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SCOPE

This is the Annual Report prepared by the Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory for the second year research activity on NASA Marshall Space Flight Center Grant NAG8-1046 with Charles Rupp as Technical Monitor. This report covers the period from 22 February 1995 through 21 February 1996.
Summary

This report deals with the following topics which are all related to the orbital injection of the SEDSAT satellite:

1) Dynamics and Stability of Tether Oscillations after the First Cut

The dynamics of the tether after the first cut (i.e., without the Shuttle attached to it) is investigated. The tether oscillations with the free end are analyzed in order to assess the stability of the rectilinear configuration in between the two tether cuts.

2) Analysis of Unstable Modes

The unstable modes that appear for high libration angles are further investigated in order to determine their occurrences and the possible transition from bound librations to rotations.

3) Orbital Release Strategies for SEDSAT

A parametric analysis of the orbital decay rate of the SEDSAT satellite after the two tether cuts has been carried out as a function of the following free parameters: libration amplitude at the end of deployment, deviation angle from LV at the first cut, and orbital anomaly at the second cut. The values of these parameters that provide a minimum orbital decay rate of the satellite (after the two cuts) have been computed.

4) Dynamics and Control of SEDSAT

The deployment control law has been modified to cope with the new ejection velocity of the satellite from the Shuttle cargo bay. New reference profiles have been derived as well as new control parameters. Timing errors at the satellite release as a function of the variations of the initial conditions and the tension model parameters have been estimated for the modified control law.
INTRODUCTION

Some aspects of the dynamics of SEDSAT orbit injection are studied. After being deployed from the space Shuttle flying in a circular orbit, the SEDSAT satellite and tether will librate zenithwards as a gravity gradient pendulum [1]. At a suitable moment, the tether will be cut at the orbiter end, leaving the tethered system (TS) to enter a higher energy elliptical orbit, safely away from the shuttle. If the TS is straight at cut time, it will continue librating about its center of mass (COM) keeping its rectilinear shape, just as a rigid body. A second cut is done, leaving the satellite and tether to continue separate orbits. The libration can be used to transfer angular momentum from the tether to the satellite, which will reach a higher orbit at the expense of the tether. The mass of the tether is not negligible. The four stages—deployment, libration, TS orbit and satellite orbit—are to be optimized to obtain the longest possible satellite life.

The COM orbit sensitivity to deployment parameters and cut time, studied by Jesus Pelaez in Ref. [2], will be used along this research.

The main topics to be studied are the stability of the rectilinear configuration and the optimization of operational life.

It is known that a straight shape is a solution of the equations of motion of the TS [3, p. 39]. This is precisely the movement desired in order to obtain the maximum transfer of angular momentum from the tether to the satellite. However, we must study whether this movement is stable, since it will not be possible to get the perfect initial conditions at cut time. On the contrary, the tension released at cutting will result in tether shrinking, producing speed and position deviations from the rectilinear shape. We need to know how the perturbations of the rectilinear shape will propagate during the few orbits the injection will take. The main danger comes from the free end. The stability of two-mass tethered satellites has been thoroughly studied: the tension produced by rotation and the two end masses has a stabilizing effect. But in this case one tether end is free [4, 5]. The instability is more likely to appear when the TS swings backwards in the libration, at which time the tension falls to its minimum. Besides, most stability studies have been done for circular orbits, while here the elliptic orbit will induce a forcing term from the inertia forces. The oscillation modes and frequencies will be sought by different methods and the evolution of the libration itself will be investigated.

Another point of concern is selecting the right set of parameters to obtain the maximum satellite useful life. Since the shuttle orbit is fixed, we have only three free parameters: libration amplitude, libration angle at first cut time, and second cut time. The maximum operational life corresponds to maximum orbit energy and minimum eccentricity. This corresponds to minimum orbital decay rate. We will compute the orbital decay rate as a function of the three free parameters.

These two aspects are closely related. It is obvious that the wider the libration amplitude, the greater the speed increase obtained at cut time, and the higher the orbit attained. However, these large librations bring us closer to the instability region. We need to know how far we can go without a catastrophic propagation of perturbations.
Stability of Pendular Motion

Elliptic Orbit of the Center of Mass

Within the degree of approximation considered in this study, the center of mass of the TS will follow an unperturbed elliptic orbit. The Deployment, first cut, and second cut will take just a few orbits, so that no perturbations need to be considered: only spherical earth gravitation and inertia forces will be considered. The parameters of the COM orbit are known as a function of the deployment and cut data [2]:

\[ a = R(1 + 2(1 + u)e + \ldots); \]
\[ e = 2ue + \ldots; \]
\[ \omega = \omega_0(1 + 3(1 + u)e + \ldots); \]

where \( u = 3 + 2\sqrt{3}\sin \theta_M \) and \( e = \xi_0/2R_0 \). \( \theta_M \) is the TS libration amplitude; \( R_0 \) is the shuttle's circular orbit radius; \( \xi_0 \) is the distance from the free end to the center of mass of the TS with the tether straight, while \( L_f \) is the final deployed tether length. We will use here the same notation as [2] for \( u \) and \( e \), but a different one will be used later on for the study of decay optimization in section 3.

If the TS is straight at cut time, it will keep its straight shape and orbit as a rigid body [3]. The terms coupling COM motion with rotation about the COM are smaller than the approximation considered here, so that the gravidyne effect studied by Beletski is completely negligible for the size of the TS considered [3, pp. 67-68]. We can thus study separately the motion of the COM and the TS motion about its COM.

Pendular Motion Equations

The tether is considered as perfectly flexible and inextensible. A reference frame Gxyz is chosen as follows: origin in the tethered system center of mass, Gx aligned with the local vertical towards zenith, Gz aligned with the orbital speed of the center of mass, and Gy completing a right-handed frame. The equations of the TS motion are:

\[ \frac{\partial^2 R}{\partial t^2} = \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \left( T \frac{\partial R}{\partial s} \right) + pf \]
\[ \frac{\partial R}{\partial s} = 1 \]
\[ \int_0^L \rho R ds + m_A R_A = 0 \]

where \( t \) is time and \( s \) the curvilinear abscissa, with origin at the end mass; \( \rho \) is the linear density of the tether, \( L_t \) its total length, \( T(s,t) \) its tension, \( f \) the force per unit mass, \( R(s,t) \) the position vector, and \( A \) the end mass. The second equation corresponds to a perfectly inextensible tether, and the third to taking the COM as origin of the reference frame.

We shift to non-dimensional variables using the average orbital rate and tether length:

\[ \tau = n(t - t_p), \quad s = L_t \sigma, \quad R = L_t \mathbf{r}, \quad T = \rho n^2 L_t^3 F; \quad \text{where} \quad n = \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{a^3}} \]

Obtaining:

\[ \tilde{\mathbf{r}} = (\tilde{F} \tilde{\mathbf{r}})' + \frac{1}{L_t n^2} f \]
\[ \| \tilde{\mathbf{r}} \| = 1 \]
\[ \int \tilde{r} \tilde{r} d\sigma = \frac{\rho L_t n^2}{m_A} \tilde{r}(0, \tau) = 0 \]

With boundary conditions:
\[ \sigma = 0 \begin{cases} \frac{\partial F}{\partial \sigma}(0, \tau) = \frac{pL}{m_A} F(0, \tau) \\
F \frac{\partial^2 r}{\partial \sigma^2}(0, \tau) = 0 \\
\sigma = 1 \quad F = 0 \end{cases} \]

Where \( \sigma = 0 \) is the end mass and \( \sigma = 1 \) the free end. The perturbing force is:

\[
f = -\frac{\omega^2}{\kappa} \begin{pmatrix} -3x \\ -y \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{e\omega^2}{\kappa} \begin{pmatrix} x \cos \nu - 2\nu \sin \nu \\ 0 \\ z \cos \nu + 2\nu \sin \nu \end{pmatrix} + 2\nu \begin{pmatrix} \dot{z} \\ 0 \\ -\dot{x} \end{pmatrix}
\]

Where \( \nu \) is the true anomaly of the COM orbit; \( \kappa=1+e \cos \nu; \omega = \dot{\nu} \). The \( 1/L_f \) factor in \( f \) can be dropped if \( x,y,z \) are non-dimensional lengths. Only terms of order \( e \) and \( ee \) are kept; \( e^2, ee^2, \) and \( e^2e \) have been dropped.

If, hypothetically, the tether is assumed to keep a rectilinear shape, it will be sufficient to give the position of one of the masses in spherical coordinates [3, p. 378ff]. The equations of motion of a fully deployed massive tether, considered as a rigid body, and without any perturbations nor thrust (dumbbell model), are:

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{\theta} + \omega \tan \varphi (\theta + \nu) + \frac{3\mu}{R_0^3} \sin \theta \cos \theta &= 0 \\
\dot{\varphi} + \left[ (\theta + \nu) \right]^2 \frac{3\mu}{R_0^3} \cos^2 \theta \sin \varphi \cos \varphi &= 0 \\
T &= \left[ (\theta + \nu) \right]^2 \frac{\mu}{R_0^3} (1 - 3 \cos^2 \theta) \right] P(s) \\
P(s) = m_\lambda (s_\lambda - s_\Lambda) + \int_{s_\lambda}^{s_\Lambda} \rho(s)(s_\lambda - s) \, ds
\end{align*}
\]

Where \( \theta \) and \( \varphi \) are the spherical coordinates of \( A \). Note that the reference frame used here is different from the one in [3]. These expressions are valid only while \( T > 0 \). The tension is a function of \( t \) and \( s \), which, for constant \( \rho \) and only one mass, is:

\[
P(s) = -\rho \frac{s_i^2}{2} + \rho s_o s + m_\lambda s_c = -\rho \frac{\sigma^2 L_t}{2} + \rho b L_t \frac{1}{2} + m_\lambda L_t
\]

Where \( b \) is the non-dimensional curvilinear abscissa of the COM: \( b = \frac{s_\lambda}{L_t} = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + \frac{m_\lambda}{\rho L_t} \right) \)

We shift to the non-dimensional arc length \( v \), which is the same as \( \sigma \) but for taking now \( G \) as origin. \( v \) is positive towards \( A \) and is defined as follows: \( v = b - \sigma \). Consequently,

\[
P(v) = \frac{pL_t^2}{2} \left( (b-1)^2 - v^2 \right)
\]

If we consider in-plane pendular motion only, \( \varphi \) and its derivatives will be zero, and equations (3) reduce only to the \( \theta \) equations, as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\kappa n^2 \dot{\theta} - 2\omega^2 e \sin \nu + 3\omega^2 \sin \theta \cos \theta &= 0 \\
2F &= \left[ \theta + \frac{\omega^2}{2} \left( 1 - 3 \cos^2 \theta \right) (b-1)^2 - v^2 \right]
\end{align*}
\]

Where dots are derivatives with regard to non-dimensional time \( \tau \), which is equivalent to the mean anomaly of the COM orbit. \( \omega \) is the instant angular speed of the orbital frame, which corresponds to the orbit of the COM. Note that, although we are using non-dimensional time, \( \omega \) is still the derivative of \( v \) with regard to real time \( t \). We have:

\[
\omega = \frac{dv}{dt} = \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{a^3}} \frac{(1 + e \cos v)^2}{(1-e^2)^{3/2}}; \quad \omega^2 = \frac{\mu}{R_0^3} (1 + e \cos v); \quad \frac{d\omega}{dt} = -2\sqrt{\frac{\mu}{a^3}} \frac{(1 + e \cos v)}{(1-e^2)^{3/2}} e \sin v = -2e \sin v \frac{\omega^2}{\kappa}
\]
Numerical integration of the equations above requires solving Kepler's equation at every step. It is therefore easier to use the true anomaly as variable:

\[
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\dot{\theta}}{\omega} - \frac{2\sin v}{K} (\theta + 1) + \frac{3}{K} \sin \theta \cos \theta &= 0 \\
F &= \frac{\omega^2}{2n^2} \left[ (\theta + 1)^2 - \frac{1}{\kappa} (1 - 3\cos^2 \theta) \right] \left[ (b - 1)^2 - v^2 \right]
\end{aligned}
\]

Where \( \dot{\theta} = \partial \theta / \partial \nu \). These equations correspond to the gravity gradient pendulum, plus small damping and forcing terms due to inertia forces in an eccentric orbit. The initial conditions for the integration correspond to the libration in the circular orbit of the shuttle. An adjustment has to be made to the initial deviation and angular speed when the system switches from the circular orbit frame to the new elliptic orbit frame after cutting the tether.

**Deviations from the Pendular Motion**

In order to study the deviations from a pendular motion, we will erect a reference frame tied to the assumed rigid shape of the TS: origin in the COM, \( \xi \) along the tether towards A, \( \eta \) parallel to \( \gamma \), and \( \zeta \) normal to the tether within the orbital plane. \( \xi, \eta, \zeta \) moves according to the equations above. The coordinates of the TS points in the new frame will be:

\[
\begin{aligned}
\xi(\sigma, \tau) &= x = \xi \cos \theta - \zeta \sin \theta \\
\eta(\sigma, \tau) &= y = \eta \\
\zeta(\sigma, \tau) &= z = \xi \sin \theta + \zeta \cos \theta
\end{aligned}
\]

In the new frame, the derivatives to be used in the TS motion equations will be:

\[
\begin{aligned}
\dot{\xi} &= \eta + 2\dot{\theta} - \zeta \\
\dot{\eta} &= \xi + \theta - \dot{\theta}^2 - \dot{\zeta} \\
\dot{\zeta} &= \xi + \dot{\zeta}
\end{aligned}
\]

\[
\begin{aligned}
(F')' &= \left[ \begin{array}{c}
F_x' \\
F_y' \\
F_z'
\end{array} \right] \\
fx' &= \omega^2 \left[ \begin{array}{c}
3(\xi \cos^2 \theta - \zeta \sin \theta \cos \theta) \\
-\eta \\
3(-\xi \cos \theta \sin \theta + \zeta \sin^2 \theta)
\end{array} \right] + \omega^2 \cos \nu \left[ \begin{array}{c}
\xi \\
0 \\
\zeta
\end{array} \right] + 2\omega^2 \sin \nu \left[ \begin{array}{c}
0 \\
\zeta \\
\xi
\end{array} \right] + 2n \omega \left[ \begin{array}{c}
\zeta + \dot{\zeta} \\
0 \\
-\dot{\xi} + \dot{\zeta}
\end{array} \right]
\end{aligned}
\]

If we consider the initial conditions of a pendular motion—\( \xi(\sigma, 0) = \sigma_A - \sigma, \eta = 0, \zeta = 0 \), and first derivatives zero except \( \xi' = 1 \)—and substitute them into the above equations, we obtain the initial acceleration:

\[
\begin{aligned}
\ddot{\xi}(\sigma, 0) &= -F' + (\sigma_A - \sigma) \left( \frac{3\omega^2}{K^2} \cos^2 \theta + \frac{\omega^2}{K^2} \cos \nu + \frac{2n}{\omega} \theta + \dot{\theta}^2 \right) \\
\ddot{\eta}(\sigma, 0) &= 0 \\
\ddot{\zeta}(\sigma, 0) &= (\sigma_A - \sigma) \left( -\dot{\theta} - \frac{3\omega^2}{K^2} - \frac{3\cos \theta \sin \theta + 2\omega^2}{K^2} \sin \nu \right)
\end{aligned}
\]

The initial accelerations are linear in \( \sigma_A - \sigma \) except for \( F' \). If the tether is initially straight, pendular motion equations apply to the TS-body frame and, therefore:

\[
\begin{aligned}
F' &= (\sigma_A - \sigma) \left( \frac{3\omega^2}{K^2} \cos^2 \theta + \frac{\omega^2}{K^2} \cos \nu + \frac{2n}{\omega} \theta + \dot{\theta}^2 \right) \\
\dot{\theta} + \frac{1}{\omega} \frac{3\omega^2}{K^2} - \frac{3\cos \theta \sin \theta}{K^2} \sin \nu &= 0
\end{aligned}
\]

\( F' \) in (10) is obtained by derivating (6) with regard to \( v \) and then switching back to \( \sigma \). This leads to:
Pendular motion is thus a solution of the equations of movement for the TS when the initial conditions are rectilinear. What has to be studied now is the stability of this solution, and the speed at which perturbations propagate or die out.

**Small Transverse Oscillations**

Since the equations of the in-plane and out-of-plane deviations \( \xi, \eta, \zeta \) are coupled through the tether continuity equation \( r'' = 1 \) and tension only, they can be studied separately. If they are assumed to be small, they can be decoupled since the coupling terms are of a higher order. Taking into account the equations for pendular motion, we obtain:

\[
\begin{align*}
\ddot{\xi} &= \left(F_{\xi} \right)' + \left( \frac{3\omega^2}{\kappa n^2} \cos^2 \theta + \frac{\omega^2}{\kappa n^2} \cos v + \frac{2\omega \theta}{n} + \theta^2 \right) \ddot{\xi} + 2 \left( \frac{\omega}{n} + \dot{\theta} \right) \dot{\xi} \\
\ddot{\eta} &= (F_{\eta})' - \frac{\omega^2}{\kappa n^2} \eta \\
\ddot{\zeta} &= (F_{\zeta})' + \left( \frac{3\omega^2}{\kappa n^2} \sin^2 \theta + \frac{\omega^2}{\kappa n^2} \cos v + \frac{2\omega \theta}{n} + \theta^2 \right) \ddot{\zeta} - 2 \left( \frac{\omega}{n} + \dot{\theta} \right) \dot{\zeta} \\
\xi''^2 + \eta''^2 + \zeta''^2 &= 1
\end{align*}
\]

Plus the condition that \( G \) coincides with the COM:

\[
\int_{v=1}^{b} r_0 d \nu + \frac{m_{\text{ref}}}{\rho L} \nu(b) = 0
\]

Where \( v=b \) is the end mass \( A \) and \( v=b-1 \) (negative, since \( b<1 \)) is the free end. To simplify the notation, the coefficients of \( \xi \) and \( \zeta \) will be called \( G(\nu) \) and \( H(\nu) \). \( G \) is the same function of \( \tau \) appearing in the nondimensional tension \( F(\nu, \tau) \). If we consider now the deviations from rectilinear shape and pendular motion to be small, we have:

\[
\begin{align*}
\xi(\nu, \tau) &= \nu + \epsilon \xi(\nu, \tau) \\
\eta(\nu, \tau) &= \epsilon \eta(\nu, \tau) \\
\zeta(\nu, \tau) &= \epsilon \zeta(\nu, \tau)
\end{align*}
\]

Where we have in fact made a change of variable from \( \sigma \) to \( v=b-\sigma \). \((')\) refers now to derivation with regard to \( v \), but signs in (12) remain unchanged due to the double derivation. From the continuity equation we deduce that longitudinal perturbations are much smaller than transversal ones:

\[
1 + 2\epsilon \xi'' + \epsilon^2 \xi''^2 + \epsilon^2 \eta'' + \epsilon^2 \zeta'' = 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad -2\xi'' = \epsilon (\xi''^2 + \eta''^3 + \zeta''^2)
\]

Both \( \xi \) and \( \zeta \) are one order of magnitude smaller than the transverse oscillations. Therefore, we should redefine them to show their relative orders of magnitude:

\[
\begin{align*}
\xi(\nu, \tau) &= \nu + \epsilon^2 \xi(\nu, \tau) \\
\eta(\nu, \tau) &= \epsilon \eta(\nu, \tau) \\
\zeta(\nu, \tau) &= \epsilon \zeta(\nu, \tau)
\end{align*}
\]

Substituting this into the deviation equations:

\[
\begin{align*}
\epsilon^2 \ddot{\xi} &= \left( \left( F_{\nu} + \epsilon F_{\nu} \right) \left( 1 + \epsilon^2 \xi'' \right) \right)' + G(\nu) \left( \nu + \epsilon^2 \xi'' \right) + 2 \left( \frac{\omega}{n} + \dot{\theta} \right) \epsilon \dot{\xi} \\
\epsilon \ddot{\eta} &= \left( F_{\nu} + \epsilon F_{\nu} \right) (\epsilon \eta') - \frac{\omega^2}{\kappa n^2} \epsilon \eta' \\
\epsilon \ddot{\zeta} &= \left( F_{\nu} + \epsilon F_{\nu} \right) (\epsilon \zeta') + H(\nu) \epsilon \zeta' - 2 \left( \frac{\omega}{n} + \dot{\theta} \right) \epsilon \dot{\zeta}
\end{align*}
\]

And keeping only terms of order \( \epsilon \):
\[ 0 = F_i' + 2\left(\frac{\omega}{\eta} + \theta\right)z_i, \]
\[ \eta_i = (F_i, n_i)' - \frac{\omega^2}{\kappa n_i^2} \eta_i, \] (18)
\[ \zeta_i = (F_i \zeta_i)'. \]

While the \( O(1) \) term in the first equation is an already known result: \( F_i' = -vG(\tau) \)

The boundary conditions are:
\[ F_i'(b) = -\frac{\rho L_i}{m} F_i(b), \]
\[ F_i(b-1) = 0 \]
\[ \int_{b-1}^b \eta_i dv + \frac{m}{\rho L_i} \eta_i(b) = 0 \]
\[ \int_{b-1}^b \zeta_i dv + \frac{m}{\rho L_i} \zeta_i(b) = 0 \] (19)

No condition can be imposed on the free end except that tension be zero.

As we can see, in the first approximation the transverse in-plane and out-of-plane oscillations are decoupled, and longitudinal oscillations are not present. We will continue developing them in parallel, although they could be treated separately.

The first approach to be tried is separation of variables. We assume solutions of the form:
\[ \eta_i(v, \tau) = A(v)Z(\tau), \]
\[ \xi_i(v, \tau) = B(v)E(\tau), \]
\[ F_i = G(\tau)P(v) \] (20)

\[ \frac{d^2 Z}{d \tau^2} + \frac{\omega^2}{\kappa n_i^2} Z = GZ(PA)' \]
\[ \Rightarrow \frac{Z + \omega^2}{\kappa n_i^2} Z = \frac{(PA)'}{A} = -\lambda_n \] (21)

We obtain a Sturm-Liouville problem. We will try to calculate the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions. They will be the same for in-plane and out-of-plane oscillations, since the spatial problem is the same for both.

**Analytical Eigenvalues and Eigenfunctions**

The equation to be solved for both transverse oscillations is:
\[ FA''' + F'A'' + \lambda A = 0; \]
if we substitute \( F = \left[(b-1)^2 - v^2\right]/2 \), we obtain:
\[ \left[(b-1)^2 - v^2\right]A''' - 2vA'' + 2\lambda A = 0 \] (22)

The boundary conditions are:
- \( v=b \)
  \[ \frac{\partial^2 A}{\partial v^2}(b) = 0 \] (23)
- \( v=b-1 \) No condition, since the tip is free. \( F \) is already zero there.
- \( \text{COM} \)
  \[ \int_{b-1}^b A(v) dv + \frac{m}{\rho L_i} A(b) = 0 \] (24)

We can substitute \( \frac{m}{\rho L_i} = \frac{1-2b}{2b} \). Besides, the two boundary conditions turn out to be the same when the differential equation is used to calculate the integral; this is expected since the eigenfunctions will have one multiplicative constant left free. Besides, since we are studying the movement about the COM, if the initial deviations and speed meet (24), it will be fulfilled for all \( t \). We could use either form of the boundary condition, or a third one obtained from the differential equation:
\[ A'(b) = -\frac{\lambda}{b} A(b) \] (25)

With the change \( v=(1-b)x \), and taking \( 2\lambda = n(n+1) \), we obtain the Legendre Equation:
\[ \left[1-x^2\right]A''' - 2xA'' + n(n+1)A = 0 \]
With B.C.: \( A'(\frac{b}{1-b}) = 0 \) (26)
Solutions will be Legendre functions $P_n(x)$ and $Q_n(x)$. However, Legendre polynomials of the 2nd kind are not bounded in $x=-1$, and thus cannot be part of the solution. But $P_n(x)$ cannot be part of the solution either, since they do not meet the boundary conditions: their second derivative becomes zero at the origin, not at $x=b/(1-b)$. But $b<<1$, so that the odd-order Legendre polynomials can be a first approximation of the solution. The even-order polynomials do not meet the approximate boundary conditions.

In an unpublished work, Jesus Pelaez and Manuel Ruiz obtained an approximate solution by asymptotic expansion of the solution in powers of $\varepsilon = b/(1-b) = 0.085$:

$$A = A_0 + \varepsilon A_1 + \ldots$$

with:

$$A_0(x) = C_0 P_0^0(x)$$

such as first approximation, and:

$$\lambda_0 = n(n+1)$$

$$\lambda_1 = -2 \frac{n(n+1) - 2}{\pi n(n+1)} \frac{\Gamma(1 + \frac{n}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{n}{2})}$$

$$A_1 = C_0 P_0^0(x) + 4 \frac{\lambda_0 - 1}{\pi \lambda_0} \frac{\Gamma(1 + \frac{n}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{n}{2})} Q_1^0(x) + 2 \lambda_1 \left[ Q_1^0(x) \int_0^x Q_1^0(y) P_0^0(y) dy + P_0^0(x) \int_0^x Q_1^0(y) dy \right]$$

as the second. $\Gamma$ is the Gamma function. The singularity at the free end due to $Q_n(x)$ is controlled because its coefficient tends to 0 as $x$ approaches -1. The approximate eigenfunctions ($A_0$ only) are shown in Figure 2. Fortunately, there is no need to compute $A_1$ for our purposes. With $\lambda_0$ we can compute the time evolution of the different eigenmodes. The eigenvalues we will consider are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>n</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\lambda$</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
<td>5.3864</td>
<td>13.3127</td>
<td>24.7645</td>
<td>39.7405</td>
<td>58.2405</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1

Approximate Eigenfunctions

![Figure 2: Six first approximate Eigenfunctions (A_0 only).](image.png)
Substituting these values of $\lambda$ in the time equations (21), we obtain:

$$
\ddot{Z} + \left( \lambda G(\tau) + \frac{\omega^2}{\kappa n^2} \right) Z = 0
$$

(28)

$$
\ddot{E} + \left( \lambda G(\tau) - H(\tau) \right) E = 0
$$

Where $H$ and $G$ are functions of $\tau$ through $v$ and $\theta$. Dots mean derivation with regard to non-dimensional time $\tau$. Since $\theta$ is only known as a function of time by integrating (5), there is no way to solve these equations analytically. A numerical integration has to be carried out, in parallel with the equations for $\theta$, and this for each eigenvalue we want to explore.

For this, the system being linear, we will take two independent solutions and integrate them for a number of orbits to see their evolution. One will have initial deviation and zero initial speed, and the other initial speed and zero deviation. We will take the first six eigenvalues, corresponding to $n=1,3,5,7,9,11$.

To avoid the difficulty of solving Kepler’s equation at each integration step, we will take $v$ as the independent variable. This change implies:

$$
\frac{dx}{d\tau} = \frac{dv}{d\tau} = \frac{\dot{x}}{n} = \frac{\omega}{n}, \quad \frac{d^2x}{d\tau^2} = \frac{d}{d\tau}\left( \frac{\omega}{n} \right) = \frac{\omega}{n} - \frac{2E\sin\omega_0^2}{\kappa n^2} \dot{x}
$$

(29)

which yields the system of equations:

$$
\dot{\theta} = \frac{2E\sin v}{\kappa} \left( \frac{\dot{v} + 1}{\kappa} \right) - \frac{3}{\kappa} \sin \theta \cos \theta
$$

$$
\dot{E} = \frac{2E\sin v}{\kappa} \left( \frac{\dot{v} + 1}{\kappa} \right) - \left( \lambda - 1 \right) G + \frac{3(\cos^2 \theta - \sin^2 \theta)}{\kappa} E
$$

(30)

$$
\dot{Z} = \frac{2E\sin v}{\kappa} \left( \frac{\dot{v} + 1}{\kappa} \right) Z
$$

But now, $G$ and $H$ are functions of $v$:

$$
G(v) = \left( \frac{\dot{v} + 1}{\kappa} \right)^2 + \frac{3(\cos^2 \theta - 1)}{\kappa}
$$

$$
H(v) = \left( \frac{\dot{v} + 1}{\kappa} \right)^2 + \frac{3(\sin^2 \theta - 1)}{\kappa}
$$

(31)

And the initial conditions will be:

$$
\theta(0) = 0 \quad E(0) = 0 \quad E_z(0) = 1 \quad Z_x(0) = 0 \quad Z_z(0) = 1
$$

$$
\dot{\theta}(0) = \Omega \quad \dot{E}(0) = 1 \quad \dot{E_z}(0) = 0 \quad \dot{Z_x}(0) = 1 \quad \dot{Z_z}(0) = 0
$$

(32)

The initial libration angular speed is given by the gravity gradient pendulum speed at the crossing of the local vertical, obtained from the energy equation: $\dot{\theta} = \omega_0 \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \sin \theta_\ell$, where $\omega_0$ is the circular orbit angular speed and $\theta_\ell$ the maximum libration amplitude before cutting. These angle and angular speed are referred to the circular orbit frame. After the cut, we use a frame tied to the elliptic orbit of the COM; therefore, speeds have to be adjusted. If system 1 is a fixed frame centered in the Earth, 2 the TS-bound frame, 0 the COM orbital frame, and 3 the circular orbit frame, the angular speed we seek is $\omega_0$, and we can write:

$$
\dot{\theta}(0) = \omega_0 \dot{\theta}_\ell = \omega_2 + \omega_3 - \omega_n
$$

where $\omega_2$ is $\dot{\theta} = \omega_0 \sqrt{3} \sin \theta_\ell$ above, $\omega_3$ is the circular angular speed $\omega_0$, and $\omega_0$ is the angular speed of the elliptical orbit, which we take from [2]:

$$
\omega = \frac{v(\dot{v} = 0)}{1 + e \cos \nu} = \left( \frac{\omega_0}{1 + 3(1 + u)e + \ldots} \right)^{1/2} = \omega_0 \left[ 1 + (u - 3)e + \ldots \right]
$$

(33)

Adding the terms we obtain:

$$
\omega_0 = \frac{d\theta}{dt}(0) = \omega_0 \sqrt{3} \sin \theta_\ell \left( 1 - 2e + \ldots \right)
$$

(34)

with respect to time, and:
\[ \dot{\theta}(0) = \frac{d\theta}{dv}(0) = \frac{\omega_{20}}{v_0} = \sqrt{3} \sin \theta_{\infty} \left[ 1 - 2 \left( \sqrt{3} \sin \theta_{\infty} + 1 \right) \epsilon + \ldots \right] \] (35)

with respect to true anomaly, which is the one we will use in the numerical integration. This is done by the routine "TRVSA100.C", which computes the amplitudes of the oscillations for 100 periods of each independent solution and eigenvalue (not orbital periods) for values of the initial libration amplitude \( \theta_{\infty} \) ranging from 0 to 60 degrees. The period of each oscillation is computed as well.

Remarkable points of the results are:

- **Eigenvalue 0** diverges fast for both in-plane and out-of-plane oscillations. The greater \( \theta_{\infty} \), the faster it grows. This is not as troublesome as it looks, since the corresponding eigenmode, as shown in Figure 2, is a straight line. It only reflects the stability of the rigid body libration itself, which is bounded—at least while we are far from the libration to rotation transition. Linear stability is not the right tool for this mode: we should use orbital stability instead.

- **Eigenvalue 1** diverges for in-plane oscillations for \( \theta_{\infty} = 54-55 \) deg., while remaining bounded for higher values of \( \theta_{\infty} \). Resonance rather than de-stabilizing low tension must be at work here. Initial conditions are important: initial deviation causes a faster divergence.

- **Eigenvalues 2 and 3** diverge after a number of periods for in-plane oscillations and for the higher libration angles; Eigenvalues 4 and 5 remain bounded for the number of oscillations computed (100). Again, initial deviation is more critical than initial speed. The fact of being in phase or out of phase with the underlying librations seems to matter.

- **All eigenmodes except 0** remain bounded for out-of-plane oscillations for the time computed. We should look more closely at these points. The behavior of \( \dot{\theta} \) itself, the 0 eigenfunction, will be studied later on more in detail. For divergent eigenfunctions 1-3 in-plane, our problem is how long they will take to get out of control. We have computed the periods of the oscillations as well, but it is more convenient to display the oscillations vs. the true anomaly of the COM orbit, given in number of complete orbits. At the same time, we can give a wider variety of initial conditions to further explore the effect of having the oscillations in phase and out of phase. This is done by "TOVIC.C" and "TOVICNEG.C". The results show that the magnitude of the initial conditions does not matter at all, the equations being linear; the non-linearity and forcing terms, that could affect the nature of the solution, are limited to the libration equations and do not carry over to the linearized small deviation equations. For all eigenvalues losing stability, the critical case is initial deviation, except for eigenvalue 0, which de-stabilizes for initial speed—in phase with the libration. Sign does not affect significantly the results.

The resonance in Eigenvalue 1 for in-plane oscillations is investigated by sweeping more thoroughly the 51-61 degree zone. This is done in "TRVSA100B.C", computing 100 oscillations for each eigenvalue and independent solution. Results plot oscillation amplitude versus true anomaly of COM orbit, measured in number of orbits. The results are shown in Figures 3-7. Figure 3 shows the amplitude of the libration computed with the full non-linear equations. E1 for Eigenvalue 0 in Figure 4 is the variation of the libration for initial speed perturbation, while E2 in Figure 5 is the initial deviation variation.

There is a resonance between 54 and 55 degrees. There is no resonance for out-of-plane oscillations. Curiously, there is no loss of stability for higher angles, and for 60 and 61 degrees oscillations remain bounded. For Eigenvalues 2 and 3, destabilization follows increasing libration angle, as was noted in "TRVSA100.C," "TOVIC.C," and "TOVICNEG.C."

This raises the point of whether there is another resonance at work with these eigenvalues for a higher libration angle. The case is not worth pursuing, though, since for these angles the tether goes slack and the equations used would no longer be valid. For null tension or even for very low tensions the model used would not be valid, and bending stiffness and coiling stresses would have to be considered. Tether points would start to move freely until they stray enough to make the tether taut again, causing a rebound. This is a scenario to be avoided at all costs.

Another point to study is the sensitivity of this resonance to variations in the eigenvalue. This will be considered after the Ritz-Galerkin method is used.
Figure 3  Libration amplitude (full non-linear equations) vs number of orbital periods, for different initial libration amplitudes ($\theta_{\text{Max}}$).
Figure 4 In-Plane Initial Speed, 100 Oscillations (E1): Amplitude vs number of orbits for different initial libration amplitude ($\theta_m$) and for the first six eigenvalues.
Figure 5 In-Plane Initial Deviation Oscillations (E2): Amplitude vs number of orbital periods for different initial libration amplitude ($\theta_m$) and for the first six eigenvalues.
Figure 6 Out-of-Plane Initial Deviation Oscillations (Z1): Amplitude vs number of orbital periods for different initial libration amplitude ($\theta_M$) and for the first six eigenvalues.
Figure 7 Out-of-Plane Initial Deviation Oscillations (Z2): Amplitude vs number of orbital periods for different initial libration amplitude ($\theta_m$) and for the first six eigenvalues.
Ritz-Galerkin Method with Trigonometric Functions

We will choose a set of simple orthogonal functions meeting the boundary conditions for the eigenvalue spatial problem, and try to minimize the residuals [9,12]:

$$\zeta_i(v, \tau) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} a_i(\tau) \phi_i(v)$$

(36)

with a suitable number of terms k. As a first trial, we will choose trigonometric functions:

$$\phi_i = K_i \cos(2\pi iv) + \sin(2\pi iv)$$

(37)

Where the constants $K_i$ will be determined so that the boundary conditions (19) are met. Conditions (23) to (25) do not make sense here since we are not using separation of variables. These turned out to be the same for functions meeting the differential equation. Curiously, for functions (37), both boundary conditions are the same as well, but for different reasons: the integral in (19) will be zero for any periodic function integrated over a multiple of the period, and for trigonometric functions the second derivative has the same zeros as the function itself. Taking four terms for the trial solution, the constants are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>-0.5955</td>
<td>-1.8459</td>
<td>24.5599</td>
<td>1.5335</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2

Substituting the trial function in the differential equation, we obtain:

$$\zeta_i - H(\tau) \zeta_i - G(\tau) \left\{ \left[ (b-1)^2 - v^2 \right] \zeta_i \right\}' = 0$$

(38)

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} (b_i \phi_i - Ha_i \phi_i - \frac{G}{2} \left\{ \left[ (b-1)^2 - v^2 \right] a_i \phi_i'' - 2va_i \phi_i' \right\}) = R = 0$$

(39)

We will use Galerkin weighing to minimize the residual:

$$\int_{b=1}^{b} \phi_i R(a_i, \phi_j) dv = 0, \quad i, j = 1, \ldots, 4$$

(40)

For which we will have to compute the integrals: $\int \phi_i \phi_j$; $\int \phi_i v \phi_j$; $\int \phi_i \left\{ (b-1)^2 - v^2 \right\} \phi_i''$; which we can put in matrix form:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$\phi_1$</th>
<th>$\phi_2$</th>
<th>$\phi_3$</th>
<th>$\phi_4$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\phi_1$</td>
<td>0.6773</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\phi_2$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.3395</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\phi_3$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.5570</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\phi_4$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.2232</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CP</th>
<th>$v \phi_1'$</th>
<th>$v \phi_2'$</th>
<th>$v \phi_3'$</th>
<th>$v \phi_4'$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\phi_1$</td>
<td>-0.3387</td>
<td>1.6290</td>
<td>-10.7285</td>
<td>0.5682</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\phi_2$</td>
<td>-1.6290</td>
<td>-1.1019</td>
<td>-61.9249</td>
<td>-2.5623</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\phi_3$</td>
<td>10.7285</td>
<td>61.9249</td>
<td>-151.0478</td>
<td>77.1426</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\phi_4$</td>
<td>-0.5682</td>
<td>2.5623</td>
<td>-77.1426</td>
<td>-0.83789</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4
Defining the vector: \( \mathbf{a} = [a_1, \ldots, a_n]^T \), we can write the matrix equation:

\[
C(\mathbf{a} - H\mathbf{a}) - \frac{G}{2} (\text{CS} - 2\text{CP}) \mathbf{a} = 0
\]

(41)

\[
\dot{\mathbf{a}} = \left[ HI + GC^{-1} (\text{CS} - 2\text{CP}) / 2 \right] \mathbf{a} = (HI + GA) \mathbf{a}
\]

(42)

Where \( I \) is the unit matrix and \( A \) is:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>11.2219</th>
<th>-4.0083</th>
<th>19.7986</th>
<th>-0.9507</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.2320</td>
<td>-45.6377</td>
<td>-73.0591</td>
<td>-1.9378</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-0.0444</td>
<td>0.5329</td>
<td>-102.9973</td>
<td>9.1119</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-0.3843</td>
<td>-2.5484</td>
<td>164.4063</td>
<td>-186.3008</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6

Elements in the main diagonal are big and negative, which is good. The trial functions are not eigenvalues, but \( \phi_1 \) and \( \phi_2 \) come close to eigenfunctions 3 and 5, as can be seen from the proximity of the diagonal terms. Now we have to integrate the time equations for \( \mathbf{a} \), but this has to be done numerically: \( G \) and \( H \) are functions of \( \tau \) and \( \theta \), which can be obtained as a function of \( \tau \) only through numerical integration. Again, in order to avoid integrating Kepler’s equation at every step, we shift to true anomaly as variable. The equations will be:

\[
\dot{\mathbf{a}} = \frac{2e\sin v}{\kappa} \dot{v} + [H(v)I + G(v)A] \mathbf{a}
\]

(43)

Where \( H \) and \( G \) are now those in (31). There is a great similarity with the separation of variables solution. If \( A \) were just diagonal with the eigenvalues as diagonal terms, the equations would be exactly the same. As in the previous case, the integration is made by taking two independent particular solutions and running them for a number of orbits. We will take only the two first functions, \( a_1 \) and \( a_2 \), and integrate \( a_{1a}, a_{1b}, a_{2a}, a_{2b} \) and \( \theta \) for a number of orbits. \( A \) is the initial speed solution, and \( B \) the initial deviation solution. This is done by “RITZTRIG.C”. The results are shown in Figure 8. All solutions show the behavior of the most unstable eigenmodes of the previous section. This is to be expected, since trigonometric functions are not eigenfunctions of the problem, and each one of them includes a mixture of all modes. The coefficient computations can be checked in the MapleV script in Appendix A.
Figure 8 In-plane oscillations for Ritz method with trigonometric functions: A1 (first mode) and A2 (second mode); oscillation amplitude vs number of orbits.
Ritz-Galerkin Method with Legendre Polynomials

The results of the previous section do not show much detail, since the trial functions are not eigenfunctions and therefore each one includes a mixture of all modes. If we want a more detailed output, the trial functions should be as close as possible to the real eigenfunctions. This is easily done using the approximate analytical solution introduced in (27). Rearranging it and reducing the number of repeated arbitrary constants, we obtain:

\[ A(x) = C_0 \left\{ P_n(x) \left[ 1 + \varepsilon K + 2\lambda_n \int_0^1 P_n(u)Q_n(u)du \right] + Q_n(x) \varepsilon \right\} \frac{4(\lambda_n - 1)\Gamma \left( \frac{1+n}{2} \right)}{\pi \lambda_n \Gamma \left( \frac{1+n}{2} \right)} - 2\lambda_n \int_0^1 P_n(u)^2 du \]

(44)

Where we had made the change \( v = x(1-b) \). Since this solution is an approximation, it will fulfill the differential equation and boundary conditions (26) only up to \( O(\varepsilon) \). The value of the constant \( K \) cannot be determined from the \( O(1) \) and \( O(\varepsilon) \) problems, we would need to go up to \( O(\varepsilon^2) \), which is already unmanageable. But this is not a problem when we use Ritz method: we are not dealing with the Sturm-Liouville equations (22), but with the general problem (17) with boundary conditions (19). We can then choose \( K \) so that the boundary conditions are met. Still, seeing how close our trial solutions come to meeting equation (26) tells us how close we are to the real eigenfunctions. Going back to the variable \( v \), our trial functions will be:

\[ \zeta_i(v, x) = \sum_{i=1}^3 c_i(x)A_i(v) \]

(45)

As in the previous section, only in-plane oscillations will be considered, since out-of-plane oscillations were all well behaved—except the first eigenvalue, which is not a real problem and will be treated separately.

We will choose \( K_i \) so that the conditions (19) are met. This is done with a symbolic manipulator, MapleV. The results are collected in the MapleV script in Annex B, showing how closely the spatial differential equation and the different boundary conditions are met. In the computation of \( Q_0(x) \) we use a recurrence relation from [8, § 8.6.19]. The values of \( K \) selected to minimize conditions (19) are: \( K_1=0, K_3=1.7418, K_3=0.6094 \). Thus our trial functions are:

\[ \zeta_i(v, x) = \sum_{i=1}^3 c_i(x)A_i(v) \]

(46)

Substituting this in (18), we obtain:

\[ \sum_i \left\{ \int \left( c_i A_i - H(t) c_i A_i - \frac{G(t)}{2} \left[ (b^2 - 1) - v^2 \right] \frac{c_i}{(b^2-1)^2} A'_i + \frac{G(t)c_i}{(1-b)^2} A_i \right) dv = 0 \]

(47)

As we did above, we will minimize the Galerkin-weighed residuals:

\[ \int_{b-1}^b A_i R(c_j, A_j, v) dv = 0 \]

(48)

For this we will have to compute 27 integrals. It will be simpler to return to the variable \( x \):

\[ (1-b) \int_{-1}^x A_i A_j dx; \quad (1-b)^2 \int_{-1}^x A_i A_j dx; \quad (1-b^2) \int_{-1}^x (1-x^2) A_i A_j dx; \quad i, j = 1, 3, 5 \]

(49)

This can be put in matrix form defining the vector \( c \) and the matrices \( A, AP, \) and \( AS \) for the time functions, the integrals of function products, the integrals of functions times first derivatives, and the integrals of functions times second derivatives, respectively. The integrals are computed with MapleV, as shown in Appendix C, and their values are:
\[ A(\dot{c} - Hc) - \frac{G}{2} AS c + GAP c = 0 \]  
(50)

\[ \ddot{c} = \left[ HI + GA^{-1} \left( \frac{AS}{2} - AP \right) \right] c = (HI + GB)c \]  
(51)

Where \( I \) is the unit matrix and the value of \( B \), again from MapleV, is:

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-0.1663</td>
<td>-0.0305</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>-5.5120</td>
<td>-0.0829</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.0693</td>
<td>-13.6147</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 10

Since the function we used was an approximation, and the boundary conditions were approximately met too, there is no point in keeping too many digits in \( B \). We can see that the matrix is decoupled to nearly order \( O(\varepsilon) \), and the terms in the main diagonal are very close to the analytical eigenvalues in Table 1.

If the boundary condition for the first derivative had been taken into account in the optimization of \( K_i \), the diagonal terms would be closer to the eigenvalues and the modes would be more neatly separated; but the basic assumption of Ritz method—that trial functions meet boundary conditions—would not be as accurately met and the results would be less reliable.

For the numerical integration we turn again to true anomaly instead of non-dimensional time. The equations to be run are:

\[ \ddot{\theta} = \frac{2e \sin \nu}{\kappa} \left( \dot{\theta} + 1 \right) - \frac{3 \cos \theta \sin \theta}{\kappa} \]  
(52)

\[ \ddot{c} = \frac{2e \sin \nu}{\kappa} \hat{c} + (HI + GB)c \]  

With initial condition (35) for \( \theta \), and a pair of independent solutions for \( c \), which is a linear system: initial unit speed and zero deviation (a), and initial unit deviation and zero speed (b). This means integrating a total of 14 first-order equations. Solutions will be \( c_1a \), \( c_1b \), \( c_3a \), \( c_3b \), \( c_5a \), and \( c_5b \). The code for this is in "RITZLEG.C." \( c_1 \) corresponds to the eigenvalue we earlier called \( 0 \), which is the rectilinear shape; \( c_3 \) corresponds to eigenvalue 1 of previous figures, and \( c_5 \) to eigenvalue 2.

The results are shown in Figure 9. All solutions grow exponentially after a number of orbits. This is similar to the previous case, in which trigonometric functions encompass all eigenmodes and diverge quickly, while for the approximate eigenfunctions there were clearly stable and unstable ones. In this case the onset of instability...
can be traced to the coupling terms in matrix B. Although small—say of order \( \varepsilon \)—their effect would be felt after a time of order \( 1/\varepsilon \). Thus \( c_1 \) will feel the influence of \( c_3 \) after \( 1/0.16 \), or about two orbits. This is not noticeable because the second eigenvalue takes about 30 orbits to diverge. It would feel the influence of \( c_5 \) after \( 1/0.03 \), or about 10 orbits, and so it diverges at that time. The initial deviation solution diverges earlier because the corresponding \( c_5 \) does so as well. Finally, \( c_3 \) should diverge after \( 1/0.08 \), or 6 orbits, and indeed it does so.

Thus the off-diagonal terms of matrix B couple the modes and mask each one’s proper behavior. Short of an exact eigenmode, we can simply omit these terms and keep the main diagonal, or the approximate eigenmodes. This is done in “RITZLEG2.C.” The results are shown in Figure 10. Each mode recovers its own personality, and we notice even the decay of the initial deviation \( c_{1b} \) solution (eigenvalue 0 previously) for 42 degrees, that was present in the “TRVSA100.C” results (not shown in Fig. 5 because the “TRVSB100.C” results scan only 51-61 degrees). Of the \( \theta_m \) values computed, this is the closest to 45 degrees, where the maximum of the restoring term \( 3\sin\theta\cos\theta \) is experienced.
Figure 9 In-plane oscillations for Ritz method with Legendre functions: 3 first modes; oscillation amplitude vs number of orbits.
Figure 10 In-plane oscillations for Ritz method with decoupled Legendre functions: 3 first modes; oscillation amplitude vs number of orbits.
Numerical Determination of Eigenvalues

The approximate solution (27) to the Sturm-Liouville problem (22) cannot go beyond the O(ε) expansion, since the equations become too complex. There is always the possibility of numerically solving the differential equation. A tabulated solution is not easy to handle, but what we need for the time equations is only the eigenvalue. We set then to solve equation (22) with boundary conditions (23), (24), or (25) which, as we saw before, are exactly the same. (23) or (25) are easy to impose, while (24) can only be used after the integration to check the accuracy of the solution.

The only boundary condition at the free end is that the tension be zero, which causes the coefficient of A'' to be zero. A''(b-1) is thus an indetermination of type 0/0, and cannot be used to start a run. What we know is that it cannot be infinite, since it is related to the curvature of the tether. Therefore, the rest of the differential equation must be zero as well, which provides a boundary condition to check proximity to the real eigenvalue. We can thus start integrating at v=b with boundary condition (22) and an arbitrary value for A(b). Since eigenfunctions are defined except for a multiplicative constant, we may normalize the value of A so that, for the linear solution, A(b-1)=1, and thus A(b)=b/(1-b).

At the free end we will require that A'' be finite, that is,

\[-(1-b)A'(1-b)+\lambda A(1-b) = 0\]  
(53)

which is the same condition imposed on the end mass, (25). The functions to integrate are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>i</th>
<th>y[i] dy[i]</th>
<th>Init. Cond.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>\int Adv y[2]</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>A y[3] b/(1-b)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>A' (2vy[3]-2\lambda y[2])/[(b-1)^2-y^2] \lambda/(1-b)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>\lambda k(k+1)/2 (1,3,5...)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 11

With this we start a shooting method integrating routine in order to determine \(\lambda\). The residual of (53) is taken as a function of \(\lambda\) whose roots we try to find with a combined shooting method/Newton-Raphson algorithm [6, pp. 756ff]. This is done calculating the Jacobian (which in this case is just the derivative, since we have only one variable):

\[
\begin{align*}
\lambda_1 & \rightarrow R(\lambda_1) \\
\lambda_1 + \Delta\lambda & \rightarrow R(\lambda_1 + \Delta\lambda)
\end{align*}
\]

\[
J = \frac{R(\lambda_1 + \Delta\lambda) - R(\lambda_1)}{\Delta\lambda} \rightarrow \lambda_2 = \lambda_1 - \frac{R(\lambda_1)}{J}
\]  
(54)

We use as seed value the approximate eigenvalues found by asymptotic expansion, (27). y[1], which is condition (24), can be used as a further check of our approximation.

Still another simplification can be made by a change in variables: x=-v, which avoids integrating backwards and checking all integration routines for the sign of h. The form of the differential equation does not change at all:

\[
[(b-1)^2-x^2]A''-2xA'+2\lambda A = 0
\]  
(55)

And the boundary conditions remain unchanged, except that they are imposed at -b; the integrating interval becomes [-b:1-b]. The functions to be integrated now are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>i</th>
<th>y[i] dy[i]</th>
<th>Init. Cond.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>\int Adv y[2]</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>A y[3] -b/(1-b)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>A' (2xy[3]-2\lambda y[2])/[(b-1)^2-x^2] \lambda/(1-b)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>\lambda k(k+1)/2 (1,3,5...)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 12
There is an additional problem: the equation is singular in \( x = 1 - b \), the free end. We cannot integrate all the way to the end, because \( A'' \) will be unbounded in all iterations short of the exact eigenvalue. To sidestep this singularity, we will stop within a distance \( \delta \) of the end. \( \delta \) is chosen as \( \approx 10^{-8} \) so that it is much smaller than the approximation we seek (about \( \varepsilon^3 \), with \( \varepsilon = 0.085 \)), while still big enough that division by \( \delta^2 \) will not cause overflow for double precision arithmetic. Otherwise, the error in each integration step goes out of hand as we approach the end.

This is done by “EIGVAL.C,” using routines taken from [6] like fdjac.c, fmin.c, lnsrch.c, while others have been modified to fit this problem, like newt.c, odeint.c, and shoot.c. The first six eigenvalues are computed with this program.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( \lambda )</th>
<th>Numerical</th>
<th>Analytical</th>
<th>Ritz-Legendre (^1)</th>
<th>Ritz-Legendre (^2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \lambda_0 )</td>
<td>1.000000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \lambda_1 )</td>
<td>5.439627</td>
<td>5.386</td>
<td>5.512</td>
<td>5.477</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \lambda_2 )</td>
<td>13.453447</td>
<td>13.312</td>
<td>13.614</td>
<td>13.558</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \lambda_3 )</td>
<td>25.031209</td>
<td>24.764</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \lambda_4 )</td>
<td>40.172171</td>
<td>39.740</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \lambda_5 )</td>
<td>58.875815</td>
<td>58.240</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 13

The approximate analytical values come short of the numerical values, while the diagonal terms of matrix \( B \) in the Ritz method with Legendre polynomials outstrip them. The difference is greater when only the Ritz method-specific boundary conditions (19) are used to optimize the free parameter \( K \) (column 1). If condition (25) is included in the optimization (column 2), the diagonal values are closer to the numerical eigenvalues, but the Ritz method results are not as reliable.

These numerical eigenvalues are used to compute again the transversal oscillations. This is done by “TRVC100.C.”

The reason for repeating the same computations every time we find some small change in the eigenvalues is that the stability of the modes may depend sensitively on the eigenvalues. For a circular orbit, the equations of the transversal oscillations become Mathieu equations [3, p. 386], whose stability areas are well known. The unstable areas increase for larger libration angles, so that a change in the eigenvalue may, depending on where we stay, push a mode in or out of the unstable area for a certain libration angle, or could raise or lower the libration angle at which a mode loses stability.

When we are in an elliptical orbit, more terms enter the equations and we have the additional resonance with the orbital frequency; but for small eccentricities we expect some similarity with the Mathieu pattern. A new run is thus well justified. The results are collected in Figures 11-14. Again, remarkable points are:

- The initial speed \( E_1 \) solution shows that de-stabilization of eigenvalue 1 is pushed up to near 55 degrees, while in Fig. 4 it was closer to 54 degrees. Other eigenvalues keep the same behavior, with small variations in amplitude indicating that we are getting closer or farther from the unstable areas.
- The initial deviation \( E_2 \) shows the same shift towards 55 degree de-stabilization as \( E_1 \). Eigenvalue 5 takes longer to diverge.
- Initial speed out-of-plane \( Z_1 \) shows no change with respect to Fig. 6, apart from eigenvalue 3 that shows a beginning of de-stabilization which was not present in any of the out-of-plane eigenvalues except 0.
- Initial deviation \( Z_2 \) shows two unstability zones for eigenvalue 0, for 60 degrees and larger, and for 53 degrees; the latter just started to show in \( Z_1 \). It seems that, as \( \theta_M \) grows, we enter an unstable Mathieu zone, go out of it as it twists left, and finally enter the second unstable zone for 60 degrees and larger.
Figure 11 Numerical eigenvalues, in-plane initial speed (E1), 100 Oscillations: Amplitude vs number of orbits for different \( \theta_m \) and for the first six eigenvalues.
Figure 12 Numerical eigenvalues, in-plane initial deviation (E2), 100 Oscillations: Amplitude vs number of orbits for different $\theta_0$ and for the first six eigenvalues.
Figure 13: Numerical eigenvalues, out-of-plane initial speed (Z1), 100 Oscillations: Amplitude vs number of orbits for different $\theta_m$ and for the first six eigenvalues.
Figure 14 Numerical eigenvalues, out-of-plane initial deviation (Z2), 100 Oscillations: Amplitude vs number of orbits for different $\theta_m$ and for the first six eigenvalues.
Unstable Modes

The previous analysis of transverse oscillations has singled out some unstable modes and de-stabilizing factors that need further study. At the same time we should check the limits of our assumptions, like the decoupling of transverse oscillations. Points to be studied are:

- Relation of tension and stability
- Zero mode divergence
- Out-of-plane oscillation coupling
- Libration to rotation transition

Tension and Stability

For transverse oscillations, tension is the main stabilization factor, providing the restoring force for the oscillations. As tension becomes smaller, oscillations tend to increase in amplitude. Pendular libration and tension are governed by equations (6):

$$\ddot{\theta} - \frac{2e \sin \nu}{K} (\dot{\theta} + 1) + \frac{3}{K} \sin \theta \cos \theta = 0$$

$$F = \frac{\omega^2}{2n^2} \left[ \left( \dot{\theta} + 1 \right)^2 - \frac{1}{K} \left( 1 - 3 \cos^2 \theta \right) \right] \left[ (b - 1)^2 - \nu^2 \right] = \frac{\omega^2}{2n^2} G(\nu) P(\nu)$$

Tension distribution

P(\nu) reaches its maximum at the COM, and is zero at the free end. The tension distribution keeps its shape at all times, its magnitude governed by G(\nu). When G becomes zero, the tether goes slack at all points at the same time, and from that moment on the equations used are no longer valid. The tension time dependence, G, appears in the restoring term of transverse oscillation equations (28):

$$\ddot{Z} + \left( \lambda G(\tau) + \frac{\omega^2}{K n^2} \right) Z = 0$$

$$\dot{E} + \left( \lambda G(\tau) - H(\tau) \right) E = 0$$

It is thus interesting to see when the tension and the restoring terms go to zero. This is done in “THETA20.C,” computing \( \theta \), G, and H for a number of orbits. The results are shown in Figures 16 and 17. Theta remains bounded, and the tension is positive up to \( \theta_m = 63 \) degrees. G goes to zero between 63 and 64 degrees. There is a zone where H is bigger than G, so that the restoring force is negative for low eigenvalues, specifically for \( \lambda = 1 \) (eigenvalue 0). Since \( \lambda \) increases fast, for eigenvalues 1 and above, the value of H is not a problem except when G is very close to zero, that is, for large libration amplitudes. For angles above 63 degrees, G has negative zones, so that larger values of \( \lambda \) mean broader negative restoring force zones.

Out-of-plane oscillations are more stable because of the positive \( \omega^2 \) term. This is just part of the picture. Resonance with libration and orbital frequency has to be taken into account as well. We will look into these in the following.
Figure 16 Libration angle for different initial libration amplitudes.
Figure 17 Time-dependent factor of tether tension, $G(v)$, and $H(v)$ for different initial libration amplitudes.
Instability of Eigenvalue 0

Eigenvalue 0 is unstable for initial speed in-plane and for all out-of-plane transverse oscillations. Initial deviation in-plane oscillations remain bounded (at least for the number of orbits computed), and even shows a decrease for 42 degrees (cf. Fig. 10).

Eigenvalue 0 is a rectilinear shape, and corresponds to a variation in the initial conditions of the pendular motion. From the equations of pendular motion (3), introducing non-dimensional time \( \tau \), we obtain:

\[
\dot{\theta} - \frac{2e \sin \nu \omega^2}{\kappa n^2} - 2 \phi \tan \varphi \left( \theta + \frac{\omega}{n} \right) + \frac{3 \omega^2}{\kappa n^2} \cos \theta \sin \theta = 0
\]

\[
\dot{\phi} + \left[ \left( \theta + \frac{\omega}{n} \right)^2 + \frac{3 \omega^2}{\kappa n^2} \cos^2 \theta \right] \sin \varphi \cos \varphi = 0
\]

(56)

For libration in the orbital plane, \( \varphi \) is 0, so that we can consider only \( \theta \). Giving an infinitesimal variation to \( \theta \) we get:

\[
\dot{\theta} + \delta \theta - \frac{2e \sin \nu \omega^2}{\kappa n^2} + \frac{3 \omega^2}{\kappa n^2} \cos(\theta + \delta \theta) \sin(\theta + \delta \theta) = 0
\]

(57)

Considering (56) and dropping higher order terms, we get:

\[
\delta \theta + \frac{3 \omega^2}{\kappa n^2} \left[ \cos^2 \theta - \sin^2 \theta \right] \delta \theta = 0
\]

(59)

Which is precisely equation (28) for in-plane transverse oscillations \( E \) and \( \lambda = 1 \). In the same way, if we substitute an infinitesimal deviation \( \delta \phi \) for the \( \phi = 0 \) out-of-plane libration solution, we get:

\[
\delta \phi + \left[ \left( \theta + \frac{\omega}{n} \right)^2 + \frac{3 \omega^2}{\kappa n^2} \cos^2 \theta \right] \delta \phi = 0
\]

(60)

Which is precisely equation (28) for \( Z \) and \( \lambda = 1 \). Therefore the increase in Eigenvalue 0 amplitudes is not worrisome. There is a monotonous linear increase in \( E \) with subharmonic modulation, as shown in Figures 4, 10 and 11. \( Z_1 \) and \( Z_2 \) show an exponential growth. This corresponds to orbital stability. The evolutions of \( \theta \) and \( \varphi \) are non-linear oscillations, with the period depending on the amplitude (plus the forcing term due to the elliptical orbit, which is small). A change in initial conditions means a change in period, so that the small initial deviation will increase continuously, but not go beyond the amplitude of the new oscillation, which remains bounded as long as we are far from the potential crest. We will consider later on at what initial conditions inertial orbital period forcing moves the libration into rotation.

\[ \text{Figure 18 Gravity gradient pendulum potential} \]

\[ \text{Z1 and Z2 are deviations from a zero amplitude non-linear oscillation, in which case the linearization is quite accurate; however, the restoring term varies with time, which may cause resonance. This accounts for the exponential amplitude growth of Z1 and Z2.} \]

\[ \text{E1 and E2 behave differently, the latter remaining bounded for the time interval computed (50-100 orbits). The libration movement is essentially a gravity gradient pendulum (soft spring) with a small forcing term due to ellipticity of the orbit. The small forcing term will have an accumulated effect when there is resonance, but for a short span can be left out. This leaves a conservative force field with a potential function, as shown in Figure 18. An infinitesimal deviation when the pendulum crosses the vertical means moving along a nearly horizontal potential curve (deviation line in Fig. 18). This will add to the total energy an amount one order of magnitude smaller. For libration amplitudes of about 60 degrees a speed differential means moving up a potential curve with a high slope (speed line in Fig. 18). This will add to the total energy something of its own order, thus affecting the amplitude and the period.} \]
This can be checked by comparing the Eigenvalue 0 deviation for 60 degrees libration with the difference between the basic libration (60 degrees) and the new libration obtained by adding the initial conditions of the Eigenvalue 0. We will use for initial speed the speed difference at zero crossing between a 60 degree libration and a 61 degree libration, while for initial deviation we will just push the 60 degree libration one degree away. Figure 19 shows the agreement between the libration increment and the differential.

The initial conditions for initial speed and deviation are:

\[
\begin{align*}
\theta_1(0) &= 0 & \dot{\theta}_1(0) &= \sqrt{3} \sin 60 \\
\theta_2(0) &= 0 & \dot{\theta}_2(0) &= \sqrt{3} \sin 61 \\
\delta \theta(0) &= 0 & \dot{\delta \theta}(0) &= \sqrt{3} \sin 60 \\
\dot{\delta \theta}(0) &= 1 & \ddot{\delta \theta}(0) &= 0 \\
\end{align*}
\]

As shown in Fig. 19, the agreement is quite good, given the fact that eccentricity has been assumed to remain constant, while in fact it depends on the initial libration conditions. The difference, however, will be of a smaller order. Thus the divergence in Eigenvalue 0 is not a problem.

**Out-of-Plane Oscillations and Coupling**

We will now consider the out-of-plane libration. Z1 and Z2 lose stability faster than E1 for Eigenvalue 0, which is the linearized libration. We will compare these solutions with the full non-linear libration for small amplitudes. Changing variables in equations (56) and (60) from \(\tau\) to true anomaly \(v\) we obtain:

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{\theta} &= \frac{2e \sin v}{\kappa} (\dot{\theta} + 1) + 2\dot{\phi} \tan \varphi (\dot{\theta} + 1) - \frac{3}{\kappa} \cos \theta \sin \theta \\
\dot{\varphi} &= \frac{2e \sin v}{\kappa} \dot{\varphi} - \left[ (\dot{\theta} + 1)^2 + \frac{3}{\kappa} \cos^2 \theta \right] \sin \varphi \cos \varphi \\
\delta \dot{\phi} &= \frac{2e \sin v}{\kappa} \delta \phi - \left[ (\dot{\theta} + 1)^2 + \frac{3}{\kappa} \cos^2 \theta \right] \delta \varphi \\
\end{align*}
\]

Still, in order to make the initial conditions comparable, we have to relate the initial speed and deviation. For this we assume a small oscillation whose simplified equation would be: \(\dot{\varphi} + \omega^2 \varphi = 0\). An initial deviation solution of amplitude \(A\) would have a speed \(A\omega\) when crossing the orbital plane. We compute \(\omega\) from the value of the \(\delta \phi\) coefficient for \(\theta=0\) and \(\theta_M=60\) degrees:

\[
\omega = \sqrt{\left(\dot{\theta}(0) + 1\right)^2 + \frac{3}{1+e}}
\]

We will consider that \(\varphi\) is small, so that the \(\varphi\) term in \(\theta\) equation will be small as well. For the coupling term to be smaller than the velocity term (order \(\varepsilon\)), \(\varphi\) should be:

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{\varphi} \tan \varphi &= \varepsilon \\
\tan \varphi &\equiv \dot{\varphi} = \sqrt{\varepsilon} \\
\end{align*}
\]
As we can see in Figure 20, the linearized and the non-linear oscillations agree quite well while the amplitude remains small, but as oscillations grow bigger the linearized Z1 and Z2 solutions diverge, while the real non-linear oscillation remains bounded. In short, the oscillations out of the orbital plane will not cause trouble.

Still to be considered is the extent of the coupling influence in the libration itself. We have assumed its effect to be small compared with the speed term. We will see how small.
We will integrate the full libration equations for 60 degree in-plane libration, and out of plane oscillations ranging from 0 to 10 degree amplitude. The initial deviation will correspond to full amplitude, and initial speed to the plane crossing speed for that amplitude according to (62).

The results are shown in Figure 21. In both cases, there is an effect over the amplitude and the period of the libration, which becomes smaller as $\varphi$ increases. For the time—20 orbits—and the out-of-plane oscillations computed—0 to 9 degrees—the effect is not enough to push the libration into rotation. It is enough, however, to disrupt significantly the libration, so that the computations made to maximize the momentum transfer to the satellite would no longer be valid.

Consequently, out-of-plane oscillations should not be allowed to increase beyond a few degrees of amplitude.
**Libration to Rotation Transition**

In what we have been studying, the assumption was made that the tether librates without going into a rotation. However, the gravity gradient pendulum is a sort of soft spring, so that at large amplitudes we must be wary of going over the potential crest. The inertial term acts as a periodic forcing, which may force the libration into rotation when we are close to the limit, or have a cumulative effect through resonance. We will see what are the libration angles and speeds leading to rotation. Even though it may happen at angles that will never be reached—tension becomes zero between 63 and 64 degrees—it is good to know how safe we are at 60 degrees.

![Graphs showing libration phase maps for initial deviation. 20 orbits.](image)

Figure 22 Libration phase maps for initial deviation. 20 orbits.

Resonance is an important factor. Initial deviation means cutting the tether at extreme amplitude and no speed. This sends the TS into a low orbit with a smaller eccentricity. Still, there is a transition to rotation for 66 and 67 degrees, while for lower and higher angles there is no transition, as shown in Figure 22. This is an effect of resonance with the forcing term, which increases the energy of the libration. The initial deviation condition is far from our case, since we will be cutting the tether at vertical crossing, which is a pure initial speed condition.

The case we are most interested in is the initial speed libration. Here, the transition is more regular. As shown in Figure 23, amplitude keeps growing, but there is no transition to rotation within the interesting libration angles.
Figure 23: Libration phase maps for initial speed, 50 orbits.
DECAY MINIMIZATION

The purpose of the swinging tether, double cut procedure is to maximize the useful life of the satellite. We seek the combination of parameters that will yield the maximum orbital lifetime. For this, we will seek to minimize the initial orbital decay rate as a function of the deployment free parameters. Assuming that the Shuttle is in a circular orbit, the deployment strategy leaves three free parameters:

- Libration amplitude, which depends on the tether deployment strategy.
- First cut timing, or gravity gradient pendulum libration angle at cut time.
- Second cut timing, or libration angle of the TS in the elliptical orbit frame.

The Shuttle will be in a circular orbit at an altitude of 297 Km, with 57 degrees inclination. The tether is 20 Km long, with a linear density of $3.3 \times 10^{-4}$ Kg/m, and the end mass is $m_A=32$ Kg. We assume the earth to be spherical, its radius equal to the equatorial radius. This is a conservative assumption, since the orbital altitude will be greater than the equatorial altitude for most of the period, with a correspondingly lower air density and lower decay rate. Thus the circular orbit radius will be $R_0=6675.16$ Km, and its angular rate will be $\omega_0$.

After deployment, the TS librates as a gravity gradient pendulum governed by equation (5). Since $\epsilon$ is zero, the equation admits an energy integral:

$$\dot{\theta}^2 + 3\omega_0^2\sin^2 \theta = 3\omega_0^2\sin^2 \theta_M$$  \hspace{1cm} (63)

Where $\theta_M$ is the maximum amplitude of the gravity gradient pendulum libration. We keep the same reference frame we have adopted previously, with $O_X$ as the local vertical and $O_Z$ in the orbital speed direction. $\delta$ will be the libration angle at cut time. $L_f$ will be the distance from the TS center of mass to the free end, that is: $L_f=\alpha L_e$, with $\alpha=1-b$ as defined in (4).

We will compute the parameters of the different stages of the TS motion as a function of the deployment free parameters.

**Elliptical Orbit of the COM**

When the tether is cut at the orbiter end, its center of mass, which we will call $G$, enters an elliptical orbit [2] with the initial conditions:

$$\begin{align*}
R_0^G &= \begin{bmatrix} R_0 + \xi_F \cos \delta \\ 0 \\ \xi_F \sin \delta \end{bmatrix}, \\
\mathbf{v}_0^G &= \begin{bmatrix} -(\omega_0 + \dot{\theta}_0)\xi_F \sin \delta \\ 0 \\ \omega_0 R_0 + (\omega_0 + \dot{\theta}_0)\xi_F \cos \delta \end{bmatrix}
\end{align*}$$  \hspace{1cm} (64)

The initial libration angular speed can be obtained from the energy equation (63): $\dot{\theta}_0 = \omega_0 u$, with:

$$u = \sqrt{3}\sqrt{\sin^2 \theta_M - \sin^2 \delta}$$  \hspace{1cm} (65)

which is different from the parameter $u$ used in [2]. Since the tether is much shorter than the orbital radius, we can use an expansion in powers of a small parameter $\frac{\xi_F}{R_0} = \epsilon << 1$, leading to:

$$\begin{align*}
R_0^G &= R_0 \begin{bmatrix} 1 + \epsilon \cos \delta \\ 0 \\ \epsilon \sin \delta \end{bmatrix}, \\
\mathbf{v}_0^G &= \omega_0 R_0 \begin{bmatrix} -(1 + \epsilon u) \epsilon \sin \delta \\ 0 \\ 1 + (1 + \epsilon u) \epsilon \cos \delta \end{bmatrix}
\end{align*}$$  \hspace{1cm} (66)

The orbital parameters can thus be expressed as follows:

$$e = \sqrt{3} \frac{\cos \delta}{\sin^2 \delta} (u+1)(u+3) + u^2 \epsilon + \cos \delta \left( \frac{\cos^2 \delta + 1}{2\sqrt{3} \cos \delta (u+1)(u+3) + u^2} \right) \epsilon^2 + ...$$  \hspace{1cm} (67)

$$a = R_0 \left( 1 + 2 \cos \delta (u+2) \epsilon + \cos \delta (13+16u+4u^2) + 2 + 2u + u^2 \right) \epsilon^2 + ...$$  \hspace{1cm} (68)

$$n = \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{a^3}} = R_0 \left( 1 - 3 \cos \delta (2+u) \epsilon - \frac{3}{2} \left( u^2 + 2u + 2 \right) - \cos^2 \delta (u^2 + 4u + 7) \right) \epsilon^3 + ...$$  \hspace{1cm} (69)
\[ \Delta h_p = a(1-e) - R_0 = R_0 \left( -\sqrt{3\cos^2 \delta(u+1)(u+3) + u^2 + 2\cos \delta(u+2)} \right) e + \ldots \]  
\[ \Delta h_i = a(1+e) - R_0 = R_0 \left( \sqrt{3\cos^2 \delta(u+1)(u+3) + u^2 + 2\cos \delta(u+2)} \right) e + \ldots \]  

(70)

For small \( \delta \), these expressions reduce to the equations derived in [1, p. 4-5] and [2, pp. 5-6]. Since we are precisely trying to study the influence of \( \delta \) in decay rate, we cannot assume at the beginning that it is small.

We still need the argument of the perigee, which can be obtained from: \( \sin v_i = \frac{r \cdot e}{|r| |c|} \), which gives:

\[ v_i = -\arcsin \left( \frac{\sin \delta}{\sqrt{3\cos^2 \delta(u+1)(u+3) + u^2 + 2\cos \delta(u+2)}} \right) \]  

(71)

where:

\[ v = \sqrt{3\cos^2 \delta(u+1)(u+3) + u^2 + 2\cos \delta(u+2)} \]  

(72)

With the expressions above, the orbit of the COM is perfectly determined. The details of the mathematical derivations and simplifications are in Appendix E.

**Libration of the Tethered System in an Elliptical Orbit**

After the first cut, the TS continues its libration given by eqs. (5) while its COM follows an elliptical orbit. A new reference frame is erected, Gxyz, with G at the TS COM, Gx along the local vertical towards zenith, Gz in the orbital plane and Gy normal to the other two. This is the same reference frame defined in page 3. As we saw before, equations (5)—or equations (6) when we take true anomaly as variable—have to be integrated numerically. For this, the initial conditions must be determined.

Referring the index 1 to an earth-centered fixed frame, 2 to the TS, 0 to the COM orbital frame, and 3 to the circular orbit frame, we seek

\[ t.o. = o_0 + o_1 + o_3 \]  

(cf. page 9).

Besides, we will temporarily distinguish between:

- \( \theta \): angle between the rigid tether and the local vertical at the Orbiter.
- \( \psi \): angle between the rigid tether and the local vertical at the COM.

Later on both will be called \( \theta \), but initially we have to distinguish between them. At cut time we have therefore:

\[ \psi_0 = \theta_0 - \nu_o = \delta - \arctan \frac{\xi \sin \delta}{R_0 + \xi \cos \delta} = \delta - \arctan \frac{\epsilon \sin \delta}{1 + \epsilon \cos \delta} = \delta - \epsilon \sin \delta + \epsilon^2 \cos \delta \sin \delta \]  

(73)

For the initial angular speed, we use (33)-(35), taking into account that the parameters \( u \) and \( e \) from [2] used there are different from what we are using here. With the new \( u \) and \( e \), and substituting (67) and (69), we obtain:

\[ \dot{\nu} = n \left(1 + \epsilon \cos \nu \right)^2 \]  

\[ = \omega_o \left[ 1 + [c_0 + c_1 \cos \nu + c_2 \cos^2 \nu] \right] e + \ldots \]  

(74)

with:

\[ \begin{align*}
 c_0 &= -3 \cos \delta(u+2) + \epsilon \left[ 6 \cos^2 \delta(2+u)^2 - 3(u+1) \right] \\
 c_1 &= 2\epsilon - \epsilon \cos \delta \left[ (2u+1)(2u+u^2 - 9) + (16u^3 + 96u^2 + 180u + 99) \cos^2 \delta \right] / \nu \\
 c_2 &= \epsilon \nu^2
\end{align*} \]  

(75)
In order to obtain the initial angular rate $\omega_0$, we only have to substitute the true anomaly at cut time from (71) in the angular rate expression (74):

$$\omega_{01} = \dot{\nu}(v_i) = \omega \frac{(1 + e \cos \nu_i)^2}{(1 - e^2)^{3/2}} = \omega_0 \left[ 1 + \frac{u}{\mu} \cos \delta \epsilon - u (2 \cos^2 \delta - 1) e^2 + \ldots \right]$$ (76)

From (63) we obtain: $\omega_{23} = \dot{\theta}_0 = \omega_0 u$; $\omega_{31} = \omega_0 = \frac{\mu}{\sqrt{R_0^3}}$. Adding all the terms, we obtain the initial conditions:

$$\left( \frac{d\nu}{dt} \right)_0 = \omega_{20} = \omega_0 u \left[ 1 - \cos \delta \epsilon + (2 \cos^2 \delta - 1) e^2 + \ldots \right]$$

$$\left( \frac{d\psi}{dv} \right)_0 = \frac{\omega_{20}}{\omega_{01}} = u \left( 1 - \cos \delta (1 + u) \epsilon + \left[ \cos^2 \delta (u + 2) - 1 \right] (u + 1) e^2 + \ldots \right)$$ (77)

We can now integrate the libration equation. We return to using $\theta$ for the libration angle, once the circular orbit frame has disappeared and there is no possibility of confusion. As usual, we adopt $\nu$ as the integration variable. In order to obtain the TS angular speed needed in the momentum transfer computations, we will have to multiply times the orbital angular speed. We integrate numerically equation (6) with initial conditions (73) and (77), up to the true anomaly at the second cut.

**Final Orbit of the Satellite**

The computation process we are following is:

- Circular Shuttle orbit: $R_0$, $\omega_0$.
- Elliptical orbit of the COM after the first cut: $a(\delta, \theta_M, \epsilon(\delta, \theta_M), \nu(\delta, \theta_M), \omega(\delta, \theta_M), \theta(\nu), \theta'(\nu)$).
- Elliptical orbit of the satellite after the second cut: $a(\delta, \theta_M, \nu_c), e(\delta, \theta_M, \nu_c), \omega(\delta, \theta_M, \nu_c)$.

Where $\nu_c$ is the true anomaly of the COM orbit at the time of the second cut. In order to determine the final orbit parameters, we will obtain first the position and speed of the end mass (A) as a function of $\nu$ and the COM orbital parameters.

$$r^A = r^G + GA = \left[ R + GA \cos \theta, 0, GA \sin \theta \right]$$ (78)

Were: $R = \frac{a(1 - e^2)}{1 + e \cos \kappa}$, and $GA = L_p - \xi_p = \left( \frac{1 - \alpha}{\alpha} \right) \xi_p = \beta e R_0$; with $\beta = 0.0934937$. If we compare terms:

$\varepsilon = 0.0028$  $\beta = 0.0934$  
$\varepsilon^2 = 0.0000822$  $\beta_2 = 0.0874 - \varepsilon$

Consequently, we only need to keep terms in $\epsilon$, $\beta$, $\beta^2$, and $\epsilon \beta$, if we are disregarding terms of order $O(\epsilon^3)$. Actually, there should not be any need for analytical computations at this stage: once the libration has to be computed numerically, we can simply compute speeds and positions at each requested point and apply standard formulas to obtain the orbital parameters. However, the problem is stiff due to the magnitude difference between $a$, $R_0$, and $a - R_0$. We will perfect the algebra in order to compute only the increments, which yield reasonable results without resorting to double precision. From the previous section we have:

$$a = R_0 (1 + a, \epsilon) \quad \omega = \omega_0 (1 + \omega_0, \epsilon) \quad e = e, \epsilon \quad \nu = \omega_0 \left[ 1 + (e_0 + c_1, \cos \nu + c_2, \cos^2 \nu) \right]$$

where the perturbations are known and computed. If we desire greater precision, the $\epsilon^2$ terms can be included. The absolute speed of the end mass is:

$$v_{21} = v_{20} + v_{01} = v_{20} + v_{01} + \omega_0 A G A = (\theta + 1) \nu \beta e R_0 \begin{pmatrix} -\sin \theta \\ 0 \\ \cos \theta \end{pmatrix} + \frac{a \omega}{\sqrt{1 - e^2}} \begin{pmatrix} e \sin \nu \\ 0 \\ 1 + e \cos \nu \end{pmatrix}$$ (79)

Developing in powers of the small parameters:
The details of the simplification can be checked in Appendix F. Keeping only the perturbations of $r^A$ and $v^A$, we compute the parameters of the final orbit:

$$a_i = R_0 (1 + a_i e)$$

with:

$$e_i = e_i e$$

$$a_{sl} = 2(v_{tz} + r_{iz}) + e(5v_{iz}^2 + v_{iz}^2 + r_{iz}^2 + 2r_{iz}^2 + 8r_{iz}v_{iz})$$

$$e_{sl} = A - \frac{e(4v_{iz}^3 - 10r_{iz}v_{iz}^3 + 2v_{iz}r_{iz}^3 - 4v_{iz}r_{iz}v_{iz}^3 - 2v_{iz}v_{iz}^3 - 2r_{iz}v_{iz}^3)}{2A}$$

$$A = \sqrt{4v_{iz}^2 + 4r_{iz}v_{iz}^2 + r_{iz}^2 + 2r_{iz}v_{iz}^2 + v_{iz}^2}$$

Again, the details of operations and simplifications are in Appendix F. The expressions above provide the parameters of the final orbit of the satellite, as a function of $\delta$, $\theta_M$, and $v_c$. We can then compute the orbital decay rate.

**Orbital Decay Rate**

**Speed Determination**

In order to find the height loss due to air drag, we will assume:

- Spherical earth and atmosphere.
- Atmosphere rotating at the earth angular speed
- Exponential density model.

The diurnal bulge will not be considered at this stage. Night/day changes will not be considered either, nor solar activity changes: we are seeking a simple analytical model for a first estimate.

We introduce an earth centered "fixed" frame (system 1); the orbital frame (system 0), which is actually the frame of the polar coordinates in the orbital plane; and the satellite itself (system 2).

Air drag can be estimated [1, 7, 11] as:

$$D = -\frac{1}{2} \rho v^2 A C_D \frac{v_{20}}{|v_{20}|}$$

Where $\rho$ is the air density, $A$ the average cross section of the satellite, and $C_D$ the drag coefficient [1, p. 6-8].

In order to compute the relative speed we need the orbital speed of the satellite and the rotational speed of the atmosphere, projected onto the orbital frame, $u_0, u_0, u_0$:

$$v_{2i} = \frac{a_0}{\sqrt{1 - e^2}} \left[ e \sin v_{1i} + e \cos v_{1i} \right] = \frac{\mu}{h} \left[ e \sin v_{1i} + e \cos v_{1i} \right]$$

$$v_{20} = \Omega_e \wedge r$$

with:

$$\Omega_e = \left[ \begin{array}{c} r = ru_i = a(1-e^2) u_i \\ \frac{v_i}{1+e \cos v} \\ \Omega_e = \frac{\sin i \sin (\omega_p + v), \sin i \cos (\omega_p + v), \cos i} \end{array} \right]$$
\[ v_{20}^2 = \left\{ \frac{\frac{\alpha_0 e \sin v}{\sqrt{1-e^2}}}{\frac{\alpha_0 (1+e \cos v)}{\sqrt{1-e^2}} + \frac{\Omega_{E} a (1-e^2) \cos i}{1+e \cos v}} \right\} \tag{83} \]

where \( \omega_h \) is the argument of perigee from the line of nodes, and \( \Omega_E \) the earth's rotational speed. The drag expression includes the square of the speed. The air speed is small with regard to the satellite orbital speed, and eccentricity is small as well. We will try a Taylor expansion, but we must check the orders of magnitude of the quantities involved:

- \( \Omega_E = 7.292 \times 10^{-5} \text{ rad/sec} \) [7, p. 350].
- \( \Omega_E/\omega \sim 0.05 \)
- \( e \sim 0.02 \)

Consequently, we can drop terms of order \( e^2, \Omega_E^2, \) and \( e \Omega_E \). The squared speed will be:

\[ v_{20}^2 = \left( \frac{\mu}{h} \right) (1 + 2e \cos v) \left[ 1 - 2 \frac{\Omega_E \cos i (1 - e \cos v)}{\Omega} \right] \tag{84} \]

where we have used the approximate orbital rate, \( \Omega = \frac{v}{r} = \frac{\mu^2}{h^3} (1 + 2e \cos v) = n (1 + 2e \cos v) \). The last term in (84) can also be dropped, since it is of order \( e \Omega_E \). This leaves the same expression as in [7].

**Energy Loss**

The variation of mechanical energy can be obtained from the drag power: \( \frac{dE}{dt} = D \cdot v \), with \( D \) and \( v \) as shown above. The dot product can be approximated by: \( \frac{v_{20}^2}{v_{20}^2} \cdot v_{21} = |v_{21}| \), since the difference is of order \( e^2 \).

This leaves just the product of scalar values:

\[ \frac{dE}{dt} = D |v_{21}| \tag{85} \]

**Orbital Decay Rate**

Semiaxis and eccentricity variations can be obtained from the equations for variations of elements [7, p. 210]. The semiaxis variation can be obtained directly from the energy equation:

\[ E = -\frac{\mu a}{2} \Rightarrow \frac{da}{dt} = 2a^2 \frac{dE}{m \mu} = \frac{2a^2}{m \mu} \frac{Dv}{dE} \]

Substituting the value of \( v \), expanding, and dropping terms of order \( e^2 \), we obtain:

\[ \frac{da}{dt} = \frac{2D}{m \Omega} (1 + 3e \cos v) = \frac{2D}{mn} (1 + e \cos v) \tag{86} \]

The second form is obviously easier for integration.

**Semiaxis Decay in One Orbit**

It is possible to integrate (86) for one orbit, and obtain the decrement of the semiaxis. By minimizing this expression, we will maximize the life expectancy of the satellite. For the analytical integration, it is simpler to shift to eccentric anomaly, \( E \):

\[ \Delta a = \int_0^{2\pi} da \frac{dt}{dE} \tag{87} \]
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We need to relate v and t with E:
\[
\begin{align*}
nt = E - e \sin E \\
ndt = dE(1 - e \cos E)
\end{align*}
\]
\[
\frac{dt}{n} = \frac{1 - e \cos E}{dE} \Rightarrow \frac{da}{n} = \frac{2D(1 + e \cos v)}{mn^2}(1 - e \cos E)\, dE
\]
\[
\cos v = \frac{\cos E - e}{1 - e \cos E}; \quad 1 + e \cos v = \frac{1}{1 - e \cos E} \Rightarrow \frac{da}{n} = \frac{2D}{mn^2 dE}
\]
The air drag must be expressed in terms of E as well:
\[
D = -\frac{1}{2} \rho AC_D \frac{\mu^2}{h^2} \left(1 + 2e \frac{\cos E - e}{1 - e \cos E} \left[1 - 2 \frac{\Omega_E}{n} (1 - 3e \cos v) \cos i\right]ight)
\]
\[
= -\frac{1}{2} \rho AC_D \frac{\mu^2}{h^2} (1 + 2e \cos E) \left[1 - 2 \frac{\Omega_E}{n} \cos i\right]
\]
(88)

For the air density, we will use the well known exponential model: \(\rho = \rho_0 e^{-h/H}\), where H is the scale height which varies with the reference height \(h_0\). We only have to give \(h\) as a function of E and orbital parameters:
\[
\frac{h_0 - h}{H} = \frac{h_0 - \left[a(1 - e \cos E) - R_E\right]}{H} = \frac{h_0 + R_E - a + ae \cos E}{H}
\]
Substituting these expressions into the integral, we obtain:
\[
\Delta a = -\frac{\rho_0 AC_D \mu_2}{m n^2 h^2} e^{\frac{h_0 + R_E - a + ae \cos E}{H}} \left(1 + 2 \frac{\Omega_E}{n} \cos i\right) \int_0^{\pi} e^{-\frac{\mu}{H} \cos i} (1 + 2e \cos E)\, dE
\]
(89)

Where we have considered that \(\Delta a\) and \(\Delta e\) will be much smaller than \(a\) and \(e\), which, in turn, can be assumed constant for one orbit. Thus the integral admits an analytic solution in terms of Bessel functions [7, p. 212]:
\[
\Delta a_{2a} = -\left(2\pi AC_D \rho_0 \mu_2 \mu \right) e^{\frac{h_0 + R_E - a + ae \cos E}{H}} \left[1 - 2 \frac{\Omega_E}{n} \cos i\right] \left[I_0\left(\frac{ae}{H}\right) + 2e I_1\left(\frac{ae}{H}\right)\right]
\]
(90)

The values of the constants used are:
- \(AC_D = \frac{1}{\beta} = \frac{1}{118}\, \text{m}^2\), from [1, p. 8].
- \(\rho_0 = \rho(300\, \text{Km}) = 3.3 \times 10^{-14}\, \frac{\text{g}}{\text{cm}^3} = 3.3 \times 10^{-2}\, \frac{\text{Kg}}{\text{Km}^3}\), from [12].
- \(H(300\, \text{Km}) = 55.77\, \text{Km}\), from [12].

Consequently, the value of the first parenthesis is: \(K = 0.1757 \times 10^8\, \text{Km}^2\).
- \(\frac{\mu_2}{n^2 h^2} = a^2\)
- \(e^{\frac{h_0 + R_E - a + ae \cos E}{H}} = e^{66.78 \times 10^{-2}}\)
- \(1 - 2 \frac{\Omega_E}{n} \cos i = 1 - 0.125 \times 10^{-4} \sqrt{a^2}\)

where we have used \(\Omega_E = 7.299 \times 10^5\, \text{rad/sec}\) and \(\mu = 398618\, \text{Km}^3/\text{sec}^2\), from [5, pp. 350-51]; and \(i = 57\, \text{deg}\) from the latest unpublished flight data.

If we finally introduce the orbit parameters in the main term plus the small-perturbation form obtained in (81), we have:
\[
\Delta a = 0.17(0.415 + 0.0021a_n + 0.0000023a_n^2) e^{0.05 - 0.306a_n} \left[I_0(z) + 0.0054 I_1(z)\right]
\]
(91)

Where: \(z = 0.45 \times 10^{-2}(66.75 + 0.18a_n) e_{31}\).

Again, the computational details are shown in Appendix H.
**Computation Results**

We expect that the maximum perigee height, which is the main concern for orbital decay, will be attained if the tether is cut at apogee, with zero libration and positive libration speed, so that additional momentum is transferred to the satellite. We thus compute the orbital decay rate as a function of three parameters: libration amplitude before the first cut, \( \theta_M \); libration angle at the first cut, \( \delta \); and COM orbit true anomaly at the second cut, \( v_c \) (the second cut time would be an equivalent variable, as they are related by the Kepler’s equation).

Integrating for a range of values, we obtain:

- Minimum decay rate always for \( \delta = 0 \), but with small sensitivity for values of up to \( \theta_M/2 \).
- Minimum decay rate when the tether is cut at apogee, with the second apogee passage yielding a lower rate than the first; small sensitivity to true anomaly as well.
- Minimum decay rate for \( \theta_M = 60 \) degrees.

The lowest value in the range explored is for:

\[
\begin{align*}
\Delta a: & \quad 0.014541 \text{ Km/orbit} \\
\theta_M: & \quad 60.000000 \text{ deg} \\
\delta: & \quad 0.000000 \text{ deg} \\
v_c: & \quad 559.529114 \text{ deg} \\
\theta(v_c): & \quad -6.386499 \text{ deg} \\
\theta'(v_c): & \quad 82.325592 \text{ deg/rad v}
\end{align*}
\]

The results can be seen in Figs. 25-31. For low libration angles, the cut at the first apogee passage produces a minimum decay rate, as seen in Fig. 25. As the libration angle increases, the boost from the tether increases as the libration speed tunes its phase with the orbital anomaly, so that the absolute minimum is reached for 60 degrees (Fig. 27). The libration speed is then positive and maximum (Fig. 28). The apogee and perigee heights obtained are shown in Figs. 29-31 for different \( \theta_M \).
Figure 25 Decay rate (Km/orbit) for lower libration angles.
Orbital Decay Rate for Theta Max = 58 deg
da/dt ——
0.0217 ——
0.021 ——
0.0203 ——
0.0196 ——
0.0188 ——
0.01781 ——
0.0174 ——
0.0167 ——
0.016 ——
0.0153 ——

Orbital Decay Rate for Theta Max = 59 deg
da/dt ——
0.0218 ——
0.0211 ——
0.0204 ——
0.0204 ——
0.0196 ——
0.0189 ——
0.01782 ——
0.0175 ——
0.0168 ——
0.016 ——
0.0153 ——

Figure 26 Decay rate (Km/orbit) for libration angles closer to the minimum (60 deg).
Orbital Decay Rate for Theta Max = 60 deg

Orbital Decay Rate for Theta Max = 61 deg

Figure 27 Decay rate (Km/orbit) for libration angles about the minimum (60 deg).
Figure 28 TS Libration angle and libration speed after the fitst cut for the minimum decay rate libration (60 degrees).
Apogee Height for Theta Max = 58 deg

Perigee Height for Theta Max = 59 deg

Figure 29 Satellite apogee and perigee height vs true anomaly at the second cut and libration angle at the first cut, for $\theta_M=59$ deg.
Figure 30 Satellite apogee and perigee height vs true anomaly at the second cut and libration angle at the first cut, for $\theta_M=60$ deg.
Apogee Height for Theta Max = 61 deg

Perigee Height for Theta Max = 61 deg

Figure 31. Satellite apogee and perigee height vs true anomaly at the second cut and libration angle at the first cut, for $\theta_M = 61$ deg.
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DYNAMICS AND CONTROL OF SEDSAT

Introductory Remarks

The satellite SEDSAT, built by a team of students of the University of Alabama at Huntsville, will be injected into a higher orbit from the Space Shuttle in July 1997 by using a 20-km-long librating tether acting as a sling shot. Since the satellite is required to have a lifetime of about 3 years, without reboosting, the height and eccentricity of the final orbit are a primary concern. The injection velocity ($\Delta V$) at the satellite release must be maximized in order to maximize the apogee height of the final orbit and consequently the satellite lifetime. Moreover, the final orbit must be as insensitive as possible to variations of the deployer's friction parameters in order to provide an accurate orbital transfer of the satellite. Consequently, the magnitude, direction, and timing of the $\Delta V$ at satellite release (i.e., when the tether crosses the local vertical and the tether is cut from the Shuttle) must be accurate and as insensitive as possible to variations of the model parameters. A non-linear control law (feedforward-feedback) for driving the satellite to the desired state at the end of deployment was developed during the 1994-1995 research activity on this grant [1]. The control law is based on predefined reference profiles which are dependent upon the conditions at satellite ejection from the Shuttle. These conditions at satellite ejection have changed since the control law that was delivered to NASA in December 1994 due to Shuttle safety considerations. Consequently, new reference profiles had to be generated and some of the control parameters modified in order to cope with the new initial conditions.

New Reference Profiles and Control Parameters

Before describing the changes to the SEDSAT deployment control law, we will recall the basics of the SEDS deployer tension model and the control law. The reader should read Refs. [1-2] for a more detailed treatment of the subject.

SEDS Deployer's Tension Model

The tension model for the SEDS deployer is as follows:

$$T = \left( T_0 + I \rho L^2 A_{rel}^{-E} \right) \cdot e^{B \cdot e^{-lC_0 - \theta}}$$

where $A_{rel} = 1 - A L/L_{end}$, $L_{end} = \text{final tether length} = 20$ km, $A = \text{tether annulus solidity} = 0.9424$, $E = \text{area exponent} = 0.6$, $B = \text{brake parameter} = 2\pi n \cdot n = \text{number of brake turns}$, $f = \text{friction coefficient} = 0.18$ (best estimate), $T_0 = \text{static (or minimum) tension}$, $I = \text{inertia multiplier} = 4.1$, $\rho = \text{linear density of tether} = 3.3$ kg/km, $\theta_0 = \text{null exit angle}$, and $\theta = \text{tether's exit angle}$ (for a deployer aligned along the Nadir, $\theta$ coincides with the in-plane libration angle). In summary, this approximate tension model consists of a static tension term $T_0$, an hydraulic tension term proportional to $L^2$, an exponential brake multiplier, and an exponential exit-angle multiplier. Parameters of the tension model are uncertain as they depend on environmental conditions and many other uncontrollable, or poorly controllable, factors. The most influential, from the deployment dynamics standpoint, and also less certain parameter of the tension model is $T_0$. A likely estimate of the value of $T_0$ from the flights of SEDS-I and II is about 20 mN with an expected variability of $\pm 10$ mN.
Basics of Deployment Control Law

SEDSAT is ejected from the Shuttle with initial conditions (i.e., ejection angle and magnitude of ejection velocity) such that the amplitude of the final tether libration in the orbital plane is insensitive to variations of the static tension of the deployer's tension model. During this time (free-deployment phase), the brake is not engaged. At a tether length greater than about 15 km, the brake is engaged to slow down the tether exit velocity. The brake utilizes a feedback-feedforward, non-linear control law [2-4] to lead the system to the desired state vector at the end of deployment. The control law is based on precomputed length and length rate profiles (i.e., the non-linear portion of the control law) and on a linear feedback which keeps the system state along the desired state trajectory.

The timing of the crossing of the local vertical is dependent upon the final libration amplitude. This amplitude is affected by the errors of the conditions at ejection with respect to the desired ejection conditions. A change of the magnitude of the ejection velocity require the computation of new reference profiles and also the evaluation of the new ejection angle that provides insensitivity to the static tension during the free-deployment phase.

The ejection velocity of SEDSAT has been changed several times from the original $V_0 = 1.47$ m/s to the latest $V_0 = 2.5$ m/s as a consequence of Shuttle's safety considerations. A number of reference profiles (from SEDSAT_Ref39 to SEDSAT_Ref53) have been derived to accommodate these changes. As a result, the ejection angle must be changed from $\theta_0 = -31^\circ$ (upward and forward) consistent with $V_0 = 1.47$ m/s to $\theta_0 = -21^\circ$ consistent with $V_0 = 2.5$ m/s.

In this report we present only the results of the latest reference profile and the associated control parameters, namely, SEDSAT_Ref53_1Sep95 (the numbering is sequential from the beginning of the SEDSAT control law analysis). At the time of the delivery of the control law to NASA/MSFC, on 1 September 1995, the system and tension nominal parameters were as follows:

**Tension model parameters**

- $T_0 =$ minimum tension $= 20$ mN
- $I =$ inertia multiplier $= 4.1$
- $A =$ annulus solidity $= 0.9424$
- $E =$ area exponent $= 0.6$
- $f =$ friction coefficient $= 0.18$

**System parameters**

- Satellite mass $= 36.3$ kg
- Tether linear density $= 0.33$ kg/km
- Shuttle orbit $= 297$ km circular
- Orbital inclination $= 57^\circ$
- Tether length $= 20$ km
- Tether diameter $= 0.8$ mm
- Tether material $= Spectra$ 1000
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Table 14. Control and system parameters for SEDSAT_Ref39 and SEDSAT_Ref53

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>PARAMETER</th>
<th>TYPE</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>REF39</th>
<th>REF53 (new)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>Filter Coefficient</td>
<td>(none)</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>0.125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>K1</td>
<td>TurnCount Gain</td>
<td>(1/Turn)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>DZTC</td>
<td>TurnCount Deadzone</td>
<td>(Turn)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>TCELIM</td>
<td>Maximum Turn Count Error</td>
<td>(Turn)</td>
<td>5000</td>
<td>6500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>K2</td>
<td>Turn Count Rate Gain</td>
<td>(s/Turn)</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>DZTCSR</td>
<td>TurnCountRate Deadzone</td>
<td>(Turn/s)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>TCRELIM</td>
<td>Maximum Turn CountRate Error</td>
<td>(Turn/s)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>WAILP</td>
<td>Wrap Increment Upper Limit</td>
<td>(none)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>TBD s</td>
<td>Time after which bias is applied</td>
<td>(s)</td>
<td>65535</td>
<td>65535</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>BIAS</td>
<td>Brake post Bias</td>
<td>(Turn)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>WACLP</td>
<td>Wrap Adjustment Upper Limit</td>
<td>(Turn)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>TCBS</td>
<td>TurnCount BrakeStop</td>
<td>(Turn)</td>
<td>46000</td>
<td>46000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>A1</td>
<td>Coeff_1 in Variable Gains</td>
<td>(none)</td>
<td>0.6980403</td>
<td>0.6980403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>A2</td>
<td>Coeff_2 in Variable Gain</td>
<td>(none)</td>
<td>5.738031e-6</td>
<td>5.738031e-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>STOPDEPLOY</td>
<td>Time from eject. for Brake Ramping up</td>
<td>(s)</td>
<td>5000</td>
<td>4600</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* These parameters are very likely to change for the SEDSAT flight tether
Table 14 shows the control law parameters for SEDSAT_Ref53. The logical names of the control variables in the first column of Table 14 are in accordance with the document *SEDS Deployer Flight Software Requirement Specification (DM06)* [7]. Table 14 not only shows the values of the control and system parameters for the latest control law SEDAT_Ref53_1Sep95 ($V_0 = 2.5 \text{ m/s}$) but also shows the corresponding values of the previously released control law SEDSAT_Ref39_6Dec94 ($V_0 = 1.47 \text{ m/s}$).

As indicated in Table 14, some of the control parameters will likely need to be changed as the characteristics of the flight tether for SEDSAT will become known after the deployment tests are conducted.

The reference profiles, which are expressed in terms of number of deployed turns and turn rate, will also have to be modified as a consequence of a change in the relationship between tether length and tether turns. The maximum number of tether turns on the spool used to derive SEDSAT_Ref53 is 46200. The reference tables for SEDSAT_Ref53 are shown in Appendix I. The reference length, rate and brake profiles are shown in Fig. 31. Note that the in-plane libration angle and angular rate, depicted in Fig. 31, are not reference variables. They are shown here only for the sake of completeness.

The dynamics of SEDSAT deployment according to the new reference profiles, for reference conditions, is shown in Fig. 32. Under reference conditions, the satellite will take 4480 s to reach the final tether length of about 20 km and 4780 s to cross the local vertical (LV).
Fig. 31. Reference profiles for SEDSAT_Ref53.
Fig. 32. Deployment dynamics for SEDSAT_Ref53 (reference conditions)
Sensitivity of New Deployment Control Law to Errors

We rewrite here below the reference conditions which were adopted for deriving SEDSAT_Ref53:

**Tension model parameters**

\[ T_0 = \text{minimum tension} = 20 \, \text{mN} \]
\[ I = \text{inertia multiplier} = 4.1 \]
\[ A = \text{annulus solidity} = 0.9424 \]
\[ E = \text{area exponent} = 0.6 \]
\[ f = \text{friction coefficient} = 0.18 \]

**Initial conditions**

\[ V_0 = \text{ejection velocity} = 2.5 \, \text{m/s} \]
\[ \theta_0 = \text{ejection angle} = -21^\circ \, \text{(up and forward)} \]

Table 2 shows the timing errors \( \Delta t \) at the crossing of LV with respect to the nominal value for errors affecting the minimum tension \( T_0 \), the Inertia Multiplier \( I \), the ejection angle \( \theta_0 \) and the ejection velocity \( V_0 \).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lead cases</th>
<th>( \Delta t ) error at LV</th>
<th>( \Delta t ) error at LV</th>
<th>Lag cases</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ref conditions but ( T_0 = 10 , \text{mN} ) (-50%)</td>
<td>-8 s</td>
<td>+8 s</td>
<td>Ref conditions but ( T_0 = 30 , \text{mN} ) (+50%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ref conditions but ( I = 5.1 ) (+25%)</td>
<td>-40 s</td>
<td>+60 s</td>
<td>Ref conditions but ( I = 3.1 ) (-25%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ref conditions but ( \theta_0 = -23^\circ ) (+10%)</td>
<td>-54 s</td>
<td>+60 s</td>
<td>Ref conditions but ( \theta_0 = -19^\circ ) (-10%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ref conditions but ( V_0 = 2.63 , \text{m/s} ) (+5%)</td>
<td>-90 s</td>
<td>+94 s</td>
<td>Ref conditions but ( V_0 = 2.38 , \text{m/s} ) (-5%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Timing errors due to initial conditions are proportional to initial condition errors as follows:

- 18 s per 1% of ejection velocity (magnitude) error
- 28 s per 1° (or 5%) ejection angle error

Timing errors at LV crossing (and release) of less than \( \pm 100 \, \text{s} \) have negligible effect (\( \leq 100 \, \text{m altitude variations} \) on the perigee height after release. The effect on the apogee altitude is less than \( \pm 1\% \) of the apogee height after release. Timing errors of \( \pm 100 \, \text{s} \) correspond to maximum tether angle deviations with respect to LV of about \( \pm 10^\circ \).

In Figs. 33(a)-33(h) the dynamics of SEDSAT for off-nominal values of the parameters above is compared to the nominal deployment dynamics.
Fig. 33(a). Sensitivity of deployment to Minimum Tension
Fig. 33(b). Sensitivity of deployment to Minimum Tension (total $\Delta V$)
Fig. 33(c). Sensitivity of deployment to Inertia Multiplier
Fig. 33(d). Sensitivity of deployment to Inertia Multiplier (total $\Delta V$)
Fig. 33(e). Sensitivity of deployment to Ejection Angle
Fig. 33(f). Sensitivity of deployment to Ejection Angle (total ΔV)
Fig. 33(g). Sensitivity of deployment to Ejection Velocity
Fig. 33(h). Sensitivity of deployment to Ejection Velocity (total ΔV)
Fig. 34. SEDSAT deployment for $V_0 = 2$ m/s (ejection mechanism malfunction).
Fig. 34(b). SEDSAT deployment for $V_0 = 2 \text{ m/s}$ (malfunction; total $\Delta V$).
Finally, a malfunction of the ejection mechanism was analyzed. In this scenario, the ejection velocity is reduced from $V_0 = 2.5 \text{ m/s}$ to $V_0 = 2 \text{ m/s}$ due to an assumed breakage of one ejection spring. The dynamics of SEDSAT for this malfunction is shown in Figs. 34(a)-34(b). The satellite deployment still reaches a length of about 20 km (19.8 km to be specific) in 4488 s (only 8 s longer than the nominal case) but the tether will cross LV at $t = 5182 \text{ s}$ (i.e., 402 s longer than the nominal case). The orbital injection of the satellite would still be acceptable if the satellite is released at the time the tether actually crosses LV.

The $\Delta V$ imparted to the center of mass of the tether-satellite system consists of a hanging component (that depends on tether length) and a swinging component that is due to the motion of the tether-satellite system with respect to the LH-LV reference frame. The hanging component is less affected by the timing errors than the swinging component. The (nominal) hanging component $\Delta V_H$ is equal to 21 m/s at release while the (nominal) swinging component $\Delta V_S$ is equal to 32 m/s. The nominal (i.e., reference conditions) post-release orbit of the satellite-tether system is about 317 km x 560 km, with respect to a spherical Earth of equatorial radius, after the first tether cut at the Shuttle end.

The absolute time of the first cut (or equivalently the orbital anomaly) is not critical for SEDSAT because there is no specific requirement on the phase of the final orbit for this mission. However, the sensitivity of the release time to variations of the deployer's model parameters can be more or less important depending on the level of crew and on-board equipment involvement in estimating the tether LV crossing. In the simplest scenario, the LV crossing could be based solely on the deployment start time and, consequently, the insensitivity of the maneuver duration to different deployer's parameters is important for obtaining an accurate $\Delta V$ at release.

For example the timing errors associated with the expected variations of the static tension is only $\pm 10$ s. The hydraulic component (proportional to $\dot{\ell}$) in the deployer's tension model also has an effect on the deployment dynamics and, hence, on the timing and magnitude of $\Delta V$ at the crossing of LV. Unfortunately, the ejection angle that cancels the effect of the hydraulic tension term has the opposite sign of the ejection angle that cancels the effect of the minimum tension. It can be inferred from Fig. 33(c), which show the libration angle for different values of the hydraulic tension term, that a variation of the inertia multiplier $I$ of $\pm 25\%$, with respect to the reference value of 4.1, implies a variation of the timing to cross LV of about $\pm 60$ s.

The variation of the horizontal component of the total $\Delta V$ at release due to timing errors of $\pm 100$ s is less than $\pm 1$ m/s at the nominal time of LV crossing. The variation of the vertical component of the total $\Delta V$ is less than $\pm 7$ m/s at the nominal time of LV crossing. However, a vertical $\Delta V$ produces an increase (or decrease) of the orbital height which is about 1/4 of the effect produced by an horizontal $\Delta V$.

In summary, if the tether cut is done at the nominal time of LV crossing from the start of deployment, the dispersion of the horizontal $\Delta V$ component is minimized. If the same tether cut is done at the actual crossing of LV, the dispersion of the vertical $\Delta V$ component is minimized. The latter case requires more crew and on-board equipment involvement. The two cases produce comparable dispersion in terms of the height of the
final orbit. The former case can produce a slightly different phasing of the initial and final orbit which, however, is not an issue for SEDSAT at present.

The time accuracy at release is definitely important for future missions which are expected to use a SEDS-type deployer for the atmospheric reentry of capsules. In this case the dispersion of the absolute time at release determines to a large extent the dispersion of the reentry capsule footprint. For instance, a timing error of the reentry ΔV in LEO of ±20 s implies, approximately, a ±148 km (±80 nmi) error in the length of the footprint. It is worth remembering that the length of the footprint of the Gemini capsule was typically ±185 km (±100 nmi) [7].

Concluding Remarks

In 1997, SEDSAT will be deployed on a 20-km-long tether from the Shuttle orbiting on a 297-km circular orbit and released into a higher orbit. The final tether length of 20 km is reached in 1 hr 14 min 40 s according to the new control law SEDSAT_Ref53 described in this report. Upon crossing the local vertical at t = 1 hr 19 min 40 s (i.e., 5 min after reaching the final deployed length), the tether is cut at the Shuttle and, consequently, the satellite with the attached tether is injected into an higher orbit thanks to the librating tether acting as a sling shot. After the first tether cut (at the Shuttle end) the orbit of the satellite-tether system will be about 317 km x 560 km, with respect to a spherical Earth.

The expected timing errors at LV crossing (and release) of less than ±100 s have negligible effect (≤ 100 m altitude variations) on the perigee height after release. The effect on the apogee altitude is approximately ±1% of the apogee height after release (i.e., about 6 km). Timing errors of ±100 s correspond to maximum tether angle deviations with respect to LV of ±11°.
References


RITZ TRIG.MS

RITZ METHOD WITH TRIGONOMETRIC FUNCTIONS

> b := 1/(1 + 32/(3.3E-4*20E3))/2;
> with(linalg):

\[ b := \frac{1}{1 + \frac{32}{3.3 \times 10^{-4} \times 20 \times 10^3}}/2 \]

| Warning: new definition for \( b \) := \( 0.08549222800 \) |

> F := v -> K*cos(2*n*Pi*v)+sin(2*n*Pi*v);

\[ F := v \rightarrow K \cos(2n\pi v) + \sin(2n\pi v) \]

> R2 := int(F(v), v = b .. b - 1) + (1/(2*b - 1))*F(b);
> Kn2 := solve(R2 = 0, K) $ n = 1..4;

\[ Kn2 := -0.5955804727, -1.845948652, 24.55995647, 1.533481529 \]

> DF2 := diff(diff(F(v), v), v);
> R1 := subs(v = b, DF2);
> Kn1 := solve(R1 = 0, K) $ n = 1..4;

\[ R1 := -4K \cos(0.1709844560 n \pi) n^2 \pi^2 - 4 \sin(0.1709844560 n \pi) n^2 \pi^2 \]

\[ Kn1 := -0.5955804728, -1.845948652, 24.55995647, 1.533481529 \]

> evalf(subs({K = Kn1[1], n = 1}, R1));
> evalf(subs({K = Kn1[1], n = 1}, R2));
> evalf(subs({K = Kn2[1], n = 1}, R1));
> evalf(subs({K = Kn2[1], n = 1}, R2));

\[ 0 \]

| \[ 0 \] |

| \[ 0 \] |

| \[ 0 \] |

> evalf(subs({K = Kn1[2], n = 2}, R1));
> evalf(subs({K = Kn1[2], n = 2}, R2));
> evalf(subs({K = Kn2[2], n = 2}, R1));
> evalf(subs({K = Kn2[2], n = 2}, R2));

\[ 0 \]

| \[ -1 \times 10^{-8} \] |

| \[ 0 \] |

| \[ -1 \times 10^{-8} \] |

> evalf(subs({K = Kn1[3], n = 3}, R1));
> evalf(subs({K = Kn1[3], n = 3}, R2));
> evalf(subs({K = Kn2[3], n = 3}, R1));
> evalf(subs({K = Kn2[3], n = 3}, R2));

\[ 0 \]

| \[ 0 \] |

| \[ 0 \] |

| \[ 0 \] |

\[ 0 \]

\[ 0 \]

| \[ 0 \] |

| \[ 0 \] |

\[ 0 \]

\[ 0 \]
> evalf(subs({K=Knl[4],n=4},R1));
> evalf(subs({K=Knl[4],n=4},R2));
> evalf(subs({K=Kn2[4],n=4},R1));
> evalf(subs({K=Kn2[4],n=4},R2));

\[
\begin{align*}
&0.1 \times 10^{-6} \\
&-0.1 \times 10^{-8} \\
&0.1 \times 10^{-6} \\
&-0.1 \times 10^{-8}
\end{align*}
\]

> F1:=subs({K=Kn1[1],n=1},F(v));
\[
F1 := -0.5955804728 \cos(2 \pi v) + \sin(2 \pi v)
\]

> F2:=subs({K=Kn1[2],n=2},F(v));
\[
F2 := -1.845948652 \cos(4 \pi v) + \sin(4 \pi v)
\]

> F3:=subs({K=Kn1[3],n=3},F(v));
\[
F3 := 24.55995647 \cos(6 \pi v) + \sin(6 \pi v)
\]

> F4:=subs({K=Kn1[4],n=4},F(v));
\[
F4 := 1.533481529 \cos(8 \pi v) + \sin(8 \pi v)
\]

> plot({F1,F2,F3,F4},v=-1..b);

> c:=array(1..4,1..4);
\[
c := \text{array}(1 \ldots 4, 1 \ldots 4, [])
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
c_{1,1} & := 0.6773580491 \\
c_{1,2} & := 0.3395305451 \times 10^{-9} \\
c_{1,2} & := 0
\end{align*}
\]
\[ c_{1,3} := -0.5570423009 \times 10^{-8} \]
\[ c_{1,4} := 0.2232413334 \times 10^{-9} \]
\[ c_{1,4} := 0 \]

\[ c_{2,1} := \int (F_2 F_1, v=b-1 \ldots b); c_{2,1} := 0; \]
\[ c_{2,2} := \int (F_2 F_2, v=b-1 \ldots b); \]
\[ c_{2,3} := \int (F_2 F_3, v=b-1 \ldots b); c_{2,3} := 0; \]
\[ c_{2,4} := \int (F_2 F_4, v=b-1 \ldots b); c_{2,4} := 0; \]
\[ c_{2,1} := 0.3395305451 \times 10^{-9} \]
\[ c_{2,1} := 0 \]
\[ c_{2,2} := 2.203763211 \]
\[ c_{2,3} := 0 \]
\[ c_{2,3} := 0 \]
\[ c_{2,4} := -0.5305164769 \times 10^{-9} \]
\[ c_{2,4} := 0 \]

\[ c_{3,1} := \int (F_3 F_1, v=b-1 \ldots b); c_{3,1} := 0; \]
\[ c_{3,2} := \int (F_3 F_2, v=b-1 \ldots b); c_{3,2} := 0; \]
\[ c_{3,3} := \int (F_3 F_3, v=b-1 \ldots b); \]
\[ c_{3,4} := \int (F_3 F_4, v=b-1 \ldots b); c_{3,4} := 0; \]
\[ c_{3,1} := -0.5570423009 \times 10^{-8} \]
\[ c_{3,1} := 0 \]
\[ c_{3,2} := 0 \]
\[ c_{3,2} := 0 \]
\[ c_{3,3} := 302.0957310 \]
\[ c_{3,4} := -0.1136821022 \times 10^{-8} \]
\[ c_{3,4} := 0 \]

\[ c_{4,1} := \int (F_4 F_1, v=b-1 \ldots b); c_{4,1} := 0; \]
\[ c_{4,2} := \int (F_4 F_2, v=b-1 \ldots b); c_{4,2} := 0; \]
\begin{verbatim}
> c[4,3]:=int(F4*F3,v=b-1..b);c[4,3]:=0;
> c[4,4]:=int(F4*F4,v=b-1..b);

\begin{verbatim}
c[4,1] := .2232413334 10^{-9}
c[4,1] := 0

\begin{verbatim}
c[4,2] := -.5305164769 10^{-9}
c[4,2] := 0

\begin{verbatim}
c[4,3] := -.1136821022 10^{-8}
c[4,3] := 0

\begin{verbatim}
c[4,4] := 1.675782800
\end{verbatim}
\end{verbatim}
\end{verbatim}
\end{verbatim}
\end{verbatim}

> cp:=array(1..4,1..4);

\begin{verbatim}
 cp := array( 1 .. 4, 1 .. 4, [ ])
\end{verbatim}
\end{verbatim}
\end{verbatim}
\end{verbatim}
\end{verbatim}
\end{verbatim}
\end{verbatim}
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\end{verbatim}
\end{verbatim}
\end{verbatim}
\end{verbatim}
\end{[..]
\[ cp_{3,1} := 10.72859140 \]
\[ cp_{3,2} := 61.92499789 \]
\[ cp_{3,3} := -151.0478655 \]
\[ cp_{3,4} := 77.14261558 \]

\[ cp_{4,1} := .5682202936 \]
\[ cp_{4,2} := 2.562300523 \]
\[ cp_{4,3} := -77.14261558 \]
\[ cp_{4,4} := -8378914009 \]

\[ cs := \begin{array}{cccc}
1, 1 & -15.87987864 \\
1, 2 & 8.688185347 \\
1, 3 & -48.27866129 \\
1, 4 & -2.424406593 \\
\end{array} \]

\[ cs_{2,1} := 2.172046338 \]
\[ cs_{2,2} := -203.3531074 \]
\[ cs_{2,3} := -445.8599847 \]
\[ cs_{2,4} := -13.66560282 \]
> $cs[3,4] := \int (F3 \cdot \text{diff}(F4,v) \cdot ((b-1)^2 - v^2), v = b-1..b)$;

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{cs} && 3, 1 & := -5.364295710 \\
\text{cs} && 3, 2 & := -198.1599933 \\
\text{cs} && 3, 3 & := -62532.19241 \\
\text{cs} && 3, 4 & := 705.3039141 \\
\end{align*}
\]

> $cs[4,1] := \int (F4 \cdot \text{diff}(F1,v) \cdot ((b-1)^2 - v^2), v = b-1..b)$;

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{cs} && 4, 1 & := -.1515254114 \\
\text{cs} && 4, 2 & := -3.416400702 \\
\text{cs} && 4, 3 & := 396.7334516 \\
\text{cs} && 4, 4 & := -616.0203632 \\
\end{align*}
\]

> \[ ai := [a1, a2, a3, a4]; \]

\[
\begin{align*}
ai & := [a1 & a2 & a3 & a4] \\
\end{align*}
\]

> \[ ais := [a1s, a2s, a3s, a4s]; \]

\[
\begin{align*}
ais & := [a1s & a2s & a3s & a4s] \\
\end{align*}
\]

> \[ A := \text{evalm}((\text{inverse}(c)) \cdot (cs/2 - cp)); \]

\[
A := \begin{bmatrix}
-11.22192363 & 4.008305393 & -19.79859733 & -.9507276165 \\
1.232009822 & -45.637694444 & -73.05911707 & -1.937822023 \\
-.04439234943 & -.5329601775 & -102.9973122 & 9119934944 \\
-.3842878678 & -2.548361800 & 164.4063546 & -183.3007775
\end{bmatrix}
\]
Determination of the Constants $K_i$ in trial solution not have Legendre

\[ b := \frac{1}{1 + \frac{32}{(3.3 \cdot 10^{-4}) \cdot 20 \cdot 10^3}} \]
\[ \varepsilon := \frac{b}{1 - b} \]

\[ b = 0.08549222800 \]
\[ \varepsilon = 0.09348441929 \]

Maple does not have Legendre functions of the second kind, but they can be given in terms of $P_n(x)$ through the formula (cf. Abramowitz, 8.6.19):

\[ WW := (n, x) \rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \frac{P(i-1, x) P(n+1-i, x)}{i} \]

\[ Q := (n, x) \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} P(n, x) \ln \left( \frac{x+1}{1-x} \right) - WW(n-1, x) \]

The solution of the Diff.Eq. in space is:

\[ F_0 := P(n, x) \]
\[ F_1 := (n, x) \rightarrow P(n, x) \left( K + 2 \lambda_1(n) \int_0^x P(n, u) Q(n, u) \, du \right) \]
\[ + Q(n, x) \left\{ \frac{(\lambda_0(n) - 1) \Gamma \left( \frac{1 + \frac{1}{2} n}{2} \right)^2}{\lambda_0(n) \pi \Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} n + \frac{1}{2} \right)^2} - 2 \lambda_1(n) \int_0^x P(n, u)^2 \, du \right\} \]
\[ F := P + 0.09348441929 F_1 \]
Where the constant K is not determined by the B.C.; probably we would have to go to the $e^e$ approximation to have it determined. We will try later to choose a value that minimizes deviation from the approximate B.C.

$$\lambda := n \to \lambda_0(n) + \varepsilon \lambda_1(n)$$

The Diff. Equation is:

$$ED := (n, x) \to (1 - x^2) \frac{\text{diff}(\text{diff}(F(n, x), x), x)}{2x \cdot \text{diff}(F(n, x), x)} + 2 \lambda(n) F(n, x)$$

We will see how close the asymptotic development solution meets the equation; since K is not determined, we will give several values:

> plot({subs(K=0,ED(5,x)),subs(K=0.5,ED(5,x)),subs(K=1,ED(5,x))},x=-1..epsilon,title="Residue for n=5")

> plot({subs(K=0,ED(3,x)),subs(K=0.5,ED(3,x)),subs(K=1,ED(3,x))},x=-1..epsilon,title="Residue for n=3")

> plot({subs(K=0,ED(1,x)),subs(K=0.5,ED(1,x)),subs(K=1,ED(1,x))},x=-1..epsilon,title="Residue for n=1")

B2
We will check that the solutions \( F_0 \) and \( F_1 \) are of the same order:

\[
> \text{plot}([F_0(5,x),\text{subs}(K=0,F_1(5,x)),\text{subs}(K=0.5,F_1(5,x)),\text{subs}(K=1,F_1(5,x))],x=-1..\epsilon,\text{title='Functions for } n=5 \text{ and different } K');
\]

**Functions for \( n=5 \) and different \( K \)**

\[
> \text{plot}([F_0(3,x),\text{subs}(K=0,F_1(3,x)),\text{subs}(K=0.5,F_1(3,x)),\text{subs}(K=1,F_1(3,x))],x=-1..\epsilon,\text{title='Functions for } n=3 \text{ and different } K');
\]
Functions for $n=3$ and different $K$

The three B.C. (second derivative zero at $b/(1-b)$, first derivative $= \lambda \star$function $/b$, and Center of Mass integral) are the same when the Diff.Eq. is fulfilled; since this solution is approximate, the three B.C. will also be approximately met. We will try to choose the value of $K$ so as to bring them closer.

\begin{verbatim}
> phi1 := eval(F(1,x));
> phi1 := x + .09348441929 x K

> phi3 := eval(F(3,x));

> phi5 := eval(F(5,x));

> phi1s := diff(diff(phi1,x),x);

> phi3s := diff(diff(phi3,x),x);

> phi5s := diff(diff(phi5,x),x);

> phi1p := diff(phi1,x);

phi1s := 0
\end{verbatim}
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\[ \phi lp := 1 + 0.9348441929 K \]

\[ \phi lp := \text{diff}(\phi l3, x) \]

\[ \phi lp := \text{diff}(\phi l5, x) \]

**Residuals for the different B.C.:**

\[ Rls := \text{subs}(x = \epsilon, \phi lsl) \]
\[ R3s := \text{evalf(subs}(x = \epsilon, \phi l3s)) \]
\[ R5s := \text{evalf(subs}(x = \epsilon, \phi l5s)) \]

\[ Rls := 0 \]
\[ R3s := -0.1325489892 + 0.1310900497 K \]
\[ R5s := 0.1697377198 - 0.4467859534 K \]

\[ Rlp := 0 \]
\[ R3p := 0.0020983271 + 0.05704708175 K \]
\[ R5p := -0.1549035234 - 0.1949139959 K \]

\[ Rli := \text{evalf}((1-b)*\text{int}(F(1,x), x = -l..\epsilon) + (1/2/b-1)*F(1, \epsilon)) \]
\[ R3i := \text{evalf}((1-b)*\text{int}(F(3,x), x = -l..\epsilon) + (1/2/b-1)*F(3, \epsilon)) \]
\[ R5i := \text{evalf}((1-b)*\text{int}(F(5,x), x = -l..\epsilon) + (1/2/b-1)*F(5, \epsilon)) \]

\[ Rli := -2.10^{-9} - 2.10^{-10} K \]
\[ R3i := -0.00433781797 - 0.1097409326 \times 10^{-10} I - 0.5250279174 K \]
\[ R5i := 0.0589231983 + 0.2194818653 \times 10^{-10} I + 0.07157678227 K \]

The first eigenfunction is linear; the value of K does not affect the B.C. at all: make it zero.

\[ \text{Kp}[1] := \text{solve}(Rlp, K) \]
\[ \text{Ks}[1] := \text{solve}(Rls, K) \]
\[ \text{Ki}[1] := \text{fsolve}(Rli, K) \]

\[ \text{Kp}1 := K \]
\[ \text{Ks}1 := K \]
\[ \text{Ki}1 := -10.00000000 \]

\[ \text{plot}((s, phi l), x = -1..\epsilon, \text{title} = \text{First Eigenfunction for K=0}) \]
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First Eigenfunction for K=0

B.C. Residuals for different K

We will choose the one minimizing the residual of the two B.C. which apply to the general problem, the integral and second derivative ones:

We will choose the one minimizing the residual of the two B.C. which apply to the general problem, the integral and second derivative ones:

B.C. Residuals for different K

We will choose the one minimizing the residual of the two B.C. which apply to the general problem, the integral and second derivative ones:
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Second eigenfunction for different $K$

![Graph of the second eigenfunction for different $K$.]

```plaintext
> Kp[5]:=solve(R5p,K);
> Ks[5]:=solve(R5s,K);
> Ki[5]:=fsolve(Re(R5i),K);
> plot({R5p,R5s,Re(R5i)},K=-10..10,title='B.C. Residuals for different $K'$);

B.C. Residuals for different $K$

![Graph of B.C. residuals for different $K$.]

$Kp_5 := -0.7947275550$

$Ks_5 := 0.3799083622$

$Ki_5 := -0.8232166414$

> Kav[5]:=fsolve(R5s+Re(R5i),K);

$Kav_5 := 0.6094225187$

> plot((subs(K=0,phi5),subs(K=Kav[5],phi5),subs(K=Kp[5],phi5),subs(K=Ks[5],phi5),subs(K=Ki[5],phi5)),
> x=-1..epsilon,title='Third Eigenfunction for different $K'$);
```
The value of $K$ does not affect much the shape of the 3rd and 5th Eigenfunctions; the value of the B.C. residuals is small, except for the 5th function. So we will choose $K=0$ for the 1st Eigenfunction, and $K=K_{av}$ for the 3rd and 5th, which minimizes the sum of the two ideally identical B.C. If we try to minimize the first derivative B.C., the function will be closer to the eigenfunctions, but the B.C. Ritz trial functions must fulfill would be less accurately met; so we choose $K$ to minimize only $R_i$ and $R_s$.

> plot(subs(K=0,phi1),subs(K=Kav[3],phi3),subs(K=Kav[5],phi5),x=-1..epsilon,title='Functions for K minimizing B.C. residuals');

Functions for $K$ minimizing B.C. residuals

> phi1:=subs(K=0,phi1);

$\phi_1 := x$

> phi3:=subs(K=Kav[3],phi3);

$\phi_3 := \frac{5}{2} x^3 - \frac{3}{2} x + .09348441929 \left( \frac{5}{2} x^3 - \frac{3}{2} x \right) \left( 1.7418444807 - \frac{15}{16} \ln \left( -\frac{1 + x}{-1 + x} \right) - \frac{505}{32} x^4 \right) - \frac{315}{64} \ln \left( -\frac{1 + x}{-1 + x} \right) x^3 + \frac{375}{32} x^6 - \frac{375}{64} \ln \left( -\frac{1 + x}{-1 + x} \right) x^7 + \frac{15}{8} \ln \left( -2 \frac{1}{-1 + x} \right) + \frac{315}{32} \ln \left( -\frac{1 + x}{-1 + x} \right) x^5 + \frac{45}{8} x^2 - \frac{15}{8} \ln(2) + .09348441929$
\[ \phi_3 := \frac{5}{2} x^3 - \frac{3}{2} x + 0.09348441929 \left( \frac{5}{2} x^3 - \frac{3}{2} x \right) \left( 1.7418444807 - \frac{15}{16} \ln \left( \frac{1 + x}{1 - x} \right) - \frac{505}{32} x^4 \right) \]

\[ - \frac{315}{64} \ln \left( -\frac{1 + x}{1 - x} \right) x^3 + \frac{375}{32} x^6 - \frac{375}{64} \ln \left( -\frac{1 + x}{1 - x} \right) x^7 + \frac{15}{8} \ln \left( -\frac{2}{1 - x} \right) \]

\[ + \frac{315}{32} \ln \left( -\frac{1 + x}{1 - x} \right) x^5 + \frac{45}{8} x^2 - \frac{15}{8} \ln(2) \]

\[ + 0.09348441929 \left( \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{5}{2} x^3 - \frac{3}{2} x \right) \ln \left( \frac{1 + x}{1 - x} \right) - \frac{5}{2} x^2 + \frac{2}{3} \right) \left( \frac{15}{8} + \frac{375}{32} x^7 + \frac{315}{32} x^3 - \frac{315}{16} x^5 \right) \]

\[ > \quad \phi_5 := \text{subs}([K = \text{Kav}[5], \phi_5]); \]

\[ \phi_5 := \frac{63}{8} x^5 - \frac{35}{4} x^3 + \frac{15}{8} x + 0.09348441929 \left( \frac{63}{8} x^5 - \frac{35}{4} x^3 + \frac{15}{8} x \right) \left( 0.6094225187 \right) \]

\[ + \frac{105}{32} \ln \left( -\frac{1}{1 + x} \right) - \frac{992985}{2048} x^8 - \frac{86625}{4096} \ln \left( -\frac{1 + x}{1 - x} \right) x^3 - \frac{278495}{2048} x^4 \]

\[ - \frac{416745}{4096} \ln \left( -\frac{1 + x}{1 - x} \right) x^7 - \frac{105}{64} \ln \left( -\frac{1 + x}{1 - x} \right) x^9 + \frac{282975}{1024} \ln \left( -\frac{1 + x}{1 - x} \right) x^9 \]

\[ + \frac{121275}{1024} \ln \left( -\frac{1 + x}{1 - x} \right) x^5 - \frac{560175}{2048} \ln \left( -\frac{1 + x}{1 - x} \right) x^7 + \frac{525}{32} x^2 + \frac{416745}{2048} x^{10} + \frac{826399}{2048} x^6 \]

\[ - \frac{105}{32} \ln(2) + 0.09348441929 \left( \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{63}{8} x^5 - \frac{35}{4} x^3 + \frac{15}{8} x \right) \ln \left( \frac{1 + x}{1 - x} \right) - \frac{21}{4} x^4 + \frac{9}{2} x^2 - \frac{9}{20} \right) \]

\[ - \frac{3}{4} x \left( \frac{5}{2} x^3 - \frac{3}{2} x \right) - \frac{1}{3} \left( \frac{3}{2} x^2 - \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 \]

\[ \left( \frac{105}{32} \frac{121275}{512} x^5 + \frac{86625}{2048} x^3 + \frac{560175}{1024} x^7 - \frac{282975}{512} x^9 + \frac{416745}{2048} x^{11} \right) \]
RITZLEG1.MS: Ritz matrix coefficients

> assume(x,real);
> assume(x,real);
> b:=(1/3+32/(3.3E-4*20E3))/2;
> epsilon:=b/(1-b):
> with(orthopoly):
> WW:=sum(P(i-l,x)*P(n+l-i,x)/i,i=l..n+l):
> Q:=P(n,x)*ln((x+l)/(1-x))/2-WW(n-l,x):
> lambda0:=n->n*(n+l)/2:
> lambda1:=n->4*lambda0(n)*((n+1/2)*GAMMA(1+n/2)**2/GAMMA((1+n)/2)**2/Pi/lambda0(n):
> F0:=(n,x)->P(n,x):
> F1:=(n,x)->P(n,x)*(K+2*lambda1(n)*int(P(n,u)*Q(n,u),u=0..x)) +
> Q(n,x)*((lambda0(n)-1)*4*GAMMA(1+n/2)**2/lambda0(n)/Pi/GAMMA((1+n)/2)**2
> -2*lambda1(n)*int(P(n,u)**2,u=0..x)):
> F:=F0+epsilon*F1:
> lambda:=n->lambda0(n)+epsilon*lambda1(n):
> Phi1:=F(1,x):
> Phi3:=F(3,x):
> Phi5:=F(5,x):
>_phi1:=subs(K=0,Phi1):
> _Phi3:=simplify(_subs(K=1.741844807,Phi3)):
> _Phi5:=simplify(_eval(_subs(K=0.6094225187,F(5,x)))):
> _phi1p:=simplify(diff(_phi1,x)):
> _Phi3p:=simplify(diff(_Phi3,x)):
> _Phi5p:=simplify(diff(_Phi5,x)):
> _phi1p:=simplify(diff(_phi1p,x)):
> _Phi3p:=simplify(diff(_Phi3p,x)):
> _Phi5p:=simplify(diff(_Phi5p,x)):
> with(linalg):
> A:=matrix(3,3);
> A[1,1]:=Re(int(_phi1*phi1,x=-epsilon..epsilon));
> A[1,2]:=Re(int(_phi1*phi3,x=-epsilon..epsilon));
> A[1,3]:=Re(int(_phi1*phi5,x=-epsilon..epsilon));
> A[2,1]:=Re(int(_phi3*phi1,x=-epsilon..epsilon));
> A[2,2]:=Re(int(_phi3*phi3,x=-epsilon..epsilon));
> A[2,3]:=Re(int(_phi3*phi5,x=-epsilon..epsilon));
> A[3,1]:=Re(int(_phi5*phi1,x=-epsilon..epsilon));
> A[3,2]:=Re(int(_phi5*phi3,x=-epsilon..epsilon));
> A[3,3]:=Re(int(_phi5*phi5,x=-epsilon..epsilon));

\[
A := \text{array}(1..3,1..3,[
    A_{1,1} := .3336056639,
    A_{1,2} := .01171152560,
    A_{1,3} := -.005983207937,
    A_{2,1} := .01171152560,
    A_{2,2} := .2226242651
\])
\]
\[ A_{2,3} := -0.006923039365 \]
\[ A_{3,1} := -0.005983207937 \]
\[ A_{3,2} := -0.006923039365 \]
\[ A_{3,3} := 0.1260037526 \]

\[ \text{Ap} := \text{matrix}(3,3) ; \]
\[ \text{Ap}[1,1] := \text{evalf}(\int(\text{Re}(x \cdot \phi_1 \cdot \phi_{1p}), x = -1 .. \epsilon)) ; \]
\[ \text{Ap}[1,2] := \text{evalf}(\int(\text{Re}(x \cdot \phi_1 \cdot \phi_{3p}), x = -1 .. \epsilon)) ; \]
\[ \text{Ap}[1,3] := \text{evalf}(\int(\text{Re}(x \cdot \phi_1 \cdot \phi_{5p}), x = -1 .. \epsilon)) ; \]
\[ \text{Ap}[2,1] := \text{evalf}(\int(\text{Re}(x \cdot \phi_{3p} \cdot \phi_{1p}), x = -1 .. \epsilon)) ; \]
\[ \text{Ap}[2,2] := \text{evalf}(\int(\text{Re}(x \cdot \phi_{3p} \cdot \phi_{3p}), x = -1 .. \epsilon)) ; \]
\[ \text{Ap}[2,3] := \text{evalf}(\int(\text{Re}(x \cdot \phi_{3p} \cdot \phi_{5p}), x = -1 .. \epsilon)) ; \]
\[ \text{Ap}[3,1] := \text{evalf}(\int(\text{Re}(x \cdot \phi_{5p} \cdot \phi_{1p}), x = -1 .. \epsilon)) ; \]
\[ \text{Ap}[3,2] := \text{evalf}(\int(\text{Re}(x \cdot \phi_{5p} \cdot \phi_{3p}), x = -1 .. \epsilon)) ; \]
\[ \text{Ap}[3,3] := \text{evalf}(\int(\text{Re}(x \cdot \phi_{5p} \cdot \phi_{5p}), x = -1 .. \epsilon)) ; \]

\[ \text{Ap} := \text{array}(1 .. 3, 1 .. 3, [ ]) \]
\[ \text{Ap}_{1,1} := 0.3336056639 \]
\[ \text{Ap}_{1,2} := 1.163546054 \]
\[ \text{Ap}_{1,3} := 1.096924487 \]
\[ \text{Ap}_{2,1} := 0.1171152560 \]
\[ \text{Ap}_{2,2} := 0.5937740239 \]
\[ \text{Ap}_{2,3} := 1.280153230 \]
\[ \text{Ap}_{3,1} := -0.005983207929 \]
\[ \text{Ap}_{3,2} := 0.008282910645 \]
\[ \text{Ap}_{3,3} := 0.5252840045 \]

\[ \text{As} := \text{matrix}(3,3) ; \]
\[ \text{As}[1,1] := \text{evalf}(\int(\text{Re}((1-x \cdot x) \cdot \phi_{1s} \cdot \phi_{1s}), x = -1 .. \epsilon)) ; \]
\[ \text{As}[1,2] := \text{evalf}(\int(\text{Re}((1-x \cdot x) \cdot \phi_{1s} \cdot \phi_{3s}), x = -1 .. \epsilon)) ; \]
\[ \text{As}[1,3] := \text{evalf}(\int(\text{Re}((1-x \cdot x) \cdot \phi_{1s} \cdot \phi_{5s}), x = -1 .. \epsilon)) ; \]
\[ \text{As}[2,1] := \text{evalf}(\int(\text{Re}((1-x \cdot x) \cdot \phi_{3s} \cdot \phi_{1s}), x = -1 .. \epsilon)) ; \]
\[ \text{As}[2,2] := \text{evalf}(\int(\text{Re}((1-x \cdot x) \cdot \phi_{3s} \cdot \phi_{3s}), x = -1 .. \epsilon)) ; \]
\[ \text{As}[2,3] := \text{evalf}(\int(\text{Re}((1-x \cdot x) \cdot \phi_{3s} \cdot \phi_{5s}), x = -1 .. \epsilon)) ; \]
\[ \text{As}[3,1] := \text{evalf}(\int(\text{Re}((1-x \cdot x) \cdot \phi_{5s} \cdot \phi_{1s}), x = -1 .. \epsilon)) ; \]
\[ \text{As}[3,2] := \text{evalf}(\int(\text{Re}((1-x \cdot x) \cdot \phi_{5s} \cdot \phi_{3s}), x = -1 .. \epsilon)) ; \]
\[ \text{As}[3,3] := \text{evalf}(\int(\text{Re}((1-x \cdot x) \cdot \phi_{5s} \cdot \phi_{5s}), x = -1 .. \epsilon)) ; \]
\[
\mathbf{A}_s := \text{array}(1 .. 3, 1 .. 3, [\ ])
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{A}_s_{1, 1} & := 0 \\
\mathbf{A}_s_{1, 2} & := 2.186056968 \\
\mathbf{A}_s_{1, 3} & := 2.375025574 \\
\mathbf{A}_s_{2, 1} & := 0 \\
\mathbf{A}_s_{2, 2} & := -1.267162894 \\
\mathbf{A}_s_{2, 3} & := 2.540174068 \\
\mathbf{A}_s_{3, 1} & := 0 \\
\mathbf{A}_s_{3, 2} & := .04185944138 \\
\mathbf{A}_s_{3, 3} & := -2.380685865
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\mathbf{B} := \text{evalm}(\text{inverse}(\mathbf{A})^\ast(\mathbf{A}_s/2-\mathbf{A}_p))
\]

\[
\mathbf{B} := \begin{pmatrix}
-1.000000000 & -0.1663231022 & 0.0304933737 \\
-0.81 \times 10^{-11} & -5.512034854 & -0.08915850384 \\
-0.6 \times 10^{-10} & 0.06929390492 & -13.61472320
\end{pmatrix}
\]
SEMIAxis AND EXCENTRICITY PERTURBATIONS: DEPENDENCE ON THETA AND DELTA

\[ \delta = \alpha \theta \]

\[ u := \sqrt{3 \sin^2(\theta) - 3 \sin^2(\alpha \theta)} \]

PERTURBATION OF SEMIAxis

\[ da := 2 \cos(\delta) \left( u + 2 \right) \]

EXCENTRICITY:

\[ e := \sqrt{3 \cos(\delta)^2 (u+1)^2 (u+3) + u^2} \]

plot3d(da, alpha=-1..1, theta=-pi/3..pi/3);

plot3d(e, alpha=-1..1, theta=-pi/3..pi/3);
SO BIG THETA AND SMALL DELTA MAXIMIZE APOGEE HEIGHT, BUT ALSO MAXIMIZES EXCENTRICITY. LET US SEE THE EFFECT ON PERIGEE HEIGHT:

> ph:=da-e:
> plot3d(ph, alpha=-1..1, theta=-Pi/3..Pi/3, view=0.95..1, contours=20);
AS EXPECTED, THE MAXIMUM PERIGEE DEPENDS ON VERTICAL DISTANCE, AND IS NOT AFFECTED BY SPEED. IT IS HIGH AS LONG AS DELTA IS CLOSE TO ZERO. APOGEE HEIGHT, HOWEVER, DOES DEPEND ON SPEED:

> ah=da+e:
> plot3d(da+e,alpha=-1..1,theta=-Pi/3..Pi/3,contours=20);

THE SWEET SPOT IS THUS MAX THETA AND ZERO DELTA

>
DECAY1.MS
DECAY MINIMIZATION
SHUTTLE IN CIRCULAR ORBIT
ELLIPITC ORBIT OF THE CENTER OF MASS
> with(linalg):
> assume(r0,real, delta, real);
> additionally(r0>0); additionally(delta<Pi/2); additionally(delta>-Pi/2);

INITIAL POSITION OF CENTER OF MASS AT FIRST CUT:
(epsilon: C.O.M. length/circular orbit radius)
> r:=array([[taylor(r0*(1+epsilon*cos(delta)),epsilon),0],
            [taylor(r0*epsilon*sin(delta),epsilon)]]);
    r := [ r0~ + r0~ cos(δ~) ε 0  r0~ sin(δ~) ε ]

INITIAL C.O.M. SPEED AT CUT TIME
> v:=array([[taylor(-omega0*r0*epsilon*(1+u)*sin(delta),epsilon),0],
            [taylor(omega0*r0*(1+epsilon*(1+u)*cos(delta)),epsilon)]]);
    v := [ -ω0 r0~ (1 + u) sin(δ~) ε 0  ω0 r0~ + ω0 r0~ (1 + u) cos(δ~) ε ]

ANGULAR MOMENTUM PER UNIT MASS:
> h:=crossprod(r,v);
    h := [ 0 (r0~ sin(δ~) ε) (-ω0 r0~ (1 + u) sin(δ~) ε) ]
              (r0~ + r0~ cos(δ~) ε) (ω0 r0~ + ω0 r0~ (1 + u) cos(δ~) ε) 0 ]
> he:=simplify(taylor(h[2],epsilon,4));
    he :=

EXCENTRICITY VECTOR
> e:=(evalm(-r/r2)-(crossprod(h,v)/mu));

> e1:=simplify(taylor(e[1],epsilon,4));
    e1 := (3 cos(δ~) + 2 cos(δ~) u) ε + [3/2 cos(δ~)2 + 3 u cos(δ~)2 + cos(δ~)2 u2 + 3/2 + u] ε2
\[ e2 := 0 \]

\[ e3 := \sin(\delta) u + 3 \cos(\delta) \sin(\delta) + 3 \cos(\delta) \sin(\delta) u + \cos(\delta) \sin(\delta) u^2 \epsilon^2 + \frac{3}{2} \sin(\delta) - \frac{3}{2} \sin(\delta) \cos(\delta) + 2 \sin(\delta) u + \cos(\delta) u^2 \epsilon^3 + O(\epsilon^4) \]

**EXCENTRICITY**

\[ ee := \sqrt{\frac{1}{9} \cos(\delta)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \cos(\delta) \epsilon^2 + \frac{1}{2} \cos(\delta) \epsilon^2 + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^2} \]

\[ 9 \cos(\delta)^2 + 18 u \cos(\delta)^2 + 9 + 18 u + 12 \cos(\delta)^2 u^2 + 2 u^3 \cos(\delta)^2 + 10 u^2 + 2 u^3 \]

\[ \frac{1}{9} \cos(\delta)^2 - \frac{1}{8} \frac{1}{9} \cos(\delta)^4 - 8 u^5 - 20 u^4 - 4 \cos(\delta)^4 u^6 - 24 u^5 \cos(\delta)^2 \]

\[ -80 u^5 \cos(\delta)^4 - 120 \cos(\delta)^2 u^4 - 324 \cos(\delta)^4 + 108 \cos(\delta)^6 + 4 \cos(\delta)^6 u^6 \]

\[ -2067 u^2 \cos(\delta)^4 + 636 \cos(\delta)^6 u^3 + 48 \cos(\delta)^6 u^5 - 1552 u^3 \cos(\delta)^4 \]

\[ + 252 \cos(\delta)^6 u^4 + 753 \cos(\delta)^6 u^2 + 432 \cos(\delta)^6 u - 1296 u \cos(\delta)^4 \]

\[ -45 \cos(\delta)^2 u^2 - 24 u^3 - 9 u^2 - 132 u^3 \cos(\delta)^2 \]

\[ \frac{1}{81} \cos(\delta)^4 + 216 u \cos(\delta)^4 \]

\[ + 198 u^2 \cos(\delta)^4 + 18 \cos(\delta)^2 u^2 + 72 u^3 \cos(\delta)^4 + 24 u^3 \cos(\delta)^2 \]

\[ + 9 \cos(\delta)^4 u^4 + 6 \cos(\delta)^2 u^4 + u^4 \epsilon^3 + O(\epsilon^4) \]

\[ \%1 := \frac{1}{9} \cos(\delta)^2 + 12 u \cos(\delta)^2 + 3 \cos(\delta)^2 u^2 + u^2 \]

\[ e1 := \sqrt{\frac{1}{9} \cos(\delta)^2 + 12 u \cos(\delta)^2 + 3 \cos(\delta)^2 u^2 + u^2} \]

\[ e2 := \frac{1}{2} \cos(\delta) \]

\[ 9 \cos(\delta)^2 + 18 u \cos(\delta)^2 + 9 + 18 u + 12 \cos(\delta)^2 u^2 + 2 u^3 \cos(\delta)^2 + 10 u^2 + 2 u^3 \]

\[ \sqrt{9 \cos(\delta)^2 + 12 u \cos(\delta)^2 + 3 \cos(\delta)^2 u^2 + u^2} \]

**ENERGY CONSTANT**

\[ EN := -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\mu}{r_{0-}} + \frac{\mu}{r_{0-}} \cos(\delta) \frac{(2 + u)}{r_{0-}} \epsilon + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\mu}{r_{0-}} \frac{-3 \cos(\delta)^2 - 2 + 2 u + u^2}{r_{0-}} \epsilon^2 + \]

\[ \frac{1}{2} \frac{\mu}{r_{0-}} \frac{-3 + 5 \cos(\delta)^2}{r_{0-}} \epsilon^3 + O(\epsilon^4) \]

**SEMIMAJOR AXIS**

\[ E2 \]
> a:=simplify(taylor(-mu/ENI2,epsilon,4));
  
  a := r0- + (4 r0- cos(δ-) + 2 r0- cos(δ-) u) ε +
  
  \[ 13 r0- \cos(δ-)^2 + 2 r0- + 2 r0- u + r0- u^2 + 16 r0- \cos(δ-)^2 \ u + 4 r0- \cos(δ-)^2 \ u^2 \]
  \[ \varepsilon^2 + 13 r0- \cos(δ-) + 45 r0- \cos(δ-) \ u + 16 r0- \cos(δ-) \ u^2 \]
  + 84 r0- \cos(δ-) \ u^3 + 48 r0- \cos(δ-) \ u^2 + 8 r0- \cos(δ-) \ u^3 \]
  + O(\varepsilon^4)
  
  > simplify(coeff(a,epsilon,2)/r0);
  
  \[ 13 \cos(δ-)^2 + 2 + 2 u + u^2 + 16 \ u \cos(δ-)^2 + 4 \cos(δ-)^2 \ u^2 \]

**MEAN ANGULAR RATE (TIMES CIRCULAR RATE)**

> n:=simplify(taylor(sqrt(r0*r0*r0/a/a/a),epsilon,4));
  
  n := 1 + (-6 \cos(δ-) - 3 \cos(δ-) u) \varepsilon +
  
  \[ \frac{1}{2} \cos(δ-) - 3 - 3 u - \frac{3}{2} u^2 + 6 u \cos(δ-) \ u^2 + 6 \cos(δ-) \ u^2 \]
  \[ \varepsilon^2 + 13 \cos(δ-) \ u^2 \]
  + 3 \cos(δ-) \ u^3 + \cos(δ-) \ u^3 u + 9 \cos(δ-) \ u - \frac{25}{2} \cos(δ-) \ u^3 + \frac{3}{2} \cos(δ-) \ u^3
  
  + \frac{1}{2} u^3 \cos(δ-) \ u^3 + \frac{21}{2} \cos(δ-) \ u^3 \varepsilon^3 + O(\varepsilon^4)

**ORBITAL PERIOD (TIMES CIRCULAR RATE)**

> t:=simplify(taylor((a/r0)**(3/2),epsilon,3));
  
  t := 1 + 6 \cos(δ-) + 3 \cos(δ-) u \varepsilon +
  
  \[ 3 \cos(δ-) \ u^2 + 3 \cos(δ-) \ u^2 + 30 \cos(δ-) \ u^2 + 15 \cos(δ-) \ u^2 \]
  \[ \frac{15}{2} \cos(δ-) \ u^2 \varepsilon^3 + O(\varepsilon^3) \]

**INCREASE IN PERIGEE HEIGHT OVER CIRCULAR ORBIT**

> dhp:=simplify(taylor(a*(1-ee)-r0,epsilon,3));
  
  dhp := 4 \cos(δ-) + 2 r0- \cos(δ-) \ u + r0- \cos(δ-) \ u^2 + 16 \cos(δ-) \ u^2 \]
  \[ \varepsilon^2 + 13 \cos(δ-) \ u^2 \]
  + 84 \cos(δ-) \ u^3 + 48 \cos(δ-) \ u^2 + 8 \cos(δ-) \ u^3 \]
  + 150 \cos(δ-) \ u^3 \ u + 9 \cos(δ-) \ u + 84 \cos(δ-) \ u^3 \ u + 14 \ u^3 \cos(δ-) \ u^3
  
  \[ 9 \cos(δ-) \ u^2 + 12 \ u \cos(δ-) \ u^2 + 3 \cos(δ-) \ u^2 \]
  \[ 2 + u^2 \]

**INCREASE IN APOGEE HEIGHT**

> dha:=simplify(taylor(a*(1+ee)-r0,epsilon,3));
  
  dha := 4 \cos(δ-) + 2 \cos(δ-) \ u + 2 r0- \cos(δ-) \ u \varepsilon + \frac{1}{2} r0- \cos(δ-) \ u \varepsilon + \frac{1}{2} r0- \cos(δ-) \ u \varepsilon^2 + 81 \cos(δ-) \ u^3
  
  + 150 \cos(δ-) \ u^3 \ u + 9 \cos(δ-) \ u + 84 \cos(δ-) \ u^3 \ u + 14 \ u^3 \cos(δ-) \ u^3
  
  \[ 9 \cos(δ-) ^2 + 12 \ u \cos(δ-) ^2 + 3 \cos(δ-) ^2 \ u^2 + u^2 \]
\[+18 \cos(\delta-) u^2 + 6 \cos(\delta-) u^3 + 26 \cos(\delta-) \sqrt{\%1} + 4 \sqrt{\%1} + 4 u \sqrt{\%1} + 2 u^2 \sqrt{\%1} + 32 \cos(\delta-) \sqrt{\%1} + 8 \cos(\delta-) \sqrt{\%1} + u \sqrt{\%1} + O(\varepsilon^3)]\]

\[\%1 := 9 \cos(\delta-) + 12 u \cos(\delta-) + 3 \cos(\delta-) \sqrt{\%1} + u^2\]

DELTA INFLUENCE IN PERIGEE HEIGHT FOR THETAM=30-60 DEG (KM)
> plot({dhpts},delta=-Pi/6..Pi/6);

DELTA INFLUENCE IN APOGEE HEIGHT FOR THETAM=30-60 DEG (KM)
> plot({dhats},delta=-Pi/6..Pi/6);
INITIAL TRUE ANOMALY

\[ s\text{innu}_0 := \text{simplify}(\text{taylor}(\text{dotprod}([0,1,0], \text{crossprod}(r,e,'\text{orthogonal}'))/r^2/ee, \epsilon, 5)); \]

\[ \sin(\delta) \]

\[ \sin(\delta - \epsilon) + \frac{1}{2} \sin(\delta - \epsilon) \cos(\delta - \epsilon) \]

\[ \begin{align*}
9 \cos(\delta - \epsilon)^2 + 24 \cos(\delta - \epsilon)^2 u^2 - 8 u^2 + 4 u^3 \cos(\delta - \epsilon)^2 - 9 - 18 u, \end{align*} \]

\[ \begin{align*}
\%1^{3/2} \epsilon + \frac{1}{8} \sin(\delta - \epsilon) u \left[ 378 \cos(\delta - \epsilon)^6 - 792 u^3 \cos(\delta - \epsilon)^2 + 1836 \cos(\delta - \epsilon)^6 u \right. \\
+ 3171 \cos(\delta - \epsilon)^6 u^2 - 999 \cos(\delta - \epsilon)^2 u^2 + 24 u^3 + 16 u^4 + 9 u^2 + 176 u^5 \cos(\delta - \epsilon)^4 \\
- 324 \cos(\delta - \epsilon)^2 u^4 + 12 \cos(\delta - \epsilon)^6 u^6 - 21 u^2 \cos(\delta - \epsilon)^4 + 468 \cos(\delta - \epsilon)^4 u^4 \\
+ 20 \cos(\delta - \epsilon)^4 u^6 - 48 u^5 \cos(\delta - \epsilon)^2 + 1032 \cos(\delta - \epsilon)^6 u^4 - 108 u \cos(\delta - \epsilon)^4 \\
- 162 \cos(\delta - \epsilon)^2 - 648 u \cos(\delta - \epsilon)^2 + 2568 \cos(\delta - \epsilon)^6 u^3 + 192 \cos(\delta - \epsilon)^6 u^5 \\
+ 400 u^3 \cos(\delta - \epsilon)^4 \left[ 9 \cos(\delta - \epsilon)^2 + 12 u \cos(\delta - \epsilon)^2 + 3 \cos(\delta - \epsilon)^2 u^2 + u^2 \right. \\
\%1 := 9 \cos(\delta - \epsilon)^2 + 12 u \cos(\delta - \epsilon)^2 + 3 \cos(\delta - \epsilon)^2 u^2 + u^2 \]
\]

\[ \nu_0 := - \text{arcsin} \left( \frac{\sin(\delta - \epsilon) u}{\sqrt{\%1}} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \sin(\delta - \epsilon) u \]

\[ \begin{align*}
9 \cos(\delta - \epsilon)^2 + 24 \cos(\delta - \epsilon)^2 u^2 - 8 u^2 + 4 u^3 \cos(\delta - \epsilon)^2 - 9 - 18 u, \end{align*} \]

\[ \begin{align*}
\text{signum}(\cos(\delta - \epsilon)) \left[ \%1^{3/2} \sqrt{(3 + 2 u)^2} \epsilon + \frac{1}{4} 8 \cos(\delta - \epsilon)^4 u^6 + 8 u^6 \cos(\delta - \epsilon)^2 \right. \\
+ 68 u^5 \cos(\delta - \epsilon)^2 - 16 u^5 + 108 u^5 \cos(\delta - \epsilon)^4 - 124 u^4 + 180 \cos(\delta - \epsilon)^2 u^4 \\
+ 540 \cos(\delta - \epsilon)^4 u^4 - 354 u^3 + 152 u^3 \cos(\delta - \epsilon)^2 + 1302 u^3 \cos(\delta - \epsilon)^4 - 486 u^2 \\
- 24 \cos(\delta - \epsilon)^2 u^2 + 1590 u^2 \cos(\delta - \epsilon)^4 + 918 u \cos(\delta - \epsilon)^4 - 324 u - 54 u \cos(\delta - \epsilon)^2 - 81 \\
+ 189 \cos(\delta - \epsilon)^4 \sin(\delta - \epsilon) u \text{signum}(\cos(\delta - \epsilon)) \cos(\delta - \epsilon) \end{align*} \]

\[ \begin{align*}
\%1 := 9 \cos(\delta - \epsilon)^2 + 12 u \cos(\delta - \epsilon)^2 + 3 \cos(\delta - \epsilon)^2 u^2 + u^2 \]
\]

> \text{simplify}(\text{coeff}(\nu_0, \epsilon, 0));

\[ \begin{align*}
\text{arcsin} \left( \frac{\sin(\delta - \epsilon) u}{\sqrt{9 \cos(\delta - \epsilon)^2 + 12 u \cos(\delta - \epsilon)^2 + 3 \cos(\delta - \epsilon)^2 u^2 + u^2}} \right) \end{align*} \]

> \text{simplify}(\text{coeff}(\nu_0, \epsilon, 1), \sqrt{\text{assume=positive}}), \text{pow});


\[ -\frac{1}{2} \text{signum}(\cos(\delta)) \left[ 2 \cos(\delta) u^2 - 4 u + 6 u \cos(\delta) - 3 + 3 \cos(\delta)^2 \right] \]

\[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{9 \cos(\delta)^2 + 12 u \cos(\delta)^2 + 3 \cos(\delta)^2 u^2 + u^2}} \]

\[ 9 \cos(\delta)^2 + 12 u \cos(\delta)^2 + 3 \cos(\delta)^2 u^2 + u^2 \]

\[ \frac{3/2}{3} \]

\[ > \text{factor}(4^u^3+18^u^2+24^u+9); \]

\[ (3 + 2 u) \begin{vmatrix} 2 u^2 + 6 u + 3 \end{vmatrix} \]

\[ > \text{factor}(8^u^2+18^u+9); \]

\[ (3 + 2 u) (4 u + 3) \]

\section*{INITIAL ANGULAR SPEED OF ELLIPTIC ORBITAL FRAME}

Note MapleV's glorious stupidity in easy simplifications, while handling difficult ones well.

\[ > \text{nup} := \text{subs(signum(\cos(\text{delta}))=1,simplify(taylor(n^((1+ee*\cos(\text{nu0}))^2/(1-ee*ee)^{3/2},epsilon,3))));} \]

\[ nup := 1 + \left[ 2 \sqrt{\sin(\delta)} \left( \frac{3 + 2 u}{\%1} \right)^2 \cos(\delta) - 3 \cos(\delta) \right] \frac{-270 u \cos(\delta)^2}{\%1} - 216 \cos(\delta)^2 u^2 - 9 u^2 + 82 \cos(\delta)^2 u^4 - 81 \cos(\delta)^2 + 20 u^5 \cos(\delta)^2 \]

\[ + 60 u^5 \cos(\delta)^4 - 18 u^3 + 30 u^3 \cos(\delta)^2 + 558 \cos(\delta)^4 u^4 - 8 u^4 \]

\[ + 1992 \cos(\delta)^4 + 3411 u^2 \cos(\delta)^4 + 2808 \cos(\delta)^4 + 891 \cos(\delta)^4 \]

\[ + 3 u^3 \sqrt{\sin(\delta)} \left( \frac{3 + 2 u}{\%1} \right)^2 + 3 \sqrt{\sin(\delta)} \left( \frac{3 + 2 u}{\%1} \right)^2 u^2 - 297 \cos(\delta)^4 \sqrt{\sin(\delta)} \left( \frac{3 + 2 u}{\%1} \right)^2 \]

\[ + 27 \cos(\delta)^2 \sqrt{\sin(\delta)} \left( \frac{3 + 2 u}{\%1} \right)^2 - 30 u^4 \sqrt{\sin(\delta)} \left( \frac{3 + 2 u}{\%1} \right)^2 \cos(\delta)^4 \]

\[ - 10 u^4 \sqrt{\sin(\delta)} \left( \frac{3 + 2 u}{\%1} \right)^2 \cos(\delta)^2 - 720 \sqrt{\sin(\delta)} \left( \frac{3 + 2 u}{\%1} \right)^2 \cos(\delta)^4 \]

\[ + 63 \sqrt{\sin(\delta)} \left( \frac{3 + 2 u}{\%1} \right)^2 \cos(\delta)^2 + 12 u^2 \sqrt{\sin(\delta)} \left( \frac{3 + 2 u}{\%1} \right)^2 \cos(\delta)^2 \]

\[ - 27 u^3 \sqrt{\sin(\delta)} \left( \frac{3 + 2 u}{\%1} \right)^2 \cos(\delta)^2 - 621 u^2 \sqrt{\sin(\delta)} \left( \frac{3 + 2 u}{\%1} \right)^2 \cos(\delta)^4 \]

\[ - 228 u^3 \sqrt{\sin(\delta)} \left( \frac{3 + 2 u}{\%1} \right)^2 \cos(\delta)^4 \sqrt{\sin(\delta)} \left( \frac{3 + 2 u}{\%1} \right)^2 \epsilon^2 + O(\epsilon^3) \]
\[ %1 := 9 \cos(\delta) - 2 + 12 u \cos(\delta) - 2 + 3 \cos(\delta) - 2 u^2 + u^2 \]

WITH A LITTLE COAXING, IT WILL DO IT:

\[ nup1 := \cos(\delta) u \]

\[ nup2 := \cos(\delta) u - 270 u \cos(\delta) - 2 - 216 \cos(\delta) - 2 u^2 - 9 u^2 + 82 \cos(\delta) - 2 u^4 - 81 \cos(\delta) - 2 \]

\[ + 20 u^5 \cos(\delta) - 2 + 60 u^5 \cos(\delta) - 4 - 18 u^3 + 30 u^3 \cos(\delta) - 2 + 558 \cos(\delta) - 4 u^4 - 8 u^4 \]

\[ + 1992 u^3 \cos(\delta) - 4 + 3411 u^2 \cos(\delta) - 4 + 2808 u \cos(\delta) - 4 + 891 \cos(\delta) - 4 \]

\[ + 3 u^3 \sqrt{\%1 \left(3 + 2 u\right)^2} \frac{3}{\%1} - 3 \sqrt{\%1 \left(3 + 2 u\right)^2} u^2 - 297 \cos(\delta) - 4 \sqrt{\%1 \left(3 + 2 u\right)^2} \cos(\delta) - 4 \]

\[ - 10 u^4 \sqrt{\%1 \left(3 + 2 u\right)^2} \cos(\delta) - 2 - 720 u \sqrt{\%1 \left(3 + 2 u\right)^2} \cos(\delta) - 4 \]

\[ + 63 u \sqrt{\%1 \left(3 + 2 u\right)^2} \cos(\delta) - 2 + 12 u^2 \sqrt{\%1 \left(3 + 2 u\right)^2} \cos(\delta) - 2 \]

\[ - 27 u^3 \sqrt{\%1 \left(3 + 2 u\right)^2} \cos(\delta) - 2 - 621 u^2 \sqrt{\%1 \left(3 + 2 u\right)^2} \cos(\delta) - 4 \]

\[ - 228 u^3 \sqrt{\%1 \left(3 + 2 u\right)^2} \cos(\delta) - 4 \sqrt{\%1 \left(3 + 2 u\right)^2} \cos(\delta) - 4 \]

\[ %1 := 9 \cos(\delta) - 2 + 12 u \cos(\delta) - 2 + 3 \cos(\delta) - 2 u^2 + u^2 \]

\[ nup2s := \cos(\delta) u - 270 u \cos(\delta) - 2 - 216 \cos(\delta) - 2 u^2 - 9 u^2 - 621 u^2 (3 + 2 u) \cos(\delta) - 4 \]

\[ - 228 u^3 (3 + 2 u) \cos(\delta) - 4 - 27 u^3 (3 + 2 u) \cos(\delta) - 2 - 30 u^4 (3 + 2 u) \cos(\delta) - 4 \]

\[ - 10 u^4 (3 + 2 u) \cos(\delta) - 2 + 27 \cos(\delta) - 2 (3 + 2 u) - 720 u (3 + 2 u) \cos(\delta) - 4 \]

\[ + 63 u (3 + 2 u) \cos(\delta) - 2 + 12 u^2 (3 + 2 u) \cos(\delta) - 2 + 3 u^3 (3 + 2 u) + 3 (3 + 2 u) u^2 \]

\[ - 297 \cos(\delta) - 4 (3 + 2 u) + 82 \cos(\delta) - 2 u^4 - 81 \cos(\delta) - 2 + 20 u^5 \cos(\delta) - 2 \]

\[ + 60 u^5 \cos(\delta) - 4 - 18 u^3 + 30 u^3 \cos(\delta) - 2 + 558 \cos(\delta) - 4 u^4 - 8 u^4 \]
+ 1992 \, u^3 \cos(\delta) - 3 + 3411 \, u^2 \cos(\delta) - 4 + 2808 \, u \cos(\delta) - 4 + 891 \, \cos(\delta) - 4 | / \sqrt{c^3/2} \\
\left(3 + 2 \, u\right)^2 \right) / c

nup2ss := simplify(simplify(nup2ss,radical);

nup2ss := - \left[ - \cos(\delta) \right]^2 \, u^2 + 6 \, u^2 \cos(\delta) - 4 - u^2 - 12 \, u \cos(\delta) - 2 + 24 \, u \cos(\delta) - 4
+ 18 \cos(\delta) - 4 - 9 \cos(\delta) - u / \sqrt{c}

nup2ss := subs(c=9*\cos(delta)^2+12*\cos(delta)*2*u+3*\cos(delta)^2*u^2+u^2,nup2ss);

nup2ss := - \left[ - \cos(\delta) \right]^2 \, u^2 + 6 \, u^2 \cos(\delta) - 4 - u^2 - 12 \, u \cos(\delta) - 2 + 24 \, u \cos(\delta) - 4
+ 18 \cos(\delta) - 4 - 9 \cos(\delta) - u / \sqrt{9 \cos(\delta) - 2 + 12 \, u \cos(\delta) - 2 + 3 \, \cos(\delta) - 2 \, u^2 + u^2}

FINALLY:

nup22 := simplify(nup2ss,radical);

nup22 := -u \left( \left[ 2 \cos(\delta) - 2 - 1 \right] \right)

WE'LL CHECK FOR SIMPLIFICATION ERRORS: O.K.

plot(subs(cos(delta)=0.5,nup2-nup2sss),u=-1.5..1.5);

nudiff0 := 1+nup1*epsilon+nup22*epsilon^2;

nudiff0 := 1 + \cos(\delta) \, u \, \epsilon - \left[ 2 \cos(\delta) - 2 - 1 \right] \, \epsilon^2

psi0 := u + \left[ - \cos(\delta) \right] \, u - \cos(\delta) \, u^2 \epsilon + \left[ 2 \, u \cos(\delta) - u + 3 \, \cos(\delta) - 2 \, u^2 - u^2 + u^3 \cos(\delta) - 2 \, \epsilon^2 + O(\epsilon^3)

factor(coeff(psi0,epsilon,2));

u (1 + u) \left[ u \cos(\delta) - 2 + 2 \cos(\delta) - 2 - 1 \right]

ANGULAR SPEED IN C.O.M. ELLIPTIC ORBIT

nudiff := subs(signum(cos(delta))=1,simplify(taylor(n*(1+ee*cos(nu))^2/(1-ee*ee)^3(2),epsilon,4));

nudiff := 1 + 2 \sqrt{\%1} \cos(\nu) - 6 \cos(\delta) - 3 \cos(\delta) \, u \nu - 3 \nu \sqrt{\%1}
+ 99 \cos(\delta) - 3 \cos(\nu) - 24 \cos(\delta) - 2 \sqrt{\%1} + 3 \sqrt{\%1} - 24 \cos(\delta) - 2 \, u \sqrt{\%1}
- 6 \cos(\delta) - 2 \, u \sqrt{\%1} - \cos(\nu) \sqrt{\%1} - 2 \, u^2 - 12 \cos(\nu) \sqrt{\%1} \cos(\delta) - 2 \, u^2
- 3 \cos(\nu) \sqrt{\%1} \cos(\delta) - 2 \, u^2 - 9 \cos(\nu) \sqrt{\%1} \cos(\delta) - 2 + 2 \cos(\delta) \, \cos(\nu) \, u^2
- 18 \cos(\delta) \, \cos(\nu) \, u^4 + 4 \cos(\delta) \, \cos(\nu) \, u^3 + 96 \cos(\delta) - 3 \, \cos(\nu) \, u^2
+ 16 \cos(\delta) - 3 \, \cos(\nu) \, u^3 + 180 \cos(\delta) - 3 \, \cos(\nu) \, u - 9 \cos(\delta) \, \cos(\nu) \sqrt{\%1} \, \epsilon^2 + \frac{1}{4}
72 \cos(\delta) \, \cos(\nu) \sqrt{\%1} \, u^3 + 36 \cos(\delta) \, \cos(\nu) \sqrt{\%1} \, u^2
Appendix E: DECAY1.MS

\[ + 1080 \cos(\delta^\prime)^3 \cos(v)^2 \sqrt{\%1} u - 3564 \cos(v) \cos(\delta^\prime)^4 - 1416 \cos(v) u^3 \cos(\delta^\prime)^2 \]
\[ - 13284 \cos(v) u \cos(\delta^\prime)^4 - 603 \cos(v) \cos(\delta^\prime)^2 u^2 + 864 \cos(\delta^\prime)^3 \sqrt{\%1} \]
\[ + 9 \cos(v) u^2 + 24 \cos(v) u^3 - 16 \cos(v) u^4 - 4 \cos(v) u^4 + 144 \cos(\delta^\prime)^2 u^3 \sqrt{\%1} \]
\[ + 96 \cos(\delta^\prime) u^2 \sqrt{\%1} + 2448 \cos(\delta^\prime)^3 u \sqrt{\%1} + 324 \cos(\delta^\prime)^3 \cos(v)^2 \sqrt{\%1} \]
\[ + 52176 \cos(\delta^\prime)^6 \cos(v) u^3 + 1104 \cos(\delta^\prime)^4 \cos(v) u^4 + 20772 \cos(\delta^\prime)^6 \cos(v) u^5 \]
\[ + 24 \cos(\delta^\prime)^2 \cos(v) u^6 + 356 \cos(\delta^\prime)^6 \cos(v) u^6 + 196 \cos(\delta^\prime)^4 \cos(v) u^6 \]
\[ + 4272 \cos(\delta^\prime)^6 \cos(v) u^5 + 1184 \cos(\delta^\prime)^4 \cos(v) u^5 + 49140 \cos(\delta^\prime)^6 \cos(v) u \]
\[ - 120 \cos(\delta^\prime)^5 \sqrt{\%1} u^5 - 840 \cos(v)^2 \cos(\delta^\prime)^5 \sqrt{\%1} u^4 - 8860 \cos(\delta^\prime)^5 \sqrt{\%1} u^2 \]
\[ - 96 \cos(\delta^\prime)^3 \sqrt{\%1} u^4 + 48 \cos(\delta^\prime) \sqrt{\%1} u^4 + 70959 \cos(\delta^\prime)^6 \cos(v) u^2 \]
\[ + 16 \cos(v)^2 \cos(\delta^\prime) \sqrt{\%1} u^4 - 4 \cos(v)^2 \cos(\delta^\prime) \sqrt{\%1} u^5 \]
\[ + 48 \cos(v)^2 \cos(\delta^\prime)^3 \sqrt{\%1} u^3 - 40 \cos(v)^2 \cos(\delta^\prime)^3 \sqrt{\%1} u^5 \]
\[ - 84 \cos(v)^2 \cos(\delta^\prime)^5 \sqrt{\%1} u^5 - 5940 \cos(v)^2 \cos(\delta^\prime)^5 \sqrt{\%1} u^2 \]
\[ - 168 \cos(v)^2 \cos(\delta^\prime)^3 \sqrt{\%1} u^4 + 13500 \cos(\delta^\prime)^6 \cos(v) \]
\[ - 3240 \cos(v)^2 \cos(\delta^\prime)^5 \sqrt{\%1} u^3 - 5076 \cos(v)^2 \cos(\delta^\prime)^5 \sqrt{\%1} u \]
\[ - 4680 \cos(\delta^\prime)^5 \sqrt{\%1} u^3 - 8160 \cos(\delta^\prime)^5 \sqrt{\%1} u + 2128 \cos(\delta^\prime)^3 \sqrt{\%1} u^2 \]
\[ - 40 \cos(\delta^\prime)^3 \sqrt{\%1} u^5 + 936 \cos(v)^2 \cos(\delta^\prime)^3 \sqrt{\%1} u^2 - 15861 \cos(\delta^\prime)^4 \cos(v) u^2 \]
\[ - 6008 \cos(\delta^\prime)^4 \cos(v) u^3 - 96 \cos(\delta^\prime)^2 \cos(v) u^5 - 864 \cos(\delta^\prime)^2 \cos(v) u^4 \]
\[ - 1620 \cos(v)^2 \cos(\delta^\prime)^5 \sqrt{\%1} u^5 - 2880 \cos(\delta^\prime)^5 \sqrt{\%1} u^5 + 528 \cos(\delta^\prime)^3 \sqrt{\%1} u^3 \]
\[ - 1200 \cos(\delta^\prime)^5 \sqrt{\%1} u^4 \| \%1 \theta^3 + O \| \%1 \epsilon^4 \]

\[ \%1 := 9 \cos(\delta^\prime)^2 + 12 u \cos(\delta^\prime)^2 + 3 \cos(\delta^\prime)^2 u^2 + u^2 \]

Since coefficients seem to grow, we will check that greater order terms remain small, giving values to theta and delta for a complete orbit in nu:

\[
\text{nudiff} := \text{convert(nudiff, polynom)};
\]

\[
\text{nudiffs} := 1 + 2 \sqrt{\%1} \cos(v) - 6 \cos(\delta^\prime) - 3 \cos(\delta^\prime) u \| \%1 u \sqrt{\%1} \\
+ 99 \cos(\delta^\prime)^3 \cos(v) - 24 \cos(\delta^\prime)^2 \sqrt{\%1} + 3 \sqrt{\%1} - 24 \cos(\delta^\prime)^2 u \sqrt{\%1} \\
- 6 \cos(\delta^\prime)^2 u^2 \sqrt{\%1} - \cos(v)^2 \sqrt{\%1} u^2 - 12 \cos(v)^2 \sqrt{\%1} \cos(\delta^\prime)^2 \\
- 3 \cos(v)^2 \sqrt{\%1} \cos(\delta^\prime)^2 u^2 - 9 \cos(v)^2 \sqrt{\%1} \cos(\delta^\prime)^2 + 2 \cos(\delta^\prime) \cos(v) u^2 \\
- 18 \cos(\delta^\prime) \cos(v) u + 4 \cos(\delta^\prime) \cos(v) u^3 + 96 \cos(\delta^\prime)^3 \cos(v) u^2
\]
\[
+ 16 \cos(\delta-)^3 \cos(v) u^3 + 180 \cos(\delta-)^3 \cos(v) u - 9 \cos(\delta-) \cos(v) \varepsilon^2 / \sqrt{\%1} + \frac{1}{4} \\
72 \cos(\delta-) \cos(v)^2 \sqrt{\%1} u^3 + 36 \cos(\delta-) \cos(v)^2 \sqrt{\%1} u^2 \\
+ 1080 \cos(\delta-) \cos(v)^2 \sqrt{\%1} u - 3564 \cos(v) \cos(\delta-)^4 - 1416 \cos(v) u^3 \cos(\delta-)^2 \\
- 13284 \cos(v) u \cos(\delta-)^4 - 603 \cos(v) \cos(\delta-)^2 u^2 + 864 \cos(\delta-)^3 \sqrt{\%1} \\
+ 9 \cos(v) u^2 + 24 \cos(v) u^3 - 16 \cos(v) u^5 - 4 \cos(v) u^4 + 144 \cos(\delta-) u^3 \sqrt{\%1} \\
+ 96 \cos(\delta-) u^2 \sqrt{\%1} + 2448 \cos(\delta-)^3 u \sqrt{\%1} + 324 \cos(\delta-)^3 \cos(v)^2 \sqrt{\%1} \\
+ 52176 \cos(\delta-)^6 \cos(v) u^3 + 1104 \cos(\delta-)^4 \cos(v) u^4 + 20772 \cos(\delta-)^6 \cos(v) u^4 \\
+ 24 \cos(\delta-)^2 \cos(v) u^6 + 356 \cos(\delta-)^6 \cos(v) u^6 + 196 \cos(\delta-)^4 \cos(v) u^6 \\
+ 4272 \cos(\delta-)^6 \cos(v) u^5 + 1184 \cos(\delta-)^4 \cos(v) u^5 + 49140 \cos(\delta-)^6 \cos(v) u^5 \\
- 120 \cos(\delta-)^5 \sqrt{\%1} u^5 - 840 \cos(v)^2 \cos(\delta-)^5 \sqrt{\%1} u^4 - 8880 \cos(\delta-)^5 \sqrt{\%1} u^2 \\
- 96 \cos(\delta-)^3 \sqrt{\%1} u^4 + 48 \cos(\delta-) \sqrt{\%1} u^4 + 70959 \cos(\delta-)^6 \cos(v) u^2 \\
+ 16 \cos(v)^2 \cos(\delta-) \sqrt{\%1} u^4 - 4 \cos(v)^2 \cos(\delta-) \sqrt{\%1} u^5 \\
+ 48 \cos(v)^2 \cos(\delta-) \sqrt{\%1} u^3 - 40 \cos(v)^2 \cos(\delta-) \sqrt{\%1} u^5 \\
- 84 \cos(v)^2 \cos(\delta-) \sqrt{\%1} u^5 - 5940 \cos(v)^2 \cos(\delta-) \sqrt{\%1} u^2 \\
- 168 \cos(v)^2 \cos(\delta-) \sqrt{\%1} u^4 + 13500 \cos(\delta-) \sqrt{\%1} \cos(v) \\
- 3240 \cos(v)^2 \cos(\delta-) \sqrt{\%1} u^3 - 5076 \cos(v)^2 \cos(\delta-) \sqrt{\%1} u \\
- 4680 \cos(\delta-) \sqrt{\%1} u^3 - 8160 \cos(\delta-) \sqrt{\%1} u + 2128 \cos(\delta-) \sqrt{\%1} u^2 \\
- 40 \cos(\delta-) \sqrt{\%1} u^5 + 936 \cos(v)^2 \cos(\delta-) \sqrt{\%1} u^2 - 15861 \cos(\delta-) \sqrt{\%1} \cos(v) u^2 \\
- 6008 \cos(\delta-) \sqrt{\%1} \cos(v) u^3 - 96 \cos(\delta-) \sqrt{\%1} \cos(v) u^5 - 864 \cos(\delta-) \sqrt{\%1} \cos(v) u^4 \\
- 1620 \cos(v)^2 \cos(\delta-) \sqrt{\%1} u^2 - 2880 \cos(v)^2 \cos(\delta-) \sqrt{\%1} u^2 \\
- 528 \cos(\delta-) \sqrt{\%1} \cos(v) u^3 \\
- 1200 \cos(\delta-) \sqrt{\%1} \cos(v) u^4 \varepsilon^3 / \sqrt{\%1}^{3/2}
\]

\%1 := 9 \cos(\delta-)^2 + 12 u \cos(\delta-)^2 + 3 \cos(\delta-)^2 u^2 + u^2

TERM OF ORDER EPSILON (DELTA 0, THETA 1 RADIAN):

\[
> nud1:=eval(subs({theta=1,delta=0},subs(u=sqrt(3*sin(theta)^2-3*sin(delta)^2),coeff(nudiffs,epsilon,1))));
\]

\[
nudl := 2 \sqrt{9 + 12 \sqrt{3 \sin(1)^2} + 12 \sin(1)^2} \cos(v) - 6 - 3 \sqrt{3 \sin(1)^2}
\]

EPSILON SQUARE:

\[
> nud2:=eval(subs({theta=1,delta=0},subs(u=sqrt(3*sin(theta)^2-3*sin(delta)^2),coeff(nudiffs,epsilon,2))));
\]
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\[
\text{nud2} := -21 \sqrt{3} \sqrt{\sin(1)^2} \sqrt{\%1} + 90 \cos(v) - 21 \sqrt{\%1} - 18 \sin(1)^2 \sqrt{\%1} - 12 \cos(v)^2 \sqrt{\%1} \sin(1)^2 - 12 \cos(v)^2 \sqrt{\%1} \sin(1)^2 - 9 \cos(v)^2 \sqrt{\%1} + 294 \cos(v) \sin(1)^2 + 162 \cos(v) \sqrt{3} \sin(1)^2 + 60 \cos(v) \sqrt{3} \sin(1)^2 \begin{array}{c} 3/2 \\ \sqrt{\%1} \end{array} \]

\[
\%1 := 9 + 12 \sqrt{3} \sin(1)^2 + 12 \sin(1)^2
\]

\[\text{EPSILON CUBE:}\]

\[
> \text{nud3} := \text{eval(subs(}\{\text{theta}=1,\text{delta}=0\},\text{subs}(u=\sqrt{3}\sin(\text{theta})^2-3\sin(\text{delta})^2),\text{coeff}(\text{nudiffs,epsilon,3}) \})
\]

\[
\text{nud3} := \frac{1}{4} - 19968 \sin(1)^2 \sqrt{\%1} + 163512 \cos(v) \sin(1)^2 - 9360 \cos(v)^2 \sqrt{\%1} \sqrt{3} \sin(1)^2 - 1152 \cos(v)^2 \sqrt{\%1} \sqrt{3} \sin(1)^2 - 2016 \sqrt{\%1} + 9936 \cos(v) - 3996 \cos(v)^2 \sqrt{\%1} \sqrt{3} \sin(1)^2 + 35856 \cos(v) \sqrt{3} \sin(1)^2 - 1296 \cos(v)^2 \sqrt{\%1} - 6712 \sqrt{3} \sin(1)^2 \sqrt{\%1} - 14904 \cos(v)^2 \sqrt{\%1} \sin(1)^2 + 134328 \cos(v) \sqrt{3} \sin(1)^2 + 48096 \cos(v) \sqrt{3} \sin(1)^2 - 8928 \cos(v)^2 \sqrt{\%1} \sin(1)^4 - 12024 \sqrt{3} \sin(1)^2 \sqrt{\%1} - 1440 \sqrt{\%1} \sqrt{3} \sin(1)^2 - 189072 \cos(v) \sin(1)^4 + 15552 \cos(v) \sin(1)^6 - 11232 \sqrt{\%1} \sin(1)^4 \sqrt{\%1} + 3 \sqrt{\%1} \]

\[
\%1 := 9 + 12 \sqrt{3} \sin(1)^2 + 12 \sin(1)^2
\]

\[\text{EPSILON BY ANOTHER NAME, SO THAT IT WILL NOT DISTURB THE REST OF THE CALCULATIONS:}\]

\[
> \text{sigma} := 0.9145*20/6378.16;
\]

\[
\sigma := .002867598179
\]

\[\text{THE THIRD TERM GETS MUCH BIGGER THAN THE SECOND:}\]

\[
> \text{plot(}\{\text{nud2, nud3}, \text{nu=0..2*Pi}\});
\]
BUT EPSILON CUBE KEEPS IT SMALL:

> plot((sigma*nud2, sigma*sigma*nud3), nu=0..2*Pi);

THE SECOND IS ALSO BIGGER THAN THE FIRST:

> plot((nud1, nud2), nu=0..2*Pi);
BUT EPSILON PUTS THINGS IN PERSPECTIVE: WE NEED ONLY KEEP TERMS TO ORDER EPSILON SQUARE.

> plot([nud1, sigma*nud2], nu=0..2*Pi);

AND NOW THE WHOLE PICTURE:

> plot([1+sigma*nud1, sigma*sigma*nud2], nu=0..2*Pi);
WE WILL CONTINUE SIMPLIFYING THE TERMS OF INSTANT ANGULAR SPEED

\[ \text{nudiff1} := \text{coeff(nudiff, epsilon, 1)}; \]

\[
\text{nudiff1} := 2 \sqrt{9 \cos(\delta-)^2 + 12 u \cos(\delta-)^2 + 3 \cos(\delta-)^2 u^2} \cos(\nu) - 6 \cos(\delta-) - 3 \cos(\delta-) u
\]

\[ \text{nudiff2} := \text{coeff(nudiff, epsilon, 2)}; \]

\[
\text{nudiff2} := -3 u \sqrt{\%1} + 99 \cos(\delta-)^3 \cos(\nu) - 24 \cos(\delta-)^2 \sqrt{\%1} + 3 \sqrt{\%1} - 24 \cos(\delta-)^2 u \sqrt{\%1} - 6 \cos(\delta-)^2 \cos(\nu)^2 \sqrt{\%1} u^2 - 12 \cos(\nu)^2 \sqrt{\%1} u \cos(\delta-)^2 - 3 \cos(\nu)^2 \sqrt{\%1} \cos(\delta-)^2 u^2 - 9 \cos(\nu)^2 \sqrt{\%1} \cos(\delta-)^2 + 2 \cos(\delta-) \cos(\nu) u^2 - 18 \cos(\delta-) \cos(\nu) u + 4 \cos(\delta-) \cos(\nu) u^3 + 96 \cos(\delta-) \cos(\nu) u^2 + 16 \cos(\delta-) \cos(\nu) u^3 + 96 \cos(\delta-) \cos(\nu) u^2 + 16 \cos(\delta-) \cos(\nu) u^3 + 180 \cos(\delta-) \cos(\nu) u - 9 \cos(\delta-) \cos(\nu) \sqrt{\%1}
\]

\[ \%1 := 9 \cos(\delta-)^2 + 12 u \cos(\delta-)^2 + 3 \cos(\delta-)^2 u^2 + u^2 \]

\[ \text{nudiff2} := \text{collect(simplify(nudiff2, radical, assume=positive), cos(nu))}; \]

\[
\text{nudiff2} := -9 \cos(\delta-)^2 \sqrt{\%1} - u^2 \sqrt{\%1} - 12 \cos(\delta-)^2 u \sqrt{\%1} - 3 \cos(\delta-)^2 u^2 \sqrt{\%1} \cos(\nu)^2 \sqrt{\%1}
\]

\[ 99 \cos(\delta-)^3 + 2 \cos(\delta-) u^2 - 18 \cos(\delta-) u + 4 \cos(\delta-) u^3 + 96 \cos(\delta-) \cos(\nu) u^2 + 16 u^3 \cos(\delta-) + 180 \cos(\delta-) u - 9 \cos(\delta-) \cos(\nu) \sqrt{\%1} \]

\[ 3 u \sqrt{\%1} - 6 \cos(\delta-) \sqrt{\%1} - 24 \cos(\delta-)^2 \sqrt{\%1} + 3 \sqrt{\%1} - 24 \cos(\delta-)^2 u \sqrt{\%1} \]
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Appendix E: DECAY

%1 := 9 \cos(\delta) + 12 u \cos(\delta) + 3 \cos(\delta) u^2 + u^2

> c0 := simplify(coeff(nudiff2, \cos(\nu), 0), radical, assume=positive);

\[ c_0 := -3 u + 6 \cos(\delta) u^2 + 24 \cos(\delta) - 3 + 24 u \cos(\delta) \]

> factor(coeff(c0, \cos(\delta), 2));

\[ 6 (2 + u)^2 \]

> factor(coeff(c0, \cos(\delta), 0));

\[ -3 u - 3 \]

> c1 := collect(coeff(nudiff2, \cos(\nu), 1), \cos(\delta));

\[ c_1 := \frac{99 + 96 u^2 + 16 u^3 + 180 u \cos(\delta)}{\sqrt{9 \cos(\delta)^2 + 12 u \cos(\delta)^2 + 3 \cos(\delta)^2 u^2 + u^2}} - \frac{2 u^2 - 18 u + 4 u^3 - 9 \cos(\delta)}{\sqrt{9 \cos(\delta)^2 + 12 u \cos(\delta)^2 + 3 \cos(\delta)^2 u^2 + u^2}} \]

> factor(16*u^3+99+180*u+96*u^2);
> factor(-9+4*u^3-18*u+2*u^2);

\[ 99 + 96 u^2 + 16 u^3 + 180 u \]

\[ (2 u + 1) | 2 u^2 - 9 | \]

> c2 := simplify(coeff(nudiff2, \cos(\nu), 2), assume=positive);

\[ c_2 := 9 \cos(\delta) + 12 u \cos(\delta) + 3 \cos(\delta) u^2 + u^2 \]

> factor(coeff(c2, \cos(\delta), 2));

\[ 3 (u + 3) (1 + u) \]
DECAY2.MS

DECAY MINIMIZATION: FINAL SATELLITE ORBIT

\[ r := \begin{bmatrix} r_0^i (1 + r_1x \epsilon) & 0 & r_0 \epsilon r_1z \end{bmatrix} \]

\[ v := \begin{bmatrix} \omega_0 r_0 \epsilon v_1x & 0 & \omega_0 r_0 (1 + v_1z \epsilon) \end{bmatrix} \]

\[
\begin{align*}
> \text{with(linalg):} \\
> h := \text{crossprod}(r, v); \\
> h\_2 := \text{collect(simplify(h[2]), epsilon)}; \\
> h\_3 := \text{taylor(simplify(eval(h[2]*h[2])), epsilon)}; \\
> \text{resc := simplify(taylor(sqrt(dotprod(r, r, 'orthogonal')), epsilon, 4), sqrt, assume=positive)}; \\
> \omega_0 := \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{r_0^3}} \\
> EN := \text{simplify(taylor(dotprod(v, v, 'orthogonal'))/2-mu/resc, epsilon, 4), sqrt)}; \\
> as := \text{simplify(taylor(-mu/EN/2, epsilon, 4))}; \\
> evs := \text{evalm(-r/resc-crossprod(h, v)/mu)};
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{As previously explained, the final satellite orbit is obtained by minimizing the decay function.}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{The expressions for}\ r\text{ and} \ v\text{ are given by:}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
r := \begin{bmatrix} r_0^i (1 + r_1x \epsilon) & 0 & r_0 \epsilon r_1z \end{bmatrix} \\
v := \begin{bmatrix} \omega_0 r_0 \epsilon v_1x & 0 & \omega_0 r_0 (1 + v_1z \epsilon) \end{bmatrix}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{The cross-product of}\ r\text{ and} \ v\text{ is computed as:}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
h := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & r_0^2 \epsilon^2 r_1z \omega_0 v_1x - r_0^2 (1 + r_1x \epsilon) \omega_0 (1 + v_1z \epsilon) & 0 \end{bmatrix}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
h\_2 := \text{collect(simplify(h[2]), epsilon)}; \\
h\_3 := \text{taylor(simplify(eval(h[2]*h[2])), epsilon)};
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{The expressions for}\ \omega_0\text{ and} \ EN\text{ are given by:}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\omega_0 := \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{r_0^3}} \\
EN := \text{simplify(taylor(dotprod(v, v, 'orthogonal'))/2-mu/resc, epsilon, 4), sqrt)};
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{The expressions for}\ as\text{ and} \ evs\text{ are given by:}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
as := \text{simplify(taylor(-mu/EN/2, epsilon, 4))}; \\
evs := \text{evalm(-r/resc-crossprod(h, v)/mu)};
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{The final expression for the orbital elements is:}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{The final expression for the orbital elements is:}
\end{align*}
\]
\[ r_0^2 r_{lz} \left( \frac{\mu}{r_0^3} v_{lx} - r_0^2 \right) \left( \frac{\mu}{r_0^3} r_{lx} v_{lz} \right) + r_0 \sqrt{r_0^3} \frac{\mu}{r_0^3} r_{lx} \varepsilon \\
- r_0^2 \left( \frac{\mu}{r_0^3} r_{lz} - 1 + \frac{1}{2} r_0 \varepsilon \varepsilon_0 \right) / \mu \]
DECAY3.MS

ORBITAL DECAY RATE: DECREASE IN SEMIAxis PER REVOLUTION.

\[
\begin{align*}
\mu &= 3.98618e5; \\
\cos i &= \text{evalf}(\cos(57\pi/180)); \\
h &= 59.77; \\
we &= 7.292115e-5; \\
K &= \text{evalt}(2\pi/118*1e-6*3.3E-2); \\
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\mu &= 398618. \\
\cos i &= .5446390348 \\
h &= 59.77 \\
we &= .00007292115 \\
K &= .1757161993 \times 10^{-8}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
p &= K \cdot a^2 \cdot \exp((6678.16-a)/h) \cdot (1-2\cdot we \cdot \sqrt{a^2+\mu}) \cdot \cos i \cdot (\text{Bessel}(0,a^e/h)+2\cdot e^3 \cdot \text{Bessel}(1,a^e/h)) \\
&= .1757161993 \times 10^{-8} \cdot a^2 \cdot e \cdot (111.7309687 - .01673080141 \cdot a) \cdot \left(1 - .1258096100 \times 10^{-6} \cdot a^3 \right) \\
&\quad \cdot \left(\text{Bessel}(0, .01673080141 \cdot a) + 2 \cdot e \cdot \text{Bessel}(1, .01673080141 \cdot a) \right)
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{with(plots)}: \\
\text{setoptions3d(axes=NORMAL,style=PATCHCONTOUR,contours=12,shading=Z):} \\
\text{plot3d}(p,a=6675..6878,e=0..0.03,title='Semiaxis Decay'); \\
\end{align*}
\]

THE MINIMUM DECAY OCCURS FOR MAXIMUM a AND MINIMUM e:

\[
\begin{align*}
pe &= \text{subs}(e=ee/300,\text{evalf}(p));
\end{align*}
\]
pe := .1757161993 \times 10^{-8} a^2 e (111.6807763 - .01673080141 a)
1. - .1258096100 \times 10^{-6} \sqrt{a^3} \left( \text{BesselI}(0, .00005576933803 a ee) + .006666666666 ee \text{BesselI}(1, .00005576933803 a ee) \right)

> pde:=evalf(pe) $ ee=0..8:
> plot({pde},a=6678..7000,title='Decay Variation with Excentricity: e=0-0.02');

DECAY DECREASES WITH GROWING a AND WITH DIMINISHING e

> pa:=subs(a=6378+50*i,p);
> pda:=pa $ i=6..10:
> plot({pda},e=0..0.02,title='Decay variation with excentricity, a=300-500 Km');
Appendix G: DECAY3.MS

Decay variation with eccentricity, a=300-500 Km

For all values of a, decay increases with e
Appendix H: DECAY4.MS

DECAY RATE SIMPLIFIED EXPRESSION

> mu := 3.98618e5;
> cosi := evalf(cos(57*Pi/180));
> h := 59.77;
> we := 7.292115e-5;

\[ \mu := 398618. \]
\[ \cosi := 0.5446390348 \]
\[ h := 59.77 \]
\[ we := 0.0007292115 \]

> K := evalf(2*Pi/l18*1e-6*3.3E-2);

\[ K := 0.1757161993 \times 10^{-8} \]

> p1 := a^2*(1-2*we*sqrt(a^2/a/mu)*cosi);

\[ p1 := a^2 \left( 1 - 1.258096100 \times 10^{-6} \sqrt{a}^3 \right) \]

> p2 := (Bessell(0,u)+2*e*Bessell(1,u));

\[ p2 := \text{BesselI}(0,u) + 2e\text{BesselI}(1,u) \]

> pe := exp((6678.16-a)/h);

\[ pe := e^{\left( 111.7309687 - 0.01673080141a \right)} \]

> u := evalf(simplify(a*e/h));

\[ u := 0.01673080141ae \]

> p := K*p1*p2*pe;

\[ p := 0.1757161993 \times 10^{-8} \times a^2 \left( 1 - 1.258096100 \times 10^{-6} \sqrt{a}^3 \right) \]
\[ \left( \text{BesselI}(0,0.01673080141ae) + 2e\text{BesselI}(1,0.01673080141ae) \right) \]
\[ e^{\left( 111.7309687 - 0.01673080141a \right)} \]

> a := r0*(1+as*epsilon);
> e := epsilon*es;
> r0 := 6675.16;

\[ a := r0 (1 + as \varepsilon) \]
\[ e := \varepsilon es \]
\[ r0 := 6675.16 \]

> plt := convert(taylor(p1,epsilon,3),polynom);

\[ plt := 0.4150051988 \times 10^8 + 214860.0971as + 234.1099365as^2 \]

> epsilon := 0.002740032;

\[ \varepsilon := 0.002740032 \]

> plt;

\[ 0.4150051988 \times 10^8 + 214860.0971as + 234.1099365as^2 \]
> pe; 

\[
e^{(.0501924 - .3060089010 \text{ as})}
\]

> p2; 

\[
\text{Bessel}(0, .00004584293125 \times (6675.16 + 18.29015201 \text{ as}) \times e) + .005480064 \times e \times \text{Bessel}(1, .00004584293125 \times (6675.16 + 18.29015201 \text{ as}) \times e)
\]

> \epsilon \text{ln}; 

\[
.3060089010
\]
Appendix I: Reference Tables for Ref 53

Date: 1 September 1995
From: Enrico Lorenzini, Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory

Reference profiles (last three columns of this file) for SEDSAT control law REF53_I-Sep95.

Initial conditions and satellite mass:
$V_0 = 2.5$ m/s, $\Theta_0 = 21$ deg (up and fore), Satellite Mass = 36.3 kg

Reference profiles file:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time (s)</th>
<th>Length (m)</th>
<th>Speed (m/s)</th>
<th>Turns</th>
<th>TurnRate (1/s)</th>
<th>BrakeTurns</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>2.500</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>3.582</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>20.295</td>
<td>2.492</td>
<td>32.00</td>
<td>3.572</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.00</td>
<td>40.070</td>
<td>2.485</td>
<td>60.00</td>
<td>3.564</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.00</td>
<td>59.824</td>
<td>2.478</td>
<td>88.00</td>
<td>3.556</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32.00</td>
<td>79.559</td>
<td>2.472</td>
<td>117.00</td>
<td>3.548</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40.00</td>
<td>99.275</td>
<td>2.466</td>
<td>145.00</td>
<td>3.542</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48.00</td>
<td>118.970</td>
<td>2.461</td>
<td>173.00</td>
<td>3.535</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56.00</td>
<td>138.660</td>
<td>2.456</td>
<td>202.00</td>
<td>3.530</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64.00</td>
<td>158.320</td>
<td>2.451</td>
<td>230.00</td>
<td>3.525</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72.00</td>
<td>177.980</td>
<td>2.447</td>
<td>258.00</td>
<td>3.520</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80.00</td>
<td>197.610</td>
<td>2.443</td>
<td>286.00</td>
<td>3.517</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88.00</td>
<td>217.240</td>
<td>2.440</td>
<td>315.00</td>
<td>3.513</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96.00</td>
<td>236.850</td>
<td>2.437</td>
<td>343.00</td>
<td>3.511</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104.00</td>
<td>256.450</td>
<td>2.434</td>
<td>371.00</td>
<td>3.508</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112.00</td>
<td>276.040</td>
<td>2.432</td>
<td>399.00</td>
<td>3.507</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120.00</td>
<td>295.570</td>
<td>2.430</td>
<td>428.00</td>
<td>3.506</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>128.00</td>
<td>315.060</td>
<td>2.429</td>
<td>456.00</td>
<td>3.506</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>136.00</td>
<td>334.520</td>
<td>2.428</td>
<td>484.00</td>
<td>3.506</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>144.00</td>
<td>353.950</td>
<td>2.427</td>
<td>512.00</td>
<td>3.507</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>152.00</td>
<td>373.360</td>
<td>2.427</td>
<td>540.00</td>
<td>3.508</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>160.00</td>
<td>392.750</td>
<td>2.428</td>
<td>568.00</td>
<td>3.511</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>168.00</td>
<td>412.140</td>
<td>2.428</td>
<td>596.00</td>
<td>3.513</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>176.00</td>
<td>431.540</td>
<td>2.430</td>
<td>624.00</td>
<td>3.517</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>184.00</td>
<td>450.940</td>
<td>2.431</td>
<td>652.00</td>
<td>3.521</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>192.00</td>
<td>470.360</td>
<td>2.432</td>
<td>680.00</td>
<td>3.526</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200.00</td>
<td>489.800</td>
<td>2.436</td>
<td>709.00</td>
<td>3.531</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>208.00</td>
<td>509.270</td>
<td>2.439</td>
<td>737.00</td>
<td>3.537</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>216.00</td>
<td>528.790</td>
<td>2.443</td>
<td>765.00</td>
<td>3.544</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>224.00</td>
<td>548.360</td>
<td>2.447</td>
<td>793.00</td>
<td>3.551</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>232.00</td>
<td>567.990</td>
<td>2.451</td>
<td>822.00</td>
<td>3.559</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>240.00</td>
<td>587.670</td>
<td>2.455</td>
<td>851.00</td>
<td>3.568</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>248.00</td>
<td>607.400</td>
<td>2.461</td>
<td>879.00</td>
<td>3.577</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>256.00</td>
<td>627.170</td>
<td>2.466</td>
<td>908.00</td>
<td>3.587</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>264.00</td>
<td>646.990</td>
<td>2.472</td>
<td>937.00</td>
<td>3.597</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>272.00</td>
<td>666.840</td>
<td>2.479</td>
<td>966.00</td>
<td>3.608</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>280.00</td>
<td>686.730</td>
<td>2.486</td>
<td>995.00</td>
<td>3.620</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>288.00</td>
<td>706.660</td>
<td>2.493</td>
<td>1024.00</td>
<td>3.633</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>296.00</td>
<td>726.610</td>
<td>2.501</td>
<td>1053.00</td>
<td>3.646</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>304.00</td>
<td>746.580</td>
<td>2.509</td>
<td>1082.00</td>
<td>3.660</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>312.00</td>
<td>766.580</td>
<td>2.518</td>
<td>1111.00</td>
<td>3.674</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>320.00</td>
<td>786.590</td>
<td>2.527</td>
<td>1140.00</td>
<td>3.689</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>328.00</td>
<td>806.600</td>
<td>2.537</td>
<td>1170.00</td>
<td>3.705</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>336.00</td>
<td>826.930</td>
<td>2.547</td>
<td>1199.00</td>
<td>3.722</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>344.00</td>
<td>847.320</td>
<td>2.557</td>
<td>1229.00</td>
<td>3.739</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>352.00</td>
<td>867.830</td>
<td>2.568</td>
<td>1259.00</td>
<td>3.756</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>360.00</td>
<td>888.470</td>
<td>2.578</td>
<td>1289.00</td>
<td>3.774</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>368.00</td>
<td>909.220</td>
<td>2.590</td>
<td>1320.00</td>
<td>3.792</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>376.00</td>
<td>930.080</td>
<td>2.601</td>
<td>1350.00</td>
<td>3.811</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>384.00</td>
<td>951.030</td>
<td>2.613</td>
<td>1381.00</td>
<td>3.831</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>392.00</td>
<td>972.060</td>
<td>2.626</td>
<td>1412.00</td>
<td>3.851</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400.00</td>
<td>993.170</td>
<td>2.639</td>
<td>1443.00</td>
<td>3.872</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>408.00</td>
<td>1014.300</td>
<td>2.652</td>
<td>1474.00</td>
<td>3.893</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>416.00</td>
<td>1035.600</td>
<td>2.666</td>
<td>1505.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>424.00</td>
<td>1056.900</td>
<td>2.680</td>
<td>1536.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>432.00</td>
<td>1078.200</td>
<td>2.694</td>
<td>1568.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>440.00</td>
<td>1099.700</td>
<td>2.709</td>
<td>1599.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>448.00</td>
<td>1121.400</td>
<td>2.724</td>
<td>1631.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>456.00</td>
<td>1143.300</td>
<td>2.739</td>
<td>1663.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>464.00</td>
<td>1165.300</td>
<td>2.755</td>
<td>1696.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>472.00</td>
<td>1187.400</td>
<td>2.770</td>
<td>1728.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>480.00</td>
<td>1209.700</td>
<td>2.786</td>
<td>1761.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>488.00</td>
<td>1232.100</td>
<td>2.803</td>
<td>1794.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>496.00</td>
<td>1254.700</td>
<td>2.819</td>
<td>1828.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>504.00</td>
<td>1277.400</td>
<td>2.836</td>
<td>1861.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>512.00</td>
<td>1300.200</td>
<td>2.853</td>
<td>1895.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>520.00</td>
<td>1323.000</td>
<td>2.871</td>
<td>1929.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>528.00</td>
<td>1346.000</td>
<td>2.888</td>
<td>1963.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>536.00</td>
<td>1369.100</td>
<td>2.907</td>
<td>1997.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>544.00</td>
<td>1392.400</td>
<td>2.925</td>
<td>2031.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>552.00</td>
<td>1415.800</td>
<td>2.944</td>
<td>2066.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>560.00</td>
<td>1439.400</td>
<td>2.962</td>
<td>2101.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>568.00</td>
<td>1463.200</td>
<td>2.981</td>
<td>2136.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>576.00</td>
<td>1487.100</td>
<td>3.000</td>
<td>2172.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>584.00</td>
<td>1511.200</td>
<td>3.019</td>
<td>2208.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>592.00</td>
<td>1535.500</td>
<td>3.038</td>
<td>2244.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>600.00</td>
<td>1559.900</td>
<td>3.057</td>
<td>2280.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>608.00</td>
<td>1584.500</td>
<td>3.077</td>
<td>2317.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>616.00</td>
<td>1609.200</td>
<td>3.096</td>
<td>2354.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>624.00</td>
<td>1634.100</td>
<td>3.116</td>
<td>2391.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>632.00</td>
<td>1659.100</td>
<td>3.137</td>
<td>2428.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>640.00</td>
<td>1684.200</td>
<td>3.157</td>
<td>2465.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>648.00</td>
<td>1709.500</td>
<td>3.178</td>
<td>2503.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>656.00</td>
<td>1735.000</td>
<td>3.198</td>
<td>2541.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>664.00</td>
<td>1760.600</td>
<td>3.219</td>
<td>2580.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>672.00</td>
<td>1786.400</td>
<td>3.240</td>
<td>2618.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>680.00</td>
<td>1812.400</td>
<td>3.261</td>
<td>2657.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>688.00</td>
<td>1838.600</td>
<td>3.282</td>
<td>2696.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>696.00</td>
<td>1865.000</td>
<td>3.302</td>
<td>2736.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>704.00</td>
<td>1891.500</td>
<td>3.323</td>
<td>2776.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>712.00</td>
<td>1918.200</td>
<td>3.344</td>
<td>2816.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>720.00</td>
<td>1945.000</td>
<td>3.365</td>
<td>2856.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>728.00</td>
<td>1972.000</td>
<td>3.386</td>
<td>2897.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>736.00</td>
<td>1999.200</td>
<td>3.407</td>
<td>2938.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>744.00</td>
<td>2026.500</td>
<td>3.428</td>
<td>2979.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>752.00</td>
<td>2054.000</td>
<td>3.449</td>
<td>3020.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>760.00</td>
<td>2081.700</td>
<td>3.470</td>
<td>3062.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>768.00</td>
<td>2109.500</td>
<td>3.491</td>
<td>3104.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>776.00</td>
<td>2137.500</td>
<td>3.512</td>
<td>3146.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>784.00</td>
<td>2165.700</td>
<td>3.533</td>
<td>3189.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>792.00</td>
<td>2194.000</td>
<td>3.554</td>
<td>3223.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>800.00</td>
<td>2222.600</td>
<td>3.575</td>
<td>3275.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>808.00</td>
<td>2250.200</td>
<td>3.596</td>
<td>3318.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>816.00</td>
<td>2280.100</td>
<td>3.618</td>
<td>3362.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>824.00</td>
<td>2309.100</td>
<td>3.639</td>
<td>3406.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>832.00</td>
<td>2338.400</td>
<td>3.660</td>
<td>3451.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>840.00</td>
<td>2367.700</td>
<td>3.681</td>
<td>3495.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>848.00</td>
<td>2397.300</td>
<td>3.702</td>
<td>3540.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>856.00</td>
<td>2427.000</td>
<td>3.723</td>
<td>3585.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>864.00</td>
<td>2456.900</td>
<td>3.744</td>
<td>3631.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>872.00</td>
<td>2486.900</td>
<td>3.764</td>
<td>3677.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>880.00</td>
<td>2517.100</td>
<td>3.785</td>
<td>3723.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>888.00</td>
<td>2547.500</td>
<td>3.806</td>
<td>3769.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>896.00</td>
<td>2578.000</td>
<td>3.826</td>
<td>3816.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>904.00</td>
<td>2608.600</td>
<td>3.846</td>
<td>3862.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>912.00</td>
<td>2639.500</td>
<td>3.866</td>
<td>3910.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>920.00</td>
<td>2670.500</td>
<td>3.886</td>
<td>3957.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>928.00</td>
<td>2701.600</td>
<td>3.906</td>
<td>4005.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>936.00</td>
<td>2733.000</td>
<td>3.926</td>
<td>4053.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>944.00</td>
<td>2764.500</td>
<td>3.946</td>
<td>4101.</td>
<td>6.062</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>952.00</td>
<td>2796.100</td>
<td>3.966</td>
<td>4150.</td>
<td>6.098</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>960.00</td>
<td>2828.000</td>
<td>3.986</td>
<td>4199.</td>
<td>6.133</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>968.00</td>
<td>2860.000</td>
<td>4.005</td>
<td>4248.</td>
<td>6.169</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>976.00</td>
<td>2892.100</td>
<td>4.025</td>
<td>4298.</td>
<td>6.205</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>984.00</td>
<td>2924.400</td>
<td>4.044</td>
<td>4348.</td>
<td>6.240</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>992.00</td>
<td>2956.800</td>
<td>4.063</td>
<td>4398.</td>
<td>6.275</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000.00</td>
<td>2989.400</td>
<td>4.082</td>
<td>4448.</td>
<td>6.309</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1008.00</td>
<td>3022.100</td>
<td>4.101</td>
<td>4498.</td>
<td>6.344</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1016.00</td>
<td>3054.900</td>
<td>4.119</td>
<td>4549.</td>
<td>6.378</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1024.00</td>
<td>3087.900</td>
<td>4.138</td>
<td>4600.</td>
<td>6.413</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1032.00</td>
<td>3121.100</td>
<td>4.156</td>
<td>4652.</td>
<td>6.447</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1040.00</td>
<td>3154.400</td>
<td>4.174</td>
<td>4704.</td>
<td>6.481</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1048.00</td>
<td>3187.900</td>
<td>4.192</td>
<td>4756.</td>
<td>6.515</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1056.00</td>
<td>3221.500</td>
<td>4.210</td>
<td>4808.</td>
<td>6.549</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1064.00</td>
<td>3255.300</td>
<td>4.228</td>
<td>4860.</td>
<td>6.583</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1072.00</td>
<td>3289.300</td>
<td>4.246</td>
<td>4913.</td>
<td>6.617</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1080.00</td>
<td>3323.300</td>
<td>4.263</td>
<td>4966.</td>
<td>6.650</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1088.00</td>
<td>3357.500</td>
<td>4.280</td>
<td>5020.</td>
<td>6.683</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1096.00</td>
<td>3391.800</td>
<td>4.297</td>
<td>5073.</td>
<td>6.717</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1104.00</td>
<td>3426.200</td>
<td>4.314</td>
<td>5127.</td>
<td>6.750</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1112.00</td>
<td>3460.800</td>
<td>4.331</td>
<td>5181.</td>
<td>6.782</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1120.00</td>
<td>3495.500</td>
<td>4.347</td>
<td>5236.</td>
<td>6.815</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1128.00</td>
<td>3530.300</td>
<td>4.364</td>
<td>5290.</td>
<td>6.847</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1136.00</td>
<td>3565.200</td>
<td>4.380</td>
<td>5345.</td>
<td>6.879</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1144.00</td>
<td>3600.300</td>
<td>4.396</td>
<td>5400.</td>
<td>6.911</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1152.00</td>
<td>3635.500</td>
<td>4.412</td>
<td>5456.</td>
<td>6.943</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1160.00</td>
<td>3670.900</td>
<td>4.427</td>
<td>5511.</td>
<td>6.974</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1168.00</td>
<td>3706.400</td>
<td>4.443</td>
<td>5567.</td>
<td>7.006</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1176.00</td>
<td>3742.100</td>
<td>4.458</td>
<td>5624.</td>
<td>7.037</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1184.00</td>
<td>3777.900</td>
<td>4.473</td>
<td>5680.</td>
<td>7.068</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1192.00</td>
<td>3813.700</td>
<td>4.488</td>
<td>5737.</td>
<td>7.099</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1200.00</td>
<td>3849.700</td>
<td>4.503</td>
<td>5794.</td>
<td>7.130</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1208.00</td>
<td>3885.800</td>
<td>4.517</td>
<td>5851.</td>
<td>7.160</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1216.00</td>
<td>3921.900</td>
<td>4.532</td>
<td>5908.</td>
<td>7.191</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1224.00</td>
<td>3958.200</td>
<td>4.546</td>
<td>5966.</td>
<td>7.221</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1232.00</td>
<td>3994.600</td>
<td>4.560</td>
<td>6024.</td>
<td>7.251</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1240.00</td>
<td>4031.100</td>
<td>4.574</td>
<td>6082.</td>
<td>7.280</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1248.00</td>
<td>4067.700</td>
<td>4.587</td>
<td>6140.</td>
<td>7.310</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1256.00</td>
<td>4104.400</td>
<td>4.601</td>
<td>6198.</td>
<td>7.339</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1264.00</td>
<td>4141.300</td>
<td>4.614</td>
<td>6257.</td>
<td>7.368</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1272.00</td>
<td>4178.300</td>
<td>4.627</td>
<td>6316.</td>
<td>7.397</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1280.00</td>
<td>4215.400</td>
<td>4.640</td>
<td>6376.</td>
<td>7.426</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1288.00</td>
<td>4252.700</td>
<td>4.653</td>
<td>6436.</td>
<td>7.454</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1296.00</td>
<td>4290.000</td>
<td>4.665</td>
<td>6495.</td>
<td>7.482</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1304.00</td>
<td>4327.400</td>
<td>4.677</td>
<td>6555.</td>
<td>7.510</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1312.00</td>
<td>4364.800</td>
<td>4.690</td>
<td>6615.</td>
<td>7.538</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1320.00</td>
<td>4402.300</td>
<td>4.702</td>
<td>6676.</td>
<td>7.566</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1328.00</td>
<td>4440.000</td>
<td>4.714</td>
<td>6736.</td>
<td>7.594</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1336.00</td>
<td>4477.700</td>
<td>4.725</td>
<td>6797.</td>
<td>7.621</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1344.00</td>
<td>4515.500</td>
<td>4.737</td>
<td>6858.</td>
<td>7.648</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1352.00</td>
<td>4553.400</td>
<td>4.748</td>
<td>6919.</td>
<td>7.675</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1360.00</td>
<td>4591.400</td>
<td>4.759</td>
<td>6981.</td>
<td>7.702</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1368.00</td>
<td>4629.500</td>
<td>4.770</td>
<td>7043.</td>
<td>7.728</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1376.00</td>
<td>4667.700</td>
<td>4.781</td>
<td>7104.</td>
<td>7.754</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1384.00</td>
<td>4706.100</td>
<td>4.791</td>
<td>7167.</td>
<td>7.781</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1392.00</td>
<td>4744.500</td>
<td>4.802</td>
<td>7229.</td>
<td>7.806</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1400.00</td>
<td>4783.000</td>
<td>4.812</td>
<td>7292.</td>
<td>7.832</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1408.00</td>
<td>4821.600</td>
<td>4.822</td>
<td>7355.</td>
<td>7.858</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1416.00</td>
<td>4860.200</td>
<td>4.832</td>
<td>7418.</td>
<td>7.883</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1424.00</td>
<td>4898.900</td>
<td>4.842</td>
<td>7481.</td>
<td>7.909</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1432.00</td>
<td>4937.600</td>
<td>4.851</td>
<td>7544.</td>
<td>7.934</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1440.00</td>
<td>4976.400</td>
<td>4.861</td>
<td>7608.</td>
<td>7.959</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1448.00</td>
<td>5015.300</td>
<td>4.870</td>
<td>7671.</td>
<td>7.984</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1456.00</td>
<td>5054.300</td>
<td>4.879</td>
<td>7735.</td>
<td>8.008</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1464.00</td>
<td>5093.300</td>
<td>4.888</td>
<td>7799.</td>
<td>8.033</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year</td>
<td>Value</td>
<td>Value</td>
<td>Value</td>
<td>Value</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1472</td>
<td>5132.400</td>
<td>4.897</td>
<td>7864.</td>
<td>8.057</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1480</td>
<td>5171.700</td>
<td>4.906</td>
<td>7928.</td>
<td>8.081</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1488</td>
<td>5211.000</td>
<td>4.914</td>
<td>7993.</td>
<td>8.105</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1496</td>
<td>5250.400</td>
<td>4.922</td>
<td>8058.</td>
<td>8.129</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1504</td>
<td>5289.800</td>
<td>4.931</td>
<td>8123.</td>
<td>8.152</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1512</td>
<td>5329.300</td>
<td>4.939</td>
<td>8189.</td>
<td>8.176</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1520</td>
<td>5368.900</td>
<td>4.947</td>
<td>8254.</td>
<td>8.199</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1528</td>
<td>5408.500</td>
<td>4.955</td>
<td>8320.</td>
<td>8.222</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1536</td>
<td>5448.100</td>
<td>4.962</td>
<td>8386.</td>
<td>8.246</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1544</td>
<td>5487.800</td>
<td>4.970</td>
<td>8452.</td>
<td>8.269</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1552</td>
<td>5527.600</td>
<td>4.977</td>
<td>8518.</td>
<td>8.292</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1560</td>
<td>5567.400</td>
<td>4.985</td>
<td>8584.</td>
<td>8.315</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1568</td>
<td>5607.300</td>
<td>4.992</td>
<td>8651.</td>
<td>8.337</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1576</td>
<td>5647.200</td>
<td>4.999</td>
<td>8717.</td>
<td>8.360</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1584</td>
<td>5687.300</td>
<td>5.006</td>
<td>8785.</td>
<td>8.382</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1592</td>
<td>5727.400</td>
<td>5.013</td>
<td>8852.</td>
<td>8.404</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1600</td>
<td>5767.500</td>
<td>5.019</td>
<td>8919.</td>
<td>8.426</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1608</td>
<td>5807.700</td>
<td>5.026</td>
<td>8987.</td>
<td>8.448</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1616</td>
<td>5848.000</td>
<td>5.032</td>
<td>9054.</td>
<td>8.470</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1624</td>
<td>5888.300</td>
<td>5.039</td>
<td>9122.</td>
<td>8.492</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1632</td>
<td>5928.600</td>
<td>5.045</td>
<td>9190.</td>
<td>8.514</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1640</td>
<td>5969.000</td>
<td>5.051</td>
<td>9258.</td>
<td>8.536</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1648</td>
<td>6009.400</td>
<td>5.057</td>
<td>9327.</td>
<td>8.557</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1656</td>
<td>6049.900</td>
<td>5.064</td>
<td>9395.</td>
<td>8.579</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1664</td>
<td>6090.400</td>
<td>5.070</td>
<td>9464.</td>
<td>8.601</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1672</td>
<td>6131.000</td>
<td>5.076</td>
<td>9533.</td>
<td>8.623</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1680</td>
<td>6171.600</td>
<td>5.082</td>
<td>9602.</td>
<td>8.644</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1688</td>
<td>6212.200</td>
<td>5.087</td>
<td>9671.</td>
<td>8.666</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1696</td>
<td>6253.000</td>
<td>5.093</td>
<td>9741.</td>
<td>8.687</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1704</td>
<td>6293.700</td>
<td>5.098</td>
<td>9810.</td>
<td>8.708</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1712</td>
<td>6334.600</td>
<td>5.103</td>
<td>9880.</td>
<td>8.729</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1720</td>
<td>6375.400</td>
<td>5.109</td>
<td>9950.</td>
<td>8.750</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1728</td>
<td>6416.400</td>
<td>5.114</td>
<td>10020.</td>
<td>8.771</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1736</td>
<td>6457.300</td>
<td>5.119</td>
<td>10090.</td>
<td>8.792</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1744</td>
<td>6498.300</td>
<td>5.124</td>
<td>10161.</td>
<td>8.814</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1752</td>
<td>6539.300</td>
<td>5.130</td>
<td>10231.</td>
<td>8.835</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1760</td>
<td>6580.300</td>
<td>5.135</td>
<td>10302.</td>
<td>8.856</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1768</td>
<td>6621.400</td>
<td>5.140</td>
<td>10373.</td>
<td>8.877</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1776</td>
<td>6662.600</td>
<td>5.145</td>
<td>10444.</td>
<td>8.899</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1784</td>
<td>6703.700</td>
<td>5.150</td>
<td>10515.</td>
<td>8.920</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1792</td>
<td>6745.000</td>
<td>5.155</td>
<td>10587.</td>
<td>8.942</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1800</td>
<td>6786.200</td>
<td>5.160</td>
<td>10658.</td>
<td>8.963</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1808</td>
<td>6827.500</td>
<td>5.165</td>
<td>10730.</td>
<td>8.985</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1816</td>
<td>6868.900</td>
<td>5.170</td>
<td>10802.</td>
<td>9.006</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1824</td>
<td>6910.300</td>
<td>5.175</td>
<td>10874.</td>
<td>9.028</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1832</td>
<td>6951.700</td>
<td>5.180</td>
<td>10947.</td>
<td>9.050</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1840</td>
<td>6993.100</td>
<td>5.185</td>
<td>11019.</td>
<td>9.071</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1848</td>
<td>7034.700</td>
<td>5.190</td>
<td>11092.</td>
<td>9.093</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1856</td>
<td>7076.200</td>
<td>5.195</td>
<td>11165.</td>
<td>9.115</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1864</td>
<td>7117.800</td>
<td>5.200</td>
<td>11238.</td>
<td>9.137</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1872</td>
<td>7159.400</td>
<td>5.204</td>
<td>11311.</td>
<td>9.159</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1880</td>
<td>7201.000</td>
<td>5.209</td>
<td>11384.</td>
<td>9.181</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1888</td>
<td>7242.700</td>
<td>5.214</td>
<td>11458.</td>
<td>9.203</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1896</td>
<td>7284.500</td>
<td>5.219</td>
<td>11531.</td>
<td>9.225</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1904</td>
<td>7326.200</td>
<td>5.224</td>
<td>11605.</td>
<td>9.248</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1912</td>
<td>7368.000</td>
<td>5.229</td>
<td>11679.</td>
<td>9.270</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1920</td>
<td>7409.900</td>
<td>5.234</td>
<td>11754.</td>
<td>9.293</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1928</td>
<td>7451.700</td>
<td>5.239</td>
<td>11828.</td>
<td>9.316</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1936</td>
<td>7493.700</td>
<td>5.244</td>
<td>11903.</td>
<td>9.339</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1944</td>
<td>7535.600</td>
<td>5.249</td>
<td>11977.</td>
<td>9.363</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1952</td>
<td>7577.700</td>
<td>5.254</td>
<td>12052.</td>
<td>9.386</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1960</td>
<td>7619.700</td>
<td>5.259</td>
<td>12128.</td>
<td>9.410</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1968</td>
<td>7661.800</td>
<td>5.264</td>
<td>12203.</td>
<td>9.434</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1976</td>
<td>7703.900</td>
<td>5.269</td>
<td>12278.</td>
<td>9.458</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1984</td>
<td>7746.100</td>
<td>5.274</td>
<td>12354.</td>
<td>9.482</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1992</td>
<td>7788.300</td>
<td>5.280</td>
<td>12430.</td>
<td>9.506</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item</td>
<td>Value</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2528.00</td>
<td>10760.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2536.00</td>
<td>10807.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2544.00</td>
<td>10855.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2552.00</td>
<td>10902.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2560.00</td>
<td>10950.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2568.00</td>
<td>10998.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2576.00</td>
<td>11046.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2584.00</td>
<td>11095.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2592.00</td>
<td>11143.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2600.00</td>
<td>11192.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2608.00</td>
<td>11241.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2616.00</td>
<td>11290.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2624.00</td>
<td>11339.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2632.00</td>
<td>11388.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2640.00</td>
<td>11438.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2648.00</td>
<td>11487.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2656.00</td>
<td>11537.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2664.00</td>
<td>11587.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2672.00</td>
<td>11637.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2680.00</td>
<td>11687.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2688.00</td>
<td>11737.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2696.00</td>
<td>11788.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2704.00</td>
<td>11839.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2712.00</td>
<td>11890.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2720.00</td>
<td>11941.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2728.00</td>
<td>11992.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2736.00</td>
<td>12044.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2744.00</td>
<td>12096.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2752.00</td>
<td>12148.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2760.00</td>
<td>12200.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2768.00</td>
<td>12252.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2776.00</td>
<td>12304.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2784.00</td>
<td>12357.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2792.00</td>
<td>12410.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2800.00</td>
<td>12463.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2808.00</td>
<td>12516.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2816.00</td>
<td>12570.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2824.00</td>
<td>12623.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2832.00</td>
<td>12677.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2840.00</td>
<td>12731.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2848.00</td>
<td>12786.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2856.00</td>
<td>12840.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2864.00</td>
<td>12895.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2872.00</td>
<td>12950.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2880.00</td>
<td>13005.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2888.00</td>
<td>13061.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2896.00</td>
<td>13116.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2904.00</td>
<td>13172.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2912.00</td>
<td>13228.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2920.00</td>
<td>13285.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2928.00</td>
<td>13341.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2936.00</td>
<td>13398.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2944.00</td>
<td>13455.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2952.00</td>
<td>13513.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2960.00</td>
<td>13571.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2968.00</td>
<td>13628.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2976.00</td>
<td>13686.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2984.00</td>
<td>13745.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2992.00</td>
<td>13803.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3000.00</td>
<td>13862.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3008.00</td>
<td>13921.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3016.00</td>
<td>13980.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3024.00</td>
<td>14040.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3032.00</td>
<td>14099.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3040.00</td>
<td>14159.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3048.00</td>
<td>14220.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5.932</td>
<td>18115.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.949</td>
<td>18211.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.966</td>
<td>18309.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.983</td>
<td>18405.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.000</td>
<td>18504.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.018</td>
<td>18603.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.035</td>
<td>18702.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.054</td>
<td>18804.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.072</td>
<td>18903.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.091</td>
<td>19005.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.109</td>
<td>19108.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.129</td>
<td>19210.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.148</td>
<td>19313.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.168</td>
<td>19416.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.188</td>
<td>19521.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.208</td>
<td>19625.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.229</td>
<td>19730.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.249</td>
<td>19837.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.271</td>
<td>19943.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.292</td>
<td>20050.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.313</td>
<td>20157.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.335</td>
<td>20266.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.358</td>
<td>20376.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.380</td>
<td>20486.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.403</td>
<td>20596.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.426</td>
<td>20707.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.449</td>
<td>20820.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.473</td>
<td>20933.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.497</td>
<td>21047.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.521</td>
<td>21161.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.545</td>
<td>21276.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.570</td>
<td>21390.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.595</td>
<td>21508.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.621</td>
<td>21625.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.646</td>
<td>21743.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.672</td>
<td>21862.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.698</td>
<td>21983.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.725</td>
<td>22102.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.751</td>
<td>22223.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.778</td>
<td>22345.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.805</td>
<td>22470.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.833</td>
<td>22593.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.861</td>
<td>22719.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.889</td>
<td>22845.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.917</td>
<td>22971.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.946</td>
<td>23100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.975</td>
<td>23227.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.004</td>
<td>23357.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.034</td>
<td>23488.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.063</td>
<td>23621.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.093</td>
<td>23752.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.123</td>
<td>23887.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.154</td>
<td>24021.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.185</td>
<td>24159.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.215</td>
<td>24297.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.247</td>
<td>24433.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.278</td>
<td>24572.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.310</td>
<td>24715.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.343</td>
<td>24855.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.375</td>
<td>24998.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.407</td>
<td>25142.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.440</td>
<td>25286.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.473</td>
<td>25434.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.506</td>
<td>25579.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.539</td>
<td>25728.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.573</td>
<td>25880.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value 1</td>
<td>Value 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3584.00</td>
<td>18261.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3592.00</td>
<td>18300.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3600.00</td>
<td>18338.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3608.00</td>
<td>18375.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3616.00</td>
<td>18411.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3624.00</td>
<td>18446.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3632.00</td>
<td>18481.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3640.00</td>
<td>18514.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3648.00</td>
<td>18547.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3656.00</td>
<td>18578.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3664.00</td>
<td>18609.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3672.00</td>
<td>18639.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3680.00</td>
<td>18669.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3688.00</td>
<td>18698.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3696.00</td>
<td>18726.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3704.00</td>
<td>18753.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3712.00</td>
<td>18780.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3720.00</td>
<td>18806.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3728.00</td>
<td>18831.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3736.00</td>
<td>18856.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3744.00</td>
<td>18880.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3752.00</td>
<td>18904.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3760.00</td>
<td>18928.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3768.00</td>
<td>18950.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3776.00</td>
<td>18973.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3784.00</td>
<td>18995.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3792.00</td>
<td>19016.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3800.00</td>
<td>19037.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3808.00</td>
<td>19058.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3816.00</td>
<td>19078.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3824.00</td>
<td>19098.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3832.00</td>
<td>19118.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3840.00</td>
<td>19137.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3848.00</td>
<td>19156.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3856.00</td>
<td>19174.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3864.00</td>
<td>19193.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3872.00</td>
<td>19210.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3880.00</td>
<td>19228.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3888.00</td>
<td>19246.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3896.00</td>
<td>19263.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3904.00</td>
<td>19280.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3912.00</td>
<td>19296.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3920.00</td>
<td>19313.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3928.00</td>
<td>19329.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3936.00</td>
<td>19345.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3944.00</td>
<td>19360.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3952.00</td>
<td>19376.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3960.00</td>
<td>19391.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3968.00</td>
<td>19406.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3976.00</td>
<td>19421.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3984.00</td>
<td>19436.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3992.00</td>
<td>19450.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4000.00</td>
<td>19465.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4008.00</td>
<td>19479.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4016.00</td>
<td>19493.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4024.00</td>
<td>19506.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4032.00</td>
<td>19520.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4040.00</td>
<td>19533.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4048.00</td>
<td>19547.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4056.00</td>
<td>19560.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4064.00</td>
<td>19573.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4072.00</td>
<td>19585.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4080.00</td>
<td>19598.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4088.00</td>
<td>19610.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4096.00</td>
<td>19622.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4104.00</td>
<td>19635.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Price</td>
<td>Quantity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6752.00</td>
<td>19998.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6760.00</td>
<td>19998.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6768.00</td>
<td>19998.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6776.00</td>
<td>19998.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6784.00</td>
<td>19998.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6792.00</td>
<td>19998.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6800.00</td>
<td>19998.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6808.00</td>
<td>19998.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6816.00</td>
<td>19998.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6824.00</td>
<td>19998.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6832.00</td>
<td>19998.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6840.00</td>
<td>19998.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6848.00</td>
<td>19998.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6856.00</td>
<td>19998.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6864.00</td>
<td>19998.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6872.00</td>
<td>19998.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6880.00</td>
<td>19998.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6888.00</td>
<td>19998.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6896.00</td>
<td>19998.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6904.00</td>
<td>19998.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6912.00</td>
<td>19998.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6920.00</td>
<td>19998.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6928.00</td>
<td>19998.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6936.00</td>
<td>19998.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6944.00</td>
<td>19998.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6952.00</td>
<td>19998.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6960.00</td>
<td>19998.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6968.00</td>
<td>19998.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6976.00</td>
<td>19998.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6984.00</td>
<td>19998.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6992.00</td>
<td>19998.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7000.00</td>
<td>19998.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7008.00</td>
<td>19998.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7016.00</td>
<td>19998.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7024.00</td>
<td>19998.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7032.00</td>
<td>19998.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7040.00</td>
<td>19998.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7048.00</td>
<td>19998.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7056.00</td>
<td>19998.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7064.00</td>
<td>19998.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7072.00</td>
<td>19998.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7080.00</td>
<td>19998.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7088.00</td>
<td>19998.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7096.00</td>
<td>19998.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7104.00</td>
<td>19998.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7112.00</td>
<td>19998.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7120.00</td>
<td>19998.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7128.00</td>
<td>19998.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7136.00</td>
<td>19998.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7144.00</td>
<td>19998.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7152.00</td>
<td>19998.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7160.00</td>
<td>19998.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7168.00</td>
<td>19998.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7176.00</td>
<td>19998.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7184.00</td>
<td>19998.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7192.00</td>
<td>19998.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7200.00</td>
<td>19998.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>