Observation of CH $A^2\Delta \rightarrow X^2\Pi$, and $B^2\Sigma^- \rightarrow X^2\Pi$, emissions in gas-phase collisions of fast O($^3P$) atoms with acetylene
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Optical emissions in single-collision, beam-beam reactions of fast (3–22-eV translational energy) O($^3P$) atoms with C2H2 have been measured in the wavelength range 300–850 nm. Two features were observed, one with a peak wavelength at 431 nm, corresponding to the CH $A^2\Delta \rightarrow X^2\Pi$, transition, and a second weaker emission in the range 380–400 nm corresponding to the $B^2\Sigma^- \rightarrow X^2\Pi$, transition. Both the $A \rightarrow X$ and $B \rightarrow X$ emissions were fit to a synthetic spectrum of CH($A$) at a vibrational temperature $T_v$ of 10000 K (0.86 eV) and a rotational temperature $T_r$ of approximately 5000 K (0.43 eV); and CH($B$) to $T_v$ = 2500 K (0.22 eV) and $T_r$ = 1000 K (0.09 eV). The energy threshold for the $A \rightarrow X$ emission was measured to be 7.3±0.4 eV (lab) or 4.5±0.2 eV (c.m.). This agrees with the energy threshold of 7.36 eV (lab) for the reaction O($^3P$)+C2H2→CH($A$)+HCO.

PACS number(s): 34.50.Lf

I. INTRODUCTION

Studies of low-energy atom-molecule collisions are useful in following the transition from endoergic to exoergic chemical reaction as the center-of-mass (c.m.) energy is increased in a controlled fashion. New reaction channels open at the higher energies, and by following emission intensity from a reaction product as a function of c.m. energy, one can identify the open reaction channel. In this paper we report results of optical emissions following the collision of low energy ($E_{\text{lab}}$ = 3–22 eV) O($^3P$) atoms with acetylene under single-collision, crossed-beams conditions. The observed emissions indicate that there is significant conversion of projectile translational energy to internal electronic excitation. Moreover, the absence of emission shows that certain open exit channels can clearly be favored over others. In the present work, one finds significant emission from CH in the $A^2\Delta$ state, but extremely weak emission from the open $B^2\Sigma^-$ channel. This is indicative of a small population in that level, very likely due to a potential barrier towards decay into CH($B$). By following the $A \rightarrow X$ emission intensity as a function of c.m. collision energy, we have also identified the reaction in this energy regime to be O($^3P$)+C2H2($X^1\Sigma^+$)→CH($A^2\Delta$)+CHO($X^2A'$).

II. EXPERIMENTAL METHODS

The atomic-oxygen (AO) source, target region, and spectrometer system used in these measurements were the same as those used previously in single-collision, beam-beam collision studies of the gaseous targets H2O, CO2 [1], HCN [2], and hydrazines molecules [3]. The retarding-potential difference method (RPD) used herein was also described in Ref. [2]. Briefly, the collision measurements are carried out in a uniform, high-intensity (6T) solenoidal magnetic field (see Fig. 1 of Ref. [4]). Magneitically confined electrons of 8.0-eV energy dissociatively attach to a beam of NO to form N+O($^3P$). The magnetically confined O$^-$ ions are accelerated to the desired final energy, and are separated from the electrons by a trochoidal deflector. The electrons are photodetached from the O$^-$ ions using all visible lines from a 20-W argon-laser in a multiple-pass geometry. The detachment fraction is 8–15%, depending upon the velocity of the O$^-$ ions through the detachment region. The resulting O atoms are left exclusively in the ground $^3P$ state. The O and (undetached) O$^-$ beams are then directed towards the acetylene target. The O$^-$ ions and any photodetached electrons are reflected prior to reaching the acetylene beam by a negative bias on the photon-collection mirror upstream of the target.

The RPD method was applied to the magnetically confined ions by biasing a grid placed before the sample holder, with the sample holder used as a charge collector. The O$^-$ current on the holder was measured as a function of negative retarding voltage on the grid. This technique measured only the axial energy width of the O$^-$ beam. The radial energy width is estimated to be about 0.1 eV or less [2]. The O($^3P$) energy distribution was taken from the derivative of the RPD-measured O$^-$($^3P$) distribution, with correction for the changing detachment efficiency across the energy bandwidth [2].

The acetylene beam is formed by effusion through a 1.0-mm-diam hypodermic needle. The target region was differentially pumped with a cryopump to maintain a pressure difference of 1.3×10$^{-4}$ Pa (source) and 2.7×10$^{-6}$ Pa (target) during operation. Base pressures were 1×10$^{-6}$ Pa and 7×10$^{-8}$ Pa at the source and target, respectively. Optical emissions from the collision region are collected with a spherical mirror and focused onto the entrance plane of a double-grating monochromator. Separate spectra of the emissions and backgrounds are recorded via multichannel scaling. The spectral resolution is 4.0 nm [full width at half maximum (FWHM)]. The principal sources of backgrounds are O$^-$
collisions with surfaces, and in the wavelength range 450–550 nm scattered light from the argon-ion laser and the directly heated electron filament. The acetylene was obtained commercially [5] with a minimum stated purity of 99.6%. It was not purified further. All valves and transfer lines were stainless steel.

III. EMISSION SPECTRUM AND REACTION THRESHOLDS

A. Emission spectrum

The relation between laboratory (lab) and center-of-mass (c.m.) energies is given by the standard expression [6]

$$E_{c.m.} = \frac{m_1 m_2}{m_1 + m_2} \left[ \frac{E_1^2 + E_2^2}{m_1 + m_2} - 2 \frac{E_1 E_2}{m_1 m_2} \right]^{1/2} \cos \theta$$

(1)

where $m_1$ and $E_1$ are masses and laboratory energies (subscripts 1,2 refer to O and the acetylene molecule, respectively). The energy of the acetylene beam is thermal ($E_2 = 0.04$ eV), and the angle $\theta$ between the AO and molecular beams is centered at 90° for crossed-beams collisions, with a total angular width $2 \Delta \theta$ estimated to be at most 20°. Contribution from the second term in Eq. (1) (order of 0.01 eV c.m. energy) is neglected, as is the effect (third term, order of 0.01-eV c.m. energy) of the $2 \Delta \theta$ width. The second and third terms are also of opposite sign and cancel at the $10^{-3}$-eV level. In this case Eq. (1) reduces to $E_{c.m.} = 0.619 E_1$. Equation (1) is based only upon the laboratory energies of the incident particles, and gives no information on the energy sharing between the outgoing particles in the given reaction channel.

The emission spectrum of the $\text{O}^{(3)P} + \text{C}_2\text{H}_2$ system is shown in Fig. 1 at a c.m. energy of 8.7 eV. The prominent feature peaking at 431 nm corresponds to the $A^{3} \Delta \rightarrow X^{2} \Pi$, emission, while the much weaker emission in the range 380–400 nm is the $B^{2} \Sigma^{-} \rightarrow X^{2} \Pi$, transition [7]. No other emissions in the range 380–850 nm were detected within present instrument sensitivity.

Simulation of the relative emission intensity within each band system for particular vibrational ($T_v$) and rotational ($T_r$) was carried out using a line-fitting program written in our laboratory [3]. The spectroscopic constants for $\text{CH}(A)$ and $\text{CH}(B)$ were taken from Ref. [7], Franck-Condon factors from Liszt and Smith [8], and rotational line strengths from Kovacs [9]. The calculated emission spectrum was convoluted with a Gaussian slit function of 4.0 nm (FWHM). Results of the fittings for the $A \rightarrow X$ and $B \rightarrow X$ emissions are indicated in Fig. 1. From a visual estimate, the derived temperatures were, for the $A \rightarrow X$ system, $T_v = 10,000$ K, $T_r = 5,000$ K; and for the $B \rightarrow X$ system, $T_v = 2,500$ K, $T_r = 1,000$ K. The fits are relatively insensitive to the rotational temperatures, since the ratio of rotational $B$ value to optical resolution is small (15 cm$^{-1}$/250 cm$^{-1}$).

In the case of the $\text{O}^{(3)P}$ reaction with monomethylhydrazine [3] no $B \rightarrow X$ was detected, even though the $A \rightarrow X$ emission was prominent. If the $A$ and $B$ states were populated equally, the $B \rightarrow X$ transition should have been about half as intense as the $A \rightarrow X$ emission. In the present reaction with acetylene, the intensity of the $B \rightarrow X$ emission is barely above the minimum detectable. No explanation for this phenomenon can be given, other than that a potential barrier may exist in this collision system towards decay to the $\text{CH}(B)$ state.

B. Reaction threshold

The RPD spectrum is shown in Fig. 2. The excitation function for the $A \rightarrow X$ emission is shown in Fig. 3. Here

FIG. 1. Measured (-----) and simulated (■■■■■) spectrum of the $\text{CH} A^{3} \Delta \rightarrow X^{2} \Pi$, and $\text{CH} B^{2} \Sigma^{-} \rightarrow X^{2} \Pi$, emission systems at 8.7-eV c.m. energy. The simulations correspond to vibrational and rotational temperatures of $T_v = 10,000$ K (0.86 eV) and $T_r = 5,000$ K (0.43 eV), respectively, for the $A$ state; and $T_v = 2,500$ K (0.22 eV) and $T_r = 1,000$ K (0.09 eV), respectively, for the $B$ state.

FIG. 2. Energy distribution of the $\text{O}^{(3)P}$ ions at an ion current of 10.8 µA as obtained from retarding potential difference (RPD) measurements. Shown are the $O^-$ and the $O^{(3)P}$ distributions, the latter obtained from the derivative of the RPD curve, corrected for the variation with $O^-$ velocity of detachment efficiency across the linewidth. The peak $O^{(3)P}$ energy here is $12.0 \pm 0.2$ eV and the FWHM is $1.2 \pm 0.1$ eV.
the monochromator wavelength was fixed at 431 nm (peak of the $A \rightarrow X$ emission), and the emission intensity monitored as a function of O(3P) energy. After unfolding the effects of the O(3P) energy distribution, one obtains a threshold energy of 7.3±0.4 eV (lab) or 4.5±0.2 eV (c.m.). As noted in Ref. [2] this threshold is likely an upper limit, since it includes additional vibrational, rotational, and translational energy in the CH + fragments; and thresholds often tend towards lower energies with increases in measurement sensitivity.

In order to identify the reaction involved, we list in Table I the enthalpies of possible reactions which can produce excited CH. Thermochemical data were taken from Ref. [10], and the supporting data used are given in Table II. The threshold results of Fig. 2 clearly point to reaction 4 as the reaction channel. A similar measurement could not be made for the $B \rightarrow X$ emission due to poor signal-to-background ratio. However, the fact that this channel is open at 8.7-eV c.m. energy (Fig. 1) is consistent with reaction 5 (threshold at 7.86 eV) as the principal channel.

### Table I. Threshold energies in the center-of-mass (c.m.) and laboratory (lab) frames for reactions of O(3P) and acetylene. Possible emitting species are indicated in bold type.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reaction number</th>
<th>Reaction</th>
<th>Threshold Energy (eV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>c.m.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>O(3P) + CH₃(X' ¹Σ⁺) → CH₂(X' ²Σ⁺) + H₂O(X' ¹A₁)</td>
<td>1.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>O(3P) + CH₃(X' ¹Σ⁺) → CH₂(X' ²Σ⁺) + CO(X' ¹Σ⁺)</td>
<td>-2.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>O(3P) + CH₃(X' ¹Σ⁺) → CH₂(X' ²Π) + CO(X' ²A')</td>
<td>1.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>O(3P) + CH₃(X' ¹Σ⁺) → CH₂(X' ²Π) + CO(X' ²A')</td>
<td>4.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>O(3P) + CH₃(X' ¹Σ⁺) → CH₂(X' ²Π) + CO(X' ²A')</td>
<td>4.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>O(3P) + CH₃(X' ¹Σ⁺) → CH₂(X' ²Π) + CO(X' ²A')</td>
<td>2.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>O(3P) + CH₃(X' ¹Σ⁺) → CH₂(X' ²Π) + CO(X' ²A')</td>
<td>-1.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>O(3P) + CH₃(X' ¹Σ⁺) → OH(X' ²Π) + CH₂(X' ²Σ⁺)</td>
<td>1.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>O(3P) + CH₃(X' ¹Σ⁺) → OH(X' ²Π) + CH₂(X' ²Σ⁺)</td>
<td>5.33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### C. Reaction dynamics

From the data of Table I and the measured threshold for the CH $A \rightarrow X$ emission we conclude that Reaction 4 is the dominant channel; and that the $B \rightarrow X$ emission very likely proceeds through reaction 5. For the sake of completeness, the present single-collision measurements can be compared with multiple-collision shock-wave studies of the reaction O(3P) + CH₃ [11] in which the primary step was reported as reaction 8. The emission CH $A \rightarrow X$ was also observed in that work, but was presumed to arise from reaction between two intermediates in the branching cycle:

![Excitation of the $A \rightarrow X$ emission at 431 nm as a function of O(3P) laboratory energy in the range 3–21 eV (curve A). The excitation function has been deconvoluted from the energy distribution of the O(3P) atoms (1.2-eV FWHM here; see also Fig. 3 of Ref. [2]).](image)
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**FIG. 3.** Excitation of the $A \rightarrow X$ emission at 431 nm as a function of O(3P) laboratory energy in the range 3–21 eV (curve A). The excitation function has been deconvoluted from the energy distribution of the O(3P) atoms (1.2-eV FWHM here; see also Fig. 3 of Ref. [2]). The excitation threshold is measured to be 7.3±0.4 eV (laboratory, as measured from the $A \times 3$ curve). Dotted portion at threshold (••••) is the intensity without deconvolution, showing effects of a high-energy tail in the O(3P) energy distribution. Threshold for reaction 4 (Table I) is indicated by arrow.
C_2H + O → CO + CH(A^2Δ).

This postulate was necessary in Ref. [11] since the shock temperature did not exceed 2 300 K (0.20 eV), insufficient to overcome the endoergicity of reaction 4 (Table I).

In contrast, a study of the reaction O(3P) + C_2H_2 using multiple-collision flow-tube techniques [12] showed the presence of well-known emissions due to CH(A), C_2(d^3Π_g), OH(A^2Σ^+), and electronically excited C_2H and HCCO. These species are again produced through reactions at room temperature via a multiple-step branching cycle. In the present studies (high collision energy and single collisions) it is more likely that the reactions occur through a harpoon process, whereby the fast O(3P) atom basically strips away the CH portion of the C_2H_2 collision partner in the fast flyby.
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