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NOTATION

A list of the symbols used throughout this document and their definitions is provided below for convenience.

Roman Symbols

\( a \) ... speed of sound
\( c_f \) ... skin friction coefficient
\( c_p \) ... gas specific heat at constant pressure
\( c_v \) ... gas specific heat at constant volume
\( e \) ... total internal energy
\( i \) ... first grid index of numerical solution
\( j \) ... second grid index of numerical solution
\( k \) ... third grid index of numerical solution or thermal conductivity
\( k_e \) ... turbulent kinetic energy
\( l \) ... Van Driest damping function
\( n \) ... rotational speed (revolutions per second) or time step level
\( p \) ... pressure
\( r \) ... radius or radial coordinate
\( t \) ... time
\( v_x \) ... velocity in the Cartesian coordinate system x direction
\( v_y \) ... velocity in the Cartesian coordinate system y direction
\( v_z \) ... velocity in the Cartesian coordinate system z direction
\( v_r \) ... velocity in the cylindrical coordinate system radial direction
\( v_\theta \) ... velocity in the cylindrical coordinate system circumferential direction
\( w_{rel} \) ... relative velocity in the circumferential direction \((= v_\theta - r\omega)\)
\( x \) ... Cartesian coordinate system coordinate
\( y \) ... Cartesian coordinate system coordinate
\( z \) ... Cartesian coordinate system coordinate
\( A^+ \) ... turbulence model constant

ADPAC'07... Advanced Ducted Propfan Analysis Code Version 07
ADPERF ... ADPAC post processing program for propellers
ADSPIN ... ADPAC post processing program
APPL ... NASA Application Portable Parallel Library
ASCII ... American Standard Code for Information Interchange
CFL ... Courant-Friedrichs-Lewy number \( \Delta t/\Delta t_{max,stable} \)
D ... diameter
F ... i coordinate direction flux vector
FAST ... NASA Flow Analysis Software Toolkit
G ... j coordinate direction flux vector
GRIDGEN ... Multiple block general purpose mesh generation system
GROOVY ... Treatment groove mesh generation program
H ... k coordinate direction flux vector
H\text{total} ... total enthalpy
J ... advance ratio \( (J = U/nD) \)
JerryC ... TRAF2D Airfoil Cascade C-Mesh Generation Program
K ... cylindrical coordinate system source vector
L ... reference length
M ... Mach number
MakeADGRID ... ADPAC multiple-block mesh assembly program
Mulac ... NASA-Lewis Compressor Mesh Generation Program
N ... Number of blades
Q ... vector of conserved variables
P ... turbulence kinetic energy production term
PatchFinder ... Multiple block mesh boundary data file construction routine
Plot3D ... NASA graphics flow visualisation program
Pr ... gas Prandtl Number
R ... gas constant or residual or maximum radius
Re ... turbulent Reynolds number
Re ... Reynolds Number
S ... surface area normal vector
SDBlIB ... Scientific DataBase Library (binary file I/O routines)
T ... Temperature
TRAF2D ... TRAF2D Navier-Stokes analysis code
TRAF3D ... TRAF3D Navier-Stokes analysis code
TOMC ... TRAF2D Airfoil Cascade C-Mesh Generation Program
U ... Freestream velocity (units of length/time)
\( \nabla \) ... volume
Greek Symbols

\( \gamma \) ... specific heat ratio
\( \Delta \) ... calculation increment
\( \epsilon \) ... turbulence dissipation parameter
\( \nabla \) ... gradient vector operator
\( \omega \) ... vorticity
\( \rho \) ... density
\( \mu \) ... coefficient of viscosity
\( \tau \) ... fictitious time or shear stress
\( \Pi_{i,j} \) ... fluid stress tensor
Subscripts

[1]... inlet value  
[2]... exit value  
[ax]... pertaining to the axial \( (x) \) cylindrical coordinate  
[coarse]... coarse mesh value  
[effective]... effective value  
[fine]... fine mesh value  
[freestream]... freestream value  
[i,j,k]... grid point index of variable  
[laminar]... laminar flow value  
[max]... maximum value  
[min]... minimum value  
[nearwall]... near wall value  
[non-dimensional]... non-dimensional value  
[r]... pertaining to the radial \( (r) \) cylindrical coordinate  
[ref]... reference value  
[stably]... value implied by linear stability  
[t]... turbulent flow value  
[tot]... total (stagnation) value  
[turbulent]... turbulent flow value  
[wall]... value at the wall  
[x]... pertaining to the \( x \) Cartesian coordinate  
[y]... pertaining to the \( y \) Cartesian coordinate  
[z]... pertaining to the \( z \) Cartesian coordinate  
[θ]... pertaining to the circumferential \( (\theta) \) cylindrical coordinate

Superscripts

[+]... Turbulent velocity profile coordinate  
[*]... Intermediate value  
[n]... Time step index  
[~]... (no overscore) nondimensional variable  
[\( \tilde{ } \)]... Dimensional variable  
[\( \bar{ } \)]... Time-averaged variable  
[\( \tilde{ } \)]... Density-weighted time-averaged variable  
[\( \hat{ } \)]... Vector variable  
[\( \widetilde{ } \)]... Tensor variable
Chapter 1

SUMMARY

The overall objective of this study was to develop a 3-D numerical analysis for compressor casing treatment flowfields, and to perform a series of detailed numerical predictions to assess the effectiveness of various endwall treatments for enhancing the efficiency and stall margin of modern high speed fan rotors. Particular attention was given to examining the effectiveness of endwall treatments to counter the undesirable effects of inflow distortion. The motivation behind this study was the relative lack of physical understanding of the mechanics associated with the effects of endwall treatments and the availability of detailed computational fluid dynamics (CFD) codes which might be utilized to gain a better understanding of these flows. The current version of the computer codes resulting from this study are referred to as ADPAC’07 (Advanced Ducted Propfan Analysis Codes-Version 7). This report is intended to serve as a computer program user’s manual for the ADPAC’07 code developed under Tasks VI and VII of NASA Contract NAS3-25270. The ADPAC’07 program is based on a flexible multiple-block grid discretization scheme permitting coupled 2-D/3-D mesh block solutions with application to a wide variety of geometries. Aerodynamic calculations are based on a four-stage Runge-Kutta time-marching finite volume solution technique with added numerical dissipation. Steady flow predictions are accelerated by a multigrid procedure. The consolidated code generated during this study is capable of executing in either a serial or parallel computing mode from a single source code.
Chapter 2

INTRODUCTION

This document contains the Computer Program User's Manual for the consolidated ADPAC07 (Advanced Ducted Propfan Analysis Codes - Version 7) Euler/Navier-Stokes analysis developed by the Allison Engine Company under Tasks VI and VII of NASA Contract NAS3-25270. The objective of the development of the ADPAC series of codes was to develop a three-dimensional time-marching Euler/Navier-Stokes analysis for aerodynamic/heat transfer analysis of modern turbomachinery flow configurations. The analysis is capable of predicting both steady state and time-dependent flowfields using coupled 2-D/3-D solution zooming concepts (described in detail in Section 2.3). The consolidated code was developed to be capable of either serial execution or parallel execution on massively parallel or workstation cluster computing platforms from a single source. The serial/parallel execution capability is determined at compilation. Throughout the rest of this document, the aerodynamic analysis is referred to as ADPAC07 to signify that it is version 7 of the ADPAC series of codes.

A theoretical development of the ADPAC07 program is outlined in the Final Report for Task VII of NASA Contract NAS3-25270 [21]. Additional information is presented in the Final Reports for Tasks V [4] and VIII [3] of NASA Contract NAS3-25270. In brief, the program utilizes a finite-volume, time-marching numerical procedure in conjunction with a flexible, coupled 2-D/3-D multiple grid block geometric representation to permit detailed aerodynamic simulations about complex configurations. The analysis has been tested and results verified for both turbomachinery and non-turbomachinery based applications. The ability to accurately predict the aerodynamics due to the interactions between adjacent components of modern, high-speed turbomachinery was of particular interest during this program, and therefore, emphasis is given to these types of calculations throughout the remainder of this document. It should be emphasized at this point that although the ADPAC07 program was developed to analyze the steady and unsteady aerodynamics of high-bypass ducted fans employing multiple blade rows, the code possesses many features which make it practical to compute a number of other complicated flow configurations as well.

2.1 Multiple-Block Solution Domain Concepts

In order to appreciate and utilize the features of the ADPAC07 solution system, the concept of a multiple-block grid system must be fully understood. It is expected that the reader
possesses at least some understanding of the concepts of computational fluid dynamics (CFD), so the use of a numerical grid to discretize a flow domain should not be foreign. Many CFD analyses rely on a single structured ordering of grid points upon which the numerical solution is performed. Multiple-block grid systems are different only in that several structured grid systems are used in harmony to generate the numerical solution. This concept is illustrated graphically in two dimensions for the flow through a nozzle in Figures 2.1-2.3.

The grid system in Figure 2.1 employs a single structured ordering, resulting in a single computational space to contend with. The mesh system in Figure 2.2 is comprised of two, separate structured grid blocks, and consequently, the numerical solution consists of two unique computational domains. In theory, the nozzle flowpath could be subdivided into any number of domains employing structured grid blocks resulting in an identical number of computational domains to contend with, as shown in the 20 block decomposition illustrated in Figure 2.3. The complicating factor in this domain decomposition approach is that the numerical solution must provide a means for the isolated computational domains to communicate with each other in order to satisfy the conservation laws governing the desired aerodynamic solution. Hence, as the number of subdomains used to complete the aerodynamic solution grows larger, the number of inter-domain communication paths increases in a corresponding manner. (It should be noted that this domain decomposition/communication overhead relationship is also a key concept in parallel processing for large scale computations, and thus, the ADPAC07 code possesses a natural domain decomposition division for parallel processing afforded by the multiple-block grid data structure.)

For the simple nozzle case illustrated in Figure 2.1 it would seem that there is no real advantage in using a multiple-block grid, and this is probably true. For more complicated geometries, such as the turbine vane coupled O-II grid system shown in Figure 2.4 and the corresponding computational domain communication scheme shown in Figure 2.5, it may not be possible to generate a single structured grid to encompass the domain of interest without sacrificing grid quality, and therefore, a multiple-block grid system has significant advantages.

The ADPAC07 code utilizes the multiple-block grid concept to the full extent by permitting an arbitrary number of structured grid blocks with user specifiable communication paths between blocks. The inter-block communication paths are implemented as a series of boundary conditions on each block which, in some cases, communicate flow information from one block to another. The advantages of the multiple-block solution concept are exploited throughout the remainder of this document as a means of treating complicated geometries, multiple blade row turbomachines of varying blade number, endwall treatments, and to exploit computational enhancements such as multigrid.

2.2 Multiple Blade Row Solution Concepts

Armed with an understanding of the multiple-block mesh solution concept discussed in the previous section, it is now possible to describe how this numerical solution technique can be applied to predict complicated flows. Specifically, this section deals with the prediction of flows through rotating machinery with multiple blade rows. Historically, the prediction of three-dimensional flows through multistage turbomachinery has been based on one of three solution schemes. These schemes are briefly illustrated and described in Figure 2.6.
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Figure 2.4: Coupled O-II grid system for a turbine vane cascade
ADPAC 3-D O-H Turbine Grid Mesh Structure Illustration

Computational Domain

Block #2 (Forward H-Grid)

Block #1 (O-Grid)

Block #3 (Rearward H-Grid)

Block to Block Communication Patches

Figure 2.5: Computational domain communication scheme for turbine vane O-H grid system
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Figure 2.6: Multiple Blade Row Numerical Solution Schemes
Multiple Blade Row Solution Concepts

The first scheme involves predicting the time-resolved unsteady aerodynamics resulting from the interactions occurring between relatively rotating blade rows. Examples of this type of calculation are given by Rao and Delaney [7], Jorgensen and Chima [8], and Rai [12]. This approach requires either the simulation of multiple blade passages per blade row, or the incorporation of a phase-lagged boundary condition to account for the differences in spatial periodicity for blade rows with dissimilar blade counts. Calculations of this type are typically computationally expensive, and are presently impractical for machines with more than 2-3 blade rows.

The second solution technique is based on the average-passage equation system developed by Adamczyk [9]. In this approach, separate 3-D solution domains are defined for each blade row which encompasses the overall domain for the entire turbomachine. The individual solution domains are specific to a particular blade row, although all blade row domains share a common axisymmetric flow. In the solution for the flow through a specific blade passage, adjacent blade rows are represented by their time and space-averaged blockage, body force, and energy source contributions to the overall flow. A correlation model is used to represent the time and space-averaged flow fluctuations representing the interactions between blade rows. The advantage of the average-passage approach is that the temporally and spatially averaged equations system reduce the solution to a steady flow environment, and, within the accuracy of the correlation model, the solution is representative of the average aerodynamic condition experienced by a given blade row under the influence of all other blade rows in the machine. The disadvantage of the average-passage approach is that the solution complexity and cost grow rapidly as the number of blade rows increases, and the accuracy of the correlation model is as yet unverified.

The third approach for the prediction of flow through multistage turbomachinery is based on the mixing plane concept. A mixing plane is an arbitrarily imposed boundary inserted between adjacent blade rows across which the flow is "mixed out" circumferentially. This circumferential mixing approximates the time-averaged condition at the mixing plane and allows the aerodynamic solution for each blade passage to be performed in a steady flow environment. The mixing plane concept was applied to realistic turbofan engine configurations by Dawes [10]. Flow variables on either side of the mixing plane are circumferentially averaged and passed to the neighboring blade row as a means of smearing out the circumferential nonuniformities resulting from dissimilar blade counts. The mixing plane concept is a much more cost-effective approach computationally because the flow is steady, and the individual blade passage domains are limited to a near-blade region. Unfortunately, the accuracy of this approach is clearly questionable under some circumstances because of the placement of the mixing plane and the loss of spatial information resulting from the circumferential averaging operator.

The ADPA07 program possesses features which permit multiple blade row solutions using either the time-dependent interaction approach or the mixing plane concept, described above. Average-passage simulations for realistic turbofan engine configurations were reported under Task IV of this contract, and further details on this approach can be found in Reference [11]. ADPA07 predictions utilizing the time-accurate rotor/stator interaction technique requires that a sufficient number of blade passages be represented in each row such that the circumferential distance represented in each blade row is constant. This limits the blade counts which can be effectively simulated through this technique. For example, for the simple single-stage calculation suggested in Figure 2.6, if the rotor has 36 blades and the stator has 18 blades, a time dependent solution would require, as a minimum, 3 rotor blade passages and 4 stator blade passages to accommodate the common circumferential
pitch requirement. If the rotor has 35 blades, and the stator has 47 blades, however, then both blade rows would require that every blade passage be modeled as no simpler reduction in blade count is possible. This restriction will appear quite often, as turbomachinery designers often do not like to design neighboring blade rows with blade counts which have a common integer factor. Ultimately, this type of problem will require the incorporation of a phase-lagged boundary condition which would permit time-dependent interaction solutions for neighboring blades using only one blade passage per blade row.

If, instead, a mixing plane type of calculation is desired, then the multiple block scheme may again be invoked by utilizing a single blade passage per blade row, where each grid block has a common mating surface with a neighboring blade row. The only special requirement here is that boundary condition routines be available to adequately perform the circumferential averaging between blade rows and supply the block-to-block communication of this information in the multiple-block mesh solution algorithm. Section 3.7 describes the techniques for applying this type of boundary condition.

2.3 Endwall Treatment Solution Concepts

In this section, numerical techniques used to predict turbomachinery flowfields with endwall treatments are described. The general approach is to exploit the multiple block mesh capabilities of the ADPA07 flow solver to couple endwall treatment and blade passage flowfields. Separate computational domains (mesh blocks) may be utilized for both the blade passage and at least one (or more) endwall treatment passages (grooves, slots, recessed vanes, etc.). Three specialized boundary conditions were developed to couple the independent flow domains. These boundary conditions result from various degrees of modeling assumptions used to simplify the blade passage/treatment passage aerodynamic interaction. Each of the three boundary conditions and the assumptions inherent to each approach are described in detail below.

For the prediction of compressor endwall treatment flows, separate numerical mesh systems are utilized for both the compressor airfoil blade passages and the endwall treatment passages. The three different boundary condition procedures available to couple the endwall treatment/blade passage flowfields are illustrated graphically in Figure 2.7. The first technique, referred to as the direct-coupled approach, is utilized for those cases where there is a direct correspondence between mesh points in the treatment meshes and the blade passage meshes at the endwall interface. This construction permits a direct, point to point transfer of information from one mesh block to another. This approach is limited to geometries for which contiguous mesh systems can be generated, which, in general, is limited to circumferential groove casing treatments.

The second approach, referred to as the endwall treatment time-average approach, is applied to endwall treatments which are non-axisymmetric in nature. This type of treatment includes discrete axial or blade angle slots, recessed vane sets, and circumferential grooves. The primary objective of this approach was to develop a numerical coupling scheme for discrete treatments which would represent the time-averaged influence of the treatment passage/blade passage aerodynamic interaction. This approach is restricted to steady state flows due to the time-averaged assumption inherent in the procedure, but simplifies the analysis in that only a single blade passage and a single discrete endwall treatment passage requires modeling in the overall solution. The endwall
direct coupled boundary procedure

endwall treatment time-average boundary procedure

time-accurate boundary procedure

treatment time-average boundary treatment is based on a concept similar to the “mixing plane” (see e.g. [10], [4]) treatment described for multiple blade row flowfield predictions. At the interface between the blade passage and the endwall treatment passage, flow data in each domain are circumferentially averaged (which represents a time average for a constant rotational speed, given the relative motion between the rotor and treatment passages), and passed to the neighboring domain as a boundary condition. The circumferentially averaged data from the treatment passage is modified to account for the finite intervals of endwall separating adjacent treatment passages from the rotor point of view. The boundary conditions for the blade passage are then constructed as a linear average of the circumferentially-averaged flow representation from the treatment grid and the known no-slip endwall boundary conditions. The linear average is based on the ratio of the circumferential extents of the treatment passage and intervening endwall. This scheme is illustrated graphically in Figure 2.7. Mathematically, for any variable \( \phi \), the boundary condition for the blade passage at the treatment interface is computed as:

\[
\phi_{\text{boundary}} = \left( \frac{\Delta \theta_{\text{treat}}}{\Delta \theta_{\text{total}}} \right) \phi + \left( \frac{\Delta \theta_{\text{endwall}}}{\Delta \theta_{\text{total}}} \right) \phi_{\text{no-slip}}
\]

where \( \phi_{\text{boundary}} \) is the boundary value applied for the blade passage, the \( \Delta \theta \) terms are described on Figure 2.7. \( \phi \) represents a circumferential average of the data across the open area of the treatment, and \( \phi_{\text{no-slip}} \) represents the appropriate no-slip boundary variables applied to the endwall portion of the overall treatment representation.

The third coupling procedure is referred to as the time-accurate coupling procedure. This procedure is utilized for detailed time-dependent solutions of the endwall treatment/rotor aerodynamic interactions, and is utilized in much the same way as rotor/stator interactions are computed in multistage turbomachinery flowfields. This approach was limited to treatment geometries which were reducible to small integer numbers of treatments per blade.
passage. This was done in order to minimize the circumferential extent of the rotor or treatment passages which must be modeled in order to employ a spatially periodic relationship for the overall blade passage/treatment representation.

2.4 2-D/3-D Solution Zooming Concepts

A fourth unique feature of the ADPAC07 solution system involves the concept of coupling two-dimensional and three-dimensional solution domains to obtain representative simulations of realistic high bypass ducted fan engine concepts. A complicating factor in the analysis of flows through turbofan engine systems results from the interactions between adjacent blade rows, and, in the case of a ducted fan, the effects of downstream blade rows on the aerodynamics of the upstream fan rotor. Historically, in the design of multistage turbomachinery, an axisymmetric representation of the flow through a given blade row has been used to effectively reduce the complexity of the overall problems to a manageable level. Similarly, an efficient approach to the numerical simulation of downstream blade rows could naturally utilize an axisymmetric representation of the effects of these rows through a two-dimensional grid system, with blade blockage, body force, and energy terms representing the axisymmetric averaged aerodynamic influence imparted by the embedded blade row. This concept is illustrated graphically in Figure 2.8 for a representative turbine stage.

A numerical solution of the flow through the fan rotor is complicated by the presence of the core stator, bypass stator, and bypass splitter. It is undesirable to restrict the solution domain to the fan rotor alone as this approach neglects the potential interactions between the fan rotor and the downstream geometry. The ADPAC07 program permits coupled solutions of 3-D and 2-D mesh blocks with embedded blade row blockage, body force, and energy terms as a means of efficiently treating these more complicated configurations. Blade force terms may be determined from a separate 3-D solution, or may be directly specified based on simpler design system analyses. Neighboring 2-D and 3-D mesh blocks are numerically coupled through a circumferential averaging procedure which attempts to globally satisfy the conservation of mass, momentum and energy across the solution domain interface. The “dimensional zooming” capability permitted by the 2-D/3-D mesh coupling scheme is considered a vital asset for the accurate prediction of the flow through modern high-speed turbofan engine systems.

2.5 Multigrid Convergence Acceleration Concepts

For completeness, a brief section is included here to discuss the multigrid convergence acceleration solution technique incorporated into the ADPAC07 code. Multigrid (please do not confuse this with a multiple-block grid!) is a numerical solution technique which attempts to accelerate the convergence of an iterative process (such as a steady flow prediction using a time-marching scheme) by computing corrections to the solution on coarser meshes and propagating these changes to the fine mesh through interpolation. This operation may be recursively applied to several coarsenings of the original mesh to effectively enhance the overall convergence. Coarse meshes are derived from the preceding finer mesh by eliminating every other mesh line in each coordinate direction as shown in Figure 2.9. As a result, the number of multigrid levels (coarse mesh divisions) is controlled by the mesh size, and, in
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Figure 2.8: 2-D axisymmetric flow representation of a turbomachinery blade row
the case of the \textit{ADPAC\textcopyright 07} code, by the mesh indices of the boundary patches used to define the boundary conditions on a given mesh block (see Figure 2.9). These restrictions suggest that mesh blocks should be constructed such that the internal boundaries and overall size coincide with numbers which are compatible with the multigrid solution procedure (i.e., the mesh size should be 1 greater than any number which can be divided by 2 several times and remain whole numbers: e.g. 9, 17, 33, 65 etc.) Further details on the application of the \textit{ADPAC\textcopyright 07} multigrid scheme are given in Section 3.6 and in Reference [4].

A second multigrid concept which should be discussed is the so-called "full" multigrid startup procedure. The "full" multigrid method is used to start up a solution by initiating the calculation on a coarse mesh, performing several time-marching iterations on that mesh (which, by the way could be multigrid iterations if successively coarser meshes are available), and then interpolating the solution at that point to the next finer mesh, and repeating the entire process until the finest mesh level is reached. The intent here is to generate a reasonably approximate solution on the coarser meshes before undergoing the expense of the fine mesh multigrid cycles using a "grid sequencing" technique. Again, the "full" multigrid technique only applies to starting up a solution, and therefore, it is not normally advisable to utilize this scheme when the solution is restarted from a previous solution as the information provided by the restart data will likely be lost in the coarse mesh initialization.

\section{2.6 General Solution Procedure Sequence}

The \textit{ADPAC\textcopyright 07} code is distributed as a compressed \textit{tar} file which must be processed before the code may be utilized. The instructions in Appendix A describe how to obtain the distribution file, and extract the necessary data to run the code. This operation is typically required only once when the initial distribution is received. Once the source files have been extracted, the sequence of tasks listed below are typical of the events required to perform a successful analysis using the \textit{ADPAC\textcopyright 07} code.

\textbf{Step 1.) Define the problem:}

This step normally involves selecting the geometry and flow conditions, and defining which specific results are desired from the analysis. The definition of the problem must involve specifying whether steady state or time-dependent data are required, whether an inviscid calculation is sufficient, or whether a viscous flow solution is required, and some idea of the relative merits of solution accuracy versus solution cost (CPU time) must be considered.

\textbf{Step 2.) Define the geometry and flow domain:}

Typically, geometric features such as airfoils, ducts, and flowpath endwalls are required to geometrically define a given problem. The solution domain may be chosen to include the external flow, internal engine passage flows, and/or leakage flows. The flow domain is normally defined large enough such that the region of interest is far enough away from the external boundaries of the problem to ensure that the solution is not unduly influenced by the external boundary conditions.

\textbf{Step 3.) Define a block structure:}

Once the geometry and solution domain has been numerically defined, the implementation of the solution mesh structure must be considered. This process begins with a
Multigrid Mesh Level Decomposition

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fine Mesh (Level 1)</th>
<th>Coarse Mesh (Level 2)</th>
<th>Coarse Mesh (Level 3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Every other mesh line removed to define next mesh level

Grid lines defining mesh boundaries (blade trailing edge, inlet/exit planes, etc.) must be consistent with the mesh coarsening process (cannot remove a mesh line defining a boundary in the coarsening process)

Figure 2.9: Multigrid mesh coarsening strategy and mesh index relation
determination of the domain block structure, if and when more than one mesh block is required for a given solution. The possibility of incorporating 2-D mesh blocks should be considered whenever possible due to the computational savings afforded by this approach (see Section 2.3).

Step 4.) Generate a numerical grid for the domain of interest:

Most of the standard grid block structures defined in this document can be adequately handled through either the TIGG3D [18] or the GRIDGEN [19] grid generation programs. Other grid generation programs may be equally useful, and a conversion program called MAKEADGRID (described in Chapter 7) is included to convert non-standard meshes into ADPAC07 format.

Step 5.) Generate a standard input file:

The standard input file controls operations specific to a particular run of the ADPAC07 code. Options such as the number of iterations, damping parameters, and input/output control of the code execution may all be governed by the values specified in the standard input file.

Step 6.) Generate a boundary data file:

The boundary data file controls the application of boundary conditions on the grid block structure provided to the flow code. The boundary data specifications are specific to the mesh being used in a given calculation. For other block configurations, the user must construct the boundary data file by hand according to the format described in Section 3.7. A program is provided (PATCHFINDER) in the ADPAC07 standard distribution to aid the user in locating contiguous block interface connections for multiple block meshes.

Step 7.) Subdivide the problem for parallel execution:

For execution across multiple processors, it may be necessary to subdivide the original block structure to permit the use of additional processors, or to aid in load balancing. The SIXPAC' program is provided for this purpose.

Step 7.) Define the computing environment:

For parallel calculations, it is necessary to construct the proedef file which defines the computing environment (machine name, number of processes, etc.). The relationship between the number of processors and the number of mesh blocks should not be ignored, as it is up to the user to adequately balance the overall problem in a multiprocessor computing environment.

Step 8.) Run ADPAC07 to predict the aerodynamics:

Chapter 3 is available to describe the commands necessary to perform this task. A sample test case is also completely outlined in Appendix A. In many cases, a given calculation will involve several applications of the ADPAC07 code, restarted from the previous calculation as a means of breaking up a large problem into several shorter calculations.

Step 9.) Consolidate the block structure:

For solutions which have utilized the block subdivision process (SIXPAC', see above) it may be useful to consolidate the subdivided problem back into the original block structure. The BACKPAC' program is provided for this purpose.

Step 10.) Plot and process the results:
An interactive post processing program called ADSPIN is provided to handle tasks such as mass-averaging flow variables to simplify the interpretation of the computed results (see Chapter 4). Output data is also provided for widely available plotting programs such as PLOT3D [14] and FAST [16].

A condensed description of the commands involved in the steps described above beginning with extracting the source code from the distribution, compiling the codes, setting up a case, and running a case, is given in the Appendix. Separate sections are provided in the chapters which follow to describe in detail the basis and operation of the codes used in the steps above.

It is worthwhile mentioning that the development and application of the codes described in this manual were performed on Unix-based computers. All files are stored in machine-independent format. Small files utilize standard ASCII format, while larger files, which benefit from some type of binary storage format, are based on the Scientific DataBase Library (SDBLIB) format [13]. The SDBLIB format utilizes machine-dependent input/output routines which permit machine independence of the binary data file. The SDBLIB routines were developed at the NASA Lewis Research Center.

Most of the plotting and graphical postprocessing of the solutions was performed on graphics workstations. The PLOT3D [14], and FAST [16] graphics software packages developed at NASA Ames Research Center were extensively used for this purpose, and data files for these plotting packages are generated automatically. These data files are written in what is known as PLOT3D multiple-grid format. (See ADPAC'07 File Description, Section 3.5).

2.7 Consolidated Serial/Parallel Code Capability

One of the practical difficulties of performing CFD analyses is finding sufficient computational resources to allow for adequate modeling of complex geometries. Oftentimes, workstations are not large enough, and supercomputers have either long queues, high costs, or both. Clearly, a means of circumventing these difficulties without giving up the flexibility of the CFD code or the complexity of the model would be welcome. One possibility is to write a code which could run in parallel across a number of processors, with each one having only a piece of the problem. Then, a number of lesser machines could be harnessed together to make a virtual supercomputer.

The most likely candidates for creating such a machine are the workstations which are fully loaded during the day, but sit idle at night. Tremendous power could be made available at no extra cost. There are also massively parallel computers available on the market designed specifically for such applications. These machines are aiming at order of magnitude improvements over present supercomputers.

The problem, of course, lies in the software. Parallelization is today about as painful as vectorization was a decade ago. There is no standard parallel syntax, and no compiler exists which can automatically and effectively parallelize a code. It is difficult to write a parallel code which is platform independent. What makes things worse is that there is no clear leader in the parallel computer industry, as there has been in the supercomputer industry.

The objective behind the development of the consolidated ADPAC'07 code described in this manual was to create a platform independent parallel code. The intent was to design a parallel code which looks and feels like a traditional code, capable of running on networks of
workstations, on massively parallel computers, or on the traditional supercomputer. User
effort was to be minimized by creating simple procedures to migrate a serial problem into
the parallel environment and back again.

2.8 Parallelization Strategy

The \textit{ADPA'07} code has some innate advantages for parallelization: it is an explicit, multi-
block solver with a very flexible implementation of the boundary conditions. This presents
two viable options for parallelization: parallelize the internal solver (the "fine-grained" appoch), or parallelize only the boundary conditions (the "coarse-grained" approach).
The fine-grained approach has the advantage that block size is not limited by processor size.
This is the approach frequently taken when writing code for massively parallel computers,
which are typically made up of many small processors. The coarse-grained approach is
favored when writing code for clusters of workstations, or other machines with a few large
processors. The dilemma is that a parallel \textit{ADPA'07} needs to run well on both kinds of
machines.

The fine grained approach is especially enticing for explicit solvers. Explicit codes have
proven to be the easiest to parallelize because there is little data dependency between points.
For a single block explicit solver, fine-grained parallelization is the clear choice. However,
with a multiblock solver, the boundary conditions must be parallelized in addition to the
interior point solver, and that can add a lot of programming effort. The coarse-grained
approach is admittedly easier for multi-block solvers, but what if the blocks are too big for
the processors? The simplest answer is to require the user to block out the problem so that
it fits on the chosen machine. This satisfies the programmer, but the user is faced with a
tedious chore. If the user decides to run on a different machine, then the job may have to
be redone. The pain saved by the programmer is passed directly to the user.

A compromise position was reached for parallel \textit{ADPA'07} code. The coarse-grained
approach is used, but supplemental tools are provided to automatically generate new grid
blocks and boundary conditions for a user-specified topography. In this way, the parallel
portions of the code are isolated to a few routines within \textit{ADPA'07} , and the user is not
unduly burdened with architecture considerations. Details of running \textit{ADPA'07} in parallel
are given in a later chapter.
Chapter 3

ADPAC07 : 3-D
EULER/NAVIER-STOKES
FLOW SOLVER OPERATING
INSTRUCTIONS

3.1 Introduction to ADPAC07

This chapter contains the operating instructions for the ADPAC07 time-dependent multiple grid block 3-D Euler/Navier-Stokes aerodynamic analysis. These instructions include some general information covering executing the code, defining array limits, compiling the flow solver, setting up input files, running the code, and examining output data. The ADPAC07 flow solver source programs are written in FORTRAN 77, and have been used successfully on Cray UNICOS and IBM VM/CMS mainframe computer systems as well as IBM AIX Operating System and Silicon Graphics workstations using a UNIX operating system.

3.2 General Information Concerning the Operation of the ADPAC07 Code

Approximate computational storage and CPU requirements for the ADPAC07 code can be conservatively estimated from the following formulas:

- CPU sec \( \approx 4.0 \times 10^{-5} \times \text{grid points} \times \text{iterations} \)
- Memory MW \( \approx 6.0 \times 10^{-5} \times \text{grid points} = \text{real number storage locations} \)

These formulas are valid for a Cray-C90 computer operating under the UNICOS environment and the cf77 compiler, version 6.0.1.5. The times reported are for a single processor only, and are not indicative of any parallelization available through the Cray autotasking or microtasking facilities. The formulas are based on the standard, explicit solution algorithm using the algebraic turbulence model. Use of the implicit flow solver or higher order turbulence model could effectively increase both numbers by a factor of 1.4 or more. Use of parallel processing can substantially reduce these estimates on a per CPU basis. Without multigrid, steady inviscid flow calculations normally require approximately 2000 iterations.
to reduce the maximum residual by three orders of magnitude ($10^3$) which is normally an acceptable level of convergence for most calculations. Viscous flow calculations generally require 3000 or more iterations to converge. When multigrid is used, the number of iterations required to obtain a converged solution is often one third to one fourth the number of iterations required for a non-multigrid calculation. Convergence for a viscous flow case is generally less well behaved than a corresponding inviscid flow calculation, and in many cases, it is not possible to reduce the maximum residual by three orders of magnitude due to oscillations resulting from vortex shedding, shear layers, etc. A determination of convergence for a viscous flow case must often be based on observing the mass flow rate, pressure ratio, or other global parameter, and terminating the calculation when these variables no longer change. The number of iterations required for an unsteady flow calculation is highly case-dependent, and may be based on mesh spacing, overall time-period, complexity of the flow, etc.

The *ADPAC07* program produces output files suitable for plotting using the *PLOT3D* [14], *SURF* [15], and *FAST* [16] graphics software packages developed at the NASA Ames Research Center. *PLOT3D* format data files are written for both absolute and relative flows (see Chapter 2 for a description of the *PLOT3D* format). The user may also elect to have additional *PLOT3D* absolute flow data files output at constant iteration intervals during the course of the solution. These files may be used as instantaneous flow “snapshots” of an unsteady flow prediction.

### 3.3 Configuring *ADPAC07* Maximum Array Dimensions

The first step required before attempting to compile and run the *ADPAC07* program is to set the maximum array size required for the analysis prior to the compilation process. The maximum array size will ultimately determine the largest problem (in terms of total number of mesh points) which can be run with the code. The larger the array limits, the larger the number of grid points which may be used. Unfortunately, setting larger array limits also increases the total amount of memory required by the program, and hence, can impede the execution of the code on memory-limited computing systems. Ideally, the code should be dimensioned just large enough to fit the problem at hand. It should be mentioned that storage requirements are dependent on whether the multigrid convergence acceleration technique is used or not. This dependency is explained in more detail in the paragraphs which follow. Approximate total computational storage and CPU requirements can be estimated for the *ADPAC07* aerodynamic analysis from the formulas listed in Section 3.2.

Array dimensions are specified in the *ADPAC07* program by a set of FORTRAN PARAMETER statements. The array limits are specified in the source code file `parameter.inc`. A sample `parameter.inc` file is given below:

```fortran
parameter ( nbmax = 101 )
parameter ( nra3d = 150000 )
parameter ( nraid = 3200 )
parameter ( nb12d = 28000 )
parameter ( nraint = 1 )
parameter ( nbcpbl = 7 )
parameter ( nbfra = 65000 )
```
Each statement in the `parameter.inc` file is ultimately embedded in every subroutine through a FORTRAN `include` statement. During execution, the ADPAC07 program automatically checks to make sure enough storage is available for all the blocks and issues a fatal error message if an array size is exceeded.

Before proceeding with a description of the various parameter variables, it should be mentioned that a computational tool is available called ADSTAT which will read in an ADPAC07 ready mesh file and determine the required parameter sizes for either a multigrid or non-multigrid run. The ADSTAT program is described in more detail in Chapter 10.

The various `PARAMETER` variables utilized in the `parameter.inc` file are described below.

**NBMAX**
The parameter NBMAX defines the maximum number of grid blocks permitted during execution of the ADPAC07 multiple block solver. This number must be large enough to include every level of coarse mesh blocks created during a multigrid run. The ADPAC07 code exploits the multiple block mesh structure during multigrid runs by creating and storing coarse mesh blocks from the corresponding fine mesh blocks. In other words, if it is intended to run a 5 block mesh with 3 levels of multigrid, then the parameter NBMAX must be at least 15.

**NRA3D**
The parameter NRA3D defines the maximum total number of computational cells permitted for the finite volume time-marching algorithm. This parameter essentially limits the maximum total number of mesh points (including multigrid coarse meshes, when applicable) which are permitted during an ADPAC07 run. The minimum value for the NRA3D parameter for a given mesh system may be calculated as follows:

\[
NRA3D \geq \sum_{m=1}^{m=NBLKS} [(IMX)_m + 1][(JM X)_m + 1][(KMX)_m + 1]
\]

where \((IMX)_m\), \((JM X)_m\), and \((KMX)_m\) indicate the number of mesh points in the \(i\), \(j\), and \(k\) mesh coordinate directions, respectively, for mesh block \(m\), and \(NBLKS\) is the total number of grid blocks. Sample calculations of the minimum value for the NRA3D parameter for a multiple block mesh are provided below.

Suppose we intend to perform a solution on a mesh consisting of 3 mesh blocks with 49x17x17, 25x17x17, and 129x33x49 mesh points, respectively. For a non-multigrid calculation, the total number of mesh blocks is simply 3, and the minimum value for parameter NRA3D may be computed as:

\[
NRA3D = (49 + 1)(17 + 1)(17 + 1) + (25 + 1)(17 + 1)(17 + 1) + (129 + 1)(33 + 1)(49 + 1) = 245,621
\]
If, using the same mesh system, it is desired to employ 3 levels of multigrid, additional storage must also be allocated for the coarse mesh systems, and the minimum value for parameter \( NRA3D \) must be recomputed as:

\[
\begin{align*}
(NRA3D)_1 &= -(49+1)(17+1)(17+1) + (25+1)(17+1)(17+1) \\
&= (129+1)(33+1)(49+1) = 245.624 \\
(NRA3D)_2 &= -(25+1)(9+1)(9+1) + (13+1)(9+1)(9+1) \\
&= (65+1)(17+1)(25+1) = 34.888 \\
(NRA3D)_3 &= -(13+1)(5+1)(5+1) + (7+1)(5+1)(5+1) \\
&= (33+1)(9+1)(13+1) = 5.552 \\
NRA3D &= (NRA3D)_1 + (NRA3D)_2 + (NRA3D)_3 = 286.064 
\end{align*}
\]

The requirement that the parameter variable \( NRA3D \) (and others) be based on array sizes 1 element larger than the grid dimensions results from the use of phantom points outside the computational domain to impose the numerical boundary conditions.

**NBL2D**

The parameter \( NBL2D \) is used to define the size of the temporary 2-D arrays utilized during the advancement of the time-marching algorithm for a given mesh block. As such, the parameter is based on the largest single dimension of any mesh block (2-D or 3-D) and may be determined by the following formula:

\[
NBL2D \geq (\max_{m=1,NBLKS}[(IMX)_m + 1.(JMX)_m + 1.(KMX)_m + 1])^2
\]

where the variables \( IMX, JMX, KMX, NBLKS \) are defined in the section describing \( NRA3D \) above.

Returning to the example mesh system utilized in the description of the parameter \( NRA3D \), the minimum value for the parameter \( NBL2D \) may be computed as:

\[
NBL2D = (129+1)^2 = 16900
\]

This value is unchanged regardless of the number of multigrid levels since coarser meshes always result in smaller mesh sizes.

**NRA1D**

The parameter \( NRA1D \) is used to define the size of several 1-D arrays used to do various bookkeeping operations during the execution of the \( ADPA07 \) code. As such, the parameter is based on the sum of the maximum single dimension of all mesh blocks in the following manner:

\[
NRA1D \geq \sum_{m=1}^{m=NBLKS} \max[(IMX)_m + 1.(JMX)_m + 1.(KMX)_m + 1]
\]

Returning to the example mesh system utilized in the description of the parameter \( NRA3D \), the minimum value for the parameter \( NRA1D \) for a non-multigrid run be determined as:

\[
NRA1D = (49+1) + (25+1) + (129+1) = 206
\]
and for a 3 level multigrid run as:

\[
(NRA1D)_1 = (49 + 1) + (25 + 1) + (129 + 1) = 206
\]

\[
(NRA1D)_2 = (25 + 1) + (13 + 1) + (65 + 1) = 106
\]

\[
(NRA1D)_3 = (13 + 1) + (7 + 1) + (33 + 1) = 56
\]

\[
NRA1D = (NRA1D)_1 + (NRA1D)_2 + (NRA1D)_3 = 368
\]

**NBCPBL**

The parameter **NBCPBL** is used to define the size of the arrays used to store the boundary condition specifications for a given ADPAC07 run. Since the number of boundary conditions normally scales according to the number of mesh blocks (as a minimum, 6 boundary conditions are required for each 3-D mesh block, see Section 3.7), the parameter **NBCPBL** implies the maximum number of boundary conditions per block, and the overall number of boundary conditions is determined by multiplying the parameters **NBMAX** and **NBCPBL**. It should be noted that a single block can, in fact, possess more than **NBCPBL** boundary condition specifications as long as the total number of boundary condition specifications for the entire problem does not exceed **NBMAX** + **NBCPBL**.

**NRAINT**

The parameter **NRAINT** is used to define the size of the temporary arrays used to store interpolation data for the non-contiguous mesh patching boundary condition specification **PINT**, described in Section 3.7. The **PINT** specification controls the numerical coupling between two mesh blocks possessing non-contiguous mesh boundaries which lie on a common surface. The numerical scheme utilizes a rather simple interpolation scheme based on an electrical circuit analogy, and stores the "nearest neighbors" for each mesh point to avoid the expense of constantly searching for the interpolation stencil between the two mesh surfaces. Determining the value required for the parameter **NRAINT** is normally performed by summing up all of the mesh elements involved in all of the **PINT** specifications (including coarse mesh specifications from a multigrid run). For example, if two meshes with noncontiguous mesh boundaries of 49x33 and 25x17 are being updated using the **PINT** specification, then the minimum value for the **NRAINT** parameter for a nonmultigrid run would be determined as:

\[
NRAINT = (49 - 1)(33 - 1) + (25 - 1)(17 - 1) = 1920
\]

In this case, the **NRAINT** parameter is based on the mesh indices minus one, since the storage in the finite volume solver is actually based on the number of mesh cells, not the number of mesh points, even though the boundary specification is based on actual mesh indices. The equivalent value for a run utilizing 3 levels of multigrid would be:

\[
(NRAINT)_1 = (49 - 1)(33 - 1) + (25 - 1)(17 - 1) = 1920
\]

\[
(NRAINT)_2 = (25 - 1)(17 - 1) + (13 - 1)(9 - 1) = 480
\]

\[
(NRAINT)_3 = (13 - 1)(9 - 1) + (7 - 1)(5 - 1) = 120
\]

\[
NRAINT = (NRAINT)_1 + (NRAINT)_2 + (NRAINT)_3 = 2520
\]

Naturally, if additional **PINT** specifications are employed then the contributions from these specifications must also be added to the total.
The parameter **NBFRA** is used to define the size of the 2-D arrays used to store the blade element blockage, body force, and energy source terms for the 2-D block solution scheme. Since these arrays are utilized for any 2-D mesh block regardless of whether blade element blockage and source terms are utilized, the arrays must be dimensioned large enough to store all the elements of all of the 2-D mesh blocks (including coarse meshes for multigrid runs) much in the manner that **NRA3D** is used to store all of the elements of all of the mesh blocks. Mathematically, the minimum value for the parameter **NBFRA** may be calculated as:

\[
NBFRA \geq \sum_{m=1}^{NBLKS} [(IMX)_m + 1][(JMX)_m + 1]L2D(m)
\]

where the variables **IMX**, **JMX**, **KMX** and **NBLKS** are described in the definition of parameter **NRA3D**, above. The variable **L2D(m)** is a trigger to indicate whether the grid block **m** is 2-D (1) or 3-D (0). For example, suppose a multiple block solution is being performed for a mesh system comprised of two 2-D meshes sized 49x25x1 and 33x17x1 and a 3-D mesh sized 33x25x29. For a non-multigrid run, the minimum value for the parameter **NBFRA** may be calculated as:

\[
NBFRA = (49 + 1)(25 + 1)(1) + (33 + 1)(17 + 1)(1) + (129 + 1)(25 + 1)(0) = 1912
\]

and for a run employing 3 levels of multigrid as:

\[
(NBFRA)_1 = (49 + 1)(25 + 1)(1) + (33 + 1)(17 + 1)(1) + (129 + 1)(25 + 1)(0) = 1912
\]

\[
(NBFRA)_2 = (25 + 1)(13 + 1)(1) + (17 + 1)(9 + 1)(1) + (65 + 1)(13 + 1)(0) = 544
\]

\[
(NBFRA)_3 = (13 + 1)(7 + 1)(1) + (9 + 1)(5 + 1)(1) + (33 + 1)(7 + 1)(0) = 172
\]

\[
NBFRA = (NBFRA)_1 + (NBFRA)_2 + (NBFRA)_3 = 2628
\]

The parameter **LGRAFX** is used to define the size of the temporary 3-D arrays used for the run-time graphics display option available in the ADPAC07 code. If the run-time graphics option is employed, then the parameter **LGRAFX** can be determined in the same manner as the parameter **NRA3D**. If the run-time graphics option is not employed, then the parameter **LGRAFX** should be set to 1, resulting in a considerable savings in computational storage.

The parameter **NSYST** is used to define the size of a character array which stores system call commands during the execution of the boundary condition routine **SYSTEM** (see Section 3.7). Normally, this is not used and may be set to a value of 1 to minimize storage. If the **SYSTEM** boundary routine is used, then **NSYST** must be at least as large as the number of **SYSTEM** boundary specifications in the ADPAC07 boundary data file.

The parameter **NBFFILE** is used to define the size of a character array which stores body force file names specified by the input variable **BFFILE** (see Section 3.6). Normally, this is
not used and may be set to a value of 1 to minimize storage. If the BFFILE input variable is used, then NBFFILE must be at least as large as NBMAX.

**NBCNT1**
The parameter NBFFILE is used to define the size of the arrays used to save the interpolation stencils used in the BCINT1 and BCINTM non-aligned mesh boundary coupling schemes. In an effort to increase computational and communication efficiency, the interpolation stencils used to update the non-aligned boundaries in these boundary condition routines are only calculated on the first step, and are subsequently saved to eliminate any redundant calculation. The NBCNT1 parameter must be at least as large as the sum of the total number of points along all BCINT1 and BCINTM non-aligned boundary patches. If BCNT1 is set to 1, then the interpolation stencil saving feature is disabled, and the interpolation stencil is recalculated at every time step.

**NIMPRA**
The parameter NIMPRA is used to define the size of the arrays used in the ADPAC07 implicit solution algorithm. For time-dependent solutions involving the iterative implicit solution algorithm, up to two additional time levels of the conserved flow variables must be stored, and this storage is defined based on the value of \((\text{NIMPRA} \times \text{NRA3D} + 1)\). The value of NIMPRA should therefore be either 0 (no implicit time level storage) or 1 (provide implicit time level storage). If an implicit solution is attempted when the code has been compiled with NIMPRA=0, an error will result.

**N2EQRA**
The parameter N2EQRA is used to define the size of the arrays used in the ADPAC07 two-equation turbulence model solution algorithm. For solutions involving the two-equation turbulence model, additional storage is required for the dependent variables and numerical fluxes employed in the solution of the turbulence transport equations, and this storage is defined based on the value of \((\text{N2EQRA} \times \text{NRA3D} + 1)\). The value of N2EQRA should therefore be either 0 (no two-equation turbulence model storage) or 1 (provide two-equation turbulence model storage). If a two-equation turbulence model solution is attempted when the code has been compiled with N2EQRA=0, an error will result.

### 3.4 ADPAC07 Compilation Using Makefile

Compilation of the ADPAC07 source code into an executable form is handled through a UNIX-based Makefile facility. A Makefile is included with the standard distribution which permits automatic compilation of the code for several operational capabilities (both serial and parallel) and computer systems. The format of the Makefile compiling command is described below.

Several items should be mentioned prior to detailed discussion on the actual Makefile utilities. Section 3.5 describes the format of the binary files using the Scientific Database Library developed at NASA-Lewis [13]. The original version of the Scientific Database Library was found to be rather slow on some machines, and an equivalent limited capability C-based library was developed to accelerate the I/O processing in the code. This library is referred to as CSDB, and separate options for utilizing the CSDB library are included in the Makefile. In addition, the consolidated code is capable of both serial and parallel operation depending on the Makefile operation selected.
In the directory containing the FORTRAN source of the ADPA07 code, compilation is performed by executing the command:

```
make option
```

The `make` command is standard on UNIX systems and automatically interrogates the file `Makefile` for instructions on how to perform the compilation. The `option` argument may be any of the variables listed below:

**Standard UNIX (Silicon Graphics) Make Options**

(No argument) This is the standard UNIX system compilation for the serial version of the ADPA07 code. All non-standard programming constructs are avoided (such as graphics, or multi-processor features). This option will deliver a working executable on most UNIX systems which support standard naming conventions (`f77` as the standard compiler, etc.). The compilation includes basic compiler optimization (`f77 -O`). The executable name is `adpac`.

*csdb* This is the same as `link` above, except that the faster C-based scientific database library is linked instead of the standard scientific database library. Prior to performing this compilation, the appropriate `make` command must be issued in the CSDB directory to assemble the CSDB library for the local machine. The executable name is `adpac`.

*pfa* This option is used on Silicon Graphics computers supporting the Power FORTRAN compiler option. Power FORTRAN is a Silicon Graphics product which does automatic multiprocessor compilation and is therefore not related to the ADPA07 message-passing parallelization strategy. The `pfa` compiled code is therefore still operated as a serial code, although it may execute on multiple processors for Silicon Graphics workstations. The number of processors used is set by the `NUM_THREADS` environment variable. The compilation includes basic compiler optimization (`f77 -O`). The executable name is `adpac_pfa`.

*csdb_pfa* This option is the same as `pfa` above, except that the faster C-based scientific database library is linked instead of the standard scientific database library. Prior to performing this compilation, the appropriate `make` command must be issued in the CSDB directory to assemble the CSDB library for the local machine. The executable name is `adpac_pfa`.

*graphics* This option compiles ADPA07 with the necessary routines needed to permit interactive graphics between network connected Silicon Graphics workstations. This option will only work when compiling on a Silicon Graphics workstation with IRIX operating system 4.0.1 or above. The full Silicon Graphics shared graphics libraries and X-windows system graphics libraries must be installed on the compiling workstation in order for this option to work. This feature is not recommended as it generally decreases performance and other visualization techniques are likely to produce more desirable results. The executable name is `adpac_graphics`. 
This option is the same as *graphics* above, except that the faster C-based scientific database library is linked instead of the standard scientific database library. Prior to performing this compilation, the appropriate *make* command must be issued in the CSDB directory to assemble the CSDB library for the local machine. The executable name is **adpac.graphics**.

*dbx* This option is used for generating an executable version of the serial code which is compatible with the standard UNIX *dbx*-based debugging facility. This should work on any standard UNIX machine which supports *dbx* (Note: the code will run much more slowly when compiled in this fashion.) This option is used mainly for code development or debugging. The executable name is **adpac.dbx**.

*csdb.dbx* This option is used for generating an executable version of the serial code which is compatible with the standard UNIX *dbx*-based debugging facility using the CSDB library. This should work on any standard UNIX machine which supports *dbx* (Note: the code will run much more slowly when compiled in this fashion.) This option is used mainly for code development or debugging. The executable name is **adpac.dbx**.

*dbx.graphics* This option is used for generating an executable version of the serial code with run-time graphics enabled which is compatible with the standard UNIX *dbx*-based debugging facility using the CSDB library. This should work on any standard UNIX machine which supports *dbx* (Note: the code will run much more slowly when compiled in this fashion.) This option is used mainly for code development or debugging. The executable name is **adpac.dbx.graphics**.

*parallel* This is the standard UNIX system compilation for the parallel version of the ADPAC07 code. The standard APPL message passing library is incorporated, and therefore creation of this executable requires that a *make* has been issued in the APPL directory on the current machine. The *parallel* code may only be executed using the APPL *compute* function with a corresponding APPL *proced* file. Prior to performing this compilation, the appropriate *make* command must be issued in the APPL directory to assemble the APPL library for the local machine. The executable name is **adpacp**.

*parallel.csdb* This is the same as *parallel* above, except that the faster C-based scientific database library is linked instead of the standard scientific database library. Prior to performing this compilation, the appropriate *make* command must be issued in the CSDB and APPL directories to assemble the CSDB and APPL libraries for the local machine. The executable name is **adpacp**.

*parallel.dbx* This is the same as *parallel* above, except that specific compiler options have been enabled to utilize the UNIX *dbx* debugging facility. Prior to performing this compilation, the appropriate *make* command must be issued in the SDBLIB and APPL directories to assemble the SDB and APPL libraries for the local machine. This should work on any standard UNIX machine which supports *dbx* (Note: the code will run much more slowly when compiled in this fashion.) This option is used
mainly for code development or debugging. The executable name is **adpacp**.

**parallel_csdb.dbx**

This is the same as **parallel_csdb.dbx** above, except that the faster C-based scientific database library is linked instead of the standard scientific database library. Prior to performing this compilation, the appropriate *make* command must be issued in the CSDB and APPL directories to assemble the CSDB and APPL libraries for the local machine. This should work on any standard UNIX machine which supports *dbx* (Note: the code will run much more slowly when compiled in this fashion.) This option is used mainly for code development or debugging. The executable name is **adpacp.dbx**.

**parallel.pvm**

This is the standard UNIX system compilation for the parallel version of the **ADPAC'07** code using the PVM message passing library. The standard APPL message passing library is incorporated as a sub-layer between the native PVM calls and the **ADPAC'07** programming message-passing calls, and therefore creation of this executable requires that a *make* has been issued in the APPL directory on the current machine. The **parallel** code may only be executed using the APPL *compute* function with a corresponding APPL *procedef* file. Prior to performing this compilation, the appropriate *make* command must be issued in the APPL directory to assemble the APPL library for the local machine. The executable name is **adpac.pvm**.

### Silicon Graphics Power Challenge Make Options

**power_challenge**

This option is utilized when compiling the standard serial code on a Silicon Graphics R8000 (Power Challenge ©) computer. For best performance, several machine specific optimizations are enabled. The executable name is **adpac.power_challenge**.

### Cray (UNICOS) Make Options

**cray**

This option is utilized when compiling the standard code on a Cray computer (implies a serial code). For best performance, the aggressive optimization option of the Cray compiler has been invoked (*cc77 -Zv -Wf"-o aggress"*). The executable name is **adpac.cray**.

**cray.dbx**

This option is used for generating an executable version of the code which is compatible with the Cray *cdbx* debugging facility. (Note: the code will run much more slowly when compiled in this fashion.) This option is used mainly for code development or debugging. The executable name is **adpac.cray.dbx**.

### nCUBE2 Vertex Operating System Make Options

**ncube**

This is the standard nCUBE 2 system compilation for the parallel version of the **ADPAC'07** code. The nCUBE version of the APPL message passing library is incorporated, and therefore creation of this executable requires that a *make* has been issued in the APPL directory on the current machine. The **parallel** code may only be executed using the APPL *compute* function with a corresponding APPL *procedef* file.
Prior to performing this compilation, the appropriate `make` command must be issued in the APPL directory to assemble the APPL library for the local machine. The executable name is `adpaccp ncube`.

`ncube csdb` This option is identical to `ncube` above, except that the faster C-based scientific database library is linked instead of the standard scientific database library. Prior to performing this compilation, the appropriate `make` command must be issued in the CSDB and APPL directories to assemble the CSDB and APPL libraries for the local machine. The executable name is `adpaccp ncube`.

`ncube dbx` This option is used when compiling the parallel code for the ncUBE parallel computer using the VERTEX operating system and the ncUBE dbx debugging facility. (Note: the code will run much more slowly when compiled in this fashion.) This option is used mainly for code development or debugging. The executable name is `adpaccp ncube dbx`.

`ncube csdb dbx` This option is the same as `ncube dbx` above, except that the faster C-based scientific database library is used instead of the standard scientific database library. (Note: the code will run much more slowly when compiled in this fashion.) The executable name is `adpaccp ncube dbx`.

`ncube csdb no2d` This option is used when compiling the parallel code for the ncUBE parallel computer using the VERTEX operating system and the faster C-based scientific database library instead of the standard scientific database library. This option also eliminates all 2-D subroutines to minimize the size of the executable, which is useful for strictly 3-D problems on multiprocessors with limited memory per processor. Prior to performing this compilation, the appropriate `make` command must be issued in the CSDB and APPL directories to assemble the CSDB and APPL libraries for the local machine. The executable name is `adpaccp ncube no2d`.

IBM RS-6000 AIX `Make` Options

`aix` This option is used when compiling the standard serial code on an IBM RS-6000 workstation running the AIX operating system. The executable name is `adpaccp aix`.

`aix csdb` This option is identical to `aix` above, except that the faster C-based scientific database library is linked instead of the standard scientific database library. Prior to performing this compilation, the appropriate `make` command must be issued in the CSDB directory to assemble the CSDB library for the local machine. The executable name is `adpaccp aix`.

`aix dbx` This option is used for generating an executable version of the code which is compatible with the IBM AIX dbx debugging facility. (Note: the code will run much more slowly when compiled in this fashion.) This option is used mainly for code development or debugging. The executable name is `adpaccp aix dbx`.

`aix csdb dbx` This option is identical to `aix dbx` above, except that the faster C-based scientific database library is linked instead of the standard scientific
database library. Prior to performing this compilation, the appropriate
make command must be issued in the CSDB directory to assemble
the CSDB library for the local machine. (Note: the code will run
much more slowly when compiled in this fashion.) This option is used
mainly for code development or debugging. The executable name is
adpac_aix.dbx.

aix_parallel This is the standard IBM RS-6000 AIX UNIX system compilation
for the parallel version of the ADPAC'07 code. The standard APPL
message passing library is incorporated, and therefore creation of this
executable requires that a make has been issued in the APPL directory
on the current machine. The parallel code may only be executed using
the APPL compute function with a corresponding APPL procdef file.
Prior to performing this compilation, the appropriate make command
must be issued in the APPL directory to assemble the APPL library
for the local machine. The executable name is adpacp_aix.

aix_parallel_csdb This option is identical to aix_parallel above, except that the faster
C-based scientific database library is linked instead of the standard
scientific database library. Prior to performing this compilation, the
appropriate make command must be issued in the CSDB directory
to assemble the CSDB library for the local machine. The executable
name is adpacp_aix.

aix_parallel_dbx This option is used for generating an executable parallel version of
the code which is compatible with the IBM AIX dbx debugging facility.
(Note: the code will run much more slowly when compiled in this
fashion.) This option is used mainly for code development or debugging. The executable name is adpacp_aix.dbx.

NASA-Lewis Research Center Specific Make Options

lace This option is used when compiling the standard parallel code for the
NASA-Lewis LACE workstation cluster computing environment. The
executable name is adpacp_lace.

lace_csdb This option is identical to lace above, except that the faster C-based
scientific database library is linked instead of the standard scientific
database library. Prior to performing this compilation, the appropriate
make command must be issued in the CSDB directory to assemble
the CSDB library for the local machine. The executable name is ad-
pacp_lace.

leather This option is used when compiling the standard parallel code for the
NASA-Lewis SP2 (leather) workstation cluster computing envi-
ronment. The executable name is adpacp_leather.

leather_csdb This option is identical to leather above, except that the faster C-based
scientific database library is linked instead of the standard scientific
database library. Prior to performing this compilation, the appropri-
ate make command must be issued in the CSDB directory to assemble
the CSDB library for the local machine. The executable name is ad-
pacp_leather.
Source Directory Maintenance Make Options

**help**  This option lists and describes all available `makefile` options. No executable is created.

**clean**  This option cleans up the source directory by removing all object files. No executable is created.

**realclean**  This option really cleans up the source directory by removing all object and library files. No executable is created.

**onesource**  This option concatenates all the `ADPAC07` source files into a single source file name `adpac.onesource.f`. This single source can then be compiled by hand on those machines for which an appropriate `make` option is not available. It is up to the user to link in the necessary library files (CSDB, APPL, etc) for creation of an executable. No executable is created.

At the completion of the compilation process on any system, an executable version of the code is written in the source directory (see Appendix A for an application of the compilation and execution processes for a sample test case).

### 3.5 ADPAC07 Input/Output Files

In this section, the various input/output data files related to a calculation using the `ADPAC07` program are described. In order to understand the file naming convention, the concept of a case name must first be detailed. All files used in an `ADPAC07` calculation are named according to a standard naming convention of the form:

`case.extension`

where `case` is a unique, user-specifiable name identifying the geometry or flow condition being investigated, and `extension` is a name describing the type of file. The `case` name must be specified in the standard input file described below. A list and description of each of the files used or generated by `ADPAC07` is given in Table 3.1.

The standard input, standard output, boundary data, and convergence history files are stored in ASCII format. All other files utilize the Scientific DataBase Library (SDBLIB) [13] format. The mesh file and `PLOT3D` plot output files are compatible with the `PLOT3D` multiple grid, binary definition (see Sections 3.8 and 3.11 for a description and coding examples of the SDBLIB binary format). Files dealing with the parallel execution of the `ADPAC07` code are described in Chapter 4.

The standard input and standard output files are directed at runtime using the standard UNIX redirection syntax as:

`adpac < case.input > case.output`

If a restart run is desired, the user must move the most current output restart file from

`case.restart.new`
### Table 3.1: Description of input/output files and UNIX-based filenames for ADPAC'07 Euler/Navier-Stokes solver

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>casc.input</em></td>
<td>Standard input file</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>casc.boundata</em></td>
<td>Block boundary definition file</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>casc.output</em></td>
<td>Standard output file (from output redirection only)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>casc.mesh</em></td>
<td>Mesh file (<em>PLOT3D</em> compatible)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>casc.p3dabs</em></td>
<td>Final <em>PLOT3D</em> output file (absolute flow)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>casc.p3drel</em></td>
<td>Final <em>PLOT3D</em> output file (relative flow)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>casc.p3d2eq</em></td>
<td>Final <em>PLOT3D</em> output file (turbulence model data)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>casc.bf.#</em></td>
<td>2-D blockage/body force file for block number #</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>casc.p3fr.#</em></td>
<td>Instantaneous <em>PLOT3D</em> interval output file</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(absolute flow). The frame number is given by #.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>casc.img.#</em></td>
<td>Instantaneous Silicon Graphics image file for graphics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>interactive display. The frame number is given by #.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>casc.restart.new</em></td>
<td>New restart file (output by code)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>casc.restart.old</em></td>
<td>Old restart file (used as input for restart runs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>casc.2eqrest.new</em></td>
<td>New turbulence model restart file (output by code)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>casc.2eqrest.old</em></td>
<td>Old turbulence model restart file (used as input for restart runs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>casc.converge</em></td>
<td>Solution residual convergence history file</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>casc.sixpac</em></td>
<td><em>SIXPAC</em> block subdivision file (parallel only)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Nease.bacpac</em></td>
<td><em>BACPAC</em> block reconstruction file (parallel only)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Nease.blkproc</em></td>
<td><em>ADPAC'07</em> block/processor assignment file (parallel only)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>procdef</em></td>
<td>APPL process description file (parallel only)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>casc.axi.mesh</em></td>
<td>Equivalent axisymmetric mesh output by body force calculation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>casc.axi.restart.new</em></td>
<td>Equivalent axisymmetric flow restart output by body force calculation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
to the default input restart file name

case restart old

each time the code is restarted. A more detailed description of the use and format of the
ADPAC07 files is presented in the sections which follow.

3.6 ADPAC07 Standard Input File Description

The standard ADPAC07 input file case input contains the user-specifiable parameters
which control the basic operation of the code during execution. These parameters tend
to be less case dependent (as opposed to the boundary data file which is entirely case
dependent). During code execution, the input file is read one line at a time as a character
string, and each string is parsed sequentially to determine the specific program action in
each case. The standard input file utilizes a keyword input format, such that any line which
does not contain a recognizable keyword is treated as a comment line. Therefore, the user
may place any number of comments in the file (so long as the line does not contain a key-
word input string in the form described below), and code execution is unaltered. Comments
may also be placed after the variable assigned to the keyword as long as there are one or
more blanks separating the keyword value from the comment string. All input file lines
are echoed to the standard output, and the program response to each line is listed when a
specific action is taken.

All keyword input lines are given in the following format:

\[
\text{KEYWORD} = \text{Value} \quad \text{Comment String}
\]

where KEYWORD is one of the recognized keywords described below, and Value is the
specific value to be assigned to that variable. The input line must contain the equals sign
(=) with one or more blanks on both sides in order to be recognized. The Comment
String must be separated by one or more blank spaces from the Value. Therefore, the lines

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{DIAM} & = 10.000 \\
\text{DIAM} & = 10.000 \\
\text{DIAM} & = 10.000
\end{align*}
\]

are valid keyword input lines assigning the value 10.0 to the variable associated with the
keyword DIAM. Conversely, the lines

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{DIAM} & = 10.000 \\
\text{DIAM} & = 10.000 \\
\text{DIAM} & = 10.000
\end{align*}
\]

are not recognizable keyword input lines (in spite of the presence of the keyword DIAM),
because of the lack of proper placement of the blanks about the equals (=) sign. The
purpose for this restriction is to permit keyword variables in comment lines, and to help
users to generate readable input files. All keyword values are either real numbers (which,
in many cases, are converted to integers in the code) or character strings.

A sample ADPAC07 standard input file containing a number of typical use keywords is
listed below:
### ADPAC07 Sample Standard Input File

ADPAC Input File

NASA 1.15 PRESSURE RATIO FAN - 2 BLADE ROWS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VARNAME</th>
<th>VARIABLE VALUE</th>
<th>COMMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CASENAME</td>
<td>nasa</td>
<td>The case name is &quot;nasa&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FMULTI</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>Three mesh levels for multigrid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FSUBIT</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1 subiteration on each coarse mesh level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FFULMG</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>Use &quot;full&quot; multigrid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FCOAG1</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>Start &quot;full&quot; multigrid on 3rd mesh level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FCOAG2</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>End &quot;full&quot; multigrid on 2nd mesh level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FITFMG</td>
<td>150.0</td>
<td>150 &quot;full&quot; multigrid iterations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMACH</td>
<td>0.750000</td>
<td>Reference Mach Number for initialization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FINVVI</td>
<td>0.000000</td>
<td>0.0-Inviscid Flow, 1.0-viscous flow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAMMA</td>
<td>1.400000</td>
<td>Specific heat ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PREF</td>
<td>2116.220000</td>
<td>Reference Total Pressure (lbf/ft**2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TREF</td>
<td>518.670000</td>
<td>Reference Total Temperature (Deg. R)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RGAS</td>
<td>1716.260010</td>
<td>Gas constant (ft-lbf(slug-deg R)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIAM</td>
<td>9.000000</td>
<td>Reference diameter (ft.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPSX</td>
<td>1.000000</td>
<td>Residual smoothing coefficient in i direction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPSY</td>
<td>1.000000</td>
<td>Residual smoothing coefficient in j direction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPSZ</td>
<td>1.000000</td>
<td>Residual smoothing coefficient in k direction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VIS2</td>
<td>0.500000</td>
<td>Fine mesh 2nd order dissipation coefficient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VIS4</td>
<td>0.015625</td>
<td>Fine mesh 4th order dissipation coefficient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VISC2</td>
<td>0.125000</td>
<td>Coarse mesh dissipation coefficient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFL</td>
<td>-5.000000</td>
<td>&lt;0.0, Steady flow, &gt;0.0, unsteady flow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FNCMAX</td>
<td>150.000000</td>
<td>150 iterations on fine mesh level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRNO</td>
<td>0.700000</td>
<td>Gas Prandtl number = 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRTNO</td>
<td>0.900000</td>
<td>Turbulent Prandtl number = 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FREST</td>
<td>0.000000</td>
<td>No restart file is read in</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADVR(1)</td>
<td>-2.780000</td>
<td>Advance ratio for block #1 is -2.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADVR(2)</td>
<td>-2.780000</td>
<td>Advance ratio for block #2 is -2.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADVR(3)</td>
<td>0.000000</td>
<td>Advance ratio for block #3 is 0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADVR(4)</td>
<td>0.000000</td>
<td>Advance ratio for block #4 is 0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENDINPUT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It is unnecessary to specify all possible keywords in every input file. The ADPAC07 code is programmed with a default set of input variables such that the only input variable which must be present is the CASENAME (described below) which is used to assign input/output file names. A list and description of all input keywords and their default values are listed below.
**ADVR**(NUM)
(Default Value = 0.0)

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{ADVR}(1) &= 0.0 \\
\text{ADVR}(2) &= 0.0 \\
\text{ADVR}(3) &= 0.0
\end{align*}
\]

The **ADVR** keyword value determines the rotational speed (in terms of an advance ratio) of the mesh block number specified by the value **NUM**. Block rotational speeds are, by default, zero, unless either an **RPM** or an **ADVR** keyword are specified otherwise. The advance ratio is inherently tied to the freestream Mach number specified in the value associated with the keyword **RMACH**. If the mesh has not been correctly non-dimensionalized, or if the value of **RMACH** is incorrect, it is possible that an incorrect value of rotational speed would be specified in the calculation. The use of **ADVR** is normally only employed for propeller performance calculations.

**BFFILE**(NUM)
(Default Value = default_file_name)

\[
\text{BFFILE}(1) = \text{case.bf.bl}
\]

The **BFFILE** keyword value determines the name of the file used to read in the data for the blade blockage and body force source terms used to represent the effects of embedded blade rows in 2-D axisymmetric flow calculations. The file specified by **BFFILE** is used to describe the terms for the block number indicated by the value of **NUM**. Body force data files created by the **ADPAC07** program are named according to the file naming convention described in Section 3.9.

**CASENAME**
(No Default Value)

\[
\text{CASENAME} = \text{case}
\]

The **CASENAME** keyword value is used to set the case name which is used to define all input/output file names during an **ADPAC07** run (see Section 3.5 for details). The case name is limited to an 8 character string, and cannot contain embedded blanks. The case name has no default value, and as such, all input files must contain the **CASENAME** keyword.

**CFL**
( Default Value = -5.0)

\[
\text{CFL} = -5.0
\]

The **CFL** keyword defines the value of the time step multiplier used in the time-marching solver. The algorithm is sensitive to the sign of the value used for **CFL** in determining the manner in which the time-marching solver is applied. If **CFL** < 0.0, local time stepping is used (steady flow only) and each cell is advanced in time according to the local maximum allowable time step. If **CFL** > 0.0, then a time-accurate time-marching solution is performed using the explicit algorithm where the time step is based on the value of \( |\text{CFL}| \times (\Delta t)_{\text{min}} \) where \((\Delta t)_{\text{min}}\) is the minimum of all local time steps. The absolute value of
CFL is used as a multiplier for the time step (larger absolute values indicate larger time steps). A value of -5.0 is normally used for steady flow calculations, and values as high as 7.0 have been used successfully for time-accurate calculations. The value of CFL is also used implicitly in the eigenvalue scaling terms in the implicit residual smoothing algorithm, such that larger values of CFL imply increased residual smoothing (see the description of the implicit residual smoothing algorithm in the companion Final Report [21] and the description of CFMAX). For implicit calculations, the global time step is set by the input keyword FDELTAT. In this case, the value of CFL now controls the “pseudo” time step used during the inner iteration strategy at each global time step. In this case, each global time step can be viewed as a steady state solution, and the variables CFL, CFMAX, FMULTI, etc retain their meanings in this context, and should be set as in any steady state solution. The implicit time-dependent solution (and global time-dependent iteration strategy) is controlled by the variables FIMPLIC, FDELTAT, FNTSTEP, FMGTSTEP, FIMPFAC, FTIMFAC.

CFMAX
(Default Value = 2.5 (four stage scheme), 3.5 (five stage scheme))

\[ \text{CFMAX} = 2.5 \]

The CFMAX variable is used to define the maximum allowable time step multiplier for the explicit time-marching scheme without residual smoothing. This value is used in the implicit residual smoothing routine to adjust the smoothing coefficients for variations in time steps (see the Final Report [4]). Normally referred to as a CFL number, the variable CFMAX represents the maximum allowable CFL number for the time-marching scheme without residual smoothing, while the variable CFL represents the actual CFL number used in the calculation with residual smoothing. The ratio of CFL to CFMAX is used to adjust the amount of smoothing in the residual smoothing operator. Increasing CFMAX decreases the magnitude of the residual smoothing coefficients and therefore decreases the overall smoothing. Based on linear stability analysis, the four stage Runge-Kutta time-marching scheme permits a maximum CFL number of \(2\sqrt{2}\). For simplicity, this value is normally approximated as 2.5 which provides an additional margin of safety. Under certain circumstances, it may be desirable to reduce CFMAX as low as 2.0 to aid convergence by artificially increasing the amount of residual smoothing. For the five stage scheme values of 3.0 to 3.5 are recommended.

CMUTSS, CMUTPS
(Default Value = 14.0)

\[ \text{CMUTSS} = 14.0 \]
\[ \text{CMUTPS} = 14.0 \]

The CMUTSS, CMUTPS keywords determine the ratio of local turbulent to laminar viscosity required to initiate transition for the point transition model in the ADPA07 body centered mesh algebraic turbulence model activated by the keyword FTURBCHT. This simplified transition model maintains laminar flow until the ratio of near wall turbulent viscosity to near wall laminar viscosity exceeds the value of CMUTSS or CMUTPS for the “suction side” and “pressure side”, respectively, of the airfoil in question. The transition model parameters are illustrated in Figure 3.1. A ratio of 14.0 is recommended for all cases unless specific testing has indicated an alternate value. It should be noted that
these variables will have no effect when FINVVI=0.0 (inviscid flow), or when F2EQ=1.0 (two-equation turbulence model enabled) or when FTURBCHT=0.0 (transition model not activated).

**DIAM**
(Default Value = 1.0)

```
DIAM = 1.0
```

The DIAM keyword is used as a dimensionalizing length scale for the mesh system for a given case. The ADPAC07 code assumes that the mesh has been generated in a nondimensional fashion, and must be dimensionalized before execution. The value of the DIAM variable is used to convert the supposed nondimensional mesh coordinates into a dimensional length scale with units of feet. In other words, if the mesh has been generated using a length scale of inches, then the value of DIAM should be \( \frac{1}{12} \) or 0.083333 in order to convert the mesh units to units of feet. If the mesh units are already in feet, then the value of DIAM should be simply 1.0. Many mesh generation systems for turbomachinery geometries nondimensionalize the mesh by a reference diameter determined from the turbomachinery geometry such that the maximum value of any radial coordinate in the mesh is 0.5. In this case, the value of DIAM should be the diameter of the turbomachine in feet used to nondimensionalize the mesh. Proper specification of the DIAM value is critical to achieve the correct flow Reynolds number and rotational speed for rotating geometries. Many problems can be traced to improper specification of the DIAM value and the user should take care to understand the use of this keyword. When in doubt, the user should remember the simple rule that the actual mesh units, when multiplied by the value of DIAM should result in physical lengths expressed in feet.

**ENDINPUT**

When the ADPAC07 program encounters the keyword ENDINPUT, the parser which searches each line for a valid input keyword string is terminated, and no additional input file lines are parsed for input keyword values. Any lines following the ENDINPUT statement are ignored, except when the graphics display system is in effect across a network, in which case the statements following the ENDINPUT statement must contain two blank lines and the Internet network address of the destination display device (see Chapter 9 for a description of the Interactive Graphics Display option).

**EPSTOT**
(Default Value = 0.1)

```
EPSTOT = 0.1
```

The EPSTOT keyword determines the value of the smoothing coefficient employed in the post multigrid smoothing algorithm described by the trigger FTOTSM. This coefficient is only used when FTOTSM = 1.0. The value of the coefficient may be any positive number, but for most circumstances, a value between 0.0 and 0.25 is suggested (larger values imply more smoothing). This option is normally employed for enhanced code stability during the multigrid solution process.

**EPSX, EPSY, EPSZ**
(Default Value = 1.0)
Body–Centered Mesh Block
Turbulence Model Nomenclature

CMUTSS sets transition when \( x < XTRANSS \)

Transition forced at \( XTRANSS \)
on suction surface

CMUTPS sets transition when \( x < XTRANPS \)

Transition forced at \( XTRANPS \)
on pressure surface

Figure 3.1: ADPAC07 Body-Centered Mesh Turbulence Model Nomenclature Summary
EPSX = 1.0
EPSY = 1.0
EPSZ = 1.0

The EPSX, EPSY, EPSZ keywords set the value of the implicit residual smoothing coefficient multipliers in the i, j, and k coordinate directions, respectively. The values of EPSX, EPSY, and EPSZ are used as simple multipliers for the residual smoothing coefficients calculated by the eigenvalue scaling residual smoothing scheme described in the Final Report [1]. If EPSX, EPSY or EPSZ = 0.0, then no smoothing is applied for the given coordinate direction. The user should be aware that the keyword variable FRESID controls the global application of residual smoothing in the solution algorithm, and in the case where FRESID=0.0 (residual smoothing disabled), the EPSX, EPSY, EPSZ have no impact on the solution. The default value for the coefficient multipliers is 1.0. Any value larger than 1.0 simply implies excess smoothing and may be useful for cases with poor convergence or undesirable mesh quality. If a value larger than 3.0 is required to stabilize a solution, this generally indicates some sort of problem in the calculation (such as poor mesh aspect ratio, bad boundary specification, etc.), or might suggest that FRESID has been set to 0.0. Values less than 1.0 will likely cause code instabilities for values of CFL greater than 2.0. Occasionally for cylindrical coordinate system solutions involving a centerline or sting with very small radii, the value of EPSX, EPSY, EPSZ which corresponds to the "radial" direction must be reduced to 0.25-0.5 to maintain stability.

F2EQ
(Defualt Value = 0.0)

F2EQ = 0.0

The F2EQ keyword assigns a trigger which determines the activation of the two-equation (kR) turbulence model. This turbulence model can provide superior prediction of turbulent flows with separation, but at a substantially larger computational cost. The two-equation model utilizes additional specification of data at inflow boundaries (see e.g. INLETT, INLETG) to prescribe the "freestream" turbulence level, and the turbulent viscosity is updated through the solution of two additional transport equations and a "pointwise" eddy viscosity evaluation (for additional details, see the Final Report [21]). The two-equation model is not enabled when F2EQ=0.0, and is enabled when F2EQ=1.0.

FBCONF
(Defualt Value = 99999.0)

FBCONF = 99999.0

The FBCONF keyword assigns a trigger which determines the iteration number at which the boundary conditions are frozen. This trigger was added for those cases where convergence is apparently hindered by "noise" from the boundary conditions. Caution must be exercised when using the FBCONF variable due to the fact that the ADPAC'07 code could ultimately diverge when all of the boundary conditions are frozen. This option is normally only used for debugging purposes.

FBCWARN
(Defualt Value = 1.0)
FBCWARN = 1.0

The FBCWARN keyword assigns a trigger which controls the error checking for outer block boundary conditions normally performed by the ADPAC07 code prior to execution. Following initialization, ADPA07 normally processes each mesh block and checks to see if the user has adequately specified boundary conditions over the six outer surfaces of each mesh block. If any portion of an outer boundary does not have some type of boundary conditions specified, an error message is normally issued and the code will terminate processing. Under some conditions, the user may have intentionally neglected to specify a boundary condition on an outer mesh block surface, and it is therefore convenient to eliminate this error processing. The FBCWARN trigger controls the actuation of this error handling facility. When FBCWARN = 1.0, the error handling is enabled. When FBCWARN = 0.0, the error handling is disabled.

**FCARB**(NUM)
(Default Values = 0.0)

FCARB(1) = 1.0  
FCARB(2) = 0.0  
FCARB(3) = 0.0  
FCARB(4) = 0.0  
FCARB(5) = 0.0

The keyword FCARB(NUM) sets a block specific trigger for the mesh block number specified by NUM which determines, on a block by block basis, whether the Cartesian (FCARB(NUM) = 1.0) or the cylindrical (FCARB(NUM) = 0.0) solution algorithm is employed by that block. The ADPA07 code permits mixed cylindrical and Cartesian solution blocks in a single calculation. While the variable FCART may be used to set the global value of mesh blocks for either cylindrical or Cartesian solution status, the variable FCARB(NUM) may be utilized to set specific blocks one way or the other. It must be noted that the variable FCARB(NUM) will always override the status implied by FCART. At present, the only boundary condition which permits interblock communication between mixed cylindrical and Cartesian blocks is BCPRR (see Section 3.7).

**FCART**
(Default Value = 0.0)

FCART = 0.0

The FCART keyword assigns a trigger which controls the cylindrical/Cartesian coordinate system solution scheme in the ADPAC07 code. If FCART = 0.0, then all blocks (except those specifically altered by the FCARB input variable) are treated as cylindrical coordinate system blocks. If FCART = 1.0, then all blocks (except those specifically altered by the FCARB input variable) are treated as Cartesian coordinate system blocks.

**FCOAG1**
(Default Value = 1.0)

FCOAG1 = 1.0
The FCOAG1 keyword specifies the initial, or coarsest coarse mesh level upon which the “full” multigrid calculation is initially applied (for additional details, see the description of FFULMG). When multiple coarse mesh levels are available for processing, it is occasionally useful to specify the initial coarse mesh level in the “full” multigrid sequence in order to avoid wasted computations on lower mesh levels. Typically, FCOAG1 is set to FMULTI (start “full” multigrid on coarsest mesh level). In some cases (when FMULTI is larger than 3.0) it may be advisable to set FCOAG1 to 3.0, and avoid additional processing on coarser meshes during the “full” multigrid startup process. A flowchart of the ADPAC’07 iteration and multigrid control algorithm is given in Figure 3.2. An example is given in the description of FCOAG2.

**FCOAG2**
( Default Value = 2.0)

FCOAG2 = 2.0

The FCOAG2 keyword specifies the final, or finest coarse mesh level upon which the “full” multigrid calculation is applied (for additional details, see the description of FFULMG). When multiple coarse mesh levels are available for processing, it is occasionally useful to specify the final coarse mesh level in the “full” multigrid sequence in order to examine the flowfield without actually performing any calculations on the fine mesh. For example, the combination

- FMULTI = 3.0
- FCOAG1 = 3.0
- FCOAG2 = 3.0
- FNCOMP = 10.0
- FITFMG = 100.0

would direct a “full” multigrid startup of 100 iterations on mesh level 3, and since FCOAG2 = 3.0, the “full” multigrid sequence is ended at this mesh level. The solution is then interpolated to the fine mesh, and then 10 fine mesh iterations using 3 levels of multigrid would be performed. Typically, FCOAG1 is set to 2.0, which indicates that the “full” multigrid startup procedure utilizes all mesh levels from FCOAG1 to 2 before starting any processing on the fine mesh. A flowchart of the ADPAC’07 iteration and multigrid control algorithm is given in Figure 3.2.

**FCOCOM**
( Default Value = 0.0)

FCOCOM = 0.0

The FCOCOM keyword assigns a trigger which determines the method by which cell face areas and cell volumes are determined for the coarse mesh levels of a multigrid solution. When FCOCOM = 0.0, coarse mesh cell face areas and cell volumes are determined in exactly the same manner as the fine mesh (using the 8 mesh points defining the vertices of the cell and computing a series of cell face diagonal cross products for the areas, and computing a cell center and additional dot products for the cell volume). When FCOCOM = 1.0, the coarse mesh cell face areas and cell volumes are computed by summing the cell face areas and cell volumes of the enclosed fine mesh cells relative to each coarse mesh cell. The
procedure defined by FCOCOM=1.0 is believed to be a more consistent representation of
the coarse to fine mesh injection/interpolation process, but experience has not shown any
great difference using either method.

**FCONVRG**
(Defaul Value = -100.0)

    FCONVRG = -7.0

The **FCONVRG** keyword specifies the log 10 root-mean-square residual level at which
the solution is deemed converged. The solution convergence is monitored and when the log
10 root-mean-square residual level is less than **FCONVRG**, the time-marching process is
terminated and the solution is output. In general, the solution should not be considered
converged unless the log 10 root-mean-square residual is less than -6.0. The authors do not
recommend the use of this variable for explicit time-dependent calculations. The **FCON-
VRG** variable is useful for terminating the inner iterations of an implicit time-dependent
solution.

**FDEBUG**(*NUM*)
(Defaul Values = 0.0)

    FDEBUG(1) = 0.0
    FDEBUG(2) = 0.0
    FDEBUG(3) = 3.0
    FDEBUG(4) = 0.0
    FDEBUG(5) = 0.0

The keyword **FDEBUG**(TYPE) defines a block number for the debug output type specified
by **TYPE** which determines, on a type by type basis, whether debug output from the
**ADPAC07** run is printed to the standard output. When enabled, this variable will generate
an extreme amount of output and should therefore be used only in a controled debugging
environment. The value of the variable **FDEBUG**(TYPE) determines for which blocks
the particular type of output is enabled. The following debug output types are currently
supported:

  FDEBUG(1) Print the input (Cartesian) mesh points
  FDEBUG(2) Print the (converted) cylindrical mesh points
  FDEBUG(3) Print the cell face areas
  FDEBUG(4) Print the cell volumes
  FDEBUG(5) Print the cell flow data
  FDEBUG(6) Print the cell time steps
  FDEBUG(7) Print the cell convective fluxes
  FDEBUG(8) Print the cell dissipative fluxes
  FDEBUG(9) Print the cell diffusive fluxes
FDEBUG(10) Print the cell implicit residual smoothing data

**FDELTAT**
(Default Value = 0.0)

FDELTAT = 1.0e-7

The **FDELTAT** keyword assigns the value for the physical time increment (in seconds) used during a time-dependent solution (either explicit or implicit). For explicit solutions, it is up to the user to ensure that the value of **FDELTAT** does not violate the stability characteristics of the explicit flow solver. For implicit solutions, this value should reflect a reasonable number of global time steps over the course of the unsteady aerodynamic phenomena of interest.

**FDESIGN**
(Default Value = 0.0)

FDESIGN = 0.0

The **FDESIGN** keyword assigns a trigger which determines directly whether to use the body force design system calculation procedure developed under Task 18 of NASA Contract NAS3-25950. When enabled, this option dictates that a solution be performed on a 2-D axisymmetric grid representative of a throughflow calculation for a turbomachinery flowpath. The solution requires the input of a body force file (see the description of **BFFILE**) which specifies the blade blockage (a description of the contents of a body force file is given in Section 3.9). The 2-D grid must be constructed such that it represents the 2-D hub to tip mean flow stream surface, in other words, if the mean flow involves swirl, then the grid is warped in the circumferential direction to indicate the degree of swirl represented by the mean stream surface. The **ADPAC07** flow solver then iteratively updates the body forces internal to the code until the predicted mean stream surface matches the mean stream surface defined by the mesh. This feature was developed for use in a design-like environment wherein gross flow properties such as turning may be known, but specific airfoil shapes may not be known.

**FFAST**
(Default Value = 0.0)

FFAST = 0.0

The **FFAST** keyword assigns a trigger which incorporates some simplifications of the **ADPAC07** multigrid algorithm which reduces the CPU time per multigrid cycle. The CPU savings afforded when this option is enabled (**FFAST**=1.0) is estimated to be about 20%. Unfortunately, the **ADPAC07** algorithm is less stable using the procedures enabled by **FFAST**=1.0 and in general, this option is not recommended.

**FFILT**
(Default Value = 1.0)

FFILT = 1.0
The **FFILT** keyword assigns a trigger which determines directly whether the added dissipation routines are called during the time-marching process. If **FFILT = 0.0**, then no added dissipation is calculated. It is also possible to turn off the added dissipation by setting the values of **VIS2** and **VIS4** to 0.0; however, the use of **FFILT** avoids the calculation of the dissipation terms entirely. It is unlikely that any value other than 1.0 is required except for code debugging purposes.

**FFULMG**
(Default Value = 0.0)

**FFULMG = 0.0**

The **FFULMG** keyword assigns a trigger which determines whether the “full” multigrid solution procedure is applied or whether the standard multigrid procedure is used. The use of “full” multigrid is advisable (but not required) when a new calculation is being started as a means of rapidly generating a better initial guess for the final flowfield. If the solution is being restarted from a previous calculation (**FREST=1.0**), it is usually advisable to set **FFULMG** to 0.0 to avoid destroying the initial data read from the restart file (a warning message is issued when this combination is specified). A flowchart of the **ADPA07** iteration and multigrid control algorithm is given in Figure 3.2.

**FGRAFINT**
(Default Value = 1.0)

**FGRAFINT = 1.0**

The **FGRAFINT** keyword determines the number of iterations between flowfield display updates for the **ADPA07** real time graphics display system. This option is only valid when **FGRAFIX = 1.0**, and is subject to a number of other restrictions for the graphics display system (see the description of input keywords **FGRAFIX** and **FIMGSAV**, and the description of the graphics display system, Chapter 9). The default value for **FGRAFINT** is 1.0, which indicates that the graphics display will be updated every iteration. This can cause excessive computational and network overhead, and the user should be aware of the potential problems when using the graphics display features. The use of the graphical display features of the **ADPA07** code are not generally recommended.

**FGRAFIX**
(Default Value = 0.0)

**FGRAFIX = 0.0**

The **FGRAFIX** keyword sets a trigger which controls the generation of the real time interactive graphics display in the **ADPA07** program. A value of **FGRAFIX = 1.0** indicates that the interactive graphics display facility is desired, while **FGRAFIX = 0.0** turns this option off. When functional, the graphics screen is updated with the latest available flow data every **FGRAFINT** iterations. Graphics images can be automatically captured on specific computer hardware every **FIMGSAV** iterations as a means of creating flowfield animations (see Graphics Display, Chapter 9). In order for the graphics display to work, the code must be compiled with either the **graphics** or **pfgraphics** Makefile option (see Section 3.4 for a description of the Makefile and the **ADPA07** code compilation process). There are also specific machine requirements for this option to work as well (see the section
on Graphics Display, Chapter 9). The generation of interactive, real time graphics images increases the overall computational cost, and can cause network overloading in some cases due to the transmission of graphics information. The use of the graphical display features of the ADPAC07 code are not generally recommended.

FIMGINT
(_Default Value = 99999.0)

\[ \text{FIMGINT} = 99999.0 \]

The FIMGINT keyword determines the number of iterations between flowfield graphics display image capturing available on Silicon Graphics computers for the ADPAC07 real time graphics display system. This option is only valid when FGRAFIX = 1.0, and FIMGSAV = 1.0, and is subject to a number of other restrictions for the graphics display system (see the description of input keywords FGRAFIX and FGRAFIN T, and the description of the graphics display system, Chapter 9). The default value for FIMGINT is 99999.0, which indicates that a screen image will be saved every 99999 iterations. This large value was chosen to prohibit accidental image capturing, which can quickly fill up a large amount of disk storage. The graphics display system can cause excessive computational and network overhead, and the user should be aware of the potential problems when using this feature of the ADPAC07 code. The use of the graphical display features of the ADPAC07 code are not generally recommended.

FIMGSAVE
(_Default Value = 0.0)

\[ \text{FIMGSAVE} = 0.0 \]

The FIMGSAVE keyword sets a trigger which controls the Silicon Graphics computer screen image capturing facility of the real time interactive graphics display in the ADPAC07 program. A value of FIMGSAVE = 1.0 indicates that the graphics image capturing facility is desired, while FIMGSAVE = 0.0 turns this option off. When the interactive graphics display option has been enabled (see details for input keywords FGRAFIX, FGRAFIN T) the graphics screen is updated with the latest available flow data every FGRAFIN T iteration. When the image capturing facility is enabled, these graphics images can be automatically captured on specific computer hardware every FIMGINT iterations as a means of creating flowfield animations (see Graphics Display, Chapter 9). In order for the graphics display image capturing facility to work, the code must be compiled with either the graphics, or pfgraphics Makefile option (see Section 3.1 for a description of the Makefile and the ADPAC07 code compilation process). There are also specific machine requirements for this option to work as well (see the section on Graphics Display, Chapter 9). The generation of interactive, real time graphics images increases the overall computational cost, and can cause network overloading in some cases due to the transmission of graphics information. The capturing of many screen images will also require a large amount of file storage space (see Section 3.5 for a description of the image capturing file naming convention). The use of the graphical display features of the ADPAC07 code are not generally recommended.

FIMPFAC
(_Default Value = 2.0)

\[ \text{FIMPFAC} = 2.0 \]
The **FIMPFAC** keyword is a simple trigger which determines the degree of time-accuracy employed in the implicit solution algorithm enabled by the keyword **FIMPLIC**. There are four options available for this keyword. Values of 1.0 and 2.0 utilize the “fully” implicit strategy described in [21] and are first and second order accurate in time, respectively. Values of -1.0 and -2.0 utilize a “lagged” “fully” implicit strategy (thought to improve stability) and are first and second order accurate in time, respectively.

**FIMPLIC**

(Default Value = 0.0)

**FIMPLIC = 0.0**

The **FIMPLIC** keyword is a simple trigger to determine whether the iterative implicit solution mode is enabled (enabled when **FIMPLIC** = 1.0). The implicit algorithm is used only for time dependent flow calculations, and requires that the additional input flow variables **FDELTAT** and **FNTSTEP** be specified. The **ADPA’07** code must also be compiled with array parameter **FIMPRA** set to 1 to properly allocate array storage in the code. When enabled, the implicit algorithm performs a global time marching loop strategy whereby each iteration of the global loop involves an iterative solution of a pseudo steady state problem using the standard explicit time-marching strategy. The features of this inner iteration problem is still governed by input variables such as **CFL**, **CFMAX**, **FMULTI**, **FNCMAX**, etc. The global time increment for the outer loop is set by the value of **FDELTAT**. The implicit algorithm occasionally exhibits unstable behavior and the solution should initially be closely monitored. The user should fully understand the implications and use of the implicit algorithm before attempting to utilize this option. A theoretical description of the procedure is given in Reference [21].

**FINVVI**

(Default Value = 0.0)

**FINVVI = 0.0**

The **FINVVI** keyword is a simple trigger to determine whether the solution mode is for inviscid flow (**FINVVI** = 0.0) or for viscous flow (**FINVVI** = 1.0). This trigger controls whether the viscous stress flux contributions are calculated during the time-marching process. This does not affect the application of boundary conditions, as this is completely controlled by the specifications in the boundary data file (see Section 3.7). As such, it is possible to run viscous boundary conditions in an inviscid flow solution, and inviscid boundary conditions in a viscous flow solution.

**FITCHK**

(Default Value = 100.0)

**FITCHK = 100.0**

The **FITCHK** keyword controls the number of iterations between job checkpointing in the **ADPA’07** program. Job checkpointing refers to the process of periodically saving the flowfield information to minimize the loss of data in the event that the job does not terminate normally. As a safety feature, the **ADPA’07** program writes out an updated restart file every **FITCHK** iterations in case the job stops before the final restart file output procedures are completed. It is not necessary to write out intermediate restart files.
but this is considered a good precaution against unexpected problems such as computer failures, or system administration quotas. A good interval for checkpointing is 100 iterations (FITCHK = 100.0). The intermediate restart files, as well as the final restart file, are all written to the same file name, and therefore previous checkpoints cannot be retrieved when the file is overwritten (see Section 3.5 for restart file naming conventions). Job checkpointing only applies to the iterative cycles involving the fine mesh, and does not apply to the coarse mesh iterations calculated during a "full" multigrid startup (see FFULMG).

**FITFMG**
(Defa,ult Value = 100.0)

FITFMG = 100.0

The FITFMG keyword dictates the number of iterations to be performed on each of the coarse mesh levels during a "full" multigrid startup sequence (see the description of FFULMG). Typically, the startup sequence is used only to generate a reasonable initial guess for the fine mesh, so the value of FITFMG is kept relatively low (≈ 100). The function of the keyword FITFMG is illustrated graphically in Figure 3.2.

**FMASSAVG**
(Defa,ult Value = 3.0)

FMASSAVG = 3.0

The FMASSAVG keyword describes a trigger which determines the type of mass averaging used in the ADPAC07 code for various boundary conditions. In particular, the MBCAVG boundary condition which imposes a circumferential mixing plane for simplified representation of multistage turbomachinery blade row flows is the most commonly affected routine. A value of FMASSAVG = 0.0 implies that an algebraic average is to be used in the averaging operator in the ADPAC07 code. A value of FMASSAVG = 1.0 implies that an area average is to be used in the averaging operator in the ADPAC07 code. A value of FMASSAVG = 2.0 implies that a mass-weighted average is to be used in the averaging operator in the ADPAC07 code. Values of 2.0 and 3.0 are recommended, as the algebraic average introduces a fair amount of error.

**FMGTSTEP**
(Defa,ult Value = 0.0)

FMGTSTEP = 100.0

The FMGTSTEP keyword assigns the number of global time steps to be evaluated on coarse mesh levels for the implicit flow solver when the "full" multigrid option FFULMG is enabled. In the processing of large time-dependent calculations using the implicit flow solver, it has been found useful to employ the "full" multigrid type of startup procedure for the time-dependent runs as well as the steady state analyses. This basically initializes the multiple time levels data arrays such that when the fine mesh solution is activated, the data in the previous time level arrays are initialized with something other than the current solution, and a hopefully better approximation of the time-derivatives can be performed. FMGTSTEP sets the number of implicit time steps performed on the coarse meshes during the "full" multigrid startup. This keyword will only be active when FIMPLIC=1.0, and
FFULMG = 1.0. For a more detailed description of the “full” multigrid startup procedure, see the descriptions of FFULMG, FCOAG1, FCOAG2, and Section 2.5.

FMULTI
(Default Value = 1.0)

FMULTI = 1.0

The FMULTI keyword assigns the number of multigrid levels to be used during the calculation (for a description of the multigrid algorithm, see Reference [4]). The code will analyze the dimensions of the fine mesh to determine whether it can be properly subdivided according to the number of multigrid levels requested. If FMULTI ≤ 1.0, then the number of multigrid levels is set to 1, and the calculation is performed on the finest mesh only without multigrid acceleration. For unsteady flows using the explicit time-marching strategy, the current multigrid scheme is not valid, and FMULTI should be set to 1.0. For time-dependent flows based on the implicit time-marching strategy (FIMPLIC = 1.0), the multigrid algorithm may be enabled as with any steady state solution. A flowchart of the ADPAC07 iteration and multigrid control algorithm is given in Figure 3.2.

FNCMAX
(Default Value = 100.0)

FNCMAX = 100.0

The FNCMAX keyword controls the total number of iterations for a non-multigrid calculation (FMULTI ≤ 1.0), or the number of global iterations on the finest mesh for a multigrid calculation (FMULTI > 1.0). The total number of iterations performed on all meshes for a multigrid run is controled by a combination of FNCMAX, FMULTI, FCOAG1, FCOAG2, FFULMG, FITFMG, and FSUBIT. For example, the values

FNCMAX = 200.0
FMULTI = 1.0
FITFMG = 0.0
FFULMG = 0.0
FSUBIT = 0.0

would prescribe 200 iterations of a non-multigrid run (only the fine mesh is used). The values

FNCMAX = 200.0
FMULTI = 3.0
FITFMG = 0.0
FFULMG = 0.0
FSUBIT = 1.0

would prescribe 200 multigrid iterations using 3 mesh levels (but still only 200 global iterations, where each iteration involves a single subiteration on each of 3 mesh levels). And finally, the values
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FNCMAX = 200.0
FMULTI = 3.0
FITFMG = 50.0
FFULMG = 1.0
FSUBIT = 1.0
FCOAG1 = 3
FCOAG2 = 2

would prescribe an initial pass of 50 iterations on the third mesh level, followed by 50 multigrid iterations on the second mesh level, and finally 200 global multigrid iterations on the finest mesh level. See the descriptions of the variables FNCMAX, FMULTI, FCOAG1, FCOAG2, FFULMG, FITFMG, and FSUBIT for further details. A flowchart of the A DPA('07 iteration and multigrid control algorithm is given in Figure 3.2.

FNTSTEP
(Default Value = 0.0)

FNTSTEP = 100.0

The FNTSTEP keyword assigns the number of global time steps to be evaluated on the finest mesh during an implicit time-dependent solution. FNTSTEP essentially sets the number of global time steps performed (while FNCMAX sets the number of inner iterations for each global time step). The total physical time of the time-dependent implicit solution is therefore FNTSTEP multiplied by FDELTAT. This variable is only active when FIMPLIC=1.0.

FRDMUL
(Default Value = 0.0)

FRDMUL = 0.0

The FRDMUL keyword determines whether boundary condition data for the coarse mesh levels of a multigrid run are generated from the fine mesh boundary conditions specified in the A DPA('07 boundary data file (FRDMUL = 0.0), or whether the coarse mesh boundary specifications are actually read in from the boundary data file (FRDMUL = 1.0). In most cases, FRDMUL should be set to 0.0, and the program will determine the equivalent coarse mesh boundary conditions from the fine mesh specifications. For the purposes of code debugging, or to permit multigrid calculation on a mesh which does not possess perfect "multigrid" boundary segments (a boundary condition for the fine mesh does not begin or end at a mesh index which is compatible with the multigrid sequence), it is possible to "fool" the program into running multigrid by artificially specifying an equivalent coarse mesh boundary condition.

FRESID
(Default Value = 1.0)

FRESID = 1.0

The FRESID keyword assigns a trigger which determines directly whether the implicit residual smoothing routines are called during the time-marching process. If FRESID = 0.0, then no residual smoothing is applied. It is also possible to turn off the residual
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Figure 3.2: ADPAC07 input keyword multigrid cycle and time-marching iteration management flowchart
smoothing by setting the values of \texttt{EPSX}, \texttt{EPSY}, and \texttt{EPSZ} to 0.0; however, the use of \texttt{FRESID} avoids the calculation of the smoothed residuals entirely. It is unlikely that any value other than 1.0 is required except for code debugging purposes, or for calculations involving $CFL \leq 2.0$.

\section*{FREST}

( Default Value = 0.0 )

\begin{equation*}
\text{FREST} = 0.0
\end{equation*}

The \texttt{FREST} keyword assigns a trigger which controls the restart characteristics of the \texttt{ADPAC07} code. If \texttt{FREST} = 0.0, then no restart file is used, and the flow variables are initialized according to the scheme described under the input keyword \texttt{RMACH}. If \texttt{FREST} = 1.0, then the code attempts to open a restart file (\texttt{case.restart.old}) described by the file naming convention (see Section 3.5), and the flow variables are initialized by the values given in the restart file. Restarting a calculation from a previous calculation is often useful for breaking up large calculations into smaller computational pieces, and may also provide faster convergence for cases which involve minor changes to a previous calculation.

A third option is provided (\texttt{FREST} = -1.0) which allows the \texttt{ADPAC07} to restart from a coarser mesh solution restart file. For very large meshes which permit multigrid, it may be desirable to initiate the solution using lesser computers by running on a coarsened mesh level generated independently from the fine mesh solution (using the \texttt{COARSEN} program). For example, if a solution is ultimately desired on a $257 \times 257 \times 257$ mesh, this obviously would require a fair amount of computer resources to complete. During the solution initiation phase, it might be desirable to start the solution on a coarsened version of the final fine mesh ($129 \times 129 \times 129$) using a smaller computer (a workstation for example). This can be accomplished through the following procedure. First, create a coarse mesh equivalent of the desired fine mesh solution (the \texttt{COARSEN} program was developed for this purpose). Create a solution for the coarsened mesh using \texttt{ADPAC07} using whatever computer is available. Next, using the restart file from the coarsened mesh solution, “restart” the fine mesh solution using \texttt{FREST} = -1.0. The coarsened mesh must represent an exact coarsened mesh from the ultimate fine mesh (every other mesh line removed) for this procedure to work properly.

It should be mentioned that restart files generated by the implicit time-dependent solution strategy will contain additional time level data compared to a steady state restart file. It is possible to restart a time-dependent implicit solution from an explicit steady state restart file and vice versa, at the expense of some loss of information. For long time-dependent solutions involving multiple restarts, the proper restart files must be utilized to properly predict the time-dependent flow behavior.

\section*{FSAVE}

( Default Value = 1.0 )

\begin{equation*}
\text{FSAVE} = 1.0
\end{equation*}

The \texttt{FSAVE} keyword assigns a trigger which controls the restart file output characteristics of the \texttt{ADPAC07} code. If \texttt{FSAVE} = 0.0, then no restart file is written at the end of an \texttt{ADPAC07} run. If \texttt{FSAVE} = 1.0, then the code attempts to open a restart file (\texttt{case.restart.wrd}) described by the file naming convention (see Section 3.5), and the flow variables are written to the restart file for future processing. Restarting a calculation from
A previous calculation is often useful for breaking up large calculations into smaller computational pieces, and may also provide faster convergence for cases which involve minor changes to a previous calculation. The recommended procedure is to always write a restart file.

**FSOLVE**
(Default Value = 1.0)

FSOLVE = 1.0

The **FSOLVE** keyword assigns a trigger which determines which type of time-marching strategy is employed on both fine and coarse meshes. For FSOLVE = 0.0, the standard 4-stage Runge Kutta time-marching scheme is used with a single added dissipation evaluation, and implicit residual smoothing at alternating stages. For FSOLVE = 1.0, a modified 4-stage Runge Kutta time-marching scheme is used with two evaluations of the added dissipation, and implicit residual smoothing at every stage. For FSOLVE = 2.0, a 5-stage Runge Kutta time-marching scheme is used with three weighted added dissipation evaluations, and implicit residual smoothing at every stage. FSOLVE = 1.0 is the recommended value, although the other schemes may provide improved convergence at a somewhat different computational cost per iteration.

**FSUBIT**
(Default Value = 1.0)

FSUBIT = 1.0

The **FSUBIT** keyword determines the number of subiterations performed on coarse meshes during the coarse mesh portion of the multigrid cycle. As such, this variable is actually only used when FMULTI > 1.0. Additional subiterations on coarse meshes during the multigrid cycle can often improve convergence, at the expense of some additional computational work. The number of subiterations specified by FSUBIT is applied at each coarse mesh level during the multigrid calculations process. A value of 1.0, 2.0, or 3.0 is typically best. A complete description of the multigrid calculation process is given in the Final Report [4]. A flowchart of the ADPAC07 iteration and multigrid control algorithm is given in Figure 3.2.

**FTIMEI**
(Default Value = 1.0)

FTIMEI = 1.0

The **FTIMEI** keyword assigns a trigger which determines the number of iterations between time step evaluations. For best results, this should be 1.0, which implies that the time step is re-evaluated at every iteration. However, this value can be increased (< 10) to reduce CPU time by reevaluating the time step every FTIMEI iterations instead (at the possible expense of irregular convergence).

**FTIMERM**
(Default Value = 0.0)

FTIMERM = 0.0
The **FTIMERM** keyword is utilized to control CPU quota during a run of the *ADPAC07* code. The effect of this variable is different during execution on a Cray computer and a UNIX workstation. On the Cray, for jobs running under the Network Queuing System (NQS), any nonzero value for **FTIMERM** directs the code to determine how much CPU time remains allocated to the current job during each time-marching iteration. The *ADPAC07* code estimates how much of that CPU time is required to normally shut down the current job. If the time remaining to the job allocation is indicated by *TIME*, and if the time required to shutdown is *SHUT*, then the code will evaluate the expression

\[
TIME - SHUT + FTIMERM
\]

where each term is in CPU seconds. If this expression is less than 0.0, then the code will halt the time marching process and attempt to shut down so the various output files can be written prior to termination by NQS due to CPU quota. Note that if **FTIMERM** is a negative number, then the code will shut down “early” in case additional programs must run under a given NQS run. On a UNIX workstation, NQS is usually not available, and in this case, the code keeps track of accumulated CPU time and terminates normal job processing when the accumulated CPU time exceeds the value of **FTIMERM**. If **FTIMERM** = 0.0, then no action is taken under any circumstances.

**FTIMFAC**
(Default Value = 1.0)

\[
FTIMFAC = 1.0
\]

The **FTIMFAC** keyword is a coefficient multiplier which determines the limiting time step for the implicit iteration strategy enabled by the keyword **FIMPLICIT**. Under most circumstances, the pseudo time step (as opposed to the *physical* time step) employed by the implicit inner iteration strategy requires some limiting to prevent instabilities in the global time marching process. The value of **FTIMFAC** is a “safety factor” of sorts by simply limiting the value of the pseudo time step. Larger values of **FIMPLICIT** imply more limiting, and hence improved stability (theoretically). Under no circumstances should **FTIMFAC** ever be less than 1.0. Values of between 1.0 and 10.0 are recommended. Again, this value only has meaning when **FIMPLICIT** = 1.0.

**FTOTSM**
(Default Value = 0.0)

\[
FTOTSM = 0.0
\]

The **FTOTSM** keyword is used to trigger the post multigrid smoothing algorithm. In this scheme, the residual corrections from the multigrid process are combined with the fine mesh residuals and are smoothed globally using a simple constant coefficient version of the implicit residual smoothing algorithm. The smoothing coefficient is determined by the value of the input keyword variable **EPSTOT**. The scheme is disabled when **FTOTSM** has a value of 0.0, and is employed when **FTOTSM** has a value of 1.0. This scheme has been found to aid stability, but can actually hinder convergence in some cases.

**FTURBB**
(Default Value = 10.0)
FTURBB = 10.0

The FTURBB keyword assigns a trigger which determines the number of iterations before the turbulence model is activated. For laminar flow, set FTURBB to a very large number (FTURBB > FNCMAX + (FMULTI-1) * FITFMG) * FFULMG) so the turbulence model is never called. For turbulent flow, the value should be large enough (e.g., ≥ 10) to ensure that the solution has developed adequately enough to permit stable implementation of the turbulence model (i.e., the flowfield should at least exhibit the gross characteristics (correct flow direction, some boundary layer development) of the expected final flow before the turbulence model is activated).

FTURBCHT(NUM)
(Default Values = 0.0)

FTURBCHT(1) = 1.0
FTURBCHT(2) = 0.0
FTURBCHT(3) = 0.0
FTURBCHT(4) = 0.0
FTURBCHT(5) = 0.0

The keyword FTURBCHT(NUM) sets a block specific trigger for the mesh block number specified by the value NUM to enable the body-centered mesh turbulence model described in Figure 3.1. If FTURBCHT(NUM) is set to 0.0, the standard turbulence model is used for the block specified by NUM. If FTURBCHT(NUM) is set to 1.0, then the special transition and body centered turbulence model is used for the block specified by NUM. The body-centered turbulence model locates the airfoil leading and trailing edges, and utilizes axial chord notation in conjunction with the input variables XTRANSS, XTRANPS and CMUTSS, CMUTPS to determine the natural transition point on the airfoil. This turbulence model was developed during an analysis of surface heat transfer (where transition plays a critical role) on a turbine vane cascade using a C-type mesh. The use of this model is recommended whenever the mesh topology is compatible with the scheme illustrated in Figure 3.1. Note that the “pressure” and “suction” surfaces defined in Figure 3.1 actually refer to geometric orientation rather than aerodynamic function.

It should be noted that these variables will have no effect when FINVVI=0.0 (inviscid flow), or when F2EQ=1.0 (two-equation turbulence model enabled) or when FTURBCHT=0.0 (transition model not activated).

FTURBF
(Default Value = 99999.0)

FTURBF = 99999.0

The FTURBF keyword assigns a trigger which determines the iteration number at which the turbulence model is frozen. This trigger was added for those cases where convergence is apparently hindered by “noise” from the turbulence model. Caution must be exercised when using the FTURBF variable due to the fact that the ADPAC'07 restart file does not contain any turbulent viscosity data. If the ADPAC'07 code is restarted from a turbulent flow solution when the value of FTURBF is less than the current iteration level, no turbulent quantities will be generated and the flow will exhibit laminar flow characteristics. In general, it is safest to make this a very large number to avoid problems.
**FTURBI**
(Default Value = 1.0)

FTURBI = 0.0

The **FTURBI** keyword assigns a trigger which determines the number of iterations between turbulence model evaluations. For best results, this should be 1.0, which implies that the turbulence parameters are reevaluated at every iteration. However, this value can be increased (<10) to reduce CPU time by reevaluating the turbulence quantities every FTURBI iterations instead (at the possible expense of irregular convergence).

**FUNINT**
(Default Value = 99999.0)

FUNINT = 99999.0

The **FUNINT** keyword is used to determine the number of iterations between instantaneous PLOT3D absolute flow file output. For a time-dependent calculation, it is often desirable to print out data at several intervals during the course of the solution to examine the time-dependent nature of the flow. The **ADPAC'07** program provides a mechanism by which a PLOT3D format flow file can be printed at a fixed iteration interval (the interval defined by the value of **FUNINT**) as a means of extracting time-dependent data during a calculation. For steady flow calculations, it is normally desirable to set **FUNINT** to a very large number, and simply use the final output PLOT3D format files if needed. For unsteady flow calculations, the value of **FUNINT** can be highly case dependent, and some numerical experimentation may be required to prevent excessive output, or a deficiency in data. The file naming convention for the unsteady output files is given in Section 3.5. Proper selection of **FDELTAT** and **FUNINT** resulting in PLOT3D output files at specific intervals of time will likely produce the most useful results.

**FUPWIND**
(Default Value = 0.0)

FUPWIND = 0.0

The **FUPWIND** keyword is a simple trigger to activate the upwind differencing scheme (on=1.0, off=0.0) available for the 2-D mesh block solver in the **ADPAC'07** code. The upwind differencing scheme is a first order scheme available for experimentation only, and is not a recommended solution technique for actual flow calculations at this point.

**FVTSSFAC**
(Default Value = 2.5)

FVTSSFAC = 2.5

The **FVTSSFAC** keyword determines the value of the viscous time step evaluation factor used to stabilize the time-marching solution for viscous flows. This factor is used to magnify the importance of the diffusion-related contributions to the time step evaluation (larger values suggest larger restrictions due to diffusion related parameters). This factor is particularly useful for meshes with rapid changes in grid spacing, and the default value of 2.5 was prescribed somewhat arbitrarily following numerical experimentation. It is unlikely that this value needs modification for most cases.
**FWALLF**
(Default Value = 1.0)

FWALLF = 1.0

The **FWALLF** keyword is used to trigger the use of wall functions in the *ADPAC07* turbulence model. Wall functions are normally desirable for meshes which are not highly clustered near solid surfaces. The *ADPAC07* code can determine when the wall function model is necessary and will automatically disable the wall function model (even if **FWALLF** is enabled) in favor of the standard turbulence model wall treatment for meshes with acceptable near wall spacing (roughly 0.0001 times airfoil chord for turbomachinery applications). The wall function model is not recommended for applications involving significant heat transfer or massive flow separation at this point.

**GAMMA**
(Default Value = 1.4)

GAMMA = 1.4

The **GAMMA** keyword sets the value for the gas specific heat ratio. For most cases involving air at moderate pressures and temperatures, a value of 1.4 is adequate. For cases involving combustion products, this value may be quite different, and should be considered appropriately. Extreme care must be taken when post-processing a calculation which is based on a value of **GAMMA** other than 1.4 as many post processors use an assumed value of the specific heat ratio equal to 1.4 (*PLOT3D* is a common example). It should be mentioned that the present version of the code does not permit user specification of the fluid viscosity, as the formula for air is hardwired into the code.

**P3DPRT**
(Default Value = 1.0)

P3DPRT = 1.0

The **P3DPRT** keyword assigns a trigger which determines whether *PLOT3D* format output files are written at the end of a calculation. A value of **P3DPRT** = 1.0 indicates that the output files should be written. Conversely, a value of **P3DPRT** = 0.0 indicates that the *PLOT3D* format output files should not be written. The *PLOT3D* output files (see Section 3.5 for file naming conventions for output files) are useful for graphically examining the predicted flow quantities using widely available plotting software such as *PLOT3D*, *FAST*, *SURF*, etc. Occasionally, however, due to disk space limitations or simply to speed up execution, it may be desirable to eliminate this output feature, and therefore **P3DPRT** can be used to control this output.

**PREF**
(Default Value = 2116.22)

PREF = 2116.22

The **PREF** keyword sets the dimensional value (in pounds force per square foot) of the reference total pressure used to nondimensionalize the flowfield. For viscous flows, this value must be accurately specified in order to properly set the nondimensional flow viscosity, (and
hence, the Reynolds number). For inviscid flow predictions, this value has no real significance because of the similarity of inviscid flows with Mach number. It is very important to choose an average representative value for this variable, such that the nondimensional total pressure at any point in the flow is near a value of 1.0. An extended discussion on the reason for this choice is given in the description of RMACH. In general, PREF is set to the freestream or inlet flow average total pressure.

**PRNO**
(Default Value = 0.7)

PRNO = 0.7

The PRNO keyword assigns the value of the gas Prandtl number. For air (and many other gases) at moderate pressures and temperatures, a value of 0.7 is appropriate.

**PRTNO**
(Default Value = 0.9)

PRTNO = 0.9

The PRTNO keyword assigns the value of the gas turbulent Prandtl number. The turbulence model in ADPAC07 determines the turbulent thermal conductivity via a turbulent Prandtl number and the calculated turbulent viscosity (see the Final Report [1]). The recommended value is 0.9.

**RGAS**
(Default Value = 1716.26)

RGAS = 1716.26

The RGAS keyword sets the dimensional value (in foot-pounds force per slug-degree Rankine) of the gas constant. The default value is for atmospheric air at standard pressure and temperature. This value is used in conjunction with GAMMA in determining the gas specific heats at constant pressure and constant volume.

**RMACH**
(Default Value = 0.5)

RMACH = 0.5

The RMACH keyword value is intended to set an initial or reference flow Mach number. This value is used primarily to set the initial freestream flow variables (density, pressure, temperature and axial velocity) for a given calculation based on a fixed Mach number. The freestream values are used to initialize the flowfield prior to the execution of the time-marching solver in the absence of a restart file. It should be mentioned that the initial data values are assigned based on the assumption that the nondimensional freestream total pressure and total temperature are 1.0 where the nondimensional values are referenced to the dimensional values determined by the PREF and TREF input variables. This implies that it is advisable to set up all input variables (in particular PREF and TREF), and boundary data for PEXIT (described in Section 3.7 on boundary data file specifications) such that the imposed inlet and exit flow boundary conditions are compatible with the
initial conditions derived from RMACH, based on the assumed global nondimensional total pressure and temperature. For example, suppose that the desired solution for an internal stage compressor rotor has an inlet total pressure of 24 psia, and an exit static pressure of 23.5 psia. For compressor designers, these numbers might commonly be referenced to standard atmospheric pressure (14.7 psia), resulting in nondimensional upstream total and exit static pressures of 1.6326 and 1.5986, respectively. If RMACH is set to 0.5, and the reference pressure is 14.7 psia, then the interior mesh points will be initiated with a static pressure value of 0.84302. It is unlikely that a stable solution will result when the exit static pressure is 1.5986, and the interior static pressure is 0.84302 (reversed flow at the exit boundary will result). A better approach is to specify 24 psia as the reference pressure, such that the nondimensional inlet total and exit static pressures are 1.0, and 0.97917, and the initial nondimensional static pressure at the interior cells is 0.84302. With these values, it is much more likely that a stable solution will result. In addition, the value of RMACH is used in conjunction with the value of advance ratio specified by the keyword ADVR, when the rotational speed is defined in this manner. In this case, the value of RMACH must be the freestream Mach number associated with the advance ratio specified by ADVR or an incorrect rotational speed will be calculated. A common error when using the RMACH input variable is to assume that the specification of the reference Mach number will set the flow for the case of interest. This is not true, as the boundary condition specifications will ultimately determine the flow conditions for any case.

**RPM**(NUM)
(_Default Value = 0.0)

- RPM(1) = 0.0
- RPM(2) = 0.0
- RPM(3) = 0.0
- RPM(4) = 0.0
- RPM(5) = 0.0

The RPM keyword value determines the rotational speed (in revolutions per minute) of the mesh block number specified by the value NUM. The value of RPM is, by nature, a dimensional value. Block rotational speeds are, by default, zero, unless either an RPM or an ADVR keyword are specified otherwise. The user should be aware that if the mesh has not been correctly non-dimensionalized, it is then possible that an incorrect value of rotational speed would be used in the calculation (see the description of the keyword DIAM). The user should also be aware that this value is sign specific, and many computational problems traced to geometries which were rotating the wrong way. The proper orientation for the RPM specification is illustrated in Figure 3.10.

**TREF**
(_Default Value = 518.67)

- TREF = 518.67

The TREF keyword sets the dimensional value (in degrees Rankine) of the reference total temperature used to nondimensionalize the flowfield. For viscous flows, this value must be accurately specified in order to properly set the nondimensional flow viscosity, (and hence, the Reynolds number). This value is also important for the specification of wall temperature used in the viscous wall boundary condition SSVI, SS2DVI (see the description of the
boundary data file, Section 3.7). For inviscid flow predictions, this value has no real significance because of the similarity of inviscid flows with Mach number. It is very important to choose an average representative value for this variable, such that the nondimensional total temperature at any point in the flow is near a value of 1.0. An extended discussion on the reason for this choice is given in the description of RMACH. In general, TREF is set to the freestream or inlet flow average total temperature.

**VIS2**
(Default Value = 1/2)

VIS2 = 0.5

The VIS2 keyword defines the value of the second order added dissipation term used in the fine mesh time-marching solver (see the Final Report [1]). This value is a simple multiplier of the second order dissipation term, and hence, larger values imply more added dissipation. Second order dissipation is used mainly to control the solution in the vicinity of flow discontinuities such as shock waves, but can also be important in any high gradient region. The recommended value is 0.5, but values from 0.0 (no second order dissipation) to 2.0 may be necessary. Any value larger than 2.0 is of questionable use, as the added dissipation will likely dominate the solution.

**VIS4**
(Default Value = 1/64)

VIS4 = 0.015625

The VIS4 keyword defines the value of the fourth order added dissipation term used in the fine mesh time-marching solver (see the Final Report [1]). This value is a simple multiplier of the fourth order dissipation term, and hence, larger values imply more added dissipation. Fourth order dissipation is used mainly to provide a background dissipation to control the odd/even point decoupling associated with centered differencing schemes. The recommended value is 0.015625 (1/64), but values from 0.0 (no fourth order dissipation) to 0.0625 (1/16) may be necessary. Any value larger than 0.0625 is of questionable use, as the added dissipation will likely dominate the solution.

**VISCG2**

Format:(Default Value = 1/8)

VISCG2 = 0.125

The VISCG2 keyword controls the value of the second order added dissipation coefficient for coarse mesh subiterations during the multigrid time-marching solution process. Coarse mesh subiterations utilize a simpler dissipation scheme than the fine mesh time-marching scheme, and therefore, a different damping constant is required. Larger values imply increased added dissipation. The recommended value is VISCG2 = 0.125, although values from 0.0 (no dissipation) to 1.0 are possible. Values larger than 1.0 are not recommended as the solution would then likely be dominated by the dissipation.

**XTRANSS, XTRANPS**

(Default Value = 0.0)
XTRANSS = 0.0
XTRANPS = 0.0

The **XTRANSS**, **XTRANPS** keywords determine the percentage of axial chord at which transition is forced to occur for the point transition model in the **ADPAC07** body centered mesh turbulence model activated by the keyword **FTURBCHT**. This simplified transition model maintains laminar flow until the percentage of axial chord indicated by **XTRANSS**, **XTRANPS** is exceeded at which point complete transition is forced. Separate variables are provided for the “suction side” and “pressure side”, respectively, of the airfoil in question.

The transition model parameters are illustrated in Figure 3.1. Fully turbulent (nontransitional) flows should set **XTRANSS**, **XTRANPS** to 0.0 (transition occurs at leading edge). Other values must be determined on a case by case basis.

It should be noted that these variables will have no effect when **FINVVI**=0.0 (inviscid flow), or when **F2EQ**=1.0 (two-equation turbulence model enabled) or when **FTURBCHT**=0.0 (transition model not activated).

**ZETARAT**

(Default Value = 0.6)

ZETARAT = 0.6

The keyword **ZETARAT** controls a parameter used in the eigenvalue scaling operator in the residual smoothing algorithm (see the Final Report [4]). The value of **ZETARAT** represents the exponent the ratio of two coordinate eigenvalues and therefore large values of **ZETARAT** (≥ 0.6) imply increased bias for meshes with large differences in coordinate spacing while small values of **ZETARAT** (≤ 0.5) imply decreased bias for meshes with large differences in coordinate spacing. Normally, values between 0.5 and 0.6 are recommended.

### 3.7 **ADPAC07** Boundary Data File Description

The **ADPAC07** boundary data file contains the user-specifiable parameters which control the application of boundary conditions on the multiple-block mesh during a time-marching solution. These boundary specifications determine the location of solid walls, input/output flow regions, and block-to-block communication paths. Prior to a detailed discussion of the actual boundary condition specifications, several boundary condition application concepts should be explained. It is important to understand how boundary conditions are applied in the **ADPAC07** finite volume solution scheme. Finite volume solution algorithms typically employ the concept of a phantom cell to impose boundary conditions on the external faces of a mesh block. This concept is illustrated graphically for a 2-D mesh representation in Figure 3.3.

A phantom cell is a fictitious neighboring cell which is utilized in the application of boundary conditions on the outer boundaries of a mesh block. Since flow variables cannot be directly specified at a mesh surface in a finite volume solution (the flow variables are calculated and stored at cell centers, where the corners of a cell are described by the 8 surrounding mesh points), the boundary data specified in the phantom cell are utilized to control the flux condition at the cell faces of the outer boundary of the mesh block, and, in turn, satisfy a particular boundary condition. All **ADPAC07** boundary condition specifications provide data values for phantom cells to implement a particular mathematical
2-D Mesh Block Phantom Cell Representation

- **Grid Point**
- **Grid Line**
- **Mesh Block Boundary**
- **Phantom Cell Representation**

Boundary condition specifications control the flow variables for the phantom cells adjacent to the mesh block boundary.

"Corner" phantom cells cannot be controlled through boundary conditions, but must be updated to accurately compute grid point averaged values.

Figure 3.3: 2-D mesh block phantom cell representation
ADPAC 3-D Boundary Condition Specification

All block boundary conditions are specified as a grid-defined "patch" on an i=constant, j=constant, or k=constant mesh face.

Patches may be internal to the mesh as well.

Figure 3.1: ADPAC'07 3-D boundary condition specification
Effect of Ordering in Application of Boundary Conditions for ADPAC Code

Figure 3.5: Effect of ordering in application of boundary conditions for the ADPAC07 code boundary condition on the mesh. It should be emphasized that the phantom cells are automatically defined within the ADPAC07 code, and the user need not be concerned about generating fictitious points within the mesh to accommodate the boundary condition application procedure (mesh points need only be generated for the actual flow domain).

Although boundary conditions are imposed at phantom cells in the numerical solution, the boundary specification is still most conveniently defined in terms of grid points, not computational cells. An illustration of the boundary specification method for ADPAC07 is given in Figure 3.1. All boundary conditions are specified in terms of the grid points on either an \( i \)-constant, \( j \)-constant, or \( k \)-constant mesh surface. In practice, these surfaces are typically on the outer boundaries of the mesh block, but it is also possible to impose a boundary on the interior of a mesh block (see the description of the boundary specifications KILL and KIL2D, below).

The third important aspect of the application of boundary conditions in the ADPAC07 code involves the order in which boundary conditions are applied. During the execution of the ADPAC07 code, all boundary conditions are applied to the various mesh blocks in the order in which they are specified in the case.boundata file. As a result, it is possible to overwrite a previously specified boundary patch with a different boundary condition than was originally specified. This concept is illustrated graphically in Figure 3.5. The user must take proper precautions to prohibit accidentally overwriting a desired boundary patch as the ADPAC07 code cannot distinguish the proper order for the user.

During code execution, the boundary data file is read one line at a time as a character string, and each string is parsed sequentially to determine the specific program action in each case. The boundary data file utilizes a keyword input format, such that any line which does not contain a recognizable keyword is treated as a comment line. Therefore, the user may place any number of comments in the file (so long as the line does not contain a keyword input string in the form described below), and code execution is unaltered. All boundary data file lines are echoed to the standard output, and the program response to each line is listed when a specific action is taken. A line in the boundary data file can also be effectively commented by inserting a \# character in the first column. Therefore the lines...
PATCH 1 1 K K P M I J 1 17 1 129 1 17 1 129 1 17
PATCH 2 2 K K P M I J 1 17 1 129 1 17 1 129 1 17

are acceptable boundary specifications; however, the lines

#PATCH 1 1 K K P M I J 1 17 1 129 1 17 1 129 1 17
#PATCH 2 2 K K P M I J 1 17 1 129 1 17 1 129 1 17

would be neglected.

All keyword input lines are given in the format listed in Figure 3.6. The actual specification in the boundary data file may be free format, as long as the individual parameter specifications are given in the correct order and are separated by one or more blank spaces.

All boundary specifications begin with a line containing 19 variables as outlined by the vertical labels in Figure 3.6. A description of the function of each of the variables in the boundary specification line is given in the proper order in the section below:

Description of Boundary Specification Line Variables

**BCTYPE** The first variable, **BCTYPE**, is a character string defining the type of boundary condition to be applied to a given mesh block. **BCTYPE** must correspond to one of the reserved boundary condition keywords defined later in this section to be a proper boundary specification. If **BCTYPE** is not one of the reserved names, then the boundary specification line is ignored.

**LBLOCK1** The variable **LBLOCK1** is an integer defining the grid block number to which the boundary condition implied by **BCTYPE** is applied. Naturally, this implies **LBLOCK1** ≥ 1, and **LBLOCK1** ≤ **NBLKS**, where **NBLKS** represents the last mesh block number.

**LBLOCK2** The variable **LBLOCK2** is an integer defining the grid block number from which the boundary condition data implied by **BCTYPE** and applied to mesh block **LBLOCK1** is obtained. In some cases, a boundary specification may involve more than one block (patching two blocks together is an example), and the **LBLOCK2** variable is provided for this purpose. The value of the **LBLOCK2** variable is only used in certain routines, but it is a good idea to be consistent in every boundary specification by duplicating the **LBLOCK1** value for the **LBLOCK2** variable if only a single mesh block is involved in a boundary specification (If the boundary specification only involves a single block, then set **LBLOCK2** = **LBLOCK1**).

**LFACE1** The variable **LFACE1** is a single character (one of the letters I, J, or K) specifying the grid plane (i=constant, j=constant, or k=constant) to which the boundary condition is applied in block **LBLOCK1**. This specification determines the grid face to which the boundary specification is applied, based on the method by which boundary conditions are
CASE.BOUNDATA FILE

This file contains case-specific boundary data information. All boundary condition applications are represented by 1 or more lines in this file.

A sample line is given in the highlighted region below:

This is the keyword describing the type of boundary condition to be applied.

This is the mesh block number to which the boundary data is applied.

This is the mesh block number from which the boundary data is derived.

This indicates the grid surface (ij,k) to which the boundary data is applied in block LBLOCK1.

This indicates the grid surface (ij,k) from which the boundary data is derived in block LBLOCK2.

This indicates the direction (+P, -M) along the LFACE1 coordinate which travels towards the interior of the flow (away from the bounding surface) in mesh block LBLOCK1.

This indicates the direction (+P, -M) along the LFACE2 coordinate which travels towards the interior of the flow (away from the bounding surface) in mesh block LBLOCK2.

These are the beginning and ending indices for the corresponding coordinate directions in block LBLOCK1 from which boundary data is applied.

These are the beginning and ending indices for the remaining coordinate directions in block LBLOCK1 to which boundary data is applied.

This is the index in the LDIR2 direction for boundary data in block LBLOCK2.

This is the index in the LDIR1 direction for boundary data in block LBLOCK1.

These are triggers reserved for special use in some boundary conditions (usually the value L1, or K, which indicates the correspondence of the coordinates in mesh block LBLOCK2 with the remaining (not LFACE1) coordinates in mesh block LBLOCK1.

Figure 3.6: ADPAC07 boundary data file specification format
implemented in the finite-volume solution scheme (see the discussion and figures above).

**LFACE2** The variable **LFACE2** is a single character (one of the letters I, J, or K) specifying the grid plane \((i=\text{constant}, j=\text{constant}, \text{or } k=\text{constant})\) from which the boundary condition data is derived in block **LBLOCK2**. This specification determines the grid face from which the neighboring block boundary data is derived, based on the method by which boundary conditions are implemented in the finite-volume solution scheme (see the discussion and figures above). Naturally, this variable is only useful for boundary specifications involving more than one block. If only one block is involved, simply set \(\text{LFACE2} = \text{LFACE1}\).

**LDIR1** The variable **LDIR1** is a single character (one of the letters \(P \) or \(M \)) specifying the direction \((P=\text{plus}, M=\text{minus})\) along the **LDIR1** coordinate in **LBLOCK1** which is directed away (towards the interior flow region) from the boundary surface patch. The specification of this variable is normally automatic when the boundary specification is applied to the external surface of a grid block - \(\text{LDIR1} = P \text{ when } \text{L1LIM} = 1 \text{, and } \text{LDIR1} = M \text{ when } \text{L1LIM} = \text{IMX, JMX, or KMX} \). (\(\text{IMX, JMX, KMX}\) indicate the maximum indices of the **LBLOCK1** mesh block in the \(i, j, \text{ and } k \) directions, respectively). The intent here is to provide a means of specifying which side of the boundary surface plane the interior computational cells (non-phantom cells) lie on. This specification is made by providing the coordinate direction of the interior computational cells - the phantom cells are then assumed to lie in the opposite direction.

**LDIR2** The variable **LDIR2** is a single character (one of the letters \(P \) or \(M \)) specifying the direction \((P=\text{plus}, M=\text{minus})\) along the **LDIR2** coordinate in **LBLOCK2** which is away (towards the interior flow region) from the boundary surface patch. This variable is only used in boundary specifications cases involving more than one mesh block. The specification of this variable is normally automatic when the boundary specification data is obtained from the external surface of a neighboring grid block - \(\text{LDIR2} = P \text{ when } \text{L2LIM} = 1 \text{, and } \text{LDIR2} = M \text{ when } \text{L2LIM} = \text{IMX, JMX, or KMX} \). (\(\text{IMX, JMX, KMX}\) indicate the maximum indices of the **LBLOCK2** mesh block in the \(i, j, \text{ and } k \) directions, respectively). The intent here is to provide a means of specifying which side of the boundary surface plane the interior computational cells (non-phantom cells) lie on. This specification is made by providing the coordinate direction of the interior computational cells - the phantom cells are then assumed to lie in the opposite direction. If the boundary specification involves only a single mesh block, then simply set \(\text{LDIR2} = \text{LDIR1}\).

**LSPEC1** The variable **LSPEC1** is a single character (usually I, J, K, L, or H) which implies some special information about the boundary condition specification. This parameter is boundary condition dependent. The most common application of this variable is in the boundary data file keyword **PATCH**, which provides the cell-to-cell connection for two grid blocks with a mating contiguous surface. For boundary conditions involving more than one mesh block (such as **PATCH**), it
is possible that the connection between blocks may involve connections between different grid surfaces, and that the indices in block LBLOCK2 correspond to a different coordinate in block LBLOCK1. In the case of a PATCH boundary condition, the LSPEC1 variable determines the grid coordinate direction in the LBLOCK1 mesh block which corresponds with the first remaining grid coordinate in mesh block LBLOCK2. (The extent of the first remaining coordinate in mesh block LBLOCK2 is determined by the values of M2LIM1 and M2LIM2.)

**LSPEC2**
The variable LSPEC2 is a single character (usually I, J, K, L, or II) which implies some special information about the boundary condition specification. This parameter is usually boundary condition dependent. The most common application of this variable is in the boundary data file keyword PATCH, which provides the cell-to-cell connection for two grid blocks with a mating contiguous surface. For boundary conditions involving more than one mesh block (such as PATCH), it is possible that the connection between blocks may involve connections between different grid surfaces, and that the indices in block LBLOCK2 correspond to a different coordinate in block LBLOCK1. In the case of a PATCH boundary condition, the LSPEC2 variable determines the grid coordinate direction in the LBLOCK1 mesh block which corresponds with the second remaining grid coordinate in mesh block LBLOCK2. (The extent of the second remaining coordinate in mesh block LBLOCK2 is determined by the values of N2LIM1 and N2LIM2.)

**L1LIM**
The variable L1LIM is an integer specifying the index of the grid in the LFACE1 direction to which the boundary condition should be applied in block LBLOCK1. This value determines the actual mesh index of the \(i=\text{constant}, \ j=\text{constant}, \) or \(k=\text{constant}\) mesh face (determined by LFACE1) to which the boundary condition is applied in mesh block LBLOCK1.

**L2LIM**
The variable L2LIM is an integer specifying the index of the grid in the LFACE2 direction from which the boundary condition data is derived in block LBLOCK2. This value determines the actual mesh index of the \(i=\text{constant}, \ j=\text{constant}, \) or \(k=\text{constant}\) mesh face (determined by LFACE2) from which the boundary condition data is derived in mesh block LBLOCK2.

**M1LIM1**
The variable M1LIM1 is an integer representing the initial index of the first remaining grid coordinate direction to which the boundary condition is applied in block LBLOCK1. Since the boundary specification applies to either an \(i=\text{constant}, \ j=\text{constant}, \) or \(k=\text{constant}\) surface, the variables M1LIM1, M1LIM2, N1LIM1 and N1LIM2 determine the extent of the patch in the remaining coordinate directions. The remaining coordinate directions for block LBLOCK1 are specified in the natural order. (For example, if LFACE1=I, then the variables M1LIM1, M1LIM2 refer to the extent in the \(i\) direction and the variables N1LIM1, N1LIM2 refer to the extent in the \(k\) di-
If LFACE1 = J, then the variables M1LIM1, M1LIM2 refer to the extent in the i direction and the variables N1LIM1, N1LIM2 refer to the extent in the k direction. If LFACE1 = K, then the variables M1LIM1, M1LIM2 refer to the extent in the i direction and the variables N1LIM1, N1LIM2 refer to the extent in the j direction.) The indices specified in M1LIM1 and M1LIM2 must be given in increasing order. The indices specified in N1LIM1 and N1LIM2 must also be given in increasing order.

M1LIM2 The variable M1LIM2 is an integer representing the final index of the first remaining grid coordinate direction to which the boundary condition is applied in block LBLOCK1. Since the boundary specification applies to either an i=constant, j=constant, or k=constant surface, the variables M1LIM1, M1LIM2, N1LIM1 and N1LIM2 determine the extent of the patch in the remaining coordinate directions. The remaining coordinate directions for block LBLOCK1 are specified in the natural order. (For example, if LFACE1 = I, then the variables M1LIM1, M1LIM2 refer to the extent in the j direction and the variables N1LIM1, N1LIM2 refer to the extent in the k direction. If LFACE1 = J, then the variables M1LIM1, M1LIM2 refer to the extent in the i direction and the variables N1LIM1, N1LIM2 refer to the extent in the k direction. If LFACE1 = K, then the variables M1LIM1, M1LIM2 refer to the extent in the i direction and the variables N1LIM1, N1LIM2 refer to the extent in the j direction.) The indices specified in M1LIM1 and M1LIM2 must be given in increasing order. The indices specified in N1LIM1 and N1LIM2 must also be given in increasing order.

N1LIM1 The variable N1LIM1 is an integer representing the initial index of the second remaining grid coordinate direction to which the boundary condition is applied in block LBLOCK1. Since the boundary specification applies to either an i=constant, j=constant, or k=constant surface, the variables M1LIM1, M1LIM2, N1LIM1 and N1LIM2 determine the extent of the patch in the remaining coordinate directions. The remaining coordinate directions for block LBLOCK1 are specified in the natural order. (For example, if LFACE1 = I, then the variables M1LIM1, M1LIM2 refer to the extent in the j direction and the variables N1LIM1, N1LIM2 refer to the extent in the k direction. If LFACE1 = J, then the variables M1LIM1, M1LIM2 refer to the extent in the i direction and the variables N1LIM1, N1LIM2 refer to the extent in the k direction. If LFACE1 = K, then the variables M1LIM1, M1LIM2 refer to the extent in the i direction and the variables N1LIM1, N1LIM2 refer to the extent in the j direction.) The indices specified in M1LIM1 and M1LIM2 must be given in increasing order. The indices specified in N1LIM1 and N1LIM2 must also be given in increasing order. For boundaries on 2-D mesh blocks, this must always be 1.

N1LIM2 The variable N1LIM2 is an integer representing the final index of the second remaining grid coordinate direction to which the boundary con-
dition is applied in block LBLOCK1. Since the boundary specification applies to either an \(i=\)constant, \(j=\)constant, or \(k=\)constant surface, the variables \(M1LIM1, M1LIM2, N1LIM1\) and \(N1LIM2\) determine the extent of the patch in the remaining coordinate directions. The remaining coordinate directions for block LBLOCK1 are specified in the natural order. (For example, if \(LFACE1=I\), then the variables \(M1LIM1, M1LIM2\) refer to the extent in the \(j\) direction and the variables \(N1LIM1, N1LIM2\) refer to the extent in the \(k\) direction. If \(LFACE1=J\), then the variables \(M1LIM1, M1LIM2\) refer to the extent in the \(i\) direction and the variables \(N1LIM1, N1LIM2\) refer to the extent in the \(k\) direction. If \(LFACE1=K\), then the variables \(M1LIM1, M1LIM2\) refer to the extent in the \(i\) direction and the variables \(N1LIM1, N1LIM2\) refer to the extent in the \(j\) direction.) The indices specified in \(M1LIM1\) and \(M1LIM2\) must be given in increasing order. The indices specified in \(N1LIM1\) and \(N1LIM2\) must also be given in increasing order. For boundaries on 2-D mesh blocks, this must always be 2.

**M2LIM1** The variable \(M2LIM1\) is an integer representing the initial index of the grid coordinate direction in block LBLOCK2 corresponding to the first remaining coordinate in block LBLOCK1. For boundary conditions involving more than one mesh block, it is possible that the connection between blocks may involve connections between different grid surfaces, and that the indices in block LBLOCK2 correspond to a different coordinate in block LBLOCK1. The variables \(M2LIM1, M2LIM2\) control the indices in the LSPEC1 direction in block LBLOCK2 which correspond to the indices determined by \(M1LIM1, M1LIM2\) in block LBLOCK1. The user should note that it is possible for \(M2LIM1 > M2LIM2\) and \(N2LIM1 > N2LIM2\) but it is not possible for \(M1LIM1 > M1LIM2\) and \(N1LIM1 > N1LIM2\). If only a single mesh block is involved in the boundary specification, set \(M2LIM1 = M1LIM1\).

**M2LIM2** The variable \(M2LIM2\) is an integer representing the final index of the grid coordinate direction in block LBLOCK2 corresponding to the first remaining coordinate in block LBLOCK1. For boundary conditions involving more than one mesh block, it is possible that the connection between blocks may involve connections between different grid surfaces, and that the indices in block LBLOCK2 correspond to a different coordinate in block LBLOCK1. The variables \(M2LIM1, M2LIM2\) control the indices in the LSPEC1 direction in block LBLOCK2 which correspond to the indices determined by \(M1LIM1, M1LIM2\) in block LBLOCK1. The user should note that it is possible for \(M2LIM1 > M2LIM2\) and \(N2LIM1 > N2LIM2\) but it is not possible for \(M1LIM1 > M1LIM2\) and \(N1LIM1 > N1LIM2\). If only a single mesh block is involved in the boundary specification, set \(M2LIM2 = M1LIM2\).

**N2LIM1** The variable \(N2LIM1\) is an integer representing the initial index of the grid coordinate direction in block LBLOCK2 corresponding to
the second remaining coordinate in block $\text{LBLOCK1}$. For boundary conditions involving more than one mesh block, it is possible that the connection between blocks may involve connections between different grid surfaces, and that the indices in block $\text{LBLOCK2}$ correspond to a different coordinate in block $\text{LBLOCK1}$. The variables $\text{N2LIM1}$, $\text{N2LIM2}$ control the indices in the $\text{LSPEC2}$ direction in block $\text{LBLOCK2}$ which correspond to the indices determined by $\text{N1LIM1}$, $\text{N1LIM2}$ in block $\text{LBLOCK1}$. The user should note that it is possible for $\text{M2LIM1} > \text{M2LIM2}$ and $\text{N2LIM1} > \text{N2LIM2}$ but it is not possible for $\text{M1LIM1} > \text{M1LIM2}$ and $\text{N1LIM1} > \text{N1LIM2}$. If only a single mesh block is involved in the boundary specification, set $\text{N2LIM1} = \text{N1LIM1}$. For boundary data on 2-D mesh blocks, this must always be 1.

$\text{N2LIM2}$ The variable $\text{N2LIM2}$ is an integer representing the final index of the grid coordinate direction in block $\text{LBLOCK2}$ corresponding to the second remaining coordinate in block $\text{LBLOCK1}$. For boundary conditions involving more than one mesh block, it is possible that the connection between blocks may involve connections between different grid surfaces, and that the indices in block $\text{LBLOCK2}$ correspond to a different coordinate in block $\text{LBLOCK1}$. The variables $\text{N2LIM1}$, $\text{N2LIM2}$ control the indices in the $\text{LSPEC2}$ direction in block $\text{LBLOCK2}$ which correspond to the indices determined by $\text{N1LIM1}$, $\text{N1LIM2}$ in block $\text{LBLOCK1}$. The user should note that it is possible for $\text{M2LIM1} > \text{M2LIM2}$ and $\text{N2LIM1} > \text{N2LIM2}$ but it is not possible for $\text{M1LIM1} > \text{M1LIM2}$ and $\text{N1LIM1} > \text{N1LIM2}$. If only a single mesh block is involved in the boundary specification, set $\text{N2LIM2} = \text{N1LIM2}$. For boundary data on 2-D mesh blocks, this must always be 2.

Some boundary condition specifications require additional data beyond that incorporated in the boundary specification line. In these cases, described in detail for the specific boundary types later in this Section, the additional data is included immediately after the boundary specification line.

A sample $\text{ADPAC07}$ boundary data file containing several keywords is listed below.

**Sample $\text{ADPAC07}$ Boundary Data File**

```
BLOCKDATA FOLLOWS: LABELS
B L L L L L L L L L L L M M N N M M N N N C
C B B F F D D S S S S 1 2 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 0
T L L A A I I P P L L L L L L L L L M
Y O O C C R R E E E I I I I I I I I M
P C C E E 1 2 C C M M M M M M M M E
E K K 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 N
1 2 T
------- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- --
#  
```
#--->
The next two lines do the periodic boundary at \( K=1 \), \( K=17 \)
#
PATCH 1 1 K K P M I J 1 17 1 49 1 17 1 49 1 17 K=1
PATCH 1 1 K K M P I J 17 1 17 1 49 1 17 1 49 1 17 K=KL
#
#--->
Hub surface is at \( J=1 \)
#
SSIN 1 1 J J P P S S 1 1 1 49 1 17 1 49 1 17 Hub
#
#--->
Next two lines define the blade surfaces at \( K=1 \), \( K=17 \)
#
SSIN 1 1 K K P P S S 1 1 17 33 1 17 17 33 1 17 K=1
SSIN 1 1 K K M M S S 17 17 17 33 1 17 17 33 1 17 K=KL
#
#--->
Set the inflow data at \( I=1 \)
#
INLETT 1 1 I I P P S S 1 1 1 17 1 17 1 17 1 17 1 17 INL
NDATA 3
   RAD   PTOT   TTOT   BETAR   BETAT
   0.100000  1.000000  1.000000  0.000000  0.000000
   0.300000  1.000000  1.000000  0.000000  0.000000
   0.500000  1.000000  1.000000  0.000000  0.000000
#
#--->
Set the exit flow data at \( I=49 \) (Note that the exit static pressure
# is set here: this determines the blade loading and the flow rate
#
EXITT 1 1 I I M M H H 49 49 1 17 1 17 1 17 1 17 1 17 INL
PEXIT 1.200000
#
#--->
Define the case surface at \( J=17 \)
#
SSIN 1 1 J J M M S S 17 17 1 49 1 17 1 49 1 17 Case
#
#--->
That's all folks
#
ENDDATA

A list and description of all valid boundary data keywords and any additional data
required for the given boundary condition is now presented in the pages which follow.
BCINT1

BCINT1 Type Non-Contiguous Mesh Block Interface Patching Scheme

Application

The BCINT1 specification is used in any application involving neighboring mesh blocks with a non-contiguous grid line to grid line interface in one coordinate direction. The interface must be contiguous in the other direction. BCINT1 patches one block to one other block by interpolation along the non-contiguous index.

The example graphic above illustrates a two-dimensional mesh system used to predict the flow through a turbine vane passage. The C-type mesh utilizes a noncontiguous wake cut line as shown in the trailing edge detail. The BCINT1 specification is applied along either side of the wake cut line to permit communication of flow variables across the noncontiguous mesh interface. Here, the interpolation direction is i, and part of the block is patched to itself. Note that the i index increases in different directions at the wake cut line. BCINT1 can handle interpolation along any index, regardless of the orientation of the mating surface.
Boundary Data File Format

The boundary data file specifications for the mesh interface indicated in the illustrative graphic for the BCINT1 boundary condition are given below:

BCINT1 1 1 J J P P L L 1 1 1 33 1 2 193 177 1 2
IDIRNT1 IDIRNT2
I I
ISHFTDR DSHIFT
2 0.0
BCINT1 1 1 J J P P L L 1 1 177 193 1 2 33 1 1 2
IDIRNT1 IDIRNT2
I I
ISHFTDR DSHIFT
2 0.0

Note that a complete BCINT1 specification generally requires two BCINT1 statement lines in the boundary data file. In the example above, the first specification provides the interblock communication for one side of the C-grid wake cut, while the second specification provides the communication for the other side of the C-grid wake cut. It is a common error to underspecify a BCINT1 boundary by only providing a single line per interface.

Description

The BCINT1 boundary statement provides a means for block to block communication for cases involving neighboring mesh boundaries which share a common surface, but are non-contiguous in one grid index. BCINT1 can be applied to either stationary or rotating block interfaces, but the results are physically correct only if both blocks are rotating at the same speed. (The BCPRR specification should be used for cases with relatively rotating blocks.) A proper BCINT1 boundary is specified much like a PATCH boundary. The LFACE1 and LFACE2 determine which faces are mated together. BCINT1 also requires the specification of additional information. The second line in a BCINTM specification is a comment line, normally labeling the variables INTDIR1 and INTDIR2. The third line defines the variables INTDIR1 and INTDIR2 as either 1, J, or K, depending on the direction of interpolation for the receiving and sending blocks, respectively. One mesh restriction to note is that BCINTM allows only one interpolation direction for each side of the interface. The fourth line is a comment line normally labeling the variables ISHFTDR and DSHIFT. The fifth line defines the values for the variables ISHFTDR and DSHIFT. These variables provide a mechanism for shifting the boundary in one of the three coordinate directions (x, y, z for Cartesian flows, or r, θ for cylindrical flows). The value of ISHFTDR identifies the variable to be shifted (1-x, 2-y, 3-z for Cartesian and 1-r, 2-r, 3-θ for cylindrical) and the value of DSHIFT is the increment by which the sending boundary is shifted (normalized in the same manner as the mesh coordinates) to mate to the receiving boundary. BCINTM expects that the two sides of the interface lie on a common physical surface, but the grid itself may not have both sides of the interface in the same physical location. The most common use for this feature is a noncontiguous periodic boundary for a single passage turbomachinery blade row solution. The ISHFTDR
and \textbf{DSHIFT} variables are provided to allow the user to temporarily shift the physical location of the "sending" blocks to the "receiving" blocks physical location. For the case of a noncontiguous periodic boundary in a 2-D turbine cascade, for example, \texttt{ISHFTDR} would be 2 (shift in the \textit{y} direction) and the amount of the shift defined by \texttt{DSHIFT} would be the circumferential spacing of the blade rows in mesh units. The blocks are assumed to be contiguous in the remaining index. The \texttt{M2LIM} or \texttt{N2LIM} variables are specified much as they would be for a \texttt{PATCH} specification. The exception is that the number of points spanned by the limits in the direction of interpolation need not be equal.

The search routine which determines the interpolation stencil assumes that the mating grid lines are piecewise linear approximations to the same curve in the interpolation direction. A global search is performed for the proper mating cell of the first index. The closest cell to the point of interest is taken as the mating cell. A localized search is performed for the mating cells of the remaining points. The local search starts at the mating cell of the preceding point and searches along the mating boundary until the mating cell containing the new point is found. In the event that the mating cell is not found before the upper limit is reached in the mating block, the search continues from the lower limit in the mating block. This implies two things: the physical domain of the interpolation must be the same in the two blocks, and the domain is assumed to be periodic if the search routine goes past an endpoint.

\textbf{Restrictions/Limitations}

The \texttt{BCINT1} boundary specification is restricted to mesh interfaces which lie on a common surface (no significant overlap).

Generally, endpoints of the interpolated region in the two blocks should be coincident. There is at least one exception to this rule based on the above description of the search routine. In the case of concentric O-grids, the endpoints of the two blocks may be misaligned as shown in the figure below. The interpolation routine will find the appropriate stencil for each point because the grids are periodic.

The \textit{ADPAC't7 COARSEN} program cannot properly handle subdivision of mesh boundaries involving a \texttt{BCINT1} or \texttt{BCINTM} specification.
The **BCINT1** condition reduces to a **PATCH** condition if the mating blocks are actually contiguous. However, due to the linear interpolation used in **BCINT1**, the scheme does not maintain either global or local conservation of flow variables across a non-contiguous mesh interface.

The **BCINT1** condition also performs the same function as the **TRAF** condition, but with fewer restrictions. The **TRAF** condition employs a cubic spline for interpolation, rather than the linear procedure used by **BCINT1**, and therefore, **BCINT1** may be less accurate.

**Common Errors**

- Failure to provide 2 **BCINT1** statements for each interface.
- Incorrectly specified or misaligned extents of boundary regions (values of **M1LIM1**, **M1LIM2**, **N1LIM1**, **N1LIM2**, **M2LIM1**, **M2LIM2**, **N2LIM1**, **N2LIM2** do not correctly define the interface extents on blocks **LBLOCK1** and **LBLOCK2**).
- Incorrectly specified index for the interpolation direction for **LBLOCK1** or **LBLOCK2**.
- Attempt to use **BCINT1** for a boundary which has 2 misaligned coordinates.
- Attempt to use **BCINT1** for boundaries which are not monotonic along the interpolated index.
- Attempt to use **COARSEN** for a problem involving a **BCINT1** boundary specification.
BCINTM

BCINTM Type Non-Contiguous Mesh Block Interface Patching Scheme

Application

The BCINTM specification is used in any application involving neighboring mesh blocks with a non-contiguous mesh interface in one coordinate direction. The interface must be contiguous in the remaining coordinate direction. BCINTM provides a mechanism whereby noncontiguous boundaries involving groups of blocks may be coupled to other groups of blocks by interpolation along the non-contiguous index. BCINTM is a multi-block version of BCINT1.

The example graphic above illustrates a two-dimensional mesh system used to predict the flow through a stepped duct passage. The grid was constructed with a non-contiguous interface between the various blocks on the top and bottom of the duct. The BCINTM specification is applied along either side of the interface to permit communication of flow variables along the interface. Here, the interpolation direction is the $i$ coordinate direction.
Boundary Data File Format

The boundary data file specifications for the mesh interface indicated in the illustrative graphic for the BCINTM boundary condition are given below:

```
BCINTM 1 3 J J P M I K 1 4 1 5 1 1 1 5 1 1
INTDIR1 INTDIR2 - DIRECTION OF INTERPOLATION
1 1
ISHFTDR DSHIFT
2 0.0
NBLINT2 - NUMBER OF LBLOCK2 BLOCKS
3
NBLDAT LFACE2 LDIR2 L2LIM M2LIM1 M2LIM2 N2LIM1 N2LIM2
3 J M 4 1 6 1 1
4 J M 3 1 6 1 1
5 J M 4 1 7 1 1
NBLINT1 - NUMBER OF LBLOCK1 BLOCKS
2
LBLK1RR LFACE1 LDIR1 L1LIM M1LIM1 M1LIM2 N1LIM1 N1LIM2
1 J P 1 1 5 1 1
2 J P 1 1 9 1 1
BCINTM 3 1 J J M P I K 4 1 1 5 1 1 1 5 1 1
INTDIR1 INTDIR2 - DIRECTION OF INTERPOLATION
1 1
ISHFTDR DSHIFT
2 0.0
NBLINT2 - NUMBER OF LBLOCK2 BLOCKS
2
NBLDAT LFACE2 LDIR2 L2LIM M2LIM1 M2LIM2 N2LIM1 N2LIM2
1 J P 1 1 5 1 1
2 J P 1 1 9 1 1
NBLINT1 - NUMBER OF LBLOCK1 BLOCKS
3
LBLK1RR LFACE1 LDIR1 L1LIM M1LIM1 M1LIM2 N1LIM1 N1LIM2
3 J M 4 1 6 1 1
4 J M 3 1 6 1 1
5 J M 4 1 7 1 1
```

Note that a complete BCINTM specification generally requires two BCINTM statement lines in the boundary data file. In the example above, the first specification provides the interblock communication for the upper blocks along the interface, while the second specification provides the communication for the lower blocks along the interface. It is a common error to underspecify a BCINTM boundary by only providing a single line per interface.

Description
The **BCINTM** boundary statement provides a means for block to block communication for cases involving neighboring mesh boundaries which share a common surface, but are non-contiguous in one grid index. A proper **BCINTM** boundary is specified much like a **BCINT1** boundary, except that all of the blocks involved with a particular interface are specified in a table on both sides of the interface. A large amount of additional data is required for each **BCINTM** specification. The sample application and specifications given above are designed to demonstrate the overall structure of this boundary condition. In the sample application, a noncontiguous mesh block interface lies between blocks 1,2 and blocks 3,4,5. A single pair of **BCINTM** specifications is all that is required to completely couple the mesh blocks along this interface, in spite of the fact that 5 mesh blocks are involved in the overall boundary definition. The key to this compact specification is that each **BCINTM** specification includes tables of data which specify which blocks lie along the receiving side of the interface (where the boundary data is being applied) and which blocks lie along the sending side of the interface (where the boundary data is derived). A description of the various additional specifications required for a complete **BCINTM** specification are given below.

Immediately following the **BCINTM** boundary specification line is a series of multi-line segments which define the details of the boundary coupling. The first segment consists of 4 lines, and describes some general characteristics of the interpolation along the noncontiguous boundary. The second segment is the table describing the “sending” blocks from which the boundary data is extracted. The third segment is a table describing the “receiving” blocks where the boundary data is eventually interpolated and applied. The second line in a **BCINTM** specification is a comment line, normally labeling the variables **INTDIR1** and **INTDIR2**. The third line defines the variables **INTDIR1** and **INTDIR2** as either 1, *J*, or *K*, depending on the direction of interpolation for the receiving and sending blocks, respectively. One mesh restriction to note is that **BCINTM** allows only one interpolation direction for each side of the interface. The fourth line is a comment line normally labeling the variables **ISHFTDR** and **DSHIFT**. The fifth line defines the values for the variables **ISHFTDR** and **DSHIFT**. These variables provide a mechanism for shifting the boundary in one of the three coordinate directions (x, y, z for Cartesian flows, or x, r, θ for cylindrical flows). The value of **ISHFTDR** identifies the variable to be shifted (1-x, 2-y, 3-z for Cartesian and 1-x, 2-r, 3-θ for cylindrical) and the value of **DSHIFT** is the increment by which the sending boundary is shifted (normalized in the same manner as the mesh coordinates) to mate to the receiving boundary. **BCINTM** expects that the two sides of the interface lie on a common physical surface, but the grid itself may not have both sides of the interface in the same physical location. The most common use for this feature is a noncontiguous periodic boundary for a single passage turbomachinery blade row solution. The **ISHFTDR** and **DSHIFT** variables are provided to allow the user to temporarily shift the physical location of the “sending” blocks to the “receiving” blocks physical location. For the case of a noncontiguous periodic boundary in a turbine blade row solution, for example, **ISHFTDR** would be 3 (shift in the θ direction) and the amount of the shift defined by **DSHIFT** would be the circumferential spacing of the blade rows in radians. The sixth line in the specification is a comment normally labeling the variable **NBLINT2**. and the seventh line specifies the number of blocks associated with the **LBLock2** side of the interface (the “sending” blocks. The eighth line is again a comment normally labeling the variables **NBLDAT**, **LFACE2**, **LDIR2**, **L2LIM**, **M2LIM1**, **M2LIM2**, **N2LIM1**.
and N2LIM2. The next NBLINT2 lines define the table containing the limits, directions and faces for each of the LBLOCK2 blocks. For each block in this table, LFACE2 defines the coordinate face upon which the interface lies (I, J, or K), and LDIR2 defines the direction (P for plus, or M for minus) along the LFACE2 coordinate which travels away from the bounding surface (see Section 3.7 for more details). L2LIM defines the value of the LFACE2 coordinate upon which the surface is located, and M2LIM1, M2LIM2, and N2LIM1, and N2LIM2 define the extent of the remaining coordinates for each of the NBLINT2 blocks in their “natural” order (again see Section 3.7 for more details). Following the table for the LBLOCK2 side of the interface, there is a comment line normally labeling the variable NBLINT1, followed by a line specifying the number of blocks on the LBLOCK1 side of the interface. Next a comment line labeling the variables L1LIM, M1LIM1, M1LIM2, N1LIM1, and N1LIM2 is given. Finally, a table consisting of NBLINT1 lines defining the LBLOCK1 side (“receiving” blocks) information similar to the LBLOCK2 (“sending” blocks) table is specified.

**BCINTM** creates a single interpolation stencil from all of the blocks in the LBLOCK2 table. This stencil must be monotonic in the INTDIR2 direction. Thus, the blocks in the LBLOCK2 must be specified in the order they occur physically, and the limits must be specified so that they form a continuous line. The block numbers and extents identified in the first line of the BCINTM specification should match the first entry in each of the respective LBLOCK tables.

As with **BCINT1**, the search routine which determines the interpolation stencil assumes that the mating grid lines are piecewise linear approximations to the same curve in the interpolation direction. A global search is performed for the proper mating cell of the first index. The closest cell to the point of interest is taken as the mating cell. A localized search is performed for the mating cells of the remaining points. The local search starts at the mating cell of the preceding point and searches along the mating boundary until the mating cell containing the new point is found. In the event that the mating cell is not found before the upper limit is reached in the mating block, the search continues from the lower limit in the mating block. This implies two things: the physical domain of the interpolation must be the same in the two blocks, and the domain is assumed to be periodic if the search routine goes past an endpoint.

**Restrictions/Limitations**

The **BCINTM** boundary specification is restricted to mesh interfaces which lie on a common surface (no significant overlap). Generally, endpoints of the interpolated region in the two blocks should be coincident. As with **BCINT1**, there is at least one exception to this rule based on the above description of the search routine. In the case of concentric O-grids, the endpoints of the two blocks may be misaligned (see the **BCINT1** description for details). The interpolation routine will find the appropriate stencil for each point because the grids are periodic.

The **ADPAC07 COARSEN** program cannot properly handle subdivision of mesh boundaries involving a **BCINT1** or **BCINTM** specification. A typical fan rotor was analyzed using the **BCINTM** boundary condition at the interface between the tip clearance grid and the neighboring O-type grid. The figure below shows the non-contiguous interface between
the two grid blocks, and the corresponding predicted static pressure contours. The solution was run in parallel on an nCUBE 2 computer.

The BCINTM condition reduces to a PATCH condition if the mating blocks are actually contiguous. However, due to the linear interpolation used in BCINTM, the scheme does not maintain either global or local conservation of flow variables across a non-contiguous mesh interface.

The BCINTM condition is the only non-contiguous patching routine for multiple blocks. The BCINTM condition will run in either serial or parallel ADPAC07 calculations.

Common Errors

- Failure to provide 2 BCINTM statements for each interface.
- Incorrectly specified or misaligned extents of boundary regions (values of M1LIM1, M1LIM2, N1LIM1, N1LIM2, M2LIM1, M2LIM2, N2LIM1, N2LIM2 do not correctly define the interface extents on blocks LBLOCK1 and LBLOCK2).
- Incorrectly specified index for the interpolation direction for LBLOCK1 or LBLOCK2.
- Attempt to use BCINTM for a boundary which has 2 misaligned coordinates.
- Attempt to use BCINTM for boundaries which are not monotonic along the interpolated index.
• Incorrect ordering of the LBLOCK2 table of data.

• Attempt to use BCINTM for interfaces with multiple interpolation directions on the same side of the interface.

• Attempt to use BCINTM for interfaces with multiple LFACE or LDIR requirements in the LBLOCK1 table of data.

• Attempt to use COARSEN for a problem involving a BCINTM boundary specification.
**BCPRM**

*Boundary Condition Procedure for Patched Relatively Rotating Mesh Blocks with Multiple Specifications*

---

**Application**

The **BCPRM** specification is used in application involving neighboring relatively rotating mesh blocks, such as in rotor/stator interaction problems.

**Boundary Data File Format:**

The boundary data file specifications for the mesh interface indicated in the illustrative graphic for the **BCPRM** boundary condition and a simple outline of the mesh topography are given below. Note that blocks 1 and 2 require multiple **BCPRM** entries in the data tables due to the location of the O-grid cut line. The topography below depicts a multiple passage 3-D O-grid system for a turbine stage.
**BCPRM** 1 3 K M M I J 7 7 1 6 1 6 36 46 1 6  
**THPER** 0.41887903  
**NBCPRR** - NUMBER OF BLOCKS IN LBLOCK2 TABLE OF BCPRM SPECIFICATION 3  
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LBLOCK2B</th>
<th>LFACE2B</th>
<th>LDIR2B</th>
<th>L2LIMB</th>
<th>M2LIM1B</th>
<th>M2LIM2B</th>
<th>N2LIM1B</th>
<th>N2LIM2B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**NRRDAT** - NUMBER OF BLOCKS IN LBLOCK1 TABLE OF BCPRM SPECIFICATION 4  
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LBLOCK1B</th>
<th>LFACE1B</th>
<th>LDIR1B</th>
<th>L1LIMB</th>
<th>M1LIM1B</th>
<th>M1LIM2B</th>
<th>N1LIM1B</th>
<th>N1LIM2B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**BCPRM** 3 1 K M M I J 7 7 36 46 1 6 6 1 1 6  
**THPER** 0.41887903  
**NBCPRR** - NUMBER OF BLOCKS IN LBLOCK2 TABLE OF BCPRM SPECIFICATION 4  
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LBLOCK2B</th>
<th>LFACE2B</th>
<th>LDIR2B</th>
<th>L2LIMB</th>
<th>M2LIM1B</th>
<th>M2LIM2B</th>
<th>N2LIM1B</th>
<th>N2LIM2B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**NRRDAT** - NUMBER OF BLOCKS IN LBLOCK1 TABLE OF BCPRM SPECIFICATION 3  
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LBLOCK1B</th>
<th>LFACE1B</th>
<th>LDIR1B</th>
<th>L1LIMB</th>
<th>M1LIM1B</th>
<th>M1LIM2B</th>
<th>N1LIM1B</th>
<th>N1LIM2B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note that a complete **BCPRM** specification generally requires at least two **BCPRM** statement lines in the boundary data file. In the example above, the first specification provides the interblock communication for the meshes representing blade row 1 from the meshes representing blade row 2, and the second specification provides the communication for the meshes representing blade row 2 from the meshes representing blade row 1. It is a common error to underspecify a **BCPRM** boundary by only providing a single line per interface.

**Description:**

The **BCPRM** statement is an extension of the **BCPRR** statement to include the specification of multiple **LBLOCK1** patches. As with **BCPRR**, the **BCPRM** statement specifies that a time-space interpolation utilizing data from several neighboring mesh blocks is to be performed to determine the boundary data for the **LBLOCK1** patches. See the discussion
of BCPRR for details about specifying the LBLOCK2 table of data, and restrictions on the use of BCPRM. BCPRM differs from BCPRR only in the following way: an additional table of values allows multiple LBLCOK1 patches to be specified. One advantage of BCPRM is clearly visible in the above example: only two boundary specifications are required to patch the two blade rows together, compared to seven specifications using BCPRR. Another, less obvious advantage is that BCPRM executes much faster than BCPRR in a parallel computing environment. Any BCPRM specification can be equally represented as a series of BCPRR specifications. The additional table of data associated with the LBLOCK1 patches in a BCPRM statement is essentially the same as the table for the LBLOCK2 patches (see the description of BCPRR for additional details). A comment line is followed by a line containing the number of patches in the LBLOCK1 row. Another comment line is followed by the specification of the limits on each LBLOCK1 patch. One restriction on the use of BCPRM is that all of the LBLOCK1 patches must share a common LFACE and LDIR. This requirement can be met by the use of multiple BCPRM or BCPRR specifications.

It should be noted that BCPRM is limited to similarly oriented row 1 blocks. In particular, the face and direction of all blocks in row 1 are taken to be the same as those specified for LBLOCK1. BCPRR may be used in cases requiring more generality.

BCPRM has been successfully applied to a compressor stage with H-type grids and to a turbine stage using O-type grids. The results were verified to be the same as if multiple calls to BCPRR were used.

The significance of BCPRM is not in new capability, but in performance. Obviously, the benefits of BCPRM are extremely problem and machine dependent, but a small turboshaft compressor test case (36 blocks, 1 blade rows, 2,000,000 mesh points) serves as an example. The use of BCPRM instead of BCPRR in a fine grid calculation resulted in an estimated factor of 1.5 improvement in total CPU time on the LACE cluster of workstations (without the ALLNODE switch). With the ALLNODE switch, the improvement was on the order of 20%, which is still significant. The ALLNODE switch is a special hardware device to enable low latency communications between IBM RS6000 workstations in a cluster. This means that overhead from BCPRR communications was taking at least 1/3 of the total run time per iteration when the ALLNODE switch was not used. This is probably a worst-case scenario for BCPRR because of the large number of blocks in each airfoil row, but it is typical of multistage compressor simulations.

Restrictions/Limitations

The BCPRM boundary specification is restricted to mesh interfaces which lie on a common surface (no significant overlap), and have common axial and radial mesh coordinates. The mesh must satisfy the coordinate restrictions listed in the table above. The LBLOCK1 table of patches must share a common face and direction as noted above. The BCPRM procedure is only applicable to 3-D mesh systems.

Common Errors
Time dependent patch condition for multiple relatively rotating blocks

(LBLOCK2 row values are interpolated into phantom cells of LBLOCK1 row)

BCPRM 1 5 1 1 M P J K 49 1 1 31 1 31 1 31 1 31
PERIODIC SPACING
1.57079637
NBCPRR - NUMBER OF BLOCKS IN ROW 2 (LBLOCK2 ROW)
9
NRRDAT - RELATIVELY ROTATING BLOCK NUMBERS
5 1 P 1 1 31 1 31
6 1 P 1 1 31 1 31
7 1 P 1 1 31 1 31
8 1 P 1 1 31 1 31
9 1 P 1 1 31 1 31
10 1 P 1 1 31 1 31
11 1 P 1 1 31 1 31
12 1 P 1 1 31 1 31
13 1 P 1 1 31 1 31
NUMBL1 - NUMBER OF BLOCKS IN ROW 1 (LBLOCK1 ROW)
4
BLOCS IN LBLOCK1 BLADE ROW
1 49 1 31 1 31
2 49 1 31 1 31
3 49 1 31 1 31
4 49 1 31 1 31

BCPRM is similar to BCPRR except that multiple Row 1 blocks are specified. This condition is more efficient than BCPRR in parallel, and reduces the length of the casename.boundata file. BCPRM requires fewer interprocessor messages than BCPRR, but total amount of data passed is unchanged. BCPRRM is limited to patches involving similarly oriented Row 1 blocks.

Figure 3.7: Boundary condition BCPRM provides an alternate method of patching relatively rotating blocks in a time-dependent calculation.
• Failure to provide 2 **BCPRM** statements for each interface

• Incorrectly specified or misaligned extents of boundary regions (values of **M1LIM1**, **M1LIM2**, **N1LIM1**, **N1LIM2**, **M2LIM1B**, **M2LIM2B**, **N2LIM1B**, **N2LIM2B** do not correctly define the interface extents on blocks **LBLOCK1** and **LBLOCK2B**)

• Attempt to use **BCPRM** on a 2-D mesh block.

• Attempt to use **BCPRM** at an interface between two Cartesian solution meshes.

• Meshes do not satisfy coordinate restrictions listed above.

• Meshes have dissimilar axial and radial coordinates at the interface.

• Neighboring blade row 1 segments not listed in increasing theta coordinate.

• Application of **BCPRM** to mesh interfaces which do not share a common surface, or which have excess overlap.
BCPRR

Boundary Condition Procedure for Patched Relatively Rotating Mesh Blocks

Application

The BCPRR specification is used in application involving neighboring relatively rotating mesh blocks, such as in rotor/stator interaction problems.

Boundary Data File Format:

The boundary data file specifications for the mesh interface indicated in the illustrative graphic for the BCPRR boundary condition and a simple outline of the mesh topography are given below. Note that blocks 1 and 2 require multiple BCPRR specifications due to the location of the O-grid cut line.
**BCPRR**

1 3 K K M M I J 7 7 1 6 1 6 36 46 1 6

**THPER**

0.41887903

**NBCPRR** - NUMBER OF BLOCKS IN BCPRR SPECIFICATION

3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LBLOCK2B</th>
<th>LFACE2B</th>
<th>LDIR2B</th>
<th>L2LIMB</th>
<th>M2LIM1B</th>
<th>M2LIM2B</th>
<th>N2LIM1B</th>
<th>N2LIM2B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**BCPRR**

1 3 K K M M I J 7 7 76 81 1 6 36 46 1 6

**THPER**

0.41887903

**NBCPRR** - NUMBER OF BLOCKS IN BCPRR SPECIFICATION

3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LBLOCK2B</th>
<th>LFACE2B</th>
<th>LDIR2B</th>
<th>L2LIMB</th>
<th>M2LIM1B</th>
<th>M2LIM2B</th>
<th>N2LIM1B</th>
<th>N2LIM2B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**BCPRR**

2 3 K K M M I J 7 7 76 81 1 6 36 46 1 6

**THPER**

0.41887903

**NBCPRR** - NUMBER OF BLOCKS IN BCPRR SPECIFICATION

3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LBLOCK2B</th>
<th>LFACE2B</th>
<th>LDIR2B</th>
<th>L2LIMB</th>
<th>M2LIM1B</th>
<th>M2LIM2B</th>
<th>N2LIM1B</th>
<th>N2LIM2B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**BCPRR**

2 3 K K M M I J 7 7 36 46 1 6 6 1 1 6

**THPER**

0.41887903

**NBCPRR** - NUMBER OF BLOCKS IN BCPRR SPECIFICATION

3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LBLOCK2B</th>
<th>LFACE2B</th>
<th>LDIR2B</th>
<th>L2LIMB</th>
<th>M2LIM1B</th>
<th>M2LIM2B</th>
<th>N2LIM1B</th>
<th>N2LIM2B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**BCPRR**

3 1 K K M M I J 7 7 36 46 1 6 6 1 1 6

**THPER**

0.41887903

**NBCPRR** - NUMBER OF BLOCKS IN BCPRR SPECIFICATION

4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LBLOCK2B</th>
<th>LFACE2B</th>
<th>LDIR2B</th>
<th>L2LIMB</th>
<th>M2LIM1B</th>
<th>M2LIM2B</th>
<th>N2LIM1B</th>
<th>N2LIM2B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**BCPRR**

4 1 K K M M I J 7 7 36 46 1 6 6 1 1 6

**THPER**
0.41887903

NBCPRR - NUMBER OF BLOCKS IN BCPRR SPECIFICATION
4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LBLOCK2B</th>
<th>LFACE2B</th>
<th>LDIR2B</th>
<th>L2LIMB</th>
<th>M2LIM1B</th>
<th>M2LIM2B</th>
<th>N2LIM1B</th>
<th>N2LIM2B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BCPRR 5 1 K K M M 1 J 7 7 36 46 1 6 6 1 1 6

THPER 0.41887903

NBCPRR - NUMBER OF BLOCKS IN BCPRR SPECIFICATION
4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LBLOCK2B</th>
<th>LFACE2B</th>
<th>LDIR2B</th>
<th>L2LIMB</th>
<th>M2LIM1B</th>
<th>M2LIM2B</th>
<th>N2LIM1B</th>
<th>N2LIM2B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note that a complete BCPRR specification generally requires at least two BCPRR statement lines in the boundary data file. In the example above, the first four specifications provide the interblock communication for the meshes representing blade row 1 from the meshes representing blade row 2, and the final three specifications provide the communication for the meshes representing blade row 2 from the meshes representing blade row 1. It is a common error to underspecify a BCPRR boundary by only providing a single line per interface.

Description:

The BCPRR statement specifies that a time-space interpolation utilizing data from several neighboring mesh blocks is to be performed to determine the boundary data for block LBLOCK1. This time-space interpolation provides the computational means of performing time-dependent predictions of the flow through multiple blade row turbomachines (see the discussion in Section 2.2). In order to perform this type of calculation, several conditions must be satisfied. For calculations involving blade rows with dissimilar blade counts, it is necessary to model several blade passages per blade row. The number of blade passages modeled should be chosen such that the overall circumferential span of each blade row is identical. This implies that the blade counts should be reducible to simple integer ratios (1:2, 3:4, etc.) to avoid the need for modeling an excessive number of blade passages. For example, in the illustrative graphic above, if we seek a solution for a single stage turbomachine involving two blade rows with blade counts of 30 and 45, respectively (reduced blade ratio of 2:3), then the simulation would require 2 blade passages for the first blade row and 3 passages from the second blade row, such that the overall circumferential pitch for either blade row is \( \frac{30}{2} = 15 \) (the number 15 chosen as the largest common factor in the blade counts 30 and 45). The second restriction is that the interface separating two adjacent blade rows be a surface of revolution, and that meshes along this interface have common axial and radial grid distributions. This restriction simplifies the time-space interpolation provided by the
**BCPRR** specification. This boundary condition requires the specification of additional data, as shown in the format descriptor above. The variable following the label **THPER** defines the total circumferential span of the neighboring blade row’s mesh representation in radians. For example, using the blade counts given in the previous example, the circumferential span represented in each blade row is determined by \( \frac{2\pi}{15} \), and therefore **THPER** should be 0.41887903. The variable following the next label, **NBCPRR**, indicates the number of mesh blocks through which the time-space interpolation is to be performed. In the example above, if we are applying the **BCPRR** specification to the first blade row, then **NBCPRR** should be 3, since there are 3 mesh block surfaces in the neighboring blade row defining the circumferential extent of relative motion of the first blade row. The numbers immediately following the labels **LBLOCK2B**, **LFACE2B**, **LDIR2B**, **L2LIMB**, **M2LIM1B**, **M2LIM2N**, **N2LIM1B**, and **N2LIM2B** represent the values of **LBLOCK2**, **LFACE2**, **LDIR2**, **L2LIM**, **M2LIM1**, **M2LIM2**, **N2LIM1**, and **N2LIM2** (see the beginning of this section for an explanation of these variables) for each of the individual **NBCPRR** segments used in the construction of the circumferential data array. The **NBCPRR** segments and their respective circumferential direction indices (either **M2LIM1B**, **M2LIM2B** or **N2LIM1B.N2LIM2B** must be listed in order of increasing theta coordinate. Due to the complex nature of the circumferential interpolation operator, this boundary condition is restricted to specific mesh configurations. The following chart describes the permitted mesh configurations for the **BCPRR** specification:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LFACE1 (Block #1)</th>
<th>LFACE2 (Block #2)</th>
<th>Circumferential Coordinate</th>
<th>Grids Must be Aligned in this Direction Coordinate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>K or I</td>
<td>J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J</td>
<td>J only</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>I or K</td>
<td>K or I</td>
<td>J</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the example described above, if block numbers 1 and 2 are the block numbers for the first blade row, and block numbers 3, 4, and 5 are the block numbers for the second blade row, then the **BCPRR** specification for each of the first blade row blocks would set **THPER** = 0.41887903, **NBCPRR** = 2, and **LBLOCK2B** = 3, 4, 5. In a similar manner, the specification for each of the blocks in the second blade row would set **THPER** = 0.41887903, **NBCPRR** = 4 (due to the use of the O-type mesh for each airfoil, the extent of the interface between the two blade rows requires 2 mesh surfaces from each of the blade row 1 airfoil meshes), and **LBLOCK2B** = 1, 1, 2, 2. It should be mentioned that this specification is somewhat unique in that more than one block is involved in the boundary specification, therefore the variable **LBLOCK2** is essentially ignored; however, since the blocks specified by the **LBLOCK2B** variable are assumed to be essentially duplicate representations of neighboring blade passages, the variables **L2LIM**, **M2LIM1**, **M2LIM2**, **N2LIM1**, and **N2LIM2** are also ignored. The time-space interpolation is constructed to permit the relative rotation of blocks representing neighboring blade rows and therefore cannot be applied to Cartesian solution meshes. The simulation is initiated from the relative position of the blocks at the start of the calculation \( t=0 \). The interpolation scheme is area weighted.
to maintain a conservative property across the interface between the relatively rotating mesh blocks (see the Final Report for additional details on the implementation of this boundary procedure).

Restrictions/Limitations

The BCPRR boundary specification is restricted to mesh interfaces which lie on a common surface (no significant overlap), and have common axial and radial mesh coordinates. The mesh must satisfy the coordinate restrictions listed in the table above. The BCPRR procedure is only applicable to 3-D mesh systems.

Common Errors

- Failure to provide 2 BCPRR statements for each interface
- Incorrectly specified or misaligned extents of boundary regions (values of M1LIM1, M1LIM2, N1LIM1, N1LIM2, M2LIM1B, M2LIM2B, N2LIM1B, N2LIM2B do not correctly define the interface extents on blocks LBLOCK1 and LBLOCK2B)
- Attempt to use BCPRR on a 2-D mesh block.
- Meshes do not satisfy coordinate restrictions listed above.
- Meshes have dissimilar axial and radial coordinates at the interface.
- Neighboring blade row segments not listed in increasing theta coordinate.
- Application of BCPRR to mesh interfaces which do not share a common surface, or which have exess overlap.
- BCPRR runs very slowly on multiple processors - use BCPRM instead.
BDATIN

File Read In Mesh Interface Patching Scheme

Application

The BDATIN specification is used to read in boundary data from an external file. This file may be either be created by an external program, or by the ADPAC07 boundary specification BDATOU. The application illustrated above indicates an application of the BDATIN/BDATOU combination for a two block nozzle flow case. The BDATIN/BDATOU combination is applied to the interface between the two mesh blocks and is equivalent to a PATCH specification, except that the interblock communication is accomplished through disk read/write rather than shared memory communication.

Boundary Data File Format

The boundary data file specifications for the mesh interface indicated in the illustrative
graphic for the BDATIN boundary condition are given below:

```
BDATIN 1 2 1 I M P J K 51 1 1 3 1 51 1 3 1 51
FILENAME
bc.12.data
BDATIN 2 1 I I P M J K 1 51 1 3 1 51 1 3 1 51
FILENAME
bc.21.data
```

Note that a complete BDATIN specification requires the specification of a filename from which the boundary data is read.

**Description**

The BDATIN statement is utilized to provide boundary data for a mesh surface through external file specification. During the application of a BDATIN specification, an external file is opened, and phantom cell boundary data are read in for the appropriate computational cells. The external file data may be created by an external program, or through the application of a BDATOU specification. A coupled set of BDATIN/BDATOU specifications can be effectively used to replace a PATCH boundary specification. In this case, interblock communication would be achieved through external file read/write rather than shared memory. If the BDATIN/BDATOU combination is used to replace an equivalent PATCH condition, it should be noted that both the BDATIN and BDATOU specifications should be written in the same manner as the PATCH statement. In other words, the BDATIN data is read in to the LBLOCK1 block on the mesh cells defined by L1LIM, M1LIM1, M1LIM2, N1LIM1, and N1LIM2, and the BDATOU data is written out from the LBLOCK2 block on the mesh cells defined by L2LIM, M2LIM1, M2LIM2, N2LIM1, and N1LIM2. The BDATIN/BDATOU routines were developed in conjunction with early parallelization studies for the ADPAC07 to permit interblock communication via shared disk file read/write operations. The routines are now considered useful for coupling the ADPAC07 code with other codes capable of providing or using specified boundary data.

A BDATIN specification requires two additional lines in addition to the normal boundary data file descriptor, as shown above. The first additional line is simply a label, while the second line indicates the file name relative to the current directory from which data will be read in for this particular boundary condition.

**Restrictions/Limitations**

The BDATIN/BDATOU coupling scheme is restricted to mesh interfaces which have a one to one mesh point correspondance. Other restrictions appropriate for the PATCH boundary condition also apply to mesh coupling using the BDATIN/BDATOU scheme. Data provided in the external file for the BDATIN specification must represent cell centered data and must be normalized consistently with the ADPAC07 flow variable nondimensionalization procedure.
Common Errors

- Failure to provide file name for **BDATIN** boundary data file specification.

- Incorrectly specified or misaligned extents of boundary regions (values of \(M1LIM1, M1LIM2, N1LIM1, N1LIM2, M2LIM1, M2LIM2, N2LIM1, N2LIM2\) do not correctly define the interface extents on blocks LBLOCK1 and LBLOCK2).

- **BDATIN/BDATOU** coupling scheme desired, but only one of the **BDATIN/BDATOU** specifications provided.

- **BDATIN/BDATOU** coupling scheme boundary specification for a periodic boundary is applied to a nonperiodic mesh.

- **BDATIN/BDATOU** coupling scheme boundary specification applied to a spatially periodic Cartesian geometry using the cylindrical coordinate solution scheme or vice versa (results in incorrect spatial periodicity relationships) The **BDATIN/BDATOU** coupling scheme boundary specifications for Cartesian geometries must use the Cartesian solution algorithm in \(ADPAC07\) (see input variable \(FCART\)).
BDATOU

File Write Out Mesh Interface Patching Scheme

Application

The BDATOU specification is used to write out boundary data to an external file. This file may either be utilized by an external program, or by the ADPAC’07 boundary specification BDATIN. The application illustrated above indicates an application of the BDATIN/BDATOU combination for a two block nozzle flow case. The BDATIN/BDATOU combination is applied to the interface between the two mesh blocks and is equivalent to a PATCH specification, except that the interblock communication is accomplished through disk read/write rather than shared memory communication.

Boundary Data File Format

The boundary data file specifications for the mesh interface indicated in the illustrative
graphic for the BDATOU boundary condition are given below:

```
BDATOU 1 2 1 1 1 5 1 1 3 1 5 1
FILENAME
bc.12.data
BDATOU 2 1 1 1 1 5 1 1 3 1 5 1
FILENAME
bc.21.data
```

Note that a complete BDATOU specification requires the specification of a filename from which the boundary data is read.

**Description**

The BDATOU statement is utilized to export boundary data for a mesh surface through external file specification. During the application of a BDATOU specification, an external file is opened, and near boundary cell-centered data are written in for the appropriate computational cells. The external file data may then be utilized by an external program, or through the application of a BDATINU specification. A coupled set of BDATINU/BDATOU specifications can be effectively used to replace a PATCH boundary specification. In this case, interblock communication would be achieved through external file read/write rather than shared memory. If the BDATINU/BDATOU combination is used to replace an equivalent PATCH condition, it should be noted that both the BDATINU and BDATOU specifications should be written in the same manner as the PATCH statement. In other words, the BDATINU data is read in to the LBLOCK1 block on the mesh cells defined by L1LIM1, M1LIM1, M1LIM2, N1LIM1 and N1LIM2, and the BDATOU data is written out to the LBLOCK2 block on the mesh cells defined by L2LIM, M2LIM1, M2LIM2, N2LIM1 and N1LIM2. The BDATINU/BDATOU routines were developed in conjunction with early parallelization studies for the ADPA'07 to permit interblock communication via shared disk file read/write operations. The routines are now considered useful for coupling the ADPA'07 code with other codes capable of providing or using specified boundary data.

A BDATOU specification requires two additional lines in addition to the normal boundary data file descriptor, as shown above. The first additional line is simply a label, while the second line indicates the file name relative to the current directory to which data will be written out for this particular boundary condition.

**Restrictions/Limitations**

The BDATINU/BDATOU coupling scheme is restricted to mesh interfaces which have a one to one mesh point correspondence. Other restrictions appropriate for the PATCH boundary condition also apply to mesh coupling using the BDATINU/BDATOU scheme. Data provided in the external file for the BDATOU specification represents near-boundary cell centered data and is normalized consistently with the ADPA'07 flow variable nondimensionalization procedure.
Common Errors

- Failure to provide file name for BDATOU boundary data file specification.
- Incorrectly specified or misaligned extents of boundary regions (values of M1LIM1, M1LIM2, N1LIM1, N1LIM2, M2LIM1, M2LIM2, N2LIM1, N2LIM2 do not correctly define the interface extents on blocks LBLOCK1 and LBLOCK2).
- BDATIN/BDATOU coupling scheme desired, but only one of the BDATIN/BDATOU specifications provided.
- BDATIN/BDATOU coupling scheme boundary specification for a periodic boundary is applied to a nonperiodic mesh.
- BDATIN/BDATOU coupling scheme boundary specification applied to a spatially periodic Cartesian geometry using the cylindrical coordinate solution scheme or vice versa (results in incorrect spatial periodicity relationships) The BDATIN/BDATOU coupling scheme boundary specifications for Cartesian geometries must use the Cartesian solution algorithm in ADPA07 (see input variable FCART).
ENDDATA

Boundary Data File Read Terminator

Application

The ENDDATA statement causes the ADPAC'07 boundary data file read utility to discontinue reading lines in the boundary data file and proceeds with normal code processing. Any lines following an ENDDATA statement in a boundary data file are ignored.

Boundary Data File Format

The boundary data file specification for an ENDDATA statement is given below:

ENDDATA

Note that the ENDDATA statement does not require the accompanying values of LBLOCK1, LBLOCK2, LFACE1, etc. as do all other boundary data file keywords.

Description

The ENDDATA statement is utilized to provide a terminator for the boundary data file read sequence in the ADPAC'07 code. Under normal operating conditions, the boundary data file is read in one line at a time and parsed to determine if a boundary data file keyword is present and uncommented on each line. When the end of the file is reached, the boundary data file read sequence stops, and normal processing continues as usual. In some cases, it may be desirable to terminate the boundary data file read sequence before the end of the file, and the ENDDATA statement is provided for this purpose. Whenever an ENDDATA statement is reached, the boundary data file read sequence is terminated, and all remaining lines in the boundary data file are ignored. The ENDDATA keyword is useful for debugging boundary condition problems, as whole portions of the boundary data file can be effectively eliminated by simply preceeding the section with an ENDDATA statement.

Restrictions/Limitations

The ENDDATA keyword has no restrictions.
Common Errors

- Desired boundary conditions specifications following an ENDDATA statement are ignored.

- ADPA0C07 complains because an insufficient number of boundary conditions were provided for the external boundaries of each mesh block (external boundaries of some mesh blocks do not have a boundary condition see input keyword FBCWARN).
**ENDTTA**

Endwall Treatment Time-Average Mesh Block Interface Patching Scheme

---

**Application**

The **ENDTTA** boundary specification was developed specifically to permit numerical prediction of turbomachinery airfoil blade row flows employing endwall treatments such as slots, grooves, or embedded bladed passages in a time-averaged fashion. The example graphic above illustrates a 3-D blocked mesh system for a turbofan engine fan rotor employing an axial slot casing treatment. The **ENDTTA** boundary specification employs a time-averaging operator (circumferential average of flow variables) between adjacent rotating and nonrotating mesh blocks to simulate the effects of the blade row/endwall treatment interaction. As such, it is possible to perform steady state (representative of a time average) numerical analysis of turbomachinery blade passages and endwall treatments which have arbitrary blade passage/treatment passage count ratios.
Boundary Data File Format

The boundary data file specifications for the mesh interface indicated in the illustrative graphic for the ENDTTA boundary condition are given below:

```
ENDTTA 1 2 J J M P L L 49 1 49 81 1 33 1 33 1 17
NTREAT RPMWALL TWALL
113 0.0 0.0
MBCAVG 2 1 J J P M I K 1 49 1 33 1 17 49 81 1 33
NSEGS
1
BLK LFACE2 LDIR2 L2LIM M2LIM1 M2LIM2 N2LIM1 N2LIM2
1 J M 49 49 81 1 33
```

Note that a complete ENDTTA specification generally requires a companion MBCAVG specification to complete the blade passage mesh/treatment passage mesh interface specification. In the example above, the first specification provides the interblock communication for block 1 (the blade passage mesh) to block 2 (the treatment passage mesh) which ultimately accounts for the influence of the true endwall in the boundary specification. The second specification (MBCAVG) is applied to the treatment passage mesh boundary to simulate the time-average (circumferential average) of the neighboring blade passage mesh. It is a common error to underspecify an ENDTTA boundary by only providing a single line per interface.

Description

The ENDTTA boundary statement provides a means for block to block communication for the prediction of the time-averaged flow for turbomachinery blade rows employing endwall treatments such as discrete slots, grooves, or embedded bladed passages. This boundary condition was developed under Task 6 of NASA Contract NAS3-25270 and theoretical details of the procedure are provided in the Final Report for Task 7 of NASA Contract NAS3-25270 [21]. The boundary condition is restricted to \( j = \text{constant} \) mesh surfaces only and must possess aligned coordinates in the \( i \) direction, but have misaligned mesh points and extents in the circumferential (\( k \)) direction. An example of an appropriate application of the ENDTTA specification is given in the illustrative graphic. The ENDTTA boundary specification is valid for 3-D cylindrical solution mesh blocks only. The ENDTTA specification requires the specification of additional data, as shown in the format descriptor above. The first additional line following an ENDTTA specification is assumed to be a label for the variables NTREAT, RPMWALL, and TWALL. The next line contains the values for the variables NTREAT, RPMWALL, and TWALL. The variable NTREAT represents the total number of discrete treatments for the entire rotor. The analysis is normally performed for a single rotor blade passage and a single treatment blade passage, and the value of NTREAT is used to effectively set the circumferential spacing between discrete treatment passages. The next variable, RPMWALL, sets the value of the rotational speed of the endwall regions which separate the discrete treatments. Naturally, this also implies the rotational speed of the treatments themselves, and the value of RPMWALL in this context must be consistent with the value of RPM specified in the input file for the
treatment passage mesh block. The third variable, TWALL, sets the thermal boundary condition for the wall segments separating the discrete treatment passages, and is specified in the same manner as the TWALL variable described for the SSVI (viscous solid wall) boundary condition.

Restrictions/Limitations

The ENDTTA boundary specification is restricted to mesh interfaces which lie on a common surface (no significant overlap). The ENDTTA procedure permits only that the $k$ coordinates between adjacent mesh surfaces are misaligned. The ENDTTA procedure is only valid if applied to $j=$constant mesh surfaces. ENDTTA will not run across multiple processors in a parallel computing environment.

Common Errors

- Failure to provide a coupled pair of ENDTTA and MBCAVG statements for each interface.
- Failure to properly specify the values for RPM, TWALL and/or NTREAT
- Incorrectly specified or misaligned extents of boundary regions (values of $\text{M1LIM1, M1LIM2, N1LIM1, N1LIM2, M2LIM1, M2LIM2, N2LIM1, N2LIM2}$ do not correctly define the interface extents on blocks LBLOCK1 and LBLOCK2).
- Attempt to use ENDTTA for an $i$ or $k$ constant boundary.
- Attempt to use ENDTTA for a Cartesian solution mesh.
- Attempt to use ENDTTA for a boundary which has 2 misaligned coordinates.
- Attempt to use ENDTTA with multiple processors.
EXT2DG

Generic 2-D Outflow Boundary Condition

Application

The EXT2DG specification is used to impose a generic subsonic outflow boundary condition with a uniform exit static pressure for 2-D mesh blocks. The example graphic above illustrates a 2-D 2-block mesh system mixing two adjacent streams of varying properties. In this case, the EXT2DG boundary specification is used to set the outflow boundary flow properties by specifying a uniform exit static pressure. This boundary condition has been utilized extensively as an exit flow specifier for 2-D duct flows.

Boundary Data File Format

The boundary data file specifications for the exit flow mesh surfaces indicated in the illustrative graphic for the EXT2DG boundary condition are given below:
EXT2DG 1 1 I I M M J K 28 28 1 23 1 2 1 23 1 2
PEXIT
0.625

or the alternate specification:

EXT2DG 1 1 I I M M J K 28 28 1 23 1 2 1 23 1 2
PEXIT EMDOT PRELAX
0.625 11.4 0.1

Note that a complete EXT2DG specification requires two additional lines following the
EXT2DG boundary data file specification line. Failure to properly specify the data in
these additional lines is a common EXT2DG specification error.

Description

The EXT2DG statement specifies that a generic, subsonic, uniform static pressure exit
flow boundary condition is to be applied to the mesh surface specified by LFACE1 on
the 2-D mesh block specified by LBLOCK1. The EXT2DG boundary condition should
be applied for those cases where any other “specialized” exit boundary condition (such as
EXT2DT, EXT2DP, etc.) does not apply. The EXT2DG boundary condition is also
likely to be somewhat more efficient computationally than the other exit boundary condi-
tion procedures, at the expense of some physical simplification. The EXT2DG procedure
utilizes a Riemann invariant formulation to compute exit velocities based on a specified
constant exit static pressure. Included in the EXT2DG procedure is a special correction
scheme which forces the flow to pass out of the flow domain at the boundary. In other words,
if the computed velocities result in a local inflow at the EXT2DG boundary, no matter
how small the magnitude of the inflow, the velocities are reset to zero at that point. This
boundary condition requires the specification of additional data, as shown in the boundary
data format descriptor above. The first additional line following the EXT2DG specifica-
tion is assumed to be a label and may contain any information; however, for consistency it
is recommended that the label PEXIT be used. The next line contains the value imposed
for the variables PEXIT which represents the (downstream exit static pressure ratio used
in the EXT2DG characteristic solution sequence. The value of the PEXIT variable is the
desired normalized downstream static pressure computed as:

\[
P_{\text{EXIT}} = \frac{P_{\text{static,discr,rel}}}{P_{\text{ref}}} \]

where the variable \( P_{\text{ref}} \) is specified by the input variable PREF. It should be mentioned
that for most geometries, the value of PEXIT, in combination with any inlet flow boundary
conditions, will normally govern the resulting solution mass flow rate (exceptions to this
rule will occur when the inlet mass flow rate boundary condition procedure INLETM is
applied). Values of PEXIT < 0.0 are not permitted. As the value of PEXIT is reduced,
the flow through the boundary will ultimately choke, and further reductions of PEXIT
will no longer increase the mass flow through the boundary. Naturally, poor convergence or
solution divergence can occur if PEXIT is too high or too low when compared to the rest
of the flow domain. In such cases where this occurs, it is recommended that the solution be
started with more conservative boundary values, and then restarted using the final boundary values.

An alternate specification is provided for the **EXT2DG** boundary specification as shown in the sample application above. In this case, three values are included following the original boundary specification line. The alternate specification is provided as a means of achieving a desired mass flow rate through the bounding surface using the **EXT2DG** algorithm. The desired mass flow rate is achieved iteratively by incrementally adjusting the exit static pressure specification until the desired flow rate is achieved. Therefore, in this specification, the variable **PEXIT** described in detail above is the initial exit static pressure used in the iterative process. **EMDOT** represents the desired mass flow rate through the bounding surface in pounds mass, and **PRELAX** is a relaxation factor to stabilize the iterative process (values may range from 0.0 to 1.0, though poor convergence is likely for values larger than 0.1). For Cartesian flow calculations a unit depth (1.0 in mesh coordinates) is assumed for the third coordinate direction to determine the mass flow rate. For cylindrical flow calculations, the geometry is assumed to be axisymmetric and a multiple of $2\pi$ is used in the mass flow integration (the mass flow is computed as if the full circumference of the axisymmetric geometry were employed). This procedure is not foolproof, and suffers from the fact that when a job is restarted, if an updated exit pressure is not inserted in the boundary data file, then the pressure-mass flow iterative process will essentially start over. The **ADPAC07** code will automatically determine when to employ the iterative process by identifying the additional boundary specification variables.

**Restrictions/Limitations**

**Common Errors**

- Failure to specify the additional data value **PEXIT**.
- Improper specification of the alternate (mass flow) iterative scheme.
- Reductions in the value of **PEXIT** do not increase the mass flow rate because of flow choking.
- Value of **PEXIT** is too high (flow cannot get started).
EXITG

Generic Outflow Boundary Condition

Application

The EXITG specification is used to impose a generic subsonic outflow boundary condition with a uniform exit static pressure. The example graphic above depicts a simple duct flow using a Cartesian-based H-grid, where the exit boundary plane is controlled by an EXITG specification. This boundary condition has been utilized extensively as an exit flow specifier for duct flows.

Boundary Data File Format

The boundary data file specification for the mesh surface indicated in the illustrative graphic for the EXITG boundary condition is given below:

```
EXITG 1 1 I I M M J K 49 49 1 33 1 33 1 33 1 33
PEXIT
0.625
```

or the alternate specification:
EXITG 1 1 I I M M J K 49 49 1 33 1 33 1 33 1 33
PEXIT EMDOT PRELAX
0.625 40.0 0.001

Note that a complete EXITG specification requires two additional lines following the EXITG boundary data file specification line. Failure to properly specify the data in these additional lines is a common EXITG specification error.

Description

The EXITG statement specifies that a generic, subsonic, uniform static pressure exit flow boundary condition is to be applied to the mesh surface specified by LFACEl on the block specified by LBLOCK1. The EXITG boundary condition should be applied for those cases where any other "specialized" exit boundary condition (such as EXITT, EXITP, etc.) does not apply. The EXITG boundary condition is also likely to be somewhat more efficient computationally than the other exit boundary condition procedures, at the expense of some physical simplification. EXITG may be used on any mesh face (I, J, or K constant) for either cylindrical or Cartesian-based solution schemes (see the input variable FCART).

The EXITG procedure utilizes a Riemann invariant formulation to compute exit velocities based on a specified constant exit static pressure. Included in the EXITG procedure is a special correction scheme which forces the flow to pass out of the flow domain at the boundary. In other words, if the computed velocities result in a local inflow at the EXITG boundary, no matter how small the magnitude of the inflow, the velocities are reset to zero at that point. This boundary condition requires the specification of additional data, as shown in the Boundary Data Format descriptor above. The first additional line following the EXITG specification is assumed to be a label and may contain any information; however, for consistency it is recommended that the label PEXIT be used. The next line contains the value imposed for the variables PEXIT which represents the downstream exit static pressure ratio used in the EXITG characteristic solution sequence. The value of the PEXIT variable is the desired normalized downstream static pressure computed as:

\[ P_{\text{EXIT}} = \frac{P_{\text{static, desired}}}{P_{\text{ref}}} \]

where the variable \( P_{\text{ref}} \) is specified by the input variable PREF. It should be mentioned that for most geometries, the value of PEXIT, in combination with any inlet flow boundary conditions, will normally govern the resulting solution mass flow rate (exceptions to this rule will occur when the inlet mass flow rate boundary condition procedure INLETM is applied). Values of PEXIT < 0.0 are not permitted. As the value of EXITP is reduced, the flow through the boundary will ultimately choke, and further reductions of EXITP will no longer increase the mass flow through the boundary. Naturally, poor convergence or solution divergence can occur if PEXIT is too high or too low when compared to the rest of the flow domain. In such cases where this occurs, it is recommended that the solution be started with more conservative boundary values, and then restarted using the final boundary values.

An alternate specification is provided for the EXITG boundary specification as shown in the sample application above. In this case, three values are included following the original boundary specification line. The alternate specification is provided as a means of achieving
a desired mass flow rate through the bounding surface using the EXITG algorithm. The desired mass flow rate is achieved iteratively by incrementally adjusting the exit static pressure specification until the desired flow rate is achieved. Therefore, in this specification, the variable PEXIT described in detail above is the initial exit static pressure used in the iterative process. EMDOT represents the desired mass flow rate through the bounding surface in pounds mass, and PRELAX is a relaxation factor to stabilize the iterative process (values may range from 0.0 to 1.0, though poor convergence is likely for values larger than 0.1). This procedure is not foolproof, and suffers from the fact that when a job is restarted, if an updated exit pressure is not inserted in the boundary data file, then the pressure-mass flow iterative process will essentially start over. The ADPAC07 code will automatically determine when to employ the iterative process by identifying the additional boundary specification variables.

Restrictions/Limitations

The EXITG boundary specification is not restricted to 3-D mesh surfaces (although for consistency 2-D mesh surfaces may use the EXT2DG boundary specification).

Common Errors

- Failure to specify the additional data value PEXIT.
- Improper specification of the alternate (mass flow) boundary scheme.
- Reductions in the value of PEXIT do not increase the mass flow rate because of flow choking.
- Value of PEXIT is too high (flow cannot get started).
**EXT2DP**

2-D Patched Turbomachinery Exit Boundary Condition

The **EXT2DP** specification is used to impose a turbomachinery-based exit boundary condition based on radial equilibrium for 2-D mesh systems employing multiple blocks radially across the exit plane. The example graphic above illustrates a four block mesh system used to predict the axisymmetric flow through a high bypass ratio turbofan engine geometry. The solution utilizes a specified freestream static pressure at the outer boundary of block 4, and an **EXT2DT** specification to integrate the radial equilibrium equation inward radially along the outflow boundary. In order to continue the radial equilibrium integration process across the block boundary between blocks 3 and 4, an **EXT2DP** specification is used to patch the two blocks. This boundary condition has been utilized extensively in conjunction with the **EXT2DT** specification as an exit flow specifier for both ducted and unducted turbomachinery flows.
Boundary Data File Format

The boundary data file specification for the 2-D mesh surface indicated in the illustrative graphic for the EXT2DP boundary condition is given below:

EXT2DP 4 3 I I M M L H 49 49 1 9 1 2 9 9 1 2

Note that the M2LIM1, M2LIM2 variables in the EXT2DP specification define a single \( j \) mesh line in mesh block LBLOCK2. Failure to properly regard this requirement is a common EXT2DP specification error. It should also be mentioned that EXT2DP also requires proper specification of the LSPEC1 variable for proper execution.

Description

The EXT2DP keyword specifies that a turbomachinery-based radial equilibrium patched exit flow boundary condition is to be applied to the mesh surface specified by LFACE1 on the 2-D block specified by LBLOCK1. The EXT2DP boundary condition was specifically designed as an exit flow boundary procedure for axial and mixed flow turbomachinery geometries employing multiple, stacked 2-D mesh blocks (radially) at an exit boundary plane. The EXT2DP boundary condition procedure utilizes a combination static pressure specification and integration of the radial equilibrium equation to define the static pressure field at all points on the boundary surface. The initial static pressure specification used to initiate the radial equilibrium integration process is obtained from a neighboring mesh block. As a result of the complexity of this procedure, several mesh restrictions were imposed to simplify the application of this approach. The primary assumption is that the integration of the radial equilibrium equation may be performed along the \( j \) coordinate direction of the mesh. Hence, the \( j \) coordinate should be the radial-like direction. A single specification of static pressure is required at either the maximum or minimum extreme of the \( j \) coordinate of the boundary surface in order to initiate the integration process. The direction of integration, and location of application of the specified exit static pressure are determined by the LSPEC1 variable in the calling sequence. If LSPEC1 = L, for LOW, then PEXIT is applied to the lower (smallest value) of the \( j \) index, and the radial equilibrium equation is integrated outward (increasing \( j \) direction). If LSPEC1 = H, for HIGH, then PEXIT is applied to the upper (largest value) of the \( j \) index, and the radial equilibrium equation is integrated inward (decreasing \( j \) direction). The direction of integration implied by LSPEC1 must be consistent with the location of the neighboring mesh block (LBLOCK2) from which the initial pressure data is derived. The \( j \) coordinate location from which the pressure is taken in mesh block LBLOCK2 is determined by the M2LIM1, M2LIM1 variable, and the specification of the LSPEC2 control parameter. If the M2LIM1, M2LIM2 combination is taken from the higher \( j \) index, then LSPEC2 should be H. If the M2LIM1, M2LIM2 combination is taken from the lower \( j \) index, then LSPEC2 should be L. Under most circumstances, the static pressure is taken from either the uppermost or lowermost \( j \) coordinate, in which case LSPEC2 should be either H or L, respectively. The remaining flow variables on the EXT2DP boundary are updated by a Riemann invariant formulation based on the resulting local static pressure field. Included in the EXT2DP procedure is a special correction scheme which forces the flow to pass out of the flow domain. In other words, if the computed velocities result in a local inflow at the
**EXT2DP** boundary, no matter how small the magnitude of the inflow, the velocities are reset to zero at that point.

**Restrictions/Limitations**

The **EXT2DP** boundary condition assumes that the mesh is oriented in such a fashion that the radial coordinate is defined as \( r = \sqrt{y^2 + z^2} \). For axial flow turbomachinery, this implies that the axis of rotation (or the centerline) coincides with the \( x \) axis. It is also required that the radial-like direction of the mesh be defined by the \( j \) coordinate, and is therefore not valid on a \( j = \text{constant} \) mesh plane. This is required in order to properly integrate the radial equilibrium equation to complete the exit static pressure specification. Examples of this type of mesh system can be found in the chapter defining standard configurations. The **EXT2DP** boundary specification is restricted to 2-D mesh surfaces (3-D mesh surfaces should use the **EXITP** boundary specification). By default, it is important that this type of boundary condition be carefully specified and the final solution carefully examined to ensure that the desired mesh patching be adequately satisfied. It is a common error to patch to the wrong grid, or the wrong end of the correct grid, and still obtain a converged solution.

**Common Errors**

- Application of **EXT2DP** to a 3-D mesh system.
- Failure to properly specify the **LSPEC1, LSPEC2** variables.
- **M2LIM1** and **M2LIM2** differ.
- Radial-like direction of the mesh is not the \( j \) coordinate.
- Failure to properly specify the **LSPEC1** variable on the boundary data file specification line.
- **EXT2DP** specification patched to the wrong grid.
- **EXT2DP** specification patched to the wrong end of the correct grid.
- **EXT2DP** boundary condition used but no **EXT2DT, EXITT** boundary condition specified.
- **EXT2DP** boundary condition called before **EXT2DT** (not required, but could cause problems).
EXITP

Patched Turbomachinery Exit Boundary Condition

Application

The EXITP specification is used to impose a turbomachinery-based exit boundary condition based on radial equilibrium for mesh systems employing multiple blocks radially across the exit plane. The example graphic illustrates a two block 3-D mesh system used to predict the flow through a blade passage of a turbomachinery fan rotor with a part span shroud. The blocks are divided radially by the part span shroud, and as a result, the exit boundary plane consists of two mesh boundary segments. In order to employ a turbomachinery-based radial equilibrium exit flow boundary condition for this case, the EXITT specification is applied to the inner mesh block (#1) and the EXITP boundary condition is used for the outer block (#2) to complete the inner to outer integration of the radial equilibrium equation across the mesh block interface. This boundary condition has been utilized extensively in conjunction with the EXITT specification as an exit flow specifier for both ducted and unducted turbomachinery flows.

Boundary Data File Format

The boundary data file specification for the mesh surface indicated in the illustrative graphic for the EXITP boundary condition is given below:
EXITP 2 1 I I M M L H 73 73 1 21 1 25 13 13 1 25

Note that the M2LIM1, M2LIM2 variables in the EXITP specification define a single j mesh line in mesh block LBLOCK2. Failure to properly regard this requirement is a common EXITP specification error. It should also be mentioned that EXITP also requires proper specification of the LSPEC1 variable for proper execution.

Description

The EXITP keyword specifies that a turbomachinery-based radial equilibrium patched exit flow boundary condition is to be applied to the mesh surface specified by LFACE1 on the block specified by LBLOCK1. The EXITP boundary condition was specifically designed as an exit flow boundary procedure for axial and mixed flow turbomachinery geometries employing multiple, stacked mesh blocks (radially) at an exit boundary plane. The EXITP boundary condition procedure utilizes a combination static pressure specification and integration of the radial equilibrium equation to define the static pressure field at all points on the boundary surface. The initial static pressure specification used to initiate the radial equilibrium integration process is obtained from a neighboring mesh block. As a result of the complexity of this procedure, several mesh restrictions were imposed to simplify the application of this approach. The primary assumption is that the integration of the radial equilibrium equation may be performed along the j coordinate direction of the mesh. Hence, the j coordinate should be the radial-like direction. A single specification of static pressure is required at either the maximum or minimum extreme of the j coordinate of the boundary surface in order to initiate the integration process. The direction of integration, and location of application of the specified exit static pressure are determined by the LSPEC1 variable in the calling sequence. If LSPEC1 = L, for LOW, then PEXIT is applied to the lower (smallest value) of the j index, and the radial equilibrium equation is integrated outward (increasing j direction). If LSPEC1 = H, for HIGH, then PEXIT is applied to the upper (largest value) of the j index, and the radial equilibrium equation is integrated inward (decreasing j direction). The direction of integration implied by LSPEC1 must be consistent with the location of the neighboring mesh block (LBLOCK2) from which the initial pressure data is derived. The j coordinate location from which the pressure is taken in mesh block LBLOCK2 is determined by the M2LIM1, M2LIM2 variable, and the specification of the LSPEC2 control parameter. If the M2LIM1,M2LIM2 combination is taken from the higher j index, then LSPEC2 should be H. If the M2LIM1,M2LIM2 combination is taken from the lower j index, then LSPEC2 should be L. Under most circumstances, the static pressure is taken from either the uppermost or lowermost j coordinate, in which case LSPEC2 should be either H or L, respectively. The remaining flow variables on the EXITP boundary are updated by a Riemann invariant formulation based on the resulting local static pressure field. Included in the EXITP procedure is a special correction scheme which forces the flow to pass out of the flow domain. In other words, if the computed velocities result in a local inflow at the EXITP boundary, no matter how small the magnitude of the inflow, the velocities are reset to zero at that point.

Restrictions/Limitations
The \textbf{EXITP} boundary condition assumes that the mesh is oriented in such a fashion that the radial coordinate is defined as \( r = \sqrt{y^2 + z^2} \). For axial flow turbomachinery, this implies that the axis of rotation (or the centerline) coincides with the \( x \) axis. It is also required that the radial-like direction of the mesh be defined by the \( j \) coordinate, and is therefore not valid on a \( j = \text{constant} \) mesh plane. This is required in order to properly integrate the radial equilibrium equation to complete the exit static pressure specification. Examples of this type of mesh system can be found in the chapter defining standard configurations. The \textbf{EXITP} boundary specification is restricted to 3-D mesh surfaces (2-D mesh surfaces should use the \textbf{EXT2DP} boundary specification).

\textbf{Common Errors}

- Application of \textbf{EXITP} to a 2-D mesh system.
- Failure to properly specify the \textbf{LSPEC2} variable.
- \textbf{M2LIM1} and \textbf{M2LIM2} differ.
- Radial-like direction of the mesh is not the \( j \) coordinate.
- Failure to properly specify the \textbf{LSPEC1} variable on the boundary data file specification line.
EXT2DT

2-D Turbomachinery Exit Boundary Condition

Application

The EXT2DT specification is used to impose a turbomachinery-based exit boundary condition based on radial equilibrium for 2-D mesh blocks. The example graphic illustrated above depicts an EXT2DT specification for a 2-D (axisymmetric) flow solution for a turbomachinery blade row. This boundary condition has been utilized extensively as an exit flow specifier for both ducted and unducted 2-D turbomachinery flows.

Boundary Data File Format

The boundary data file specification for the mesh surface indicated in the illustrative graphic for the EXT2DT boundary condition is given below:

```
EXT2DT 1 1 I I M M L L 49 49 1 17 1 2 1 17 1 2
PEXIT
1.105
```

or the alternate specification:

```
EXT2DT 1 1 I I M M L L 49 49 1 17 1 2 1 17 1 2
```
Note that a complete EXT2DT specification requires two additional lines following the EXT2DT boundary data file specification line. Failure to properly specify the data in these additional lines is a common EXT2DT specification error. It should also be mentioned that EXT2DT also requires proper specification of the LSPEC1 variable for proper execution.

Description

The EXT2DT keyword specifies that a turbomachinery-based radial equilibrium exit flow boundary condition is to be applied to the mesh surface specified by LFACE1 on the 2-D mesh block specified by LBLOCK1. The EXT2DT boundary condition was specifically designed as an exit flow boundary procedure for 2-D axial and mixed flow turbomachinery geometries. Pure radial flow turbomachinery exit flow boundaries may usually be specified by the EXT2DG boundary condition. Due to the form of the radial equilibrium equation utilized in the EXT2DG routine, only cylindrical coordinate solution meshes are permitted to use this routine. The EXT2DT boundary condition procedure utilizes a combination static pressure specification and integration of the radial equilibrium equation to define the static pressure field at all points on the boundary surface. As a result of the complexity of this procedure, several mesh restrictions were imposed to simplify the application of this approach. The primary assumption is that the integration of the radial equilibrium equation may be performed along the j coordinate direction of the mesh. Hence, the j coordinate should be the radial-like direction. A single specification of static pressure is required at either the maximum or minimum extreme of the j coordinate of the boundary surface in order to initiate the integration process. The direction of integration, and location of application of the specified exit static pressure are determined by the LSPEC1 variable in the calling sequence. If LSPEC1 = L, for LOW, then PEXIT is applied to the lower (smallest value) of the j index, and the radial equilibrium equation is integrated outward (increasing j direction). If LSPEC1 = H, for HIGH, then PEXIT is applied to the upper (largest value) of the j index, and the radial equilibrium equation is integrated inward (decreasing j direction). The remaining flow variables on the EXT2DT boundary are updated by a Reimann invariant formulation based on the resulting local static pressure field. Included in the EXT2DT procedure is a special correction scheme which forces the flow to pass out of the flow domain. In other words, if the computed velocities result in a local inflow at the EXT2DT boundary, no matter how small the magnitude of the inflow, the velocities are reset to zero at that point. This boundary condition requires the specification of additional data, as shown in the boundary data format descriptor above. The first additional line following the EXT2DT specification is assumed to be a label and may contain any information; however, for consistency it is recommended that the label PEXIT be used. The line following the PEXIT label contains the value of specified nondimensional exit static pressure used to initiate the radial equilibrium integration procedure. The value of the PEXIT variable is computed as follows:

\[
P_{\text{EXIT}} = \frac{P_{\text{exit static desired}}}{P_{\text{ref}}}
\]

The variable \( P_{\text{ref}} \) is specified by the input variable \( \text{PREF} \). Values of \( \text{PEXIT} < 0.0 \) are
not permitted. Naturally, poor convergence or solution divergence can occur if the value of PEXIT suggests boundary data which are significantly different from the remainder of the flowfield. In such cases where this occurs, it is recommended that the solution be started with more conservative boundary values, and then restarted using the final boundary values.

An alternate specification is provided for the EXDT2DT boundary specification as shown in the sample application above. In this case, three values are included following the original boundary specification line. The alternate specification is provided as a means of achieving a desired mass flow rate through the bounding surface using the EXDT2DT algorithm. The desired mass flow rate is achieved iteratively by incrementally adjusting the exit static pressure specification until the desired flow rate is achieved. Therefore, in this specification, the variable PEXIT described in detail above is the initial exit static pressure used in the iterative process. EMDOT represents the desired mass flow rate through the bounding surface in pounds mass, and PRELAX is a relaxation factor to stabilize the iterative process (values may range from 0.0 to 1.0, though poor convergence is likely for values larger than 0.1). For Cartesian flow calculations a unit depth (1.0 in mesh coordinates) is assumed for the third coordinate direction to determine the mass flow rate. For cylindrical flow calculations, the geometry is assumed to be axisymmetric and a multiple of $2\pi$ is used in the mass flow integration (the mass flow is computed as if the full circumference of the axisymmetric geometry were employed). This procedure is not foolproof, and suffers from the fact that when a job is restarted, if an updated exit pressure is not inserted in the boundary data file, then the pressure-mass flow iterative process will essentially start over. The ADPA07 code will automatically determine when to employ the iterative process by identifying the additional boundary specification variables.

Restrictions/Limitations

The EXDT2DT boundary condition assumes that the mesh is oriented in such a fashion that the radial coordinate is defined as $r = \sqrt{y^2 + z^2}$. For axial flow turbomachinery, this implies that the axis of rotation (or the centerline) coincides with the $x$ axis. It is also required that the radial-like direction of the mesh be defined by the $j$ coordinate, and is therefore not valid on a $j = \text{constant}$ mesh plane. This is required in order to properly integrate the radial equilibrium equation to complete the exit static pressure specification. Examples of this type of mesh system can be found in the chapter defining standard configurations. The EXDT2DT boundary specification is restricted to 2-D mesh surfaces (3-D mesh surfaces should use the EXITT boundary specification).

Common Errors

- Application of EXDT2DT to a 3-D mesh system.
- Failure to specify the additional data value PEXIT.
- Improper specification of the alternate (mass flow) iterative scheme.
- Radial-like direction of the mesh is not the $j$ coordinate.
• Cylindrical solution procedure not selected (\texttt{FCART}=1.0)

• Failure to properly specify the \texttt{LSPEC1} variable on the boundary data file specification line.

• Value of \texttt{PEXIT} is too high (flow cannot get started).
EXITN

Non-Reflecting Outflow Boundary Condition

Application

The EXITN specification is used to impose a non-reflecting outflow boundary condition for time-dependent flow calculations where spurious numerical reflections normally imposed by other boundary procedures are undesirable. The example graphic above depicts a simple duct flow using a Cartesian-based H-grid, where the exit boundary plane is controlled by an EXITN specification. This boundary condition should be used for time-dependent flow calculations only.

Boundary Data File Format

The boundary data file specification for the mesh surface indicated in the illustrative graphic for the EXITN boundary condition is given below:

```
EXITN 1 1 I I M M J K 49 49 1 33 1 33 1 33 1 33
```
The **EXITN** statement specifies that a non-reflecting exit flow boundary condition is to be applied to the mesh surface specified by **LFACE1** on the block specified by **LBLOCK1**. The **EXITN** boundary condition should be used for time-dependent flow calculations where spurious numerical reflections which normally occur for other exit boundary conditions (such as **EXITT**, **EXITP**, etc.) are undesirable. **EXITN** may be used on any mesh face (I, J, or K constant) for either cylindrical or Cartesian-based solution schemes (see the input variable **FCART**). The **EXITN** procedure utilizes a characteristic-based formulation described in the *ADPAC07* final report [21].

**Restrictions/Limitations**

The **EXITN** boundary specification should only be applied for time-dependent flow calculations. Steady state problems can usually use the **EXT2DG**, **EXITX**, or **EXITT** boundary specification).

**Common Errors**

- Application of **EXITN** for a steady state solution.
EXITT

Turbomachinery Exit Boundary Condition

Application

The EXITT specification is used to impose a turbomachinery-based exit boundary condition based on radial equilibrium. The illustrative graphic above depicts an application of the EXITT outflow boundary condition for an H-type mesh for a turbomachinery fan rotor blade passage. The EXITT specification provides the radial variation of flow properties at the outflow boundary resulting from the application of a simplified form of the radial equilibrium equation. This boundary condition has been utilized extensively as an exit flow specifier for both ducted and unducted turbomachinery flows.

Boundary Data File Format

The boundary data file specification for the mesh surface indicated in the illustrative graphic for the EXITT boundary condition is given below:

EXITT 1 1 I I M M L L 49 49 1 17 1 17 1 17 1 17 1 17
PEXIT
1.105

or the alternate specification:
EXITT 1 1 I I M M L L 49 49 1 17 1 17 1 17 1 17
PEXIT EMDOT PRELAX
1.105 13.7 0.001

Note that a complete EXITT specification requires two additional lines following the EXITT boundary data file specification line. Failure to properly specify the data in these additional lines is a common EXITT specification error. It should also be mentioned that EXITT also requires proper specification of the LSPEC1 variable for proper execution.

Description

The EXITT keyword specifies that a turbomachinery-based radial equilibrium exit flow boundary condition is to be applied to the mesh surface specified by LFACE1 on the block specified by LBLOCK1. The EXITT boundary condition was specifically designed as an exit flow boundary procedure for axial and mixed flow turbomachinery geometries (pure radial flow turbomachinery exit flow boundaries may be usually be specified by the EXITG boundary condition). The EXITT boundary condition procedure utilizes a combination static pressure specification and integration of the radial equilibrium equation to define the static pressure field at all points on the boundary surface. As a result of the complexity of this procedure, several mesh restrictions were imposed to simplify the application of this approach. The primary assumption is that the integration of the radial equilibrium equation may be performed along the \( j \) coordinate direction of the mesh. Hence, the \( j \) coordinate should be the radial-like direction. A single specification of static pressure is required at either the maximum or minimum extreme of the \( j \) coordinate of the boundary surface in order to initiate the integration process. The direction of integration and location of application of the specified exit static pressure are determined by the LSPEC1 variable in the calling sequence. If LSPEC1 = L, for LOW, then PEXIT is applied to the lower (smallest value) of the \( j \) index, and the radial equilibrium equation is integrated outward (increasing \( j \) direction). If LSPEC1 = H, for HIGH, then PEXIT is applied to the upper (largest value) of the \( j \) index, and the radial equilibrium equation is integrated inward (decreasing \( j \) direction). The remaining flow variables on the EXITT boundary are updated by a Reimann invariant formulation based on the resulting local static pressure field. Included in the EXITT procedure is a special correction scheme which forces the flow to pass out of the flow domain. In other words, if the computed velocities result in a local inflow at the EXITT boundary, no matter how small the magnitude of the inflow, the velocities are reset to zero at that point. This boundary condition requires the specification of additional data, as shown in the boundary data format descriptor above. The first additional line following the EXITT specification is assumed to be a label and may contain any information; however, for consistency it is recommended that the label PEXIT be used. The line following the PEXIT label contains the value of specified non-dimensional exit static pressure used to initiate the radial equilibrium integration procedure. The value of the PEXIT variable is computed as follows:

\[
P_{\text{EXIT}} = \frac{P_{\text{exit static, desired}}}{P_{\text{ref}}}
\]

The variable \( P_{\text{ref}} \) are specified by the input variable PREF. Values of PEXIT <0.0 are not permitted. Naturally, poor convergence or solution divergence can occur if the value of
PEXIT suggests boundary data which are significantly different from the remainder of the flowfield. In such cases where this occurs, it is recommended that the solution be started with more conservative boundary values, and then restarted using the final boundary values.

An alternate specification is provided for the EXITT boundary specification as shown in the sample application above. In this case, three values are included following the original boundary specification line. The alternate specification is provided as a means of achieving a desired mass flow rate through the bounding surface using the EXITT algorithm. The desired mass flow rate is achieved iteratively by incrementally adjusting the exit static pressure specification until the desired flow rate is achieved. Therefore, in this specification, the variable PEXIT described in detail above is the initial exit static pressure used in the iterative process, EMDOT represents the desired mass flow rate through the bounding surface in pounds mass, and PRELAX is a relaxation factor to stabilize the iterative process (values may range from 0.0 to 1.0, though poor convergence is likely for values larger than 0.1). This procedure is not foolproof, and suffers from the fact that when a job is restarted, if an updated exit pressure is not inserted in the boundary data file, then the pressure-mass flow iterative process will essentially start over. The ADPAC07 code will automatically determine when to employ the iterative process by identifying the additional boundary specification variables.

Restrictions/Limitations

The EXITT boundary condition assumes that the mesh is oriented in such a fashion that the radial coordinate is defined as \( r = \sqrt{y^2 + z^2} \). For axial flow turbomachinery, this implies that the axis of rotation (or the centerline) coincides with the \( x \) axis. It is also required that the radial-like direction of the mesh be defined by the \( j \) coordinate, and is therefore not valid on a \( j = \) constant mesh plane. This is required in order to properly integrate the radial equilibrium equation to complete the exit static pressure specification. Examples of this type of mesh system can be found in the chapter defining standard configurations. The EXITT boundary specification is restricted to 3-D mesh surfaces (2-D mesh surfaces should use the EXT2DT boundary specification).

Common Errors

- Application of EXITT to a 2-D mesh system.
- Failure to specify the additional data value PEXIT.
- Improper specification of the alternate (mass flow) iterative scheme.
- Radial-like direction of the mesh is not the \( j \) coordinate.
- Mesh does not possess circumferential symmetry (axial, radial mesh coordinates vary in the circumferential coordinate direction).
- Failure to properly specify the LSPEC1 variable on the boundary data file specification line.
• Value of PEXIT is too high (flow cannot get started).
EXITX

Non-Reflecting Steady State Turbomachinery Exit Boundary Condition

Application

The EXITX specification is used to impose a non-reflecting turbomachinery-based exit boundary condition based on radial equilibrium for steady flow calculations. The illustrative graphic above depicts an application of the EXITX outflow boundary condition for an H-type mesh for a turbomachinery fan rotor blade passage. The EXITX specification provides the radial variation of flow properties at the outflow boundary resulting from the application of a simplified form of the radial equilibrium equation. This boundary condition has been utilized extensively as a non-reflecting exit flow specifier for both ducted and unducted turbomachinery flows.

Boundary Data File Format

The boundary data file specification for the mesh surface indicated in the illustrative graphic for the EXITX boundary condition is given below:

EXITX 1 1 I I M M L L 49 49 1 17 1 17 1 17 1 17
PEXIT
1.105
Note that a complete **EXITX** specification requires two additional lines following the **EXITX** boundary data file specification line. Failure to properly specify the data in these additional lines is a common **EXITX** specification error. It should also be mentioned that **EXITX** also requires proper specification of the **LSPEC1** variable for proper execution.

### Description

The **EXITX** keyword specifies that a non-reflecting turbomachinery-based radial equilibrium exit flow boundary condition is to be applied to the mesh surface specified by **LFACE1** on the block specified by **LBLOCK1**. The **EXITX** boundary condition was specifically designed as a non-reflecting exit flow boundary procedure for steady state analysis of axial and mixed flow turbomachinery geometries (pure radial flow turbomachinery exit flow boundaries may usually be specified by the **EXITG** boundary condition). The **EXITX** boundary condition procedure utilizes a combination of static pressure specification and integration of the radial equilibrium equation to define the circumferentially averaged static pressure field at all points on the boundary surface. The circumferentially-averaged pressure at the exit boundary is forced to match the imposed static pressure. The use of the circumferential average permits flow properties to vary across the exit plane, while still maintaining the desired overall flow (additional details for this procedure are given in the final report [21]. As a result of the complexity of this procedure, several mesh restrictions were imposed to simplify the application of this approach. The primary assumption is that the integration of the radial equilibrium equation may be performed along the \( j \) coordinate direction of the mesh. Hence, the \( j \) coordinate should be the radial-like direction. A single specification of static pressure is required at either the maximum or minimum extreme of the \( j \) coordinate of the boundary surface in order to initiate the integration process. The direction of integration and location of application of the specified exit static pressure are determined by the **LSPEC1** variable in the calling sequence. If **LSPEC1** = \( L \), for LOW, then **PEXIT** is applied to the lower (smallest value) of the \( j \) index, and the radial equilibrium equation is integrated outward (increasing \( j \) direction). If **LSPEC1** = \( H \), for HIGH, then **PEXIT** is applied to the upper (largest value) of the \( j \) index, and the radial equilibrium equation is integrated inward (decreasing \( j \) direction). The remaining flow variables on the **EXITX** boundary are updated by a Riemann invariant formulation based on the resulting local static pressure field. Included in the **EXITX** procedure is a special correction scheme which forces the flow to pass out of the flow domain. In other words, if the computed velocities result in a local inflow at the **EXITX** boundary, no matter how small the magnitude of the inflow, the velocities are reset to zero at that point. This boundary condition requires the specification of additional data, as shown in the boundary data format descriptor above. The first additional line following the **EXITX** specification is assumed to be a label and may contain any information; however, for consistency it is recommended that the label **PEXIT** be used. The line following the **PEXIT** label contains the value of specified non-dimensional exit static pressure used to initiate the radial equilibrium integration procedure. The value of the **PEXIT** variable is computed as follows:

\[
PEXIT = \frac{P_{exit \text{static,desired}}}{P_{ref}}
\]

The variable \( P_{ref} \) are specified by the input variable **REF**. Values of **PEXIT** < 0.0 are not permitted. Naturally, poor convergence or solution divergence can occur if the value of
PEXIT suggests boundary data which are significantly different from the remainder of the flowfield. In cases where this occurs, it is recommended that the solution be started with more conservative boundary values, and then restarted using the final boundary values.

Restrictions/Limitations

The EXITX boundary condition assumes that the mesh is oriented in such a fashion that the radial coordinate is defined as \( r = \sqrt{y^2 + z^2} \). For axial flow turbomachinery, this implies that the axis of rotation (or the centerline) coincides with the \( x \) axis. It is also required that the radial-like direction of the mesh be defined by the \( j \) coordinate, and is therefore not valid on a \( j = \) constant mesh plane. This is required in order to properly integrate the radial equilibrium equation to complete the exit static pressure specification. Examples of this type of mesh system can be found in the chapter defining standard configurations. The EXITX boundary specification is restricted to 3-D mesh surfaces. The EXITX boundary condition is only valid for steady state flow calculations (a non-reflecting exit flow boundary conditions is available with EXITN).

Common Errors

- Application of EXITX to a 2-D mesh system.
- Failure to specify the additional data value PEXIT.
- Radial-like direction of the mesh is not the \( j \) coordinate.
- Mesh does not possess circumferential symmetry (axial, radial mesh coordinates vary in the circumferential coordinate direction).
- Failure to properly specify the LSPEC1 variable on the boundary data file specification line.
- Value of PEXIT is too high (flow cannot get started).
- Application of EXITX for time-dependent flow calculations.
FIXED

Fixed Flow Boundary Specification

Application

The fixed specification is used as a “last resort” boundary specification which hardwires flow properties into the numerical solution. The application illustrated above indicates an application of the fixed boundary specification to provide a direct implementation of the flow properties of an injection jet into a simple duct flow. The same jet could have been modeled more effectively using alternate boundary conditions, or through the addition of an additional grid to simulate the jet flow passage; however, for the purposes of demonstration, and to obtain a solution of this type quickly, the fixed specification was used instead.

Boundary Data File Format

The boundary data file specifications for the mesh interface indicated in the illustrative graphic for the fixed boundary condition are given below:

```
FIXED 1 1 J J P P I K 1 1 11 21 1 11 11 21 1 11
RO U V W TTOT
```
Note that a complete **FIXED** specification requires the specification of additional data beyond the standard boundary specification line.

**Description**

The **FIXED** statement is used to provide a fixed specification of boundary flow data in the absence of any other appropriate boundary condition. This routine was provided for those cases where other boundary conditions either cannot provide the boundary specifications desired, or in those cases where a fixed boundary specification is deemed appropriate. In most cases, the **FIXED** specification is undesirable because the boundary condition itself is perfectly reflecting, and will therefore inhibit solution convergence. In addition, the **FIXED** specification does not permit interaction between the boundary flow and the interior flow, which runs contrary to the normal fluid dynamics behavior.

A **FIXED** specification requires two additional lines in addition to the normal boundary data file descriptor, as shown above. The first additional line simply contains the labels for the additional flow variable **RO**, **U**, **V**, **W**, and **TTOT**. The next line contains the actual values for the flow variable specifications. The variable **RO** defines the fluid density in slugs per cubic foot. The variables **U**, **V**, and **W** contain the fluid velocity components in feet per second for the \(x\), \(y\), and \(z\) coordinate directions for a Cartesian solution mesh block, and the \(x\), \(r\), and \(\theta\) coordinate directions for a cylindrical solution mesh block, respectively. Finally, **TTOT** represents the fluid total temperature in degrees Rankine for the boundary specification. During the application of a **FIXED** specification, phantom boundary cell data are set according to the data provided in the extra lines following the boundary data specification line as shown above. As a result, the data is not necessarily applied at the boundary, but the influence of the data is felt just outside the boundary. This phenomenon is consistent with the behavior of a finite volume solution algorithm.

**Restrictions/Limitations**

Data provided in the **FIXED** specification should represent phantom cell centered data and must be dimensionalized as described above.

**Common Errors**

- Incorrectly specified or misaligned extents of boundary regions (values of **M1LIM1**, **M1LIM2**, **N1LIM1**, **N1LIM2**, **M2LIM1**, **M2LIM2**, **N2LIM1**, **N2LIM2** do not correctly define the interface extents on blocks **LBLOCK1** and **LBLOCK2**).
- Failure to provide additional data for **FIXED** specification.
- **FIXED** boundary specifications for cylindrical solution mesh blocks must use the cylindrical velocity components.
• **FIXED** boundary specifications for Cartesian solution mesh blocks must use the Cartesian velocity components.
FRE2D

2-D Far Field Flow Boundary Condition

Application

The FRE2D specification is used to impose a far field boundary condition with uniform far field flow properties. The example graphic above illustrates a four block mesh system used to predict the axisymmetric flow through a high bypass ducted fan. The two outer blocks (#2 and #4) require a farfield boundary condition at the outer boundary (j=17). The FRE2D boundary specification is used to satisfy the farfield flow requirement. This boundary condition has been utilized extensively for both ducted and unducted 2-D fan propulsion systems.

Boundary Data File Format

The boundary data file specification for the mesh interface indicated in the illustrative graphic for the FRE2D boundary condition is given below:

```
FRE2D 2 2 J J M M I K 17 17 1 129 1 2 1 129 1 2 Block 2
PTOT TTOT EMINF ALPHA
1.0 1.0 0.75 0.0
FRE2D 4 4 J J M M I K 17 17 1 97 1 2 1 97 1 2 Block 4
PTOT TTOT EMINF ALPHA
1.0 1.0 0.75 0.0
```
Note that a complete FRE2D specification requires two additional lines following the FRE2D boundary data file specification line. Failure to properly specify the data in these additional lines is a common FRE2D specification error.

**Description**

The FRE2D statement specifies that an external, free flow boundary condition is to be applied to the mesh surface specified by LFACE1 on the 2-D block specified by LBLOCK1. The FRE2D boundary condition is primarily used for external flow problems at a far field boundary to simulate the effects of the atmosphere or other large reservoir with known properties. The FRE2D procedure utilizes a Riemann invariant formulation to compute the local flow quantities, and permits both inflow and outflow through the bounding surface based on the nature of the local flow with respect to the known far field conditions. This boundary condition requires the specification of additional data, as shown in the boundary data format descriptor above. The first additional line following the FRE2D specification is assumed to be a label and may contain any information; however, for consistency it is recommended that the labels PTOT, TTOT, EMINF, and ALPHA be used. The next line contains the values imposed for the variables PTOT, TTOT, EMINF, and ALPHA, which represent the far field nondimensional reservoir total pressure and total temperature, along with the Mach number and Cartesian angle of attack, respectively, used in the FRE2D characteristic solution sequence. The value of the PTOT variable is the desired normalized far field total pressure computed as:

\[ PTOT = \frac{P_{\text{total\_desired}}}{P_{\text{ref}}} \]

and the value of the TTOT variable is the desired normalized far field total temperature computed as:

\[ TTOT = \frac{T_{\text{total\_desired}}}{T_{\text{ref}}} \]

The variables \( P_{\text{ref}} \) and \( T_{\text{ref}} \) are specified by the input variables PREF and TREF. Values of PTOT and TTOT < 0.0 are not permitted. The variable EMINF represents the far field Mach number. The far field flow is always assumed to progress along the positive x axis, and therefore mesh systems should be generated with this in mind. Finally, the variable ALPHA represents the farfield Cartesian angle of attack, in degrees, relative to the x axis, with positive angles resulting in far field velocity components in the z coordinate direction. For 2-D flows, this must virtually always be zero. The angle of attack velocities are always in the x-z plane and the velocity components in the y coordinate direction are always zero. If there is outflow along the FREE boundary, then some small y component velocities may occur as a result of extrapolation from the near field flow. Naturally, poor convergence or solution divergence can occur if PTOT, TTOT, EMINF or ALPHA suggest boundary values which are significantly different from the remainder of the flowfield. In such cases where this occurs, it is recommended that the solution be started with more conservative boundary values, and then restarted using the final boundary values.

**Restrictions/Limitations**
The **FRE2D** boundary specification is not restricted to 2-D mesh surfaces, although for consistency 3-D mesh surfaces may use the **FREE** boundary specification. For 2-D applications, the far field flow angle of attack must usually be zero.

**Common Errors**

- Failure to specify the additional data values **PTOT**, **TTOT**, **EMINF**, or **ALPHA**.
- **ALPHA** has a nonzero value.
- Failure to generate the mesh with $+x$ as the primary flow direction.
**FREE**

Far Field Flow Boundary Condition

**Application**

The **FREE** specification is used to impose a far field boundary condition with uniform far field flow properties. The example graphic above illustrates a four block mesh system used to predict the 3-D flow through a high bypass ducted fan. The two outer blocks (#2 and #4) require a farfield boundary condition at the outer boundary (j=9). The **FREE** boundary specification is used to satisfy the farfield flow requirement. This boundary condition has been utilized extensively for both ducted and unducted fan propulsion systems including angle of attack cases.

**Boundary Data File Format**

The boundary data file specification for the mesh interfaces indicated in the illustrative graphic for the **FREE** boundary condition are given below:

```
FREE 2 2 J J M M I K 9 9 1 65 1 13 1 65 1 13 Block 2
PTDT TTDT EMINF ALPHA
1.0 1.0 0.75 10.0
FREE 4 4 J J M M I K 9 9 1 49 1 13 1 49 1 13 Block 4
PTDT TTDT EMINF ALPHA
1.0 1.0 0.75 10.0
```
Note that a complete **FREE** specification requires two additional lines following the **FREE** boundary data file specification line. Failure to properly specify the data in these additional lines is a common **FREE** specification error.

**Description**

The **FREE** statement specifies that an external, free flow boundary condition is to be applied to the mesh surface specified by **LFACE1** on the block specified by **LBLOCK1**. The **FREE** boundary condition is primarily used for external flow problems at a far field boundary to simulate the effects of the atmosphere or other large reservoir with known properties. The **FREE** procedure utilizes a Reimann invariant formulation to compute the local flow quantities, and permits both inflow and outflow through the bounding surface based on the nature of the local flow with respect to the known far field conditions. This boundary condition requires the specification of additional data, as shown in the boundary data format descriptor above. The first additional line following the **FREE** specification is assumed to be a label and may contain any information; however, for consistency it is recommended that the labels **PTOT**, **TTOT**, **EMINF**, and **ALPHA** be used. The next line contains the values imposed for the variables **PTOT**, **TTOT**, **EMINF**, and **ALPHA**, which represent the far field nondimensional reservoir total pressure and total temperature, along with the Mach number and Cartesian angle of attack, respectively, used in the **FREE** characteristic solution sequence. The value of the **PTOT** variable is the desired normalized far field total pressure computed as:

\[
PTOT = \frac{P_{total,desired}}{P_{ref}}
\]

and the value of the **TTOT** variable is the desired normalized far field total temperature computed as:

\[
TTOT = \frac{T_{total,desired}}{T_{ref}}
\]

The variables \(P_{ref}\) and \(T_{ref}\) are specified by the input variables **PREF** and **TREF**. Values of **PTOT** and **TTOT** <0.0 are not permitted. The variable **EMINF** represents the far field Mach number. The far field flow is always assumed to progress primarily along the positive x axis, and therefore mesh systems should be generated with this in mind. Finally, the variable **ALPHA** represents the farfield Cartesian angle of attack, in degrees, relative to the x axis, with positive angles resulting in far field velocity components in the z coordinate direction. The angle of attack velocities are always in the x-z plane and the velocity components in the y coordinate direction are always zero. If there is outflow along the **FREE** boundary, then some small y component velocities may occur as a result of extrapolation from the near field flow. Naturally, poor convergence or solution divergence can occur if **PTOT**, **TTOT**, **EMINF** or **ALPHA** suggest boundary values which are significantly different from the remainder of the flowfield. In such cases where this occurs, it is recommended that the solution be started with more conservative boundary values, and then restarted using the final boundary values.

**Restrictions/Limitations**
The **FREE** boundary specification is not restricted to 3-D mesh surfaces, although 2-D mesh surfaces may use the **FRE2D** boundary specification for consistency. The far field flow angle of attack must be specified relative to the $x$ axis, and produces additional velocity components in the $z$ coordinate direction only. Imposed far-field velocity components in the $y$ coordinate direction will always be zero.

**Common Errors**

- Application of **FREE** to a boundary for which far field $y$ coordinate direction velocity components are required.
- Failure to specify the additional data values **PTOT**, **TTOT**, **EMINF**, or **ALPHA**.
- Failure to generate the mesh with $+x$ as the downstream flow direction.
INLETA

Cartesian Angle of Attack Inflow Boundary Condition Procedure

Application

The INLETA specification is used to impose a Cartesian angle of attack inflow boundary condition with uniform flow properties at a local mesh surface. The illustrative graphic above depicts a four block mesh system for a turbofan engine geometry. The INLETA specifier is utilized at the inlet of mesh blocks 1 and 2 to set the angled inflow necessary to simulate angle of attack. This boundary condition has been utilized extensively as an inlet flow specifier for inlet, nacelle, and propfan geometries at angle of attack.

Boundary Data File Format

The boundary data file specification for the mesh boundaries indicated in the illustrative graphic for the INLETA boundary condition are given below:

```
INLETA 1 1 I I P P J K 1 1 1 9 1 13 1 9 1 13
PTOT  TTOT  ALPHA
1.0  1.0  20.0
INLETA 2 2 I I P P J K 1 1 1 9 1 13 1 9 1 13
```
PTOT TTOT ALPHA
1.0 1.0 20.0

Note that a complete INLETA specification requires two additional lines following the INLETA boundary data file specification line. Failure to properly specify the data in these additional lines is a common INLETA specification error.

Description

The INLETA keyword specifies that a uniform property angle of attack inflow boundary condition is to be applied to the mesh surface specified by LFACE1 on the block specified by LBLOCK1. INLETA is valid for both cylindrical and Cartesian solution meshes (see the description of the input variable FCART). The INLETA procedure utilizes a Riemann invariant formulation to compute inflow velocities based on a specified upstream reservoir total pressure and total temperature, and a single Cartesian flow angle as shown in the illustrative graphic, above. Included in the INLETA procedure is a special correction scheme which forces the flow to pass into the flow domain. In other words, if the computed velocities result in a local outflow at the INLETA boundary, no matter how small the magnitude of the outflow, the velocities are reset to zero at that point. This boundary condition requires the specification of additional data, as shown in the boundary data format descriptor above. The first additional line following the INLETA specification is assumed to be a label and may contain any information; however, for consistency it is recommended that the labels PTOT, TTOT, and ALPHA be used. The next line contains the values imposed for the variables PTOT, TTOT, and ALPHA which represent the upstream reservoir total pressure, total temperature, and Cartesian flow angle, respectively, used in the INLETA characteristic solution sequence. The value of the PTOT variable is the desired normalized upstream total pressure computed as:

\[ PTOT = \frac{P_{\text{total,desired}}}{P_{\text{ref}}} \]

and the value of the TTOT variable is the desired normalized upstream total temperature computed as:

\[ TTOT = \frac{T_{\text{total,desired}}}{T_{\text{ref}}} \]

The variables \( P_{\text{ref}} \) and \( T_{\text{ref}} \) are specified by the input variables \( \text{PREF} \) and \( \text{TREF} \). Values of PTOT and TTOT < 0.0 are not permitted. The variable ALPHA represents the flow angle in degrees referenced to the \( x \) axis. For 3-D applications, positive flow angles generate components of the flow in the positive \( z \) direction, and inlet velocity component in the \( y \) direction are set to zero. For 2-D applications, positive flow angles generate components of the flow in the positive \( y \) direction, and inlet velocity component in the \( z \) direction are set to zero. Values of ALPHA must lie between +/- 90 degrees. Naturally, poor convergence or solution divergence can occur if PTOT or TTOT suggest boundary values which are significantly different from the remainder of the flowfield, or if ALPHA is very large. In cases where this occurs, it is recommended that the solution be started with more conservative boundary values, and then restarted using the final boundary values.
Restrictions/Limitations

The INLETA boundary specification may be applied to either 3-D or 2-D mesh surfaces. For 2-D applications, the angle specified by ALPHA is assumed to be in the positive y coordinate. An example of this type of application is for a planar cascade flow where x is the primary flow direction, and the inflow is at an angle of 20 degrees relative to the x axis, thus resulting in a y component of velocity (2-D only). The angle of the velocity components specified by the INLETA procedure must always be referenced to the x coordinate axis, and it is left to the user to generate a mesh which is consistent with this feature.

Common Errors

- Application of INLETA to a 3-D mesh boundary for which non-zero y component velocities are required.
- Application of INLETA to a 2-D mesh boundary for which non-zero z component velocities are required.
- Failure to specify the additional data values PTOT, TTOT, or ALPHA.
INL2DG

Generic Inflow Boundary Condition

Application

The INL2DG specification is used to impose a generic inflow boundary condition with uniform flow properties where the inflow velocity is normal to the local mesh surface. The example graphic above illustrates a 2-D 2-block mesh system mixing two adjacent streams of varying inlet properties. In this case, the INL2DG boundary specification is used to set the inflow boundary separately for each block to provide the desired incoming stream flow properties. This boundary condition has been utilized extensively as an inlet flow specifier for 2-D duct flows.

Boundary Data File Format

The boundary data file specification for the two mesh surfaces indicated in the illustrative graphic for the INL2DG boundary condition are given below:

\[
\text{INL2DG 1 1 I I P P J K 1 1 I 23 1 2 1 23 1 2}
\]
Note that a complete **INL2DG** specification requires two additional lines following the **INL2DG** boundary data file specification line. Failure to properly specify the data in these additional lines is a common **INL2DG** specification error.

**Description**

The **INL2DG** statement specifies that a generic, uniform normal inflow boundary condition is to be applied to the 2-D mesh surface specified by *LFACE1* on the block specified by *LBLOCK1*. The **INL2DG** boundary condition should be applied for those cases where any other "specialized" inflow boundary condition (such as **INL2DT**, etc.) does not apply. The **INL2DG** boundary condition is also likely to be somewhat more efficient computationally than the other inflow boundary condition procedures, at the expense of some physical simplification. **INL2DG** is valid for either cylindrical or Cartesian-based (see the input variable **FCART**) solutions on 2-D meshes. The **INL2DG** procedure utilizes a Riemann invariant formulation to compute inflow velocities based on a specified upstream reservoir total pressure and total temperature. The velocity components at an **INL2DG** boundary are always computed to be normal (no transverse velocity components) to the local cell face at which the procedure is applied. Included in the **INL2DG** procedure is a special correction scheme which forces the flow to pass into the flow domain. In other words, if the computed velocities result in a local outflow at the **INL2DG** boundary, no matter how small the magnitude of the outflow, the velocities are reset to zero at that point. This boundary condition requires the specification of additional data, as shown in the boundary data format descriptor above. The first additional line following the **INL2DG** specification is assumed to be a label and may contain any information; however, for consistency it is recommended that the labels **PTOT** and **TTOT** be used. The next line contains the values imposed for the variables **PTOT** and **TTOT**, which represent the upstream reservoir total pressure and total temperature, respectively, used in the **INL2DG** characteristic solution sequence. The value of the **PTOT** variable is the desired normalized upstream total pressure computed as:

\[
PTOT = \frac{P_{\text{total, desired}}}{P_{\text{ref}}}
\]

and the value of the **TTOT** variable is the desired normalized upstream total temperature computed as:

\[
TTOT = \frac{T_{\text{total, desired}}}{T_{\text{ref}}}
\]

The variables \(P_{\text{ref}}\) and \(T_{\text{ref}}\) are specified by the input variables **PREF** and **TREF**. Values of **PTOT** and **TTOT** < 0.0 are not permitted. Naturally, poor convergence or solution divergence can occur if **PTOT** or **TTOT** suggest boundary values which are significantly
different from the remainder of the flowfield. In such cases where this occurs, it is recom-
mended that the solution be started with more conservative boundary values, and then
restarted using the final boundary values.

Restrictions/Limitations

The INL2DG boundary specification is not restricted to 2-D mesh surfaces, although for
consistency 3-D mesh surfaces may use the INLETG boundary specification.

Common Errors

- Application of INL2DG to a boundary for which transverse inflow velocity compo-
nents are required.
- Failure to specify the additional data values PTOT or TTOT.
INLETG

Generic Inflow Boundary Condition

Application

The INLETG specification is used to impose a generic inflow boundary condition with uniform flow properties where the inflow velocity is normal to the local mesh surface. This boundary condition has been utilized extensively as an inlet flow specifier for duct flows and turbine blade cooling flow.

Boundary Data File Format

The boundary data file specification for the mesh interface indicated in the illustrative graphic for the INLETG boundary condition is given below:

```
INLETG 1 1 1 1 P P J K 1 1 1 33 1 33 1 33 1 33
PTOT TTOT AKIN ARIN
1.0 1.0 0.001 0.0001
```
INLETG - ADPAC07 Boundary Data File Specifications

Note that a complete INLETG specification requires two additional lines following the INLETG boundary data file specification line. Failure to properly specify the data in these additional lines is a common INLETG specification error.

Description

The INLETG statement specifies that a generic, uniform normal inflow boundary condition is to be applied to the mesh surface specified by LFACE1 on the block specified by LBLOCK1. The INLETG boundary condition should be applied for those cases where any other “specialized” inflow boundary condition (such as INLETR, INLETT, etc.) does not apply. The INLETG boundary condition is also likely to be somewhat more efficient computationally than the other inflow boundary condition procedures, at the expense of some physical simplification. INLETG may be utilized on either cylindrical or Cartesian solution meshes (see the description of the input variable FCART). The INLETG procedure utilizes a Riemann invariant formulation to compute inflow velocities based on a specified upstream reservoir total pressure and total temperature. The velocity components at an INLETG boundary are always computed to be normal (no transverse velocity components) to the local cell face at which the procedure is applied. Included in the INLETG procedure is a special correction scheme which forces the flow to pass into the flow domain. In other words, if the computed velocities result in a local outflow at the INLETG boundary, no matter how small the magnitude of the outflow, the velocities are reset to zero at that point. This boundary condition requires the specification of additional data, as shown in the boundary data format descriptor above. The first additional line following the INLETG specification is assumed to be a label and may contain any information; however, for consistency it is recommended that the labels PTOT, TTOT, AKIN, and ARIN be used. The next line contains the values imposed for the variables PTOT, TTOT, AKIN, and ARIN, which represent the upstream reservoir total pressure, total temperature, turbulence kinetic energy, and turbulence Reynolds number, respectively, used in the INLETG characteristic solution sequence. The value of the PTOT variable is the desired normalized upstream total pressure computed as:

\[ \text{PTOT} = \frac{P_{\text{total,desired}}}{P_{\text{ref}}} \]

and the value of the TTOT variable is the desired normalized upstream total temperature computed as:

\[ \text{TTOT} = \frac{T_{\text{total,desired}}}{T_{\text{ref}}} \]

The variables \( P_{\text{ref}} \) and \( T_{\text{ref}} \) are specified by the input variables PREF and TREF. Values of PTOT and TTOT < 0.0 are not permitted. Naturally, poor convergence or solution divergence can occur if PTOT or TTOT suggest boundary values which are significantly different from the remainder of the flowfield. In such cases where this occurs, it is recommended that the solution be started with more conservative boundary values, and then restarted using the final boundary values.

The variable AKIN and ARIN are only used when the 2-equation \((k - \varepsilon)\) turbulence model is enabled (see the description of the input file variable F2EQ). When enabled, the
variable \textbf{AKIN} represents the value of the nondimensional freestream turbulence kinetic energy defined by \( k/V_{ref}^2 \) where \( k \) is the freestream turbulent kinetic energy and \( V_{ref} \) is the reference velocity defined by \( \sqrt{R_{ref} T_{ref}} \). Here \( R_{ref} \) is the gas constant (see [21] for additional details). The variable \textbf{ARIN} represents the so-called freestream turbulence Reynolds number (again see [21]) and is calculated as \( R/V_{ref} L_{ref} \), where \( L_{ref} \) is the reference length defined by the input variable \textbf{DIAM}.

\textbf{Restrictions/Limitations}

The \textbf{INLETG} boundary specification is not restricted to 3-D mesh surfaces (although for consistency 2-D mesh surfaces may use the \textbf{INL2DG} boundary specification).

\textbf{Common Errors}

- Application of \textbf{INLETG} to a boundary for which transverse inflow velocity components are required.
- Failure to specify the additional data values \textbf{PTOT} or \textbf{TTOT}. 
INLETR

Radial Flow Turbomachinery Inflow Boundary Condition

Application

The INLETR specification is used to impose an inflow boundary condition with axially varying flow properties for radial flow turbomachinery. The example graphic above illustrates adjacent passages of a mesh system designed to predict the flow through a radial diffuser. The inlet boundary is a radial surface of revolution with properties which vary in the axial direction, and therefore INLETR is used to supply the desired flow characteristics at this boundary. INLETR has been successfully used for several radial flow turbomachinery geometries.

Boundary Data File Format

The boundary data file specification for the mesh surface indicated in the illustrative graphic for the INLETR boundary condition is given below:

```
INLETR  1  1  I  I  P  P  J  K  1  1  13  1  17  1  13  1  17
NDATA
4
AXIAL  PTOT  TTOT  BETAX  BETAT
0.1  0.99  0.99  5.0  -73.3
```
A complete INLETR specification requires at least six additional lines (defining at least 3 points on the inlet data distribution) following the INLETR boundary data file specification line. Failure to properly specify the data in these additional lines is a common INLETR specification error.

Description

The INLETR statement specifies that an axial flow turbomachinery inlet flow boundary condition with axially varying flow properties is to be applied to the mesh surface specified by LFACE1 on the block specified by LBLOCK1. The INLETR boundary condition was specifically designed as an inflow boundary procedure for pure radial flow turbomachinery geometries (axial and mixed flow turbomachinery inflow boundaries may be specified by the INLET boundary condition). The INLETR procedure utilizes a Riemann invariant formulation to compute inflow velocities based on a specified axial variation in flow properties (upstream reservoir total pressure, total temperature, axial flow angle, and circumferential flow angle). Included in the INLETR procedure is a special correction scheme which forces the flow to pass into the flow domain. In other words, if the computed velocities result in a local outflow at the INLETR boundary, no matter how small the magnitude of the outflow, the velocities are reset to zero at that point. This boundary condition requires the specification of additional data, as shown in the boundary data format descriptor above. The first additional line following the INLETR specification is assumed to be a label and may contain any information; however, for consistency it is recommended that the label NDATA be used. The line following the NDATA label contains the number of axial data points which will be used to specify the desired axial variation of properties at the inflow boundary. At least 3 axial data locations must be specified to use the INLETR boundary condition. The third line following the INLETR specifier is again a label which outlines the variables AXIAL, PTOT, TTOT, BETAX and BETAT. The remaining NDATA lines contain the numeric information which defines the axial variation of the flow properties specified by these variables. The variable AXIAL is the axial coordinate (remember, the centerline is the x-axis) at which the data is specified. This value should be nondimensionalized in the same manner as the mesh is nondimensionalized. This implies that the AXIAL variable, when multiplied by the input variable DIAM will result in the true geometric measurement in feet. Due to the interpolation procedures which will ultimately be performed on the NDATA lines of radial inflow data, it is essential that the axial variations be specified in a monotonic (constantly increasing) fashion. The variables PTOT and TTOT represent the local upstream reservoir total pressure and total temperature, respectively, used in the INLETR characteristic solution sequence. The value of the PTOT variable is the desired normalized upstream total pressure computed as:

\[ PTOT = \frac{P_{\text{total, desired}}}{P_{\text{ref}}} \]

and the value of the TTOT variable is the desired normalized upstream total temperature computed as:
\[ TTOT = \frac{T_{\text{total.desired}}}{T_{\text{ref}}} \]

The variables \( P_{\text{ref}} \) and \( T_{\text{ref}} \) are specified by the input variables \( \text{PREF} \) and \( \text{TREF} \). Values of \( PTOT \) and \( TTOT < 0.0 \) are not permitted. The variables \( \text{BETAX} \) and \( \text{BETAT} \) represent the local axial and circumferential flow angles expressed in degrees according to the coordinate orientation defined in Figure 3.8.

Naturally, poor convergence or solution divergence can occur if any of the values of \( PTOT, TTOT, BETAX, \) or \( BETAT \) suggest boundary values which are significantly different from the remainder of the flowfield, or if the axial variation of these values is excessively large. In such cases where this occurs, it is recommended that the solution be started with more conservative boundary values, and then restarted using the final boundary values.

**Restrictions/Limitations**

The \textbf{INLETR} boundary condition assumes that the mesh is oriented in such a fashion that the radial coordinate is defined as \( r = \sqrt{y^2 + z^2} \). For radial flow turbomachinery, this implies that the axis of rotation (or the centerline) coincides with the \( x \) axis. It is also required that the axial-like direction of the mesh be defined by the \( j \) coordinate. An example of this type of mesh system can be found in the illustrative graphic included at the beginning of this description.

**Common Errors**

- Failure to specify the additional data values \textbf{NDATA}, \textbf{AXIAL}, \textbf{PTOT}, \textbf{TTOT}, \textbf{BETAX}, or \textbf{BETAT}.
- Axial-like direction of the mesh is not the \( j \) coordinate.
- \textbf{NDATA} less than 3, resulting in job termination.
- \textbf{BETAX} and/or \textbf{BETAT} orientation incorrectly interpreted.
- \textbf{AXIAL}, \textbf{PTOT} and/or \textbf{TTOT} improperly normalized.
- Mesh/geometry not defined with the \( x \) axis as the centerline.
Axial Flow Angle  Circumferential Flow Angle

Figure 3.8: ADPAC'07 INLETR boundary specification flow angle reference
INL2DT

2-D Turbomachinery Inflow Boundary Condition

Application

The INL2DT specification is used to impose an inflow boundary condition with radially varying flow properties for 2-D axisymmetric mesh systems. The example graphic illustrated above depicts an EXT2DT specification for a 2-D (axisymmetric) flow solution for a turbomachinery blade row. This boundary condition has been utilized extensively as an inlet flow specifier for 2-D turbomachinery flow passages and solutions for embedded blade rows with imposed axisymmetric body forces.

Boundary Data File Format

The boundary data file specification for the mesh surface indicated in the illustrative graphic for the INL2DT boundary condition is given below:

```
INL2DT 1 1 1 1 P P J K 1 1 1 17 1 2 1 17 1 2
NDATA 7
RAD PTOT TTOT BETAR BETAT
0.20 1.01 0.98 5.0 5.1
```
A complete INL2DT specification requires at least six additional lines (defining at least 3 points on the inlet data distribution) following the INL2DT boundary data file specification line. Failure to properly specify the data in these additional lines is a common INL2DT specification error.

Description

The INL2DT statement specifies that a turbomachinery-based radially varying inflow boundary condition is to be applied to the 2-D mesh surface specified by LFACE1 on the block specified by LBLOCK1. The INL2DT boundary condition was specifically designed as an inflow boundary procedure for axial and mixed flow axisymmetric turbomachinery geometries (radial flow turbomachinery inflow boundaries may be specified by the INL2DR boundary condition). The INL2DT procedure utilizes a Riemann invariant formulation to compute inflow velocities based on a specified radial variation in flow properties (upstream reservoir total pressure, total temperature, radial flow angle, and circumferential flow angle). Included in the INL2DT procedure is a special correction scheme which forces the flow to pass into the flow domain. In other words, if the computed velocities result in a local outflow at the INL2DT boundary, no matter how small the magnitude of the outflow, the velocities are reset to zero at that point. This boundary condition requires the specification of additional data, as shown in the boundary data format descriptor above. The first additional line following the INL2DT specification is assumed to be a label and may contain any information; however, for consistency it is recommended that the label NDATA be used. The line following the NDATA label contains the number of radial data points which will be used to specify the desired radial variation of properties at the inflow boundary. At least 3 radial data locations must be specified to use the INL2DT boundary condition. The third line following the INL2DT specifier is again a label which outlines the variables RAD, PTOT, TTOT, BETAR and BETAT. The remaining NDATA lines contain the numeric information which defines the radial variation of the flow properties specified by these variables. The variable RAD is the radius (remember, the centerline is the r axis) at which the data is specified. This value should be nondimensionalized in the same manner as the mesh is nondimensionalized. This implies that the RAD variable, when multiplied by the input variable DIAM will result in the true geometric measurement in feet. Due to the interpolation procedures which will ultimately be performed on the NDATA lines of radial inflow data, it is essential that the radial variations be specified from the inner to the outer radius in a monotonic (constantly increasing) fashion. The variables PTOT and TTOT represent the local upstream reservoir total pressure and total temperature, respectively, used in the INL2DT characteristic solution sequence. The value of the PTOT variable is the desired normalized upstream total pressure computed as:
\[ PTOT = \frac{P_{total\_desired}}{P_{ref}} \]

and the value of the \text{TTOT} variable is the desired normalized upstream total temperature computed as:

\[ TTOT = \frac{T_{total\_desired}}{T_{ref}} \]

The variables \( P_{ref} \) and \( T_{ref} \) are specified by the input variables \text{PREF} and \text{TREF}. Values of \text{PTOT} and \text{TTOT} < 0.0 are not permitted. The variables \text{BETAR} and \text{BETAT} represent the local radial and circumferential flow angles expressed in degrees according to the coordinate orientation defined in Figure 3.9. Naturally, poor convergence or solution divergence can occur if any of the values of \text{PTOT}, \text{TTOT}, \text{BETAR}, or \text{BETAT} suggest boundary values which are significantly different from the remainder of the flowfield, or if the radial variation of these values is excessively large. In cases where this occurs, it is recommended that the solution be started with more conservative boundary values, and then restarted using the final boundary values.

**Restrictions/Limitations**

The \text{INL2DT} boundary condition assumes that the mesh is oriented in such a fashion that the radial coordinate is defined as \( r = \sqrt{y^2 + z^2} \). For axial flow turbomachinery, this implies that the axis of rotation (or the centerline) coincides with the \( x \) axis. It is also required that the radial-like direction of the mesh be defined by the \( j \) coordinate. Examples of this type of mesh system can be found in the chapter defining standard configurations. The \text{INL2DT} boundary specification is restricted to 2-D mesh surfaces (3-D mesh surfaces should use the \text{INLETT} boundary specification).

**Common Errors**

- Application of \text{INL2DT} to a 3-D mesh system.
- Failure to specify the additional data values \text{NDATA}, \text{PTOT}, \text{TTOT}, \text{BETAR}, or \text{BETAT}.
- Radial-like direction of the mesh is not the \( j \) coordinate.
- \text{NDATA} less than 3, resulting in job termination.
- \text{BETAR} and/or \text{BETAT} orientation incorrectly interpreted.
- \text{RAD}, \text{PTOT} and/or \text{TTOT} improperly normalized.
- Mesh/geometry not defined with the \( x \) axis as the centerline.
INLETN

Non-Reflecting Unsteady Inflow Boundary Condition

Application

The INLETN specification is used to impose a non-reflecting inflow boundary condition for time-dependent flow calculations. This boundary condition has been utilized for time-dependent flows where spurious numerical reflections from other boundary algorithms are undesirable.

Boundary Data File Format

The boundary data file specification for the mesh interface indicated in the illustrative graphic for the INLETN boundary condition is given below:

```
INLETN 1 1 I I P P J K 1 1 1 33 1 33 1 33 1 33
```
Description

The **INLETN** statement specifies that a generic, non-reflecting inflow boundary condition is to be applied to the mesh surface specified by **LFACE1** on the block specified by **LBLOCK1**. The **INLETN** boundary condition should only be applied for time-dependent flow calculations where spurious numerical reflections such as those normally expected from other boundary specifications (such as **INLETR**, **INLETT**, etc.) are undesirable. **INLETN** may be utilized on either cylindrical or Cartesian solution meshes (see the description of the input variable **FCART**). The **INLETN** procedure utilizes a characteristic-based formulation to compute inflow velocities based on local flow conditions only.

Restrictions/Limitations

The **INLETN** boundary specification is not restricted to 3-D mesh surfaces but should only be applied for time-dependent flow calculations where a non-reflecting inflow boundary is desired.

Common Errors

- Application of **INLETN** for a steady state solution.
INLETT

Turbomachinery Inflow Boundary Condition

Application

The INLETT specification is used to impose an inflow boundary condition with radially varying flow properties. The illustrative graphic above depicts an application of the INLETT inflow boundary condition for an H-type mesh for a turbomachinery fan rotor blade passage. The INLETT specification provides the radial variation of flow properties at the inflow boundary resulting from experimental conditions, upstream blade rows, or other known inlet property variation. This boundary condition has been utilized extensively as an inlet flow specifier for turbomachinery blade passages and annular ducts.

Boundary Data File Format

The boundary data file specification for the mesh surface indicated in the illustrative graphic for the INLETT boundary condition is given below:

```
INLETT 1 1 I I P P J K 1 1 1 17 1 17 1 17 1 17
NDATA
7
```
INLETT - ADPAC07 Boundary Data File Specifications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RAD</th>
<th>PTOT</th>
<th>TTOT</th>
<th>BETAR</th>
<th>BETAT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>7.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A complete INLETT specification requires six or more additional lines (defining at least 3 points on the inlet data distribution) following the INLETT boundary data file specification line. Failure to properly specify the data in these additional lines is a common INLETT specification error.

Description

The INLETT statement specifies that a turbomachinery-based radially varying inflow boundary condition is to be applied to the mesh surface specified by LFACE1 on the block specified by LBLOCK1. The INLETT boundary condition was specifically designed as an inflow boundary procedure for axial and mixed flow turbomachinery geometries (radial flow turbomachinery inflow boundaries may be specified by the INLETR boundary condition). As such, the INLETT boundary procedure is only valid on mesh systems employing the cylindrical solution algorithm (see the description of the input variable FCART). The INLETT procedure utilizes a Riemann invariant formulation to compute inflow velocities based on a specified radial variation in flow properties (upstream reservoir total pressure, total temperature, radial flow angle, and circumferential flow angle). Included in the INLETT procedure is a special correction scheme which forces the flow to pass into the flow domain. In other words, if the computed velocities result in a local outflow at the INLETT boundary, no matter how small the magnitude of the outflow, the velocities are reset to zero at that point. This boundary condition requires the specification of additional data, as shown in the boundary data format descriptor above. The first additional line following the INLETT specification is assumed to be a label and may contain any information; however, for consistency it is recommended that the label NDATA be used. The line following the NDATA label contains the number of radial data points which will be used to specify the desired radial variation of properties at the inflow boundary. At least 3 radial data locations must be specified to use the INLETT boundary condition. The third line following the INLETT specifier is again a label which outlines the variables RAD, PTOT, TTOT, BETAR and BETAT. The remaining NDATA lines contain the numeric information which defines the radial variation of the flow properties specified by these variables. The variable RAD is the radius (remember, the centerline is the x axis) at which the data is specified. This value should be nondimensionalized in the same manner as the mesh is nondimensionalized. This implies that the RAD variable, when multiplied by the input variable DIAM will result in the true geometric measurement in feet. Due to the interpolation procedures which will ultimately be performed on the NDATA lines of radial inflow data, it is essential that the radial variations be specified from the inner to the outer radius in a monotonic (constantly increasing) fashion. The variables PTOT and TTOT represent the local upstream reservoir total pressure and total temperature, respectively, used in the
**INLETT** characteristic solution sequence. The value of the PTOT variable is the desired normalized upstream total pressure computed as:

\[ PTOT = \frac{P_{\text{total.desired}}}{P_{r,f}} \]

and the value of the TTOT variable is the desired normalized upstream total temperature computed as:

\[ TTOT = \frac{T_{\text{total.desired}}}{T_{r,f}} \]

The variables \( P_{r,f} \) and \( T_{r,f} \) are specified by the input variables \textbf{PREF} and \textbf{TREF}. Values of PTOT and TTOT <0.0 are not permitted. The variables \textbf{BETAR} and \textbf{BETAT} represent the local radial and circumferential flow angles expressed in degrees according to the coordinate orientation defined in Figure 3.9. Naturally, poor convergence or solution divergence can occur if any of the values of PTOT, TTOT, BETAR, or BETAT suggest boundary values which are significantly different from the remainder of the flowfield, or if the radial variation of these values is excessively large. In cases where this occurs, it is recommended that the solution be started with more conservative boundary values, and then restarted using the final boundary values.

**Restrictions/Limitations**

The **INLETT** boundary condition assumes that the mesh is oriented in such a fashion that the radial coordinate is defined as \( r = \sqrt{y^2 + z^2} \). For axial flow turbomachinery, this implies that the axis of rotation (or the centerline) coincides with the \( x \) axis. It is also required that the radial-like direction of the mesh be defined by the \( j \) coordinate. Examples of this type of mesh system can be found in the chapter defining standard mesh configurations. The **INLETT** boundary specification is restricted to 3-D mesh surfaces (2-D mesh surfaces should use the **INL2DT** boundary specification).

**Common Errors**

- Application of **INLETT** to a 2-D mesh system.
- Failure to specify the additional data values \textbf{NDATA}, \textbf{PTOT}, \textbf{TTOT}, \textbf{BETAR}, or \textbf{BETAT}.
- Radial-like direction of the mesh is not the \( j \) coordinate.
- \textbf{NDATA} less than 3, resulting in job termination.
- \textbf{BETAR} and/or \textbf{BETAT} orientation incorrectly interpreted.
- \textbf{RAD}, \textbf{PTOT} and/or \textbf{TTOT} improperly normalized.
- Mesh/geometry not defined with the \( x \) axis as the centerline.
Radial Flow Angle
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Figure 3.9: ADPAC'07 INLETT boundary specification flow angle reference
INLETX

Non-Reflecting Steady State Turbomachinery Inflow Boundary Condition

Application

The INLETX specification is used to impose a non-reflecting turbomachinery inflow boundary condition with radially varying flow properties. The illustrative graphic above depicts an application of the INLETX inflow boundary condition for an H-type mesh for a turbomachinery fan rotor blade passage. The INLETX specification provides the radial variation of flow properties at the inflow boundary resulting from experimental conditions, upstream blade rows, or other known inlet property variation. This boundary condition has been utilized extensively as an inlet flow specifier for turbomachinery blade passages and annular ducts.

Boundary Data File Format

The boundary data file specification for the mesh surface indicated in the illustrative graphic for the INLETX boundary condition is given below:

```
INLETX 1 1 I I P P J K 1 1 1 17 1 17 1 17 1 17
NDATA
```
A complete INLETX specification requires six or more additional lines (defining at least 3 points on the inlet data distribution) following the INLETX boundary data file specification line. Failure to properly specify the data in these additional lines is a common INLETX specification error.

Description

The INLETX statement specifies that a non-reflecting turbomachinery-based radially varying inflow boundary condition is to be applied to the mesh surface specified by LFACE1 on the block specified by LBLOCK1. The INLETX boundary condition was specifically designed as a non-reflecting inflow boundary procedure for steady state analysis of axial and mixed flow turbomachinery geometries (radial flow turbomachinery inflow boundaries may be specified by the INLETR boundary condition). As such, the INLETX boundary procedure is only valid on mesh systems employing the cylindrical solution algorithm (see the description of the input variable FCART). The INLETX procedure utilizes a characteristic-based flow decomposition to compute inflow velocities based on a specified radial variation in flow properties (upstream reservoir total pressure, total temperature, radial flow angle, and circumferential flow angle). Due to the non-reflective nature of the boundary procedure (described in more detail in the final report [21]), the circumferential average of the numerical solution at each radial station matches the specifications imposed by the INLETX specification (rather than a point by point matching). This feature permits circumferential variation of flow properties across the boundaries, where other boundary procedures do not. This boundary condition requires the specification of additional data, as shown in the boundary data format descriptor above. The first additional line following the INLETX specification is assumed to be a label and may contain any information; however, for consistency it is recommended that the label NDATA be used. The line following the NDATA label contains the number of radial data points which will be used to specify the desired radial variation of properties at the inflow boundary. At least 3 radial data locations must be specified to use the INLETX boundary condition. The third line following the INLETX specifier is again a label which outlines the variables RAD, PTOT, TTOT, BETAR and BETAT. The remaining NDATA lines contain the numeric information which defines the radial variation of the flow properties specified by these variables. The variable RAD is the radius (remember, the centerline is the x axis) at which the data is specified. This value should be nondimensionalized in the same manner as the mesh is nondimensionalized. This implies that the RAD variable, when multiplied by the input variable DIAM will result in the true geometric measurement in feet. Due to the interpolation procedures which will ultimately be performed on the NDATA lines of radial inflow
data, it is essential that the radial variations be specified from the inner to the outer radius in a monotonic (constantly increasing) fashion. The variables $PTOT$ and $TTOT$ represent the local upstream reservoir total pressure and total temperature, respectively, used in the INLETX characteristic solution sequence. The value of the $PTOT$ variable is the desired normalized upstream total pressure computed as:

$$PTOT = \frac{P_{\text{total,desired}}}{P_{\text{ref}}}$$

and the value of the $TTOT$ variable is the desired normalized upstream total temperature computed as:

$$TTOT = \frac{T_{\text{total,desired}}}{T_{\text{ref}}}$$

The variables $P_{\text{ref}}$ and $T_{\text{ref}}$ are specified by the input variables $\text{PREF}$ and $\text{TREF}$. Values of $PTOT$ and $TTOT < 0.0$ are not permitted. The variables $\text{BETAR}$ and $\text{BETAT}$ represent the local radial and circumferential flow angles expressed in degrees according to the coordinate orientation defined in Figure 3.9. Naturally, poor convergence or solution divergence can occur if any of the values of $PTOT$, $TTOT$, $\text{BETAR}$, or $\text{BETAT}$ suggest boundary values which are significantly different from the remainder of the flowfield, or if the radial variation of these values is excessively large. In such cases, it is recommended that the solution be started with more conservative boundary values, and then restarted using the final boundary values.

Restrictions/Limitations

The INLETX boundary condition assumes that the mesh is oriented in such a fashion that the radial coordinate is defined as $r = \sqrt{y^2 + z^2}$. For axial flow turbomachinery, this implies that the axis of rotation (or the centerline) coincides with the $x$ axis. It is also required that the radial-like direction of the mesh be defined by the $j$ coordinate. This implies that INLETX can only be applied to either constant $i$ or constant $k$ index mesh surfaces. INLETX is only valid for steady state solutions (the INLETX boundary procedure is available for time-dependent non-reflecting inflow boundaries).

Common Errors

- Application of INLETX to a Cartesian mesh solution.
- Failure to specify the additional data values NDATA, PTOT, TTOT, BETAR, or BETAT.
- Radial-like direction of the mesh is not the $j$ coordinate.
- Application of INLETX to a constant $j$ mesh surface.
- NDATA less than 3, resulting in job termination.
- BETAR and/or BETAT orientation incorrectly interpreted.
• **RAD, PTOT** and/or **TTOT** improperly normalized.
• Mesh/geometry not defined with the $x$ axis as the centerline.
• Application of **INLETX** for a time-dependent solution.
KIL2D

2-D Solution Kill Routine

Application

The KIL2D keyword is a tool to effectively neutralize or “kill” the time-marching solution over a segment of the computational domain for a two-dimensional mesh. The example graphic above illustrates a single block 2-D mesh system used to predict the flow through a converging/diverging nozzle system with a square-edged obstruction. Rather than construct a multiple block mesh system to treat this case (whereby the obstruction is essentially gridded as block boundaries), the KIL2D specification is used to neutralize the advancing solution within the obstruction, and boundary conditions are applied along the surface of the obstruction to predict this flow.

Boundary Data File Format

The boundary data file specification for the mesh interface indicated in the illustrative graphic for the KIL2D boundary condition is given below:

```
KIL2D  1  1  1  1  M  M  L  L  40  60  21  31  1  2  21  31  1  2
LSTART  LEND
40  60
```

Note that a complete KIL2D specification requires two additional lines following the KIL2D boundary data file specification line. Failure to properly specify the data in these additional lines is a common KIL2D specification error.
Description

In cases where a portion of a 2-D mesh does not represent a valid flow region, the KIL2D specification can be used, in conjunction with boundary conditions specified about the region to be "killed," to effectively remove a portion of a given mesh block from the computational domain. An example of this technique is illustrated in the illustrative graphic above. The figure depicts a single block mesh for the flow through a simple nozzle. Suppose that for whatever reason, the user wished to remove an internal rectangular portion of the mesh (as if there were an obstruction placed in the flowpath). This could be accomplished by subdividing the original mesh into several smaller pieces, and applying the appropriate boundary conditions along the outer boundaries of each block. This same configuration could also be modeled using the original mesh by invoking the KIL2D specification for the points inside the obstruction, followed by an application of the proper boundary specifications along the obstruction internally on the single-block mesh. This boundary condition (although really, this is more than a boundary condition) requires the specification of additional data, as shown in the format descriptor above. The variable following the label LSTART indicates the starting index of the LFACE1 coordinate direction (in the example above, this would be the I coordinate direction) for the region to be "killed". The variable following the label LEND indicates the final index in the LFACE1 coordinate direction (again, the I coordinate in the example above) for the region to be "killed". The remaining coordinate indices for the region to be "killed" are determined by the variables M1LIM1, M1LIM2 for the J coordinate direction and N1LIM1, and N1LIM2 for the K coordinate direction. The additional specification of the LSTART, LEND variables imply that the variables L1LIM, L2LIM are not used in this specification. The KIL2D routine functions by constantly resetting the flow variables inside the region to be killed to the initial values specified by the RMACH input variable. So, in effect, the solution is still being performed in the region to be killed, but the updated results are constantly reset to a uniform flow value. This routine is not without drawbacks. First of all, although the mesh points are effectively neutralized by the KIL2D specification, other routines such as the residual smoothing algorithm are unaltered, and under certain circumstances, this may cause poor convergence. It is also possible that divergence may occur within the "killed" cells in spite of the resetting procedure. The best advice is to manipulate block structures to eliminate the need for the use of the KIL2D routine, but the user should be aware that under dire circumstances this facility is available. The KILL specification should be given prior to any other boundary conditions to avoid "wiping out" previously specified boundary specifications.

Restrictions/Limitations

The KIL2D boundary specification is restricted to 2-D mesh surfaces (3-D mesh surfaces should use the KILL boundary specification).

Common Errors

- Application of KIL2D to a 3-D mesh system.
- Poor convergence due to residual smoothing across a “killed” region (The residual smoothing operator can be turned off through the RESID input variable, although the time step must be restricted (see variable CFL) to maintain numerical stability).

- Failure to specify the additional data values LSTART, LEND.
KILL

Solution Kill Routine

Application

The **KILL** keyword is a tool to effectively neutralize or “kill” the time-marching solution over a segment of the computational domain for a three-dimensional mesh. The example graphic above illustrates a single block 3-D O-type mesh system used to predict the flow through a turbomachinery compressor rotor blade passage with a surface-mounted square-edged obstruction. Rather than construct a multiple block mesh system to treat this case (whereby the obstruction is essentially gridded as block boundaries), the **KILL** specification is used to neutralize the advancing solution within the obstruction, and boundary conditions are applied along the surface of the obstruction to predict this flow.

Boundary Data File Format
The boundary data file specification for the mesh system indicated in the illustrative graphic for the KILL boundary condition is given below:

```
KILL 1 1 I I P P L L 49 49 1 19 1 5 1 19 1 5
LSTART  LEND
49      51
KILL 1 1 I I P P L L 49 49 19 21 1 5 19 21 1 5
LSTART  LEND
49      52
```

Note that a complete KILL specification requires two additional lines following the KILL boundary data file specification line. Failure to properly specify the data in these additional lines is a common KILL specification error.

**Description**

In cases where a portion of a 3-D mesh does not represent a valid flow region, the KILL specification can be used, in conjunction with boundary conditions specified about the region to be “killed”, to effectively remove a portion of a given mesh block from the computational domain. An example of this technique is illustrated in the illustrative graphic above. The figure depicts a single mesh block for the flow through a high speed rotor passage upon which surface instrumentation is mounted. The blockage associated with the surface instrumentation is incorporated into the solution through the application of the appropriate boundary conditions on the surface of the instrumentation, and by applying the KILL procedure to negate the flow variables of the cells within the instrumentation itself. It should be noted that this effect could be accomplished by subdividing the original mesh into several smaller pieces, and applying the appropriate boundary conditions along the outer boundaries of each block. This boundary condition (although really, this is more than a boundary condition) requires the specification of additional data, as shown in the format descriptor above. The variable following the label LSTART indicates the starting index in the LFACE1 coordinate direction (in the example above, this would be the I coordinate direction) for the region to be “killed”. The variable following the label LEND indicates the final index in the LFACE1 coordinate direction (again, the I coordinate in the example above) for the region to be “killed”. The remaining coordinate indices for the region to be “killed” are determined by the variables M1LIM1, M1LIM2 for the J coordinate direction and N1LIM1, and N1LIM2 for the K coordinate direction. The additional specification of the LSTART, LEND variables imply that the variables L1LIM, L2LIM are not used in this specification. The KILL routine functions by constantly resetting the flow variables inside the region to be killed to the initial values specified by the RMACH input variable. So, in effect, the solution is still being performed in the region to be killed, but the updated results are constantly reset to a uniform flow value. This routine is not without drawbacks. First of all, although the mesh points are effectively neutralized by the KILL specification, other routines such as the residual smoothing algorithm are unaltered, and under certain circumstances, this may cause poor convergence. It is also possible that divergence may occur within the “killed” cells in spite of the resetting procedure. The best advice is to manipulate block structures to eliminate the need for the use of the KILL routine, but the
user should be aware that under dire circumstances this facility is available. The KILL specification should be given prior to any other boundary conditions to avoid “wiping out” previously specified boundary specifications.

Restrictions/Limitations

The KILL boundary specification is not restricted to 3-D mesh surfaces (although for consistency, 2-D mesh surfaces may use the KIL2D boundary specification).

Common Errors

- Application of KILL to a 2-D mesh system.
- Poor convergence due to residual smoothing across a “killed” region (The residual smoothing operator can be turned off through the RESID input variable, although the time step must be restricted (see variable CFL) to maintain numerical stability).
- Failure to specify the additional data values LSTART, LEND.
LAMSS

Porous Solid Surface Viscous No-Slip Boundary Condition

Application

The LAMSS specification is used to impose a porous injection, no-slip boundary condition for solid surfaces used in a viscous flow solution. The graphic above illustrates a 3-D body-centered O-type mesh system for a turbine vane cascade. The LAMSS specification is used to simulate the effects of a fine array of discrete cooling holes (porous injection) which are too small to be individually gridded. The LAMSS provides a "smeared out" normal injection which essentially simulates the global effects of the individual cooling sites.

Boundary Data File Format

The boundary data file specifications for the hub and blade surfaces in the application described above and indicated in the illustrative graphic for the LAMSS boundary condition are given below:

```
LAMSS  1  1  K  K  P  P  I  K  1  1  1  151  1  11  1  151  1  11
PT   TT  RPMLOC  TWALL  ARATIO
1.1  0.70  0.0    0.00  0.10
```

Note that a complete LAMSS specification requires two additional lines following the
LAMSS boundary data file specification line. Failure to properly specify the data in these additional lines is a common LAMSS specification error.

Description

The LAMSS statement specifies that a solid surface viscous (no-slip) boundary condition is to be applied to the mesh surface specified by LFAC©1 on the block specified by LBLOCK1. The LAMSS boundary condition may be applied to either a rotating or non-rotating surface and may indicate a rotational speed which is different than the rotational speed of the mesh (RPM) to which the boundary condition is applied (the most common example of this type of application is a mesh embedded in a rotating blade passage with an endwall which is non-rotating). This boundary condition requires the specification of additional data, as shown in the boundary data format descriptor above. The first additional line following the LAMSS specification is assumed to be a label and may contain any information; however, for consistency it is recommended that the labels PTOT, TTOT, RPMLOC, TWALL, and ARATIO be used. The next line contains the values imposed for the variables PTOT, TTOT, RPMLOC, TWALL, and ARATIO. The value of the PTOT and TTOT variables represent the total pressure and total temperature of the injected flow. These variables are defined as:

\[(P_{\text{total}})_{\text{non-dimensional}} = \frac{P_{\text{total}}}{P_{\text{ref}}}\]

\[(T_{\text{total}})_{\text{non-dimensional}} = \frac{T_{\text{total}}}{T_{\text{ref}}}\]

The value of the RPMWALL variable is the desired solid wall dimensional rotational speed in revolutions per minute. This value is sign dependent and follows the orientation for rotation as described in Figure 3.10. The variable TWALL determines which type of temperature condition is applied to the surface. If TWALL=0.0, an adiabatic wall is assumed. For TWALL>0.0, a constant temperature surface with a nondimensional wall temperature of TWALL defined as:

\[(T_{\text{wall}})_{\text{non-dimensional}} = \frac{T_{\text{wall}}}{T_{\text{ref}}}\]

is imposed. (Here \(T_{\text{ref}}\) is the reference temperature imposed by the input file variable TREF. A value of TWALL<0.0 is not permitted. Naturally, poor convergence or solution divergence can occur if RPMWALL or TWALL suggest boundary values which are significantly different from the remainder of the flowfield. In such cases where this occurs, it is recommended that the solution be started with more conservative boundary values, and then restarted using the final boundary values. Finally, the value of the variable ARATIO represents the geometric “porosity” of the surface in the form of the ratio of open (injection) surface area to total surface area for the boundary segment being defined. In other words, if the porous surface has an injection area of .01 square inch per square inch of total surface, then ARATIO would be 0.01. ARATIO values less than zero or greater than 1.0 are not permitted.
Restrictions/Limitations

The boundary rotational speed imposed by the LAMSS boundary condition can only be nonzero when using the cylindrical coordinate solution algorithm in the ADPAC'97 code. When using the Cartesian coordinate solution algorithm FCART and/or FCARB= 1.0, the boundary rotational speed must be zero (RPMWALL = 0.0 when FCART or FCARB = 1.0). Refer to the Chapter on input file parameters for a description of TREF, RPM, FCARB, and FCART. The injection process modeled by LAMSS is always normal to the local surface topography. Arbitrary injection angle specification is not currently possible.

Common Errors

- Incorrect sign for value of boundary rotational speed RPMWALL.
- Attempt to utilize a nonzero boundary rotational speed with the Cartesian coordinate solution algorithm.
- ARATIO value less than 0.0 or greater than 1.0.
- PTOT value significantly different than freestream.
- TTOT value significantly different than freestream.
- TWALL value significantly different than freestream.
MBCAVG

Multiple Block Circumferential Averaging Routine for Multiple Blade Row Turbomachines

Mesh Block #1
(81x6x7)

Mesh Block #2
(81x6x7)

Mixing Plane Interface Between Adjacent Blade Rows of Multistage Turbomachinery Utilize the MBCAVG Specification

Application

The MBCAVG specification is used in applications involving neighboring relatively rotating blade rows which may consist of one or more mesh blocks. The MBCAVG specification permits time-averaged interconnection between these adjacent blade row local mesh systems based on the “mixing plane” approximation discussed in Chapter 2.0. The sample graphic illustrates the application of the MBCAVG boundary condition for the case of a single stage turbine, whereby a single mesh block is used to represent a single blade passage for each blade row in the turbine stage, and the MBCAVG boundary routine is used to perform the mixing plane (circumferential/time-averaged) coupling of the relatively rotating blade rows.
Boundary Data File Format:

The boundary data file specifications for the mesh interfaces indicated in the illustrative graphic for the MBCAVG boundary condition are given below. Note that block 1 requires multiple specifications due to the location of the O-grid cut line.

MBCAVG 1 2 K K M M I J 7 7 1 6 1 6 36 46 1 6
NSEGS 1
LBLOCK2B LFACE2B LDIR2B L2LIMB M2LIM1B M2LIM2B N2LIM1B N2LIM2B
2 K M 7 36 46 1 6
MBCAVG 1 2 K K M M I J 7 7 76 81 1 6 36 46 1 6
NSEGS 1
LBLOCK2B LFACE2B LDIR2B L2LIMB M2LIM1B M2LIM2B N2LIM1B N2LIM2B
2 K M 7 36 46 1 6
MBCAVG 2 1 K K M M I J 7 7 36 46 1 6 1 6 1 6
NSEGS 2
LBLOCK2B LFACE2B LDIR2B L2LIMB M2LIM1B M2LIM2B N2LIM1B N2LIM2B
1 K M 7 1 6 1 6
1 K M 7 76 81 1 6

Note that a complete MBCAVG specification generally requires at least two MBCAVG statement lines in the boundary data file for each mesh interface. In the example above, the first two specifications provide the interblock communication for block 1 from block 2, and the third specification provides the communication for block 2 from block 1. It is a common error to underspecify an MBCAVG boundary by only providing a single line per interface.

Description:

The MBCAVG specification provides a “circumferential mixing plane” mesh block communication scheme for steady state (time-averaged) analysis of multiple blade row turbomachines. The MBCAVG operator permits the specification of multiple neighboring blocks upon which the circumferential averaging is applied to provide boundary data for the current block of interest. This multiple block averaging scheme permits the use of MBCAVG for body-centered mesh systems (see the illustrative graphic above) and also for multiple blade passage representations of neighboring blade rows. Due to the complex nature of the circumferential averaging operator, this boundary condition is restricted to specific mesh configurations. The following chart describes the permitted mesh configurations for the MBCAVG specification:

**MBCAVG Boundary Specification Mesh Coordinate Restrictions**

LFACE1 LFACE2 Circumferential Grids Must be
A second mesh restriction is that the interface separating two adjacent blade rows must be a surface of revolution, and that meshes along this interface have common axial and radial grid distributions. This restriction simplifies the averaging scheme provided by the `MBCAVG` specification.

The `MBCAVG` boundary condition requires the specification of additional data, as shown in the format descriptor above. The variable following the label `NSEGS` defines the number of neighboring mesh block surfaces from which the circumferentially averaged data is obtained. In the illustrative graphic above, this value is simply 1 for the upstream inter-blade row boundaries, but is 2 for the downstream inter-blade row boundary because of the fact that the matching boundary of the upstream blade row is composed of two distinct mesh segments even though it is taken from a single mesh block. The next line following the `NSEGS` variable is a label indicating the variables which must be input for each of the `NSEGS` segments in the mixing plane. The variables `LBLOCK2B`, `LFACE2B`, `LDIR2B`, `L2LIMB`, `M2LIM1B`, `M2LIM2B`, `N2LIM1B`, and `N2LIM2B` represent the values of `LBLOCK2`, `LFACE2`, `LDIR2`, `L2LIM`, `M2LIM1`, `M2LIM2`, `N2LIM1`, and `N2LIM2` (see the beginning of this section for an explanation of these variables) for each of the individual `NSEGS` segments used in the mixing plane construction. The segments may be specified in any order.

Restrictions/Limitations

The `MBCAVG` boundary specification is restricted to mesh interfaces which lie on a common surface (no significant overlap), and have common axial and radial mesh coordinates. The mesh must obey the coordinate restrictions outlined in the description above.

Common Errors

- Failure to provide 2 or more `MBCAVG` statements for each inter-blade row interface.
- Incorrectly specified or misaligned extents of boundary regions (values of `M1LIM1`, `M1LIM2`, `N1LIM1`, `N1LIM2`, `M2LIM1B`, `M2LIM2B`, `N2LIM1B`, `N2LIM2B` do not correctly define the interface extents on blocks `LBLOCK1` and `LBLOCK2B`)
- Meshes do not obey the mesh coordinate restrictions listed in the description above.
- Meshes have dissimilar axial and radial coordinates at the interface.
- Application of `MBCAVG` to mesh interfaces which do not share a common surface, or which have excess overlap.
- Application of MBCAVG to Cartesian solution mesh systems.
PATCH

Contiguous Mesh Block Interface Patching Scheme

Application

The PATCH specification is used in any application involving neighboring mesh blocks with a contiguous (common mesh points) interface. The graphic above illustrates a PATCH connection between mesh blocks in a combination O-H mesh system for a turbine vane cascade. The PATCH boundary specification is used to provide block-to-block communication between mesh blocks #1 and #2, and mesh blocks #1 and #3, as well as providing periodic flow boundary conditions for blocks #1, #2, and #3. In addition, the PATCH routine is used to provide aerodynamic communication across the O-mesh slit for mesh block #1. The PATCH boundary condition is perhaps the most common specification resulting from the use of the multiple blocked mesh capabilities of the ADPAC07 code.

Boundary Data File Format

The boundary data file specifications for the mesh interface indicated in the illustrative graphic for the PATCH boundary condition are given below:

PATCH 1 1 K K M M I J 11 11 6 71 1 17 146 81 1 17 Blk #1 Per
PATCH 1 1 K K M M I J 11 11 81 146 1 17 71 6 1 17 Blk #1 Per
PATCH 2 2 K K P M I J 1 11 1 17 1 17 1 17 1 17 Blk #2 Per
### Description

The **PATCH** statement is utilized to provide direct block to block communication between mesh blocks with contiguous grid points. This is perhaps the most common, and most useful of the boundary condition specifications, and therefore, a lengthy discussion is given to complete this description. For many complicated geometries requiring a multiple block mesh system, a common approach is to generate mesh systems with coincident mesh points along all, or at least part of the mesh block interfaces. This property is henceforth referred to as a contiguous mesh block interface (coincident mesh points). By default, the boundary condition specification must have a one to one correspondence between mesh points in block LBLOCK1 and mesh points in block LBLOCK2. This type of boundary is particularly effective in the finite-volume flow solver due to the fact that local and global conservation of the flow variables can be accomplished without special treatment, by direct substitution of the neighboring block flow variables into the phantom cells of the block of interest. The **PATCH** boundary condition performs this direct substitution between blocks to provide an aerodynamic communication between neighboring blocks with a contiguous interface.

A **PATCH** specification can also be imposed connecting a block to itself. In fact, this is the manner by which spatial periodicity is enforced in many cases, including the Standard Configurations described in Chapter 5. The **PATCH** boundary condition requires no additional data beyond the initial specification line, but does require the proper specification of the variables LSPEC1 and LSPEC2. For boundary conditions involving more than one mesh block (such as **PATCH**), it is possible that the connection between blocks may involve communication between different grid surfaces (for example, an $i=$constant mesh face in LBLOCK1 connects to a $j=$constant mesh face in LBLOCK2) and that the remaining indices in block LBLOCK2 correspond to different coordinates in block LBLOCK1. The specification of the variables LSPEC1, LSPEC2 serve to eliminate any confusion between contiguous boundary patches involving dissimilar mesh coordinates. In every case, when a particular coordinate direction is specified by the variable LFACE1, the remaining coordinate indices defining the extent of the patch on LFACE1 are specified in their

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PATCH</th>
<th>2 2 K K M P I J</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>17</th>
<th>1 17</th>
<th>1 17</th>
<th>1 17</th>
<th>Blk #2 Per</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PATCH</td>
<td>3 3 K K P M I J</td>
<td>1 11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1 17</td>
<td>1 17</td>
<td>1 17</td>
<td>Blk #3 Per</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PATCH</td>
<td>3 3 K K M P I J</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1 17</td>
<td>1 17</td>
<td>1 17</td>
<td>Blk #3 Per</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PATCH</td>
<td>1 1 I I M P J K</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1 17</td>
<td>1 11</td>
<td>1 17</td>
<td>Blk #1 0-Grid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PATCH</td>
<td>1 1 I I M P J K</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1 17</td>
<td>1 11</td>
<td>1 17</td>
<td>Blk #1 0-Grid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PATCH</td>
<td>1 2 K I M M J I</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>1 17</td>
<td>1 17</td>
<td>Blks #1-#2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PATCH</td>
<td>2 1 I I M M K J</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1 11</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PATCH</td>
<td>3 3 K I M P J I</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1 17</td>
<td>1 6</td>
<td>1 17</td>
<td>Blks #1-#3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PATCH</td>
<td>3 3 K I M P J I</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1 17</td>
<td>1 6</td>
<td>1 17</td>
<td>Blks #1-#3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PATCH</td>
<td>3 1 I K P M K J</td>
<td>1 11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>146</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
"natural" \((i, j, k)\) order. For example, if \texttt{LFACE1} is an \(i=\)constant mesh surface, then the variables \texttt{M1LIM1}, \texttt{M1LIM2} control the indices in the \(j\) coordinate direction and the variables \texttt{N1LIM1}, \texttt{N1LIM2} control the indices in the \(k\) coordinate direction. Similarly, if \texttt{LFACE2} is a \(k=\)constant mesh surface, then the variables \texttt{M2LIM1}, \texttt{M2LIM2} control the indices in the \(i\) coordinate direction and the variables \texttt{N2LIM1}, \texttt{N2LIM2} control the indices in the \(j\) coordinate direction, and so on. Now, in order to relate the coordinate indices in block \texttt{LBLOCK2} with the indices specified in block \texttt{LBLOCK1}, the special terms \texttt{LSPEC1} and \texttt{LSPEC2} are utilized. The variables \texttt{LSPEC1} and \texttt{LSPEC2} should be defined as either \(I, J,\) or \(K,\) based on the connection scheme between the two blocks. The \texttt{LSPEC1} variable should define the coordinate direction in block \texttt{LBLOCK1} which corresponds to the first remaining coordinate in block \texttt{LBLOCK2} (whose range is defined by \texttt{M2LIM1}, \texttt{M2LIM2}), and the \texttt{LSPEC2} variable should define the coordinate direction in block \texttt{LBLOCK1} which corresponds to the second remaining coordinate in block \texttt{LBLOCK2} (whose range is defined by \texttt{N2LIM1}, \texttt{N2LIM2}). The \texttt{PATCH} specification may also be used for two-dimensional meshes as long as the third coordinate direction \((k)\) limits \texttt{N1LIM1}, \texttt{N1LIM2}, and \texttt{N2LIM1}, \texttt{N2LIM2} are \("1"\) and \("2"\), respectively (2-D patches are specified as if the mesh were actually 2 cells deep in the \(k\) direction).

Restrictions/Limitations

The \texttt{PATCH} boundary specification is restricted to mesh interfaces which have a one to one mesh point correspondence. To maintain the conservative property of the governing equations, the \texttt{PATCH} routine assumes that the mesh points between the 2 blocks of interest are either contiguous, or share a spatially periodic relationship, and it is left to the user to verify that this is so.

Common Errors

- Failure to provide 2 \texttt{PATCH} statements for each interface.
- Incorrectly specified or misaligned extents of boundary regions (values of \texttt{M1LIM1}, \texttt{M1LIM2}, \texttt{N1LIM1}, \texttt{N1LIM2}, \texttt{M2LIM1}, \texttt{M2LIM2}, \texttt{N2LIM1}, \texttt{N2LIM2} do not correctly define the interface extents on blocks \texttt{LBLOCK1} and \texttt{LBLOCK2}).
- Incorrectly specified block coordinate direction relationships (values of \texttt{LSPEC1}, \texttt{LSPEC2} do not correctly define the coordinate connection scheme between block \texttt{LBLOCK1} and block \texttt{LBLOCK2}).
- \texttt{PATCH} boundary specification for a periodic boundary is applied to a nonperiodic mesh.
- \texttt{PATCH} boundary specification applied to a spatially periodic Cartesian geometry using the cylindrical coordinate solution scheme or vice versa (results in incorrect spatial periodicity relationships) The \texttt{PATCH} boundary specifications for Cartesian geometries must use the Cartesian solution algorithm in \textit{ADPAC07} (see input variable \texttt{FCART}).
PINT

Non-Contiguous Mesh Block Interface Patching Scheme

Application

The PINT specification is used in any application involving neighboring mesh blocks which share a common mating surface (either contiguous or non-contiguous). The example graphic above illustrates a two-dimensional plane of a two block 3-D mesh system used to predict the flow through a converging/diverging nozzle. The mesh points at the interface between the two grids (near the nozzle throat) are non-contiguous, and therefore, the PINT specification is used to provide communication between the adjacent mesh blocks.

Boundary Data File Format

The boundary data file specifications for the mesh interface indicated in the illustrative graphic for the PINT boundary condition are given below:

```
PINT  1  2  1  1  M  P  L  L  51  1  1  11  1  45  1  11  1  51
PINT  2  1  1  1  P  M  L  L  1  51  1  11  1  51  1  11  1  45
```

Note that a complete PINT specification generally requires two PINT statement lines in the boundary data file. In the example above, the first specification provides the interblock communication for block 1 from block 2, and the second specification provides the communication for block 2 from block 1. It is a common error to underspecify a PINT boundary
by only providing a single line per interface.

Description

The PINT boundary statement provides a means for block to block communication for cases involving neighboring meshes which share a common surface, but not necessarily common grid points along a block boundary (meshes with contiguous mesh points should use the PATCH boundary specification, meshes with contiguous points in one coordinate direction should use the BCINT1 boundary specification). The PINT specification instructs the ADPA07 code to perform a weighted interpolation to determine the appropriate flow variables for the phantom cells, based on the non-contiguous data structure of the neighboring mesh. An example of this type of boundary is given in the illustrative graphic. The bounding surfaces of each block should lie on a common surface (no significant overlap). The interpolation scheme used in the PINT specification is not conservative, and therefore the solution accuracy can be degraded by this procedure. During code execution, the first time the PINT specification is encountered, the code initiates a search to determine the interpolation stencil for the given array of points in block LBLOCK1 based on the data in block LBLOCK2. This stencil is then saved to eliminate the search routine at every application of PINT. In order to provide storage for the interpolation stencil information, a separate array system based on the dimensioning parameter NRAINT (see Section 3.3) is utilized. The PINT boundary condition requires no additional data beyond the initial specification line, but does require some extra care when used. The primary precaution is that the PINT procedure is based entirely on a simplified interpolation scheme, and hence, does not maintain either global or local conservation of flow variables across the mesh interface.

Restrictions/Limitations

The PINT boundary specification is restricted to mesh interfaces which lie on a common surface (no significant overlap). The PINT procedure is only applicable to 3-D mesh systems. PINT can not be used across multiple processors in a parallel computing environment.

Common Errors

- Failure to provide 2 PINT statements for each interface.
- Incorrectly specified or misaligned extents of boundary regions (values of M1LIM1, M1LIM2, N1LIM1, N1LIM2, M2LIM1, M2LIM2, N2LIM1, N2LIM2 do not correctly define the interface extents on blocks LBLOCK1 and LBLOCK2).
- Attempt to use PINT for a periodic boundary (no special spatial periodicity arrangement is available in PINT).
- Attempt to use PINT on a 2-D mesh block.
- Failure to provide enough storage for the PINT interpolation stencils via the NRAINT parameter.

- Application of PINT to mesh interfaces which do not share a common surface, or which have excess overlap.

- Attempt to use PINT across multiple processors in a parallel computing environment.
SS2DIN

2-D Solid Surface Inviscid No Through-Flow Boundary Condition

Application

The SS2DIN specification is used to impose a no through-flow inviscid solid surface condition for any solid surface in a 2-D solution. The illustrative graphic above depicts a 2-D C-type Cartesian mesh system for a planar turbine airfoil cascade. The SS2DIN descriptor is applied to denote the airfoil no through-flow surface boundary condition. Applications for 2-D turbomachinery calculations are typically the endwall (axisymmetric flow) or airfoil (Cartesian flow) surfaces.

Boundary Data File Format

The boundary data file specification for the mesh boundary indicated in the illustrative graphic for the SS2DIN boundary condition is given below:

```
SS2DIN  1  1  J  J  P  P  I  K  1  1  65  161  1  2  65  161  1  2
```

No additional data beyond the boundary data file descriptor is required.
Description

The SS2DIN statement specifies that a solid surface inviscid (no through-flow) boundary condition is to be applied to the mesh surface specified by LFACE1 on the block specified by LBLOCK1. The SS2DIN procedure is the 2-D equivalent of SSIN. The SS2DIN boundary condition may be applied to either rotating or non-rotating surfaces. The rotational speed of the boundary is irrelevant for an inviscid surface on a properly defined mesh (either the boundary rotates with the mesh, or, in the case where the rotational speeds of the mesh and boundary differ, there is no difference in the application of an inviscid surface boundary condition). SS2DIN may be applied for either cylindrical or Cartesian solution meshes (see the description of the input variable FCART). The SS2DIN algorithm imposes no flow normal to the local mesh surface, but permits tangential velocity components at the boundary. The current SS2DIN algorithm is based on a loose specification of the local static pressure ($\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial n} = 0$) and is known to introduce some nonphysical loss. However, it has been the authors experience that this formulation provides the best mix of accuracy and reliability for most applications. It should be noted that the SS2DIN boundary condition is also very useful as a method of imposing a symmetry plane in a solution for geometries which possess spatial symmetry. Naturally, the mesh must be generated in a manner which represents this spatial symmetry as well.

Restrictions/Limitations

The SS2DIN boundary specification is restricted to 2-D mesh surfaces (3-D mesh surfaces should use the SSIN boundary specification).

Common Errors

- Application of SS2DIN to a 3-D mesh system.
- Application of SS2DIN as a symmetry plane condition for a mesh which does not represent a spatially symmetric geometry.
SS2DVI

2-D Solid Surface Viscous No-Slip Boundary Condition

Application

The SS2DVI specification is used to impose a no-slip boundary condition for any solid surface used in a 2-D viscous flow solution. The example graphic above illustrates a 2-D C-type mesh system used to predict the flow through a planar 2-D turbine vane cascade. Applications for 2-D turbomachinery calculations are typically the endwall surfaces (both rotating and non-rotating surfaces) for an axisymmetric 2-D solution or non-rotating solid surfaces in Cartesian 2-D solutions.

Boundary Data File Format:

The boundary data file specifications for the mesh interface indicated in the illustrative graphic for the SS2DVI boundary condition are given below:

```
SS2DVI  1  1  J  J  P  P  I  K  1  1  65  161  1  2  65  161  1  2
RPMWALL  TWALL
```
Note that a complete SS2DVI specification requires two additional lines following the SS2DVI boundary data file specification line. Failure to properly specify the data in these additional lines is a common SS2DVI specification error.

Description

The SS2DVI statement specifies that a solid surface viscous (no-slip) boundary condition is to be applied to the mesh surface specified by LFACE1 on the block specified by LBLOCK1. The SS2DVI procedure is the 2-D equivalent of SSVI. The SS2DVI boundary condition may be applied to either a rotating or non-rotating surfaces and may indicate a rotational speed which is different than the rotational speed of the mesh (RPM) to which the boundary condition is applied. This boundary condition requires the specification of additional data, as shown in the boundary data format descriptor above. The first additional line following the SS2DVI specification is assumed to be a label and may contain any information; however, for consistency it is recommended that the labels RPMWALL and TWALL be used. The next line contains the values imposed for the variables RPMWALL and TWALL. The value of the RPMWALL variable is the desired solid wall dimensional rotational speed in revolutions per minute. This value is sign dependent and follows the orientation for rotation as described in Figure 3.10. The variable TWALL determines which type of temperature condition is applied to the surface. If TWALL=0.0, an adiabatic wall is assumed. For TWALL>0.0, a constant temperature surface with a nondimensional wall temperature of TWALL defined as:

\[(T_{wall})_{non-dimensional} = \frac{T_{wall}}{T_{ref}}\]

is imposed. (Here \(T_{ref}\) is the reference temperature imposed by the input file variable TREF.) A value of TWALL<0.0 is not permitted. Naturally, poor convergence or solution divergence can occur if RPMWALL or TWALL suggest boundary values which are significantly different from the remainder of the flowfield. In such cases where this occurs, it is recommended that the solution be started with more conservative boundary values, and then restarted using the final boundary values.

Restrictions/Limitations

The SS2DVI boundary specification is restricted to 2-D mesh surfaces (3-D mesh surfaces should use the SSVI boundary specification). The boundary rotational speed imposed by the SS2DVI boundary condition can only be non-zero when using the cylindrical coordinate solution algorithm in the ADPAC'07 code, and may only be applied to axisymmetric 2-D meshes. When using the Cartesian coordinate solution algorithm (FCART=1.0), the boundary rotational speed must be zero (RPMWALL= 0.0 when FCART= 1.0). Refer to the chapter on input file parameters for a description of TREF, RPM, and FCART.

Common Errors
ADPAC Rotational Speed Orientation

ADPAC rotation is always about the X axis

Figure 3.10: ADPAC'07 rotational speed orientation illustration
- Incorrect sign for value of boundary rotational speed \textbf{RPMWALL}.
- Attempt to utilize a non-zero boundary rotational speed with the Cartesian coordinate solution algorithm.
- Application of \textbf{SS2DVI} to a 3-D mesh system.
SSIN

Solid Surface Inviscid No-Through-Flow Boundary Condition

Application

The SSIN specification is used to impose a no-through-flow inviscid solid surface condition for any solid surface in a solution. The graphic above illustrates a 3-D body-centered O-type mesh system for a turbine vane cascade. For turbomachinery calculations, the SSIN specification is normally used to define the blade and endwall surfaces (both rotating and non-rotating surfaces may be described).

Boundary Data File Format

The boundary data file specification for the mesh boundary indicated in the illustrative graphic for the SSIN boundary condition is given below:

```
SSIN 1 1 J J P P I K 1 1 1 151 1 11 1 151 1 11
SSIN 1 1 K K P P I K 1 1 1 151 1 17 1 151 1 17
```
No additional data beyond the boundary data file descriptor is required.

**Description**

The SSIN statement specifies that a solid surface inviscid (no through-flow) boundary condition is to be applied to the mesh surface specified by LFACE1 on the block specified by LBLOCK1. The SSIN boundary condition may be applied to either rotating or non-rotating surfaces. The rotational speed of the boundary is irrelevant for an inviscid surface on a properly defined mesh (either the boundary rotates with the mesh, or, in the case where the rotational speeds of the mesh and boundary differ, there is no difference in the application of an inviscid surface boundary condition). The SSIN algorithm imposes no flow normal to the local mesh surface, but permits tangential velocity components at the boundary. The current SSIN algorithm is based on a loose specification of the local static pressure ($\frac{\partial p}{\partial n} = 0$) and is known to introduce some nonphysical loss. However, it has been the authors experience that this formulation provides the best mix of accuracy and reliability for most applications. It should be noted that the SSIN boundary condition is also very useful as a method of imposing a symmetry plane in a solution for geometries which possess spatial symmetry. Naturally, the mesh must be generated in a manner which represents this spatial symmetry as well.

**Restrictions/Limitations**

The SSIN boundary specification is restricted to 3-D mesh surfaces (2-D mesh surfaces should use the SS2DIN boundary specification).

**Common Errors**

- Application of SSIN to a 2-D mesh system.
- Application of SSIN as a symmetry plane condition for a mesh which does not represent a spatially symmetric geometry.
SSVI

Solid Surface Viscous No-Slip Boundary Condition

Application

The SSVI specification is used to impose a no-slip boundary condition for solid surfaces used in a viscous flow solution. The graphic above illustrates a 3-D body-centered O-type mesh system for a turbine vane cascade. For turbomachinery calculations, the SSVI specification is normally used to define the blade and endwall surfaces (both rotating and non-rotating surfaces may be described).

Boundary Data File Format

The boundary data file specifications for the hub and blade surfaces in the application described above and indicated in the illustrative graphic for the SSVI boundary condition are given below:
SSVI 1 1 J J P P I K 1 1 151 1 11 1 151 1 11
RPMWALL TWALL
0.0 0.0
SSVI 1 1 K K P P I K 1 1 151 1 17 1 151 1 17
RPMWALL TWALL
0.0 0.0

Note that a complete SSVI specification requires two additional lines following the SSVI boundary data file specification line. Failure to properly specify the data in these additional lines is a common SSVI specification error.

Description

The SSVI statement specifies that a solid surface viscous (no-slip) boundary condition is to be applied to the mesh surface specified by LFACE1 on the block specified by LBLOCK1. The SSVI boundary condition may be applied to either a rotating or non-rotating surface and may indicate a rotational speed which is different than the rotational speed of the mesh (RPM) to which the boundary condition is applied (the most common example of this type of application is a mesh embedded in a rotating blade passage with an endwall which is non-rotating). This boundary condition requires the specification of additional data, as shown in the boundary data format descriptor above. The first additional line following the SSVI specification is assumed to be a label and may contain any information; however, for consistency it is recommended that the labels RPMWALL and TWALL be used. The next line contains the values imposed for the variables RPMWALL and TWALL. The value of the RPMWALL variable is the desired solid wall dimensional rotational speed in revolutions per minute. This value is sign dependent and follows the orientation for rotation as described in Figure 3.10. The variable TWALL determines which type of temperature condition is applied to the surface. If TWALL=0.0, an adiabatic wall is assumed. For TWALL>0.0, a constant temperature surface with a nondimensional wall temperature of TWALL defined as:

\[(T_{wall})_{non-dimensional} = \frac{T_{wall}}{T_{ref}}\]

is imposed. (Here \(T_{ref}\) is the reference temperature imposed by the input file variable TREF.) A value of TWALL<0.0 is not permitted. Naturally, poor convergence or solution divergence can occur if RPMWALL or TWALL suggest boundary values which are significantly different from the remainder of the flowfield. In such cases where this occurs, it is recommended that the solution be started with more conservative boundary values, and then restarted using the final boundary values.

Restrictions/Limitations

The SSVI boundary specification is restricted to 3-D mesh surfaces (2-D mesh surfaces should use the SS2DVI boundary specification). The boundary rotational speed imposed by the SSVI boundary condition can only be nonzero when using the cylindrical coordinate
solution algorithm in the ADPAC07 code. When using the Cartesian coordinate solution algorithm FCART and/or FCARB= 1.0, the boundary rotational speed must be zero (RPMWALL= 0.0 when FCART and/or FCARB= 1.0). Refer to the Chapter on input file parameters for a description of TREF, RPM, FCARB, and FCART.

Common Errors

- Incorrect sign for value of boundary rotational speed RPMWALL.
- Attempt to utilize a nonzero boundary rotational speed with the Cartesian coordinate solution algorithm.
- Application of SSVI to a 2-D mesh system.
SYSTEM

ADPAC07 UNIX System Call Specification

Application

The SYSTEM specification is not a boundary condition as such, but directs the ADPAC07 code to perform a UNIX system call at every application of the boundary condition loop. In the application illustrated above, every time the ADPAC07 code encounters the boundary condition specification SYSTEM the code is directed to perform a UNIX system call of the command `update bc`, which is presumably a user-specified code used to update certain boundary variables (see sample format below). This new data could then be imported using the BDATIN boundary specification. The SYSTEM function can quickly lead to trouble due to the number of times it is called within the time-marching strategy, and the user should thoroughly review the documentation below before attempting to use this facility.

Boundary Data File Format

The boundary data file specifications for the mesh interface indicated in the illustrative graphic for the SYSTEM boundary condition are given below:

```
SYSTEM 1 1 J J P P I K 1 1 11 21 1 11 11 21 1 11
```
INTERVAL
1
COMMAND
/usr/local/bin/updatebc

Note that a complete SYSTEM specification requires the specification of additional data beyond the standard boundary specification line.

Description

The SYSTEM statement is provided to permit the specification of a UNIX system call from within the ADPAC07 code. Once the SYSTEM specification is directed into the ADPAC07 code, at a specified interval of iterations, during every execution of the boundary condition loop, when the SYSTEM specification is encountered, the code executes the command provided by the SYSTEM specification and pending successful completion, continues execution. The SYSTEM specification is based on the FORTRAN intrinsic system function which must be available in the compiling system. It should be noted that the command dictated by the SYSTEM specification could be performed every time the boundary condition loop is encountered. This suggests that the system call could be made a minimum of four times for each iteration of the time-marching scheme (for the four stage scheme). This number can grow rapidly if more complicated iteration strategies are used such as multigrid, subiterations, etc., and the user should be warned that such redundant system calls can wreak havoc on an otherwise friendly solution. A SYSTEM specification, in conjunction with the BDATIN/BDATOU boundary data specifiers can be effectively combined to provide a run time interface between the ADPAC07 code and an external flow solver.

A SYSTEM specification requires four additional lines in addition to the normal boundary data file descriptor, as shown above. The first additional line simply contains the label for the iteration interval INTERVAL, followed by the actual value of INTERVAL. The SYSTEM routine will only be invoked every INTERVAL time-marching iterations. The next line contains the label for the system call command (COMMAND) variable. The following line contains the actual UNIX command to be issued at every SYSTEM encounter in the boundary condition loop.

Restrictions/Limitations

Data provided in the SYSTEM specification should represent a valid UNIX system command. The FORTRAN intrinsic function system must be available on the compiling system. The SYSTEM function is not available on the Cray or nCUBE computers.

Common Errors

- Invalid UNIX system command provided in SYSTEM boundary specification.
- Failure to provide the additional data **INTERVAL** and **COMMAND** for **SYSTEM** specification.

- **FORTRAN** intrinsic function **system** unavailable at compile time.

- User unaware that **SYSTEM** action occurs four or more times per iteration.

- Attempt to use the **SYSTEM** specification on a Cray, nCUBE, or other incompatible computer system.
**TRAF**

TRAF2D/3D Type Non-Contiguous Mesh Block Interface Patching Scheme

**Application**

The **TRAF** specification was developed specifically to treat C-type mesh systems for airfoil cascades with a noncontiguous wake cut line such as those developed using the TRAF2D/TRAF3D [20] flow solver. The example graphic above illustrates a two-dimensional mesh system used to predict the flow through a turbine vane passage. This mesh was generated using the JERRYC/TOMC mesh generation package which was developed for the TRAF2D/TRAF3D flow solver. The C-type mesh utilizes a noncontiguous wake cut line as shown in the trailing edge detail. The **TRAF** specification is applied along either side of the wake cut line to permit communication of flow variables across the noncontiguous mesh interface.

**Boundary Data File Format**
The boundary data file specifications for the mesh interface indicated in the illustrative graphic for the TRAF boundary condition are given below:

```
TRAF  1  1  J  J  P  P  L  L  1  1  1  33  1  2 177 193 1  2
TRAF  1  1  J  J  P  P  L  L  1  1  1 77 193 1  2 1  33  1  2
```

Note that a complete TRAF specification generally requires two TRAF statement lines in the boundary data file. In the example above, the first specification provides the interblock communication for one side of the C-grid wake cut, while the second specification provides the communication for the other side of the C-grid wake cut. It is a common error to underspecify a TRAF boundary by only providing a single line per interface.

**Description**

The TRAF boundary statement provides a means for block to block communication for cases involving neighboring mesh boundaries which share a common surface, but are noncontiguous in one grid index. The standard example of this type of mesh is a C-type mesh about an airfoil where the points along the C-grid wake cut line are noncontiguous. This type of mesh system has been utilized extensively in the TRAF2D/TRAF3D [20] flow solver system, and the TRAF boundary specification has been provided to permit ADPAC07 execution on these meshes. The implied restriction of the TRAF boundary specification is that the mesh is only misaligned in one coordinate direction, specifically the $i$ coordinate. It is also assumed that the endpoints of the TRAF boundary specification are contiguous. As such, the TRAF boundary specification is fairly restrictive, and should not be used as a general purpose misaligned mesh routine. (The BCINT1, BCINTM and PINT boundary specifications are available for connecting generalized misaligned mesh boundaries.) An example of an appropriate application of the TRAF specification is given in the illustrative graphic. The TRAF boundary specification is valid for either 2-D or 3-D mesh blocks. For 2-D mesh blocks, the TRAF specification *must* be applied to a $j=$constant boundary. For 3-D mesh blocks, the TRAF specification *must* be applied to a $k=$constant boundary. The TRAF boundary condition requires no additional data beyond the initial specification line, but does require some extra care when used. The primary precaution is that the TRAF procedure is based entirely on a simplified cubic spline interpolation scheme, and hence, does not maintain either global or local conservation of flow variables across the mesh interface.

**Restrictions/Limitations**

The TRAF boundary specification is restricted to mesh interfaces which lie on a common surface (no significant overlap). The TRAF procedure permits only that the $i$ coordinates between adjacent mesh surfaces are misaligned. The TRAF procedure is only valid if the misaligned $i$ coordinates either increase or decrease in the $x$ direction monotonically. The endpoints of the TRAF specification surface must be contiguous. The TRAF specification may only be applied to $j=$constant surfaces for 2-D mesh blocks, and $k=$constant surfaces for 3-D mesh blocks.
Common Errors

- Failure to provide 2 TRAF statements for each interface.
- Incorrectly specified or misaligned extents of boundary regions (values of $\text{M1LIM1}$, $\text{M1LIM2}$, $\text{N1LIM1}$, $\text{N1LIM2}$, $\text{M2LIM1}$, $\text{M2LIM2}$, $\text{N2LIM1}$, $\text{N2LIM2}$ do not correctly define the interface extents on blocks $\text{LBLOCK1}$ and $\text{LBLOCK2}$).
- Attempt to use TRAF for a boundary which has 2 misaligned coordinates.
- Attempt to use TRAF for boundaries which are not monotonic in the $x$ direction.
- Application of TRAF to mesh interfaces which do not have contiguous end points.
- Application of TRAF to an $i=$constant or $k=$constant mesh surface in a 2-D block.
- Application of TRAF to an $i=$constant or $j=$constant mesh surface in a 3-D block.
3.8 Mesh File Description

The ADPAC07 case.mesh file is a data file containing the \( x, y, z \) grid coordinates of the multiple mesh blocks which are read in to define the physical grid points used in the time-marching solution (see Section 3.5 for a description of the case name and the mesh file naming convention). The mesh coordinates are specified in a Cartesian frame of reference, as shown in Figure 3.11, although the ADPAC07 program may ultimately convert these coordinates to a cylindrical coordinate system during execution. Regardless of whether the user intends to utilize the ADPAC07 code in a Cartesian or cylindrical solution mode, the mesh file coordinates are always defined by the Cartesian coordinates \( x, y, \) and \( z \). The mesh coordinates are stored in what is known as PLOT3D multiple grid format, and are formatted using the Scientific Database Library (SDBLIB). (The SDBLIB system allows machine-independent binary file storage.) The case.mesh file must be available for every ADPAC07 run. At the beginning of program execution, the ADPAC07 program attempts to open the mesh file and read in the mesh size to make sure that enough memory has been allocated for the given problem. If the mesh file is not found, or if the mesh is too large, the appropriate error message is issued, and the program will terminate.

Mesh coordinates are assumed to be nondimensional numbers. The ADPAC07 code employs a dimensional scaling factor (see input file variable DIAM) to convert the nondimensional mesh coordinates into dimensional coordinates with units of feet. If the mesh is generated with units of feet, then the dimensionalizing factor is simply 1.0. Proper nondimensionalization and specification of the dimensionalizing factor DIAM is required in order to accurately achieve the desired flow Reynolds number and rotational speed (see the discussion of input variable ADVR is Section 3.6). It is also required that the ordering of the mesh points form a “left-handed” mesh. This implies that at every point in the mesh, the vectors representing the positive \( i \), \( j \), and \( k \) coordinate directions form a left-handed coordinate system (see Figure 3.12). Consider the case of a sheared II-grid discretizing a single blade passage of a compressor (this type of mesh is used extensively in the Standard Configurations described in Chapter 5). If we assume that looking downstream through the blade passage is essentially the positive \( i \) direction, and that the radial direction from hub to tip is essentially the positive \( j \) direction, a left-handed mesh would require that the positive \( k \) direction be from right to left (counterclockwise) in this orientation.

In order to understand the PLOT3D multiple-grid mesh file format, and the utilization of the SDBLIB routines, a comparison of the FORTRAN coding for each method is given below for comparison.

The FORTRAN coding to read a PLOT3D unformatted multiple-block mesh file might be given as:

```
PLOT3D Mesh File Format FORTRAN Coding Example

OPEN(UNIT=IGRID,FILE=FNAME,FORM='UNFORMATTED',STATUS='OLD')
READ(IGRID) MG
READ(IGRID) (IL(L), JL(L), KL(L), L=1, MG)
DO 10 L = 1, MG
   READ(IGRID) (((X(I,J,K,L),I=1,IL(L)),J=1,JL(L)),K=1,KL(L)),
     (((Y(I,J,K,L),I=1,IL(L)),J=1,JL(L)),K=1,KL(L)),
```

ADPAC Coordinate System Reference

Figure 3.11: ADPAC07 mesh coordinate reference description
**ADPAC Left-Handed Coordinate Description**

All ADPAC Mesh Blocks Must Be Based on a Left-Handed Indexing System

![Left-Handed Mesh System](image)

![Right-Handed Mesh System](image)

Figure 3.12: *ADPAC*07 left-handed coordinate system description
Each of the terms used in the FORTRAN code given above are defined below:

- **IGRID**: FORTRAN unit number for read statement
- **FNAME**: File name for mesh file
- **MG**: number of grid blocks
- **IL(L)**: maximum *i* grid index for block L
- **JL(L)**: maximum *j* grid index for block L
- **KL(L)**: maximum *k* grid index for block L
- **X(I,J,K,L)**: Cartesian coordinate value of *x* for point *(I,J,K)* in block L
- **Y(I,J,K,L)**: Cartesian coordinate value of *y* for point *(I,J,K)* in block L
- **Z(I,J,K,L)**: Cartesian coordinate value of *z* for point *(I,J,K)* in block L

An example of the corresponding FORTRAN coding to read an *ADPAC’07* binary mesh file using the Scientific Database Library (SDBLIB) routines is given below:

### PLOT3D Mesh File Format FORTRAN Coding Example Using SDBLIB

```fortran
CALL QDOPEN( IGRID, FNAME, JE )
CALL QDGETI( IGRID, MG , JE )
DO L = 1, MG
   CALL QDGETI( IGRID, IL(L), JE )
   CALL QDGETI( IGRID, JL(L), JE )
   CALL QDGETI( IGRID, KL(L), JE )
ENDDO
IPOINT = 1
DO 10 L = 1, MG
   ILENGTH = IL(L) * JL(L) * KL(L)
   CALL QDGEEA( IGRID, X(IPOINT), ILENGTH, JE )
   CALL QDGEEA( IGRID, Y(IPOINT), ILENGTH, JE )
   CALL QDGEEA( IGRID, Z(IPOINT), ILENGTH, JE )
   IPOINT = IPOINT + ILENGTH
CONTINUE
CALL QDCLOS( IGRID, JE )
```

A listing of the additional terms used in the coding above is given below:

- **QDOPEN**: SDBLIB routine to open a file for input or output
- **QDGETI**: SDBLIB routine to get an integer
- **QDGEEA**: SDBLIB routine to get an integer array of length ILENGTH
- **QDGETE**: SDBLIB routine to get a real number
- **QDGEEA**: SDBLIB routine to get a real array of length ILENGTH
QDCLOS  SDBLIB routine to close a file
IGRID  FORTRAN logical unit number for grid input
JE  An error trigger; 0 for no error, ≠ 0 if an error occurs
IB  Integer array containing the IL, JL, and KL grid block sizes
LENGTH  Integer length of an array of data
IPOINT  Integer pointer for block I to locate the initial memory location for a block of data

The \(x, \ y, \ z\) coordinates are read in as a single-dimensioned array in the SDBLIB format, and the ADPAC07 program includes a conversion routine (source file conceas.f) which converts the single dimension array data to a three-dimensional data array.

The mesh file may be utilized directly with the PLOT3D program when the default real number size of the compiled PLOT3D code is defined as 32 bits (as it is on many workstations). The corresponding PLOT3D read command for an ADPAC07 mesh file are:

```
PLOT3D PROMPT> read/mg/bin/x=case.mesh
```

Obviously, the user should substitute his/her own case name in the PLOT3D input line.

Unformatted mesh files may be converted to ADPAC07 format using the MAKEADGRID program described in Chapter 7. It should be emphasized that the phantom cells used in the application of boundary conditions are automatically defined within the ADPAC07 code, and the user need not be concerned about generating fictitious points within the mesh to accommodate the boundary condition application procedure (mesh points need only be generated for the actual flow domain).

### 3.9 Body Force File Description

The ADPAC07 body force file is a data file containing the blade blockage, body force, and energy source terms used in a 2-D axisymmetric representation of an embedded blade row (see 2-D/3-D Solution Concepts, Section 2.3). Individual body force files contain the cell-centered blade blockage, body forces, and energy source terms for a specific mesh block. As a result, the file naming procedure for the body force file is somewhat different than the mesh, plot and restart files, where a single file contains all the data for a multiple-block solution (a complete description of the ADPAC07 file naming procedure is given in Section 3.5).

The terms in the body force file are stored in binary format, based on the Scientific Database Library routines. (The SDBLIB system permits machine-independent binary file storage.) The blockage, body forces, and energy sources are stored as nondimensional numbers using the nondimensionalization strategy described in the Final Report [21].

In order to understand the body force file format, and the utilization of the SDBLIB routines, a representative FORTRAN coding example to read in a body force file is given below for comparison.
Body Force File Format FORTRAN Coding Example Using SDBLIB

CALL QDOPEN( IBODY, FNAME, JE )
CALL QDGETI( IBODY, NG , ILENGTH, JE )
CALL QDGETI( IBODY, IMX, ILENGTH, JE )
CALL QDGETI( IBODY, JMX, ILENGTH, JE )
CALL QDGETI( IBODY, KMX, ILENGTH, JE )
ILENGTH = IMX * JMX * KMX
CALL QDGETE( IBODY, DUMMY, JE )
CALL QDGETE( IBODY, DUMMY, JE )
CALL QDGETE( IBODY, DUMMY, JE )
CALL QDGETE( IBODY, DUMMY, JE )
CALL QDGEEA( IBODY, BFR (IPOINT(L)), ILENGTH, JE )
CALL QDGEEA( IBODY, BFRU (IPOINT(L)), ILENGTH, JE )
CALL QDGEEA( IBODY, BFRV (IPOINT(L)), ILENGTH, JE )
CALL QDGEEA( IBODY, BFRW (IPOINT(L)), ILENGTH, JE )
CALL QDGEEA( IBODY, BFRE (IPOINT(L)), ILENGTH, JE )
CALL QDGEEA( IBODY, BL (IPOINT(L)), ILENGTH, JE )
CALL QDGEEA( IBODY, BP (IPOINT(L)), ILENGTH, JE )
CALL QDCLOS( IBODY, JE )

A listing of the FORTRAN variables and their meanings is given below:

QDOPEN  SDBLIB routine to open a file for input or output
QDGETI  SDBLIB routine to get an integer
QDGETE  SDBLIB routine to get a real number
QDGEEA  SDBLIB routine to get a real array of length ILENGTH
QDCLOS  SDBLIB routine to close a file
IBODY  FORTRAN logical unit number for body force file input
JE  An error trigger: 0 for no error, ≠ 0 if an error occurs
NG  Number of blocks in body force file (must be 1)
IMX  Mesh size+1 in the i coordinate direction
JMX  Mesh size+1 in the j coordinate direction
KMX  Mesh size+1 in the k coordinate direction
ILENGTH  Integer length of an array of data
IPOINT(L)  Integer pointer for block L to locate the initial memory location for a block of data
BFR  Body force for density (continuity equation)
BFRU  Body force for axial momentum
BFRV  Body force for radial momentum
BFRW  Body force for circumferential momentum
BFRE  Body force for internal energy
The body force data are read and written as a single-dimensioned array in the *SDBLIB* format, and the *ADPAC07* program includes a conversion routine (source file *convas.f*) which converts the one-dimensional array data to three dimension array data.

### 3.10 Standard Output File Description

The *ADPAC07* standard output file *case.output* provides information regarding the status of a particular calculation during code execution. The status information includes startup, code response to input files (mesh, restart, standard input, and boundary data), convergence history, error messages, and output file generation (plot files, restart files, body force files). The information given in the standard output file is essentially self-explanatory, so no further description is given here. A sample output file is included in the standard distribution of the *ADPAC07* code for the test case described in Appendix A. Additional details may be found in this Appendix.

### 3.11 Plot File Description

The *ADPAC07* *case.p3dabs* and *case.p3drel* plot files contain predicted absolute and relative frame of reference flow data values, respectively, for each of the mesh points in a multiple-block mesh *ADPAC07* solution. The grid-centered aerodynamic data is obtained by algebraically averaging the cell-centered data generated by the finite-volume solver during the time-marching process. As a result of the averaging procedure, this data can occasionally appear inconsistent at the corners of a mesh block, and should therefore only be used for graphical viewing, and not for post-processing to obtain performance data, mass flow rates, pressure rise, etc. The flow plot data are specified in a Cartesian coordinate system (velocities are $v_x, v_y, v_z$) to be consistent with the representation of the mesh file (see Section 3.8). The plot files are written in what is known as *PLOT3D* multiple grid binary format. The plot data are formatted using the Scientific Database Library (SDBLIB). (The SDBLIB system permits machine-independent binary file storage.) The flow data are listed as nondimensional numbers using the nondimensionalization strategy described in the Final Report [21].

In order to understand the *PLOT3D* multiple-grid flow file format, and the utilization of the *SDBLIB* routines, a comparison of the FORTRAN coding for each method is given below for comparison.

The equivalent FORTRAN coding for an unformatted *PLOT3D* flow file could be given as:

```
PLOT3D Flow File Format FORTRAN Coding Example

WRITE(  ) MG
WRITE(  ) (IL(L), JL(L), KL(L),L=1,MG)
DO 20 L = 1, MG
```
WRITE( ) EM(L), REY(L), ALF(L), TIME(L)
WRITE( ) (((R (I,J,K,L),I=I,IL(L)),J=I,JL(L)),K=I,KL(L)),
. (((RU(I,J,K,L),I=I,IL(L)),J=I,JL(L)),K=I,KL(L)),
. (((RV(I,J,K,L),I=I,IL(L)),J=I,JL(L)),K=I,KL(L)),
. (((RW(I,J,K,L),I=I,IL(L)),J=I,JL(L)),K=I,KL(L)),
. (((RE(I,J,K,L),I=I,IL(L)),J=I,JL(L)),K=I,KL(L))
CONTINUE

Each of the terms used in the FORTRAN code given above are defined below:

MG number of grid blocks
IL(L) maximum i grid index for block L
JL(L) maximum j grid index for block L
KL(L) maximum k grid index for block L
X(I,J,K,L) Cartesian coordinate value of x for point (I,J,K) in block L
Y(I,J,K,L) Cartesian coordinate value of y for point (I,J,K) in block L
Z(I,J,K,L) Cartesian coordinate value of z for point (I,J,K) in block L
EM(L) PLOT3D Reference Mach number for block L
REY(L) PLOT3D Reference Reynolds number for block L
ALF(L) PLOT3D Reference angle for block L
TIME(L) PLOT3D Reference time for block L
R (I,J,K,L) \( \rho \) at point (I,J,K) in block L
RU(I,J,K,L) \( \rho u_x \) at point (I,J,K) in block L
RV(I,J,K,L) \( \rho u_y \) at point (I,J,K) in block L
RW(I,J,K,L) \( \rho u_z \) at point (I,J,K) in block L
RE(I,J,K,L) \( \rho e \) at point (I,J,K) in block L

**PLOT3D Flow File Format FORTRAN Coding Example Using SDBLIB**

```
CALL QDOPEN( IFLOW, FNAME, JE )
CALL QDPUTI( IFLOW, MG , JE )
DO L = 1, MG
    CALL QDPUTI( IFLOW, IL(L), JE )
    CALL QDPUTI( IFLOW, JL(L), JE )
    CALL QDPUTI( IFLOW, KL(L), JE )
ENDDO
IPOINT = 1
DO 20 L = 1, MG
    ILENGTH = IL(L) * JL(L) * KL(L)
    CALL QDPUTE( IFLOW, EM(L) , JE )
    CALL QDPUTE( IFLOW, REY(L) , JE )
    CALL QDPUTE( IFLOW, ALF(L) , JE )
20   CONTINUE
```
CALL QDPUTE( IFLOW, TIME(L), JE )
CALL QDPUEA( IFLOW, R(IPOINT), ILENGTH, JE )
CALL QDPUEA( IFLOW, RU(IPOINT), ILENGTH, JE )
CALL QDPUEA( IFLOW, RV(IPOINT), ILENGTH, JE )
CALL QDPUEA( IFLOW, RW(IPOINT), ILENGTH, JE )
CALL QDPUEA( IFLOW, RE(IPOINT), ILENGTH, JE )
IPOINT = IPOINT + ILENGTH
CONTINUE
CALL QDCLOS( IFLOW, JE )

A listing of the additional terms used in the coding above is given below:

QDOPEN SDBLIB routine to open a file for input or output
QDPUTI SDBLIB routine to write an integer
QDPUTR SDBLIB routine to write a real number
QDPUEA SDBLIB routine to write a real array of length ILENGTH
QDCLOS SDBLIB routine to close a file
IFLOW FORTRAN logical unit number for flow input
JE An error trigger: 0 for no error, ≠ 0 if an error occurs
IB Integer pointer for block L to locate the initial memory location for a block of data
ILENGTH Integer length of an array of data
IPOINT Integer pointer for block L to locate the initial memory location for a block of data

The plot files may be utilized directly with the PLOT3D program when the default real number size of the compiled PLOT3D code is defined as 32 bits (as it is on many workstations). The corresponding PLOT3D read commands for an ADPAC'07 mesh and flow file are:

PLOT3D PROMPT> read/mg/bin/x=case.mesh/q=case.p3dabs

Obviously, the user should substitute his/her own case name in the PLOT3D input line.

For solutions employing the two-equation k-ε turbulence model, an additional PLOT3D-compatible file is written for plotting the turbulence parameter data. The case.p3d2eq file is identical in format to that given above except that the variables RU and RV are replaced with the turbulence parameters ρk and ρε, respectively. The user must be cautioned to avoid using this file in conjunction with PLOT3D functions which require specification of all the velocity components (pressure and temperature are two examples).

3.12 Restart File Description

The ADPAC'07 restart file is a data file containing the cell-centered flow variables generated during an ADPAC'07 solution. This file is intended to permit continued execution of the
code from the point at which a previous calculation was terminated. This feature permits breaking large jobs into smaller computational pieces. This process of job restarting is considered a good practice to avoid loss of data due to computer malfunctions and job quota limitations. At the end of a given job, whether the calculation is a restart run or not, the ADPAC07 program will attempt to write out the current cell centered data to the file \texttt{case.restart.new} (see Section 3.2 for a description of the file naming convention). The restart file may then be used to continue the calculation at this same point by simply renaming the file \texttt{case.restart.new} to \texttt{case.restart.old}, setting the input trigger appropriately (see the description of \texttt{FREST} in Section 3.6), and rerunning the code. The restart data are written in either the cylindrical or Cartesian coordinate system depending on the variable format used during execution of the ADPAC07 code for each particular mesh block. Velocities are specified as either \( v_x, v_y, v_z \) (Cartesian) or \( v_r, v_r, v_\theta \) (cylindrical), and all flow variables utilize the nondimensionalization strategy described in Section 1.2 of the companion Final Report [4].

In order to demonstrate the format of the restart file, a sample of the FORTRAN coding utilizing the \texttt{SDBLIB} library required to read a restart file is given below.

\textit{ADPAC07 Restart Flow File Format FORTRAN Coding Example}

\begin{verbatim}
CALL QDOPEN( IREST, FNAME, JE )
CALL QDGETI( IREST, MG , JE )
DO 1 N = 1, MG
   CALL QDGETI( IREST, IMX(N), JE )
   CALL QDGETI( IREST, JMX(N), JE )
   CALL QDGETI( IREST, KMX(N), JE )
   CONTINUE
1 CONTINUE
   DO 10 N = 1, MG
      LENGTH = IMX(N) * JMX(N) * KMX(N)
      CALL QDGEEA( IREST, R (IJK(N)), LENGTH, JE )
      CALL QDGEEA( IREST, RU(IJK(N)), LENGTH, JE )
      CALL QDGEEA( IREST, RV(IJK(N)), LENGTH, JE )
      CALL QDGEEA( IREST, RW(IJK(N)), LENGTH, JE )
      CALL QDGEEA( IREST, RE(IJK(N)), LENGTH, JE )
      CALL QDGEEA( IREST, P (IJK(N)), LENGTH, JE )
      CONTINUE
   NLENGTH = MG
   CALL QDGEIA( IREST, NCYC , NLENGTH , JE )
   CALL QDGEIA( IREST, DTHETA , NLENGTH , JE )
   CALL QDGEIA( IREST, OMEGAL , NLENGTH , JE )
   optional additional data for implicit calculations
   CALL QDGETI( IREST, IDATA, JE )
   DO 20 N = 1, MG
      LENGTH = IMX(N) * JMX(N) * KMX(N)
      CALL QDGEEA( IREST, RM1 (IJK(N)), LENGTH, JE )
   CONTINUE
\end{verbatim}
CALL QDGEEA( IREST, RUM1(IJK(N)), LENGTH, JE )
CALL QDGEEA( IREST, RVM1(IJK(N)), LENGTH, JE )
CALL QDGEEA( IREST, RW1(IJK(N)), LENGTH, JE )
CALL QDGEEA( IREST, REM1(IJK(N)), LENGTH, JE )
CALL QDGEEA( IREST, RUM2(IJK(N)), LENGTH, JE )
CALL QDGEEA( IREST, RVM2(IJK(N)), LENGTH, JE )
CALL QDGEEA( IREST, REM2(IJK(N)), LENGTH, JE )
CALL QDGEEA( IREST, RWM2(IJK(N)), LENGTH, JE )
CALL QDGEEA( IREST, REM2(IJK(N)), LENGTH, JE )
CONTINUE
CALL QDCLOS( IREST, JE )

Each of the terms used in the FORTRAN code given above are defined below:

- **MG**  number of grid blocks
- **IMX(L)**  maximum \( i \) grid index for block \( L \)
- **JMX(L)**  maximum \( j \) grid index for block \( L \)
- **KMX(L)**  maximum \( k \) grid index for block \( L \)
- **R( IJK(L))**  \( \rho \) at point \( IJK(L) \) in block \( L \)
- **RU( IJK(L))**  \( \rho u_x \) at point \( IJK(L) \) in block \( L \)
- **RV( IJK(L))**  \( \rho u_y \) at point \( IJK(L) \) in block \( L \)
- **RW( IJK(L))**  \( \rho u_z \) at point \( IJK(L) \) in block \( L \)
- **RE( IJK(L))**  \( \rho e \) at point \( IJK(L) \) in block \( L \)
- **P( IJK(L))**  pressure at point \( IJK(L) \) in block \( L \)
- **QDOPEN**  SDBLIB routine to open a file for input or output
- **QDGGETI**  SDBLIB routine to get an integer
- **QDGELA**  SDBLIB routine to get an integer array of length \( ILENGTH \)
- **QDGEEA**  SDBLIB routine to get a real array of length \( ILENGTH \)
- **QDCLOS**  SDBLIB routine to close a file
- **IREST**  FORTRAN logical unit number for restart input
- **JE**  An error trigger: 0 for no error, \( \neq 0 \) if an error occurs
- **IB**  Integer array containing the IMAX, JMAX, and KMAX grid block sizes
- **ILENGTH**  Integer length of an array of data
- **IJK(L)**  Integer pointer for block \( L \) to locate the initial memory location for a block of data
- **NCYC**  Iteration number when restart file was written
- **DTHETA**  Block rotation increment (\( \Delta \theta \))
- **OMEGAL**  Block rotational speed (nondimensional)
Implicit restart data trigger (0-no implicit data, 1-restart data follows)

\begin{align*}
\text{RM1}(IJK(L)) & \quad \rho \text{ at point } IJK(L) \text{ in block } L \text{ (N-1 time level)} \\
\text{RUM1}(IJK(L)) & \quad \rho u_x \text{ at point } IJK(L) \text{ in block } L \text{ (N-1 time level)} \\
\text{RVM1}(IJK(L)) & \quad \rho u_y \text{ at point } IJK(L) \text{ in block } L \text{ (N-1 time level)} \\
\text{RWM1}(IJK(L)) & \quad \rho u_z \text{ at point } IJK(L) \text{ in block } L \text{ (N-1 time level)} \\
\text{REM1}(IJK(L)) & \quad \rho \text{ at point } IJK(L) \text{ in block } L \text{ (N-1 time level)} \\
\text{RM2}(IJK(L)) & \quad \rho \text{ at point } IJK(L) \text{ in block } L \text{ (N-2 time level)} \\
\text{RUM2}(IJK(L)) & \quad \rho u_x \text{ at point } IJK(L) \text{ in block } L \text{ (N-2 time level)} \\
\text{RVM2}(IJK(L)) & \quad \rho u_y \text{ at point } IJK(L) \text{ in block } L \text{ (N-2 time level)} \\
\text{RWM2}(IJK(L)) & \quad \rho u_z \text{ at point } IJK(L) \text{ in block } L \text{ (N-2 time level)} \\
\text{REM2}(IJK(L)) & \quad \rho \text{ at point } IJK(L) \text{ in block } L \text{ (N-2 time level)}
\end{align*}

The restart data are read as a single-dimensioned array in the \textit{SDBLIB} format, and the \textit{ADPAC07} program includes a conversion routine (source file \textit{convers.f}) which converts the one-dimensional array data to three-dimensional array data.

For solution employing the iterative implicit time-marching algorithm, several time levels of data must be stored in the restart file to properly restart the solution. The additional time levels of data are stored immediately following the current time level data and a simple trigger variable (IDATA, above) which informs the code of the existence of the additional time level data. If no additional data are present in the restart file, and an implicit solution is being restarted, the code initializes the additional time level data arrays with the best available values.

### 3.13 Convergence File Description

The \textit{ADPAC07} convergence history file \textit{case.converge} (see Section 3.5 for a description of the \textit{ADPAC07} file naming convention) is an ASCII data file which contains the residual convergence history of the time-marching solution. The residual history is useful for determining whether the numerical solution has converged sufficiently to permit interrogation of the numerical results, or whether additional restarted calculations are required to obtain an accurate solution. Typically, a solution is deemed converged when the residuals have been reduced by three orders of magnitude or more. The data in the \textit{case.converge} file are organized in the following format:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CYCLE</th>
<th>MAXIMUM NUMBER</th>
<th>RMS ERROR</th>
<th>MASS INFLOW</th>
<th>MASS OUTFLOW</th>
<th>PRESSURE RATIO</th>
<th>ADIABATIC NUMBER</th>
<th>NUMBER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>301</td>
<td>-2.17895</td>
<td>-5.52081</td>
<td>3.46778</td>
<td>3.46781</td>
<td>1.58615</td>
<td>0.88737</td>
<td>308</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>302</td>
<td>-2.42051</td>
<td>-5.57462</td>
<td>3.46777</td>
<td>3.46656</td>
<td>1.58762</td>
<td>0.89150</td>
<td>315</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>303</td>
<td>-2.65891</td>
<td>-5.64842</td>
<td>3.46774</td>
<td>3.46646</td>
<td>1.58949</td>
<td>0.89685</td>
<td>317</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>304</td>
<td>-2.78033</td>
<td>-5.71740</td>
<td>3.46765</td>
<td>3.46781</td>
<td>1.59123</td>
<td>0.90145</td>
<td>320</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The residual \( R \) at any cell in the finite volume solution is calculated as the sum of the changes in the 5 conservation variables \( \rho, \rho u, \rho v, \rho w, \text{ and } \rho e \). The maximum residual is then defined as the maximum of all the residuals over all the cells of all mesh blocks. The root-mean square residual is the square root of the sum of the squares of all the cells for all mesh blocks. The \texttt{case.converge} file residual data are reported as the base 10 logarithm of the actual residuals in order to quickly evaluate the convergence of the solution (if the reported log10 maximum residual starts at -2.5 and ends up at -5.5, the solution has converged three orders of magnitude). Several additional data are also output to the convergence file based on flow parameters occurring across inflow and outflow boundaries. Since many flow cases involve a single inlet and a single exit, a useful measure of convergence is the difference between the inlet and exit mass flow rate, and how much the mass flow rate varies from iteration to iteration. For 2-D Cartesian flow calculations a unit depth (1.0 in mesh coordinates) is assumed for the third coordinate direction to determine the mass flow rate. For 2-D cylindrical flow calculations, the geometry is assumed to be axisymmetric (in the \( x-r \) plane) and a multiple of \( 2\pi \) is used in the mass flow integration (the mass flow is computed as if the full circumference of the axisymmetric geometry were employed). Data are provided in the convergence file for the sum of all mass crossing any inflow boundary (\texttt{INLETG}, \texttt{INLET}, \texttt{INLETT}, \texttt{INLETM}, \texttt{INLETR} and all mass crossing any exit boundary \texttt{EXITG}, \texttt{EXITX}, \texttt{EXITT}, \texttt{EXITP}. The pressure ratio, or ratio of mass-averaged total pressures from all inlet and exit boundaries is also reported in the convergence file, as well as the adiabatic efficiency computed based on mass-averaged total temperature and total pressure of the inflow and outflow boundaries, respectively. Finally, the number of computational cells with supersonic flow and the number of computational cells indicating separated flow (negative \( r_e \)) are also reported in the convergence history file.

The \texttt{case.converge} file is formatted in columns to permit convenient plotting using any of a number of x-y plotting programs (the \texttt{FULLPLOT} program described in Reference [6] is one example).

In the event that the \( k-R \) turbulence model is enabled (\texttt{F2EQ=1.0}), the convergence characteristics of the turbulence transport equations is output immediately following the convergence characteristics of the continuity, momentum, and energy equations at every iteration. This "alternating line" output somewhat complicates the contents of the convergence file, but has been found useful to simultaneously monitor both the momentum and turbulence transport equation convergence characteristics.

### 3.14 Image File Description

The \texttt{ADPAC07} graphics display system (see Chapter 9) has the capability of saving a raster image of the local graphics screen to a file at specific iteration intervals using the Silicon Graphics image file format. This feature is included as a simple means of constructing flowfield animations. The input variables dealing with this facility \texttt{FGRAFIX}, \texttt{FGRAFINT}, \texttt{FIMGSAV}, \texttt{FIMGINT} are described in Section 3.6, and the image file naming convention is described in Section 3.5. In short, image files can be saved when the graphics display system is running on a single Silicon Graphics workstation or across a network between
two Silicon Graphics workstations supporting the IRIX Operating System Version 4.0.1 or above, and also supporting the IRIX `save` command. Image files can be viewed after they have been saved by issuing the command

\texttt{ipaste casc.img.\#}

Other Silicon Graphics IRIX Operating System-specific commands such as \texttt{imgview}, \texttt{movie} and others may also be suitable for viewing image files. Additional information on the IRIX image format and the image manipulation commands are available in the Silicon Graphics system documentation.

\section*{3.15 Running \textit{ADPAC07} With 2-Equation Turbulence Model}

\subsection*{3.16 2-Equation Turbulence Model Solution Sequence}

In order to run \textit{ADPAC07} with the two-equation $k-R$ turbulence model enabled, the following steps must be taken. First, the input file trigger \texttt{F2EQ} must be enabled (value=1.0). This activates the additional partial differential equation solution scheme for the $k-R$ model. Note that this completely disables the standard algebraic (Baldwin-Lomax) turbulence model and the wall function formulation. The lack of wall functions implies that the mesh must be sufficiently refined to adequately resolve the inner (laminar sublayer) of the turbulent boundary layer flow. There is, at present, no built in mechanism in the \textit{ADPAC07} code to verify that this restriction has been met, and it is therefore up to the user to perform this check. In addition to the modified input file, the boundary data file must also be modified slightly. The boundary data file modifications apply only to inflow boundary specifications, specifically the boundary descriptor \texttt{INLETG}. At present, only the \texttt{INLETG} specification may be used to properly define an inflow boundary in the $k-R$ solution. Since the $k-R$ turbulence model is based on transport equations, it is necessary to properly specify the inflow values of $k$ and $R$ much in the same manner that other inflow properties (total pressure, etc) must be specified. The inflow values for $k$ and $R$ are specified on the 2 lines following the \texttt{INLETG} specification as shown in the example below:

\begin{verbatim}
INLETG  1  1  1  I  P  P  J  K  1  1  1  73  1  2  1  73  1  2
PTOT  TTOT  AKIN  ARIN
1.0  1.0  0.0001  0.001
\end{verbatim}

Here, the extra variables labeled as \texttt{PTOT}, \texttt{TTOT}, \texttt{AKIN}, \texttt{ARIN} are the inlet non-dimensional total pressure, total temperature, turbulent kinetic energy ($k$), and turbulent Reynolds number ($R$), respectively. Note that \texttt{AKIN} and \texttt{ARIN} are also input as non-dimensional variables using the non-dimensionalization scheme previously described. Typical values of \texttt{AKIN} and \texttt{ARIN} are 0.0001 and 0.001, respectively. More accurate values for specific cases where detailed inflow turbulence characteristics are known can be determined based on the definitions of $k$ and $R$.

The modifications described above are all that is necessary to initiate the 2-equation turbulence model solution sequence. Unfortunately, only a limited amount of experience with
this turbulence model is available to guide the user in case a problem arises. The behavior of the partial differential equations can be monitored in both the standard output and convergence file iteration histories of maximum and RMS residual. Separate convergence history lines are tabulated by the ADPAC07 code for the $k$ and $\mathcal{R}$ transport equations. This data is printed immediately following the continuity, momentum, and energy equation residual information at each iteration. It should be mentioned that the multigrid solution strategy employed to solve the continuity, momentum, and energy equations is not completely enabled for the $k - \mathcal{R}$ transport equations. This is an area of algorithmical research and may be completed in future releases of the ADPAC07 code. The "full" multigrid solution initialization sequence is available to rapidly initiate the $k - \mathcal{R}$ equation solution variables.

The best practice found to date in employing the $k - \mathcal{R}$ solution scheme is to run the code with relatively small values (3.0) of the input variable $\text{CFL}$ and run large numbers of iterations. The full multigrid start-up procedure has been found to be somewhat helpful ($\text{FFULMG}=1.0$). The $k - \mathcal{R}$ solution scheme converges relatively slowly, and make take 2-3 times the number of iterations as the algebraic turbulence model to completely converge. In addition, the cost of a given iteration when the $k - \mathcal{R}$ turbulence model is enabled may be up to 40% greater than a corresponding iteration using the algebraic turbulence model. It should be noted that there is no added numerical dissipation in the $k - \mathcal{R}$ solution scheme. A naturally dissipative first order upwind differencing is used in the discretization of the convective fluxes in the $k - \mathcal{R}$ equations. This implies that the input variables $\text{VIS2}$, $\text{VIS4}$ have no effect on the two-equation turbulence model solution sequence. Implicit residual smoothing is applied to the $k - \mathcal{R}$ equations, and therefore the variables $\text{CFMAX}$, $\text{EPSX}$, $\text{EPSY}$, $\text{EPSZ}$, $\text{FRESID}$ can drastically alter the $k - \mathcal{R}$ convergence behavior. The $k - \mathcal{R}$ solution scheme cannot currently be restarted which is a serious drawback for three-dimensional applications which require large amount of CPU time. Finally, upon completion, a PLOT3D-based data file representing the mesh point-averaged data $p$, $pk$, $\rho\mathcal{R}$, and $\mu_{turbulent}$ is written to the file casename.p3d2eq. This file, in conjunction with the ADPAC07 mesh file (casename.mesh) may be employed to graphically examine the predicted turbulence characteristics of the flowfield.

In the event that the $k - \mathcal{R}$ solution sequence diverges, or simply does not converge, the best known handle to stabilize the solution is controlled by the input variable $\text{CFL}$. Generally, lowering the value of $\text{CFL}$ will help stabilize the solution. Unfortunately, this also decreases the convergence rate of the solution and increases the overall CPU time required for a given run. Limited experience with this model, and interruptions in the ADPAC07 development schedule prevented a more thorough implementation of this promising model.

### 3.17 Troubleshooting an ADPAC07 Failure

The ADPAC07 code contain a large number of error checking and handling facilities to determine and report to the user when a problem in the calculation occurs. Unfortunately, some problems simply cannot be detected and it may occur that for a particular case the solution will diverge (uncontrolled increase in solution residual) or simply "blow up" as a result of numerical difficulties or an invalid numerical operation (divide by zero for example). These cases are notoriously frustrating for the user because the cause is often difficult to
identify. The paragraphs below attempt to provide a structured approach to rectifying numerical problems for an ADPA'07 run based on the author's experience.

**Step 1.) Carefully Check the ADPA'07 Input File for Errors**

The ADPA'07 standard input file controls the overall characteristics of the computational process, and as such, plays a large role in determining the behavior of a job. Typical parameters to check are to make sure that the CFL variable is negative for steady state calculations, and positive for time-accurate calculations, and to make sure that the absolute value is not too large (5.0 is a typical magnitude). If the CFL value is greater than the CFMAX variable, or generally if the magnitude of CFL is larger than 2.5, then residual smoothing must be activated (FRESID=1.0, the default value). Naturally, the values for VIS2 and VIS4 should also be within their suggested limits. A common problem for rotating geometries is an incorrect rotational speed, or simply the wrong sign on the rotational speed (rotating the wrong way), so check the values of RPM and/or ADVR carefully. The user can also selectively turn off features such as the turbulence model (see PTURBB) and/or multigrid (see FMULTI) to check on their influence on the stability of the solution. Finally, the user should make sure that the proper CASENAME and DIAM variables are specified in the input file. Other problems are discussed in the individual input file variable descriptions in Section 3.6.

**Step 2.) Carefully Check the ADPA'07 Boundary Data File for Errors**

The ADPA'07 boundary data file controls the application of boundary conditions on the various mesh surfaces necessary to define the flow characteristics of an ADPA'07 run. Common errors in the boundary data file include mismatched PATCH specifications, incorrectly specifying inflow data (particularly when INLETT is used), and incorrectly specifying rotational speeds for solid surfaces using SSVI. If the solution will run for a few iterations, it may be helpful to get a PLOT3D output file at this point and examine the solution using PLOT3D or FAST. Check for obvious solution features such as flow going the right direction, flow that doesn't penetrate a solid boundary, contour lines matching at PATCH boundaries (although contour lines may not match exactly at any mesh corner), and obvious radical changes in flow variables (total pressures and/or total temperatures which are very large or negative). The user can often trace a faulty boundary condition by selectively commenting several specifications from the boundary data file and rerunning to see if the same problem occurs. If the solution diverges even when no boundary conditions are specified, then a problem exists in the mesh or input file. Other boundary condition specific common errors are discussed in the individual boundary data file variable descriptions in Section 3.7.

**Step 3.) Carefully Check the ADPA'07 Mesh File for Errors**

The immediate question to be answered when a mesh problem is suspected is “does the mesh file exist?”. The user should verify the existence of the file with the proper name in the current working directory. The size of the file should be examined (obviously a file of length 0 is unacceptable). The user can next check to make sure the file can be read with the PLOT3D [14] graphical plotting program. Any mesh file which has been created using the PLOT3D binary file write option is not acceptable due to the format of the Scientific
Database Library (although it can be made so by appending 1024 bytes of any data to the end of the mesh file). The MAKEADGRID program is available to convert unformatted mesh files to ADPAC07 compatible mesh files.

Most common problems encountered when the ADPAC07 code does not perform adequately can be traced to poor mesh quality. Although the mesh may be free from obvious flaws such as crossed mesh lines and/or zero volumes, this does not guarantee that numerical difficulties will be avoided. The most common overlooked features of mesh quality are the mesh expansion ratio and the mesh shear angle. Mesh expansion ratio relates to the change in physical mesh spacing along a given coordinate direction from one point to another. For stability, the maximum mesh expansion ratio at any point should not exceed 1.3. The ADPAC07 code provides a listing of maximum mesh expansion ratios for each grid block and issues a warning if the mesh expansion ratio exceeds 1.3. The code can tolerate larger ratios in many cases, but definite problems can be expected if the maximum expansion ratio gets larger than 2.0. Mesh shear can also cause problems. The more orthogonal the mesh, the less likely mesh-induced numerical difficulties will occur. Another potential mesh problem involves mesh cells with very small radii (such as along a sting upstream of a propeller, etc.) which may require increasing the diameter of the sting to prevent problems. Application of the multigrid iteration strategy and reducing the value of EPSY in the input file have been found to be effective remedies for such problems.

Step 4.) Check for the Possibility of an Invalid Flow Condition

The author’s experience has been that many users feel if a problem can be defined then it should possess a solution. In fluid dynamics this is certainly not true. If a solution is attempted for a fan rotor, for example, at a pressure ratio which is beyond the stall point for that rotor, then no solution exists and the code will very likely diverge without explanation. In many cases, the numerical equivalent of an invalid flow condition is that the solution will either not converge, or will simply diverge. Another common example is attempting to extract a steady state solution for a problem which is truly time-dependent. Blunt body flows often result in a time-dependent solution due to vortex shedding, and the steady state analysis of this flow will likely never converge. This behavior also occurs frequently when a strong adverse pressure gradient or flow separation is present in the solution. Now it is true that in some cases, the level of convergence may also be limited by such factors as mesh quality, numerical accuracy, and/or turbulence model limit cycles, and it is difficult to determine whether the cause is numerical or physical. This is unfortunately a matter of experience and the user is encouraged to question whether their case can truly have a “steady” solution.

Step 5.) Determine if the Problem is Computer Dependent

The ADPAC07 code was developed and tested on UNIX-based operating systems using FORTRAN 77 standard coding techniques. In spite of the standardization in the computer industry, not all machines produce the same answer for a given problem due to compiler optimizations and code handling features. It has been the author’s experience that compilers are often a source of problems, particularly when the code has been compiled for the first time on a specific architecture, or when a new release of the operating system or FORTRAN compiler has been installed. Before reporting an unsolvable problem, it is a good practice to completely recompile the code on a known stable machine with a
well tested version of FORTRAN without using optimization (the user may be required to
modify the ADPAC07 Makefile to do this). If the code displays the same error, then it is
possible that a bug has been uncovered and this should be reported so future versions do
not encounter the same problem. If the compiler supports static memory allocation, then
this option should be enabled whenever possible.

Step 6.) Determine the effect of key input variables

Some “fine-tuning” of input variables is occasionally required to obtain a converged solution, or to prevent an instability from forming. The following suggestions may be useful to aid in establishing the sensitivity of the solution to various inputs:

6.1 Try to run the problem for a few cycles without any boundary conditions. This is essentially a uniform flow test. If the code diverges, then the problem is either in the input file or the mesh.

6.2 Vary the parameter CFMAX. Lower values imply more smoothing. It is possible to have too much smoothing, so both larger and smaller values should be tested.

6.3 Make sure FRESID is set to 1.0 if the magnitude of CFL is larger than 2.0.

6.4 Examine and vary the values of VIS2 and VIS4.

6.5 Turn off all multigrid (FMULTI, FFULMG = 0.0).

6.6 Turn off the turbulence model FTURBB = 999999999.0. If the problem still exists, try to run inviscid flow (FINVVI = 0.0).

6.7 Clear the input file and boundary data file of all specifications (except the case name, which must be activated). Now, if the code diverges, there is almost certainly a problem with the grid. Examine the code output to determine where the maximum error occurs, and carefully check the grid in this region.

Step 7.) Report the Problem

In the event that no other cause of the problem can be detected, the problem should be reported to NASA. The recommended contact for problems (or successes) is:

Dr. Chris Miller
Mail Stop 77-6
NASA-Lewis Research Center
21000 Brookpark Road
Cleveland, OH 44135
(216) 433-6179
cmiller@lerc.nasa.gov

The author is also interested in keeping up with known problems and may be reached at:
Dr. Ed Hall  
Speed Code T-14A  
Allison Engine Company  
Indianapolis, IN 46206-0420  
(317) 230-3722  
ehall@nas.nasa.gov  
ieehl@agt.gmeds.com
Chapter 4

RUNNING ADPAC'07 IN PARALLEL

4.1 Parallel Solution Sequence

In order to run ADPAC'07 in parallel, ADPAC'07 must be compiled for parallel execution. The chapter on code compilation in this User's Manual describes the proper compilation procedure.

ADPAC'07 is parallelized using the Application Portable Parallel Library (APPL) message passing library, developed at NASA Lewis. Reference [22] explains how to write code using APPL and how to run codes written with APPL. While APPL runs on many platforms, this manual will deal with only two of them: workstation clusters and nCUBE massively parallel computers. These two platforms are representative of how ADPAC'07 runs in parallel. The APPL document should be consulted for cases not covered in this manual.

Regardless of the platform, running ADPAC'07 in parallel requires the APPL *compute* function and a *procdfl* file. Codes running under APPL are not initiated by typing the executable name, but use the APPL *compute* function instead. The syntax for executing ADPAC'07 is as follows:

```
compute < casename.input > output
```

The *compute* function controls the execution of ADPAC'07 on the various processors, taking additional input from the *procdfl* file. The *procdfl* file contains the names of the executable images and the processors that they are to be loaded on. The *compute* function establishes communications with each processor specified in the *procdfl* file, loads the ADPAC'07 executable image, and initiates the run on each processor. Also, the *compute* function oversees the running processes, monitoring the processors for abnormal terminations. If a communications error is trapped, or if a process has died unexpectedly, the *compute* function shuts down all of the remaining processes gracefully. This feature is most important on workstation clusters, which have no built-in mechanism for monitoring parallel jobs.

The normal ADPAC'07 input file is redirected from standard input to the *compute* function. The redirected input is available to all of the processes (although ADPAC'07 currently does all reading from node 0). The output file may be redirected, or allowed to
stream to the terminal, just as in serial.

The `procdef` file should appear in the directory where the job is being run. It has a different syntax for the various parallel platforms. The simplest formulation is for hypercube machines (nCUBE and Intel). A sample `procdef` file for an nCUBE 2 is as follows:

```bash
someuser frontend ./adpacp.ncube -l 32
```

The first token in the `procdef` file is the user name (someuser). The second token is the name of the front-end processor to the nCUBE 2. The third token is the path to the directory for input and output files (in this case, the current directory, "." is used). The fourth token is the executable name (the path may be specified to be sure the correct executable is used). The fifth token specifies how the processors are mapped (-l indicates hypercube ordering, -2 indicates mapping into a ring). Hypercube ordering is generally preferred. The last token specifies the number of processors to be allocated (32 in this case).

Similarly, a sample `procdef` file for a workstation cluster is as follows:

```bash
someuser host1 1 adpacp.aix
someuser host2 1 adpacp.aix
someuser host3 2 adpacp.aix adpacp.aix
```

In this example, the first three tokens represent the user name, host name and the path to the working directory, just as before. The fourth token indicates the number of processes to be run on the host, and the remaining tokens are the executable images corresponding to the processes. The last line of the example shows 2 processes running on host3. Using this `procdef` file, the virtual parallel computer will consist of four processes running on three workstations.

The host machines in a workstation cluster must be connected by ethernet, but do not have to share disks, or be part of the same subnet. This provides tremendous flexibility in constructing a workstation cluster. However, most performance bottlenecks encountered on workstation clusters involve the network. The benefits of adding processors may be offset by poor network performance. The tradeoff varies with the problem and with the hardware configuration.

In general, the behavior of `ADPAC07` in parallel is the same as in serial. This is especially true if there are no input errors. The output files may be different if there are input errors. There are two general types of input errors detected in `ADPAC07`. Errors involving the grid or the input file will generally be detected by all processors, and the error messages will appear as they do in serial.

If, however an error is discovered in a boundary condition routine, the output messages will probably appear differently in the output file, and may not appear at all. Since `ADPAC07` boundary conditions are applied in parallel, node 0 does not execute all of them, but only those involving a block assigned to node 0. If node 0 does not encounter the error, then a different node writes the error message. Since the writing node is out of sync with node 0, the error message may be written to a different place in the output file than if node 0 had written it.
Buffering of output on the various processors can also cause a problem. Usually, after an error message is printed, execution is stopped on all processors. If execution is stopped before the buffer is flushed, then output may be lost from some processors. The result is that an error message could be caught in the buffer and never appear in the output file. If APAC'07 terminates for no apparent reason, this may explain the problem. The solution is to rerun the job without redirecting the output. If output is not redirected, it is normally not buffered, and all of the output will appear.

It is also possible to get multiple copies of an error message if more than one processor encounters the error. Wherever possible, APAC'07 has been coded to avoid these problems, but these unfortunate possibilities still exist. Therefore, running APAC'07 interactively is the best way to track down input problems.

Aside from these considerations, running APAC'07 in parallel is very much like running APAC'07 in serial. The input files are identical, and the output files are very similar. The most common problems in running APAC'07 in parallel are failing to use the compute function, improperly specifying the parallel configuration in the procdef file, and attempting to run a serial executable in parallel.

4.2 SIXPAC (Block Subdivision) Program

SIXPAC, which stands for Subdivision and Information eXchange for Parallel ADPAC Calculations, enables the user to redefine the block structure of an APAC'07 job. Using SIXPAC, large grid blocks can be subdivided to improve load balance, or to make use of smaller memory processors in parallel calculations. SIXPAC generates new input, mesh, restart, and boundata files for the subdivided problem, creating new blocks according to user specifications. The resulting files represent a problem equivalent to the original, but with more, smaller, blocks. Although the number of unique grid points is unchanged, the total number of points is larger because of duplication at interfaces.

The motivation for SIXPAC comes from the way APAC'07 was parallelized. Rather than parallelize the interior point solver, APAC'07 was parallelized through the boundary conditions. An individual block can't be run across multiple processors; each processor must contain only whole blocks. This implies that a problem with a single large block couldn't be run in parallel. SIXPAC enables large blocks to be recast as groups of smaller blocks, so that they can be run in parallel. SIXPAC is not required to run a problem in parallel, but it simplifies the process of setting up a problem for optimal parallel performance.

4.2.1 SIXPAC' Input

The input files required by SIXPAC are the casename.input file, the casename.mesh file, the casename.boundata file, and the casename.sixpac file. If a new restart file is to be created, then a casename.restart.old file is also required. Of this group, only the casename.sixpac file is different from the standard APAC'07 input.

The casename.sixpac file contains information which specifies how the blocks are to be subdivided. The required information includes the number of original blocks, and how each block is to be subdivided in each individual direction (i, j, and k). In each direction, the number of subdivided blocks, and possibly the locations of the subdivisions, must be
specified. If the number of subdivided blocks in a particular coordinate direction is set to 1, then the block is not divided in that coordinate direction.

By default, blocks are split into the specified number of equal sized pieces. If there is a remainder, it is spread over the processors to create nearly equal sized pieces. If unequal divisions are required in a particular direction, then the location of each division must be specified in that direction.

Unequal divisions are often employed to preserve levels of multigrid, or to put the edge of a geometric feature on a block boundary. Figure 4.1 illustrates how different block strategies affect multigrid. If, for example, there are 21 points in the I direction of a block, 3 levels of multigrid are possible. If this block is divided into two equal pieces of 11 points each, then only 2 levels of multigrid are possible. However, if the block is split into a block with 13 points and a block with 9 points, 3 levels of multigrid are still possible.

4.2.2 *casename*.sixpac File Contents

For equal divisions of the blocks in each direction, the *casename*.sixpac is simple to construct. The first line is a comment, and the second line contains the number of blocks in the original problem. Input is free format. The third line is a comment, and there is an additional line for each original block, in ascending order. These lines contain the block number, and the number of subdivided blocks in each coordinate direction. The following is a sample *casename*.sixpac file:

```
Number of blocks
2
n idiv jdiv kdiv
1 4 2 1
2 4 2 1
```

In this example, there are two original blocks. The first block is to be divided into 4 pieces along the I coordinate, 2 pieces along the J coordinate, and 1 piece along the K coordinate. The second block is to be divided into 4 pieces along the I coordinate, 2 pieces along the J coordinate, and 1 piece along the K coordinate. This means that there will be a total of 16 new blocks generated from the original 2 blocks.

If, however, user-specified divisions are required in a direction, the *casename*.sixpac file must be modified as follows:

- The number of subdivided blocks in the direction to be specified is set to 0. This tells SIXPAC that user specifications are to follow.
- New lines are added to the *casename*.sixpac immediately following the block to be modified. First, a comment line is added, which normally identifies which direction is being specified. Second, a line containing the number of subdivided blocks is specified (either nblki, nblkj, or nblkk, depending on the direction). Third, a comment line is added, which normally indicates that the following line contains block division points. Fourth, lines are added containing the division positions for the new blocks. There should be nblki, nblkj, or nblkk of these entries, as specified above, in free-format.
Subdivision of Blocks to Preserve Levels of Multigrid

Subdivision into two equal pieces results in blocks with 11 points. Only two levels of multigrid are possible, even though three levels were possible for the original block.

Subdivision into two unequal blocks, one with 13 points and one with 9 points, yields a grid capable of three levels of multigrid, like the original block.

Figure 4.1: Careful block division can preserve levels of multigrid.
• The block division positions are the upper limits of the new blocks in terms of the original block indices. The last division should be the block size in that direction.

• Block division positions must be specified in ascending order.

• If user-specified subdivisions are required in more than one direction of a single block, then the additions are made in "natural order," that is J first, then I and K, as required.

• All blocks must appear in the casename.sixpac file in ascending order.

SIXPAC has some sanity checks built in to warn users of problems in the casename.sixpac file. A sample casename.sixpac file appears below. (Note: free format input so alignment is not important).

Number of blocks
2
n  idiv  jdiv  kdiv
1  4  0  1
  number of J divides
2
  J break points
  3  10
  2  4  2  1

As before, there are two original blocks. The first is to have 4 I divisions, 2 J divisions and 1 K division. The J divisions are to be at J=3 and J=10 in block 1. The second block is to have 4 I divisions 2 J division and 1 K division. This means that there will be a total of 16 new blocks generated from the original 2 blocks.

4.2.3 Restart Files in SIXPAC

If a restart file is to be created for the subdivided problem, the input trigger FREST must be set equal to 1.0 in the casename.input file. This tells SIXPAC to look for a casename.restart.old file, and to subdivide it. A Ncasename.restart.old file is written, and the new input file will be set up to run with the new restart file.

4.2.4 SIXPAC Output

The output files produced by SIXPAC are the Ncasename.input file, the Ncasename.mesh file, the Ncasename.boundata file, and the Ncasename.bacpac file. An Ncasename.restart.old file is also created if required. The casename has been prepended by an "N" to avoid confusion with the original input files. The new output files are themselves ADPAC'07 input files and can be run in either serial or parallel versions of ADPAC'07.

The Ncasename.bacpac file is not required to run ADPAC'07, but is used by the code BACPAC, which reassembles the blocks into their original, undivided structure. The Ncasename.bacpac file contains information about the way SIXPAC subdivided the blocks. There is normally no reason for the user to alter the Ncasename.bacpac file. The form of the Ncasename.bacpac is described in the section of the User’s Manual dealing with BACPAC input.
4.2.5 Running SIXPAC

Running SIXPAC is very much like running ADPAC07. The command syntax is:

\[ \text{sixpac} < \text{casename.input} > \text{output} \]

The output file is similar to an ADPAC07 output file, because the routines to read the grid, the input file and the boundary data file are taken directly from ADPAC07. One addition to the output file is a table of the new grid blocks and their sizes. After verifying the new block structure created by SIXPAC, the output file can be discarded.

4.3 BACPAC

BACPAC, which stands for Block Accumulation and Consolidation for Parallel ADPAC Calculations, reassembles subdivided ADPAC07 files into their original, undivided form. It is used in conjunction with SIXPAC, and performs essentially the inverse operation of SIXPAC. BACPAC can reconstruct mesh, PLOT3D, or restart files, producing new files which are equivalent to what would have been produced had the problem been run with the original, undivided blocks. Using SIXPAC and BACPAC, a problem can be subdivided and reconstructed any number of ways to take advantage of available computer resources.

4.3.1 BACPAC Input

BACPAC queries the user for needed information, and reads from standard input (normally the keyboard). The user is first prompted for the casename. The user then selects which files are to be reconstructed by entering appropriate responses to questions about each file. Due to the potential size of these files, they are not created by default.

BACPAC expects to find a casename.bacpac file which contains information detailing how the original problem was subdivided. The casename.bacpac file is created automatically by SIXPAC, and requires no modifications by the user. However, if SIXPAC was not used to create the subdivided blocks, the user must construct a casename.bacpac file in order to run BACPAC. A sample casename.bacpac file resulting from the first sample SIXPAC input file given previously appears below.

```
2  original number of blocks
imax  jmax  kmax
 73    10     9
nblk1 nblkj nblkk
 4     2      1
oldblk newblk global i  global j  global k  local im  local jm  local km
 73    10     9
nblk1 nblkj nblkk
 4     2      1
oldblk newblk global i  global j  global k  local im  local jm  local km
```

In the above example, two blocks are subdivided into eight new blocks each (a total of 16 blocks). The dimensions of the original blocks are 73x10x9, and there are 4, 2, and 1
subdivided blocks in each coordinate direction for each block. The table underneath each of the original block size declarations shows the original block number, and the new block number. The global i, j, and k indices are the position of the bottom right hand corner of the new block in the original block. For example, the point (1,1,1) in the new block 8 is the same as the point (17,9,9) in the original block 1. The local im, jm, and km indices are the block size of the new block. This data essentially maps the new blocks into the original block structure.

4.3.2 BACPAC Output

The output files produced by BACPAC are the `{casename}.mesh.bac` file, the `{casename}.p3dabs.bac` and the `{casename}.p3drel.bac` files, and the `{casename}.restart.bac` file. The `.bac` suffix is used to avoid confusion with existing files. Generally the `{casename}.mesh.bac` need not be created because it is identical to the original `{casename}.mesh` file. If successfully run and converted, the `{casename}.*.bac` files should replace their original problem equivalents ( `{casename}.*` ) before starting SIXPAC again.

4.4 Parallel ADPAC07 Block/Processor Assignment

Load balancing is a critical issue for parallel computing tasks. While it is beyond the scope of this program to perform detailed load balancing analyses for every parallel computing platform tested, it seems reasonable to provide some form of control in order to distribute computational tasks efficiently across a parallel computing network. In the parallel ADPAC07 code, this is best accomplished through manipulation of the block/processor distribution scheme. By default, the parallel operation of the ADPAC07 code provides an automatic block to processor assignment by dividing up the blocks as evenly as possible, and, to the greatest degree possible, assigning sequential block numbers on a given processor. For example, if 8 blocks were divided between 3 processors, blocks 1, 2, and 3 would be assigned to process #0, blocks 4, 5, and 6 to processor #1, and blocks 7, and 8 to processor #2 (note that the processor numbering scheme is 0, 1, 2, etc.). This procedure is nearly optimal when each block is the same size, and each processor has the same computational power. Unfortunately, our experience is that block sizes and computational resources often vary dramatically. In this regard, a system was developed which permits the user to specify the block to processor assignment through a special input file (`casename.blkproc`). A sample `casename.blkproc` file is given below for an 8 block mesh distributed across 3 processors:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>number of blocks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>block #   proc #</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1          0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2          1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3          1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4          1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5          1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6          2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In the case described by the above file, block 1 is assigned to processor #0, blocks 2, 3, 4, and 5 to processor #1, and blocks 6, 7, and 8 to processor #2. This block assignment might be advisable for the case when block 1 is significantly larger in size than the other blocks, or if processor #0 has less memory or a slower CPU than the remaining processors. The original block assignment scheme is selected as the default when the case name blkproc file is not present.
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MAKEADGRID PROGRAM
DESCRIPTION

The standard distribution for the ADPAC'07 program includes a program called MAKEADGRID which aids the user in setting up a multiple-block mesh file from isolated unformatted mesh files. This program is useful for creating ADPAC'07 compatible multiple-block meshes from mesh generation programs which do not support the use of the Scientific Database Library (SDBLIB). The MAKEADGRID program is an interactive program which queries the user for the number of blocks to be assembled for the final mesh, and then requests a file name for each of the individual mesh blocks. The user is then requested to name the output file for the ADPAC'07 compatible multiple-block mesh. The individual mesh blocks are assembled in the order in which the mesh file names are specified, so care must be taken to order these names appropriately.

5.1 Configuring MAKEADGRID Maximum Array Dimensions

Maximum array dimensions in the MAKEADGRID program are set by the FORTRAN PARAMETER statements listed in the source file makeadgrid.f included with the standard distribution. The PARAMETER statement and the descriptions of the various parameter variables appear at the top of the file as:

C
C C makeadgrid: This program assembles an ADPAC-compatible mesh file
C from selected other unformatted PLOT3D mesh files
C
C C
C C Set parameter size for max grid block to be read in
C
C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C
C C C C C C C
C
C
C
C
C
C
C
C
C
C
C
C
C
C
C
C
C
C
C
C
C
C

imax --- > maximum number of grid elements in the i coordinate direction
for any given mesh block

jmax --- > maximum number of grid elements in the j coordinate direction
C for any given mesh block
C kmax --- > maximum number of grid elements in the k coordinate direction
C for any given mesh block
C nnames - > maximum number of grid blocks for final mesh
C

parameter(imax=251, jmax=82, kmax=53)
parameter(nnames = 100 )

5.2 Compiling the MAKEADGRID Program

The MAKEADGRID program source directory contains a UNIX-based Makefile facility to automate compilation for a number of machines. In the directory containing the FORTRAN source of the MAKEADGRID code, compilation is performed by executing the command:

```
make option
```

The make command is standard on UNIX systems and automatically interrogates the file Makefile for instructions on how to perform the compilation. The option argument may be any of the variables listed below:

- No argument - same as link below.
- link This is the standard UNIX system compilation. This option will deliver a working executable on most UNIX systems which support standard naming conventions (f77 as the standard compiler, etc.). The compilation includes basic compiler optimization (f77 -O).
- cray This option is utilized when compiling the standard code on a Cray computer.
- aix This option is used when compiling the standard code on an IBM RS-6000 workstation running the AIX operating system.

5.3 Running the MAKEADGRID Program

Once the code has been compiled, change directories to the location where the case of interest has been stored. The MAKEADGRID program requires that each individual mesh block for the final mesh be stored separately as a single-grid unformatted PLOT3D file.

The MAKEADGRID program is invoked by issuing the command:

```
path/makeadgrid
```

where path is the relative or absolute pathname of the directory containing the MAKEADGRID executable file from the current local directory. For example, if the mesh file is in the directory
MAKEADGRID Program Description

/ usr/people/me/testcase

and the MAKEADGRID executable is in the directory

/ usr/people/me/adpac/src/makeadgrid

then the commands

cd /usr/people/me/testcase
/ usr/people/me/adpac/src/makeadgrid/makeadgrid

would begin the MAKEADGRID program process.

5.4 Sample Session Using the MAKEADGRID Program

A sample session using the MAKEADGRID program for the mesh illustrated in Figure 2.4 is given below. In this case, the mesh was originally generated using a proprietary mesh generation program, and hence, required some manipulation in order to construct the multiblock mesh for an ADPAC07 solution. The mesh consists of 3 mesh blocks (the O-grid about the airfoil, and 2 H-grid caps upstream and downstream of the O-grid) named block1.mesh, block2.mesh, and block3.mesh. The MAKEADGRID session used to create the final mesh named vbivane.mesh is listed below. The user responses to the MAKEADGRID program are given in boldfaced type.

******************************************************************************

MAKEADGRID - construction program for creating ADPAC mesh files from selected PLOT3D unformatted mesh files.

******************************************************************************

Enter the number of blocks

3

Enter the name of the 1 grid to process

(Remember: each file must be unformatted PLOT3D style
block1.mesh

Enter the name of the 2 grid to process
(Remember: each file must be unformatted PLOT3D style)

block2.mesh

Enter the name of the 3 grid to process
(Remember: each file must be unformatted PLOT3D style)

block3.mesh

Getting grid sizes and extra info from grid files

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Loop</th>
<th>mg</th>
<th>il, jl, kl</th>
<th>129</th>
<th>33</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Enter the file name for the final grid

vbivane.mesh

Final grid data in file vbivane.mesh

Output file array size

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Loop</th>
<th>1 --&gt;</th>
<th>129</th>
<th>33</th>
<th>33</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Array sizes output to final file
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Block</th>
<th>Grid Data from</th>
<th>$i_l$, $j_l$, $k_l$</th>
<th>Output Grid Data to Final File</th>
<th>$i_l$, $j_l$, $k_l$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>block1.mesh</td>
<td>Reading</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>block2.mesh</td>
<td>Reading</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>block3.mesh</td>
<td>Reading</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Program completed normally.
MAKEADGRID Program Description
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ADPAC07 INTERACTIVE
GRAPHICS DISPLAY

The ADPAC07 program is equipped with an option which permits real time interactive graphics display of flow data in the form of colored contours or velocity vectors on geometries represented by wiremesh grid surfaces. The interactive graphics are based largely on routines generated from the PLOT3D visualization program, and many of the features of this option should be familiar to anyone who has used PLOT3D. All interactive graphics must be displayed on a Silicon Graphics workstation, IRIX Operating System 4.0.1 or above. The graphics display can be operated on a single computing platform, or can be directed across a network for specific computer hardware configurations. Thus, it is possible to have a job running remotely on a Cray computer, with interactive graphics displayed locally on a network-connected Silicon Graphics workstation. When operating across a network which involves a non-Silicon Graphics computer, the communication program AGTPTL-LCL must be running on the local display device in order to capture the graphics commands issued by the remote compute server (details on AGTPTL-LCL are given below). A graphic illustrating the possible graphics display operating modes is given in Figure 6.1. It should be mentioned that the interactive graphics display was actually developed to aid in debugging the multiple block code. The description of this feature is included in this manual for completeness, but the user should be cautioned due to the immature nature of this portion of the code. It is also likely that the graphics option may not port correctly to future releases of the IRIX operating system, and again, the user is cautioned concerning the use of this feature.

6.1 Setting up the Program

The first step in producing the real time interactive graphics display is to correctly compile the code to include the graphics libraries. This is accomplished by utilizing the appropriate option in the ADPAC07 Makefile command (see Section 3.4). The valid graphics options include graphics, pfgraphics, craygraphics, airgraphics, and craygraphdbz. These options incorporate various levels of the included graphics libraries for execution on various machines (again, see Section 3.4 for specific Makefile details).

Once the code has been correctly compiled to include the graphics libraries, several input
ADPAC Interactive Graphics Display
Computer Network Configuration Options

**ADPAC execution and graphics display on Silicon Graphics Workstation**
(Code compiled with graphics option)

**ADPAC execution on Silicon Graphics Workstation**
(CODE compiled with graphics option)

*Graphics Transmission via X-Windows Display System*

**ADPAC execution on remote (non-Silicon Graphics) Computer**
(Code compiled with CGL libraries)

*Graphics Transmission via UNIX socket communication*

(AGTPLT-LCL must be running on this machine)

Figure 6.1: *ADPAC'07 interactive graphics display network configuration options*
parameters must be correctly initiated to engage the graphics subroutines during the execution of the code. The input keyword \textbf{FGRAFIX} must have a value of 1.0 to initiate any graphics instructions. The keyword \textbf{FGRAFIN} determines the number of time-marching iterations between graphics window updates. The keyword \textbf{FIMGSAV} is a trigger (0.0 - off, 1.0 - on) which determines whether periodic image capturing is enabled, and the keyword \textbf{FIMGINT} determines the number of time-marching iterations between image captures. Additional details concerning these input file keywords are available in Section 3.6.

### 6.2 Graphics Window Operation

Once the graphics window has been initiated on the local display, and the initial data has been plotted, the program continues and the graphics display data are updated every \textbf{FGRAFIN} iterations. This process will continue until the program terminates, or until the user interrupts the process by pressing the left mouse button once with input focus directed to the graphics display window. A short time later, (the delay may be quite long for a network which is burdened), the graphics display will freeze, and the computational portions of the program will be suspended in order to permit the user to interactively translate, rotate, or scale the graphics image to their liking. When the display has been frozen, the viewpoint of the display may be altered by one of several mouse controls. The left mouse button controls rotation, the right mouse button controls translation, and the middle mouse button controls scaling (zoom in, zoom out). The controlling mouse movements are illustrated in Figure 6.2. The mouse-directed viewpoint controls are identical to those used in \textit{PLOT3D} [11]. Once the viewpoint has been altered, program control is returned to \textit{ADPA07} by hitting the ENTER key on the keyboard with input focus directed to the graphics window. At this point, the code will then return to the process of performing time-marching iterations, with periodic updating of the graphics screen.

It is also possible for the user to change the plotting function by entering any one of the following characters with input focus directed to the graphics window at any time during the process:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key</th>
<th>Result</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>p</td>
<td>Set flow function to pressure contours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Set flow function to velocity vectors</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The surfaces plotted by the interactive graphics display is currently hardwired in the code. A wiremesh representation and the corresponding surface contours are generated for the \(i=1, j=1, k=1\) mesh surfaces. This restriction could be removed in future developments.

### 6.3 AGTPLT-LCL Program Description

The program \textit{AGTPLT-LCL} is the receiving program for local graphics display of an \textit{ADPA07} job running on a remote, network-connected computing platform. The \textit{AGTPLT-LCL} program is a modified version of the NASA-AMES developed \textit{PLOT3D-LCL} program.
ADPAC Interactive Graphics Display Mouse Control

Figure 6.2: ADPAC'07 interactive graphics display mouse control
This program can only be run on a Silicon Graphics Workstation running at level 4.0.1 (or above) of the IRIX operating system. As such, compilation of the AGTPLT-LCL program has no options, and is performed simply by executing the command make in the AGTPLT-LCL source directory. Once initiated, the AGTPLT-LCL program waits for an outside process from ADPAC07 to communicate with the local workstation, and graphics commands received from the remote job are displayed locally.

An important consideration in setting up a remote calculation with local graphics display using AGTPLT-LCL is the manner in which the local display is defined in the calculation. The CGL libraries used to permit the network graphics instructions require an internet network address in order to properly transmit the graphics commands to the correct destination. This definition should be provided in the standard input file following the normal keyword parameters (see Section 3.6 for a sample file and keyword definitions). At the end of the standard input keyword data, the user should use an ENDINPUT statement to terminate the normal input stream. The ENDINPUT statement should then be followed by two blank lines, and then a line containing the destination network address of the local Silicon Graphics display device. This specification will ultimately be read by the CGL libraries in setting up the network connection.

The procedure to set up this network-connected graphics display option would be to start the job on the remote machine, and then immediately start the AGTPLT-LCL program on the local display. As long as the correct network address has been entered in the case.input file, then the remote program should begin communicating with the AGTPLT-LCL program, and the local graphics window will begin displaying the graphics instructions specified by the remote computing program.
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ADPAC07 TOOL PROGRAMS
DESCRIPTION

The standard distribution for the ADPAC07 program includes a number of tool programs designed to assist in examining and manipulating data generated for an ADPAC07 solution. Although running these programs is generally self-explanatory, a brief description is provided below to outline the function of each tool program.

7.1 ADPERF Tool Program Description

The ADPERF tool program was designed to provide a simple post processing program for computing overall integrated thrust and power coefficients for unducted fan (propeller) calculations based on a simple H-type mesh discretization strategy (see Standard Configuration #1 in Chapter 5). Upon execution, the ADPERF program asks the user to input the name of the ADPAC07 mesh and restart files for the run of interest. The ADPERF program then opens and reads both files, and attempts to estimate the number of blades in the propeller which the user must then verify (presumably the mesh represents only a single blade passage of the overall geometry). Following this, the ADPERF program asks for the value of the ADPAC07 nondimensional parameters RHO0 and OMEGA. These values are identified in the ADPAC07 output file under the following heading:

non-dimensional initial values calculated as:
************************************

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>rho0</td>
<td>.8498</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>u0</td>
<td>.6643</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v0</td>
<td>.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>w0</td>
<td>.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e10</td>
<td>2.5630</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h0</td>
<td>3.5000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p0</td>
<td>.7962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t0</td>
<td>.9370</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The final parameter to be entered is the propeller diameter in grid units (if the mesh is in feet, enter the propeller diameter in feet). Following this, the ADPERF program will compute the propeller power and thrust coefficients based on blade static pressure loading.

### 7.2 ADSTAT Tool Program Description

The ADSTAT tool program was designed to provide statistical information about a mesh or flow (PLOT3D output) file from an ADPAC07 run. Upon execution, the ADSTAT program asks the user to select whether information about a mesh file (m) or flow file (f) is desired. In either case, the user is then asked to input the appropriate mesh or flow file name. If the mesh file option is selected, the ADSTAT program opens the mesh file and reports the number of mesh blocks contained within the file, as well as the individual mesh block sizes. The ADSTAT program also computes the maximum allowable number of multigrid levels (based on mesh size alone) which can be used for an ADPAC07 run. In addition, the ADSTAT program computes and reports the minimum required ADPAC07 array size parameters for all allowable number of multigrid levels. This capability is the most useful feature of the ADSTAT program. If the flow file option is selected, in addition to the above, the extra flow file data (standard in the PLOT3D file format) is also reported for each block (normally this includes the Mach number, angle of attack, Reynolds number, and time).

### 7.3 AOA2AXI Tool Program Description

The AOA2AXI tool program was designed to compute an axisymmetric average of a 3-D cylindrical coordinate system solution. The program is restricted to H-type meshes similar to standard configurations #1-3 and in Chapter 5 which possess uniform axisymmetric projections on each mesh plane in the circumferential direction (this simplifies the averaging process). When running AOA2AXI, the user is requested to enter the 3-D mesh and flow (PLOT3D format) file names. Then, the user is offered the option of redimensionalizing the data, and finally, the user is requested to enter the 2-D axisymmetric mesh and flow (PLOT3D format) file names. The AOA2AXI code computes the axisymmetric average of the 3-D mesh and flow data and stores the result in the 2-D axisymmetric mesh and flow files. These data may then be used with PLOT3D and other graphics visualization tools to examine the axisymmetric average of the 3-D solution.

### 7.4 PATCHFINDER Tool Programs Description

An ADPAC07 utility program was developed to aid in the construction of boundary condition files for complex, interconnected multiple block mesh systems. The new utility, named PATCHFINDER, reads in an ADPAC07 mesh (a PLOT3D binary multiple-block grid) and
determines which blocks share matching faces. As the grid is read, the faces are striped off into a separate array. Individual points on these faces are compared until a "point" match is found. Neighboring points are then compared to find a "cell" match. This also determines the relative directions of the matching indicies. From this cell match, a common face area is swept out and a PATCH boundary condition statement is written using the bounding indicies of the common face area.

After all the PATCH specifications have been written, any remaining surfaces not accounted for will have a solid surface wall (SSVI) boundary condition written out. This allows the user to simply replace a few solid wall statements with the proper inlet and exit boundary conditions and start running. The PATCHFINDER user input file contains approximately ten variables to customize a PATCHFINDER run to each grid although many grids can be processed without special input. Since the majority of boundary conditions prescribed in most geometries are block patches and solid walls, running PATCHFINDER will greatly simplify the generation of a boundary data file.

Several methods were implemented to accelerate the PATCHFINDER search and compare process. Some of these methods include using multi-grid and bounding cube limits. If a mesh is created to be run with ADPAC07 using multi-grid, this can also be used to accelerate PATCHFINDER since boundary conditions must be consistent across multi-grid levels. Each level of multi-grid decreases the number of face points by a factor of 4; therefore, with 3 levels of multi-grid a decrease in run time of roughly sixteen times can be expected. The bounding cube limit method creates a limiting cube enclosing all the cell centers of a block face. Before any individual face points are checked, the corresponding bounding cubes are checked for intersection. If the cubes do not intersect, then no matching points are possible; this greatly reduces the number of individual point searches.

Several test cases were run using PATCHFINDER. These included both 2-D and 3-D geometries with and without multi-grid. Each of these runs was timed to evaluate the efficiency of the program. The resulting approximate wall clock times are shown in the table in Figure 7.1. All cases were run on the same machine under similar conditions so that relative comparisons can be made. Most of the test cases using multi-grid finished in under two minutes. One of the largest and most complex test cases was based on a mesh with over 480,000 points and over 500 matching faces. PATCHFINDER was able to correctly identify all the patches in under 17 minutes (on a Silicon Graphics 4D-35 workstation) as opposed to the approximately two days required to correctly specify the PATCH connections by hand. The PATCHFINDER time was limited in this case since the grid contained only one level of multi-grid. From the times recorded in the table, the advantage of using multi-grid becomes apparent.

7.5 PLOT3D Tool Programs Description

A number of tool programs originally generated for the PLOT3D program are included with the ADPAC07 distribution because of their usefulness in manipulating ADPAC07 mesh and flow (PLOT3D output format) files. A brief description of these codes is given below. It should be noted that most of these programs are designed to deal with unformatted files, rather than the ADPAC07 standard binary format. Fortunately, the PLOT3D or BIN2CNF tool programs can be used to convert from ADPAC07 binary to unformatted.
## Table 7.1: Approximate wall clock run times for various PATCHFINDER test case configurations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Case Grid</th>
<th>Grid Points</th>
<th>Block Faces</th>
<th>Multi-Grid Levels</th>
<th>PATCH(es) Written</th>
<th>Elapsed Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2-D Axisymmetric Seal Cavity (Phadke/Owen #5)</td>
<td>5,438</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0:02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-Grid Capped with H-Grids</td>
<td>34,595</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>11:14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combustion Can</td>
<td>160,040</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>0:07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exhaust Mixer</td>
<td>197,190</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>1:23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vane Blade Interaction</td>
<td>232,645</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0:19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotor–Stator–Rotor with Seal Cavity Grid (Unsteady, Multiple Pitches)</td>
<td>259,777</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>1:05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airplane</td>
<td>483,876</td>
<td>618</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>808</td>
<td>16:31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AE3007 Fan with 5-Groove Casing Treatment</td>
<td>642,479</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1:46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotor–Stator–Rotor with Seal Cavity Grid</td>
<td>715,001</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>1:44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 7.1: Approximate wall clock run times for various PATCHFINDER test case configurations.
and the MAKEADGRID program may be used to convert from unformatted format to ADPAC07 binary.

- **CHOPQ** Cut a subset out of a (3D, single grid) PLOT3D Q file and write it out as a new Q file.

- **CHOPX** Cut a subset out of a (3D, single grid) PLOT3D XYZ file and write it out as a new XYZ file.

- **CHOPXB** Cut a subset out of a (3D, single grid) PLOT3D XYZ+IBLANK file and write it out as a new XYZ+IBLANK file.

- **COMBINEQ** Combine several (3D, single grid) PLOT3D Q files into a new multiple grid Q file.

- **COMBINEX** Combine several (3D, single grid) PLOT3D XYZ files into a new multiple grid XYZ file.

- **COMBINEXB** Combine several (3D, single grid) PLOT3D XYZ+IBLANK files into a new multiple grid XYZ+IBLANK file.

- **IJK** Generate a (3D, single grid) PLOT3D XYZ file which is simply the computational grid, i.e. \((x,y,z) = (i,j,k)\). Good for looking at flow quantities in the computational domain.

- **INT3D** Interpolate a (3D, single grid) PLOT3D Q file from one grid onto another. Old and new XYZ files may have IBLANK. Various options available on what to do if a new grid point isn’t found within the old grid. Uses trilinear interpolation.

- **MIRRORQ** Flip a (3D, single grid) PLOT3D Q file about the x-, y-, or z-axis.

- **MIRRORX** Flip a (3D, single grid) PLOT3D XYZ file about the x-, y-, or z-axis.

- **PROPER2D** Perform 2D grid line crossing check on a (2D, single grid) PLOT3D XYZ file.

- **PROPER3D** Perform tetrahedron decomposition cell volume check on a (3D, single grid) PLOT3D XYZ file.

- **PROPER3DN** Perform tetrahedron decomposition grid crossing check on a (3D, single grid) PLOT3D XYZ file.

- **REFINEX** Generate a new (3D, single grid) PLOT3D XYZ file which is an integer refinement of an existing grid file. Uses parametric cubic interpolation.

- **ROTATEX** Rotate a (3D, single grid) PLOT3D XYZ file about the x-, y-, or z-axis.

- **SCALEX** Scale a (3D, single grid) PLOT3D XYZ file.

- **SCALEX** Scale a (3D, single grid) PLOT3D XYZ+IBLANK file.

- **SPLITQ** Split a (3D) multiple grid PLOT3D Q file into separate single grid Q files. Can skip grids if desired.
- **SPLITX** Split a (3D) multiple grid PLOT3D XYZ file into separate single grid XYZ files. Can skip grids if desired.

- **SPLITXB** Split a (3D) multiple grid PLOT3D XYZ+IBLANK file into separate single grid XYZ+IBLANK files. Can skip grids if desired.

- **TRANSLATEX** Translate a (3D, single grid) PLOT3D XYZ file.

- **TRANSLATEXB** Translate a (3D, single grid) PLOT3D XYZ+IBLANK file.

The UNIX `make` command may be used to compile and link the PLOT3D tools as follows:

```
2xxx/3xxx: make -f Makefile.i2
CRAY 2: make -f Makefile.c2
VAX/VMS: @MAKEFILE.VMS
```

### 7.6 PLOTBC Tool Programs Description

In order to facilitate a graphical examination of an ADPA'07 boundary data file, a utility program called PLOTBC was created. The PLOTBC program reads in a user-specifiable ADPA'07 mesh and boundary data file and creates five PLOT3D-compatible command files. The five command files, in conjunction with the mesh file, permits the user to graphically examine (using the PLOT3D program) a number of features of the mesh construction and boundary condition specifications. This utility provides a rapid means of assessing the completeness of a boundary data file and provides a visual method for determining the characteristics of an ADPA'07 computational model.

The PLOTBC program is invoked by simply running the executable as follows:

```
plotbc
```

The appropriate path to the executable may also have to be specified if the executable file is not locally available. The user is then prompted for the ADPA'07 case name as follows:

```
Enter ADPAC case name:
```

After entering the case name, the PLOTBC program reads in the ADPA'07 mesh and boundary data files, extracts the boundary conditions and organizes them into categories. Each category is then used to construct a PLOT3D command file which allows the user to visualize all boundary conditions in a common category. The resulting PLOT3D command files and their functions are listed in Table 7.6. Each of the ADPA'07 boundary conditions identified by PLOTBC is color-coded such that all the command files can be read sequentially, thus displaying all the boundaries at once.

Once created, the PLOTBC command files may be used with PLOT3D by reading in the corresponding mesh file, and then invoking one or more of the scripts as shown below:
**PLOTBC Command Function**

**File Name**

- **axes.com**: Grid index orientation
- **inletx.com**: Inflow/outflow boundaries
- **solid.com**: Solid surfaces
  - (viscous and inviscid)
  - (rotating and non-rotating)
- **outline.com**: Mesh block outline
- **patch.com**: Inter-block PATCH boundaries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>File Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>axes.com</td>
<td>Grid index orientation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inletx.com</td>
<td>Inflow/outflow boundaries</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| solid.com   | Solid surfaces
  - (viscous and inviscid)
  - (rotating and non-rotating) |
| outline.com | Mesh block outline                               |
| patch.com   | Inter-block PATCH boundaries                     |

Table 7.1: *PLOTBC* command file names and boundary condition categories

**UNIX PROMPT> plot3d**

Once the *PLOT3D* program is initialized, the mesh file should be read in using the standard *PLOT3D* commands, and then the command files may be invoked by a command such as:

**PLOT3D V3: @outline**

The action of the command file is to essentially define what is to be plotted. The actual plotting is not performed until the user enters the plot command at the *PLOT3D* prompt. Additional details may be found in the *PLOT3D* User’s Manual [14].
PLOTBC Tool Programs Description
Bibliography


Appendix A

ADPAC07 DISTRIBUTION AND DEMONSTRATION INSTRUCTIONS

A.1 Introduction

This appendix describes the commands necessary to extract the source code and demo files from the ADPAC07 standard distribution and run a complete test case for a ducted fan employing multiple blade rows. The standard ADPAC07 distribution is a compressed tar file which can be decoded into the various parts by a sequence of commands on any standard UNIX system. The sequence listed below is intended to guide the user through the setup from the standard distribution up to and including a complete demonstration of a calculation for a ducted proplfan employing multiple blade rows. The command sequence listed below should work on most systems employing the UNIX operating system. Since portions of this process are inherently machine-dependent, the exact commands listed here are for a Silicon Graphics Workstation running the IRIX Operating System, Revision 4.0.1. Alternate commands will be listed when a significant machine dependence exists.

A.2 Extracting the Source Files

The ADPAC07 programs are distributed as a compressed tar file named

    adpac07.tar.Z

This tar file requires roughly 22.0 megabytes of disk space. It should be possible to extract and run the code on any standard UNIX system from this distribution file. The first step necessary to extract the ADPAC07 programs is to uncompress the tar file with the command:

    uncompressed adpac07.tar.Z
This operation essentially replaces the compressed file \texttt{adpac07.tar.Z} with an uncompressed file \texttt{adpac07.tar}. The uncompressed \texttt{tar} file requires approximately 41.0 megabytes of disk space.

The next step is to extract the individual files and directories from the \texttt{adpac07.tar} file. The \texttt{tar} command will create a subdirectory named \texttt{adpac07} in the current directory, so it is up to the user to move the \texttt{adpac07.tar} file to a suitable initial directory before extracting the embedded subdirectories. Once the \texttt{tar} file is properly placed, the \texttt{ADPAC'07} distribution may be extracted with the command:

\begin{verbatim}
  tar xvf adpac07.tar
\end{verbatim}

(On some systems \texttt{tar xvf adpac07.tar} may be sufficient.) Execution of the UNIX list command \texttt{ls -l} will verify that the \texttt{adpac} directory has been created. The complete extraction process will require about 90.0 Megabytes of disk space (to hold the \texttt{adpac07.tar} file and the extracted contents).

The \texttt{uncompress} and \texttt{tar} steps can be combined in a single operation on most UNIX systems by issuing the command:

\begin{verbatim}
  zcat adpac07.tar.Z | tar xvf -
\end{verbatim}

This combined operation conserves overall disk space requirements during the extraction process.

\section*{A.3 Compiling the Source Code}

After extracting the source files, the user is naturally interested in compiling the source files for execution. A UNIX-compatible \texttt{Make} facility is provided for each of the \texttt{ADPAC'07} programs. The \texttt{Makefile} which governs the compilation process is necessarily machine-dependent and requires that the user select from one of a number of preconfigured systems. The \texttt{Make} command is fully described in Section 3.4. If no option is specified in the \texttt{make} command, then the standard UNIX compilation is performed.

In order to begin the compilation, it is first necessary to enter the \texttt{adpac} directory with the command:

\begin{verbatim}
  cd adpac
\end{verbatim}

At this point, several files and directories will be available. By entering the UNIX command \texttt{ls -l}, a listing of the individual directories can be obtained. The output of the \texttt{ls} command will look something like:

\begin{verbatim}
  README demo/  manual/  report/  src/
\end{verbatim}
A description of each of these listings is given below:

**README** This file is a general description of the contents of the directory.

demo This directory contains several geometry and flow input files for generating sample runs of the ADPAC'07 codes.

manual This directory contains the \LaTeX source code for this manual. If \LaTeX is installed on your system, it is possible to reproduce this document (excluding figures) with the command \texttt{latex manual}. The resulting device independent file \texttt{manual.dvi} may then be converted to \texttt{PostScript} or previewed on screen through a number of widely available routines.

report This directory contains the \LaTeX source code for the final report outlining the technical details of the ADPAC'07 codes. If \LaTeX is installed on your system, it is possible to reproduce the final report (excluding figures) with the command \texttt{latex report}. The resulting device independent file \texttt{finalreport.dvi} may then be converted to \texttt{PostScript} or previewed on screen through a number of widely available routines.

src This directory contains all the FORTRAN source code for the ADPAC'07 programs including \texttt{SETUP, ROTGRID, MAKEADGRID}, and \texttt{AGTPLT-LCL}.

It is now possible to compile the ADPAC'07 code by issuing the commands

```
cd src/adpac
make
```

On a Cray, the command \texttt{make cray} is appropriate, while on an IBM workstation \texttt{make aix} is appropriate. Other compilation options are available by typing \texttt{make help}. The compilation of the executable module for ADPAC'07 will require roughly 20 megabytes of disk space.

### A.4 Running the Distribution Demonstration Test Cases

Once the \texttt{make} facility has properly completed compiling the ADPAC'07 source code, it is possible to run the test cases provided with the standard distribution. It is recommended that the sample cases be tested to verify proper compilation and extraction of the ADPAC'07 distribution.

In order to run the demonstration cases, it is necessary to begin in the \texttt{demo} directory. From the ADPAC'07 source code directory, the \texttt{demo} directory may be entered by issuing the command

```
cd ../..\demo
```

Several test cases are provided with the standard distribution to illustrate the operation of the code for many different applications. The commands needed to run any demo are similar, so only the case listed under the directory \texttt{nasa} will be explained in detail here.
After entering the demo directory, an `ls` command will indicate that the following subdirectories (and possibly others) are available:

```
bump/ markii/ nasa/ rotor67/
```

These subdirectories contain the ducted fan demonstration case described above (`nasa/`), as well as other demonstration test cases for the ADPAC07 code. To run the multiple blade row ducted fan demonstration case, enter the `nasa` subdirectory by issuing the command `cd nasa`. Now, the `ls` command reveals:

```
nasa.input nasa.boundata nasa.mesh
nasa.output.save nasa.converge.save
```

The `nasa` directory contains the data to run a test case for the NASA 1.15 pressure ratio ducted fan. This geometry is representative of a 25:1 bypass ratio turbofan engine fan, and has been tested extensively both experimentally and numerically. This test case employs two blade rows (a rotor and a stator) and the multiple blade rows are treated using the circumferential averaging technique described in Section 2.2. The mesh corresponds to Standard Configuration #10, and the mesh and appropriate mesh indices are illustrated in Figure A.1. The multiple-block mesh for this test case is contained in `nasa.mesh`, and may be viewed using the `PLOT3D` program. The flow Mach number is 0.75, and the calculation is performed at 100% design speed (9167 rpm). For the purposes of this demonstration, an inviscid calculation using 3 levels of multigrid has been configured.

The next step in the solution process is to simply run the ADPAC07 program for this case. The standard input file `nasa.input` and the boundary data file `nasa.boundata` are provided to run the program (these files are listed in this manual as sample files in Sections 3.6 and 3.7). The steady flow solution is generated by issuing the command

```
.../.../src/adpac/adpac <nasa.input >nasa.output
```

The computation time required to generate the steady state solution may take up to four hours on a workstation-class computer. Once the steady flow solution has been generated, the `ls` command will reveal the following files:

```
nasa.restart.new nasa.p3drel nasa.p3dabs
nasa.converge nasa.input nasa.output
nasa.converge.save nasa.output.save
```

The file `nasa.restart.new` contains the restart file necessary to continue this run from the point of termination. The files `nasa.p3dabs` and `nasa.p3drel` contain the absolute and relative flow `PLOT3D` flow variable information, respectively. The file `nasa.output` is the new standard output file, and should be compared with the file `nasa.output.save` to verify that the program has performed the calculation correctly. It may be of interest to examine these steady flow results with `PLOT3D` at this point (see Ref. [14] for details).
NASA 1.15 Pressure Ratio Fan Test Case Description

Axisymmetric Mesh View

Mesh Block Structure

Figure A.1: NASA 1.15 pressure ratio fan test case
A plot of the convergence history for this case is given in Figure A.2. The "jumps" in the residual history are a result of the "full" multigrid startup procedure, and should not be considered inappropriate.

The standard output file `nasa.output` should be compared with the listing provided in Section 3.10 to make sure that the code has performed the calculation properly.
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## Abstract

The overall objective of this study was to develop a 3-D numerical analysis for compressor casing treatment flowfields. The current version of the computer code resulting from this study is referred to as ADPAC (Advanced Ducted Propfan Analysis Codes-Version 7). This report is intended to serve as a computer program user’s manual for the ADPAC code developed under Tasks VI and VII of NASA Contract NAS3-25270. The ADPAC program is based on a flexible multiple-block grid discretization scheme permitting coupled 2-D/3-D mesh block solutions with application to a wide variety of geometries. Aerodynamic calculations are based on a four-stage Runge-Kutta time-marching finite volume solution technique with added numerical dissipation. Steady flow predictions are accelerated by a multigrid procedure. An iterative implicit algorithm is available for rapid time-dependent flow calculations, and an advanced two equation turbulence model is incorporated to predict complex turbulent flows. The consolidated code generated during this study is capable of executing in either a serial or parallel computing mode from a single source code. Numerous examples are given in the form of test cases to demonstrate the utility of this approach for predicting the aerodynamics of modern turbomachinery configurations.