

# Generalization of the time-energy uncertainty relation of Anandan-Aharonov Type

Minoru HIRAYAMA , Takeshi HAMADA\* and Jin CHEN

Department of Physics  
Toyama University  
Toyama 930, Japan

\*Department of Physics  
Kanazawa University  
Kakuma, Kanazawa 920-11, Japan

## Abstract

A new type of time-energy uncertainty relation was proposed recently by Anandan and Aharonov. Their formula to estimate the lower bound of time-integral of the energy-fluctuation in a quantum state is generalized to the one involving a set of quantum states. This is achieved by obtaining an explicit formula for the distance between two finitely separated points in the Grassman manifold.

## I. Introduction

We first review briefly the conventional time-energy uncertainty relation in quantum mechanics. Let  $A$  be an observable without explicit time-dependence and  $|\psi(t)\rangle$  be a normalized quantum state vector obeying the Schrödinger equation with a hermitian Hamiltonian  $H$ . If we define  $\Delta A$  and  $\tau_A$  by

$$\Delta A = \sqrt{\langle \psi(t) | A^2 | \psi(t) \rangle - \langle \psi(t) | A | \psi(t) \rangle^2} , \quad (1)$$

$$\tau_A = \left| \frac{d}{dt} \langle \psi(t) | A | \psi(t) \rangle \right|^{-1} \Delta A , \quad (2)$$

and take the equation

$$\frac{d}{dt} \langle \psi(t) | A | \psi(t) \rangle = \frac{1}{i\hbar} \langle \psi(t) | [A, H] | \psi(t) \rangle \quad (3)$$

into account, we are led to the uncertainty relation [1]

$$\tau_A \Delta H \geq \frac{\hbar}{2} . \quad (4)$$

The quantity  $\tau_A$  is interpreted as the time necessary for the distribution of  $\langle \psi(t) | A | \psi(t) \rangle$  to be recognized to have clearly changed its shape.

In contrast with the result given above, Anandan and Aharonov [2] have recently succeeded in obtaining quite an interesting inequality. They consider the case that the  $|\psi(t)\rangle$  develops in time obeying

$$i\hbar \frac{d}{dt} |\psi(t)\rangle = H(t) |\psi(t)\rangle , \quad (5)$$

$$\langle \psi(t) | \psi(t) \rangle = 1 , \quad (6)$$

where  $H(t)$  is an operator which is hermitian and might be time-dependent. They conclude that

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \Delta \mathcal{E}(t) dt \geq \hbar \text{Arccos}(|\langle \psi(t_1) | \psi(t_2) \rangle|) , \quad (7)$$

where  $\Delta \mathcal{E}(t)$  is given by

$$\Delta \mathcal{E}(t) = \sqrt{\langle \psi(t) | H(t)^2 | \psi(t) \rangle - \langle \psi(t) | H(t) | \psi(t) \rangle^2} . \quad (8)$$

The inequality (7), which we refer to as the Anandan-Aharonov time-energy uncertainty relation, has been derived through a geometrical investigation of the set of normalized

quantum state vectors. The r.h.s. of (7) can be regarded as the distance between two points in a complex projective space.

Here, we seek the generalized version of (7). We consider a set of  $N$  orthonormal vectors  $\{|\psi_i(t)\rangle : i = 1, 2, \dots, N\}$  satisfying

$$\langle \psi_i(t) | \psi_j(t) \rangle = \delta_{ij}, \quad i, j = 1, 2, \dots, N, \quad (9)$$

each of which obeying the Schrödinger equation (5). We define  $N \times N$  matrices  $A(t_1, t_2)$  and  $K(t_1, t_2)$  by

$$A(t_1, t_2) = (a_{ij}(t_1, t_2)), \quad a_{ij}(t_1, t_2) = \langle \psi_i(t_1) | \psi_j(t_2) \rangle, \quad (10)$$

$$K(t_1, t_2) = A^\dagger(t_1, t_2)A(t_1, t_2) \quad (11)$$

and  $\kappa_i(t_1, t_2), i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ , to be the eigenvalues of  $K(t_1, t_2)$ . Defining the generalization of (8) by

$$\Delta \mathcal{E}_N(t) = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^N \langle \psi_i(t) | H(t)^2 | \psi_i(t) \rangle - \sum_{i,j=1}^N |\langle \psi_i(t) | H(t) | \psi_j(t) \rangle|^2}, \quad (12)$$

we find that  $\Delta \mathcal{E}_N(t)$  satisfies

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \Delta \mathcal{E}_N(t) dt \geq \hbar \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^N \left\{ \text{Arccos} \sqrt{\kappa_i(t_1, t_2)} \right\}^2}. \quad (13)$$

The inequality (13) can be written in an operator form as

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \sqrt{\text{Tr}(P(t)[H(t), [H(t), P(t)])} dt \\ & \geq \sqrt{2\hbar} \sqrt{\text{Tr}(\{\text{Arccos} \sqrt{P(t_1)P(t_2)}\}^2)}, \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

where  $P(t)$  is defined by

$$P(t) = \sum_{i=1}^N |\psi_i(t)\rangle \langle \psi_i(t)|, \quad (15)$$

and  $\text{Tr}$  denotes the trace in the Hilbert space. The result (13) is obtained through a geometrical investigation of the Grassmann manifold  $G_N$  mentioned below.

## II. Distance formula for the Grassmann manifold

Given a Hilbert space  $h$ , we consider vectors  $|\psi_i\rangle, i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ , belonging to  $h$  and satisfying  $\langle \psi_i | \psi_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}$ . We call the set

$$\Psi = (|\psi_1\rangle, |\psi_2\rangle, \dots, |\psi_N\rangle) \quad (16)$$

an  $N$ -frame of  $h$  and the set

$$[\Psi] = \{\Psi u : u \in U(N)\} \quad (17)$$

an  $N$ -plane of  $h$ , where  $\Psi u$  is defined by

$$\Psi u = \left( \sum_{i=1}^N |\psi_i\rangle u_{i1}, \sum_{j=1}^N |\psi_j\rangle u_{j2}, \dots, \sum_{k=1}^N |\psi_k\rangle u_{kN} \right). \quad (18)$$

It is clear that the  $[\Psi]$  and the projection operator  $P = \sum_{i=1}^N |\psi_i\rangle \langle \psi_i|$  are invariant under the replacement  $\Psi \rightarrow \Psi u$ . We denote the set of all the  $\Psi$ 's of  $h$  by  $S_N$ . Then the set  $G_N$  defined by

$$G_N = \{[\Psi] : \Psi \in S_N\} \quad (19)$$

is known to constitute a manifold of complex dimension  $N(\dim h - N)$  and is called the Grassmann manifold.

To an  $N$ -frame  $\Psi(t) = (|\psi_1(t)\rangle, |\psi_2(t)\rangle, \dots, |\psi_N(t)\rangle) \in S_N, 0 \leq t \leq 1$ , there correspond an  $N$ -plane  $[\Psi(t)] \in G_N$  and a projection operator  $P(t) = \sum_{i=1}^N |\psi_i(t)\rangle \langle \psi_i(t)|$ . Since the eigenvalues of  $P(1)$  are equal to those of  $P(0)$  including multiplicities, there exists a unitary operator  $W$  such that

$$P(1) = W^\dagger P(0) W, \quad W = e^{iY}, \quad Y^\dagger = Y. \quad (20)$$

We define the distance  $d([\Psi(0)], [\Psi(1)])$  between two points  $[\Psi(0)]$  and  $[\Psi(1)]$  of the Grassmann manifold  $G_N$  by

$$d([\Psi(0)], [\Psi(1)]) = \text{Min}_{Y \in \Sigma} \|Y\|, \quad (21)$$

where  $\Sigma$  is the set of hermitian operators specified by  $P(0)$  and  $P(1)$  in the following way:

$$\Sigma = \{Y : Y = Y(P(0), P(1)) = -Y(P(1), P(0)) = Y^\dagger, e^{-iY} P(0) e^{iY} = P(1)\}. \quad (22)$$

After some manipulations, we find that the distance is given by the formula

$$d([\Psi(0)], [\Psi(1)]) = \sqrt{2 \sum_{i=1}^N (\text{Arccos} \sqrt{\kappa_i})^2}, \quad (23)$$

where  $\kappa_i$  is defined below (11) and satisfies  $0 \leq \kappa_i \leq 1$ .

We also find that the above defined distance in  $G_N$  satisfies the property of distance:

$$d([\Psi], [\Phi]) = d([\Phi], [\Psi]) \geq 0, \quad (24)$$

$$d([\Psi], [\Phi]) = 0 \iff [\Psi] = [\Phi], \quad (25)$$

$$d([\Psi], [\Phi]) \leq d([\Psi], [\Xi]) + d([\Xi], [\Phi]), \quad (26)$$

for any  $[\Psi], [\Phi], [\Xi] \in G_N$ .

### III. Time-energy uncertainty relation

The projection operator  $P(t)$  is defined by (15) and  $|\psi_i(t)\rangle, i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ , develops in time obeying (5). We then have

$$P(t + dt) = P(t) + \frac{dt}{i\hbar} [H(t), P(t)] + \frac{(dt)^2}{2(i\hbar)^2} \left\{ i\hbar \left[ \frac{dH(t)}{dt}, P(t) \right] + [H(t), [H(t), P(t)]] \right\} + \dots \quad (27)$$

When  $[\Psi(0)]$  and  $[\Psi(1)]$  are close to each other,  $\kappa_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ , are nearly equal to 1. Noticing that  $(\text{Arccos} \sqrt{\kappa})^2 \approx 1 - \kappa$  for  $\kappa \approx 1$ , we see

$$d([\Psi(t)], [\Psi(t + dt)]) \approx \sqrt{2 \sum_{i=1}^N (1 - \kappa_i(t))}, \quad (28)$$

where  $\kappa_i(t)$ 's are obtained from  $P(t)$  and  $P(t + dt)$  by similar procedures to those of previous sections. Since, in the above case, we have  $\text{Tr} P(t) = N$  and

$$\text{Tr}(P(t)P(t + dt)) = \sum_{i=1}^N \kappa_i(t), \quad (29)$$

(28) can be rewritten as

$$d([\Psi(t)], [\Psi(t + dt)]) = \sqrt{2 \text{Tr}(P(t)\{P(t) - P(t + dt)\})}. \quad (30)$$

Now we have

$$\begin{aligned}
d([\Psi(t)], [\Psi(t + dt)]) &= \frac{|dt|}{\hbar} \sqrt{\text{Tr}(P(t)[H(t), [H(t), P(t)])]} \\
&= \frac{|dt|}{\hbar} \sqrt{\text{Tr}([P(t), H(t)][H(t), P(t)])} \\
&= \left\| \frac{dP(t)}{dt} \right\| |dt|. \\
&= \|dP(t)\|.
\end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

It can be easily seen that the r.h.s. of (31) is proportional to  $\Delta\mathcal{E}_N(t)$  defined by (12). Now we are led to

$$d([\Psi(t)], [\Psi(t + dt)]) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\hbar} \Delta\mathcal{E}_N(t) |dt|. \tag{32}$$

For finitely separated  $[\Psi(t_1)]$  and  $[\Psi(t_2)]$  in  $G_N$ , the triangle inequality (26) implies

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \Delta\mathcal{E}_N(t) dt \geq \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{2}} d([\Psi(t_1)], [\Psi(t_2)]), t_2 \geq t_1. \tag{33}$$

The formula (23) then leads us to (13) or (14). For details, see [3].

## References

- [1] A.Messiah, *Quantum Mechanics* (North-Holland,Amsterdam, (1961).
- [2] J.Anandan and Y. Aharonov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **65**,1697(1990).
- [3] M.Hirayama,T.Hamada and J.Chen, Progr. Theor. Phys.**93**,255(1995).