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Introduction

This report summarizes research done under NASA Grant NAGW-2541 from April 1, 1995 through March 31, 1996. The research performed during this reporting period includes development and maintenance of scientific software for the GOME retrieval algorithms, consultation on operational software development for GOME, further sensitivity and instrument studies to help finalize the definition of the SCIAMACHY instrument, and consultation on optical and detector issues for both GOME and SCIAMACHY. The Global Ozone Monitoring Experiment was successfully launched on the ERS-2 satellite on April 20, 1995, during this reporting period, and is working in the expected fashion. The European Space Agency has made their selections from responses to the Announcement of Opportunity for GOME validation and science studies, part of the overall ERS AO. The Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory (SAO) proposal has been selected. These proposals are primarily for access to the data; ESA does not provide research funding for the selected investigations. The SAO activities that are carried out as a result of selection by ESA are funded by the present grant, to the limit that can be accomplished at the present level of funding. SCIAMACHY is currently in Phase C/D. Instrument design is almost finalized and selection of infrared detectors from the initial production run has been made. A new development in these programs is the initial discussion of a series of European ozone monitoring instruments (OMI) based, to an extent that is currently under discussion, on
GOME. These will fly on the Metop series of operational meteorological satellites being planned by Eumetsat. K. Chance is the U.S. member of the OMI Users Advisory Group.

GOME and SCIAMACHY Studies Through March 1996

The research at the SAO for the GOME and SCIAMACHY projects includes development and maintenance of scientific software for the GOME retrieval algorithms, advising and assisting in the development of GOME operational software, participation in GOME validation and science studies, further sensitivity and instrument studies to help finalize the definition of the SCIAMACHY instrument, further development of the SCIAMACHY study to produce the Scientific Requirements Document for Data and Algorithm Development, development of scientific software for SCIAMACHY retrievals, and consultation on optical and detector issues for both GOME and SCIAMACHY.

GOME

The development of the scientific code that will implement the GOME retrieval algorithms and, eventually, their realization as operational processing code, is well underway. This code will evolve into SCIAMACHY code by incorporating appropriate infrared line-by-line and ESF-based band-model forward modeling capability and the limb and occultation measurement geometries of SCIAMACHY. Given the accelerated schedule for GOME development, we have concentrated on those aspects that are specific to the GOME project. SAO GOME studies to date include the following items:

- Guiding the development of GOME Level 0-1 and 1-2 operational software through extensive collaboration with the DLR.

- Further investigation of cloud studies, leading to a paper, “Analysis of cloud-top height and cloud coverage from satellites using the O\textsubscript{2} A and B bands,” A. Kuze and K. Chance, *J. Geophys. Res.* 99, 14,481-14,491 (1994). These studies included investigation of fitting schemes and analysis of uncertainties due to various error sources, including line parameters of O\textsubscript{2}. They also resulted in production of the prototype operational algorithm for GOME cloud correction which has now been implemented in the Level 1 operational software.

- Substantial improvements to the GOMEware for thorough scientific testing of GOME algorithms, including those that are being implemented initially and those that are under
development. This includes the implementation of the SBUV code in the GOMEware, and its testing for a variety of simulated GOME measurements, and preliminary steps for inclusion of the GOMEtran finite-difference method forward model.

- Participation in GOME validation and scientific studies. The SAO proposal in response to the ESA Announcement of Opportunity for the ERS mission, which has now been selected, is included as Appendix A to this proposal. K. Chance is now a “GOME Validation Principal Investigator.” Note that the validation studies include the first ozone profiles and tropospheric ozone abundances, which will be produced as off-line scientific products.

- Collaboration on the development of the DOAS method as the operational procedure for GOME Level 1-2 processing to produce $O_3$ columns from GOME. This collaboration includes code development, measurement window selection, and a number of related issues.

- Changes to operational code for GOME Level 0-2 processing, to adjust for post launch conditions and to correct initial deficiencies.

- Participation in GOMEtran forward model development, in collaboration with the University of Bremen.

- Spectroscopic and aerosol database development, including the production of an improved database of molecular parameters for the visible $O_2 A$ band.

- Radiative transfer studies related to wavelength calibration and spatial and spectral aliasing, including development of an improved solar reference spectrum for wavelength-specific application.

- Improved determination of the wavelength-dependent Rayleigh scattering cross section.

- Improved molecular parameters for the Ring effect through molecular physics studies employing the best currently available molecular data; improved atmospheric cross sections describing the Ring effect.

- Participation in the ESA cloud and aerosol ad hoc study group to produce cloud and aerosol data products from GOME.
SCIAMACHY

SCIAMACHY is a joint German/Netherlands program, with scientific participation from other European countries and, in the U.S., the SAO. It is included for launch aboard the ESA Envisat-1, currently planned for 1999.

The SCIAMACHY Science Advisory Group (SSAG) was formed, including K. Chance of the SAO as a U.S. member and head of the Data and Algorithm Subcommittee, and J. Geary of the SAO as the other U.S. member and advisor on instrument and detector issues. The SSAG has met several times during the past year in order to address the many issues involved in the development of SCIAMACHY. SAO SCIAMACHY studies to date include the following items:

- Further definition of SCIAMACHY instrument, including: consultation on SCIAMACHY detector, cooling and instrumentation issues; finalization of the SCIAMACHY band definitions and detector selection criteria; synthetic retrieval studies for atmospheric spectroscopy in the infrared contributing to these definitions.

- Chairing the scientific working subgroup for Algorithm Development and Data Usage, including organization of joint GOME/SCIAMACHY science workshops and heading the development of a Scientific Requirements Document for SCIAMACHY Data and Algorithm Development.

- Radiative transfer studies, including acquisition of AVIRIS data for determination of infrared albedos for a number of measurement locations.

- Initial extension of the GOME software development to SCIAMACHY. In particular, this requires the inclusion of limb and occultation geometries, and extension into the infrared. Comparison of line-by-line forward modeling code with ESF band-model calculations is in progress at the University of Bremen, with SAO participation. Some preliminary database issues involved in this development have been addressed. The issue of properly combining limb and nadir measurements must be addressed in conjunction with the development of the SCIAMACHY observational strategy. This is being addressed in the context of the Algorithm Development and Data Usage subgroup.

- Contributions to the SCIAMACHY Instrument Requirements Document

- Contributions to the SCIAMACHY Scientific Requirements Document

- Production of the draft Scientific Requirements Document for SCIAMACHY Data and Algorithm Development.
- Participation in the definition of near real time data products for SCIAMACHY.
- Preliminary work on the Basic Infrared Absorption Spectroscopy (BIAS) technique for application to the SCIAMACHY infrared channels.

Publications from this Research Program Through March 1996


O2 A BAND STUDIES FOR CLOUD DETECTION AND ALGORITHM IMPROVEMENT

K. Chance

Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics
Cambridge, MA, USA

Abstract
Detection of cloud parameters from space-based spectrometers can employ the vibrational bands of O2 in the $b^1Σ^+ → X^3Σ^-$ spin-forbidden electronic transition manifold, particularly the $Δν = 0 A$ band. The GOME instrument uses the A band in the Initial Cloud Fitting Algorithm (ICFA). The work reported here consists of making substantial improvements in the line-by-line spectral database for the A band, testing whether an additional correction to the line shape function is necessary in order to correctly model the atmospheric transmission in this band, and calculating prototype cloud and ground template spectra for comparison with satellite measurements.

1. SUMMARY OF NEW LABORATORY MEASUREMENT DATA
The new measurements incorporated into the present work are from Ritter [1986] and Ritter and Wilkerson [1987] (together, RW). They include improved measurements of line intensities, giving an overall band intensity that is 15% larger than the previous measurements that are the source of the parameters in the current HITRAN listing [Miller et al., 1969; Rothman et al., 1992]. It is worth noting that the uncertainties in Miller et al. [1969] are given as 4%, while those of RW are 2%. The level of disagreement (arguably just a bit worse than 2σ) is an indication of how difficult these seemingly straightforward measurements actually are. RW also include self-broadening measurements of the linewidths, which are on the average 8% smaller than the current air broadening values in HITRAN (the HITRAN values actually come from measurements in the B band [Giver et al., 1974], which were substituted for A band widths for lack of specific A band measurements (see Kuze and Chance [1994]). The RW measurements are made at 294 K, for lines up to $P29P29$ in the P branch and $R27R27$ in the R branch, except for the $R21Q22$ and $R25R25$ lines, which are blended together. The measurements also include air broadening studies for a selection of 5 lines. They find "roughly a 3% increase in broadening for air over pure O2," although their Table II appears to indicate more like a 2% increase. Their 3% is adopted here, with a 2% uncertainty. The temperature dependence of the self broadening was measured for 24 lines, from $P29P29$ through $R5R5$, over the temperature range +100 to -20° C. The temperature coefficient, $n$, for the pressure broadening coefficients, determined for the ensemble of lines measured, is 0.76(5), where $γ(T) = γ(T0) × (T0/T)^n$. This compares with the $n = 0.72$ that can be obtained from the very limited data set assembled in Chance et al. [1991].

Estimated (2σ) final uncertainties for the measurements are:

1. Intensity: 2%; directly from the RW band strength.
2. Pressure broadening coefficients: 2%; from 294 K individual line uncertainties (small), plus the $N2/O2$ correction (the dominant term).
3. $n$: 7%; directly from measurements, $n = 0.76(5)$. This corresponds to less than 2% uncertainty in the broadening coefficient for the range of temperatures encountered in the lower and middle atmosphere. The root-sum-square of the various error terms in pressure broadening is 3%.

The data measured by Ritter and Wilkerson can be extended to provide updated coefficients for use in cloud determination to replace the ones currently included in HITRAN [Rothman et al., 1992], which were shown by Kuze and Chance [1994] to be insufficiently accurate for satellite-based cloud detection.

Processing of the Ritter and Wilkerson data to provide a more complete data set is accomplished as follows:
The final database derived from these calculations is available from the author via ftp.

1. Pressure broadening coefficients for the lines omitted due to interference are replaced by those with the same \(N', N''\): \(R21Q22\) and \(R25Q25\) are replaced by \(R21R21\) and \(R25Q26\).

2. \(O_2\) pressure broadening coefficients measured by RW are multiplied by 1.03, to give air broadening. Coefficients for lines measured by RW in the \(v = 0 \rightarrow 0\) band are also applied to the respective lines in the \(v = 1 \rightarrow 1\) hot band and the \(v = 0 \rightarrow 0\) band of \(^{18}O^{16}O\). Hitran lines not measured by RW are multiplied by 1.03 / 1.09009 = 0.94488, where 1.09009 is the average ratio of the RW measurements to the HITRAN coefficients.

3. The isotopic abundances are taken to be those used in HITRAN, so that \(^{16}O_2\) is 99.52\% and \(^{18}O^{16}O\) is 0.40\%.

4. Intensity values for the three sub-bands are calculated starting with the 294 K band strength of RW, correcting the hot band by the Boltzmann factor of the band origin (1556.385 cm\(^{-1}\)) and the minor isotopic band by the appropriate isotopic ratio. The line intensities are translated to 296 K by explicitly calculating the rotation-spin partition functions using the term values from HITRAN and correcting for the RW approximation for the 294 K partition function of the major band.

5. In order to calculate individual line intensities, to supplement the measured ones, it is necessary to use the appropriate intensity formulas, which take into account the spin-rotation and spin-spin interactions [RW; Watson, 1968]. For the \(v = 0 \rightarrow 0\) band of \(^{16}O_2\) measured by RW the molecular constants from Albrighton et al. [1973] are used, to be consistent, although better constants are now available from Mizushima et al. [1984] (and references cited therein). These latter constants are used to calculate the individual line intensities for the \(v = 1 \rightarrow 1\) band of \(^{16}O_2\) and the \(v = 0 \rightarrow 0\) band of \(^{18}O^{16}O\).

The final database derived from these calculations is available from the author via ftp.

2. TESTING OF LINE SHAPES, INCLUDING LINE NARROWING COEFFICIENTS

RW determined that the data as measured under laboratory conditions at high spectral resolution (< 10\(^{-4}\) cm\(^{-1}\)) were fitted better by including narrowing of the line core (Dicke narrowing) in the line shape function. Several line shapes were tested, including the Galatry line shape [Galatry, 1961]. It was found that all of the tested line shapes which included line narrowing provided superior fits to those using the Voigt profile, and that all were equivalent, to within experimental uncertainty. The Galatry profile was adopted for the bulk of the work because of the relative simplicity in implementing it [Varghese and Hanson, 1984]. RW found that all of the lines in the \(O_2\) \(A\) band can be reasonably described by a line narrowing coefficient of 0.0145 cm\(^{-1}\) atm\(^{-1}\) (see RW for the exact definition of the coefficient in terms of the Galatry profile). An improved method for generating Galatry line profiles, employing the fast Fourier transform (FFT), has since been developed by Ouyang and Vargese [1985] (OV). These authors have distributed a version of their FFT computer code, which was used in the present study for investigation of the effect of line narrowing on the atmospheric \(O_2\) absorption. In order to use the code, it was necessary to update it and streamline it somewhat. The FFT routine used in the code is from the International Mathematical and Statistical Library (IMSL); however, calls were to an outdated version of IMSL, which is no longer supported at our institution (nor, presumably, at most others). Therefore, the code was updated to IMSL Version 10. The updated version of the Galatry line profile code is available from the author via ftp. Implementation of this code and atmospheric investigation for the \(O_2\) \(A\) band proceeded as follows:

1. The OV code was compared in the Voigt limit against our standard Voigt subroutine (based upon algorithm 363 of the Collected Algorithms from CACM), which has an accuracy of 10 significant figures, for the case where the Lorentz half-width at half-maximum is 3.0 times the Gaussian half-width at 1/e intensity. The maximum disagreement was 0.13%; the maximum disagreement relative to the line center intensity was 0.06%. This was accepted as validation that the OV code can be properly used to assess the importance of line narrowing on the atmospheric \(O_2\) spectrum.

2. Comparisons were made using the OV code with and without line narrowing for cases corresponding to the \(O_2\) \(A\) band at 5 and 10 km altitudes in the atmosphere, using pressure and temperature values from the US Standard Atmosphere [1976]. In the 5 km case, the maxi-
Slit function values are made for a solar zenith angle of 60° and a viewing elevation angle calibration of atmospheric thermal emission spectra, Int. J. IR and MM Waves 12, 581-588, 1991.
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RING EFFECT STUDIES: RAYLEIGH SCATTERING, INCLUDING MOLECULAR PARAMETERS FOR ROTATIONAL RAMAN SCATTERING, AND THE FRAUNHOFER SPECTRUM

K. Chance and R.J.D. Spurr
Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics
Cambridge, MA, USA

Abstract
Improved parameters for the description of Rayleigh scattering in air and for the detailed rotational Raman scattering component for scattering by O_2 and N_2 are presented for the wavelength range 200-1000 nm. These parameters enable more accurate calculations of bulk molecular scattering and of the “Ring effect” for a variety of atmospheric radiative transfer and constituent retrieval applications. A solar reference spectrum with accurate absolute vacuum wavelength calibration, suitable for convolution with the rotational Raman spectrum for Ring effect calculations, has been produced and is briefly described. The solar-rotational Raman convolved product is available for fitting of atmospheric spectra.

1. INTRODUCTION
The phenomenon that has come to be known as the "Ring effect" was first noted by Grainger and Ring [1962] as a filling in (broadening and reduction of depth) of solar Fraunhofer lines when viewed from the ground in scattered sunlight. Various processes have been proposed as contributing to the effect including scattering with fluorescence from aerosols and from the ground [Noxon and Goody, 1965; Hunten, 1970]. The predominance of molecular scattering as the major cause was established by Kattawar et al. [1981], who analyzed the Ring effect contributions from rotational Raman scattering and inelastic Rayleigh-Brillouin scattering. The Rayleigh-Brillouin contribution arises from the Doppler effect due to relative motion of the atmosphere with respect to the observer, with contributions from thermal motions, winds and, particularly, acoustic waves. The situation is nicely defined by Young [1981]: "To summarize: molecular scattering consists of Rayleigh scattering and vibrational Raman scattering. The Rayleigh scattering consists of rotational Raman lines and the central Cabannes line. The Cabannes line is composed of the Brillouin doublet and the central Gross or Landau-Placzek line. None of the above is completely coherent. The term 'Rayleigh line' should never be used." Note that the vibrational Raman contribution results in lines so widely separated from the frequency of the incoming light that they are not normally considered part of the "Ring effect" even though in recent applications the Ring effect has developed a somewhat broader definition that includes substantial interfering structure in observations, rather than the initial effect which was limited to broadening of partially-resolved lines.

The Ring effect has become more important in recent years with the increase in ultraviolet and visible spectroscopic observations of the Earth's atmosphere from the ground [Solomon et al., 1987; Fish and Jones, 1995] and from satellites [Chance et al., 1991a; Burrows et al., 1993; Joiner et al., 1995; Joiner and Bhartia, 1995]. In order to retrieve abundances of trace species from many such observations it is necessary to take the Ring effect into account. Methods have been developed to do so by pragmatic means, by measuring the polarization of scattered sunlight [Solomon et al., 1987] and by modeling of the effect directly from molecular scattering processes [Fish and Jones, 1995; Joiner et al., 1995]. It has been proposed to use the Ring effect with selected Fraunhofer lines (in particular, the Ca I h and k lines) to determine cloud parameters in conjunction with satellite-based observations of O_3 [Joiner and Bhartia, 1995]. Joiner et al. [1995] also determined that the contribution to the Ring effect from the Rayleigh-Brillouin scattering process is negligible for most geometries used in satellite observations. For the European Space Agency’s Global Ozone Monitoring Experiment (GOME) and the upcoming SCanning Imaging Absorption spectroMeter for Atmospheric CHartographY (SCIAMACHY), as well as proposed future space-based
atmospheric monitoring which emphasize tropospheric measurements, the use of visible bands of O_2, in particular the 762 nm A band, for determination of cloud parameters is being developed [Kuze and Chance, 1994]. The Ring effect has a substantial influence on such observations and work is underway in our institution to refine and model the effects. In this case, the effect is due to inelastic scattering in molecular absorption lines themselves, rather than in the solar Fraunhofer lines. Similar effects have been noted for the detailed retrieval of trace species including O_3 and NO_2 [Solomon et al., 1987; Fish and Jones, 1995; J.P. Burrows, private communication, 1995].

The present work is part of an ongoing effort to quantify the Ring effect for atmospheric radiative transfer modeling, with application to satellite- and ground-based measurements, and to apply it to particular cases such as the detailed absorption in the O_2 bands. Much of previous modeling work has relied on the development of molecular parameters for N_2 and O_2 by Penney et al. [1974] (e.g., Bussemer [1993], Fish and Jones [1995]; Joiner et al. [1995]) with one study updating the dynamic polarizability anisotropies as developed by Bates [1994] (Joiner et al. [1995]). Previous work has largely ignored the complication of the rotational Raman spectrum of O_2 caused by the electronic spin angular momentum in the 3Σ_g^- ground state and the issue of pressure broadening of the rotation Raman lines. In this publication we update the molecular parameters and the scattering with respect to the solar Fraunhofer spectrum using the best currently available laboratory and field data and theoretical studies of which we are aware. This provides: An updated expression for Rayleigh scattering by air; expressions for the wavelength-dependent polarizability anisotropies of O_2 and N_2; accurate Planck-Teller coefficients (the state-dependent factors in the line intensities) for O_2 rotational Raman lines; a tentative set of pressure broadening coefficients for the O_2 and N_2 rotational Raman lines; A solar reference spectrum for convolution with calculated Ring cross sections; and a convolved Fraunhofer-Raman source spectrum for fitting of atmospheric spectra. The tables and spectra are not included here due to size limitations. They are available from the authors.

2. RAYLEIGH SCATTERING

To examine the detailed Rayleigh and rotational Raman scattering properties, including their relative intensities and the scattering phase functions, we begin with Table I of Kattawar et al. [1981]. This table describes the relative intensities and angular behavior for the Rayleigh-Brillouin and rotational components, and their sum, for various input polarizations, including unpolarized light (the predominant contribution for most atmospheric observations where single scattering is the major contributor to the Ring effect). For unpolarized light the depolarization ratios (defined in each case as the ratio of the horizontally-polarized component to the vertically-polarized component at 90° scattering angle) may be determined directly. Table I of Kattawar et al. [1981] is reproduced here as Table 1, with the addition of the depolarization ratios, for the three cases: Rayleigh-Brillouin (the central Cabannes component, C); rotational Raman (the wings, W); and the sum of the two (R, for Rayleigh). The phase functions for scattering may also be derived for each case. They are given here normalized over solid angle to 1.

\[
\Phi^C_0 = \frac{3}{160\pi} \left[ \frac{(180 + 13\epsilon) + (180 + \epsilon) \cos^2\theta}{(18 + \epsilon)} \right]
\]

\[
\Phi^W_0 = \frac{3}{160\pi}(13 + \cos^2\theta)
\]

\[
\Phi^R_0 = \frac{3}{80\pi} \left[ \frac{(45 + 13\epsilon) + (45 + \epsilon) \cos^2\theta}{9 + 2\epsilon} \right]
\]

In each case, the phase function is given in terms of the respective depolarization ratio (X = C, W, R)

\[
\Phi^X_0 = \frac{3}{8\pi} \left[ \frac{(1 + \rho^X_0) + (1 - \rho^X_0) \cos^2\theta}{2 + \rho^X_0} \right]
\]

The Rayleigh scattering cross section at standard temperature (273.15 K) and pressure (1 atmosphere) is given by

\[
Q_R = \frac{32\pi^3(n - 1)^2F_K}{3N_0^2\lambda^4}
\]

where n is the index of refraction, F_K is the King correction factor (King [1923]), N_0 is Loschmidt's number (2.686763x10^19 m^-3), and \lambda is the wavelength. The King correction factor is given by

\[
F_K = 1 + 2 \left( \frac{\gamma}{3\alpha} \right)^2 = \frac{6 + 3\epsilon_0}{6 - 7\epsilon_0}
\]

where \gamma is the anisotropy of the polarizability and \alpha is the average polarizability. The average polarizability can be determined from

\[
|\alpha|^2 = \frac{(n - 1)^2}{4\pi^2N_0^2}.
\]
3. MOLECULAR PARAMETERS

3.1 Rayleigh scattering cross sections
The major source of improved data for the index of refraction of air (and of O₂ and N₂) versus wavelength, the King correction factors, and the anisotropies of the polarizabilities is Bates [1984]. He presents a comprehensive review of both measurements and theoretical calculations to derive a data set that is demonstrated to be better than 1% for all data and parameterizations presented here. Bates' [1984] Table 1 gives refractive indices, Rayleigh scattering cross sections, and King correction factors versus wavelength for air from 200-1000 nm. The index of refraction data are fitted here to an Edlen-type expression to better than 0.1% for all values:

\[
(n_{\text{air}} - 1) \times 10^4 =
0.7041 + \frac{315.90}{157.39 - \sigma^2} + \frac{8.4127}{50.429 - \sigma^2}
\]

where \( \sigma (\mu m^{-1}) = 1/\lambda (\mu m) \). The Rayleigh cross sections are reproduced to better than 1% by the expression

\[
Q_R \times 10^{24} (cm^2) = \frac{3.9993 \times 10^{-4} \sigma^4}{1 - 1.699 \times 10^{-4} \sigma^2 - 6.881 \times 10^{-5} \sigma^4}.
\]

The King factor and depolarization for any choice of wavelength within the 0.2-1.0 \( \mu m \) range can be accurately determined from the previous two equations.

3.2 Polarizability anisotropies
The previous expansions are for air, including standard amounts of Ar and CO₂. For rotational Raman cross sections we will limit the calculations to O₂ and N₂. Bates gives segmented representations for the indices of refraction of O₂ and N₂ versus wavelength and expansions for the respective King correction factors. Tables giving the King correction factors, values of \( \gamma \), where \( \gamma = (\gamma/\alpha)^2 \), index of refraction \( \alpha \), and \( \gamma \) for O₂ and N₂ are available from the authors. From these data \( \gamma_{O_2} \) is determined to better than 1% over this wavelength range by

\[
\gamma_{O_2} \times 10^{24} = 0.07149 + \frac{45.9364}{48.2716 - \sigma^2}
\]

and \( \gamma_{N_2} \) to much better than 1% by

\[
\gamma_{N_2} \times 10^{25} = -6.01466 + \frac{23.9557}{186.099 - \sigma^2}.
\]

These equations should be considered merely as phenomenological fits over the particular wavelength range, rather than trying to attach physical importance to (for example) the negative value of the constant term in the N₂ equation. Extrapolation to outside the 0.2 - 1.0 \( \mu m \) range should be considered perilous.

3.3 Basic spectroscopy
The ground state of O₂ is \( 3\Sigma^+ \); it has significant electronic structure in both its magnetic dipole rotational and rotational Raman spectra. Rotational Raman spectra of both O₂ and N₂ have previously been approximated by simple expansions in the lowest rotational parameters (for positions) and by using \( T/c_2B_0 \) for the rotational partition functions. Very precise data are now available for the term energies, allowing line positions and Boltzmann factors to be accurately and rapidly calculated. The use of term values from the current HITRAN listing [Rothman et al., 1992] allows for calculations of lines positions to 0.0001 \( cm^{-1} \) accuracy and highly accurate statistical partitioning. Tables including the quantum numbers and term energies for O₂ and N₂ up to states allowing for partitioning to better than 0.01% accuracy and Boltzmann factors (with nuclear spin degeneracies, \( g_N \)) are available from the authors.

3.4 Placzek-Teller coefficients
Cross sections for rotational Raman scattering are given by

\[
Q_{N,N'}^W (cm^2) = \frac{256 \pi^4}{27(N^2 + 1)} \gamma^2 f_N c_{PT}(N, J, N', J'),
\]

where \( f_N \) is the fractional population in the initial state. The quantum state-dependent factors \( c_{PT}(N, J, N', J') \) are commonly known as "Placzek-Teller coefficients" from the initial derivations [Placzek and Teller, 1933]. These factors are given for a molecule in a \( \Sigma \) electronic state with one electronic spin angular momentum, in a Hund's case \( b \) coupling scheme (electron spin coupled to rotational angular momentum), by

\[
c_{PT}(N, J, N', J') = (2N + 1)(2N' + 1)(2J' + 1)
\times \left( \begin{array}{c} N \ L \ N' \no {0 \ 0 \ 0} \end{array} \right)^2 \left( \begin{array}{c} J' \ S \ J \end{array} \right)^2.
\]

where \( J \) is the total angular momentum, \( S \) is the electronic spin angular momentum (1 for O₂ and 0 for N₂), and \( L \) is the component of the 2nd rank
polarizability tensor, \( L = 0 \) for average polarizability (The Cabannes component) and \( L = 2 \) for the present Raman scattering. The standard definitions for 3-\( j \) and 6-\( j \) coefficients are used. Near equivalents to this equation are given in Renschler et al. [1969] and in Loete and Berger [1977] (both give the formula for “line strengths” which include the initial state degeneracy). For \( S = 0 \) (i.e., \( N_2 \)), eq. 11 reduces to the result of Penney et al. [1974], eq. 7:

\[
\begin{align*}
\csc(J \to J + 2) & = \frac{3(J + 1)(J + 2)}{2(2J + 1)(2J + 3)} \quad (12) \\
\csc(J \to J) & = \frac{J(J + 1)}{(2J - 1)(2J + 3)} \\
\csc(J \to J - 2) & = \frac{3J(J - 1)}{2(2J + 1)(2J - 1)}.
\end{align*}
\]

This derivation gives correct Placzek-Teller coefficients for \( N_2 \), but approximates those for \( O_2 \) by treating it as a pure Hund’s case \( b \) molecule. At low \( N, J \) the departures from the pure coupling case due to the electron spin-rotation interaction are enough to significantly affect the spectrum, as noted by Renschler et al. [1969] and Rich and Leonard [1971]. In the classic study of the \( O_2 \) ground state, Tinkham and Strandberg [1955] include the correct eigenvectors for levels up to \( J = 26 \) in the case \( b \) basis set (their Table V and equation 54). Above this level the molecule is described by case \( b \) behavior to a very high degree of accuracy. For \( J = 0 \) and all odd \( J \) levels the case \( b \) description is exact. For even \( J \) levels, \( J \neq 0 \), the transformation from case \( b \) basis functions \( \phi_{N,J} \) to eigenfunctions \( \Psi_{N,J} \) is given by

\[
\begin{align*}
\Psi_{J-1,I} & = b_J \phi_{J-1,I} - d_J \phi_{J+1,I} \\
\Psi_{J+1,I} & = d_J \phi_{J-1,I} + b_J \phi_{J+1,I}.
\end{align*}
\]

The \( b_J \) and \( d_J \) values from Tinkham and Strandberg [1955] are used here to calculate correct Placzek-Teller coefficients for values up to \( J = 10 \), above which the corrections become completely negligible. The database calculated using the corrected eigenvectors is prepared with an intensity cutoff to include all rotational Raman lines with intensities at \( 296 \) K within 0.1% of the strongest line. Because of the mixing of states, this now includes two \( \Delta N = 4 \) transitions. An almost identical result was determined by Altmann et al. [1972] who calculated eigenvectors for the secular determinant and molecular parameters given in the slightly earlier work of Mizushima and Hill [1964].

### 3.5 Pressure broadening coefficients

\( \Gamma \) is used here for the full-width at half-maximum pressure broadening coefficient to distinguish it from the \( \gamma \) used for anisotropy of polarizability. The best existing measurements of pressure broadening for the rotational Raman lines are from Jammes et al. [1966]. These authors note some evidence that the unresolved Q branches of vibrational Raman bands seem to broaden less than lines due to ordinary dipole transitions, but that the rotational Raman lines broaden comparably to dipole transitions. They present self-broadening measurements for \( N_2 \), \( O_2 \), \( CO_2 \), and \( CO \), as well as \( He \) and \( Ar \) broadening of \( N_2 \), \( O_2 \). All measurements were made at room temperature. Given the experimental conditions (very high pressures, modest spectral resolution) and the lack of air-broadening measurements, temperature dependences, and a tabulation of the \( J \)-dependent \( N_2 \) broadening coefficients, it was decided not to use these measurements as the basis for broadening in the present data set. Instead, for \( O_2 \) the HITRAN92 values corresponding to rotational transitions of the same \( \Delta N \) are initially adopted [Rothman et al., 1992]. Where multiple corresponding transitions exist, the pressure broadening coefficients are averaged. For the two \( \Delta N = 4 \) transitions, the average of the values for lines connecting the upper and lower states is taken. The resulting pressure broadening coefficients are multiplied by 1.185, which is the average result for the ratio of measured air pressure broadening coefficients for \( O_2 \) magnetic dipole rotational transitions to those given in HITRAN (see Chance et al. [1991b] for an explanation of this correction). For \( N_2 \), pressure broadening values for the corresponding quadrupole lines of the vibrational fundamental are adopted. Values listed for the pressure broadening coefficients of both \( O_2 \) and \( N_2 \) are at 296 K. The temperature dependence should be calculated using the recommended HITRAN92 coefficient of \( n = 0.5 \) for \( N_2 \) lines [Rothman et al., 1992] and a value of \( n = 0.72 \) for \( O_2 \) lines [Chance et al., 1991b], where

\[
\Gamma_T = \Gamma_{296} \times \left( \frac{296}{T} \right)^n.
\]

### 4. SOLAR REFERENCE AND RING SOURCE SPECTRA

A solar reference spectrum for the range 230-800 nm, at 0.01 nm resolution has been determined by combining ground-based measurements [Kurucz et al., 1984] and balloon measurements [Hall and Anderson, 1991] and re-calibrating in wavelength. The
spectrum is given at 0.01 nm resolution, in vacuum wavelengths accurate to better than 0.001 nm above 305 nm and 0.002 nm below 300 nm. It will be described more fully in a future publication. The purposes for constructing such a spectrum include GOME wavelength calibration studies and calculating Ring effect contributions to GOME measurements. The solar spectrum has been convolved with the rotational Raman cross sections described here to create a source spectrum for fitting of GOME data. The solar spectrum and solar-rotational Raman convolved spectra, with and without the GOME slit function, are available from the authors.

5. CONCLUSIONS
The complete rotational Raman scattering database as described in the previous sections is available from the authors. This is a summary of the best available data that were found in the present investigation, and subsequent calculations. It provides a substantial improvement in the ability to model the atmospheric Ring spectrum, particularly when it is combined with the updated values of the wavelength dependent polarizability anisotropies also included in this study. One item not included here is the additional broadening due to Rayleigh-Brillouin scattering [Kattawar et al., 1981]. For the rotational Raman lines, this will provide an extra source of broadening, although the extent of the broadening is within the uncertainties in the pressure broadening for scattering in the troposphere. The effect of Rayleigh-Brillouin scattering for the filling in of the central Cabannes line for narrow Fraunhofer lines might be significant for some satellite measurement conditions, although it has been found to be negligible for SBUV measurements [Joiner et al., 1995]. During the course of the study, several references relating to vibrational Raman scattering were uncovered. These are included in the references with appropriate notation.
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**TABLE 1. Relative Rayleigh and Raman Scattering Intensities**

Mostly from Kattawar et al., 1981

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$V$ polarization in</th>
<th>$H$ polarization in</th>
<th>Sum (natural light in)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rayleigh-Brillouin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V_{CV} = 180 + 4\epsilon$</td>
<td>$H_{CV} = 3\epsilon$</td>
<td>$0_{CV} = 180 + 7\epsilon$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V_{CH} = 3\epsilon$</td>
<td>$H_{CH} = 3\epsilon + (180 + \epsilon) \cos^2 \theta$</td>
<td>$0_{CH} = 6\epsilon + (180 + \epsilon) \cos^2 \theta$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V_{C0} = 180 + 7\epsilon$</td>
<td>$H_{C0} = 6\epsilon + (180 + \epsilon) \cos^2 \theta$</td>
<td>$0_{C0} = (180 + 13\epsilon) + (180 + \epsilon) \cos^2 \theta$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho_0^V = 6\epsilon/(180 + 7\epsilon)$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Raman</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$V_{WV} = 12\epsilon$</td>
<td>$H_{WV} = 9\epsilon$</td>
<td>$0_{WV} = 21\epsilon$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V_{WH} = 9\epsilon$</td>
<td>$H_{WH} = 9\epsilon + 3\epsilon \cos^2 \theta$</td>
<td>$0_{WH} = 18\epsilon + 3\epsilon \cos^2 \theta$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V_{W0} = 21\epsilon$</td>
<td>$H_{W0} = 18\epsilon + 3\epsilon \cos^2 \theta$</td>
<td>$0_{W0} = 39\epsilon + 3\epsilon \cos^2 \theta$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho_0^W = 6/7$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sum</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$V_{TV} = 180 + 16\epsilon$</td>
<td>$H_{TV} = 12\epsilon$</td>
<td>$0_{TV} = 180 + 28\epsilon$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V_{TH} = 12\epsilon$</td>
<td>$H_{TH} = 12\epsilon + (180 + 4\epsilon) \cos^2 \theta$</td>
<td>$0_{TH} = 24\epsilon + (180 + 4\epsilon) \cos^2 \theta$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V_{T0} = 180 + 28\epsilon$</td>
<td>$H_{T0} = 24\epsilon + (180 + 4\epsilon) \cos^2 \theta$</td>
<td>$0_{T0} = (180 + 52\epsilon) + (180 + 4\epsilon) \cos^2 \theta$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho_0^T = 6\epsilon/(45 + 7\epsilon)$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Abstract

GOME radiance, irradiance, and ozone products were validated by NASA, Goddard Space Flight Center through three tasks which included, pre-launch calibration comparisons with SBUV and TOMS radiometric standards, validation of GOME Level-1 irradiance and radiance and Level 2 total ozone data products using SBUV/2 and TOMS algorithms and data, and studies of GOME data using the Goddard radiative transfer code. The pre-launch calibration using the NASA large aperture integrating sphere was checked against that provided by TPD. Agreement in the calibration constants, derived in air, between the Goddard and TPD system were better than 3%. Validation of Level-1 irradiance data included comparison of GOME and SBUV and the UARS solar irradiances measurements. Large wavelength dependent differences, as high as 10%, were noted between GOME and the US instruments. This discrepancy has now been attributed to radiometric sensitivity changes experienced by GOME when operating in a vacuum. GOME Earth radiance data were then compared to the NOAA-14 SBUV/2 radiances. These results show that between 340 and 400 nm the differences in GOME and SBUV/2 data are less than 5% with some wavelength dependence. At wavelengths shorter than 300 nm, differences are of the order of 10% or more where the GOME radiances are larger. To test GOME DOAS retrieved total ozone values, these values were compared with ozone amounts retrieved using GOME radiances in the TOMS version-7 algorithm. The differences showed a solar zenith angle dependence ranging from 0 to 10% where the TOMS algorithm values were higher. GOME radiances below 300 nm were further validated by selecting radiances at wavelengths normally used by SBUV and processing them through the SBUV ozone profile algorithm and then compared to climatological values. The GOME ozone profiles ranged from 10-30% lower over altitude compared to climatological values. This is consistent with the offsets detected in the SBUV/2 radiance comparisons at wavelengths shorter than 300 nm.

Introduction

NASA Goddard Space Flight Center has participated in the GOME validation through an ESA announcement of opportunity. The proposed validation investigation included three tasks: 1) Pre-launch calibration evaluation, 2) Post launch data validation, and 3) Algorithm studies using GOME data. The validation effort focused primarily on GOME data from Channels 1 and 2. These channels cover the wavelength range 240 to 405 nm which overlap wavelengths covered by NASA's SBUV and TOMS instruments. Our overall goal is to develop a consistent, long term, global ozone data set through better understanding of instrument performance and radiative transfer properties of the atmosphere. A description of the methodology for pre- and post-launch calibration, validation, and of producing long term data sets from US BUV instruments has been reviewed by Hilsenrath et al., 1994.

In this progress report we describe briefly results from the pre-launch calibration activity using NASA calibration standards, post-launch validation of Level 1 irradiances and radiances from comparisons with SBUV and NOAA-14 SBUV/2 modeled radiances and Level 2 DOAS ozone retrievals compared with BUV ozone retrievals using the Level 1 GOME radiance in the TOMS version 7 and SBUV profile algorithms. Finally we have conducted very preliminary algorithm research using GOME radiance to study the Ring effect and the effect of absorbing aerosols on the GOME radiances. The GOME Level 1 and Level 2 data used in this first phase of validation was received from the DLR up until December, 1996 on CD-ROMs.

1. Pre-launch Calibration Intercomparison

Backscatter measuring instruments such as TOMS, SBUV, and GOME require careful calibrations to determine sensitivity to both Earth radiance and the Solar irradiance. Irradiance calibrations are derived by illuminating the instrument with an irradiance standard provided by a national laboratory such as the US National Institutes for Standards and Technology. However, there are no standards for radiance applicable for remote sensors with extended fields of view, therefor flat diffuser plates illuminated by an irradiance source are used as a radiance target. Traditional flat plate calibrations have been subject to large uncertainties due to the difficulty in the measurement of the angular distribution of the diffuse scattering from the targets. Inconsistencies in bidirectional reflectance distribution function (BRDF) measurements on the order of 10% were not uncommon in the past [Heath, et al., 1993]. The sphere based calibration technique was
developed to enhance the accuracy of extended source radiances based calibrations, and to provide a baseline for the continuing improvement in BRDF measurements. The sphere technique is inherently less prone to systematic errors due to the simplicity of the calibration set-up, and requires only that one can measure accurately the sphere port area and the distance to the target. Additionally, the sphere is easily transportable allowing for on-site inter-calibrations to be performed. Recent results from comparisons of this technique with flat plate calibrations on the SSBUV, TOMS, and SBUV/2 yield agreement on the 2-3 % level. [Janz et al., 1996].

Calibration of GOME has been reviewed by Hahne et al., [1994]. The cross-calibration of SSBUV with both the GOME FSM and FM instruments using NASA's integrating sphere as a transfer was performed at TPD in the fall of 1994, [Heath et al., 1994]. The results indicated very good consistency (2-3 % level) between the sphere based radiances and the flat plate based sensitivity over the wavelength range that the sphere was calibrated, 250 nm - 450 nm. There was also good consistency between flat plate techniques using targets measured both by TPD and the Goddard BRDF facility. The good agreement among the various calibration techniques and set-ups provides a large degree of confidence that the GOME instrument and SSBUV are on a common radiometric scale, traceable to NIST standards, and provide a solid baseline for inter-comparisons of these instruments while in space. Further details of the ground calibration are reported elsewhere in this volume.

2. Post-launch Validation of Level 1 Data

Plans for Level-1 validation of GOME included comparison of GOME solar irradiances and Earth radiances with the TOMS, SBUV/2, and SSBUV. The eighth flight of SSBUV was conducted in January 1996 for which there are no GOME data yet available. The Earth Probe TOMS launch has been postponed until mid 1996. The SBUV/2 on NOAA-14 was launched in December, 1995 but has only recently become operational because of numerous spacecraft and instrument problems. Therefor, Level 1 radiance comparisons has been limited to a few number of comparisons using specially processed data for this validation effort. GOME solar irradiances have been compared to earlier SSBUV flights as well as the UARS instruments. The detailed UARS comparisons, particularly the SOLSTICE is being reported elsewhere in this volume however comparisons with SSBUV are summarized below.

Solar irradiance

GOME solar irradiance comparisons with SSBUV and the UARS SOLSTICE and SUSIM instruments show significant differences (10-20%) particularly at wavelengths between 260 and 290 nm. A comparison with SSBUV data is shown in Figure 1 and is very similar to the comparisons of GOME and the UARS instruments. Comparisons among the US solar irradiances show agreement to better than 3% over wavelength with nearly zero systematic differences (Woods et al., 1996, Cebula, et al., 1996). Therefor the difference seen in figure 1 are primarily due to errors in GOME. These differences have now been explained to result from air-to-vacuum changes in GOME sensitivity. Similar changes have been observed in SBUV/2 instruments and are attributed to out-gassing from protective coating used on the GOME instrument's optical surfaces. ESA, TPD, and the University of Bremen are developing correction a factor to account for this sensitivity change. As GOME irradiances are refined additional comparisons will be made with the SSBUV-8, the UARS instruments and SBUV/2 on NOAA-14.

Earth Radiances Comparisons

Comparisons of GOME radiances with SBUV/2, TOMS, and SSBUV have been limited for reasons mentioned above. To enhance the validation with limited data, a radiative transfer model was developed to compute radiance from SBUV/2 ozone data anywhere in the vicinity of a GOME observation. The model is particularly useful since SBUV observations are only made at 12 discrete channels with 1.1 nm resolution. Therefor the model affords a comparison over all wavelengths at the GOME wavelength resolution. In addition, special NOAA-14 SBUV/2 and GOME data sets were compiled for the period August 25-27 over Mauna Loa, Hawaii during a Network for Detection of Stratospheric Change (NDSC) ground based ozone intercomparison campaign. This campaign provided independently validated total ozone and profile ozone data from NOAA-14 using
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Figure 1. GOME SSBUV Solar irradiance comparison
data and validation of Dave-Mateer radiative transfer code applied to convert Ap into continuous scan data in revealing spectral anomalies in the Earth's radiance. This method was successfully applied to the SBUV measured radiances. This difference is called the residue. The derivative of A with respect to the pixel number p was calculated using Bass and Paur cross sections at about 0.05 nm steps. As the last step in the calculation of the slit function in its integrand. Since the integration needs to be computed in wavelength (λ) space, a partial derivative of λ with respect to the pixel number p was applied to convert Δp into Δλ in the form of the GOME slit function.

The GOME measured and the theoretical values are then compared by analyzing the percent differences between the measured GOME radiances with the theoretically computed radiances. This difference is called the residue. This method was successfully applied to the SBUV continuous scan data in revealing spectral anomalies in the data and validation of Dave-Mateer radiative transfer code [Gu et al., 1996]. In order to minimize impact from ozone profile errors, a non-ozone absorbing wavelength range of 340 - 400 nm was first chosen for this comparison.

Figure 2 shows the residue described above and the residue due to the Ring effect. The Ring effect residue is calculated from the GOME measured solar irradiance, the solar zenith angle, and the surface pressure [Joiner et al., 1995]. For this case the reflectivity was low therefore a near ground pressure was assumed. For this analysis, 22 GOME nadir ground pixels over the Arabian Sea on July 23 were used. The solar zenith angles were large enough (>25°) so that sea glint is not a concern but were also small enough (<30°) that the polarization corrections are not important. On average the residues are small meaning that the GOME calibration is accurate to the order of 1% where the unaccounted for Ring effect amounts to a peak-to-peak "noise" of about 5%. Close inspection of the two curves in Figure 2 reveals a systematic wavelength misalignment of about 0.06 nm. Studies of SBUV continuous scan data, demonstrated that a small wavelength shift (dλ) between the earth radiance (I) and solar irradiance (F) may generate about ten times larger wavelength shift Δλ in the normalized radiances. For example, the observed 0.06 nm misalignment might be generated by dλ of 0.006 nm, which is smaller than the GOME tolerance of 0.0156 nm. To further refine the comparison, two additional steps were taken. The first was to account for the Ring effect and the second to adjust the wavelength to match the location of the Fraunhofer lines. Figure 3 indicates that after these adjustments the 'final' residue is about ±1% peak-to-peak with an offset or calibration error of about 1%. These results are comparable to the findings using SBUV data. Work has begun to further understand the remaining structure in the 'final' residues. Additional residues from other geographical locations were also analyzed to understand GOME radiances compared to the model calculations. Figure 4 illustrates the 'final' (wavelength adjusted and Ring effect removed) residues for other samples of selected nadir ground pixel over the Sahara desert in August. Comparing this figure to Figure 3, several differences are seen. These features could be due to several factors such as, residual Ring
effect, aerosol scattering, key data, or calibration errors.

In order to validate GOME radiances from 250 nm to 400 nm, radiances were calculated from a special processing of NOAA-14 SBUV/2 data taken over Mauna Loa, Hawaii during an NDSC ozone intercomparison campaign conducted in August 1995. This intercomparison campaign provides an excellent opportunity to validate the SBUV/2 data used to compare with the GOME data. Figure 5 illustrates the comparability of the SBUV/2 with several ground based lidar and microwave measurements as well as Umkehr and balloon soundings for August 25, 1995.

Recent investigation of TOMS data [Hsu et al., 1996] have shown reflectivity anomalies (among only two wavelengths) which could be associated with smoke and dust. These anomalies result from absorbing aerosols which cause a wavelength dependent signature in the residues. The upper curves in Figure 4 represents a 'final' residue with a clear sky (reflectivity of 9%). The polarization corrections are extremely small because of the surface property and low SZA (about 20°). Figure 4 shows both a positive (upper curve) and negative (lower curve) reflectivity anomaly with respect to wavelength. The lower curve reflects what is seen in TOMS data and our radiative transfer model (Torres and Bhartia, 1995) which is associated with desert dust. This is by no means a definitive study of GOME observations of the spectral signatures of absorbing aerosols. Studies of GOME data are planned with comparison to the 12 year record of TOMS data.
channel 1a, GOME measured radiances are about 10% higher on average.

The 1 sigma standard deviation grow to as large as ±20% but is likely due to GOME channel 1 detector noise. However, on average the GOME radiances are about 2% lower in channel 2 which is consistent with the results in Figure 2. The Ring effect, which was not removed in this comparison (because it was not modeled below 300 nm), results in sharp features at the Mg and Ca Fraunhofer lines seen in the Figure 6.

DOAS vs TOMS Total Ozone Retrievals

The Goddard BUV algorithms were used for calculating total column ozone using GOME radiance and irradiance data. The GOME data was slit-averaged to TOMS 1.1 nm bandpass and the radiance and irradiance values interpolated to TOMS wavelengths at 312, 317, 331, 340, 360, 380 nm. Albedos, geo-location, solar zenith angles, satellite view angles were then processed through the version 7 TOMS algorithm (McPeters, et al 1993). The TOMS results were compared with DOAS results from the same ground pixel. The TOMS results were calculated with two different sets of wavelengths, the A-triplet: 312,331,380 nm, and the B-triplet: 316,331,380 nm. The primary difference between the triplets is the 312 wavelength of the A-triplet is measured using the 1b band radiances. All of the other wavelengths are measure in the 2b band. The differences between the A-triplet and the B-triplet ozone values are a measure of the inter-band calibration.

Figures 7 upper (A-triplet) and lower (B-triplet) show that the overall agreement between GOME-TOMS and the GOME-DOAS is reasonable. However the GOME-DOAS results are consistently lower than the TOMS results. The GOME-DOAS ozone values show a 'step function' behavior when plotted as a function of latitude. This behavior is probably the results of retrieval assumptions in the GOME-DOAS algorithm. Figure 8 upper (A-triplet) and lower (B-triplet) show the per-cent difference between the GOME-DOAS and the GOME-TOMS values as a function of latitude. The individual measurements have been smoothed for easier interpretation. Lower figure 8 shows a 2% positive shift compared with upper figure 8. This indicates a small calibration bias between the 312 nm radiance measured on Band 1b compared with the 317 nm radiances measured on Band 2b.

Figure 7. GOME-TOMS and GOME-DOAS ozone.

Figure 8. TOMS - DOAS differences versus latitude.

GOME-TOMS - GOME-DOAS differences show a significant (0 to 10%) latitude dependence. This is more likely a solar zenith angle dependence which is shown in figure 9 which is a replot of the data in figure 8. As the TOMS algorithm has not shown this solar zenith angle dependence using the Nimbus-7 radiance data, one must assume that this solar zenith angle (SZA) dependence is contained in the GOME-DOAS data. The other notable feature is the scan angle dependence of the GOME-TOMS results. The initial results presented at the final validation workshop in March, 1996 were calculated with incorrect SZA values. (NB: The SZA values recorded on the level 2 data records are the spacecraft SZA, not the SZA at the earth observation point!!) After this error was discovered the ozone values were recalculated and the scan bias was reduced but not removed. Further studies are required to assess the cause of this scan angle bias.
Figure 9. TOMS-DOAS differences versus SZA.

**GOME Ozone Profiles Using the SBUV Algorithm**

The Goddard algorithms were also used for calculating ozone vertical profiles using GOME radiance and irradiance data. The GOME data were again slit-averaged to the 1.1 nm SBUV bandpass. The radiance and irradiance data were interpolated to the 12 SBUV wavelengths; 255.5, 273.5, 283.0, 287.6, 292.2, 297.5, 301.9, 305.8, 312, 317, 331, 340 nm. Albedos, geo-location, solar zenith angles, and satellite view angles were processed through the version 6 SBUV algorithm.

Approximately 130 measurements were averaged. The GOME 1996 average profile is compared to the same day in 1995 measured by the SBUV/2 instrument on NOAA-11 and illustrated in the upper panel in figure 10. The GOME ozone profiles show too little ozone indicating that the radiance levels are too high. There is a notch in the profile at 10 mb indicating a wavelength dependent calibration error. The lower panel in figure 10 illustrates the difference in the GOME average profile and the climatology. Differences increase to as much as 30% near 1 mb. These results are consistent with the results described above in the Earth Radiance Comparison section. A 1% calibration error results in 2% ozone error at 1 mb. This ratio decreases with increasing pressure [Fleig et al., 1990].

**Concluding Remarks**

The NASA Goddard validation effort began before launch of GOME. NASA standards used for calibrating SBUV/2 and TOMS were also used to calibrate GOME. These calibrations were compared with TPD calibration and good agreement was found. The good agreement among the various calibration techniques and set-ups provides a large degree of confidence that the GOME instrument and the US BUV instruments are on a common radiometric scale, traceable to NIST standards, and provide a solid baseline for inter-comparisons of these instruments while in space.

Because TOMS Earth Probe launch is delayed, SBUV/2 has only recently become operational, and the SSBUV just completed its flight (January 11-18, 1996) after this first release of GOME data for validation, comparisons with Level 1 and 2 data has been has been limited. Nevertheless a great deal has been learned about the performance of GOME. Level 1 irradiance comparison show that GOME experienced a large wavelength dependent change in sensitivity as a result of the vacuum of space and is of the order of 15% at 300 nm. Level 1 radiances seem to agree with SBUV/2 calculated radiances on the order of a 2% at wavelengths larger than 340 nm. At wavelengths shorter than 300, GOME radiances appear to be high by as much as 10% with a large amount of noise. When comparing GOME DOAS total ozone values with GOME total ozone values using the BUV algorithm, a latitude and SZA angle dependence appears of the order of 0-10% where TOMS values are higher. GOME ozone profiles derived from the BUV profile algorithm compared with climatological values for the same season and latitude yields values about 10-30 % to low which is consistent with the Earth radiance difference discussed above. The irradiance error which results from the air-to-vacuum sensitivity change likely appears in the radiance as well. If this is the case, this error is canceled in the albedos and has no effect on retrievals. Therefore the error seen in the radiance (in reality albedo) from the SBUV comparisons below 300 does not seem to be a calibration error, but an
error associated with the key data applied to radiances in channel 1a. The source of the error is still unknown.

Algorithm research using GOME radiances has begun. Initial studies included studies of Ring data and relationship to cloud top heights, but are not reported here. GOME radiances between 340 and 400 which is free from ozone absorption were used to further study reflectivity anomalies discovered in TOMS which are associated with absorbing aerosols such as smoke and dust. With this initial release of GOME data one can conclude that these signatures likely also appear in the GOME radiances however further validation is required to draw any conclusions at this time.

It is recommended that pre-launch instrument key data particularly the air-to-vacuum shift be further refined. In addition, instrument performance should be tracked and corrected over time for the duration of its lifetime. This should be the primary objective for the GOME validation community. Instrument characteristics need to be updated periodically and Level-1 data products reprocessed. These data should then be validated followed by Level-2 reprocessing. Level-2 validation may reveal additional errors on either or both Level 1 and Level 2 data. This process is highly iterative and requires a team of committed and strongly supported experts to test and validate GOME data.
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