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ABSTRACT

Hole-saw ring patterns on the witness plate are studied systematically. Aluminium alloy 1100 and 6061, inconel, teflon, and lead of different thickness were utilized for target material. The ring pattern are observed at \( D_p/T = 1.98 \) to \( 4.02 \) for aluminium 1100 target, at \( D_p/T = 1.55 \) to \( 10.51 \) for aluminium 6061 target, at \( D_p/T = 4.41 \) to \( 9.98 \) for inconel target, at \( D_p/T = 1.33 \) to \( 3.94 \) for teflon target, and at \( D_p/T = 12.5 \) to \( 20.89 \) for lead target. The ring diameter showed a decreasing trend when \( D_p/T \) ratio increased. A analytical model is introduced. The crater distributions on the ring were investigated. The majority of the craters are in the neighborhood of \( 1100 \mu m \) to \( 1400 \mu m \) size. Many broken string like craters were observed on the ring as well as inside the ring.
INTRODUCTION

Impact experiments have been performed with a 5 mm Light Gas Gun (LGG) at the Experimental Impact Laboratory of the Solar System Exploration Division, SN4, with the objective to learn about the collisional fragmentation, dispersion and deceleration of hypervelocity projectiles as they pass through targets of variable thickness. Such experiments are of basic nature, yet they relate directly to the design of cosmic dust flight instruments and to the protection of spacecraft in near Earth orbit.

Consistent with the SN-interests in cosmic dust instruments, soda lime glass projectiles of variable diameters (50, 150, 1000, 3175 μm diameter) were accelerated in the LGG to some 6 km/s and they impacted and penetrated targets of various material and thickness. This project studied aluminum alloys (1100, 6061), teflon, inconel and lead targets. A so-called “witness plate” (aluminum or copper) was positioned behind these targets to intercept the entire debris cloud that exits penetrated targets; the fragments composing this cloud are of high speed and caused a complex pattern of secondary impacts on the witness plate. The geometry of these secondary crater patterns is the subject of this study. Specially, as target thickness approaches that of projectile diameter, a characteristic distinct ring of fragment-impacts is observed on the witness plate that contrasts markedly with irregular fragment dispersions at more massive target, and with distinctly radial deposits resulting from the penetration of thinner targets. The ring pattern was introduced with the descriptive term “Hole-Saw Ring” by F. Horz et al. (1) and were reported by Stilp et al. (2), Pietkutowsky (3) and others for various projectile and target combinations and impact velocities. Many theoretical models (4,5) study the fragmentation of spheres and associated debris cloud. They suggest that the outer shell of projectile yields smaller and faster fragments while the more massive and slowfragments are due to the core of the projectile. At the time of this project being performed, there is no theory or model that derived these uniform fragment sizes and dispersion angles from the hole-saw ring. This distinct hole-saw ring occurs over a very limited range of Dp/T conditions. It appears to be an excellent boundary condition to test theoretical and computational models on collisional disruption of spherical objects.

The Project is divided into two parts: Phase I—Extract the necessary data from the witness-plates, such as the radius of the ring; the number and the size distribution of the craters in relation to the impact conditions. Study the physics rules that govern these phenomena. Phase II—Use a hydrocode (CTH) to model the rings and establish a reliable tool to further investigate this special fragmentation case. During the ASEE/NASA summer program, we concentrated on the first part of the Project. The results from the Phase I will be utilized to continue Phase II of this project.
HOLE-SAW RING DIAMETERS ON THE WITNESS PLATE

This project intends to contribute to an improved understanding of hole-saw ring pattern on the witness plate. We investigated the witness plates due to various diameter soda-lime glass sphere projectiles impacted on different target materials. The projectile’s diameter and target thickness ratios, $D_p/T$, were altered while the impact velocity was kept a constant. Four sizes of glass spheres were accelerated to 2 km/s - 6 km/s using the 5 mm LGG at the Experimental Impact Laboratory of the SSED, SN4. The 3.175 mm soda-lime glass sphere was selected for the purpose of a more uniform projectile material and better production of the hole-saw ring. We employed aluminum alloy 6061-T6 with thickness of 4.064 mm, 5.334 mm, 6.35 mm, 8.128 mm, 10.016 mm, and 16.002 mm as the target. The copper witness plate with various stand-off distance “L” was utilized to collect the information for analysis.

An Analytical Model

A debris-cloud model by J. Lawrence(6) is employed to study the relationship between the hole-saw ring diameter and the ratio of $D_p/T$.

The nondimensional mass ratio, $M$, is defined as

$$M = \frac{3\beta^2 \rho_s T}{2 \rho_p D_p} = \frac{m_t}{m_p},$$

(1)

where the $\beta$ is the ratio of the hole diameter to the projectile diameter, $\rho_p$ is the density of the projectile, $\rho_s$ is the density of target, $T$ stands for the thickness of the target, $m_t$ is the mass removed from the target, and $m_p$ is the mass of the projectile.

A nondimensionalized impact velocity, $V_p$, is also defined as

$$V_p = \frac{u_p^2}{2 \xi_b},$$

(2)

where is actually a nondimensional kinetic energy, $\xi_b$ is considered an average energy per unit mass needed to break up or vaporize the materials involved in the penetration process. This term is integrated over the space and time involved in the penetration and debris generation process.

The half angle of the debris expansion, $\theta$, is determined from $\theta = \sin^{-1}(u_e/u_c)$, where $u_e$ is the debris expansion velocity, and $u_c$ is the debris cloud center-of-mass velocity. The half angle of the debris expansion, $\theta$, can also be written as
\[ \theta = \sin^{-1}\left( M - \frac{(1 + M)^2}{V_p} \right)^{1/2}. \]  \hspace{1cm} (3)

The hole-saw ring diameter, \( D \), can be calculated by

\[ D = 2L \tan \theta. \]  \hspace{1cm} (4)

Combine Eq.(3) and (4), the ring diameter is

\[ D = 2L \left( \frac{V_p M - (1 + M)^2}{V_p - V_p M + (1 + M)^2} \right)^{1/2}. \]  \hspace{1cm} (5)

Replace the constants in the Eq.(1) by \( \kappa \), and rewrite it in the form

\[ M = \frac{3}{2} \frac{\rho}{\rho_p} \left( \frac{D_b}{D_p} \right)^2 \left( \frac{T}{D_p} \right) = \kappa \left( \frac{D_b}{D_p} \right)^2 \left( \frac{T}{D_p} \right) = \kappa X, \]  \hspace{1cm} (6)

and substitute this equation into Eq.(5) to obtain

\[ D = 2L \left( \frac{-\kappa^2 X^2 - (2 + V_p)\kappa X - 1}{(2 + V_p)\kappa^2 X^2 - (2 - V_p)\kappa X + 1} \right)^{1/2}, \]  \hspace{1cm} (7)

a constant \( V_p \) for the fixed impact velocity and projectile/target material and thickness. Eq.(7) offers a mathematical relationship between the hole-saw ring diameter and the geometric variable \( X \).

**Experimental Data Analysis**

Results of the measurements of the ring diameters and the related information are presented in the table of Hole-saw Ring Data. The ring pattern starts at \( D_{p/T} = 1.59 \) and disperses at about \( D_{p/T} = 15 \). The lead targets began showing the ring pattern at \( D_{p/T} = 1.27 \), however the circular pattern has no specific craters that formed the rim of the ring. The rings at this regime have a radically diffused shape. The well defined hole-saw rings started at \( D_{p/T} = 12.50 \) and up to \( D_{p/T} = 20.89 \) in our observations. For the teflon target, we have employed different impact velocity, from 2.3 km/s to 6.3 km/s, and various target thickness. At low velocity, 2.3 km/s, the ring pattern started to appear at approximately \( D_{p/T} = 3.90 \), and faded away at \( D_{p/T} = 63.5 \). The true hole-saw ring has not ever appeared in this impact velocity regime. At 4 km/s velocity, some diffused ring started to show up at \( D_{p/T} = 1.57 \), a very nice ring was founded at \( D_{p/T} = 6.35 \), and then it dispersed at \( D_{p/T} = 31.75 \). The target plates of aluminum alloy 1100 showed the ring pattern from \( D_{p/T} = 1.98 \) to \( D_{p/T} = 4.02 \).
# HOLE-SAW RING DATA
## Measured and Computed Values

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target Material</th>
<th>Impact Velocity (cm)</th>
<th>Shot L</th>
<th>Standoff</th>
<th>D_p/T</th>
<th>D_t / D_p (cm)</th>
<th>ε_{ob computed} (10^16)</th>
<th>D_{measured} (cm)</th>
<th>ρ_t / ρ_p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inconel 5.94</td>
<td>0.3175</td>
<td>1109</td>
<td></td>
<td>12.06</td>
<td>4.41</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>0.292</td>
<td>2.306</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inconel 5.96</td>
<td>0.3175</td>
<td>1120</td>
<td></td>
<td>12.06</td>
<td>7.82</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>0.405</td>
<td>3.691</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inconel 6.03</td>
<td>0.3175</td>
<td>1119</td>
<td></td>
<td>12.06</td>
<td>9.98</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>0.507</td>
<td>3.678</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead 6.38</td>
<td>0.3175</td>
<td>65</td>
<td></td>
<td>12.06</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>0.770</td>
<td>5.990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead 6.1</td>
<td>0.3175</td>
<td>66</td>
<td></td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>20.89</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>0.784</td>
<td>2.637</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teflon 4.03</td>
<td>0.3175</td>
<td>84</td>
<td></td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>6.35</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>1.201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teflon 6.0</td>
<td>0.3175</td>
<td>501</td>
<td></td>
<td>12.46</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>3.44</td>
<td>3.155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teflon 6.25</td>
<td>0.3175</td>
<td>34</td>
<td></td>
<td>12.06</td>
<td>1.94</td>
<td>2.68</td>
<td>0.704</td>
<td>6.970</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teflon 6.31</td>
<td>0.3175</td>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
<td>12.06</td>
<td>3.97</td>
<td>1.89</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>3.115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teflon 6.32</td>
<td>0.1000</td>
<td>534</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.76</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>0.436</td>
<td>3.654</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teflon 6.28</td>
<td>0.1000</td>
<td>547</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.76</td>
<td>3.94</td>
<td>2.16</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>3.267</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teflon 6.25</td>
<td>0.1000</td>
<td>536</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.76</td>
<td>3.94</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>2.963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al1100 3.85</td>
<td>0.3175</td>
<td>1166</td>
<td></td>
<td>12.06</td>
<td>3.18</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>0.853</td>
<td>2.390</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al1100 5.16</td>
<td>0.3175</td>
<td>1159</td>
<td></td>
<td>12.06</td>
<td>3.11</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>3.826</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al1100 5.87</td>
<td>0.3175</td>
<td>789</td>
<td></td>
<td>11.35</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>2.76</td>
<td>0.456</td>
<td>6.768</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al1100 5.89</td>
<td>0.3175</td>
<td>793</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.35</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>2.78</td>
<td>0.447</td>
<td>4.328</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al1100 5.86</td>
<td>0.3175</td>
<td>794</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.03</td>
<td>2.40</td>
<td>2.32</td>
<td>0.725</td>
<td>3.624</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al1100 6.03</td>
<td>0.3175</td>
<td>795</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.03</td>
<td>3.01</td>
<td>2.17</td>
<td>0.916</td>
<td>3.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al1100 5.95</td>
<td>0.3175</td>
<td>790</td>
<td></td>
<td>11.35</td>
<td>4.02</td>
<td>2.14</td>
<td>0.943</td>
<td>4.060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al1100 5.90</td>
<td>0.3175</td>
<td>740</td>
<td></td>
<td>13.02</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>2.11</td>
<td>0.966</td>
<td>3.670</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al6061 5.92</td>
<td>0.3175</td>
<td>1065</td>
<td></td>
<td>12.06</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>2.33</td>
<td>0.743</td>
<td>8.830</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al6061 6.00</td>
<td>0.3175</td>
<td>1480</td>
<td></td>
<td>12.06</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>2.43</td>
<td>0.680</td>
<td>8.951</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al6061 5.70</td>
<td>0.3175</td>
<td>1483</td>
<td></td>
<td>48.24</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>2.43</td>
<td>0.625</td>
<td>32.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al6061 6.06</td>
<td>0.3175</td>
<td>1057</td>
<td></td>
<td>12.06</td>
<td>2.04</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>7.678</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al6061 5.75</td>
<td>0.3175</td>
<td>1465</td>
<td></td>
<td>12.06</td>
<td>3.13</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>4.399</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al6061 5.90</td>
<td>0.3175</td>
<td>1479</td>
<td></td>
<td>48.24</td>
<td>3.13</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>22.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al6061 5.86</td>
<td>0.3175</td>
<td>1471</td>
<td></td>
<td>12.06</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>4.593</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al6061 5.90</td>
<td>0.3175</td>
<td>1477</td>
<td></td>
<td>48.24</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>1.72</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>18.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al6061 5.95</td>
<td>0.3175</td>
<td>1484</td>
<td></td>
<td>96.48</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>35.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al6160 5.65</td>
<td>0.3175</td>
<td>1470</td>
<td></td>
<td>12.06</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>2.436</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al6061 5.83</td>
<td>0.3175</td>
<td>1475</td>
<td></td>
<td>48.24</td>
<td>5.90</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>12.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al6061 5.72</td>
<td>0.3175</td>
<td>1469</td>
<td></td>
<td>12.06</td>
<td>5.95</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>1.342</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al6061 5.20</td>
<td>0.3175</td>
<td>1476</td>
<td></td>
<td>48.24</td>
<td>5.95</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>3.978</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al6061 6.00</td>
<td>0.3175</td>
<td>1481</td>
<td></td>
<td>12.06</td>
<td>7.81</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>2.78</td>
<td>0.929</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al6061 5.86</td>
<td>0.3175</td>
<td>1482</td>
<td></td>
<td>48.24</td>
<td>7.81</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>2.78</td>
<td>4.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al6061 5.91</td>
<td>0.3175</td>
<td>1058</td>
<td></td>
<td>12.06</td>
<td>10.51</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>2.323</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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The Aluminum 6061 target has been studied with a systematic change of $D_p/T$ values from 1.55 to 10.51. We tried to control the projectile impact velocity as close as we can to a fixed speed at 6 km/s. The stand-off distance from the target to the witness plate was altered for the different $D_p/T$ values. This procedure helped to uncover the center portion of the ring in much more detail. A major part of the projectile material was melted and the melted material spread on the witness plate produced a web-like pattern inside the ring. When the stand-off distance was increased, the web configuration was enhanced and linked the craters on the rim of the hole-saw ring into a circle. The craters inside the ring due to the secondary impact are mostly less than 50 μm for a $D_p/T$ ratio less than 4. When the $D_p/T$ ratio increased the smaller craters disappeared, and the ring diameter decreased as well.

When the $D_p/T$ ratio increases the diameter of the ring decreases and the average energy per unit mass, $\xi_b$, increases to the maximum value of $3.0 \times 10^{10}$ erg/gm at about $D_p/T = 8.5$.

For Teflon target, the graph shows the same pattern of the $D_p/T$ - Diameter relationship. The inconel target behaved quite differently.
The ring diameter increases with respect to $D_p/T$ ratio increases. After reached a maximum value, it decreased as $D_p/T$ ratio increased.

For aluminium 1100 alloy, the diameter of the ring reaches a maximum value at a early stage, then start to drop with respect to the increasing of $D_p/T$ ratio. After reaching a minimum value it started to increase.

### Aluminium 1100

**Crater Distribution On The Ring**

The craters observed on the hole-saw ring can be classified into four categories: (1) craters produced by multiple impacts, (2) craters produced by melted projectiles which formed a unusual shape, (3) long string like craters, and (4) single impact created craters. Most the craters that can be seen by the naked eye belong to the first three groups. We have rarely seen the clean, single large craters. The craters in the group (2) caused the most difficulty when we counted the craters and tried to measure the crater diameter. It was found that all the craters on the ring were produced by the projectiles. The melted projectile material, soda-lime glass, was observed in the craters. At $D_p/T = 4$,
the increase of the stand-off distance shifted the maximum crater occupied area from about 1500 \( \mu m \) craters to a double peak at 1100 \( \mu m \) and 1400 \( \mu m \) craters. The same behavior happened for the \( D_p/T \) ratio at 5. The later one shifted from 1200 \( \mu m \) to 1100 \( \mu m \) and 600 \( \mu m \). The crater distributions are shown in the following charts.

The first chart above is the witness plate located at 12.06 cm from the aluminium 6061 target. The second chart is the witness plate located at 28.24 cm from the target plate.

A crater equation by A. Watts (7),

\[
\left( \frac{d_e}{d_p} \right) = 1.0857 \left( \frac{\rho_p}{\rho_t} \right)^{0.2857} \left( \frac{\rho_t}{\gamma_t} \right)^{0.2857} \left( \frac{c_t}{c_p} \right)^{0.2857} \frac{u_o^{0.5714}}{\left( 1 + \left( \frac{\rho_p}{\rho_t} \right)^{1/2} \right)^{0.5714}}, \tag{8}
\]

was employed to calculate the fragment mass from the projectile which created the hole-saw ring. The fragment mass distribution is very similar to the crater distribution. Based
on this calculation, the total mass of the fragments that produced the craters on the ring was only a small fraction of the projectile mass.

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

The analytic model was used to calculate the functional relationship between the diameter of hole-saw ring and the \( D_p/T \) ratio by assuming that the average breakup energy per unit mass was constant. We selected a known ring diameter value to calculate the \( \xi_b \) value, and used the calculated result as a constant to calculate the ring diameter under a different \( D_p/T \) ratio in the same impact velocity regime. This procedure shows that the constant \( \xi_b \) value is not valid. Our ring diameters calculated by using Eq.(7) differ from the experiment result very much. The melting string and multiple impacted crater could be causes of the deviation.

A wide range of the \( D_p/T \) ratio for different target material was observed in our experiment. The ring patterns are observed at \( D_p/T = 1.98 \) to \( 4.02 \) for aluminium 1100 targets, at \( D_p/T = 1.55 \) to \( 10.51 \) for aluminium 6061 targets, at \( D_p/T = 4.41 \) to \( 9.98 \) for inconel targets, at \( D_p/T = 1.33 \) to \( 3.94 \) for teflon targets, and at \( D_p/T = 12.5 \) to \( 20.89 \) for lead targets.

During the ASEE/NASA summer program period, we have utilized the CTH hydrocode to simulate this complicated phenomenon. The specific ring patterns have not been reproduced successfully. Further investigation is needed. The special hole-saw ring pattern will serve as a very good boundary condition for the further understanding of the hypervelocity impact phenomena.
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