is 1.3” and the maximum equiaxed grain detected is 0.9” (see lab results in 'Preliminary Design Review' dated 9/21/94 - included with Volume II - Final Report).

Dimensional conformance of the final four castings was very good. The only significant dimensional characteristics with a Cpk less than '1.0' are the jacket profile from datums and the mis-match requirement of the manifold patches (see dimensional review in 'Preliminary Design Review' dated 9/21/94 - included with Volume II - Final Report).
Conclusions:

The gating & processing parameters, when utilizing TGC ‘Shape & Location system #1’ (see Preliminary Design Review dated 9/21/94 - included with Volume II - Final Report), appears to be ready for production, but will require the processing of an additional four castings to ensure the repeatability of the process.

The dimensional conformance of the part appears to be ready for production with the exceptions of the jacket profile from datums & the mismatch requirement of the manifold patches (see Design Recommendations on pg. 7 & see dimensional review in ‘Preliminary Design Review’ dated 9/21/94 - included with Volume II - Final Report).
Design Recommendations:

PCC has determined that the AMCC casting (NASA dwg. #96M66441 / PCC P/N 20013) is fully castable in its current form. PCC strongly recommends that all castings produced from JBK-75 be designed, as this part was, with an FPI non-interpretable level of .032" in diameter. PCC recommends construction of a one piece tool, to form the jacket area of the casting, to enable PCC to meet the jacket profile from datums criteria. The other alternative to this would be relaxation of the criteria on the blueprint. The mis-match requirement for the manifold patches as currently called out on the drawing is not within the process capability of this casting. PCC recommends that NASA & PCC concurrently engineer a resolution to this dimensional non-conformance.

Signature:

Steve Clement / Development Engr.

Pam Wright / Dimensional Engr.

Joe Franich / Quality Engineer

Jeff Earll / Program Manager
TECHNICAL PROPOSAL
NASA AMCC
VOLUME 1

Revised 8/19/91
9/12/91
Precision Castparts Corporation (PCC) Large Structural Business Operation (LSBO) proposes to produce twenty-one (21) castings for the NASA AMCC program, of which nine (9) will be deliverable per NASA blueprint number 96M66441. A signed, released copy of this drawing will be required prior to beginning of the program. This effort will be designed to yield a one piece casting, with a 2 or 3 piece approach as a backup in case the one piece casting is not feasible.

PCC feels that the one piece casting configuration carries a minimum of risk since NASA has chosen to eliminate the cored holes from the Fore and Aft Manifolds. The casting development program, therefore, will be designed to concentrate on the metallurgical and dimensional requirements of the part, which at this time are thought to be achievable.

The pattern tooling that will be built to produce this casting will be capable of producing it in any combination of one, two, or three pieces. The development program as quoted in this proposal will, however, refer only to the single piece approach. If, by mutual agreement, this is later abandoned, the backup approach will then be negotiated.

The casting design as shown on the blueprint is assumed by PCC to be acceptable to NASA. During the course of the development program, PCC may make suggestions concerning the design. These will be for producibility requirements only, and will not be incorporated without NASA agreement. The functional requirements of the part in its end use in the engine are NASA's responsibility and PCC assumes no liability for these once the acceptance standards for the casting have been met.

The use of JBK-75 alloy is assumed in this proposal. Its use at PCC LSBO has been limited, with no parts as yet having reached a production status. However, it has been found to be very castable and weldable, with good response to HIP. Information concerning its processing in our facility, which is obtained from current programs, will be used in this program as it is applicable.
The use of other alloys will require a re-evaluation and restructuring of this program. NASA 23 is unknown to us at this time and would require initial evaluation prior to running the program. Inconel 718 has wide usage and represents a large percentage of PCC LSBO production. We would consider it a good alloy for this casting, however, NASA must determine its suitability for this application.

The initial part of this program is designed to develop a gating system and processing parameters that will produce sound castings, i.e. those that will meet the Metallurgical and Dimensional requirements for the part. As such, the first 12 castings produced will be destructively tested, and thus will not be available to ship to NASA in usable form. All will not be completely processed, and the leftover pieces will be various configurations, heat treat conditions, and surface conditions. These would be available to NASA after PCC’s evaluation is complete. Data packages on these casting segments would not be available except on an informal basis. These segments should not be used by NASA in any way to evaluate the characteristics of cast parts, as by their nature they do not represent the final product.

The development process as quoted will be made up of the following steps.

1) Receipt of Purchase Order
2) Tool Procurement, Construction and Proving
3) Process #1 Mockup Casting
4) Process #2 Mockup Casting
5) Detailed Design Review
6) Gating Optimizations - Process 4 Castings (DOE)
7) Deliver 3 Hipped Parts
8) Detailed Design Review
9) Process Optimization - 6 Castings (DOE)
10) Detailed Design Review
11) Produce Six Castings from fixed process

This process may require additional steps in order to obtain the desired results. The decision to proceed or to repeat prior steps will be mutually agreed upon at the Detailed Design Reviews. Changes to work content will be decided at that time.
This effort will be directed by an Engineering Team composed of the following positions:

- Program Manager: 1280 hours
- Project Engineer: 736 hours
- Dimensional Engineer: 2299 hours
- Part Engineer: 2813 hours
- Quality Engineer: 2371 hours
- Technician: 2560 hours
- Clerk: 1048 hours

The hours shown are the estimated hours that will be required by each person in order to complete the project.

Ref. Attachments pages 4 & 5.

PCC has certain materials and processes which are considered to be proprietary. Prior to acceptance of a purchase order, a non-disclosure agreement must be agreed upon between NASA and PCC. The items to be named are included but not limited to the following list:

- Wax Formula
- Shell Formula
- Gating Information
- Pouring Temperature
- Shell Temperature
- Welding Techniques
- CMM Programs
- Wax Assembly Techniques
- Shell Building and Preparation Techniques
- Shell and Gating Removal Techniques
- Volumetric Shrink Data

Part Routers (Shop Travelers) and other internal documentation.
### ENGINEERING HOURS BY TASK, BY ENGINEER, BY CALENDAR YEAR

#### 1991

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task</th>
<th>JD</th>
<th>JM</th>
<th>BH</th>
<th>PW</th>
<th>Clk.</th>
<th>JH</th>
<th>SW</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tooling</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample 1 &amp; 2</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gating DOE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qualification</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&amp; Test Piece(s)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tooling &amp; Best Effort Casting 10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reporting</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1810 Hours

#### 1992

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task</th>
<th>JD</th>
<th>JM</th>
<th>BH</th>
<th>PW</th>
<th>Clk</th>
<th>JH</th>
<th>SW</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tooling</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>438</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample 1 &amp; 2</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gating DOE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qualification</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&amp; Test Piece(s)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tooling &amp; Best Effort Casting 10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reporting</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2888 Hours

### TOTAL MANHOURS BY PERSON

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Engineer</th>
<th>Total Hours</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>JD</td>
<td>2299</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JM</td>
<td>2813</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BH</td>
<td>2371</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PW</td>
<td>2560</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clk.</td>
<td>1048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JH</td>
<td>736</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW</td>
<td>1280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Proposal</td>
<td>13,107</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ENGINEERING HOURS BY TASK, BY ENGINEER, BY CALENDAR YEAR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task</th>
<th>1993</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>1994</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>JD</td>
<td>JM</td>
<td>BH</td>
<td>PW</td>
<td>Clk.</td>
<td>JH</td>
<td>SW</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tooling</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample 1 &amp; 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gating DOE</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>288</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>384</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qualification &amp; Test Piece(s)</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>240</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tooling &amp; Best Effort Casting 10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>96</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reporting</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>42</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>594</td>
<td>720</td>
<td>968</td>
<td>890</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>414</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4122 Hours

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task</th>
<th>1993</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>1994</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>JD</td>
<td>JM</td>
<td>BH</td>
<td>PW</td>
<td>Clk.</td>
<td>JH</td>
<td>SW</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4287 Hours
TECHNICAL PROPOSAL
VOLUME 1, PART 2:

TECHNICAL IMPLEMENTATION PLAN

Precision Castparts Corporation has refined the art of investment casting into a process capable of accurately reproducing part designs in many high strength environmental resistant alloys.

Investment casting, "the lost wax process", involves the creation of wax injection tooling capable of producing highly repeatable patterns. During the process of making ceramic molds in which to pour liquid metal, these patterns are destroyed, and hence the name, "lost wax process". The techniques for pouring metal are carefully developed for each part design. After the ceramic mold material is removed, the castings are subjected to x-ray radiography, fluorescent dye penetrant, and visual inspections to provide assurance of the casting quality. When necessary, PCC is able, through in-process welding, to fabricate or replace areas of the casting, using welding techniques developed specifically for the particular casting shape and alloy. Stringent controls are maintained to assure quality and timely deliveries. These include metal testing, thermal monitoring, dimensional inspections, manufacturing instruction controls, and employee certifications.

The following is a technical summary, showing the application of investment casting to the NASA objective of a "one-piece" Advanced Main Combustion Chamber in JBK-75 alloy. This single casting will integrate the Forward Coolant Manifold, Structural Jacket, and Aft Coolant Manifold.

Tooling, gating, and casting parameters are unique to the shape of the design and the alloy of choice. A structured development plan, utilizing an iterative process of experimentation, will be employed to define a process which is capable of meeting the quality expectations of NASA.

Initial process parameters will be set by experience. Since the requirements referenced in this request for proposal are non-traditional for our experience regarding section thickness and alloys, only the most basic assumptions can be made from direct experience and recent research. Therefore PCC proposes to
utilize a development effort that is typically applied to products made for a new market segment.

Destructive evaluation methods (i.e. chemical milling and sectioning) will be employed on the first twelve (12) castings produced. This approach supports the expedited development necessary for PCC to reach an acceptable quality level for the nine (9) deliverable castings. The three castings provided for manufacturing development will not be subject to quality acceptance requirements beyond those identified and agreed to by PCC prior to their manufacture. Prior to flight hardware production of this casting, additional process development may be required.

A change in the selection of JBK-75 alloy, if made after the start of casting development, will result in the need to repeat portions of the development effort and could require the rework of pattern tooling to achieve dimensional expectations. Were this decision to be made, PCC retains the option to renegotiate the cost and schedule of this proposal.

A development team containing expertise in program management, metallurgy, process design, dimensional control and quality assurance has been assembled to implement this plan.

TYPICAL DEVELOPMENT PROCESS

Included as a reference is an attachment page which describes a typical development process for an aircraft engine frame (pages 19 & 20).

PROPRIETARY INFORMATION

Techniques, fixtures (except those identified in this proposal), processes, in-process data, or relationships which are utilized or evaluated during this stage of development, developed by PCC, are considered proprietary and competition sensitive as discussed elsewhere in this proposal. All data relating to the final condition of completed castings will be made available to NASA at the times indicated in the proposal milestone chart.
PROPOSAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN

As discussed elsewhere, the goal of this proposal is to develop the AMCC casting through the process DOE activity and to deliver, to NASA, six (6) castings that are developed to the highest quality possible under the SOW and delivery schedule provided by this request for proposal. The following is a concise description of the tasks shown on the proposed milestone for this effort.

High Quality Segmented Tooling:

A. Wax Injection Dies.
Injection tooling, as designed and purchased, will be capable of producing the Main Combustion Chamber pattern as well as individual patterns for the Forward Manifold, Aft Manifold and Structural Jacket. This will allow for the implementation of "two or three piece" solutions if unforeseen problems are experienced with the "one piece" effort.

B. Fixturing.
Fixtures that are required in the manufacturing process will be designed and purchased. Included in this proposal are fixtures unique to this program.

1. Wax Holding -- to prevent distortion
2. Pattern Assembly -- to locate features
3. Gating Assembly -- to prevent distortion
4. Casting Manipulators -- for gate removal/welding/inspection
5. Gauges and templates -- for inspection/welding
6. Holding Fixtures -- for target machining/measuring

Mockup Casting Development - The Objective of these Trials are to:

A. Evaluate manufacturing techniques and develop prototype fixtures for:

1. Wax Injection Molding:
   This includes definition of set-up parameter, operational performance and handling methods for all wax injection dies including: pattern, chill soluble, wax welded and gating components.
2. Pattern Assembly
3. Gate Assembly
   Investing is the process in which ceramic mold materials are applied to the wax pattern. This provides a vessel for alloy pouring which reproduces with exacting detail the wax pattern/gate assembly.

5. Melting and Pouring of the Alloy: (Casting Process)

6. In-process Welding of the Casting

7. In-process Inspections

B. Collect data to establish part/alloy volumetric shrinkage.
   This is accomplished by: Physical measurement of select areas of the tooling, wax pattern, pattern/gating assemblies, metal part (as cast, hot isostatic pressed and solutioned, and aged conditions) and comparison to blueprint and target values. This allows us to accurately predict the size of the part resulting from our process and provides direction for improvement efforts, and to establish actual dimensions for future production tooling.

C. Evaluate several gating ideas.
   Mockup gating systems will be applied which provide for an understanding of the effects of gating in promoting the metallurgical quality of this casting.

D. Experience casting JBK-75

E. Programming of coordinate measuring machine CMM for inspection of both wax patterns and castings

F. Design and construct fixtures as needed

G. Obtain in-process welding experience

H. Establish inspection techniques

I. Determine JBK-75 behavior (HIP, IGA, melting, properties)
Gating Design of Experiments (DOE)

The objective of these castings is to allow statistical comparison of the gating options resulting from the mockup castings. Upon completion, PCC shall select a system for the six (6) process DOE castings. Using four (4) castings PCC shall attempt to achieve improved metallurgical quality through gating refinement.

Statistically designed experimentation will be conducted to evaluate the effect of changes to the gating system on metallurgical quality. These experiments will be available for review by NASA prior to manufacture of the castings.

Destructive methods (chemical milling) will be performed on four (4) castings for evaluation of internal quality and as a review of the effectiveness of the inspection procedures. The results from all four castings will be provided as a package at the completion date shown on the proposal milestone. This will include non-destructive inspection (fluorescent penetrant and x-ray) reports, final dimensional inspection results (including any data collected by Coordinate Measuring Machine), and reports of the metallurgical character of excised defect indications. Thermal processing records and metal chemistry results (PCC does not plan to certify results from development castings) may be provided upon request. Due to the nature of experimentation, it is unlikely that any one casting will have superior quality throughout. Rather each casting is likely to experience a range of casting quality in different areas.

Tooling Modifications

Modification of pattern tooling may be required to provide suitable size characteristics for deliverable castings. PCC will, as required, rework tooling to provide dimensional improvements needed for the deliverable castings. The cost of this effort is included in the financial section of this proposal.

Gating Die/Fixture Construction

To provide for consistent quality of the deliverable castings, wax injection tooling will be constructed to provide as many of the gating components as
possible within the time requirements of this request for proposal. This typically would include risers, cups, runners and ring gates as well as the associated chill waxes. The design and construction of fixtures (as noted elsewhere) shall be completed during this phase of the development effort.

PROCESS OPTIMIZATION (DOE)

Process will manufacture six (6) castings, all using the best gating system from the gating experiment. The process parameters for these castings (metal temperature, mold temperature, amount of ceramic material) will be varied to determine the sensitivity of casting metallurgical and dimensional quality. The castings will be evaluated using the same techniques that were identified previously for the gating experiment. Information from these castings will provide a statistical basis for measuring the effect of three process parameters and for determining mutually agreeable acceptance criteria for castings.

Dimensional data collection.
PCC will review the blueprint for specific control dimensions, with the intent of collecting data which can be used to provide a statistical description (mean and standard deviation) of the casting process. This data will provide an indication of the dimensional results that can be expected for the deliverable castings.

Deliverable Castings

PCC will run 3 castings in conjunction with the four Gating Optimization parts. These will not have the access windows closed, will be hipped, will have pre and post HIP NDTE as the schedule allows, and be processed on a best effort basis for metallurgical and dimensional quality. These three parts will ship by the end of June, 1993.

After the six (6) piece process optimization, using the same process for each, PCC will manufacture six (6) castings for delivery to NASA. These castings will be Hot Isostatically Pressed, solution heat treated, in-process welded, fluorescent penetrant, x-ray inspected, and age heat treated to agreed upon acceptance standards. Although the process used on all six parts will be the same, at this stage of development this process is not intended to produce production castings. Additional development is required to refine process parameters before the process can be considered "fixed".
TECHNICAL IMPLEMENTATION PLAN
DIMENSIONAL DEVELOPMENT

TOOLS: (WAX INJECTION DIES)

Tooling to be (layout) inspected for dimension conformance to design requirements using surface plates, height gages and/or coordinate measuring equipment.

WAX PATTERNS:

Each wax component to be initially inspected for physical size, wall thickness, feature sizes and location. Subsequent waxes will be checked using control dimensions.

WAX PATTERN ASSEMBLY:

Each pattern assembly consists of three basic components: Forward Manifold, Jacket and Aft Manifold. These components will be located by an assembly fixture that places each wax in its proper location. After wax welding to make the complete assembly, the pattern will be visually and dimensionally inspected for conformance to blueprint. The assembly will be measured by a coordinate measuring machine to assure correct angular positions of each component and to measure contour surfaces that cannot be inspected with precision hand tools.

GATED PATTERN ASSEMBLY:

Each gated assembly will be checked for distortion prior to investing. Any deviations will be recorded for their impact on the casting. Corrections will be made to the assembly as required.
CASTING:

Each configuration will be dimensionally inspected at the stages of process that have an effect on dimensions. The planned inspections are after the following:

1st Inspection (as cast)
Homogenize or HIP Heat Treat
Solution Heat Treat
Age Harden Heat Treat
Target Machining

Inspections are not limited to the above control points and may include additional points as required.

The purpose of the dimensional inspection plan is to characterize the JBK-75 alloy. This includes volumetric shrink (size of pattern required to meet drawing dimensions) process variation (repeatability of dimensions), and unusual volumetric shrink (thick section walls of features may require additional shrinkage allowance)

POTENTIAL PROBLEM:

A possible problem that requires work is the dimensional inspectability of the Forward and Aft Manifold wall thicknesses. The wall cannot be inspected with precision hand tools. (Either calipers or micrometers) The need is to develop alternative methods such as ultrasonic testing to insure wall thickness conformance to blueprint. This includes local blend areas and the wall surfaces around the patch windows. Work to be done includes using sample sections of JBK-75 castings currently in-process and pieces of the NASA casting as standards to calibrate testing units. Data from casting measurements (casting cut ups) will be analyzed by statistical methods to determine reliability.
TECHNICAL IMPLEMENTATION PLAN

OTHER ENGINEERING EFFORTS:

PCC will work with and support the contracting agency in the development of appropriate standards and specifications in order to meet their needs and requirements. At the completion of the development program, viable specifications and standards, suitable for casting production, will be available.

PCC will be running similar parts in JBK-75 at the same time that this program is active. We will transfer the knowledge gained in those efforts to this one as it is applicable.
TECHNICAL IMPLEMENTATION PLAN

INSPECTION PLAN:

A detailed inspection plan will be designed for each significant process in the casting development program utilizing, at a minimum, the inspection methods defined below.

For each level of inspection, and by each of the inspection methods defined, the process will be controlled by internal inspection documents referred to as technique cards and process control procedures.

Inspections processes identified as significant to the proposed development program are dimensional and non-destructive testing.

1. Dimensional:

A. Wax Pattern, Inspection of.
   Required to validate the wax injection tool construction and to provide a dimensional data base for correlation of the wax to metal shrink factor.

B. Cast Part Configuration, Inspection of.
   Correlates previously acquired wax dimensions with the actual metal dimensions and provides specific dimensional data from which wax tooling adjustments, if determined significant, would be performed.

C. In-process Inspection of Development Parts.
   Evaluation of the effects of thermal cycling, i.e. Hot Isostatic Pressing and Heat Treat effects on casting configuration and dimensions.

D. Final Inspection of Qualification Part.
   Evaluation and verification of all the dimensions required by the blueprint.

PCC is well equipped to perform dimensional inspection utilizing a wide variety of manual and automated inspection equipment, from hand held micrometers to fully automated, programmable coordinate measuring machines of sufficient envelope size to accommodate the proposed castings.
PCC intends to fully utilize all the appropriate measurement equipment commensurate to the task of gathering dimensional data and to provide dimensional verification.

2. Non-destructive Testing

The non-destructive testing methods used at PCC are:
1. Visual
2. X-Ray
3. Fluorescent Penetrant
4. Magnetic Particle
5. Ultrasonic

A. Visual Inspection
   Each casting will be evaluated for its visual condition relating to surface finish, completeness and condition. This inspection will take place at a minimum of three (3) specific points in the development program.

   1. 1st Inspection.
      This operation is performed as the first operation after the casting is cleaned.

   2. Visual Inspection, in-process.
      Performed as needed during the internal manufacturing processing of the casting at any time a process operation might cause a condition that affects visual standards.

      Performed in conjunction with the final dimensional inspection to verify that visual conditions meet specification.

PCC has sufficient and adequate visual inspection equipment, magnifiers, remote boroscopes and surface roughness standards to meet all defined requirements for the development program.
B. X-Ray.

This inspection method is used during the development program to provide data on the internal condition of the casting, initially to evaluate and quantify the effectiveness of the gating design, and secondarily to verify conformance to standards and specifications.

The development of the proper x-ray exposure techniques is a function of applying sound radiographic principles to the casting configuration in order to provide the sensitivity and coverage required for evaluation.

This method is used primarily in order to achieve the following:

1. Engineering evaluation, characterizing gate design and effectiveness, and identifying defect type, location and size.

2. Evaluation of the effectiveness of in process welding.

3. Final inspection verifying the casting conformance to standard and specification.

PCC has an extensive array of x-ray equipment. X-ray cabinets and vaults are configured to accommodate a wide variety of small to very large castings.

Energy capabilities low (300KV) to high (2MEV), enable us to x-ray to material thicknesses of up to 8 inches of steel sufficient to fully inspect castings to the quality requirements of this program.

PCC utilizes the highest quality grades of industrial x-ray film and employs automatic film processors to assure process uniformity and repeatability.

C. Fluorescent Penetrant.

This non-destructive test method is used extensively to evaluate the surface condition of castings throughout the manufacturing process.

PCC employs both class I and class II high sensitivity water washable fluorescent penetrants designed to be highly effective on cast surfaces and on the defect types normally encountered in castings.
PCC employs a semi-automated penetrant process line utilizing electrostatic penetrant application and automated penetrant removal methods, along with drying and developer application in a facility designed to handle castings of unusually large and complex configurations.

This system is designed to provide a high level of process uniformity and quality for consistent inspection.

This inspection method is utilized at the following points in normal manufacturing and casting development stages.

1. Preliminary engineering evaluation of development castings evaluating surface defect location, type, size and frequency.

2. Performed as a manufacturing inspection tool to evaluate the surface condition of the casting.

   This method is utilized throughout the casting repair cycles at any point where the surface condition is subject to change.

3. Final inspection of the casting as a means to verify acceptance of the casting to requirements, standards and specifications.

D. Magnetic Particle Inspection.
   PCC does not intend to perform this method of inspection on this casting material.

E. Ultrasonic Testing.
   It is anticipated that PCC will evaluate this method for its potential application for dimensional inspection and verification of specific areas of the casting that may prove difficult or impossible to inspect by normally used dimensional inspection equipment and methods.

   PCC does not intend this method to be used to evaluate, accept or reject castings for internal discontinuities.
TYPICAL INVESTMENT CASTING DEVELOPMENT PROCESS

Included is a description of a typical development process for a large aircraft engine frame.

Duration
Samples within 1.5 - 2 years
Production ready in 2 - 4 years from start

Design Review
Critical to program's success
Provide general guidelines for castability
Explain processes and identify producibility issues

Tooling
Wax injection dies
Fixtures and Manipulators

Mockup Development
Establish part/alloy volumetric shrink factors

Gating Design of Experiments (DOE)
Dimensional and Metallurgical refinement

Tooling Modifications
To Adjust for learned volumetric shrink
To provide required dimensional capability

Gate die/fixture construction
To provide for reliability and production quantities

Process DOE
To identify effect of process variation on quality
Fixed process certification
    Process capability determination
        To establish achievable tolerances
    Fixed process demonstration
        To provide samples for testing properties
    Periodic cut-up evaluations
        To compare to original demonstration samples

Production of castings under a fixed process.
1) Blueprint tolerances for form and features remain to be established. The title block tolerance (B/P sheet 1) is unacceptable for this program. Final tolerances will be set by mutual agreement.

2) To ensure metallurgical integrity, detail "U", (B/P sheet 6, zone C-5) the 20.000 diameter groove, will be cast solid.

3) Tooling draft may be required for tool construction. Draft or wedge requirements will be agreed upon with NASA Engineers prior to tool construction.

4) The castings will require target machining to optimize the blueprint dimensions. The target machining system will be on the Forward Manifold flange with welded target bosses.

5) Inspection of the Forward and Aft Manifold wall thickness requires "non-standard" equipment. Inspection of these wall thickness will require the approval of NASA Engineering and Quality and PCC Quality as to equipment and procedures to be used.

6) Tooling will be sized for volumetric shrink using JBK-75 material. A change to either NASA 23 or 718C will affect dimensional results.

Tooling: Wax pattern tooling will consist of three tools with inserts capable of producing three individual castings as defined by blueprint sheet 10, or a one piece configuration.
NASA Advanced Main Combustion Chamber
Casting Proposal by Precision Castparts Corporation

[Diagram showing a flowchart with dates and milestones, including P.O. received, product scheduled, build high quality segmented tooling, cost first, cost third, gating optimization 4 pieces, is quality acceptable? yes or no, build gate tooling, process optimization experiment 6 pieces, tool rework for dimensions or other design changes, submit detail data review, run usable castings 2 pieces, run usable castings as required to yield 8 pieces total, issue final report, end of current row.]

Example milestone duration in weeks:
1/1/91 latest finish date

Acknowledged: JGM 6/14/91
Located in Portland, Oregon are the Corporate Headquarters, Division Headquarters, Technical Center, and the Structural Divisions Investment Casting Foundries of Precision Castparts Corp. The Structural Division consists of three (3) manufacturing plants, which are the Small Structures Business Operation (SSBO), Titanium Business Operation (TBO), and Large Structures Business Operation (LSBO) and related satellite facilities required to support these manufacturing operations.

The NASA MSFC AMCC Casting Development program proposed by PCC would be performed at PCC's LSBO facilities. The LSBO facility is unmatched in the free world in its ability to manufacture very large structural investment castings. Currently LSBO produces aircraft quality castings for every major Jet Engine manufacturer in the free world. These parts range in weight from 150 to over 500 pounds ship weight, and are made in both air melt and vacuum alloys. LSBO has the capability of producing up to 10,000 pounds of master melt alloy in vacuum and up to 5,000 pounds in air.

LSBO has many unique pieces of equipment in its manufacturing operations. Including the largest wax press in the nation, three investing robots capable of lifting 5,000 pounds with accurate positioning of parts extended eight feet out in space, a dewax autoclave that will handle six foot diameter parts, and a vacuum casting furnace that will handle parts over six feet in diameter and six feet high, while operating at ten to the minus 6 (or lower) vacuum levels. The heat treat department can run, under vacuum, parts up to six feet in diameter and six feet high. We have, in our adjoining TBO facilities Hot Isostatic Pressing (HIP) facilities capable of handling castings up to forty-four inches in diameter and seventy two inches high, at temperatures of 2150°F with pressures of 15,000 psi.

LSBO's x-ray facilities include several 160-300 KVA units as well as two 1 MEV units and a 2 MEV linatron, mounted below ground, with automated part handling capability. In our penetrant inspection area we have electrostatic application equipment installed on a production line capable of handling the largest parts produced at LSBO.

Manual Layout Inspection and large CMM inspection capability exist with capacities in the six foot cube size range.
CNC machining facilities with part probing and pallet shuttle capability that will handle six foot cube size parts also exist at our satellite facilities.

Within the LSBO facilities exist some of the best in process welding facilities and certified welder capability in the nation. Our customer base recognizes this capability and consults with PCC on many unique welding problems.

On site at LSBO are the Division Metallurgical Laboratories, with all of the metal certification, metal proving and related testing facilities required to perform these services. When the work dictates the need, LSBO also utilizes qualified outside testing laboratories to augment its internal capability.

Every manufacturing process that will be required for this program either exists on site at LSBO or is readily available in the immediate area. Potentially we could send unique operations to fully (PCC) qualified off-site facilities if the production schedule dictates this requirement. This is considered to be a normal part of our manufacturing process and is done every day to support our customer delivery requirements.

TRAVEL:

The Rocket Engine and Space Component Development Engineering Team plans to travel to MSFC once each quarter for a program review, over the four year life of the program. Our proposal is based on five people traveling to each review. Each trip will require one day travel in each direction and will require one day at MSFC for our quarterly presentation. Two members of our team are not included in MSFC travel, our clerk would not be required and the health of our Project Engineer will not allow him to fly, so they are excluded. Sales participation as required will be funded by PCC.

SUBCONTRACTS:

PCC will subcontract for the tooling and fixtures required to perform the work outlined under this proposal. Tooling will consist of wax pattern molds and fixturing required to produce the individual casting configuration(s) required for the full cast AMCC. Our approach will be to tool the Forward Manifold, Jacket, and Aft Manifold as individual pieces (castings) with interchangeable inserts in the mating surfaces of each mold. This approach will allow us the flexibility of molding smaller individual components, while allowing the three
(3) individual wax patterns to be assembled into a one (1) piece configuration. This approach will provide a safe fall back position, should the one piece castings prove to be more difficult than we anticipated. This approach will assure NASA that we will be able to produce individual castings or combinations of Forward Manifold and Jacket or Aft Manifold and Jacket, while allowing the orderly (separate) development of the most difficult casting configuration.

PCC fully expects to ultimately produce this part as a one (1) piece casting.
TECHNICAL IMPLEMENTATION PLAN

EQUIPMENT:

PCC intends to fully utilize its available process equipment, hardware and test equipment normally used in casting development and manufacturing determined necessary to accomplish the goals of the development program.

PCC has evaluated and confirmed that its facilities, equipment and personnel are fully adequate to accomplish the tasks of this development program, except for specific fixtures as listed elsewhere.

PCC's significant equipment requirements necessary to accomplish this development program are defined below.

Wax Injection Machines
   Non-Standard

Investing
   Frames
   Robotic Manipulators
   Slurry Pots

Autoclave

Burnout Furnaces

Casting Furnaces
   Vacuum

Shell Removal
   Chemical KOH Tanks
   Casting Manipulator
   Manual Knockout Hand Tools
   Automated Waterblast, Shotblast Cabinet and Systems

Gate Removal
   Automated Water Jet Cabinet
   Manual Burnoff Torches

Machining
Surface Cleaning
- Grit Blast Cabinet
- Manual Grind (hand tool)
- Belt Grinders

Inspection
- X-ray
  - Fixtures, Support and Positioning
  - 300 KV (11 Cabinets and Vaults)
  - 2 MEV (1 Automated Unit)
  - 1 MEV (2 Cabinets)
- Penetrant
  - Semi-automated Process Line
  - High Sensitivity Water Wash
- Visual/Dimensional
  - Fixtures, Holding and Support
  - Automated Coordinate Measurement Machine
  - Manual Surface Plates
  - Ultrasonic Thickness Gage

Thermal Processing
- Hot Isostatic Pressing Unit
- Heat Treat Furnaces
  - Vacuum
  - Air
  - Inert Gas in Retorts
TECHNICAL PROPOSAL
VOLUME 1, PART 4: KEY PERSONNEL

Success or failure of a particular program or project often hinges on the availability of key personnel. Recognizing this in support of the Space Program, Precision Castparts Corp. (PCC) made the decision to create a dedicated team of Senior Development Engineers capable of performing complex engineering projects and managing their associated programs. This team is headquartered at PCC’s Large Structural Business Operation (LSBO) and is dedicated to the development of Rocket Engine and Space Component investment castings.

A. TEAM MEMBERS:

Jerry Heman - Project Engineer
Bruce Haphey - Quality Engineer
John Davis - Dimensional Engineer
Jeff Miller - Part Engineer
Pam Wright - Technician
Assigned - Clerk
Steve Weber - Program Manager
Myrna Nutting - Sales Engineer

B. BIOGRAPHY

Jerry Heman
Bruce Haphey
John Davis
Jeff Miller
Pam Wright
Steve Weber
Myrna Nutting

C. AVAILABLE TIME

Estimated percentage of available time each team member will (on average annually) devote to this proposal are:
D. TEAM BACKGROUND

Jerry Heman has 25 years of Investment Casting experience. For the past 12 years he has worked in the Development Engineering side of the business at the Large Structural Business Operation (LSBO). Development Engineering at LSBO is on the cutting edge of casting development technology. All of the successful jet engine large structural super alloy investment castings in use today were developed in the Engineering Department of this facility.

Jerry is the Project Engineer for the Rocket Engine and Space Component Development Team. In this capacity he is able to use his past experience to assure on time, cost effective development of high quality castings by the team.

Bruce Haphey is a certified Level 3 Inspector with 22 years of Investment Casting experience. For the past 14 years he has been employed by PCC, holding positions where his Inspection and Quality experience could be applied to our business. For the past 3 years he has, as a Quality Engineer, specialized in accounts of customers who produce products for Government end use. For the past year he has been the Quality Engineer for the Rocket Engine and Space Component Development Team.

John Davis is a Dimensional Engineer with over 22 years of experience in the Investment Casting industry. For the past 13 years he has worked in Development Engineering at LSBO, where he was responsible for the Dimensional development of some of the most complex large structural castings produced at PCC. He has extensive experience in the application of segmented tooling and fixturing in the production of large complex investment castings. He is often called upon to provide customer training on dimensional application to their investment casting drawings and instructs new PCC employees in Dimensional Engineering principals.
Jeff Miller is a Part (Gating) Engineer with over 8 years experience with PCC (LSBO) in the Metallurgical Development of large complex super alloy investment castings. He is a leading proponent of, and an authority, in the use of statistical process control techniques and design of experiments in the development of complex castings. Jeff is often called upon to assist in troubleshooting casting problems other engineers are experiencing in the development of similar casting configurations.

Pam Wright is the Technician for the Rocket Engine and Space Component Development Engineering Team. She has over 5 years experience at PCC where she worked in Wax Cleaning, and in the Inspection Department as a CMM operator.

Steve Weber has 38 years of Manufacturing experience, the past 23 years of which are with PCC. At PCC he has held Manufacturing and Engineering Management Positions in Tool Design, Tool Construction, Process Engineering, Dimensional Engineering, Purchasing, Maintenance, Computer Aided Engineering, Technical Modernization and with the Rocket Engine and Space Component Development Engineering Team. Prior to forming this team, he managed a team that was devoted to the development of advanced Engineering Prototype technology, and for the prototype and demonstration of robotic work cells on the foundry manufacturing floor.

Myrna Nutting has over 4 years of experience with PCC. During that time she served very briefly as a secretary in the NDT department, and has spent the last four years as a Sales Engineer handling a wide variety of accounts which include all of the Rocket Engine and Space Components programs.
E. KEY PERSONNEL LISTING

In addition to this proposal, the Rocket Engine and Space Component team members are key personnel on one (1) existing Government funded (ALS) contract and are planning to be utilized on one (1) additional Government funded (SSME) proposal.

At the time this proposal is being prepared, adequate man-hours exist to undertake this development effort. If outstanding proposals are funded as anticipated, in CY 1992 the projected load would be 98% of our average man-hour capacity. Even though the average man-hour requirement would be less than the actual available, two (2) key engineers (Dimensional and Gating) would, in reality, be overloaded and unable to carry the total man-hour requirement estimated for them. Should that prove to be the case, PCC will support the requirement as the load develops by hiring the necessary personnel and teaming them with the expert members of our team. We are confident that this type of an arrangement will facilitate the orderly casting development in each program.
BIOGRAPHY – Jerry Heman

EDUCATION:
Bachelor of Science
Portland State University
1963

Many Technical and Management courses and training provided by PCC.

WORK EXPERIENCE:
25 years in Investment Casting – All at PCC.

13 years in Estimating with 10 as Chief Estimator

12 years in the Engineering Department, with two as Part and Product Engineer, one as a Cost Analyst, 8 years as a development team Program Manager, and the last year as a Project Engineer.
BIOGRAPHY -- Bruce Haphey

EDUCATION:

High School - 1957

Oregon State University
 Mechanical Engineering

Portland State University
 Mathematics

Linn-Benton Community College
 Non-destructive Testing
 Metallurgy
 Vacuum Technology
 Chemistry

Clackamas Community College
 Computer Science
 Statistical Process Control

WORK EXPERIENCE:

22 years in Investment Casting

NDT Engineering -- Examiner Level III

Gating Engineer

Dimensional Engineer

Special Project Engineer

Quality Engineer
BIOGRAPHY – John H. Davis

EDUCATION:

High School - 1960

2 years Oregon Polytechnical Institute

Additional College and Management courses
1964 - Present.

WORK EXPERIENCE:

4 years NDT and Destructive testing and Quality Control

22 years Investment Casting at PCC

11 years of engineering as Dimensional Engineer

11 years of Inspection as Layout, Dimensional, and NDT
BIOGRAPHY – Jeffrey G. Miller

EDUCATION:

Oregon State University
Bachelor of Science, Mechanical Engineering
June 1982

OSU Foundation Grant
June 1982
"Materials Selection DataBase"
A micro computer program for selection of materials based on design criterion. This project provided a system to catalog materials properties and to select "novel" materials rather than "common" ones. Programmed for a NorthStar Horizon Computer.

Engineer In-Training Certification
June 1982

WORK EXPERIENCE:

Precision Castparts Corp. LSBO
Superalloy Investment Casting
1983-4 Production Engineer
1984-5 Pilot Area Facilitator
1986-present Development Engineer

I provided training in statistical process control to engineers and hourly employees. Responsibilities included development of subject matter and training materials, classroom and lab instruction, and project management and facilitation of employee involvement groups.

As a development engineer, I design gating, casting and manufacturing process to produce structural frames for aircraft engines. My responsibilities include working with jet engine designers to improve the castability of frame design, manufacture and delivery of prototype hardware. After engine certification, my responsibilities focus on product development for quality and cost objectives.
BIOGRAPHY – Pam Wright

EDUCATION:

High School - 1967

Portland State University 1967 - 1968

Clackamas Community College 1987 - Present

Presently I am three-quarters of the way through the Associate Degree Program for Investment Casting.

WORK EXPERIENCE:

14 years experience with Pacific Northwest Bell -- Position included Service Representative, Service Analyst (Management position analyzing service performed to the customer) and Central Office Wiring.

5 years experience with Precision Castparts Corp. - Positions include: Wax Cleaning, Coordinate Measuring Machine Operator, and Technician for Rocket Engine and Space Component Development Engineering Team.
BIOGRAPHY -- Steve Weber CMFGT

EDUCATION:

High School - 1953

Served 6 year Machinist and Toolmaker Apprenticeship - Journeyman Toolmaker 1968

SME Certified - CNFGT -- 1973

Many College level Technical and Management training courses - 1960 - Present

WORK EXPERIENCE:

15 years of Manufacturing and Management prior to employment at PCC.

23 years of Investment Casting -- at PCC


1989 - Present -- Program Manager Rocket Engine and Space Component Development Engineering Team. Currently developing Main Combustion Chamber Castings for ALS and SSME Programs in JBK-75 alloy.
BIOGRAPHY – Myrna Nutting

EDUCATION:

High School - 1977

Bachelor of Arts
Lewis and Clark College
1980

PCC Sales Engineer Training Program - 1987
Intensified training in all areas/departments of the investment casting process.

Post graduate work in Business Administration, Economics, Finance, Marketing, and related technical courses.

WORK EXPERIENCE:

4 years as Manager of women's clothing boutique.

2 years as full-time mother.

2 years as Assistant Account Manager, Nike.

1987 - Present -- Sales Engineer, Large Structurals Business Operation. Account responsibilities include all Rocket and Space Component customers, Allison Gas Turbines, Westinghouse, all impeller accounts, and any new customers in Southern California.
PRELIMINARY DESIGN REVIEW
AMCC CASTING DEVELOPMENT
August 26, 1992
PRELIMINARY DESIGN REVIEW AGENDA  
AMCC CASTING DEVELOPMENT  
WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 26, 1992

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Presenter</th>
<th>Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>INTRODUCTION</td>
<td>STEVE WEBER</td>
<td>8:15-8:30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOOLING &amp; DIMENSIONAL ENGINEERING</td>
<td>JOHN DAVIS</td>
<td>8:30-9:30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BREAK</td>
<td></td>
<td>9:30-9:45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PART ENGINEERING (Lots 1-3)</td>
<td>JEFF MILLER</td>
<td>9:45-10:30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDT ENGINEERING</td>
<td>BRUCE HAPHEY</td>
<td>10:30-11:15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LUNCH</td>
<td></td>
<td>11:30-12:30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PART MANUFACTURING (Lots 1-3)</td>
<td>JEFF MILLER</td>
<td>12:30-2:00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BREAK</td>
<td></td>
<td>2:00-2:15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GATING DOE PARTS (Lots 10-13)</td>
<td>JIM HOWCROFT</td>
<td>2:15-3:30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QUALITY ISSUES</td>
<td>BRUCE HAPHEY</td>
<td>3:30-4:00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUMMARY</td>
<td>STEVE WEBER</td>
<td>4:00-4:05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DISCUSSION &amp; ACTION ITEMS</td>
<td>ALL</td>
<td>4:05-4:30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Precision Castparts Corp.  

NASA
PCC AMCC Development Team

Pam Wright, Engineering Technician
John Davis, Dimensional Engineer
Bruce Haphey, Quality Engineer
Jim Howcroft, Part Engineer
Jeff Miller, Part Engineer
Jon Down, Account Manager
Steve Weber, Program Manager
PROGRAM OBJECTIVE

PRODUCE A STRUCTURAL JACKET AND COOLANT MANIFOLD CASTING DESIGN THAT IS:

• MORE RELIABLE
• MORE PREDICTABLE
• MORE COST EFFECTIVE

THAN THE WROUGHT, MACHINED, AND HEAVILY WELDED DESIGN USED FOR THE CURRENT SSME MAIN COMBUSTION CHAMBER (MCC).
TOOLING
JOHN DAVIS

- TOOLING CONSTRUCTION
- DIMENSIONAL DEVELOPMENT
- GENERATION OF DIMENSIONAL DATA

Precision Castparts Corp.
PART ENGINEERING STRATEGY
JEFF MILLER

• GATING

• STRATEGY FOR LOTS 1, 2, & 3

• HOW LESSONS LEARNED FROM EACH CASTING ARE APPLIED TO THE NEXT
NON-DESTRUCTIVE TESTING
BRUCE HAPHEY

• PCC EXPERIENCE
• PCC CAPABILITIES
• ADVANTAGES OF TECHNOLOGIES
• LIMITATIONS OF TECHNOLOGIES
PART MANUFACTURING
JEFF MILLER

• LOT 2 (SHAVE & TELL CASTING)--GENERIC STEPS TO PRODUCE
• LOT 3 & 4 -- ALL PHASES OF INVESTMENT CASTING PROCESS
GATING DOE
JIM HOWCROFT

• CRITICAL PHASE OF THE DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM

• RESULTS FROM DESIGNED EXPERIMENT WILL PROVIDE THE BASIS FOR ALL FUTURE WORK

• IF DOE IS SUCCESSFUL, ALL FUTURE AMCC CASTINGS WILL BE MANUFACTURED UTILIZING THE GATING SYSTEM WHICH WAS DETERMINED TO PRODUCE THE BEST RESULTS FROM THIS EXPERIMENT
QUALITY ISSUES
BRUCE HAPHEY

• PCC QUALITY SYSTEM
• APPLICATION IN A PRODUCTION ENVIRONMENT
• SYSTEMS THAT WORK IN THE COMMERCIAL WORLD
• SYSTEMS THAT ARE UNWIELDY IN AN INDUSTRIAL ENVIRONMENT
DIMENSIONAL DEVELOPMENT
NASA AMCC

OBJECTIVE:

• To establish a dimensional database for this casting

• To characterize the process capability for the JBK-75 alloy in this configuration.

• To establish dimensional requirements for the final blueprint.

• To determine volumetric shrinkage in order to construct production tooling.
TEMPORARY TOOLING BUILT FOR THIS PROGRAM BECAUSE:

• Volumetric shrink for this alloy and configuration unknown

• Final configuration not established
TEMPORARY TOOLING ADVANTAGES
NASA AMCC

- Faster construction time
- Lower cost to manufacture vs. production tooling
- Flexibility -- Can accommodate size and configuration changes
BASELINE VOLUMETRIC SHRINKAGE FOR TOOLING

BASELINE VOLUMETRIC SHRINKAGE OBTAINED FROM OTHER CASTINGS PRODUCED IN JBK-75 ALLOY

- G.E. GF 6-50 CRF
- ROCKETDYNE SSME MANIFOLDS
- ROCKETDYNE DEMONSTRATION THROAT CASTING
- NLS AFT MANIFOLD
TOOLING PLAN
NASA AMCC

• Tooling and fixturing designed for maximum size flexibility

• Assembled wax pattern made from three basic subassemblies:
  - Forward Manifold = 360° ring with wax welded manifold caps
  - Jacket = 1/6 segment
  - Aft Manifold = 1/6 segment with wax weld details
JACKET SECTION
NASA AMCC

PATTERN ASSEMBLY FIXTURE

ASSEMBLED PATTERN
AFT MANIFOLD
NASA AMCC

1/6 SEGMENTS
(shown on support fixture)

PATTERN ASSEMBLY FIXTURE
AFT MANIFOLD NASA AMCC

FIXTURE AND PATTERN

Precision Castparts Corp.
PATTERN ASSEMBLY FIXTURE
NASA AMCC

PATTERN ASSEMBLY FIXTURE
Fixture positions each wax component on centerline and axial locations

AFT MANIFOLD IN FIXTURE
NASA AMCC BLUEPRINT DIMENSIONS

21.500 DIA

O.D. JACKET PROFILE

16.600

23.890 DIA

8.850

26.370 DIA
STATISTICAL PROCESS CONTROL
BOX AND WHISKER SYMBOL DEFINITION

Tip to tip
Total range

50% of data

mean (avg.)

All data analyzed by Statgraphics

Precision Castparts Corp.

NASA
ART FLANGE DIA 23.890
Multiple Box-and-Whisker Plot
SERIAL NUMBER

MULTIPLE BOX-AND-WHISKER PLOT

ART FLANGE DIA 26.370
PART ENGINEERING

- DESIGN
- DEVELOPMENT PROCESS
- PART ENGINEERING
- INVESTMENT CASTING
- GATING
DESIGN

• PROVIDE MANUFACTURING INPUT
  • PRODUCEABILITY - ceramic cores for FWD manifold
  • QUALITY - shape/location of windows
  • COST REDUCTION - standard HIP / heat treat cycles

• "DEVELOP FOR PRODUCTION" PHILOSOPHY
  • USE PRODUCTION READY PROCESSES
  • FOLLOW INDUSTRY/L.S.B.O. STANDARDS
  • ASSESS DIMENSIONAL AND METALLURGICAL CAPABILITY
DEVELOPMENT PROCESS

- ENGINEERING SAMPLES
- GATING OPTIMIZATION DOE
- PROCESS SENSITIVITY DOE
- PROTOTYPE PARTS = PROCESS DEMONSTRATION
- ADDITIONAL "BEST EFFORT" CASTINGS
ENGINEERING SAMPLES

- Evaluate various manufacturing techniques (yield and product quality)
- Evaluate several gating ideas
- Collect information to establish part/alloy volumetric shrinkage
- Experience making JBK-75 alloy
- Experience fabrication welding
- Initiate development of inspection methods (NDT)
- Develop prototype fixturing and handling techniques
PART ENGINEERING - PART OF THE TECHNICAL TEAM

- OTHER PART ENGINEERS
- PCC TECHNICAL CENTER
- PROCESS ENGINEERING
- PROCESS CONTROL ENGINEERING
- QUALITY ENGINEERING
PART ENGINEERING - ACTIVITIES

- RESEARCH LIKE GATING
- DEVELOP GATING SYSTEMS
- ISSUE TECHNIQUE CARDS
  - Casting
  - Gate Removal
- REVIEW AND APPROVE TECHNIQUE CARDS
- MONITOR PROCESS
  - Shell Making
  - Casting
  - NDT
  - Repair
- REQUEST DESIGN OF GATE DIES
INVESTMENT CASTING

Inject Pattern Material
Remove Pattern
Assemble Cluster
Drip or Invest
Stucco

Dewax the Shell Mold
Fire the Shell Mold
Cast
Knockout and Finish

Casting Pattern

Precision Castparts Corp.

NASA
GATING BASICS

- ELIMINATE MICROSHRINKAGE - Directional Solidification
- FILL THE MOLD; Turbulence, Temperature Distribution of Liquid
- USE INSULATION TO ENHANCE THE THERMAL GRADIENTS
- BE AWARE OF THE EFFECT OF GATING ON MOLD MAKING
- LEARN FROM EACH EXPERIENCE AND DEFINE NEW TRIALS
DIVIDE THE CASTING INTO LOGICAL SEGMENTS
GATING COMPONENTS

RISER

CUP

SPKBE

SPREDE

RING

GATING

Precision Castparts Corp.
IDENTIFY SEVERAL STYLES OF GATING
BASED ON EXPERIENCE

- DETERMINE SIZE OF GATING COMPONENTS
- TRY SEVERAL CONTACT SHAPES
SPECIFIC CONCERNS

1. THICK SECTIONS - FEED DISTANCE
2. SMALL DIAMETER THROAT - FEED DIRECTION, CONTACT STYLE
3. RIBS - CERAMIC BRIDGING, ABILITY TO XRAY
4. LINK MOUNTS/BOSSES - END EFFECT, HOT SPOTS @ INLET
DEVELOPMENT FEEDBACK

- NON-DESTRUCTIVE TESTING
- PRE-HIP GATE REMOVAL
- SECTIONING PRIOR TO HIP
- CHEMICAL MILLING
PRELIMINARY DESIGN REVIEW
AMCC CASTING DEVELOPMENT

NDT ENGINEERING

- NDT METHODS

X-RAY RADIOGRAPHY
FLUORESCENT PENETRANT
VISUAL INSPECTION
PRELIMINARY DESIGN REVIEW
AMCC CASTING DEVELOPMENT

X-RAY RADIOGRAPHY

- EQUIPMENT
- FILM
- PERSONNEL
PRELIMINARY DESIGN REVIEW
AMCC CASTING DEVELOPMENT

- X-RAY RADIOGRAPHY
PRELIMINARY DESIGN REVIEW
AMCC CASTING DEVELOPMENT

• X-RAY RADIOGRAPHY
PRELIMINARY DESIGN REVIEW
AMCC CASTING DEVELOPMENT

• X-RAY RADIOGRAPHY
FLOW DIAGRAM FOR THE X-RAY PROCESS

Incoming parts
  X-Ray parts preliminary
    Good
    Reject
    Remove defects
      Weld rework
        Good
        Reject
        Re-X-ray reworked areas
          Continue processing
          Any subsequent weld repair
PRELIMINARY DESIGN REVIEW
AMCC CASTING DEVELOPMENT

NDT PROBLEMS

X-RAY RADIOGRAPHY

- PHYSICAL SIZE
- WEIGHT
- CONFIGURATION
- MATERIAL
- THICKNESS
PRELIMINARY DESIGN REVIEW
AMCC CASTING DEVELOPMENT

FLUORESCENT PENETRANT INSPECTION (FPI)

- EQUIPMENT
- MATERIALS
- PERSONNEL
PRELIMINARY DESIGN REVIEW
AMCC CASTING DEVELOPMENT

• FLUORESCENT PENETRANT
PRELIMINARY DESIGN REVIEW
AMCC CASTING DEVELOPMENT

• FLUORESCENT PENETRANT
Flow sheet showing sequence of waterwashable penetrant inspection procedure.

1. preclean
   - alkaline
   - steam
   - mechanical

2. application
   - vapor
   - degrease
   - chemical
   - solvent
   - ultrasonic

3. removal
   - apply penetrant
   - wash penetrant
   - dwell
   - water wash

4. develop
   - dry
   - developer non-aqueous

5. inspection
   - inspect

6. post cleaning
   - water wash

Subsequent post cleaning as necessary for outgoing parts.
PRELIMINARY DESIGN REVIEW
AMCC CASTING DEVELOPMENT

NDT PROBLEMS

FLUORESCENT PENETRANT

- PHYSICAL SIZE
- WEIGHT
- CONFIGURATION
- SURFACE CONDITION
PRELIMINARY DESIGN REVIEW
AMCC CASTING DEVELOPMENT

VISUAL INSPECTION

- EQUIPMENT
- PERSONNEL
Preliminary Design Review

AMCC Casting Development

- Visual Inspection
PRELIMINARY DESIGN REVIEW
AMCC CASTING DEVELOPMENT
NDT PROBLEMS

VISUAL INSPECTION

• CONFIGURATION
PART MANUFACTURING

- PROCESSING CONCERNS
- PROCESS OUTLINE
- INSPECTION RESULTS
PROCESSING CONCERNS

- HANDLING; wax patterns, molds and castings; safety, quality capacity
- MOLD MAKING/PRE-HEAT; cracks, leaks & cooling
- CASTING; process settings and choreography
- CLEANING AND FINISHING; minimize impact
- PATCH WELDING; initiate technique development
- INSPECTION METHODS; initiate technique development
PROCESS OUTLINE

- GATING/FRAMING
- INVESTING/DEWAX
- CASTING
- CLEANING/FINISHING
- WELDING
GATING/FRAMING

- TRIED SEVERAL GATING SYSTEMS - READY FOR GATING DOE
  - FEATURES FEED WITH MINOR ASSISTANCE
  - RISER SIZE/CONTACT SHAPE LOOKS VERY CLOSE
  - THROAT NEEDS GATES
    - LOT 1 PROVIDED THE OPTIMAL CONTACT POINT
    - LOT 3 TESTED CONTACT SHAPES & EXTERNAL COOLING
  - AFT MANIFOLD CLEARANCE WORKED - NO SHELL CRACKS
  - AFT MANIFOLD SPOKE GATE CLOSE - PULLED SHRINK IN CONTACT
- PROVISIONS FOR REMOVAL OF WAX - GOOD RESULTS

Precision Castparts Corp.

NASA
INVESTING/DEWAX

- DEVELOPED DIPPING PROGRAMS FOR ROBOTS
- PROCESS MODIFIED FOR LOT 2 ON TO REDUCE MOLD THICKNESS IN JACKET
- DEVELOPED TEMPERATURE MEASURING METHOD
- ENHANCED COOLING
- INSULATED GATING
CASTING

- USED THE VIDP MASTER CASTER FURNACE - BOTTOM POUR
- DEFINED RECIPE FOR CASTING PARTS - AUTOCASTING
- MOLD SET-UP REQUIREMENTS DEFINED
- JBK-75 ALLOY MANUFACTURE
  - Nb PICKUP FROM LADLE - SPECIAL PRECAUTIONS REQUIRED
  - SOME OXIDE FORMATIONS AFTER REACTIVE ADDITIONS
  - PROPER AIM COMPOSITION AND MELTING TECHNIQUE DEFINED
- LOWERED CASTING TEMPERATURE FOR LOT 3
- USED BURNOUT MONITORING TO REDUCE RISK OF LEAKS
- ENHANCED COOLING TRIED ON LOT #3
CLEANING/FINISHING

- MINIMIZED SHOTBLASTING

- USE HIGH PRESSURE WATER JET, KOH

- MACHINING AND GRINDING POSED NO SIGNIFICANT PROBLEMS
WELDING

• PATCH QUALITY
  • HUNG ON THE GATING FOR EARLY DEVELOPMENT
  • ONE OF TWO GATINGS WORKED BEST
  • COLUMNAR GRAIN NOTED
  • LONG TERM - PRODUCE AND CERTIFY SEPARATE FROM AMCC

• WELD QUALITY
  • USED BEST AND WORST TECHNIQUES
  • JBK WELDS GOOD - FEW INDICATIONS
  • PATCH QUALITY IMPACTED WELDING - SHRINKAGE

• DISTORTION
  • SAW IMPROVEMENT WITH EXPERIENCE
  • DEVELOPED FIXTURING - BRACES AND BACKUPS
  • 5%H2 AND 95% Ar SAVES TIME AND ALLOWS LOWER HEAT
  • WILL CONTINUE TO EVALUATE AS TECHNIQUE MATURRES
INSPECTION RESULTS

• VISUAL INSPECTION
• PRE-HIP PENETRANT
• PRE - HIP XRAY
• POST-CHEM-MILL PENETRANT
• METALLOGRAPHY
SAMPLE 8
MAXIMUM SHRINK POROSITY OBSERVED
16.6%
DARKFIELD

Mag 2X X
Mount Number P5474

Figure 9

SAMPLE 8
GRAIN SIZE: MAXIMUM .2 X .4", MINIMUM .01"

Mag 2X
Mount Number P5474

Figure 8

Metallographic Evaluation
Part Number 20013 Lot 001

Mag X
Mount Number P5474

Figure 7
METALLOGRAPHIC EVALUATION

GRAIN SIZE: MAXIMUM .19 X .16” (13-3), MINIMUM .05” (13-2).

2X
**Date:** May 15, 1992 15:44:00
**Execution time:** 2 seconds

**Lot:** 20013 Lot 001

---

### X-ray Spectrogram

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Peak Height</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zr</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Additional Information:**
- **Date:** 5/22/92
- **Possible Line:**
Metallographic Evaluation
Part Number 20013 Lot 003

SECTIONING DIAGRAMS AND MICROSHRINK MAPS

SECTION 6B

Microshrink 13.5%

Microshrink Open to Surface

Void, left by possible shell material

11.8%

9.6%

5.3%

20.6%

40.2%

6B3

6B2

6B1

6B4

6B5

Precision Castparts Corp.

NASA
Metallographic Evaluation
Part Number 20013 Lot 003

Figure 1

SECTION 4A3
MAXIMUM OBSERVED POROSITY
IN A 40X50 MIL FIELD:

4.1%

Mag 2X
Mount Number P 6743

Figure 2

SECTION 4A3
MAXIMUM OBSERVED POROSITY
IN A 40X50 MIL FIELD:

4.1%

Mag 10X
Mount Number P 6743
DESIGN OF EXPERIMENT

GATING
P/N 20013 Lots 10, 11, 12, & 13   Alloy JBK-75

GATING DEFINITION:
The plumbing system through which liquid metal flows to fill and feed a casting during final solidification.
DESIGN OF EXPERIMENT

GATING

DESIGN OF EXPERIMENT DEFINITION:

A statistical method of exploring a product or process to study the effect of new conditions in a system.

OBJECTIVE:

To evaluate and optimize the most effective gating scheme over a small number of castings.
DESIGN OF EXPERIMENT

GATING

CONSIDERATION

• Position of Pour - Casting
• Division of Casting Configuration
• Metal Distribution
• Gate Location
• Gating Style
• Size/Shape of Gates
• Thermal Gradient Control
DESIGN OF EXPERIMENT

GATING

POSITION OF POUR -- CASTING

DIRECTION OF POUR FORWARD TO AFT.

VS.

DIRECTION OF POUR AFT. TO FORWARD

Precision Castparts Corp.
DESIGN OF EXPERIMENT

GATING

DIVISION OF CASTING CONFIGURATION

FORWARD MANIFOLD looking forward

JACKET

AFT MANIFOLD looking Aft.

AFT MANIFOLD

FORWARD MANIFOLD

I.D. Jacket

Precision Castparts Corp.

NASA
DESIGN OF EXPERIMENT

GATING

GATE LOCATION

INSIDE

OUTSIDE

Precision Castparts Corp.

NASA
DESIGN OF EXPERIMENT

GATING

GATING STYLE

RISER

CUP

SPOKE

RING

Precision Castparts Corp.
DESIGN OF EXPERIMENT

GATING

SIZE/SHAPE OF GATES

ROUND

SQUARE
DESIGN OF EXPERIMENT

GATING

THERMAL GRADIENT CONTROL

CONTROL HEAT LOSS INSULATION

INFLUENCE HEAT LOSS BY ALTERNATE MEANS
DESIGN OF EXPERIMENT

GATING

METHODS TO BE USED TO EVALUATE RESULTS:

• Visual -- White Light

• Fluorescent Penetrant -- Black Light

• Radiographic -- X-Ray

• Chemical Mill -- Acid Bath

• Metallography -- Selective Section Cutup/ Mount & Polish/ Examine
DESIGN OF EXPERIMENT

GATING

Filling

Setting #1

Setting #2

Setting #1

Thermal Gradient Control

Setting #2
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CASTING</th>
<th>SEGMENT</th>
<th>MOUNT</th>
<th>CONTACT</th>
<th>SIZE</th>
<th>LOCATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>STD.</td>
<td>SMALL</td>
<td>CENTER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>STD.</td>
<td>LARGE</td>
<td>OFF-CENTER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NEW</td>
<td>SMALL</td>
<td>OFF-CENTER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NEW</td>
<td>LARGE</td>
<td>CENTER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>NEW</td>
<td>LARGE</td>
<td>CENTER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>NEW</td>
<td>SMALL</td>
<td>OFF-CENTER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>STD.</td>
<td>LARGE</td>
<td>OFF-CENTER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>STD.</td>
<td>SMALL</td>
<td>CENTER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>NEW</td>
<td>LARGE</td>
<td>OFF-CENTER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>NEW</td>
<td>SMALL</td>
<td>CENTER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>STD.</td>
<td>LARGE</td>
<td>CENTER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>STD.</td>
<td>SMALL</td>
<td>OFF-CENTER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>STD.</td>
<td>SMALL</td>
<td>OFF-CENTER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>STD.</td>
<td>LARGE</td>
<td>CENTER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NEW</td>
<td>SMALL</td>
<td>CENTER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NEW</td>
<td>LARGE</td>
<td>OFF-CENTER</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
DESIGN OF EXPERIMENT
GATING
FILLING

SETTING 1

THERMAL GRADIENT CONTROL

- NEW
- LARGE
- CENTER

- STANDARD
- CENTER
- SMALL

- NEW
- SMALL
- OFF CENTER

- STANDARD
- OFF CENTER
- LARGE
QUALITY ISSUES

- CASTING INSPECTABILITY
- N D T METHODS
- QUALITY REQUIREMENTS
- SPECIAL PROCESSES
- QUALITY CONTROL SYSTEMS
PROCESS AND QUALITY CONTROL

- MIL - Q - 9858 QUALITY PROGRAM REQUIREMENTS
- MIL - I 45208 INSPECTION SYSTEM REQUIREMENTS

PRECISION CASTPARTS CORP.

- QUALITY CONTROL MANUAL "QCM"
- QUALITY CONTROL PROCEDURES "QCPs"
- QUALITY CONTROL INSTRUCTIONS "QCIs"
- PROCESS CONTROL PROCEDURES "PCPs"
QUALITY REQUIREMENTS

- BLUEPRINT
- PURCHASE ORDER
- CUSTOMER SPECIFICATIONS
- INDUSTRY STANDARDS
- PCC DEVELOPED STANDARDS AND SPECIFICATIONS
SPECIAL PROCESSES

- HIPPING
- HEAT TREAT
- WELDING
PRELIMINARY DESIGN REVIEW

AMCC CASTING DEVELOPMENT

October 12, 1993
# PRELIMINARY DESIGN REVIEW AGENDA
## AMCC CASTING DEVELOPMENT
### TUESDAY, OCTOBER 12, 1993

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Presenter</th>
<th>Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>INTRODUCTION</td>
<td>STEVE WEBER</td>
<td>9:00 - 9:15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PART MANUFACTURING, Lots 3-7</td>
<td>JIM HOWCROFT</td>
<td>9:15 - 9:45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIMENSIONAL ENGINEERING, Lots 3-7</td>
<td>JOHN DAVIS</td>
<td>9:45 - 10:00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BREAK</td>
<td>10:00 - 10:15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NON-DESTRUCTIVE TESTING, Lots 3-7</td>
<td>BRUCE HAPIHEY</td>
<td>10:15 - 10:30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GATING DOE STRATEGY, Lots 9-14</td>
<td>JIM HOWCROFT</td>
<td>10:30 - 11:30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LUNCH</td>
<td>11:30 - 12:30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIMENSIONAL RESULTS OF</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GATING DOE, Lots 9-14</td>
<td>JOHN DAVIS</td>
<td>12:30 - 1:30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GATING DOE RESULTS, Lots 9-14</td>
<td>JIM HOWCROFT</td>
<td>1:30 - 2:30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BREAK</td>
<td>2:30 - 2:45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NON-DESTRUCTIVE TESTING , Lots 9-14</td>
<td>BRUCE HAPIHEY</td>
<td>2:45 - 3:15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROCESS DOE STRATEGY, Lots 17-20</td>
<td>BRUCE MILLER</td>
<td>3:15 - 3:30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIMENSIONAL EVALUATION, Lots 17-20</td>
<td>PAM WRIGHT</td>
<td>3:30 - 3:45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUMMARY</td>
<td>STEVE WEBER/JOHN BANNISTER</td>
<td>3:45 - 4:00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DISCUSSION &amp; ACTION ITEMS</td>
<td>ALL</td>
<td>4:00 - ?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PRECISION CASTPARTS CORP.
PRESENTATION TO

NASA
October 12, 1993

Introductions:

PCC AMCC Development Team

Pam Wright, Engineering Technician & New Dimensional Engineer
John Davis, Outgoing Dimensional Engineer
Bruce Haphey, Quality Engineer
Jim Howcroft, Outgoing Part Engineer
Bruce Miller, New Part Engineer
Mathew Pardes, New Product Engineer
John Bannister, New Program Manager
Steve Weber, Outgoing Program Manager
Myrna Nutting, Account Manager
PROGRAM OBJECTIVE

Produce a Structural Jacket and Coolant Manifold casting design that is:

- More reliable
- More predictable
- More cost effective

than the wrought, machined and heavily welded design used for the current SSME Main Combustion Chamber (MCC).

Precision Castparts Corp.

NASA
PART MANUFACTURING

JIM HOWCROFT

LOTS 3 - 7

ALL PHASES OF INVESTMENT CASTING PROCESS
DIMENSIONAL ENGINEERING

JOHN DAVIS

LOTS 3 - 7

- DIMENSIONAL DEVELOPMENT
- GENERATION OF DIMENSIONAL DATA
LOTS 3-7
NON-DESTRUCTIVE TESTING

BRUCE HAPHEY

- NDT PROCESS CAPABILITIES
- POTENTIAL PROBLEMS DEFINED
DEVELOPMENT PROCESS

- ENGINEERING SAMPLES
- GATING OPTIMIZATION DOE
- PROCESS SENSITIVITY DOE
- PROTOTYPE PARTS = PROCESS DEMONSTRATION
- ADDITIONAL "BEST EFFORT" CASTING
PART ENGINEERING STRATEGY

JIM HOWCROFT

• STRATEGY FOR GATING DOE LOTS 9, 10, 12 & 14

• HOW LESSONS LEARNED FROM DOE WILL BE APPLIED TO FUTURE PARTS
DIMENSIONAL RESULTS OF GATING DOE
Lots 9 - 14

JOHN DAVIS

• Gating DOE variations did not hurt Dimensional integrity of part.

• One piece tool for jacket would tighten down spread caused by assembly.
METALLURGICAL RESULTS OF GATING DOE
Lots 9 - 14

JIM HOWCROFT

- DOE results clearly define best combination of TGC and gating.

- Quality of parts resulting from Gating DOE will meet NASA untimate requirements.
LOTS 9 - 14
NON-DESTRUCTIVE TESTING

BRUCE HAPHEY

- APPLICATION OF BASIC INSPECTION METHODS
- PROBLEM AREAS ADDRESSED
- KNOWLEDGE GAINED
DEVELOPMENT PROCESS

- ENGINEERING SAMPLES
- GATING OPTIMIZATION DOE
- PROCESS SENSITIVITY DOE
- PROTOTYPE PARTS = PROCESS DEMONSTRATION
- ADDITIONAL "BEST EFFORT" CASTING

Precision Castparts Corp.

NASA
PROCESS DOE
WHAT IS OUR STRATEGY - Lots 17 - 20

BRUCE MILLER

- NAIL DOWN METAL TEMPERATURES
- REFINE TGC APPLICATION
- FIND OUT HOW ROBUST OUR PROCESS IS
PROCESS DOE
DIMENSIONAL EVALUATION OF LOTS 17-20

PAM WRIGHT

• WHAT WE ARE LOOKING FOR IN THIS PROCESS
SUMMARY

STEVE WEBER/JOHN BANNISTER

- PCC can make high quality parts
- Gating and thermal control are critical
- Hot Fire castings are scheduled to ship in October
- Process DOE will define robust process
- New team in place to carry program to completion
PART MANUFACTURING

JIM HOWCROFT

• LOTS 3 - 7

ALL PHASES OF INVESTMENT CASTING PROCESS

Precision Castparts Corp.
MANUFACTURING
INVESTMENT CASTING

MOLDING:
- Aluminum tooling
- Formula 27.5m wax
- Wax injection machine
- Fixtures
- Replicate of casting
MANUFACTURING INVESTMENT CASTING

PATTERN ASSEMBLY:
- Segment tooling
- Wax weld together
- Fixtures
- Final wax dimensional
MANUFACTURING
INVESTMENT CASTING

ASSEMBLY - GATING:

- Mock-up gates by hand
- Size, shape, location, frequency
- Structurally stable
MANUFACTURING
INVESTMENT CASTING

INVESTING:

- Weight of assembly
- Secure to frame
- Apply uniform shell
- Install TGC and thermo couples
- Special manifold work
- Thermal Gradient Control - insulation
MANUFACTURING
INVESTMENT CASTING

CASTING:

- Thermo couples
- Position of mold
- Special protection
- Thermal Gradient Control
- Dry run molds
- Pre-heat shells - long cycle
- Mold temperature
- Metal temperature

Master Caster

Precision Castparts Corp.
MANUFACTURING INVESTMENT CASTING

SHELL REMOVAL:

- By hand
- Chemical
- High pressure waterblast

GATE REMOVAL:

- Belt grind
- Hand grind

THERMAL CYCLES:

- Thermal etch (FPI)
- HIP - 2050° F
- Homogenize - 2200° F
- Anneal - 2050° F
- Age - 1380° F
ENGINEERING EVALUATION SEQUENCE

- Review Wax Assembly - Numbers, Size, Placement
- Review mold during Investing (shell build)
- Casting set-up and dry run
- Preliminary FPI
- X-ray - All - include Manifold
- Selective section of casting (prior to HIP)
- FPI cut sections
- Grain size review - after Chem Mill
- FPI - Final prior to Lab
- Metallography/grain etch specimens
# PROGRAM STATUS

**MACHINE TRIALS - PLATELET BOND - HOT FIRE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lot No.</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>003</td>
<td>Cut up</td>
<td>Engineering Evaluation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>004</td>
<td>Ship</td>
<td>9-27-92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>005</td>
<td>Ship</td>
<td>11-18-92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>006</td>
<td>Cut up</td>
<td>Engineering Evaluation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>007</td>
<td>Ship</td>
<td>12-17-92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>008</td>
<td>Cancel</td>
<td>Wax Assembly (Gating installed)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Precision Castparts Corp.
ENGINEERING EVALUATION
LOT 3

- Mini DOE - Gating
- Spoke gate - Shape, size, location
  Jacket gate - Same

- First mold with TGC - one section

- Provided information for lots 004 - 008
SUMMARY

Lot No. 004
- First full process casting
- Spoke gate
- Jacket TGC (Rev)
- No 2200°F Homogenize
- Quality

Lot No. 005
- Full Process
- Spoke gate
- Jacket TGC (Std)
- Returned for weld build inside,
  HIP homogenize 2200°F
- Quality

Lot No. 007
- Full Process
- Spoke Gate
- Jacket, Fwd, Aft Manifold TGC
- Returned for homogenize 2200°F
- Quality

*TGC - Thermal Gradient Control

Precision Castparts Corp.
ENGINEERING EVALUATION
Lot 6

- Gating same as lots 004, 005, 007
- Foundry - no change
- TGC - Jacket, Aft Manifold
- FPI - Inclusions of foreign material
- X-ray - Before HIP, large areas of shrink in Jacket
  - After HIP, areas reduced
- FPI after Chem Mill
- Grain size
- Metallography
DIMENSIONAL ENGINEERING

JOHN DAVIS

LOTS 3 - 7

• DIMENSIONAL DEVELOPMENT

• GENERATION OF DIMENSIONAL DATA
STATISTICAL PROCESS CONTROL
BOX AND WHISKER SYMBOL DEFINITION

Tip to tip
Total range

50% of data

Medium (50th Percentile)

mean (avg.)

* All data analyzed by Statgraphics
# PROCESS CAPABILITY ANALYSIS

## FORWARD FLANGE TO A- 16.600

### FWD FLANGE TO A- 16.600 (SN 1-5, 7)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specification</th>
<th>Normal distribution</th>
<th>6.0 sigma limits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Upper 0.06</td>
<td>Count 72</td>
<td>+3.0 sigma 0.0633</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nominal 0</td>
<td>Mean 0.006</td>
<td>Mean 0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower -0.06</td>
<td>Sigma 0.0191</td>
<td>-3.0 sigma -0.0513</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Observed beyond spec.</th>
<th>Estimated beyond spec.</th>
<th>Capability indices</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High 0.000 %</td>
<td>High 0.235 %</td>
<td>CP 1.04712</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low 0.000 %</td>
<td>Low 0.027 %</td>
<td>CR 0.955</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total 0.000 %</td>
<td>Total 0.262 %</td>
<td>CPK 0.942408</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### FWD FLANGE TO A- 16.600 (SN 9,10,12,14)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specification</th>
<th>Normal distribution</th>
<th>6.0 sigma limits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Upper 0.06</td>
<td>Count 48</td>
<td>+3.0 sigma 0.0749</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nominal 0</td>
<td>Mean 0.0143</td>
<td>Mean 0.0143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower -0.06</td>
<td>Sigma 0.0202</td>
<td>-3.0 sigma -0.0463</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Observed beyond spec.</th>
<th>Estimated beyond spec.</th>
<th>Capability indices</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High 0.000 %</td>
<td>High 1.184 %</td>
<td>CP 0.990099</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low 0.000 %</td>
<td>Low 0.012 %</td>
<td>CR 1.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total 0.000 %</td>
<td>Total 1.195 %</td>
<td>CPK 0.754125</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

|                  | (upper) 0.754125      | (lower) 1.22607     |
|                  |                        | K 0.238333         |
|                  |                        | CPM 0.806883       |

---

Precision Castparts Corp.
## PROCESS CAPABILITY ANALYSIS
### AFT FLANGE TO -A- 8.850

### AFT FLANGE TO -A- 8.850 (SN 1-5, 7)

**Specification:**
- Upper: 0.06
- Nominal: 0
- Lower: -0.06

**Normal distribution:**
- Count: 72
- Mean: 0.0072
- Sigma: 0.016

**6.0 sigma limits:**
- +3.0 sigma: 0.0552
- Mean: 0.0072
- -3.0 sigma: -0.0408

**Observed beyond spec.:**
- High: 0.000 %
- Low: 0.000 %

**Estimated beyond spec.:**
- High: 0.048 %
- Low: 0.001 %

**Total:**
- 0.050 %

### Capability indices:
- CP: 1.25
- CR: 0.8
- CPK: 1.1
- (upper): 1.1
- (lower): 1.4
- K: 0.12
- CPM: 1.14015

---

### AFT FLANGE TO -A- 8.850 (SN 9,10,12,14)

**Specification:**
- Upper: 0.06
- Nominal: 0
- Lower: -0.06

**Normal distribution:**
- Count: 48
- Mean: 0.0138
- Sigma: 0.0215

**6.0 sigma limits:**
- +3.0 sigma: 0.0783
- Mean: 0.0138
- -3.0 sigma: -0.0507

**Observed beyond spec.:**
- High: 4.167 %
- Low: 0.000 %

**Estimated beyond spec.:**
- High: 1.582 %
- Low: 0.030 %

**Total:**
- 1.612 %

### Capability indices:
- CP: 0.930233
- CR: 1.075
- CPK: 0.716279
- (upper): 0.716279
- (lower): 1.14419
- K: 0.23
- CPM: 0.782418
MULTIPLE BOX-AND-WISKER PLOT

PROCESS CAPABILITY: SN 1,2,3,4,5,7

LSL
Nominal
USL

DEVIATION

SERIAL NUMBER

FORWARD FLANGE DIA 21.500

VNSA
**PROCESS CAPABILITY ANALYSIS**  
**FORWARD FLANGE DIA 21.500**

### FWD FLANGE DIA 21.500 (SN 1-5, 7)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specification:</th>
<th>Normal distribution:</th>
<th>6.0 sigma limits:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Upper 0.06</td>
<td>Count 72</td>
<td>+3.0 sigma 0.0459</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nominal 0</td>
<td>Mean -0.0039</td>
<td>Mean -0.0039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower -0.06</td>
<td>Sigma 0.0166</td>
<td>-3.0 sigma -0.0537</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Observed beyond spec.:</th>
<th>Estimated beyond spec.:</th>
<th>Capability indices:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High 0.000 %</td>
<td>High 0.006 %</td>
<td>CP 1.20482</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low 0.000 %</td>
<td>Low 0.036 %</td>
<td>CR 0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total 0.000 %</td>
<td>Total 0.042 %</td>
<td>CPK 1.12651</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### FWD FLANGE DIA 21.500 (SN 9, 10, 12, 14)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specification:</th>
<th>Normal distribution:</th>
<th>6.0 sigma limits:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Upper 0.06</td>
<td>Count 48</td>
<td>+3.0 sigma 0.0161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nominal 0</td>
<td>Mean -0.0028</td>
<td>Mean -0.0028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower -0.06</td>
<td>Sigma 0.0063</td>
<td>-3.0 sigma -0.0217</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Observed beyond spec.:</th>
<th>Estimated beyond spec.:</th>
<th>Capability indices:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High 0.000 %</td>
<td>High 0.000 %</td>
<td>CP 3.1746</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low 0.000 %</td>
<td>Low 0.000 %</td>
<td>CR 0.315</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total 0.000 %</td>
<td>Total 0.000 %</td>
<td>CPK 3.02646</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **CP**: Process Capability Index
- **CR**: Capability Ratio
- **CPK**: Process Capability Index (Single-Sided)
- **K**: Capability for Long-Term (based on 3.0 sigma limits)
- **CPM**: CostPerMillion

---

**Precision Castparts Corp.**

---

**NASA**
# Process Capability Analysis

## Aft Flange Dia 26.370

### Aft Flange Dia 26.370 (SN 1-5, 7)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specification</th>
<th>Normal distribution</th>
<th>6.0 sigma limits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Upper 0.06</td>
<td>Count 72</td>
<td>+3.0 sigma 0.0563</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nominal 0</td>
<td>Mean 0.0023</td>
<td>Mean 0.0023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower -0.06</td>
<td>Sigma 0.018</td>
<td>-3.0 sigma -0.0517</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Observed beyond spec.:</th>
<th>Estimated beyond spec.:</th>
<th>Capability indices:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High 0.000 %</td>
<td>High 0.067 %</td>
<td>CP 1.11111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low 0.000 %</td>
<td>Low 0.027 %</td>
<td>CR 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total 0.000 %</td>
<td>Total 0.094 %</td>
<td>CPK 1.06852</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Aft Flange Dia 26.370 (SN 9,10,12,14)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specification</th>
<th>Normal distribution</th>
<th>6.0 sigma limits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Upper 0.06</td>
<td>Count 48</td>
<td>+3.0 sigma 0.0361</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nominal 0</td>
<td>Mean 0.0043</td>
<td>Mean 0.0043</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower -0.06</td>
<td>Sigma 0.0106</td>
<td>-3.0 sigma -0.0275</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Observed beyond spec.:</th>
<th>Estimated beyond spec.:</th>
<th>Capability indices:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High 0.000 %</td>
<td>High 0.000 %</td>
<td>CP 1.88679</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low 0.000 %</td>
<td>Low 0.000 %</td>
<td>CR 0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total 0.000 %</td>
<td>Total 0.000 %</td>
<td>CPK 1.75157</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Precision Castparts Corp.  

[Logo] NASA
### PROCESS CAPABILITY ANALYSIS

**AFT FLANGE DIA 23.890**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specification:</th>
<th>Normal distribution:</th>
<th>6.0 sigma limits:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Upper 0.06</td>
<td>Count 72</td>
<td>+3.0 sigma 0.0635</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nominal 0</td>
<td>Mean 0.0101</td>
<td>Mean 0.0101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower -0.06</td>
<td>Sigma 0.0178</td>
<td>-3.0 sigma -0.0433</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Observed beyond spec.:</th>
<th>Estimated beyond spec.:</th>
<th>Capability indices:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High 0.000 %</td>
<td>High 0.253 %</td>
<td>CP 1.1236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low 0.000 %</td>
<td>Low 0.004 %</td>
<td>CR 0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total 0.000 %</td>
<td>Total 0.257 %</td>
<td>CPK 0.934457</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(upper) 0.934457</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(lower) 1.31273</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>K 0.168333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CPM 0.975312</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specification:</th>
<th>Normal distribution:</th>
<th>6.0 sigma limits:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Upper 0.06</td>
<td>Count 48</td>
<td>+3.0 sigma 0.0798</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nominal 0</td>
<td>Mean 0.0135</td>
<td>Mean 0.0135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower -0.06</td>
<td>Sigma 0.0221</td>
<td>-3.0 sigma -0.0528</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Observed beyond spec.:</th>
<th>Estimated beyond spec.:</th>
<th>Capability indices:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High 4.167 %</td>
<td>High 1.769 %</td>
<td>CP 0.904977</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low 0.000 %</td>
<td>Low 0.044 %</td>
<td>CR 1.105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total 4.167 %</td>
<td>Total 1.813 %</td>
<td>CPK 0.701357</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(upper) 0.701357</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(lower) 1.1086</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>K 0.225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CPM 0.771505</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## PROCESS CAPABILITY ANALYSIS

### OD JACKET PROFILE 0.160

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specification:</th>
<th>Normal distribution:</th>
<th>6.0 sigma limits:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Upper 0.08</td>
<td>Count 1248</td>
<td>+3.0 sigma 0.0538</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nominal 0</td>
<td>Mean -0.0074</td>
<td>Mean -0.0074</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower -0.08</td>
<td>Sigma 0.0204</td>
<td>-3.0 sigma -0.0686</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Observed beyond spec.:  Estimated beyond spec.:  Capability indices:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>High 0.000 %</th>
<th>High 0.001 %</th>
<th>CP 1.30719</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low 0.000 %</td>
<td>Low 0.019 %</td>
<td>Total 0.020 %</td>
<td>CR 0.765</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### OD JACKET PROFILE 0.160 (SN 9,10,12,14)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specification:</th>
<th>Normal distribution:</th>
<th>6.0 sigma limits:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Upper 0.08</td>
<td>Count 832</td>
<td>+3.0 sigma 0.0774</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nominal 0</td>
<td>Mean -0.0021</td>
<td>Mean -0.0021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower -0.08</td>
<td>Sigma 0.0265</td>
<td>-3.0 sigma -0.0816</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Observed beyond spec.:  Estimated beyond spec.:  Capability indices:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>High 0.481 %</th>
<th>High 0.097 %</th>
<th>CP 1.00629</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low 0.000 %</td>
<td>Low 0.164 %</td>
<td>Total 0.262 %</td>
<td>CR 0.99375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total 0.481 %</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CPK 0.979874</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| (upper) 1.0327 | (lower) 0.979874 | K -0.02625 |
| CPM 1.00307    |
# DIMENSIONAL SUMMARY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>B/P Location</th>
<th>Inspection Reading</th>
<th>Lot #1</th>
<th>Lot #2</th>
<th>Lot #3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Range</td>
<td>Average</td>
<td>Range</td>
<td>Average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.6 Dim.</td>
<td>+.030/-.034</td>
<td>-.002</td>
<td>+.046/-.010</td>
<td>+.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.85 Dim.</td>
<td>+.008/-.012</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>+.047/+ .005</td>
<td>+.021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.50 Dia.</td>
<td>+.003/-.015</td>
<td>-.008</td>
<td>+.019/+ .001</td>
<td>+.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26.37 Dia.</td>
<td>+.000/-.014</td>
<td>-.006</td>
<td>+.016/-.007</td>
<td>+.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.89 Dia.</td>
<td>+.012/-.002</td>
<td>+.004</td>
<td>+.024/-.003</td>
<td>+.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.160 ABC</td>
<td>+.033/-.062</td>
<td>-.011</td>
<td>+.049/-.050</td>
<td>-.002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Dimensions reported as deviations from nominal

Precision Castparts Corp.

NASA
# DIMENSIONAL SUMMARY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>B/P Location</th>
<th>Inspection Reading</th>
<th>Lot #4</th>
<th>Lot #5</th>
<th>Lot #7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Range</td>
<td>Average</td>
<td>Range</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.6 Dim.</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>+.026/-.018</td>
<td>-.003</td>
<td>+.020/-.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.85 Dim</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>+.019/-.016</td>
<td>+.003</td>
<td>+.016/-.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.50 Dia.</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>+.006/-.023</td>
<td>-.013</td>
<td>+.007/-.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26.37 Dia.</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>+.016/-.012</td>
<td>+.002</td>
<td>+.033/-.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.89 Dia.</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>+.028/+.001</td>
<td>+.012</td>
<td>+.046/+.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.160 ABC</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>+.036/-.057</td>
<td>-.008</td>
<td>+.052/-.057</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Dimensions reported as deviations from nominal
LOTS 3-7
NON-DESTRUCTIVE TESTING

BRUCE HAPHEY

- NDT PROCESS CAPABILITIES
- POTENTIAL PROBLEMS DEFINED
NDT ENGINEERING
Lots 3 - 7

NDT Process Capabilities:

- X-Ray
- Penetrant
- Visual
- Dimensional
NDT ENGINEERING
Lots 3 - 7

- Potential problems defined
  - Manifold
PART ENGINEERING STRATEGY

JIM HOWCROFT

- STRATEGY FOR GATING DOE LOTS 9, 10, 12 & 14
- HOW LESSONS LEARNED FROM DOE WILL BE APPLIED TO FUTURE PARTS
DEVELOPMENT PROCESS

- ENGINEERING SAMPLES
- GATING OPTIMIZATION DOE
- PROCESS SENSITIVITY DOE
- PROTOTYPE PARTS = PROCESS DEMONSTRATION
- ADDITIONAL "BEST EFFORT" CASTING

Precision Castparts Corp.
DESIGN OF EXPERIMENT
GATING

P/N 20013
Lot 009, 010, 012, 014
Alloy JBK 75

Gating Definition: The plumbing system through which liquid metal flows to fill and feed the casting during final solidification.

Precision Castparts Corp.
CORE GROUP - GATING DOE

TEAM MEMBER
Jim Howcroft - Part Engineer

CONSULTANTS
Nancy Dean - Metallurgical Engineer
Russ Boyles - Statistician
Jeff Miller - Part Engineer
DESIGN OF EXPERIMENT
GATING

CONSIDERATIONS (TREATMENT):

- Position of pour
- Division of casting
- Metal Distribution
- Gate location
- Gate style
- Size/Shape of gates
- Thermal gradient control

Precision Castparts Corp.
DESIGN OF EXPERIMENT

GATING

POSITION OF POUR -- CASTING

V.S.

DIRECTION OF POUR
APT. TO FORWARD

DIRECTION OF POUR
FORWARD TO AFT.

Precision Castparts Corp.
DESIGN OF EXPERIMENT

GATING

DIVISION OF CASTING CONFIGURATION

FORWARD MANIFOLD looking forward

JACKET

AFT MANIFOLD

FORWARD MANIFOLD

AFT. MANIFOLD looking Aft.

I.D. Jacket

Precision Castparts Corp.

NASA
DESIGN OF EXPERIMENT

GATING

METAL DISTRIBUTION

Indicates direction of metal flows
DESIGN OF EXPERIMENT

GATING

GATING STYLE

Precision Castparts Corp.

NASA
DESIGN OF EXPERIMENT

GATING

SIZE/SHAPE OF GATES

ROUND

SQUARE
DESIGN OF EXPERIMENT

GATING

THERMAL GRADIENT CONTROL

CONTROL HEAT LOSS INSULATION

INFLUENCE HEAT LOSS BY ALTERNATE MEANS
DESIGN OF EXPERIMENT

GATING

METHODS TO BE USED TO EVALUATE RESULTS:

• Visual -- White Light

• Fluorescent Penetrant -- Black Light

• Radiographic -- X-Ray

• Chemical Mill -- Acid Bath

• Metallography -- Selective Section Cutup/Mount & Polish/Examine

Precision Castparts Corp.
• Gating DOE variations did not hurt Dimensional integrity of part.
• One piece tool for jacket would tighten down spread caused by assembly.
PROCESS CAPABILITY ANALYSIS
FORWARD FLANGE TO -A- 16.600

FWD FLANGE TO -A- 16.600 (SN 1-5, 7)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specification:</th>
<th>Normal distribution:</th>
<th>6.0 sigma limits:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Upper 0.06</td>
<td>Count 72</td>
<td>+3.0 sigma 0.0633</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nominal 0</td>
<td>Mean 0.006</td>
<td>Mean 0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower -0.06</td>
<td>Sigma 0.0191</td>
<td>-3.0 sigma -0.0513</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Observed beyond spec.:</th>
<th>Estimated beyond spec.:</th>
<th>Capability indices:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High 0.000 %</td>
<td>High 0.235 %</td>
<td>CP 1.04712</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low 0.000 %</td>
<td>Low 0.027 %</td>
<td>CR 0.955</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total 0.000 %</td>
<td>Total 0.262 %</td>
<td>CPK 0.942408</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(upper) 0.942408</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(lower) 1.15183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>K 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CPM 0.996689</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FWD FLANGE TO -A- 16.600 (SN 9,10,12,14)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specification:</th>
<th>Normal distribution:</th>
<th>6.0 sigma limits:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Upper 0.06</td>
<td>Count 48</td>
<td>+3.0 sigma 0.0749</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nominal 0</td>
<td>Mean 0.0143</td>
<td>Mean 0.0143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower -0.06</td>
<td>Sigma 0.0202</td>
<td>-3.0 sigma -0.0463</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Observed beyond spec.:</th>
<th>Estimated beyond spec.:</th>
<th>Capability indices:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High 0.000 %</td>
<td>High 1.184 %</td>
<td>CP 0.990099</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low 0.000 %</td>
<td>Low 0.012 %</td>
<td>CR 1.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total 0.000 %</td>
<td>Total 1.195 %</td>
<td>CPK 0.754125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(upper) 0.754125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(lower) 1.22607</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>K 0.238333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CPM 0.806883</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### PROCESS CAPABILITY ANALYSIS

**AFT FLANGE TO -A- 8.850**

AFT FLANGE TO -A- 8.850 (SN 1-5, 7)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specification:</th>
<th>Normal distribution:</th>
<th>6.0 sigma limits:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Upper 0.06</td>
<td>Count 72</td>
<td>+3.0 sigma 0.0552</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nominal 0</td>
<td>Mean 0.0072</td>
<td>Mean 0.0072</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower -0.06</td>
<td>Sigma 0.016</td>
<td>-3.0 sigma -0.0408</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Observed beyond spec.:</th>
<th>Estimated beyond spec.:</th>
<th>Capability indices:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High 0.000 %</td>
<td>High 0.048 %</td>
<td>CP 1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low 0.000 %</td>
<td>Low 0.001 %</td>
<td>CR 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total 0.050 %</td>
<td>CPK 1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(upper) 1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(lower) 1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>K 0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CPM 1.14015</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AFT FLANGE TO -A- 8.850 (SN 9,10,12,14)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specification:</th>
<th>Normal distribution:</th>
<th>6.0 sigma limits:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Upper 0.06</td>
<td>Count 48</td>
<td>+3.0 sigma 0.0783</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nominal 0</td>
<td>Mean 0.0138</td>
<td>Mean 0.0138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower -0.06</td>
<td>Sigma 0.0215</td>
<td>-3.0 sigma -0.0507</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Observed beyond spec.:</th>
<th>Estimated beyond spec.:</th>
<th>Capability indices:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High 4.167 %</td>
<td>High 1.582 %</td>
<td>CP 0.930233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low 0.000 %</td>
<td>Low 0.030 %</td>
<td>CR 1.075</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total 1.612 %</td>
<td>CPK 0.716279</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(upper) 0.716279</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(lower) 1.14419</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>K 0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CPM 0.782418</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# PROCESS CAPABILITY ANALYSIS

## FORWARD FLANGE DIA 21.500

### FWD FLANGE DIA 21.500 (SN 1-5, 7)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specification</th>
<th>Normal distribution</th>
<th>6.0 sigma limits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Upper 0.06</td>
<td>Count 72</td>
<td>+3.0 sigma 0.0459</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nominal 0</td>
<td>Mean -0.0039</td>
<td>Mean -0.0039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower -0.06</td>
<td>Sigma 0.0166</td>
<td>-3.0 sigma -0.0537</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Observed beyond spec.:</th>
<th>Estimated beyond spec.:</th>
<th>Capability indices:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High 0.000 %</td>
<td>High 0.006 %</td>
<td>CP 1.20482</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low 0.000 %</td>
<td>Low 0.036 %</td>
<td>CR 0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total 0.000 %</td>
<td>Total 0.042 %</td>
<td>CPK 1.12651</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### FWD FLANGE DIA 21.500 (SN 9,10,12,14)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specification</th>
<th>Normal distribution</th>
<th>6.0 sigma limits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Upper 0.06</td>
<td>Count 48</td>
<td>+3.0 sigma 0.0161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nominal 0</td>
<td>Mean -0.0028</td>
<td>Mean -0.0028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower -0.06</td>
<td>Sigma 0.0063</td>
<td>-3.0 sigma -0.0217</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Observed beyond spec.:</th>
<th>Estimated beyond spec.:</th>
<th>Capability indices:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High 0.000 %</td>
<td>High 0.000 %</td>
<td>CP 3.1746</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low 0.000 %</td>
<td>Low 0.000 %</td>
<td>CR 0.315</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total 0.000 %</td>
<td>Total 0.000 %</td>
<td>CPK 3.02646</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(upper) 3.32275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(lower) 3.02646</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>K -0.0466667</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CPM 2.89834</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**PROCESS CAPABILITY ANALYSIS**  
**AFT FLANGE DIA 26.370**

### AFT FLANGE DIA 26.370 (SN 1-5, 7)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specification</th>
<th>Normal distribution:</th>
<th>6.0 sigma limits:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Upper 0.06</td>
<td>Count 72</td>
<td>+3.0 sigma 0.0563</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nominal 0</td>
<td>Mean 0.0023</td>
<td>Mean 0.0023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower -0.06</td>
<td>Sigma 0.018</td>
<td>-3.0 sigma -0.0517</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Observed beyond spec.:</th>
<th>Estimated beyond spec.:</th>
<th>Capability indices:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High 0.000 %</td>
<td>High 0.067 %</td>
<td>CP 1.11111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low 0.000 %</td>
<td>Low 0.027 %</td>
<td>CR 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total 0.000 %</td>
<td>Total 0.094 %</td>
<td>CPK 1.06852</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(upper) 1.06852</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(lower) 1.1537</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>K 0.0383333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CPM 1.10434</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### AFT FLANGE DIA 26.370 (SN 9,10,12,14)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specification</th>
<th>Normal distribution:</th>
<th>6.0 sigma limits:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Upper 0.06</td>
<td>Count 48</td>
<td>+3.0 sigma 0.0361</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nominal 0</td>
<td>Mean 0.0043</td>
<td>Mean 0.0043</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower -0.06</td>
<td>Sigma 0.0106</td>
<td>-3.0 sigma -0.0275</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Observed beyond spec.:</th>
<th>Estimated beyond spec.:</th>
<th>Capability indices:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High 0.000 %</td>
<td>High 0.000 %</td>
<td>CP 1.88679</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low 0.000 %</td>
<td>Low 0.000 %</td>
<td>CR 0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total 0.000 %</td>
<td>Total 0.000 %</td>
<td>CPK 1.75157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(upper) 1.75157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(lower) 2.02201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>K 0.0716667</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CPM 1.7398</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# PROCESS CAPABILITY ANALYSIS

**AFT FLANGE DIA 23.890**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specification:</th>
<th>Normal distribution:</th>
<th>6.0 sigma limits:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Upper 0.06</td>
<td>Count 72</td>
<td>+3.0 sigma 0.0635</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nominal 0</td>
<td>Mean 0.0101</td>
<td>Mean 0.0101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower -0.06</td>
<td>Sigma 0.0178</td>
<td>-3.0 sigma -0.0433</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Observed beyond spec.:</th>
<th>Estimated beyond spec.:</th>
<th>Capability indices:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High 0.000 %</td>
<td>High 0.253 %</td>
<td>CP 1.1236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low 0.000 %</td>
<td>Low 0.004 %</td>
<td>CR 0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total 0.000 %</td>
<td>Total 0.257 %</td>
<td>CPK 0.934457</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### AFT FLANGE DIA 23.890 (SN 9,10,12,14)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specification:</th>
<th>Normal distribution:</th>
<th>6.0 sigma limits:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Upper 0.06</td>
<td>Count 48</td>
<td>+3.0 sigma 0.0798</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nominal 0</td>
<td>Mean 0.0135</td>
<td>Mean 0.0135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower -0.06</td>
<td>Sigma 0.0221</td>
<td>-3.0 sigma -0.0528</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Observed beyond spec.:</th>
<th>Estimated beyond spec.:</th>
<th>Capability indices:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High 4.167 %</td>
<td>High 1.769 %</td>
<td>CP 0.904977</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low 0.000 %</td>
<td>Low 0.044 %</td>
<td>CR 1.105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total 4.167 %</td>
<td>Total 1.813 %</td>
<td>CPK 0.701357</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| (upper) 0.701357 |
| (lower) 1.1086    |
| K 0.225          |
| CPM 0.771505     |
Process Capability: SN 94104.124

Multiple Box-and-Whisker Plot

Precision Castparts Corp.
# PROCESS CAPABILITY ANALYSIS
OD JACKET PROFILE 0.160

---

**OD JACKET PROFILE 0.160 (SN 1-5, 7)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specification:</th>
<th>Normal distribution:</th>
<th>6.0 sigma limits:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Upper 0.08</td>
<td>Count 1248</td>
<td>+3.0 sigma 0.0538</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nominal 0</td>
<td>Mean -0.0074</td>
<td>Mean -0.0074</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower -0.08</td>
<td>Sigma 0.0204</td>
<td>-3.0 sigma -0.0686</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Observed beyond spec.:</th>
<th>Estimated beyond spec.:</th>
<th>Capability indices:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High 0.000 %</td>
<td>High 0.001 %</td>
<td>CP 1.30719</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low 0.000 %</td>
<td>Low 0.019 %</td>
<td>CR 0.765</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total 0.020 %</td>
<td>CPK 1.18627</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(upper) 1.4281</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(lower) 1.18627</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>K -0.0925</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CPM 1.22711</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**OD JACKET PROFILE 0.160 (SN 9,10,12,14)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specification:</th>
<th>Normal distribution:</th>
<th>6.0 sigma limits:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Upper 0.08</td>
<td>Count 832</td>
<td>+3.0 sigma 0.0774</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nominal 0</td>
<td>Mean -0.0021</td>
<td>Mean -0.0021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower -0.08</td>
<td>Sigma 0.0265</td>
<td>-3.0 sigma -0.0816</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Observed beyond spec.:</th>
<th>Estimated beyond spec.:</th>
<th>Capability indices:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High 0.481 %</td>
<td>High 0.097 %</td>
<td>CP 1.00629</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low 0.000 %</td>
<td>Low 0.164 %</td>
<td>CR 0.99375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total 0.262 %</td>
<td>CPK 0.979874</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(upper) 1.0327</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(lower) 0.979874</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>K -0.02625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CPM 1.00307</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Precision Castparts Corp.
**DIMENSIONAL SUMMARY**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>B/P Location</th>
<th>Inspection Reading</th>
<th>Lot #9 Range</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Lot #10 Range</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Lot #12 Range</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Lot #14 Range</th>
<th>Average</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16.6 Dim.</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>+0.055/-0.19</td>
<td>+0.015</td>
<td>+0.052/-0.10</td>
<td>+0.017</td>
<td>+0.037/-0.007</td>
<td>+0.007</td>
<td>+0.056/-0.009</td>
<td>+0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.85 Dim.</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>+0.049/-0.037</td>
<td>+0.008</td>
<td>+0.021/-0.10</td>
<td>+0.005</td>
<td>+0.051/-0.003</td>
<td>+0.018</td>
<td>+0.068/+0.001</td>
<td>+0.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.50 Dia.</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>+0.003/-0.11</td>
<td>-0.002</td>
<td>-0.005/-0.20</td>
<td>-0.010</td>
<td>+0.014/-0.006</td>
<td>+0.002</td>
<td>+0.006/-0.007</td>
<td>-0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26.37 Dia.</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>+0.022/-0.030</td>
<td>-0.000</td>
<td>+0.011/-0.10</td>
<td>+0.001</td>
<td>+0.026/-0.001</td>
<td>+0.013</td>
<td>+0.017/-0.004</td>
<td>+0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.89 Dia.</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>+0.026/-0.028</td>
<td>+0.002</td>
<td>+0.020/-0.12</td>
<td>+0.004</td>
<td>+0.075/+0.008</td>
<td>+0.034</td>
<td>+0.058/-0.040</td>
<td>+0.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.160 ABC</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>+0.046/-0.040</td>
<td>-0.002</td>
<td>+0.103/-0.074</td>
<td>-0.003</td>
<td>+0.088/-0.053</td>
<td>+0.001</td>
<td>+0.048/-0.043</td>
<td>-0.006</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Dimensions reported as deviations from nominal
METALLURGICAL RESULTS OF GATING DOE
Lots 9 - 14

JIM HOWCROFT

- DOE results clearly define best combination of TGC and gating.

- Quality of parts resulting from Gating DOE will meet NASA ultimate requirements.

Precision Castparts Corp.
# DESIGN OF EXPERIMENT GATING

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TREATMENT:</th>
<th>LEVEL OF TREATMENT:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Direction of TGC*</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size of Aft Gate</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size of Jacket Gate</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level of TGC</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Style of Aft Gate</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>Reverse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large</td>
<td>Small</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Round</td>
<td>Square</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ring</td>
<td>Spoke</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Thermal Gradient Control

Precision Castparts Corp.

NASA
# DESIGN OF EXPERIMENT GATING

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DESIGN CASE</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lot No. 009</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 1</td>
<td>Std.</td>
<td>Small</td>
<td>Square</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Spoke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 2</td>
<td>Std.</td>
<td>Large</td>
<td>Round</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Spoke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 3</td>
<td>Rev.</td>
<td>Small</td>
<td>Round</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Spoke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 4</td>
<td>Rev.</td>
<td>Large</td>
<td>Square</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Spoke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lot No. 010</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 1</td>
<td>Rev.</td>
<td>Large</td>
<td>Round</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Spoke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 2</td>
<td>Std.</td>
<td>Large</td>
<td>Square</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Spoke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 3</td>
<td>Rev.</td>
<td>Small</td>
<td>Square</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Spoke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 4</td>
<td>Std.</td>
<td>Small</td>
<td>Round</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Spoke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lot No. 012</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 1</td>
<td>Rev.</td>
<td>Large</td>
<td>Square</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Ring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 2</td>
<td>Std.</td>
<td>Large</td>
<td>Round</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Ring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 3</td>
<td>Rev.</td>
<td>Small</td>
<td>Round</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Ring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 4</td>
<td>Std.</td>
<td>Small</td>
<td>Square</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Ring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lot No. 014</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 1</td>
<td>Rev.</td>
<td>Large</td>
<td>Round</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Ring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 2</td>
<td>Std.</td>
<td>Large</td>
<td>Square</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Ring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 3</td>
<td>Rev.</td>
<td>Small</td>
<td>Square</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Ring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 4</td>
<td>Std.</td>
<td>Small</td>
<td>Round</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Ring</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# DESIGN OF EXPERIMENT

## GATING

### SECTION 5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DESIGN EASE</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lot No. 009</td>
<td>Std</td>
<td>Unique to section</td>
<td>Web 21-22 square</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Spoke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Web 1-2 round</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lot No. 010</td>
<td>Std</td>
<td>Unique to section</td>
<td>Web 21-22 square</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Spoke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Web 1-2 round</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lot No. 012</td>
<td>Std</td>
<td>Unique to section</td>
<td>Web 21-22 square</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Ring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Web 1-2 round</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lot No. 014</td>
<td>Std</td>
<td>Unique to section</td>
<td>Web 21-22 square</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Ring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Web 1-2 round</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
P/N 20013 DOE
DATA SHEET

Lot No. ___
Zone ___
Inspection ___

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DOE SECTION</th>
<th>LOCATION</th>
<th>LENGTH</th>
<th>WIDTH</th>
<th>SEVERITY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LENGTH x WIDTH x SEVERITY = SCORE

Precision Castparts Corp.

NASA
# DESIGN OF EXPERIMENT

## GATING

### SUMMARY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TREATMENT</th>
<th>CHOICE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Direction of TGC</td>
<td>Standard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size of Aft Gate</td>
<td>Large</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size of Jacket Gate</td>
<td>Round*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level of TGC</td>
<td>Fwd Manifold</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jacket</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Aft Manifold</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ring</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Jacket Gate change may be included in process DOE.

---

Precision Castparts Corp.

---

NASA
### P/N 20013

**HOT FIRE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lot No. 015</th>
<th>Lot No. 016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Spoke Gate - Same as Lots 004-007</strong></td>
<td><strong>Ring Gate - Small</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Jacket Gate - Round</strong></td>
<td><strong>Jacket Gate - Round</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TGC-</strong></td>
<td><strong>TGC-</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forward Manifold - Low</td>
<td>Fwd Manifold - Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jacket - Low</td>
<td>Jacket - Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aft Manifold - Low</td>
<td>Aft Manifold - Low</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Precision Castparts Corp.**

**NASA**
MANUFACTURING SEQUENCE
Lot 015, 016

AFTER CAST

- Belt grind gates/drains
- Sandblast
- Thermal etch
- Cap weld gates
- Visual inspect
- Weld if required
- FPI
- Repair
- HIP
- Finish grind gates
- Blend welds
- FPI
- Repair
- X-ray - full
- Repair
- Begin window closure sequence

WINDOW WELD

- Two patch - Forward Manifold
- Two patch - Aft Manifold
- FPI inspect patches
- Visual dimensional patches
- X-ray patches
- Proceed when accepted to next window closure sequence
- After all patches installed, inspected and accepted,
- HIP

Precision Castparts Corp.
DEVELOPMENT PROCESS

- ENGINEERING SAMPLES
- GATING OPTIMIZATION DOE
- PROCESS SENSITIVITY DOE
- PROTOTYPE PARTS = PROCESS DEMONSTRATION
- ADDITIONAL "BEST EFFORT" CASTING

Precision Castparts Corp.
LOTS 9 - 14
NON-DESTRUCTIVE TESTING

BRUCE HAPHEY

- APPLICATION OF BASIC INSPECTION METHODS
- PROBLEM AREAS ADDRESSED
- KNOWLEDGE GAINED
PART QUALITY
Lots 9 - 14

Applications of basic inspection methods:

- X-Ray
- Penetrant
- Visual
- Dimensional
NON-DESTRUCTIVE TESTING
Lots 9-14

Problem areas addressed:

- Inspection fixturing
  - X-Ray
  - Penetrant

- Manifold inspection
  - X-Ray
  - Penetrant
  - Visual
  - Dimensional

- Welding
  - Distortion
  - Mis-match
  - Drop through
Knowledge gained:

- Inspection methods
  - X-Ray
  - Penetrant
  - Visual
  - Dimensional
  - Welding
CASTING HARDWARE ACCEPTANCE TEST & INSPECTION PLAN

The primary goal of Precision Castparts Corp's casting inspection plan for the NASA Advanced Main Combustion Chamber (AMCC) has been to evaluate, develop and finalize the inspection methods and processes earlier identified in DR-02 as primary casting evaluation technology.

The non-destructive inspection methods of X-ray, Penetrant and Visual/Dimensional, earlier identified as necessary to accomplish this goal, are consistent with the present capabilities of Precision Castparts Corp.

All non-destructive testing inspection difficulties have been satisfactorily resolved with the exception of the inspection of the manifolds on the forward and aft end of the main combustion chamber throat.

From the standpoint of inspection technique development, some welding process considerations and attendant inspection problems related to complex casting configuration required careful attention to potentially critical manufacturing problems associated with NDT inspectability and casting processing.

The principle inspection difficulty arises from the limited access available though the manifold "windows". These "windows" require a great deal of expertise in proper X-ray film placement within the manifold interior.

Inspectability for penetrant, visual and dimensional inspection are equally difficult.

The "windows" are multiple access "ports" provided in each manifold and are required for casting construction.

Each "window" is deliberately kept to the smallest size practical yet large enough to provide the
necessary inspection access to the internal surface area of the manifold.

The presence of the "windows" in the finished manifolds are unacceptable to the function of the manifolds; consequently, subsequent closure by welding a patch of the same alloy, shape and thickness as the manifold section is required.

As part of the inspection process, each individual "window" weld requires post-weld visual, dimensional, penetrant and X-ray inspection, to confirm acceptability, prior to welding the next adjacent "window" patch. Consequently, the casting manifold section receives a number of X-ray, penetrant, visual and dimensional inspections.

The X-ray, penetrant, and dimensional inspection techniques presently utilized on the preliminary development castings have matured during the casting development process such that a final X-ray technique has been developed that represents a complete and final product consisting of specific views and exposure parameters necessary to produce high sensitivity levels reliably and consistent with standard industry practices.

Penetrant inspection procedures and processes have matured equally as well such that penetrant inspection of the manifolds, although difficult, can be accomplished with a degree of confidence that reliable results can be consistently achieved.

PCC has established, for the generic inspection of this casting, that the application of a high sensitivity water-washable fluorescent dye penetrant is best suited for detection of the type of surface discontinuities particular to castings of this size and complexity. Considerations were given to the casting surface condition,
material, processing characteristics, casting process compatibility and the ability to detect the desired discontinuity types and levels expected in a casting of this type.

The water-washable type of penetrant is particularly suited to the surface condition of castings as produced by the investment casting process. Casting surface finishes are fairly rough, on the order of about 60 rms, and as a consequence require penetrants that exhibit removal characteristics that are attuned to the casting surface condition, the type of casting material, the size and type of discontinuities to be detected, and the processing procedures.

The penetrant selected has the physical properties required to consistently produce the penetrant sensitivity necessary to consistently detect the discontinuity level desired.

PCC has specified Magnaflux penetrant type ZL-67 as the desired penetrant most closely fitting the necessary requirements.

Special Penetrant Inspection techniques require the incorporation of a blacklight boroscope for pre- and post-weld "window" closure inspection of the manifolds as well as final penetrant inspection of the fully closed manifolds after final heat treat.

Equally matured are the Visual/Dimensional Inspection techniques and equipment necessary to properly validate internal manifold dimensions and visual condition.

Visual inspection is expanded with the incorporation of a boroscopic inspection requirement to cover the internal passages of the casting.
Applied principally during the preliminary inspection cycles of "window" closure, this boroscopic inspection operation is necessary to conclude a detailed examination of the inner surface of the AMCC manifolds and to evaluate the interior condition of the welds made in closing the manifold's "windows".

It is necessary, for dimensional evaluation of the manifold interior, to dimensionally confirm that welds in the manifold's interior can be evaluated for mis-match, drop-through or potential over-benching of the interior wall.

The metrology tools, techniques and training required to perform this important task have been developed successfully with confidence in the accuracy of measurement.

To summarize, the major problems in the inspection of the AMCC have been identified and resolved to the extent that a difficult inspection regime can be accomplished reliably and accurately.
PROCESS DOE
WHAT IS OUR STRATEGY - Lots 17 - 20

BRUCE MILLER

- NAIL DOWN METAL TEMPERATURES
- REFINE TGC APPLICATION
- FIND OUT HOW ROBUST OUR PROCESS IS
DEVELOPMENT PROCESS

- ENGINEERING SAMPLES
- GATING OPTIMIZATION DOE
- PROCESS SENSITIVITY DOE
- PROTOTYPE PARTS = PROCESS DEMONSTRATION
- ADDITIONAL "BEST EFFORT" CASTING
CORE GROUP - PROCESS DOE

TEAM MEMBER

Bruce Miller - Part Engineer

CONSULTANTS

Nick Vlessis - Part Engineer
Jim Howcroft - Part Engineer
Jeff Miller - Part Engineer
Nancy Dean - Metallurgical Engineer

Precision Castparts Corp.
DESIGN OF EXPERIMENT
PROCESS

CONSIDERATIONS:

- Mold temperature
- Metal temperature
- Shell thickness
- Shell system in Forward and Aft Manifolds
- Shell insulation/removal
- Level of TGC*
- Shape/size of TGC
- Timing of TGC

*Thermal Gradient Control

Precision Castparts Corp.
DESIGN OF EXPERIMENT PROCESS

TREATMENT:

- Metal temperature 
  whole part

- Shell system - Manifold 
  whole part

- Shape of TGC 
  within part

- Timing of TGC 
  within part
DESIGN OF EXPERIMENT PROCESS

Forward Manifold

Jacket

Aft Manifold
PROCESS DOE

DIMENSIONAL EVALUATION OF LOTS 17-20

PAM WRIGHT

WHAT WE ARE LOOKING FOR IN THIS PROCESS

Precision Casiparts Corp.
HOT FIRE PARTS
LOTS 015 AND 016

• HAND FAB USING NEW BLUEPRINT DIMENSIONS

PROCESS DOE
LOTS 017 THRU 020

• TOOL REWORKED
NASA AMCC BLUEPRINT DIMENSIONS

Precision Castparts Corp.

Dimensions:
- 21.500
- 17.880
- 18.075 was 18.375
- 16.85 was 16.60
- 9.10 was 8.85
- 11.168
- 22.900
- 23.890
- 26.370
HAND FABRICATION CASTINGS
20013 Lots 015 & 016

Build up .250"

B/u .150"
tappered to 0"

B/u .070"
tappered to 0"

Build up .250"

Precision Castparts Corp.
DIMENSIONAL INSPECTION

- WAX COMPONENT - CONTROLLED DIMENSIONS

- ASSEMBLED WAX - CMM
  CONTROLLED DIMENSIONS

- METAL CASTING - CMM
  CONTROLLED DIMENSIONS
SUMMARY OF P/N 20013

Lot 001 - Cut up
002 - Ship - Engineering Evaluation
     - Partially completed. Limited NDT work.
     - No window closure
003 - Cut up - Engineering Evaluation
004 - Ship - First full process casting
005 - Ship
006 - Cut up - Engineering Evaluation
007 - Ship
008 - Cancel
009 - Gating DOE
010 - Gating DOE
011 - Scrap at Investing
012 - Gating DOE
013 - Scrap at Investing
014 - Gating DOE
015 - Scheduled to ship Oct. 1993
016 - Scheduled to ship Oct. 1993
PRECISION CAST PARTS CORP.
Presentation to NASA
September 21, 1994

Introductions:

PCC AMCC Development Team

Pam Wright, Dimensional Engineer
Joe Franich, Quality Engineer
Steve Clement, Part Engineer
Rick Soll, Product Engineer
Steve Irvine, Program Manager
Penny Tibedo, Account Manager
Pat Maloney, Technician
# PRELIMINARY DESIGN REVIEW AGENDA

AMCC CASTING DEVELOPMENT

Wednesday, September 21, 1994

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Presenter</th>
<th>Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Introduction</td>
<td>Steve Irvine</td>
<td>9:00 – 9:15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part &amp; Gating Review</td>
<td>Steve Clement</td>
<td>9:15 – 10:00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Break</td>
<td></td>
<td>10:00 – 10:15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Process DOE</td>
<td>Steve Clement</td>
<td>10:15 – 11:30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lots 17, 20, 21, 22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lunch</td>
<td></td>
<td>11:30 – 12:30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dimensional Review</td>
<td>Pam Wright</td>
<td>12:30 – 2:00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Break</td>
<td></td>
<td>2:00 – 2:15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inspection Identification &amp; Rejection Criteria</td>
<td>Steve Clement/</td>
<td>2:15 – 3:15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pam Wright</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summary/Open Discussion</td>
<td>Steve Irvine</td>
<td>3:15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PCC  Precision Castparts Corp.
PROGRAM OBJECTIVE

Produce a Structural Jacket and Coolant Manifold casting design that is:

- More reliable
- More predictable
- More cost effective

than the wrought, machined and heavily welded design used for the current SSME Main Combustion Chamber (MCC).

Precision Castparts Corp.
SUMMARY – AMCC Large Throat Castings
NASA Dwg. #96M66441 / PCC P/N 20013

PART & GATING REVIEW

- History

- Gating used on Lots 015 & 016

- Gating used for Process DOE
## SUMMARY – AMCC Large Throat Castings

**NASA Dwg. #96M66441 / PCC P/N 20013**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LOT #</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>COMMENTS</th>
<th>PDR Rvw.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>001</td>
<td>Cut–up</td>
<td>Engineering Evaluation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>002</td>
<td>Shipped 3/92</td>
<td>Partially Processed – No window closure</td>
<td>12/26/92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>003</td>
<td>Cut–up</td>
<td>Engineering Evaluation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>004</td>
<td>Shipped 9/92</td>
<td>Fully Processed – Limited NDTE Req’mnts</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>005</td>
<td>Shipped 11/92</td>
<td>Fully Processed – Limited NDTE Req’mnts</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>006</td>
<td>Cut–up</td>
<td>Engineering Evaluation</td>
<td>10/12/93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>007</td>
<td>Shipped 12/92</td>
<td>Fully Processed – Limited NDTE Req’mnts</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>008</td>
<td>Cancel</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>009</td>
<td>Gating DOE</td>
<td>Engineering Evaluation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>010</td>
<td>Gating DOE</td>
<td>Engineering Evaluation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>011</td>
<td>Scrap</td>
<td>Broken Mold in Investing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*PCC Precision Castparts Corp.*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LOT #</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>COMMENTS</th>
<th>PDR Rvw.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>012</td>
<td>Gating DOE</td>
<td>Engineering Evaluation</td>
<td>10/12/93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>013</td>
<td>Scrap</td>
<td>Broken Mold in Investing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>014</td>
<td>Gating DOE</td>
<td>Engineering Evaluation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>015</td>
<td>Shipped 10/93</td>
<td>Fully Processed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>016</td>
<td>Shipped 10/93</td>
<td>Fully Processed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>017</td>
<td>Process DOE</td>
<td>Engineering Evaluation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>018</td>
<td>Scrap</td>
<td>De-wax Cracks in Investing</td>
<td>9/21/94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>019</td>
<td>Scrap</td>
<td>Engineering Evaluation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>020</td>
<td>Process DOE</td>
<td>Engineering Evaluation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>021</td>
<td>Process DOE</td>
<td>Engineering Evaluation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>022</td>
<td>Process DOE</td>
<td>Engineering Evaluation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
AMCC Large Throat Castings

NASA Dwg. #96M66441 / PCC P/N 20013

Gating Variations
AMCC Large Throat Castings
NASA Dwg. #96M66441 / PCC P/N 20013
Gating on Process DOE Lots 017, 020, 021 & 022
AMCC Large Throat Castings
NASA Dwg. #96M66441 / PCC P/N 20013

PROCESS DOE Lots 17, 20, 21, & 22

- Results
  - Overall Quality
  - Process Variables
  - Effect of shape and location of TGC
  - Effect of metal temperature
  - Effect of time delay of TGC
  - Lab results

- Summary
AMCC Large Throat Castings
NASA Dwg. #96M66441 / PCC P/N 20013

- Overall quality is very good
- Destructive Chem mill was required to evaluate impact of process DOE variables *

* (Except for shape and location of TGC)
AMCC Large Throat Castings
NASA Dwg. #96M66441 / PCC P/N 20013

Process DOE Variables

- Shape & Location of TGC    Within Part
- Metal Temperature          Whole Part
- Time Delay of Aft Manifold TGC* Whole Part
- Time Delay of Jacket TGC   Within Part

* Thermal Gradient Control
# AMCC Large Throat Castings

NASA Dwg. #96M66441 / PCC P/N 20013

## DOE Response Variables

( Post chem mill FPI results)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Early TGC</td>
<td>Late TGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lot #</td>
<td>020</td>
<td>022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># Shrink Indications</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Area of Shrinkage</td>
<td>27.42”</td>
<td>36.09”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade (Class X Area)</td>
<td>151.75</td>
<td>188.98</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
AMCC Large Throat Castings
NASA Dwg. #96M66441 / PCC P/N 20013

- Effect of Shape & Location of TGC
  (System #1 vs. System #2)

  . TGC System #1 is Clear Winner
  . Improvement is Statistically Significant
Effect of TGC Shape and Location
Effect of TGC* Shape & Location (PCC P/N 20013)

TGC SYSTEM #2

CL10, .090"
CL30, .090"

TGC SYSTEM #1

CL60, .200"
CL40, .060"

TGC SYSTEM #2

TGC SYSTEM #1

V30, 3.5-3, 1.8"
V30, 3.5-2, 1.7"

O.D. Rollout

V36, 2.4-5, .500"
V36, 2.2-5, 2.3"

( Lot 017 Pre-HIP Results )

PCC

Precision Castparts Corp.

NASA
Effect of Metal Temperature

- DOE Points Toward Lower Pour Temp
AMCC Large Throat Castings
NASA Dwg. #96M66441 / PCC P/N 20013

- Effect of Time Delay of Aft Manifold TGC

- Late TGC is Apparent Winner
AMCC Large Throat Castings
NASA Dwg. #96M66441 / PCC P/N 20013

- Effect of Time Delay of Jacket TGC

- No Apparent Winner
AMCC Large Throat Castings
NASA Dwg. #96M66441 / PCC P/N 20013

– LAB RESULTS: Microshrink

– 9.6% & 6.3% shrink indications in section C1–2 of Lot 017 were caused by a gating variable at the inlet nozzle

– 4.5% shrink indication in section C5–1 of Lot 020 is the worst indication without an attributable cause
AMCC Large Throat Castings
NASA Dwg. #96M66441 / PCC P/N 20013

- LAB RESULTS: Void

- .100” long, with .060” depth, void in section A5–1J in Lot 022

- Lab analysis cannot accurately determine the root cause of the indication. Most probable cause is a large ceramic inclusion.

- Process DOE parts did not have a casting filter due to a height limitation at casting.

- The next parts will have drop-in filters.
AMCC Large Throat Castings
NASA Dwg. #96M66441 / PCC P/N 20013

- GRAIN SIZE

- Maximum Columnar Grain: 1.3 ”
- Maximum Equiaxed Grain: 0.9 ”
GRAIN SIZE EVALUATION

Figure 3
SEGMENT A-5
End view

Figure 4
SEGMENT A-5
Maximum equiaxed grain size: 0.4"
Maximum columnar grain size: 1.3"
Mag 1X
AMCC Large Throat Castings
NASA Dwg. #96M66441 / PCC P/N 20013

LAB ANALYSIS: IGA / IGO

- Maximum Observed IGA / IGO is 0.001"
AMCC Large Throat Castings
NASA Dwg. #96M66441 / PCC P/N 20013

– LAB RESULTS: Eta Phase

– Local areas of Eta phase at grain boundaries in heavy sections
ETA PHASE EVALUATION

Figure 33

SECTION A1-2F
ETA PHASE
Etched: 10% Oxalic Acid, electrolytic

Mag 50X
Mount Number P 11141

Figure 34

SECTION A1-2F
ETA PHASE
Etched: 10% Oxalic Acid, electrolytic

Mag 200X
Mount Number P 11141
AMCC Large Throat Castings  
NASA Dwg. #96M66441 / PCC P/N 20013

SUMMARY

• Overall Part Quality is Very Good

• Process DOE selects TGC system #1
• Process DOE points toward a lower pour temperature
• Process DOE sets the aft manifold TGC time delay
DIMENSIONAL REVIEW
Lots 17, 20, 21, & 22

Pam Wright

- Process DOE variations did not influence dimensional integrity of the part.
- Casting is dimensionally stable.
LOTs 17, 20, 21, & 22
FORWARD FLANGE DIA. 21.500
PROCESS CAPABILITY
STATISTICAL PROCESS CONTROL
BOX AND WHISKER SYMBOL DEFINITION

Tip to tip
Total range

50% of data

Medium (50th Percentile)
Mean (avg.)

* All data analyzed by Statgraphics

PCC Precision Castparts Corp.
Lots 17, 20, 21, & 22
Forward Flange Dia. 21.500
Multiple Box and Whisker Plot
PROCESS CAPABILITY ANALYSIS
FORWARD FLANGE DIA. 21.500
LOTS 17, 20, 21, & 22

Specification:
Upper 0.08
Nominal 0
Lower -0.08

Normal distribution:
Count 48
* Mean -0.0276042
* Sigma 0.0078706

Estimated beyond spec.:
High 0.000 %
Low 0.000 %
Total 0.000 %

6.0 sigma limits:
+3.0 sigma -0.00399236
Mean -0.0276042
-3.0 sigma -0.051216

Capability indices:
CP 3.38814
CR 0.295148
CPK 2.21905
(upper) 4.55722
(lower) 2.21905
K -0.345052
CPM 0.920006

* estimated parameter
Lots 17, 20, 21, & 22
Forward Flange To - A - 16.850
Multiple Box and Whisker Plot
## PROCESS CAPABILITY ANALYSIS
FORWARD FLANGE TO -A- 16.850
LOTS 17, 20, 21, & 22

### Specification:

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Upper</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nominal</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Normal distribution:

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* Mean</td>
<td>-0.00560417</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* Sigma</td>
<td>0.0232313</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 6.0 sigma limits:

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>+3.0 sigma</td>
<td>0.0640896</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>-0.00560417</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-3.0 sigma</td>
<td>-0.0752979</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Observed beyond spec.:

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>0.000 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>0.000 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>0.000 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Estimated beyond spec.:

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>0.011 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>0.068 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>0.080 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Capability indices:

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CP</td>
<td>1.14788</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CR</td>
<td>0.871172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPK</td>
<td>1.06747</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(upper)</td>
<td>1.22829</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(lower)</td>
<td>1.06747</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>-0.0700521</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPM</td>
<td>1.11522</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* estimated parameter
LOT: 17, 20, 21, 22
APR FLANGE TO: A - 9.100
PROCESS CAPABILITY
 Lots 17, 20, 21, & 22
After Flange Tol. A-9.100
Multiple Box and Whisker Plot
PROCESS CAPABILITY ANALYSIS
AFT FLANGE TO -A- 9.100
LOTS 17, 20, 21, & 22

Specification:
Upper  0.08
Nominal  0
Lower  -0.08

Normal distribution:
Count  45
  Mean  0.00304444
  Sigma  0.0198265

6.0 sigma limits:
  +3.0 sigma  0.0625239
  Mean       0.00304444
  -3.0 sigma -0.056435

Observed beyond spec.:
High  0.000 %
Low   0.000 %
Total  0.000 %

Estimated beyond spec.:
High  0.005 %
Low   0.001 %
Total  0.007 %

* estimated parameter

Capability indices:
CP    1.345
CR    0.743493
CPK   1.29382
(upper) 1.29382
(lower) 1.39619
K     0.0380556
CPM   1.32907

PCC  Precision Castparts Corp.
LOTS 17, 20, 21, & 22
AFT FLANGE DIA. 23.890
PROCESS CAPABILITY
CPK 1.08741

MULTIPLE BOX AND WHISKER PLOT
AFT FLANGE DIA. 23.890
LOTS 17, 20, 21, & 22
PROCESS CAPABILITY ANALYSIS
AFT FLANGE DIA. 23.890
LOTS 17, 20, 21, & 22

Specification:
Upper 0.08
Nominal 0
Lower -0.08

Observed beyond spec.:  
High 0.000 %
Low 0.000 %
Total 0.000 %

* estimated parameter

Normal distribution:  
Count 45
* Mean -0.00588889
* Sigma 0.0227179

Estimated beyond spec.:  
High 0.008 %
Low 0.055 %
Total 0.063 %

6.0 sigma limits:  
+3.0 sigma 0.0622647
Mean -0.00588889
-3.0 sigma -0.0740425

Capability indices:
CP 1.17382
CR 0.85192
CPK 1.08741
(upper) 1.26023
(lower) 1.08741
K -0.0736111
CPM 1.13545

PCC  
Precision Castparts Corp.
Lots 17, 20, 21, & 22
AFT Flange Dia. 26.370
Process Capability
LOTS 17, 20, 21, & 22
AFT PLANCE DIA. 26.370
MULTIPLE BOX AND WHISKER PLOT

CPK 1.34468

LOT NUMBER

DEVIATION
## PROCESS CAPABILITY ANALYSIS
### AFT FLANGE DIA. 26.370
**LOTS 17, 20, 21, & 22**

**Specification:**
- Upper: 0.08
- Nominal: 0
- Lower: -0.08

**Normal distribution:**
- Count: 48
- *Mean:* -0.018125
- *Sigma:* 0.0153382

**6.0 sigma limits:**
- +3.0 sigma: 0.0278896
- Mean: -0.018125
- -3.0 sigma: -0.0641396

**Observed beyond spec.:**
- High: 0.000%
- Low: 0.000%
- Total: 0.000%

**Estimated beyond spec.:**
- High: 0.000%
- Low: 0.003%
- Total: 0.003%

* estimated parameter

**Capability indices:**
- CP: 1.73858
- CR: 0.575183
- CPK: 1.34468
- (upper): 2.13247
- (lower): 1.34468
- K: -0.226563
- CPM: 1.11619

---

* Precision Castparts Corp. *NASA*
PRECISION CASHER CORPORATION

Outside Jacket Profile: 160 to 0

LPS 17, 20, 21, 22
OD JACKET PROFILE 0.160
PROCESSES CAPABILITY

CPK 0.749777
LOT 0.749777
LOT NUMBER

LOTS 17, 20, 21, 22
OD JACKET PROBE 0.160
MULTIPLE BOX AND WHISKER PLOT
PROCESS CAPABILITY ANALYSIS
OD JACKET PROFILE 0.160
LOTS 17, 20, 21, & 22

Specification:
Upper 0.08
Nominal 0
Lower -0.08

Observed beyond spec.:  
High 0.240 %
Low 3.125 %
Total 3.365 %

Normal distribution:
Count 832
* Mean -0.0112115
* Sigma 0.0305817

Estimated beyond spec.:  
High 0.143 %
Low 1.225 %
Total 1.368 %

6.0 sigma limits:
+3.0 sigma 0.0805337
Mean -0.0112115
-3.0 sigma -0.102957

Capability indices:
CP 0.87198
CR 1.14682
CPK 0.749777
(upper) 0.994183
(lower) 0.749777
K -0.140144
CPM 0.818638

* estimated parameter
CHALLENGES

- Checking wall thickness after patches are welded in
  - Special designed wall thickness gauges
  - Last Patch

- Measuring Mismatch
  - Developing A CMM Program

- Close control of the thickness of the patches
RECOMMENDATIONS

- One piece tool for jacket would reduce dimensional variability caused by pattern assembly.
INSPECTION IDENTIFICATION & REJECTION CRITERIA

Steve Irvine

- Reliable and accurate inspection techniques have been developed for AMCC casting.
INSPECTION IDENTIFICATION & REJECTION CRITERIA

Steve Irvine

- Process Router
- Technique Cards
20013 Processing

Wax Molding

Wax Cleaning & Assembly
   ♦ Wax Dimensional/CMM

Investing

Casting

Shell Removal

Gate Removal
20013 Processing

Post HIP Processing
♦ 1st. Visual
♦ 1st. FPI

HIP

Post HIP Processing
♦ 2nd. FPI
♦ 2nd. Visual
♦ 100% X-ray

Precision Castparts Corp.
20013 Processing

Patch Welding

- 1st. Dimensional
- 2nd. Dimensional
- Patch FPI
- Patch X-ray

HIP

Heat Treat
20013 Processing

Final Processing

♦ Final FPI
♦ 3rd. Dimensional
♦ 3rd. Visual
♦ CMM / Target

Ship

PCC Precision Castparts Corp.
CUSTOMER: NASA  
CUSTOMER DWG. NO.: 96M66441  
OPERATION NO.: 2.561.0 / 2.562.0 & 2.563.0  
TECH CARD REV. NO. 1: 1  
TECH CARD REV. NO. 2: F.Wright 10/7/93  
TECH CARD REV. NO.: B.Nepp 10/7/93  

FIXED PROCESS REV.: N/A  
PCC PART NO.: 20013  
PART NAME: AMCC

MATERIAL TYPE SPECIFICATION: JBK 75  
HEAT TREATMENT / SPECIFICATION: Homogenize, Anneal, & Age  

WELD REPAIR SPECIFICATION: PCP 59-003  
WELD MAPS: YES  
FREQUENCY OF INSPECTION: 100%  

SPECIFICATIONS APPLICABLE TO THIS OPER.:  
QCM 9.1.5 AND SUBSETS

MRB FORM / PROCEDURE: N/A  
SOURCE INSPECTION: YES

NO CRACKS, LAPS, COLD SHOT/SHUT OR LINEAR (L=3xW) TYPE INDICATIONS ALLOWED, EXCEPT AS NOTED.

SURFACE FINISH: RMS 125  
SCALE ALLOWED: YES  

GATE REF.: + .030" All Surfaces  
PARTING LINES: + .005 - .000

UNINTERPRETABLES: none allowed in Grade A

POSITIVES: Max .020 Grade A & B External (height, depth and diameter)  
None Allowed Grade A Internal

NEGATIVES: .020" Grade B (height, depth and diameter)  
None Allowed Grade A

BLENDING LIMITS:  
Within Blueprint Tolerance

WELD REPAIR LIMITS:  
Unlimited Welding Allowed

H.T. AFTER WELD REPAIR:  
Yes Anneal / Age

COMMENTS:  
Weld Drop thru Grade A Internal. .020" max.
Grade A

Balance of part Grade B
**Material Type Specification**
JBK 75

**Weld Repair Specification**
PCP 59-003

**Specifications Applicable to This Oper.**
QCM 9.1.7 and Subsets

**Heat Treatment / Specification**
Homogenize, Anneal, & Age / Statement of work

**Weld Repair Limits:**
Unlimited Weld

**Heat Treatment After Weld:**
Solution / Age

**Area**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section Thickness</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>Patches</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1/8&quot;</td>
<td>3/8&quot;</td>
<td>3/4&quot;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/8&quot;</td>
<td>3/8&quot;</td>
<td>3/4&quot;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/4&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Gas Holes**
N/A 3 4 N/A 4 5* N/A 2 N/A

**Foreign Mat (L.D.)**
N/A 3 4 N/A 4 5 N/A 2 N/A

**Foreign Mat (M.D.)**
N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A

**Shrinkage, Sponge**
N/A N/A 1 N/A 1 3 N/A N/A N/A

**Shrinkage, Dendritic**
N/A 3 3 N/A 4 5 N/A 2 N/A

**Shrinkage, Cavity**
N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A

**Shrinkage, Filamentary**
N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A

**Weld Repair Limits:**
Unlimited Weld

*Excluding the largest indication ≥ .750

**Applicable Notes:**
- Mark film with grease pencil
- Pentel
- Mark all ind. on film
- Mark ind. per Q.C.M. 9.1.7
- Do not use hooks or chains on this part
Grade A

Balance of part Grade B
**Material Type/Specification**
JBK 75 / State of work Table 1 for casting specifications

**Heat Treatment / Specification**
Homogenize, Anneal, & Age / Statement of work

**Weld Repair Specification**
PCP 59-003

**Weld Repair Maps**
YES □ NO □

**Specifications Applicable to this Oper.**
QCM 9.1.5 AND SUBSETS

**FREQUENCY OF INSPECTION**
100%

**NO CRACKS, LAPS, COLD SHOT/SHUTS OR LINEAR (L=3xW) TYPE INDICATIONS ALLOWED.**

**Penetrant**
Level 3

**Dwell Time**
30 - 90 minutes

**Developer**
Dry Non-Aqueous

**Microshrinkage Limits:**
No Micro Shrinkage Allowed.

**Indications Non-Interpretable:**
< = .032 Including linears

**Indications Allowed:**
Inspect to Q.S. 501 for FPI Black light only

**Critical**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Discreet</th>
<th>Standard</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Single negative discontinuities, four (4) non linear discontinuities to .045&quot; Ø in a 1&quot; sq and separated from each other by twice the size of the larger adjacent indication.</td>
<td>Four (4) non linear discontinuities to .075&quot; Ø in a 1&quot; sq and separated from each other by twice the size of the larger adjacent indication.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cluster</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clustered negative discontinuities, none allowed</td>
<td>1 non linear cluster to .500&quot; in any 2&quot; sq. Each indication to be no greater than .045&quot; Ø and separated from each other by twice the size of the larger adjacent indication.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Blending Limits:**
See Salvage Tech Card

**Weld Repair Limits:**
None Unlimited weld

**H.T. After Weld:**
Yes Anneal / Age

**Comments:**
- Do not use hooks or chains on this part.
- On final Penetrant, Blacklight Borescopic Inspection required.
- Critical applies to the marked areas, see map page 2, and the patches.
- Use the Grinding/Salvage Fixture to process the part down the FPI line (the fixture is located in Team 5's fixture holding area).
Critical

Balance of part Standard
SUMMARY

Steve Irvine

- PCC has a demonstrated ability to produce the
  AMCC casting to NASA specifications.
DR-07 Casting Specification

PCC has determined that the AMCC casting (NASA dwg. #96M66441 / PCC P/N 20013) is fully castable in its current form. PCC strongly recommends that all castings produced from JBK-75 be designed, as this part was, with an FPI non-interpretable level of .032" in diameter. PCC recommends construction of a one piece tool, to form the jacket area of the casting, to enable PCC to meet the jacket profile from datums criteria. The other alternative to this would be relaxation of the criteria on the blueprint. The mis-match requirement for the manifold patches as currently called out on the drawing is not within the process capability of this casting. PCC recommends that NASA & PCC concurrently engineer a resolution to this dimensional non-conformance.
2 September 1994

In reply to CDR-91-NASA-AMCC

NASA/MSFC
Marshall Space Flight Center
Alabama 35812

Contract: NAS8-39027
Advanced Main Combustion Chamber Casting
Development (AMCCCD) Program
Quarterly Status Report

NASA/MSFC:

We are transmitting data required by contract for your information and retention.

Sincerely,

Steve Irvine
Program Manager
Rocket Engineer & Space Component Development Engineering Program
PRECISION CASTPARTS CORP.
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LSBO/6581
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cc: AP25B ............... 1 report & letter
    CN22D ................ 3 reports
    AT01 ................. 1 report
    EM15 .................. 1 report
    COTR (Code EP62) ... 1 report & letter

NASA Scientific and Technical
Information Facility .......... 2 reports
800 Elkridge Landing Road
Linthicum Heights, MD 21090
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INTRODUCTION

The Advanced Main Combustion Chamber Casting Development (AMCCCD) Program (Contract NAS8-39027) has a 43 month period of performance from August 1991 to February 1995.

Objectives of the Program are:

- To demonstrate that a precision investment casting process will produce a structural jacket and cooling manifold design which is more reliable, producible, and cost effective than the existing wrought, machined, and heavily welded design used for the current SSME Main Combustion Chamber (MCC).
- To accomplish this by manufacture of twenty-four (24) developmental castings of which delivery to the government will consist of a minimum of eleven (11) castings.
- NASA will use these eleven (11) castings as engineering and process development units or as development prototype ground-test hardware. If the final castings are acceptable, they may be allocated to flight unit combustion chamber assemblies.

NOTE: The quantities listed above reflect those in contract modification #7.

This report describes the status of the program and the work performed during the thirty forth through thirty sixth months of the contract, for the period May 1994 through July 1994.
WORK PERFORMED

Casting Lots 9, 10, 12, 14
These four parts constituted the Gating DOE. Variations in gating were made on each casting based upon experience gained on the prior ones and also other input. Engineers not assigned to the program were invited to participate and several did. These castings were then examined with both X-ray and fluorescent penetrant, cut up and re-examined. The results indicated that the development process should proceed with the Process DOE. This will occur after the Design Review in October.

Casting Lots 15 & 16
These two parts ran ahead of the process DOE in order to satisfy a need for hot fire testing. They were built up in wax to reflect the additional stock per the 96M66441 Rev. D blueprint. Lot 15 gating is basically the same as Lot 5, which was sound a part. Lot 16 gating benefitted from preliminary results on the Gating DOE castings. Both parts shipped in October 1993.

Casting Lots 5 & 7
These castings were returned to us by Aerojet. Lot #5 required weld build, reheat treat and targeting and will be used to test liner installation. Lot 7 had enough stock, so did not require weld build, but was re-heat-treated and targeted. These parts ran as PCC P/N 93062, Lots 1 and 2 and shipped in August 1993. This work was outside the NASA contract, performed on Aerojet Purchase Orders.
PROJECT STATUS

The process D.O.E. has been progressing smoothly. During the three month period all four lots completed processing. This includes all metallography and post chem mill evaluation. The team has met to discuss P.D.R. format and set milestone for completion of package and presentation to NASA.

PLAN FOR THE NEXT THREE MONTHS

- Complete P.D.R. package.

- Confirm a presentation date with NASA.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>STATUS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5/6/94</td>
<td>Lot 17 - All lots have been sent to chem mill.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lot 20 - All lots have been sent to chem mill.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lot 21 - And select sections sent to the lab.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lot 22 - All lots have been sent to chem mill.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/27/94</td>
<td>Lot 17 - All lots are due back from chem mill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lot 20 - All lots are due back from chem mill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lot 21 - and all lab work complete on 6/10/94.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lot 22 - All lots are due back from chem mill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/9/94</td>
<td>Lot 17 - All lots are back from chem mill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lot 20 - All lots are back from chem mill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lot 21 - and are in NDT for FPI mapping.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lot 22 - All lab work is complete except grain size analysis.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In reply to CDR-91-NASA-AMCC

2 June 1994
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QUARTERLY STATUS REPORT

INTRODUCTION

The Advanced Main Combustion Chamber Casting Development (AMCCCD) Program (Contract NAS8-39027) has a 43 month period of performance from August 1991 to February 1995.

Objectives of the Program are:

- To demonstrate that a precision investment casting process will produce a structural jacket and cooling manifold design which is more reliable, producible, and cost effective than the existing wrought, machined, and heavily welded design used for the current SSME Main combustion Chamber (MCC).
- To accomplish this by manufacture of twenty-four (24) developmental castings of which delivery to the government will consist of a minimum of eleven (11) castings.
- NASA will use these eleven (11) castings as engineering and process development units or as development prototype ground-test hardware. If the final castings are acceptable, they may be allocated to flight unit combustion chamber assemblies.

NOTE: The quantities listed above reflect those in contract modification #7.

This report describes the status of the program and the work performed during the thirty first through thirty third months of the contract, for the period February 1994 through April 1994.
WORK PERFORMED

Casting Lots 9, 10, 12, 14
These four parts constituted the Gating DOE. Variations in gating were made on each casting based upon experience gained on the prior ones and also other input. Engineers not assigned to the program were invited to participate and several did. These castings were then examined with both X-ray and fluorescent penetrant, cut up and re-examined. The results indicated that the development process should proceed with the Process DOE. This will occur after the Design Review in October.

Casting Lots 15 & 16
These two parts ran ahead of the process DOE in order to satisfy a need for hot fire testing. They were built up in wax to reflect the additional stock per the 96M66441 Rev. D blueprint. Lot 15 gating is basically the same as Lot 5, which was sound a part. Lot 16 gating benefitted from preliminary results on the Gating DOE castings. Both parts shipped in October 1993.

Casting Lots 5 & 7
These castings were returned to us by Aerojet. Lot #5 required weld build, reheat treat and targeting and will be used to test liner installation. Lot 7 had enough stock, so did not require weld build, but was re-heat-treated and targeted. These parts ran as PCC P/N 93062, Lots 1 and 2 and shipped in August 1993. This work was outside the NASA contract, performed on Aerojet Purchase Orders.
PROJECT STATUS

The process D.O.E. has been progressing smoothly. During the three month period all four lots completed their standard processing and have been cut-up. At the end of the quarter, the cut-up pieces were in queue be sent out for chem mill.

PLAN FOR THE NEXT THREE MONTHS

- Complete metallography, and evaluation of D.O.E. lots.

- Begin preparing for P.D.R. with NASA.
## PART STATUS - DEVELOPMENT ENGINEERING

**Customer:** NASA  
**Customer P/N:** 96M66441  
**P.O. Nos:**  
**PCC P/N:** 20013  
**Program:** SSME  
**Description:** Main Combustion Chamber

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>STATUS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 2/9/94  | Lot 17 - Gate removal complete - Post HIP NDT complete. Practice welding patches into window openings to complete 2/11/94, then to 2nd HIP.  
          | Lot 20 - Will complete pre-HIP 100% NDT X-ray 2/14/94. Then to 1st HIP.  
          | Lot 21 - Will cast 2/14/94.  
          | Lot 22 - At Investing - will cast 2/28/94.                                                                                                                                                              |
| 2/16/94 | Lot 17 - Window patching practice complete. 2nd HIP complete. Post-HIP rework completing.  
          | Lot 20 - Will complete pre-HIP NDT 2/18/94.  
          | Lot 21 - Cast 2/16/94. Shell removal in-process.  
          | Lot 22 - Will cast 2/28/94.                                                                                                                                                                          |
| 2/23/94 | Lot 17 - Post-NDT mapping complete. Part marked for cut-up.  
          | Lot 20 - Post-HIP NDT mapping complete. Completing post-HIP FPI rework, then to 100% X-ray.  
          | Lot 21 - Shell removed. Gate removal completing. Going to NDT.  
          | Lot 22 - Will cast 3/1/94.                                                                                                                                                                          |
| 3/25/94 | Lot 17 - Part has been cut-up and has received post cut-up FPI.  
          | Lot 20 - Part has been cut-up and is ready for post cut-up FPI.  
          | Lot 21 - Part has been cut-up and is being de-burred.  
          | Lot 22 - Homogenize is complete. Part is currently going through the solution heat treat prior to age.                                                                                                 |
| 4/1/94  | Lot 17 - Part is in NDT for FPI mapping of the cut-up sections and should go to chem mill the week of 4/4.  
          | Lot 20 - Part is in NDT for FPI mapping of the cut-up sections and should go to chem mill the week of 4/11.                                                                                           |
          | Lot 21 - Part has been cut-up and de-burred and is in NDT queue.  
          | Lot 22 - Part has been cut-up and de-burred and is in NDT queue.                                                                                                                                     |
| 4/8/94  | Lot 17 - Part is out of NDT and is in queue to go to chem mill.  
          | Lot 20 - Part is out of NDT and is in queue to go to chem mill.  
          | Lot 21 - Part is in NDT and is almost finished being mapped.  
<pre><code>      | Lot 22 - Part is in NDT on the FPI line.                                                                                                                                                              |
</code></pre>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>STATUS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4/29/94</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lot 17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lot 20</td>
<td>All lots are being sorted to select pieces to go to the lab prior to chem mill. All lots will be sent to chem mill early next week.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lot 21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lot 22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2 March 1994

In reply to CDR-91-NASA-AMCC

NASA/MSFC
Marshall Space Flight Center
Alabama 35812

Contract: NAS8-39027
Advanced Main Combustion Chamber Casting
Development (AMCCCD) Program
Quarterly Status Report

NASA/MSFC:

We are transmitting data required by contract for your information and retention.

Sincerely,

Steve Irvine
Program Manager
Rocket Engineer & Space Component Development Engineering Program
PRECISION CAST PARTS CORP.

SW/jld
LSBO/6581
NASA\quar.dec

cc:
AP25B ................. 1 report & letter
CN22D ................. 3 reports
AT01 ................. 1 report
EM15 ................. 1 report
COTR (Code EP62) ... 1 report & letter

NASA Scientific and Technical
Information Facility ........ 2 reports
800 Elkridge Landing Road
Linthicum Heights, MD 21090
QUARTERLY STATUS REPORT
NUMBER 10

ADVANCED MAIN COMBUSTION CHAMBER CASTING DEVELOPMENT (AMCCCD) PROGRAM

CONTRACT NAS8-39027

CDRL NO. 8

PREPARED BY

RICK SOLL
Project Engineer

APPROVED BY

STEVE IRVINE
Program Manager
Rocket Engine & Space Component Development Engineering Team

PRECISION CASTPARTS CORP.
Large Structural Business Operation
4600 S.E. Harney Drive
Portland, Oregon 97206
QUARTERLY STATUS REPORT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECTION........................................ PAGE NUMBER

INTRODUCTION ......................................... 3

WORK PERFORMED ...................................... 4

PROJECT STATUS ...................................... 5

PLAN FOR THE NEXT THREE MONTHS ....................... 5

WEEKLY STATUS REPORTS ............................... 6
INTRODUCTION

The Advanced Main Combustion Chamber Casting Development (AMCCCD) Program (Contract NAS8-39027) has a 43 month period of performance from August 1991 to February 1995.

Objectives of the Program are:

• To demonstrate that a precision investment casting process will produce a structural jacket and cooling manifold design which is more reliable, producible, and cost effective than the existing wrought, machined, and heavily welded design used for the current SSME Main combustion Chamber (MCC).
• To accomplish this by manufacture of twenty-four (24) developmental castings of which delivery to the government will consist of a minimum of eleven (11) castings.
• NASA will use these eleven (11) castings as engineering and process development units or as development prototype ground-test hardware. If the final castings are acceptable, they may be allocated to flight unit combustion chamber assemblies.

NOTE: The quantities listed above reflect those in contract modification #7.

This report describes the status of the program and the work performed during the twenty-eighth through thirtieth months of the contract, for the period November 1993 through January 1994.
WORK PERFORMED

Casting Lots 9, 10, 12, 14
These four parts constituted the Gating DOE. Variations in gating were made on each casting based upon experience gained on the prior ones and also other input. Engineers not assigned to the program were invited to participate and several did. These castings were then examined with both X-ray and fluorescent penetrant, cut up and re-examined. The results indicated that the development process should proceed with the Process DOE. This will occur after the Design Review in October.

Casting Lots 15 & 16
These two parts ran ahead of the process DOE in order to satisfy a need for hot fire testing. They were built up in wax to reflect the additional stock per the 96M66441 Rev. D blueprint. Lot 15 gating is basically the same as Lot 5, which was sound a part. Lot 16 gating benefitted from preliminary results on the Gating DOE castings. Both parts shipped in October 1993.

Casting Lots 5 & 7
These castings were returned to us by Aerojet. Lot #5 required weld build, reheat treat and targeting and will be used to test liner installation. Lot 7 had enough stock, so did not require weld build, but was re-heat-treated and targeted. These parts ran as PCC P/N 93062, Lots 1 and 2 and shipped in August 1993. This work was outside the NASA contract, performed on Aerojet Purchase Orders.
PROJECT STATUS

We input lots 17, 18, 19, and 20 as process D.O.E. lots. Lot 19 was scrapped after dewax the week of 1/5/94 for dewax cracks in the manifold. Lot 21 was input to replace lot 19. Lot 18 was scrapped in the foundry due to a foundry practice error the week of 1/25/94. Lot 22 was input to replace lot 18. Gate tool design has been delayed until the completion of the process D.O.E.

PLAN FOR THE NEXT THREE MONTHS

- Complete processing of the D.O.E. lots.

- Begin cut-up, metallography, and evaluation of D.O.E. lots.
**PART STATUS - DEVELOPMENT ENGINEERING**

**Customer:** NASA  
**Customer P/N:** 96M66441  
**P.O. Nos:**  
**PCC P/N:** 20013  
**Program:** SSME  
**Description:** Main Combustion Chamber

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>STATUS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12/15/93</td>
<td>Lot 17 - At Casting in Burn-out Furnace.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lot 18 - Investing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lot 19 - Starting Investing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lot 20 - Starting Gate Assembly.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/22/93</td>
<td>Lot 17 - Cast 12/19/93, currently at Burn-off.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lot 18 - Still Investing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lot 19 - Had some repair, starting Investing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lot 20 - Gating Assembly.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/5/94</td>
<td>Lot 17 - Completed thermal etch, then to FPI and NDT.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lot 18 - Investing complete. Will cast approximately 1/15/94.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lot 19 - Shell scrapped at Dewax. Non-repairable crack in manifold.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lot 20 - Completed Investing. Will cast approximately 1/22/94.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lot 21 - Will mold scrap replacement 1/15/94.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/11/94</td>
<td>Lot 17 - Have completed FPI and mapping. Currently undergoing NDT X-ray.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lot 18 - Will cast 1/17/94.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lot 20 - Will cast 1/17/94.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/18/94</td>
<td>Lot 17 - NDTE X-ray complete. Will go to 1st HIP.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lot 18 - Will cast 1/22/94.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lot 20 - Will cast 1/22/94.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lot 21 - Pattern assembly. Investing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/25/94</td>
<td>Lot 17 - Part HIPped. Gate removal started.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lot 18 - Scrapped at casting. Foundry practice error.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lot 21 - Started Investing. To cast 2/8/94.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3 December 1993

In reply to CDR-91-NASA-AMCC

NASA/MSFC
Marshall Space Flight Center
Alabama 35812

Contract: NAS8-39027
Advanced Main Combustion Chamber Casting
Development (AMCCCD) Program
Quarterly Status Report

NASA/MSFC:

We are transmitting data required by contract for your information and retention.

Sincerely,

John Bannister
Program Manager
Rocket Engineer & Space Component Development Engineering Program
PRECISION CASTPARTS CORP.

SW/jld
LSBO/6581
NASA\quar.dec

cc: AP25B .................. 1 report & letter
    CN22D .................. 3 reports
    AT01  .................. 1 report
    EM15  .................. 1 report
    COTR (Code EP62)  .. 1 report & letter

NASA Scientific and Technical
Information Facility .......... 2 reports
800 Elkridge Landing Road
Linthicum Heights, MD 21090
QUARTERLY STATUS REPORT
NUMBER 9

ADVANCED MAIN COMBUSTION CHAMBER
CASTING DEVELOPMENT (AMCCCD) PROGRAM

CONTRACT NAS8-39027
CDRL NO. 8

PREPARED BY
MATHEW PARDES
Project Engineer

APPROVED BY
JOHN BANNISTER
Program Manager
Rocket Engine & Space Component Development Engineering Team

PRECISION CASTPARTS CORP.
Large Structural Business Operation
4600 S.E. Harney Drive
Portland, Oregon 97206
QUARTERLY STATUS REPORT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECTION ........................................ PAGE NUMBER

INTRODUCTION ........................................ 3

WORK PERFORMED ...................................... 4

PROJECT STATUS ....................................... 5

PLAN FOR THE NEXT THREE MONTHS ...................... 5

WEEKLY STATUS REPORTS .............................. 6-7
INTRODUCTION

The Advanced Main Combustion Chamber Casting Development (AMCCCD) Program (Contract NAS8-39027) has a 43 month period of performance from August 1991 to February 1995.

Objectives of the Program are:

To demonstrate that a precision investment casting process will produce a structural jacket and cooling manifold design which is more reliable, producible, and cost effective than the existing wrought, machined, and heavily welded design used for the current SSME Main combustion Chamber (MCC).

To accomplish this by manufacture of twenty-four (24) developmental castings of which delivery to the government will consist of a minimum of eleven (11) castings. NASA will use these eleven (11) castings as engineering and process development units or as development prototype ground-test hardware. If the final castings are acceptable, they may be allocated to flight unit combustion chamber assemblies.

NOTE: The quantities listed above reflect those in contract modification #7.

This report describes the status of the program and the work performed during the twenty-fifth through twenty-seventh months of the contract, for the period August 1993 through October 1993.
WORK PERFORMED

Casting Lots 9, 10, 12, 14
These four parts constituted the Gating DOE. Variations in gating were made on each casting based upon experience gained on the prior ones and also other input. Engineers not assigned to the program were invited to participate and several did. These castings were then examined with both X-ray and fluorescent penetrant, cut up and re-examined. The results indicated that the development process should proceed with the Process DOE. This will occur after the Design Review in October.

Casting Lots 15 & 16
These two parts ran ahead of the process DOE in order to satisfy a need for hot fire testing. They were built up in wax to reflect the additional stock per the 96M66441 Rev. D blueprint. Lot 15 gating is basically the same as Lot 5, which was sound a part. Lot 16 gating benefitted from preliminary results on the Gating DOE castings. Both parts shipped in October 1993.

Casting Lots 5 & 7
These castings were returned to us by Aerojet. Lot #5 required weld build, reheat treat and targeting and will be used to test liner installation. Lot 7 had enough stock, so did not require weld build, but was re-heat-treated and targeted. These parts ran as PCC P/N 93062, Lots 1 and 2 and shipped in August 1993. This work was outside the NASA contract, performed on Aerojet Purchase Orders.
PROJECT STATUS

We have completed and evaluated the Gating D.O.E. and presented our findings at Design Review #2 on October 12. The project is progressing smoothly.

The tool rework and tool proving for Rev. D is complete. Gate tool design has not yet started due to the delay in completing the Gating DOE.

PLAN FOR THE NEXT THREE MONTHS

• Start processing lots 17, 18, 19 and 20 as Process DOE.

• Design gate tooling.
Date: 7/28/93  
Status:  
- Lot 14 has completed Zyglo. The Lab will be next.  
- Lots 15 & 16 still in Investing.  
- Lot 5 has been welded. It will run FPI next and then be Hipped.  
- Lot 7 requirements are still not set. We are now looking at additional X-Ray and Zyglo since this part needs upgrading to Hot Fire status. We will quote it when the requirements are agreed upon.

Date: 8/4/93  
Status:  
- Lot 14 is ready to cut up for lab samples.  
- Lots 15 & 16 are scheduled to cast on 8/10.  
- Lots 5 & 7 should complete processing and ship by Friday.  
- The PDR has been postponed from 8/17. The date is to be determined based on the availability of the lab work on the Gating DOE Castings.

Date: 8/11/93  
Status:  
- Lots 15 & 16 successfully cast on 8/11 and are in shell removal. Planned ship date is 9/24.  
- Lot 7 completed processing and shipped to Aerojet on 8/9 under P/N 93062, Lot #2.  
- Lot 5 (weld build-up) will ship Friday, 8/13 to Aerojet. This is P/N 93062, Lot #1.  
- Mod 10 to the contract has been received. It releases us to convert to Rev. D of the drawing and provides $75,000 for tool rework.

Date: 8/18/93  
Status:  
- Lot 15 & 16 through cleaning, thermal etch and cap weld.

Date: 8/25/93  
Status:  
- Lot 15 & 16 through H.P. and gate removal, dim. inspection and starting FPI rework.

Date: 9/1/93  
Status:  
- Lot 15 & 16 finished 1st FPI and started 100% X-ray.

Date: 9/8/93  
Status:  
- Lot 15 & 16 completed 100% x-shoot and read and started X-ray rework.

Date: 9/15/93  
Status:  
- Lot 15 & 16 completed X-ray rework and started patching manifold.  
- Tool rework Rev. D completed. Tool proving starts.
### PART STATUS - R.E. & S.C. DEV. ENGINEERING

Customer: NASA  
Customer P/N: 96M66441  
P.O. Nos:  

PCC P/N: 20013  
Program: SSME  

Description: Main Combustion Chamber

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>STATUS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9/22/93</td>
<td>Lot 15 &amp; 16 continue patch welding.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/29/93</td>
<td>Lot 15 &amp; 16 continue patch welding.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 10/6/93  | Lot 15 completed patch welding and had a second HIP and Post HIP homo and anneal.  
|          | Lot 16 continues patch welding. |
| 10/13/93 | Lot 15 passed final FPI, CMM and target.  
|          | Lot 16 continues patch welding. |
| 10/20/93 | Lot 15 shipped 10/15/93.  
|          | Lot 16 completes patch welding. |
| 10/30/93 | Lot 16 passed final FPI, CMM and target and shipped 10/30/93.  
|          | Tool proving Rev. D complete. |
17 August 1993
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INTRODUCTION

The Advanced Main Combustion Chamber Casting Development (AMCCCD) Program (Contract NAS8-39027) has a 43 month period of performance from August 1991 to February 1995.

Objectives of the Program are:

• To demonstrate that a precision investment casting process will produce a structural jacket and cooling manifold design which is more reliable, producible, and cost effective than the existing wrought, machined, and heavily welded design used for the current SSME Main combustion Chamber (MCC).

• To accomplish this by manufacture of twenty-four (24) developmental castings of which delivery to the government will consist of a minimum of eleven (11) castings.

• NASA will use these eleven (11) castings as engineering and process development units or as development prototype ground-test hardware. If the final castings are acceptable, they may be allocated to flight unit combustion chamber assemblies.

NOTE: The quantities listed above reflect those in contract modification #7.

This report describes the status of the program and the work performed during the twenty-second through twenty-fourth months of the contract, for the period May 1993 through July 1993.
WORK PERFORMED

Casting Lots 9, 10, 12, 14
These four parts constituted the Gating DOE. Variations in gating were made on each casting based upon experience gained on the prior ones and also other input. Engineers not assigned to the program were invited to participate and several did. These castings were then examined with both X-ray and fluorescent penetrant, cut up and re-examined. The results indicated that the development process should proceed with the Process DOE. This will occur after the Design Review in September.

Casting Lots 15 & 16
These two parts are being run ahead of the process DOE in order to satisfy a need for hot fire testing. They were built up in wax to reflect the additional stock per the 96M66441 Rev. D blueprint. Lot 15 gating is basically the same as Lot 5, which was sound a part. Lot 16 gating benefitted from preliminary results on the Gating DOE castings. Both are expected to be good parts.

Casting Lots 5 & 7
These castings have been returned to us by Aerojet. Lot #5 requires weld build, reheat treat and targeting and will be used to test liner installation. Lot 7 has enough stock, so does not require weld build, but will be reheat treated and targeted. These parts are running as PCC P/N 93062, Lots 1 and 2. Lot 1 has completed the weld build and is ready for HIP. This work is outside the NASA contract, being performed on Aerojet Purchase Orders.
PROJECT STATUS

The loss of Lot 13 and the large amount of lab work required on the Gating DOE castings has set Design Review #2 back into September. However, the need to do tool rework prior to running the Process DOE would have extended the schedule anyway. This rework is not yet scheduled.

Gate Tool Design has not yet begun because of the delay in completing the Gating DOE.

The Contract Modification that is required in order to do the tool rework to Rev. D has not yet been received.

PLAN FOR THE NEXT THREE MONTHS

• Complete evaluation on the Gating DOE.
• Schedule and complete Design Review #2.
• Process and complete Casting Lots 15 & 16 (Milestones 17 & 18).
• Do the tool rework to the Rev. D blueprint.
• Design gate tooling.
Lot #14 will cast Monday 5/10.
- Lots 9 & 10 are ready for final Zyglo and lab work.
- Lot 12 is at Chem Mill.

Lot #14 cast on Tuesday 5/11 and is in Shell Removal.
- Lots 9 & 10 still require cut up.
- Lot 12 is still at Chem Mill.
- The Quarterly Report is in typing.

Lot #14 is at x-ray - almost complete.
- Lots 9 & 10 are at NDT for final review.
- Lot 12 is back from chem mill.
- The Quarterly Report was sent out on Monday.

Lot 14 is complete with the X-ray Technique done.
- Lots 9 & 10 are at Thermal Etch.
- Lot 12 has completed NDT and may catch up to 9 & 10.
- All of these parts (Lots 9, 10, 12 & 14) must complete lab work prior to the PDR.

Lots 9, 10, 12 are at NDT after thermal etch.
- NASA has requested span times for the next 2 Hot Fire parts to be delivered to Aerojet. We have quoted 16 weeks without tool rework and 19 weeks with tool rework. The waxes would be hand modified to meet the 16 weeks. Jay Dennis will get back to us this week on how they want to go.

Lots 9, 10, & 12 are almost complete at NDT and will be cut for the lab work.
- Lot #14 is being deburred and will HIP next.
- We are inputting the next 2 Hot Fire parts. We will have waxes on Monday, 6/14. The configuration will be modified in wax. Tool rework will then be done after the contract mod is received.

Lot 14 is being deburred and will HIP next. Final FPI before Chem Mill.
- Lot 14 will be completed by July 31.
- Lots 15 & 16 due out of assembly - Lot 15, 7-2-93; Lot 16, 7-8-93.
Customer: NASA  
PCC P/N: 20013  
Customer P/N: 96M66441  
Program: SSME  
P.O. Nos:  
Description: Main Combustion Chamber

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>STATUS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 7/7/93 | • Lot 14 has been used for technique studies in X-Ray. It is ready for Chem Mill.  
• Lot 15 is in gate assembly and will be gated the same as No. 5, which is good. The wax build up will be completed after the gating is done.  
• Lot 16 is in pattern assembly.  
• PCC is awaiting a Purchase Order or Contract Mod for tool rework. |
| 7/14/93| • Lot 14 is on its way to Chem Mill.  
• Lot 15 is ready for Investing.  
• Lot 16 is in Gating Assembly.  
• Lot 5 is back from Aerojet for weld build-up. Our goal is to complete it in 4 weeks. It is running as P/N 93062. |
| 7/21/93| • Lot 14 is at Chem Mill. It will be back on Monday.  
• Lots 15 & 16 are in Investing. Tentative cast date is 8/2.  
• Lot 5 will start welding today. |
| 7/28/93| • Lot 14 has completed Zyglo. The Lab will be next.  
• Lots 15 & 16 still in Investing.  
• Lot 5 has been welded. It will run FPI next and then be Hipped.  
• Lot 7 requirements are still not set. We are now looking at additional X-Ray and Zyglo since this part needs upgrading to Hot Fire status. We will quote it when the requirements are agreed upon. |
12 May 1993

In reply refer to CDR-91-NASA-AMCC

NASA/MSFC
Marshall Space Flight Center
Alabama 35812

Contract: NAS8-39027
Advanced Main Combustion Chamber Casting
Development (AMCCCD) Program
Quarterly Status Report

NASA/MSFC:

We are transmitting data required by contract for your information and retention.

Sincerely,

Steve Weber
Program Manager
Rocket Engine & Space Component Development Engineering Program
Precision Castparts Corp.

SW/jld
LSBO/7354

cc:        AP25-B .................................. 1 report & letter
           CN22D .................................. 3 reports
           AT01 .................................. 1 report
           EM15 .................................. 1 report
           COTR (Code EP62) ..................... 1 report & letter

NASA Scientific and Technical
Information Facility ....................... 2 reports
800 Elkridge Landing Road
Linthicum Heights, MD 21090
QUARTERLY STATUS REPORT
NUMBER 7

ADVANCED MAIN COMBUSTION CHAMBER
CASTING DEVELOPMENT (AMCCCD) PROGRAM

CONTRACT NAS8-39027
CDRL NO. 8

PREPARED BY
G. L. HEMAN
Project Engineer

APPROVED BY
S. L. WEBER
Program Manager
Rocket Engine & Space Component Development Engineering Team

PRECISION CASTPARTS CORP.
Large Structural Business Operation
4600 S.E. Harney Drive
Portland, Oregon 97206
QUARTERLY STATUS REPORT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECTION ............................................. 3
INTRODUCTION ............................................. 3
WORK PERFORMED ............................................. 4
PROJECT STATUS ............................................. 5
PLAN FOR THE NEXT THREE MONTHS .................. 5
WEEKLY STATUS REPORTS .............................. 6-8
INTRODUCTION

The Advanced Main Combustion Chamber Casting Development (AMCCCD) Program (Contract NAS8-39027) has a 43 month period of performance from August 1991 to February 1995.

Objectives of the Program are:

• To demonstrate that a precision investment casting process will produce a structural jacket and cooling manifold design which is more reliable, producible, and cost effective than the existing wrought, machined, and heavily welded design used for the current SSME Main combustion Chamber (MCC).

• To accomplish this by manufacture of twenty-four (24) developmental castings of which delivery to the government will consist of a minimum of eleven (11) castings.

• NASA will use these eleven (11) castings as engineering and process development units or as development prototype ground-test hardware.

NOTE: The quantities listed above reflect those in contract modification #7.

This report describes the status of the program and the work performed during the nineteenth through twenty-first months of the contract, for the period February 1993 through April 1993.
WORK PERFORMED

Casting Lot #6
This casting was cut up and examined as an Engineering Mockup after it was determined to not be suitable as a shippable casting. The information was used as part of the Gating DOE.

Casting Lot #9
This is the first Gating DOE part. It processed through Non-Destructive Testing, was cut-up and chem-milled. It was invoiced as Contract Milestone #13.

Casting Lot #10
This is the second Gating DOE casting. It processed the same as Lot #9 and was invoiced as Milestone #14.

Casting Lot #12
This is the fourth Gating DOE part. It has processed through Non-Destructive Testing, been cut-up and chem-milled. Final lab work will follow. It was invoiced as Milestone #16.

Casting Lot #13
This lot replaced Lot #11 as the third Gating DOE part. It too separated during the Investing Cycle and was scrapped. The problem was analyzed to be because of a large ring gate on the bottom of the mold that was overstressing the assembly during dipping.

Casting Lot #14
This lot replaced Lot #13 as the third Gating DOE part. The overstressing problem was solved by fabricating the large bottom ring gate hollow instead of solid as is usually done. Special venting was required during the dewax operation. This approach was successful.

However, a dewax crack did appear in the forward manifold portion of the mold. This was patch dipped successfully. The cooling air that was to be passed through the manifold after casting will only be effective for 1/2 of the circumference. This will be taken into account during the Engineering Evaluation.
PROJECT STATUS

The loss of Lots 11 and 13 have slowed the progress of the program. Lot #14 will process in May and complete lab evaluation in June. Evaluation of the Gating DOE will follow. This will put the second PDR into late June or early July.

PCC has received a copy of Drawing #96M66441 Rev. D. This drawing reflects changes in part configuration that will be required by NASA prior to manufacture of the next two castings in the program. Tool rework will be required. This is currently being evaluated for its effect on the schedule.

PLAN FOR THE NEXT THREE MONTHS

• Complete and invoice casting Lot #14 (Milestone #15).

• Evaluate the Gating DOE and determine the gating for the next two deliverable castings. Design gate tooling.

• Quote the required rework to incorporate the Rev. D blueprint.

• Do the rework after release by NASA.

• Start to process castings for Milestones 17 & 18.

• Schedule and complete PDR #2.
2/4/93  
- Lot 6 is at NDTE.  
- Lot 9 had NDTE FPI yesterday. It is now at CMM prior to X-ray.  
- Lot 10 is at NDTE FPI. CMM is done. X-ray is next.  
- Lot 12 will CMM with lot 9 and then go to FPI.  
- Lot 13 is in gate assembly.

2/20/93  
- Lot 6 NDT complete after cut up. Chem Mill, Thermal Etch, FPI and lab work to follow.  
- Lot 9, 10, and 12 are ion x-ray after FPI. Cut up will follow.  
- Lot 13 broke at a riser at 4th dip in Investing. Howcroft is evaluating.

2/17/93  
- Lot 6 at Sandblast and will then go to Chem Mill.  
- Lot 9 completed X-ray. Being evaluated.  
- Lot 10 completed X-ray. Showed one place of shrink in the jacket. The leakage in the Aft Manifold caused no problem with the DOE.  
- Lot 12 in X-ray.  
- Lot 13 is being repaired in Assembly and will then continue dipping.  
- Frank Sciorelli of Aerojet called for dimensional information. John Davis will follow up.

2/24/93  
- Lot 6 will go to Chem Mill today.  
- Lots 9 & 10 are cut and will be invoiced this month.  
- Lot 12 has completed NDT. It will be cut after Jim's review.  
- Lot 13 is repaired and ready to continue dipping. It will be evaluated at dewax.

3/3/93  
- Lot 6 is at Chem Mill  
- Lots 9 & 10 are cut and are deburring.  
- Lot 12 is ready for cutting but may be used first to complete the x-ray technique.  
- Lot 13 separated again in dipping and is scrap.  
- Lot 14 is ordered to replace lot 13.  
- Jim will evaluate the loss of #13's impact on the Gating DOE. This will affect the timing of the next PDR tentatively set for April or May.
### DATE

3/10/93
- Lot 6 still at Chem Mill.
- Lots 9 & 10 at NDT, ready for HIP.
- Lot 12 will be used to complete x-ray tech next week and then will be cut.
- Lot 14 molding complete and components are in Wax Inspection.
- Lot 14 will be run with the same gating as lot 13 with some things to lighten the load in Investing.
- We are expecting dimensional changes to accommodate the platelet liner versus the original VPS liner. These will be evaluated when received.

3/17/93
- Lot 6 is at NDT for final FPI after Chem Mill.
- Lots 9 & 10 are ready for HIP.
- Lot 12 - X-ray tech will be completed Thursday and then will be cut. We will invoice it this month.
- Lot 14 Pattern is almost complete and will go to CMM.
- A Rev "D" blueprint will come which will describe the changes required by Aerojet. NASA wants these incorporated for the next two castings.
- Davis and Howcroft will come up with a rework plan for the windows and patches.

3/24/93
- Lot 6 ready for the lab.
- Lots 9 & 10 will HIP today.
- Lot 12 x-ray tech is not quite complete.
- Lot 14 is in Gate Assembly.
- The window and patch rework plan will be complete tomorrow.
- Jim working with SSBO to get them to run the patches.

3/31/93
- The lab work is progressing.
- Lots 9 & 10 are ready for Chem Mill.
- A problem exists with a portion of the x-ray technique. Jim and Bruce to work with the x-ray department to resolve it.
- Lot 14 is ready for Framing.
- The window and patch rework plan will be ready for quoting on 4/5.
- Terry Cuddeford at SSBO has agreed to run the patch tool. Bruce will work out the quality plan details.
- The Rev. D blueprint still has not been received. No problems are anticipated. Tool rework will be required.
PART STATUS - R.E. & S.C. DEV. ENGINEERING

Customer: NASA

Customer P/N: 96M66441

Program: SSME

P.O. Nos: ___________________________ Description: Main Combustion Chamber

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>STATUS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4/07/93</td>
<td>• Lot #14 is in Investing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Lots 9 &amp; 10 are cut up and at outside Chem Mill.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• The new Rev. D blueprint is expected today.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/14/93</td>
<td>• Lab work on #6 is progressing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Lot #14 is having the manifold installed after 11th dip.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Lots 9 and 10 are still at Chem Mill.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• The Rev. D blueprint is being marked up by John Davis to determine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>the changes. There is apparently more involved than a simple wall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>thickness change. All three tools will require rework. All of our</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>fixtures will probably also require rework. This could affect the</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>delivery of the next two hot fire castings.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/21/93</td>
<td>• Lot #6 lab work is complete.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Lot #14 finished Investing and will dewax tonight. It should cast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>next week.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Lots 9 &amp; 10 are at Chem Mill.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Lot 12 is ready for Chem Mill.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• The Rev D blueprint is being routed on a report of change for</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>evaluation. Tooling quotes are in-process. The part weight increase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>is 34 pounds.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/28/93</td>
<td>• Lot #6 is complete.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Lot #14-The forward manifold cracked at dewax. It will be patched</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>and poured, but 1/2 of the manifold will be plugged. This will be</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>taken into account during the DOE evaluation. The repair and casting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>will require two weeks.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Lots 9 and 10 are back from Chem Mill and are being evaluated for</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>grain size.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Lot 12 is going to Chem Mill.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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INTRODUCTION
The Advanced Main Combustion Chamber Casting Development (AMCCCD) Program (Contract NAS8-39027) has a 43 month period of performance from August 1991 to February 1995.

Objectives of the Program are:

- To demonstrate that a precision investment casting process will produce a structural jacket and cooling manifold design which is more reliable, producible, and cost effective than the existing wrought, machined, and heavily welded design used for the current SSME Main Combustion Chamber (MCC).

- To accomplish this by manufacture of twenty-four (24) developmental castings of which delivery to the government will consist of a minimum of eleven (11) castings.

- NASA will use these eleven (11) castings as engineering and process development units or as development prototype ground-test hardware.

NOTE: The quantities listed above reflect those in contract modification #7.

This report describes the status of the program and the work performed during the sixteenth through eighteenth months of the contract, for the period of November 1992 through January 1993.
WORK PERFORMED

Casting Lot #3
The cut up pieces were shipped to NASA on 11/19/93.

Casting Lot #5
This casting completed processing and shipped to Aerojet on 11/19/92. It showed some areas of shrink in the Aft Manifold inner wall but was successfully repaired.

Casting Lot #6
This casting had shrink which did not HIP out. Attempts to repair it after HIP produced outgassing. It was eventually replaced by Lot #7 for shipping to Aerojet. Lot #6 has been cut up as an Engineering Sample. The knowledge gained will be used in the Gating DOE. This part was invoiced in November of 1992.

Casting Lot #7
This casting showed a much higher quality level at NDTE than did Lot #6. So, even though it was behind lot #6 in processing, the decision was made for it to become the shippable casting. It completed processing and shipped on 12/19/93.

Casting Lot #8
This lot was scrapped after Assembly as it was no longer needed in the program.

Casting Lot #9
This is the first Gating DOE part. It cast on 1/21/93 with lots 10 & 12. It has completed shell removal and looks good visually.
Casting Lot #10
This is the second Gating DOE part. It shows core failure in the Aft Manifold. A full evaluation will take place at NDTE. It is not yet known if this will affect the Gating DOE.

Casting Lot #11
This assembly cracked in a pattern seam during dipping and was scrapped. It was replaced with Lot #13.

Casting Lot #12
This is the third Gating DOE part. It is in gate removal and looks good visually.

Casting Lot #13
This is the fourth (and last) Gating DOE part. Because it replaced Lot #11, it is behind the other three. It is, however, expected that the Engineering Evaluation will be completed at PCC by March 31. The pattern is assembled and being checked at CMM.

Production X-Ray Techniques
A technique acceptable to PCC is being used on current castings.

Window Welding
Welding techniques that give acceptable results have been developed. One technician and one production welder are currently qualified to them.
PROJECT STATUS

The program is going well even with the two week plant shutdown in December and losing Lot #11 in Investing. We still plan to complete the Gating DOE by the end of March.

It appears that more money needs to be allocated by NASA in order to pay for the next four castings. The current amount allotted is $1,351,000 and $2,268,341 will be needed by 3/31/93.

PLAN FOR THE NEXT THREE MONTHS

• Complete and invoice castings-Lots #9, #10, #12, and #13. (Milestones 13 through 16)

• Prepare for PDR #2 in late April or May.

• Start designing gate tooling (to be invoiced after 10/1/93).

• Complete the window welding technique and train production welders.
PART STATUS--R.E. & S.C.D. ENGINEERING

Customer:  _NASA_  
PCC P/N:  _20013_  
Customer P/N:  _96M66441_  
Program:  _SSME_  
P.O. Nos:  _  
Description:  _Main Combustion Chamber_  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>STATUS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11/4/92</td>
<td>Lot 5--window welding is complete and the casting is at HIP.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lot 6--Some areas needed repair after HIP. Some outgassing has resulted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lot 7--Cast on 10/28. Preliminary X-ray shows two small areas of shrink in the jacket. The manifolds will be X-rayed next. This part may become the shipper if its quality is better than Lot 6.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lot 8--still on hold.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lot 9--will frame today and start investing tomorrow.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lot 10--pattern is complete and going to CMM.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lot 11--in wax cleaning.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lot 12--in molding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The Quarterly Report has been sent.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PART STATUS--R.E. & S.C.D. ENGINEERING

Customer: NASA
PCC P/N: 20013

Customer P/N: 96M66441 Program: SSME

P.O.Nos: Description: Main Combustion Chamber

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>STATUS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11/11/92</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Lot #5 is at NDT. X-ray film on two welds will be examined to determine if another HIP is required.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Lot #6 is being x-rayed for three ground areas in the jacket. Manifolds are ok.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Lot #7 manifolds are being x-rayed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Lot #9 is in Investing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Lot #10 is ready for framing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Lot #11 pattern assembly is complete.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Lot #12 is in Wax Cleaning.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

11/18/92

• Lot #5- Processing is competed. It will ship this week to Aerojet. Davis will check with Wendell Burkhardt at Aerojet to see what dimensional information is required.
• Lots #6 & #7- Evaluation of lot #7 at NDTE shows a better quality part than lot #6. Lot #7 will be processed to ship. Lot #6 will be cut up for engineering evaluation. Lot #7 is in the Zyglo salvage cycle. Patch welding should start this week. Lot #6 will be invoiced this month.
• Lot #9-In Investing. The air manifolds are installed.
• Lot #10-In Investing. First-dipped yesterday.
• Lot #11-The pattern was damaged but has been repaired to engineering's satisfaction. Gate assembly is started.
• Lot #12 is ready for Pattern Assembly.

11/25/92

• Lot #3-Pieces shipped to MSFC 11/19/92.
• Lot #5- Shipped to Aerojet 11/19/92.
• Lot #6-Cut up at NDTE.
• Lot #7-In Welding
• Lot #9-has been dewaxed.
• Lot #10-In dipping. The air manifold will be installed today.
• Lot #11-In Framing today.
• Lot #12-In Gating Assembly.

12/2/92

• Lot 6-At NDTE
• Lot 7-At NDTE prior to patch weld.
• Lot 9-Cast next week
• Lot 10-Close to dewax, may cast next week.
• Lot 11-Still in Assembly because it required rework on the gating.
• Lot 12-Will 1st dip today.
**PART STATUS—R.E. & S.C.D. ENGINEERING**

Customer:     NASA

Customer P/N: 96M66441

Program:   SSME

P.O. Nos:   

Description:  Main Combustion Chamber

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>STATUS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12/9/92</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Lot 6—Complete after 1st of year.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Lot 7—Completing patch weld tomorrow to go to HIP on schedule, to ship next week.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Lots 9 &amp; 10—Cast date not definite. Meeting being scheduled.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Lot 11—IBL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Lot 12—At manifold installation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/16/92</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Lot 6—Engineering evaluation to be completed in January.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Lot 7—Should ship this week.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Lots 9 &amp; 10—These two will probably cast together the first week in January (after shutdown).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Lot 11—Lost in Investing because of bad pattern seal. This will be replaced by Lot #13.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Lot 12—In Investing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Lot 13—Molding this week</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• The intent is to have evaluations completed and invoiced on Lots 9, 10, 11, &amp; 13 in March of 1993.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Tentative date for the next PDR is April 1993.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/6/93</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Lot 6—At NDT for cut up.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Lot 7—Shipped 12/19/93.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Lots 9 &amp; 10—Cast date not set. Probably next week.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Lot 12—May cast with 9 &amp; 10.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Lot 13—Molded.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/13/93</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Lot 6 at NDT for cut up—Jim working.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Lots 9, 10, &amp; 12 will cast sequentially on 1/18 or 1/19.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Lot 13 has been molded.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/21/93</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Lot 6 still at NDT for cut up.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Lots 9, 10, &amp; 12 will cast 1/21 &amp; 1/22.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Lot 13 is in Pattern Assembly.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/27/93</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Lot 13 is at CMM for Wax Dimension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Lot 9 is at First Inspection.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Lot 10 has core failure in the aft manifold.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Lot 12 is in gate removal.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Lot 6 is being evaluated at NDT.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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INTRODUCTION

The Advanced Main Combustion Chamber Casting Development (AMCCCD) Program (Contract NAS8-39027) has a 43 month period of performance from August 1991 to February 1995.

Objectives of the Program are:

* To demonstrate that a precision investment casting process will produce a structural jacket and cooling manifold design which is more reliable, producible, and cost effective than the existing wrought, machined, and heavily welded design used for the current SSME Main Combustion Chamber (MCC).

* To accomplish this by manufacture of twenty-four (24) developmental castings of which delivery to the government will consist of a minimum of eleven (11) castings.

* NASA will use these eleven (11) castings as engineering and process development units or as development prototype ground-test hardware.

NOTE: The quantities listed above reflect those in contract modification #7.

This report describes the status of the program and the work performed during the thirteenth through fifteenth months of the contract, for the period of August 1992 through October 1992.

-3-
WORK PERFORMED

Casting Lot #3
Engineering Evaluation was completed by PCC and presented at the PDR at MSFC in August. Milestone #6 was invoiced.

Casting Lot #4
PCC Lot #4, the first deliverable to Aerojet, cast on August 12. It was fully processed including all window welding, and shipped on September 30. It was invoiced as Milestone #7.

Casting Lot #5
This casting is being processed as the second deliverable to Aerojet. It has been HIPped and repaired. The window welding is almost complete. It is targeted to ship the week of November 9.

Casting Lot #6
This casting is being processed as the third deliverable to Aerojet. It has been HIPped and is being reviewed at NDT. It appears to be of lesser quality than Lots #4 and #5 and may be switched with Lot #7, depending upon its quality.

Casting Lot #7
This casting ran into scheduling problems in the MasterCaster furnace. It cast on October 29, eight days behind schedule. It is currently in shell and gate removal. It will either ship in place of Lot #6 or be a PCC Engineering Sample, depending upon part quality.

Casting Lot #8
This part is not needed under the current requirements. It is a fully-gated wax assembly, but it is being held prior to the Investing operation, in anticipation of scrapping it. This will be at no charge to NASA.
Casting Lots #9, #10, #11, #12
These will be the Gating DOE parts and are in Wax Cleaning and Wax Assembly.

Fixtures
The additional process fixturing that is required for the Gating and Process DOE castings has been purchased. It was invoiced as Milestone #2.

PRELIMINARY DESIGN REVIEW (PDR-1)

Wednesday, August 26, 1992, PCC's Product Development Team presented our findings from work completed through casting Lot #3 to the NASA team.

After an introduction by Steve Weber, PCC team members John Davis, Jeff Miller, Bruce Haphey, and Jim Howcroft covered the various aspects of PCC's casting development work to-date.

Following review of lessons learned to-date, Jim Howcroft presented PCC's initial plan for the 4-part Gating Design Of Experiment (DOE). Jim noted this design was preliminary in nature and subject to change based on review of Lot #4, which would be completed too late for inclusion in PDR-1. We also noted that Lots #5 and #6 were in process and might also influence our thinking as results became available.

Over all, PCC felt progress to-date exceeded our original expectations. However, some critical issues remained. Based on input received at this review and later by phone, Lots #7, #8, and #9 were (until mid-October) scheduled for completion prior to running lots #10, #11, #12, and #13 for the gating DOE. Subsequent changes required by NASA resulted in Lot #8 being scrapped in wax and Lot #9 being moved into Gating DOE #1.

Input received from NASA participants was favorable and constructive in nature which should help us tailor our presentation at PDR-2 (following our Gating DOE) to better conform to our customer's requirements.
Contract Modification #7
Review of this modification showed two castings still to be shipped in the second quarter of calendar year (CY) 1993. These need to be changed to the fourth quarter. Also, Milestone #3, Gate Tooling, will be billed by PCC October 1993 or later.

Pressure Testing
A local vendor, Westcon Inc., is able to hydraulic pressure test to 7500 psi the manifolds on this casting. After an initial inquiry by NASA, it was determined that Aerojet would do the testing.

PROJECT STATUS
The program is going well with the exception that Lot #5 is behind schedule. Lots #6 and #7 should be complete by the 12/31 delivery date shown in Contract Mod #7.

The decision has been made at PCC to close down all three structural division plants, including LSBO, from the end of the work day, December 18 until January 4, 1993. The full effect of that closure on this program is not yet known.

PLAN FOR THE NEXT THREE MONTHS
• Complete and invoice castings-Lots #5, #6, & #7. (Milestones 8, 9, & 10)
• Process and partially evaluate gating DOE castings-Lots #9, #10, #11, #12.
• Complete the production X-Ray technique and submit it for NASA approval.
• Complete the window welding technique and train production welders.
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PART STATUS--R.E. & S.C.D. ENGINEERING

Page: 12

Customer: NASA PCC P/N: 20013

Customer P/N: 96M66441 Program: SSME

P.O. Nos: Description: Main Combustion Chamber

DATE STATUS

8/5/92

• Lot #5 Pattern has been assembled and will go to CMM.
• Lot #4 will dewax 8/6. The pour date is probably Monday 8/10.
• Lot #3 micros went to an outside lab. They are due back on 8/10.

8/12/92

• Lot #4 will pour today.
• Lot #5 is in Investing.
• PDR work is progressing for presentation the week of 8/24 at NASA.
• Contract mod #6 was received. It switches two castings from the end of the program to the current time for delivery in November. These will be PCC Lot numbers 6 & 7, best effort, windows welded, and targeted.
• Lot #3 was billed last week. It was listed as Milestone #6 per contract Mod #5. Lot #2, billed in March was listed as Milestone #6 at that time was actually Milestone #5.
DATE          STATUS

8/25/92
• Lot #4 is ready for NDTE. Window welding will follow.
• A new requirement to do a high pressure test prior to shipment is being considered. A local vendor has been found and has been requested to quote. The manifolds on Lot #4 showed some dirt which will be easily repairable. Also, a local area of shrink on the inside wall of the Aft Manifold has been found. It does not show in X-Ray so the extent is not known. Its depth is currently about 3/8". We will grind it to 1/2" max. If it is not clean, we will bake the part to remove any residual penetrant and weld it. The weld will be inspected to assure its soundness and the casting will then be HIPped after the windows are welded shut.
• Lot #5 will dewax tonight. Tentative cast date is 8/24.
• Lot #6 has been molded.
• Contract Mod #6 acknowledgement will be delivered at the PDR on 8/26 by Jon Down.

9/2/92
• PDR #1 was completed on August 27th. It went smoothly and was well received by NASA.
• Lot #4 is in window welding. It is going slowly because of the welding-inspection cycles, but the quality is good and no major problems. It should complete this week.
• Lot #5 is pouring today.
• Lot #6 is in Wax Molding.
• Patch tooling will be reworked to change thickness and to add gate stubs. This will improve the consistancy in the patch welding process.
• The four Gating DOE castings (PCC Lot #s 10, 11, 12, 13) will be scheduled right behind the two hot fire parts.
PART STATUS--R.E. & S.C.D. ENGINEERING
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Customer: NASA       PCC P/N: 20013

Customer P/N: 96M66441       Program: SSME

P.O. Nos:           Description: Main Combustion Chamber

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>STATUS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 9/9/92     | Lot #4 windows are welded, the casting got HIPped over the weekend and will be vacuum heat treated tonight. NDT and Age Heat Treat still follow.  
            | Lot #5 will be cap welded and go to NDTE next.  
            | Lot #6 is in Investing.  
            | Lot #7 is in Wax Cleaning. |
| 9/16/92    | Lot #4 at NDTE. Target date week of 9/21.  
            | Lot #5 at NDTE for FPI report and then defect repair. X-Ray will follow. Window welding will begin 9/21.  
            | Lot #6 due to cast 9/23. Casting fixture being updated. Burnout to start 9/19.  
            | Lot #7 is assembled. Will go to CMM 9/17. Gating to be completed by 9/26/92.  
            | Window closure tooling to be sent out for rework to add gate stubs. Patches to be manufactured at SSBO and supplied for LSBO installation. Work to be completed to allow use on gating DOE castings by production welding personnel.  
            | Inlets awaiting final drawing prior to final quote.  
            | Pressure testing will not be available on lots #4 or #5. |
| 9/23/92    | Lot #4 is scheduled to complete processing on Friday, 9/25.  
            | Lot #5 is at preliminary X-ray. It will then start window patching.  
            | Lot #6 cast on Thursday, 9/24.  
            | Lot #7 Pattern Assembly is complete. Gate assembly will follow.  
            | Lot #8 is through Wax Cleaning ready for Pattern Assembly.  
            | Lot #9 has completed Molding. |

(continued on next page)
DATE          STATUS
9/23/92 (continued from previous page)

• Lots 8 & 9 will be the hot fire parts.
• Lots 10, 11, 12, 13—These will be the Gating DOE parts. They are being scheduled to run at one per week.
• It appears that there will be no requirement for PCC to pressure test this part.

9/30/92
• Lot #4 will be shipped today.
• Lot #5 is at X-ray. This casting will be used to establish the production X-ray technique.
• Lot #6 is at First Dimension. This casting shows caustic bleeds in the jacket ribs. This would indicate surface shrink in four areas. This will be fully evaluated at NDTE. This casting had a cooling passage in the aft manifold as well as the ribs.
• Lot #7 is in Investing. This casting will have cooling passages in both manifolds as well as the ribs.
• Lot #8 is in Gating Assembly.
• Lot #9 is awaiting pattern assembly.
• Lots 10-13 have been scheduled. Lot 10 will mold by 10/16. The rest will follow at one per week.

10/7/92
• Lot #5 exhibits some shrink in the aft manifold inner wall. This will be further evaluated.
• The production x-ray technique development is going slowly. Lot #5 will be evaluated and moved on. The technique will be developed on subsequent castings.
• Lot #6 has been cap welded and will go to NDT next.
• Lot #7 should complete Investing today. It should cast next week.
• Lot #8 is ready for framing.
• Lot #9 is awaiting pattern assembly.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>STATUS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10/14/92</td>
<td>• NASA's program has changed, but the total effect on PCC is not yet known. Lot #8 probably will not be needed and is on hold prior to Investing. Lot #9 will be the first of the Gating DOE parts, which will now be Lots 9 through 12. Lots 13 and on are on hold prior to molding until further notice. Lot #7 will cast next week with air cooling on the jacket and in both manifolds. Regulators are being installed on the air lines to accommodate this. Lots 5 &amp; 6 will probably go through a preliminary HIP prior to window welding in order to improve their quality. • Further conversations are going on with NASA in order to confirm their real needs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/21/92</td>
<td>• Contract Mod. #7 has been received from NASA and is being reviewed. • Lots 5 &amp; 6 are being processed. • Lot 7 is being delayed in casting because of production schedules. • Lot 8 has been cancelled. • Lot 9 is ready for gating assembly as the #1 gating DOE part. • Lot 10 has been molded.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/28/92</td>
<td>• Contract Mod #7 needs some changes. These were discussed with Jay Dennis. • Lot 5 has been HIPped and repaired. The window welding is almost complete. • Lot 6 is being reviewed after HIP. • Lot 7 still has not cast. • Lot 9 is being gated. • Lot 10 is in Pattern Assembly. • Lot 11 is in Wax Cleaning.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
August 11, 1992
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INTRODUCTION

The Advanced Main Combustion Chamber Casting Development (AMCCCD) Program (Contract NAS8-39027) has a 43 month period of performance from August 1991 to February 1995.

Objectives of the Program are:

* To demonstrate that a precision investment casting process will produce a structural jacket and cooling manifold design which is more reliable, producible, and cost effective than the existing wrought, machined, and heavily welded design used for the current SSME Main Combustion Chamber (MCC).

* To accomplish this by manufacture of twenty-four (24) developmental castings of which delivery to the government will consist of a minimum of twelve (12) castings.

* NASA will use these twelve (12) castings as engineering and process development units or as development prototype ground-test hardware.

NOTE: The quantities listed above reflect those in contract modification #6.

This report describes the status of the program and the work performed during the tenth through twelfth months of the contract, for the period of May 1992 through July 1992.
WORK PERFORMED

Casting Lot #3
This is the second engineering gating sample in the development program. Information learned from Lot #1 (Engineering Sample #1) and Lot #2 (the first "best effort" deliverable) was used in gating this casting.

Six ribs were affected by local cooling which took place after casting, outside the furnace. The intent is to better cool the area between the ribs which exhibited surface porosity in Lot #2.

The part cast on June 7. The position of the mold caused metal spillage over the outside. The mold filled completely, however. Casting techniques will be modified to correct this problem on future pours. NDT examination of the casting showed some areas of shrink. The local cooling portion appeared to be clean. Later examination after Chem Mill showed shrink on the lower manifold which may be attributable to the metal spillage at casting.

Lot #3 continued to process. The windows were patched and a welding technique established which will be refined on later castings. Some distortion was present which should be preventable on future parts. The casting has been cut up and is awaiting final examination of micros.

Casting Lot #4
Lot #4 was molded and invested. This casting is intended for delivery by October 1.

Casting Lot #5
Lot #5 was molded and the pattern was assembled and sent to CMM for dimensional inspection. Target delivery date is Oct. 1 but is in doubt because of a late start.
(WORK PERFORMED CONTINUED)

Fixtures
Additional fixturing to handle the parts for delivery in October, November, and December are being quoted and procured.

PDR
Gathering of data for PDR #1 at Huntsville on 8/26 & 8/27 has begun.

PROJECT STATUS
The program is going well with the exception of delivery on Lot #5. The October 1 date is in jeopardy with October 15th being more realistic.

PLAN FOR THE NEXT THREE MONTHS

• Bill for Lot #3 (Milestone #6).

• Complete Lots #4 & #5, ship and bill them (Milestone #7 & #8).

• Preliminary Design Review #1 August 26-27 at Huntsville.

• Incorporate contract Mod #6 into the program.

• Acquire additional processing fixtures (Milestone #2).

• Investigate high pressure testing of the manifolds at NASA's request.
5/6/92
- A wax support fixture for the forward manifold chill has been built. This will help prevent the chill from showing through the pattern wall after molding.
- An assembly error made a molding rerun necessary on the jacket segments. This should not affect the overall schedule.

5/13/92
- The planning department has set an estimated completion date of 7/15/92 for Lot #3. This is on an expedited schedule and does not include final destructive evaluations. If all goes well, we should be ready for PDR #1 in early September.

5/20/92
- Lot #3 is in Investing, on schedule.
- Because of a communication problem, the shell building on Lot #3 has progressed past the point of being able to add cooling passages to the mold. This experiment will have to wait until later in the development cycle.

5/27/92
- Lot #3 has dewaxed. Estimated cast date is 6/5.
- The cooling passages were added later than planned and will be used in casting this part.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>STATUS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 6/3/92   | • Cast date will be 6/5 or 6/6 on Lot #3.  
          | • A minor tool rework is required on the manifold windows. This will be done prior to running any more castings.  
          | • We are still waiting for a contract modification in order to quote the anticipated additional castings. |
| 6/10/92  | • Contract mod 4 was received. It added $26,000 to the current funded allotment. We have still not received the request to add additional parts to the contract.  
          | • Lot #3 cast over the weekend and is now in gate removal. Visually, it is all there. The pour was very sloppy with a lot of spillage onto the top of the mold. The part and the gating system filled, however. Modifications in casting techniques will be made in the future which will allow the mold to accept the metal more readily.  
          | • First Inspection Results for Lot #3: Finning in the Aft Manifold caused some shell dirt in the casting. The full extent will not be known until it gets to Zyglo. Some bleeding of caustic from the shell removal process is occurring in the necked down area between the ribs. This indicates some shrink that is open to the surface. This will be evaluated at Zyglo. |
| 6/17/92  | • Lot #3 is being X-Rayed. It will then go to Thermal Etch and on to Zyglo.  
          | • In order to quote the additional castings and the changes in the current castings, PCC needs an RFQ which shows the contract changes, the required processing for deliverable parts, and the requested delivery dates. |
Customer: NASA  
PCC P/N: 20013

Customer P/N: 96M66441  
Program: SSME

P.O. Nos:  
Description: Main Combustion Chamber

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>STATUS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 6/24/92    | • Lots 4 & 5 are being scheduled. These will be two "best effort" deliverables, trying for a 10/1/92 delivery date. FAB-20 (expedited schedule) delivery has been requested from the PCC planning dept. in order to make the date. These parts will run approximately two weeks apart because of fixture limitations in wax. A contract mod for this change will be forthcoming from NASA.  
• Lot #3 has completed NDT X-Ray and is in Zyglo. Some areas have shrink and others appear to be clean. These will be further examined after cut-up and Chem Mill occurs.  
• We have received a request to ship the pieces of Lot#1 to NASA for their test use. This should occur next week after the data package is put together.                                                                 |
| 7/1/92     | • Contract Mod. #5 has been received and reviewed. It appears to be no problem.  
• Lot #3 is having windows welded. Results are mixed as this is the first try. Some distortion is present with some techniques. Development will continue.  
• Lot #1 and the data package will ship today. It should be noted that this casting represents the first effort in the AMCC development program and was a product of multiple gating systems. The cut up pieces do not represent the process that will be used to produce usable castings. These pieces should not be used in any way that would represent them as typical of a fully developed part. |
PART STATUS--R.E. & S.C.D. ENGINEERING
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Customer: NASA  PCC P/N: 20013
Customer P/N: 96M66441  Program: SSME
P.O. Nos:  Description: Main Combustion Chamber

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>STATUS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 7/8/92     | • Window welding is complete. The casting went to HIP. The part will then be dimensioned to check weld drop through. some weld defects have been found. The technique will continue to be developed to eliminate these. They will also be evaluated after HIP.  
• The patch thickness will be reviewed with possible changes in thickness required.  
• Lot #4 is in Wax Molding.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |
| 7/15/92    | • Lot #3 has been HIPped and will return from Chem Mill today. NDTE will follow.  
• Lot #4 is in Pattern Assembly.  
• Dimensional checks on Lot #3 welded windows indicate some distortion and mismatch. This is being addressed as the welding technique is being further developed. This will be next addressed on an Aft Manifold casting for Rocketdyne (PCC P/N 20004) that is currently in Wax Assembly. |
| 7/22/92    | • The process router needed to run the next 4 shippable parts (Lots 4, 5, 6, 7) is in the computer.  
• Lot #3 is at NDTE. More X-Ray is required. It will then be marked for cut up and micros.  
• After Chem Mill Zyglo evaluation of Lot #3 shows that porosity is present under the casting surface that does not show non-destructively. some of this has been seen on prior castings and some is new. Part of it appears in an area that was affected by metal spillage during the pour.  
• Lot #4 pattern is assembled and is in Gating Assembly. The additional X-Rays on #3 are needed prior to gating #4 in order to get our "best shot" at it.  
• Lot #5 is due out of Wax Molding this week.  
• Lots 6 & 7 for delivery in November will follow. |
PART STATUS--R.E. & S.C.D. ENGINEERING

Customer: NASA
PCC P/N: 20013

Customer P/N: 96M66441
Program: SSME

P.O. Nos: __________________ Description: Main Combustion Chamber

DATE STATUS

7/22/92 (cont'd)• The need for additional processing fixtures (Milestone #2) is being evaluated. It is felt that these can be completed by the October 1992 milestone date.

7/28/92 • PDR will be the week of 8/24 at Huntsville.
• Lot #3 will be invoiced this month. The pieces are at the lab awaiting micros.
• Lot #5 was delayed in Wax Molding and is behind schedule. This, combined with the amount of processing required (window welding and targeting), puts an end of September ship date in jeopardy. October 15 is more realistic. This is an expedited schedule (FAB-20).
May 6, 1992
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INTRODUCTION

The Advanced Main Combustion Chamber Casting Development (AMCCCD) Program (Contract NAS8-39027) has a 43 month period of performance from August 1991 to February 1995.

Objectives of the Program are:
* To demonstrate that a precision investment casting process will produce a structural jacket and cooling manifold design which is more reliable, producible, and cost effective than the existing wrought, machined, and heavily welded design used for the current SSME Main Combustion Chamber (MCC).

* To accomplish this by manufacture of twenty-one (21) developmental castings of which delivery to the government will consist of a minimum of nine (9) castings.

* NASA will use these nine (9) castings as engineering and process development units or as development prototype ground-test hardware.

This report describes the status of the program and the work performed during the seventh through ninth months of the contract, for the period of February 1992 through April 1992.
WORK PERFORMED

Casting Lot #1
Investing was completed with no problems. The shell was then dewaxed and a dry run performed in the Mastercaster furnace to ensure that the shell could load and unload properly. A fixture was built to support the shell. The shell was then put into the burnout furnace and brought to temperature, monitored by thermocouples to assure that no large temperature gradients existed in the shell during this process.

The part was cast on February 17 with no major problems. It proceeded through the shell removal and gate removal operations and was ready for Non-Destructive Testing Evaluation (NDTE) on February 25.

Preliminary Zyglo showed very few indications. Two of them were in gate stubs, indicating possible shrink areas. These were verified by X-Ray. The part was then cut up, with portions of it hipped and chem milled and then reinspected.

The shrink indications were cap-welded prior to Hip, but all of the shrink did not hip out, indicating that some was open to the surface. This part, however, was a success as the first iteration in the development process for this casting. The results gained here show a definite direction to pursue in gating the next sample part. Coordinate Measuring Machine dimensional checks were taken in both wax and metal.

Casting Lot #2
This casting is the first deliverable piece of hardware and is being run on a "best effort" basis. As such, it was not an integral part of the development process, but was used by PCC as a tool to learn some additional information about the configuration and some additional casting characteristics of the alloy.


Casting Lot #2 cont’d

It was gated with a minimum of gating at the top and bottom of the part and insulation was used to control solidification. The configuration is such that we were sure that the part would fill, thus satisfying NASA’s needs. The cup and downsprue were outside the part envelope, causing some concern about locating the mold in the furnace, but a dry run showed that it fit into the chamber and it cast with no problems.

Visual inspection after shell and gate removal showed stains due to open shrink between the ribs on the outside of the part. This was not unexpected, because of the minimum gating that was used. The ends of the part were X-rayed to determine the extent of the shrink, a coating of lacquer applied (with NASA approval), and the part was shipped to NASA for use as a demonstrator piece. CMM dimensional checks were run in both wax and metal.

Casting Lot #3

This is the second engineering gating sample in the development program. The part was molded and started in pattern assembly when it was discovered that some pattern segments had delaminated from the wax chills. The problem was solved by drilling holes in the chills and reshooting the segments. This caused some delay in assembly, but we should be able to make it up and to lose no time in the schedule. Pattern assembly is continuing.

MILESTONES COMPLETED

Milestone four and five were completed and invoiced for $152,346 each.

PROJECT STATUS

Lot #3 is slightly behind our accelerated schedule because of molding problems, but we are still looking at a June completion date. Modifications to the program have been discussed with NASA that add some additional deliverable parts and pull in some other milestone dates. Resolution of these changes will be quoted when written requests for changes are received.
PLAN FOR THE NEXT THREE MONTHS

• Design a gating system and process Lot #3 through to completion.

• Invoice for Milestone #6.

• Modify the program per NASA's expected request.

• Start preparing for PDR #1.
Customer: NASA  
Customer P/N: 96M66441  
P.O. Nos:  
Description: Main Combustion Chamber  
PCC P/N: 20013  
Program: SSME  

DATE STATUS

2/5/92  
- Lot #1 is in Investing. The scheduled cast date is 2/17.  
- Lot #2 is in Pattern Assembly.

2/12/92  
- Contract Mod 3 has been received. This moves one of NASA's three mockups up to March 1992 delivery. This part is now in Wax and on schedule as Lot #2.  
- Lot #1 is on schedule to pour on 2/17.
Customer: NASA
Customer P/N: 96M66441
P.O. Nos: 96M66441
PCC P/N: 20013
Program: SSME
Description: Main Combustion Chamber

DATE STATUS

2/19/92 • Lot #1 has completed shell removal and will be going to Machining for gate removal after a preliminary dimensional check. It is slightly ahead of schedule. Visually, it is a very good looking part.
• Lot #2 (to be delivered to NASA) is on schedule in Investing. The scheduled casting date is 3/4.

2/26/92 • Lot #1 is at NDTE. Preliminary FPI has been done with very few indications. Two of them, however, are in gate stubs, which could indicate areas of shrink. X-Ray is needed to confirm this. Shooting is scheduled to start today.
• Lot #2 is still on schedule with a casting date of 3/4.

3/5/92 • Lot #1 NDTE is almost complete. X-Ray shooting should complete tonight and be available for review tomorrow.
• Lot #2 should pour tonight. The shell is at temperature and the part will be next to pour on the Master Caster.
• Jay Dennis of NASA was here on 3/4 to review Lot #1, but had to leave prior to the pour on Lot #2. He did get to observe the burnout operation, review our quarterly reports prior to submittal, and participated in some very helpful general discussions.
• It is anticipated that both Lot #1 and #2 will complete this month.

3/11/92 • Lot #1 is cut up and go to Chem Mill today and will return on 3/18/92.
• Lot #2 is at Machining for gate removal. It may possibly be shipped by 3/20. Per telecom with Charlie Conelius and Jay Dennis, HIP is not required on this first deliverable part.
PART STATUS--R.E. & S.C.D. ENGINEERING

Customer: NASA
Customer P/N: 96M66441
P.O. Nos: 

PCC P/N: 20013
Program: SSME

Description: Main Combustion Chamber

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>STATUS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 3/20/92  | • Lot #1 has been cut up, hipped, and chem milled. NDTE is complete.  
           | • Lot #2 shipped by truck. It should arrive at MSFC on 3/30.  
           | • Lot #3, the second Engineering Sample, will mold the first week of April. |
| 3/25/92  | • Lots #1 & #2 were invoiced.  
           | • Lot #3 is due out of Molding on 4/3.  
           | • A new milestone schedule needs to be developed. NASA has requested information (which has been provided) concerning early delivery of demonstrator parts. We will establish new milestones when that issue is settled. |
| 4/1/92   | • Lot #2 arrived at NASA and was well received.  
           | • Lot #3 is being molded. It will be available during the Casting School. |
| 4/15/92  | • Lot #3 is awaiting assembly. The current schedule calls for this to complete in August. We will attempt to bring it into late June or early July. |
| 4/22/92  | • Need request from NASA to modify schedule to bring in two pieces. This will require a change in the program milestones. |
DATE: 4/29/92

STATUS:

- Conversations with NASA indicate that they will want three more parts this year, but official notification is probably one month away.
- A lack of fusion between some pattern chills and the pattern has caused a defect in some manifold segments. This caused a delay in assembly until the segments were rerun. The problem was fixed by drilling holes in the wax chills.
March 3, 1992
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INTRODUCTION

The Advanced Main Combustion Chamber Casting Development (AMCCCD) Program (Contract NAS8-39027) has a 43 month period of performance from August 1991 to February 1995.

Objectives of the Program are:

* To demonstrate that a precision investment casting process will produce a structural jacket and cooling manifold design which is more reliable, producible, and cost effective than the existing wrought, machined, and heavily welded design used for the current SSME Main Combustion Chamber (MCC).

* To accomplish this by manufacture of twenty-one (21) developmental castings of which delivery to the government will consist of a minimum of nine (9) castings.

* NASA will use these nine (9) castings as engineering and process development units or as development prototype ground-test hardware.

This report describes the status of the program and the work performed during the first three (3) months of the contract, for the period of August 1991 through October 1991.
WORK PERFORMED

The contract award was received at PCC on August 6, 1991. This initiated the process of procuring the Tooling that is required to produce the wax patterns which are used in the first steps of manufacturing the AMCC casting.

Because of the part complexity, it was determined that the tooling would be produced in three groups, each group producing one portion of the wax pattern. Several local tooling vendors were then asked to quote the three tooling packages and the assembly fixture that was required to make the final one-piece, assembled wax pattern. These quotes were to firm-up the prices that were offered earlier in the quoting segment of this program.

The tooling was awarded to three different vendors in order to make the required schedule commitments. Coordination by the PCC Engineering Team and the Tool Procurement Group was done to ensure compatibility when the tooling is received. All tooling will arrive by the end of December and be ready for use in January of 1992.

Special fixturing will be required to process this part throughout the plant. A total of twenty-two (22) different items are being designed and ordered. These are also due to be in-house by the end of December.
PROJECT STATUS
No major problems exist. The program is progressing on schedule.

PLAN FOR NEXT THREE MONTHS
The tooling that will be received in December will go through a tool proving process by our Tool Procurement Department. We will then start manufacturing waxes and processing the first Engineering Sample (Lot #1).

The fixtures will be received and put into use as needed. The Assembly Fixture will be dimensionally checked in Layout.

The Coordinate Measuring Machine (CMM) program will be written and the first wax checked.

Milestone #1 will be completed and invoiced.
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Customer: NASA
Customer P/N: 96M66441
P.O. Nos: PCC
P/N: 20013
Program: SSME
Description: Main Combustion Chamber

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>STATUS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 8/21/91 | • Signed drawings will be sent to us today from Huntsville.  
• A design modification will change one of the flanges. This will be ROC'd when it occurs. It will be given to the tool vendor as part of the original package.  
• Requested IGES files for sheets 4 & 10 from NASA.  
• TWA's have been given to Tool Procurement and vendors are being contacted.  
• The award date from NASA was August 6th, but final drawings were not available. |
| 8/28/91 | • Tool vendors have been selected:  
|         | ITEM   | VENDOR | DEL'Y DATE | PROVEN DATE |
|         | Fwd Mfld | Camano | 12/15/91   | 1/6/92      |
|         | Ctr Jacket | Havel | 11/3/91   | 11/24/91    |
|         | Aft Mfld | DPW | 12/15/91   | 1/6/92      |
|         | Ass'y Fixt | DPW | 11/3/91   | 12/15/91    |
|         |         |       |           |            |

The proven date for the assembly fixture is for completion of layout. The total job will not be proven until all patterns fit in the fixture.  
• We have signed prints as a result of NASA's visit today. Changes are anticipated, and will be ROC'd. The issue of 18 or 24 ribs is still not settled.  
• The SOW is still not final. A revised SOW will be generated. No date was established.  
• Another visit is expected on September 16 & 17.  
| 9/4/91 | • IGES files are expected by 9/13.  
• The Center Jacket Tool has not been officially placed by PCC Purchasing. This is being worked by Bob Bodyfelt, Tool Procurement Manager. |
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Customer: NASA</th>
<th>PCC P/N: 20013</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Customer P/N: 96M66441</td>
<td>Program: SSME</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P.O. Nos:</td>
<td>Description: Main Combustion Chamber</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>STATUS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 9/11/91 | • Tooling for the jacket tool has been placed with Havel.  
  • John Davis will visit DPW tomorrow to look at the Aft Manifold and the Assembly Fixture Drawings.  
  • A visit to NASA for a post award meeting will be made on Sept 16 & 17 by Myrna Nutting, Steve Weber, John Davis, Jeff Miller, and Bruce Haphey. |
| 9/18/91 | • We have been asked to quote 1 or 2 pieces using the current tooling, modified to make the NASA configuration.  
  • PCC's concerns with the S.O.W. and the casting specification were satisfied during the visit to NASA, which went very well. |
| 9/25/91 | • The quote for the additional two pieces from existing tooling should complete today. |
| 10/2/91 | • We are awaiting a P.O for the two additional castings and a release to use the existing tooling. |
| 10/9/91 | • No word yet on a P.O. for additional castings.  
  • Design and procurement of processing fixtures is beginning. |
| 10/16/91 | • Camano Tool has requested a two-week extension on the Forward Manifold Tool as a result of receiving the DER for Rev. B. This will be worked to see if the effect can be minimized. |
The two week tool extension on the Forward Manifold should not affect our schedule as we can use preliminary tooling shot waxes for mockup #1. This will take some juggling by Wax Molding, but should be obtainable.

Discussions between John Davis and Neill Myers have resulted in a Rev "C" drawing which we should see soon. It is expected that the changes are for clarification and will have no effect on tool construction.

Memos describing tool marking instructions will be distributed shortly. We should have the tooling marked by the vendors prior to receiving it if possible.
QUARTERLY STATUS REPORT
NUMBER 2

ADVANCED MAIN COMBUSTION CHAMBER CASTING DEVELOPMENT (AMCCCD) PROGRAM

CONTRACT NAS8-39027
CDRL NO. 8

PREPARED BY
G.L. HEMAN
Project Engineer

APPROVED BY
S.L. WEBER
Program Manager
Rocket Engine & Space Component Development Engineering Team

PRECISION CASTPARTS CORP.
Large Structural Business Operation
4600 S.E. Harney Drive
Portland, Oregon 97206
# QUARTERLY STATUS REPORT

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SECTION</th>
<th>PAGE NUMBER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>INTRODUCTION</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REPORT</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLANS FOR NEXT REPORTING PERIOD</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WEEKLY STATUS REPORTS</td>
<td>6-8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
QUARTERLY STATUS REPORT

INTRODUCTION

The Advanced Main Combustion Chamber Casting Development (AMCCCD) program (Contract NAS8-39027) has a 43 month period of performance from August 1991 to February 1995.

Objectives of the Program are:

* To demonstrate that a precision investment casting process will produce a structural jacket and cooling manifold design which is more reliable, producible, and cost effective than the existing wrought, machined, and heavily welded design used for the current SSME Main Combustion Chamber (MCC).

* To accomplish this by manufacture of twenty-one (21) developmental castings of which delivery to the government will consist of a minimum of nine (9) castings.

* NASA will use these nine (9) castings as engineering and process development units or as development prototype ground-test hardware.

This report describes the status of the program and the work performed during the fourth through sixth months of the contract, for the period of November 1991 through January 1992.
**WORK PERFORMED**

Pattern tooling was built and received in-house. Visits to the tooling vendors by PCC Tool Procurement and Engineering personnel during tool construction assured a minimum of problems and on-time delivery. The tool proving process took place whereby the tools were tested in the wax injection machines and produced their first patterns. No major problems occurred and the first wax pattern was tacked together from these tooling shot patterns. This wax was used to program the CMM.

Two pieces of the Aft Manifold Tool went back to the vendor for slight adjustments, but did not affect the schedule. The tool proving operation was complete upon their return. The Assembly Fixture was checked in Layout and found to be acceptable.

Word from NASA was received saying that they wanted their first deliverable part ASAP. The wax for this part will be shot as Lot #2. Lot #1 wax was shot and assembled. The pattern went together very well with a minimum of problems despite being assembled with 25 separate wax pattern pieces. The wax pattern storage fixtures and the assembly fixtures all worked and were instrumental in making this a smooth operation. The pattern was then checked dimensionally on the CMM.

Casting was designed by the part engineer and manufactured and assembled by the engineering assembler in the Wax Assembly department. The assembly was then framed in preparation for the investing process.
PROJECT STATUS

No major problems exist. The program is progressing on schedule.

PLAN FOR NEXT THREE MONTHS

Lot #1 will complete processing and engineering evaluation.

Lot #2 (NASAs first deliverable mock-up) will complete processing through HIP and ship.

Both of the above lots will be invoiced. These will be Milestones 4 and 5, per Contract Mod. #3.

The second engineering evaluation part (Lot #3) will be scheduled and be molded in wax.
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Customer: NASA  
PCC P/N: 20013

Customer P/N: 96M66441  
Program: SSME

P.O. Nos:            Description: Main Combustion Chamber

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>STATUS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10/30/91</td>
<td>• The two week tool extension on the Forward Manifold should not affect our schedule as we can use preliminary tooling shot waxes for mockup #1. This will take some juggling by Wax Molding, but should be obtainable.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Discussions between John Davis and Neill Myers have resulted in a Rev &quot;C&quot; drawing which we should see soon. It is expected that the changes are for clarification and will have no effect on tool construction.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Memos describing tool marking instructions will be distributed shortly. We should have the tooling marked by the vendors prior to receiving it if possible.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/6/91</td>
<td>• It is anticipated that the tooling and fixtures that are included in Milestone #1 will be completed in December.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/12/91</td>
<td>• Rev. C drawings were received today and will be reviewed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/20/91</td>
<td>• The Report of Change (ROC) is being prepared for our review of the &quot;C&quot; revision print.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Design and construction of all fixtures is underway.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/27/91</td>
<td>• There is an error on the blueprint. The tooling already includes it. The surface of the ears will not be coplanar, as they should be. The intent is to get the tooling in-house, run the engineering sample casting, and then repair the tools at NASA's direction. The tooling vendor will provide quotes for two (2) options.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DATE</td>
<td>STATUS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/4/91</td>
<td>• NASA to review first wax pattern and evaluate impact. Decision to be made at that time if tool rework will be required.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/11/91</td>
<td>• The Forward Manifold tooling is in-house and in Tool Proving. The Jacket tool is due to be shipped from the vendor on 12/13. The Aft Manifold tooling is due on 12/16.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Wax fixtures are due 12/16.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• We are expecting to have a wax to gate by January 15 depending upon tooling arrivals and Wax Molding availability.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• We are awaiting official word from NASA to move their first deliverable part up in the schedule. We are expecting to input it right behind the Lot #1 Engineering Sample.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Engineering review of B/P Rev. C is complete. Tool Rework will be required and is being quoted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/18/91</td>
<td>• Lot #1 of the Forward Manifold will be shot the week of Dec. 30. The others will be completed based upon tool availability.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/8/92</td>
<td>• All pattern tooling has arrived and been shot. The first tooling shot pattern has been tacked together in the assembly fixture. It went together very well considering that the tools came from three different vendors. Two pieces of the Aft Manifold went back to the vendor for minor work and should be back on Friday. Molding for Engineering Sample #1 should begin next week. The Tooling Shot Pattern will be used for CMM Programming.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• The pattern for the first part to be delivered to NASA can then follow in 2-3 weeks depending upon the availability of the fixturing from Lot #1.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Customer: NASA
Customer P/N: 96M66441
P.O. Nos: 

PCC P/N: 20013
Program: SSME

Description: Main Combustion Chamber

---

DATE | STATUS
--- | ---

1/15/92 | • Wax Molding is progressing.
          | • Discussion concerning addition of these parts (Lots #1 & #2) to the FAB 20 list for March completion is going on.
          | • Neill Myers and Jay Dennis from NASA will visit on Jan. 21 & 22 to review our progress with the first wax.

1/24/92 | • Lot #1 wax was assembled while Neill & Jay were here and is now in CMM for dimensional inspection.
          | • The package (tool list & pictures) has been completed and the billing authorization sent to sales for Milestone #1. The amount is $583,699.00. Jay Dennis indicated that the package would be acceptable to NASA for billing purposes. Pam Wright did a super job on this as the package covers 99 items.
          | • The plant has committed to completing Lot #1 and possibly Lot #2 by March 27.

1/29/92 | • Lot #1 will complete Assembly today and start Investing tomorrow, on schedule.
          | • Lot #2 has not yet been molded.
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