A Remarkable Auroral Event on Jupiter Observed in the Ultraviolet with the Hubble Space Telescope


Two sets of ultraviolet images of the Jovian north aurora were obtained with the Faint Object Camera on board the Hubble Space Telescope. The first series shows an intense discrete arc in near corotation with the planet. The maximum apparent molecular hydrogen emission rate corresponds to an electron precipitation of \( \sim 1 \) watt per square meter, which is about 30,000 times larger than the solar heating by extreme ultraviolet radiation. Such a particle heating rate of the auroral upper atmosphere should cause a large transient temperature increase and generate strong thermospheric winds. Twenty hours after initial observation, the discrete arc had decreased in brightness by more than one order of magnitude. The time scale and magnitude of the change in the ultraviolet aurora leads us to suggest that the discrete Jovian auroral precipitation is related to large-scale variations in the current system, as is the case for Earth's discrete aurorae.

The first observations of the ultraviolet (UV) aurora on Jupiter were obtained by the UV spectrometer (UVS) on board the Voyager 1 and 2 spacecraft in 1979 (1–3). Data accumulated since 1980 with the UV spectograph on the International Ultraviolet Explorer (IUE) satellite (4) have been used to indirectly characterize the main features of the morphological and brightness distribution of the aurora. From these early observations, some information was derived on its temporal behavior. Livengood et al. (4) analyzed 10 years of IUE data and found that the average observed auroral brightness profile was generally stable within a factor of about 2 to 3. The lack of spatial resolution of these nominating instruments did not allow the determination of whether morphological changes (in auroral shape or latitude) were associated with the brightness variations (4, 5). Some morphological differences were observed in 1992 on two Hubble Space Telescope (HST) Faint Object Camera (FOC) images (6) with nearly identical central meridian longitudes separated by about 3 days. However, in this case, both the maximum local brightness and the integrated radiative power showed little variation. The question of temporal variability is of major importance in understanding the origin and the acceleration mechanisms of the auroral particles exciting the Jovian UV aurora.

Basic differences exist between the magnetospheres of Jupiter and the Earth. The Earth's magnetosphere dynamics, controlled by the solar wind dynamo, organize auroral processes in a local time frame of reference with peak activity in the midnight sector. These processes take place on magnetic field lines from the central to distant plasma sheet in the nightside magnetotail. By contrast, the much more extensive Jovian magnetosphere is in quasi-corotation with the planet up to distances of about 20 Jovian radii. This feature explains why the UVS experiment on board Voyager (3) did not detect any significant day-night variation.

In July 1993, two series of three HST FOC images, each of the UV aurora, were taken nearly 20 hours apart to investigate the question of temporal variability. The filter isolated a 20-nm-wide region centered on 153 nm (7), which is dominated by the molecular hydrogen Lyman bands (H\(_{\alpha}\)-Lyman) and the continuum. The relevant parameters of the observations are specified in Table 1.

Unexpectedly, the first series of exposures recorded a very bright auroral event that gave rise to a FOC count level above four times higher than any previous observations made with the same instrumental configuration. Nearly parallel spectrographic measurements made with the IUE (8) reveal that the emitted UV auroral radiation reached the second highest level recorded in over 10 years of IUE Jovian auroral observations. In the first exposure of the first series (II) (Fig. 1A), a bright but longitudinally limited portion of the auroral arc is visible near the approaching (dawn) limb of the planet. In the third exposure (III) (Fig. 1B), the bright arc is considerably more extended in longitude. A comparison of the location of the emission morphology on the two exposures (9) shows that the entire pattern is in quasi-corotation with the planet. However, the leading edge of the bright arc is shifted to smaller longitudes by \(-52^\circ\), which is less than the 65° of planetary rotation. This slippage may be caused by a temporal brightness change along the auroral oval over the 107 min separating the two images.

The quasi-corotation demonstrates the predominantly longitudinal control of the auroral emission of Jupiter already suggested by the first FOC images at the Lyman \( \alpha \) frequency, obtained in 1992, (10) and by years of IUE observations (4). The aurora has an uncertain brightness distribution along the auroral oval and may have a local time dependence as well as a longitude dependence. We also expect these H\(_{\alpha}\)Lyman emissions to be considerably brighter near the limb (11). For example, the apparent brightness near the limb is expected to be about eight times brighter than an identical aurora would be on the central meridian at a latitude of 60°N.

In the background-subtracted versions of images I2 and W1 (Fig. 2, A and B, respectively), the bright arc is seen in Fig. 1A stretches from a latitude of 60°N and a system III longitude (\( \lambda_{\text{III}} \)) of 182° to \(-70^\circ\) on the dawn limb. A second, weaker spot of emission extends along the central meridian. Weak, diffuse emission fills the entire polar cap and reaches \(-50^\circ\) near \( \lambda_{\text{III}} = 190^\circ \). The brightest pixels correspond to a count rate of \(-13\) counts per pixel. This level is higher than previous HST FOC observations (6, 12, 13), which typically reached 2 to 4 counts per pixel above the disk background for similar exposure times. Converted into an apparent emission rate, the most intense parts of the auroral arcs in images I1, I2, and I3 reach \(-3.6\) megarayleighs (1 MR = \( 10^{12} \) photons per square centimeter per second) of H\(_{\alpha}\) Lyman.

Table 1. Main characteristics of the HST FOC auroral observations. Abbreviations: UT, universal time; \( \lambda \), wavelength; CML, central meridian longitude; NUV, near ultraviolet.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>UT</th>
<th>Central ( \lambda ) (nm)</th>
<th>CML (degrees)</th>
<th>Image</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>17 July</td>
<td>00:47</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>NUV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>02:21</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>I1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>03:48</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>I2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>04:08</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>I3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>03:16</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>W1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 July</td>
<td>00:41</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>W2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>01:01</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>W3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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emission, equivalent to ~6 MR of total H₂ emission (14).

Figure 2B illustrates the dramatic change that occurred during about two Jovian rotations. The bright arc previously seen at λₐ > 180° faded to a much weaker zone of inhomogeneous emission with a maximum near 60°N, λₐ = 200°. Table 2 shows a comparison of the total power radiated in H₂ Lyman in images 12 and W1 and the maximum count rates in both exposures. The peaks of the auroral emission are in a ratio of nearly 8 to 1. However, the brightest part of the intense arc in Fig. 2A maps into a weak zone of ~1 count per pixel, indicating a drop to 1/13 of the local emission rate.

A global view of the auroral morphology and its variation during the event is best given by composite polar orthographic projections of images 11, 12, and 13 (Fig. 2C) and W1, W2, and W3 (Fig. 2D); they illustrate how the overall decrease in emission affected the morphology of the emission. The bright aurora observed in the first group of images (Fig. 2C) lies close to but not along the oval described by the footprint of the magnetic field lines crossing the equatorial plane at 30 Jovian radii (Rj). Gérard et al. (12) mapped the aurora observed over a complete Jovian rotation and found that a better fit can be obtained by shifting the center of the model oval by a few degrees. The leading edge of the bright emission lies near λₐ = 180°, although a weaker extension is observed up to 165°. A secondary weaker alignment is seen along the 165° meridian, extending from 62°N up to the limit of visibility. Dimmer, unstructured aurora is visible inside and outside the discrete emission. It reaches an equatorward limit of ~5°N at λₐ = 180° at the 1σ threshold applied to the individual images. The corresponding projection 20 hours later (Fig. 2D) shows, in agreement with Fig. 2B, considerable brightness variations, but the morphology is reminiscent of Fig. 2C. A weak emission band is still present parallel to and outside of the 30 Rj oval at λₐ > 180°. These features lead to the conclusion that the bright aurora arc already observed previously in the sector λₐ > 180° overlaid a diffuse auroral region that remained nearly invariant in brightness throughout the event.

Table 2 lists the estimated total radiated power over the half hemisphere facing the Earth derived from both 12 and W1. Using an energy conversion of ~7 for electron deposition (assuming that the auroral particles are energetic electrons) in a H₂ atmosphere (15–17), we find that the 6 MR of total H₂ emission corresponds to a local flux of ~1 W m⁻² and a total radiated power of 10¹² W. The input of such a high energy flux must considerably perturb the energy balance and the temperature profile of the Jovian upper atmosphere. As energetic electrons interact with the hydrogen gas by elastic and inelastic collisions, a large fraction of the energy input is converted into local gas heating. The consequences of an electron power input of ~1 W m⁻² can be crudely estimated with simple one-dimensional (1D) energy degradation and energy balance models (16,18).

Because no direct information on the energy distribution of the auroral particles is available, we base our estimates on the HST spectroscopy observations of Trafton et al. (19) with a corresponding spectral power index of -4 and a low-energy cutoff at 22 keV. Two situations may be analyzed: (i) A 1D numerical model provides an upper limit of the temperature, and (ii) a more realistic concept that includes the time response and horizontal transport of the 1D model by Wake (16) persisted for several hours at all auroral latitudes with infrared hot spot structures remaining over the initial zone of heating and significant winds generated as a result of the large energy input.

An additional consideration is the uncertainty in our knowledge of the spectrum of the incoming precipitating particles. The exospheric temperature result is quite sensitive to the low-energy portion of this spectrum because the precipitating particles deposit their energy at high altitudes (pressure levels of about 1 nbar) that are far removed from the hydrocarbon cooling layer. For example, for 215-eV electrons, energy influx on the order of 100 ergs cm⁻² s⁻¹ produces exospheric temperatures of ~10⁹ K. At these temperatures, the at-
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**Fig. 1.** Images of the Jovian aurora obtained at a wavelength of 153 nm with the HST FOC. The brightness of the disk background from solar UV radiation scattered by the atmosphere decreases from the mid-latitudes (lower left) to the polar region. The planetary limb is visible against dark space. (A) The first image of the first sequence (exposure 11). The aurora is visible near the limb and forms an ansa or loop near the (astronomical) eastern edge of the oval. (B) The third image (exposure 13) shows how the auroral distribution evolved in the 107 rain separating the two exposures.

**Table 2.** Local and integrated emission and energy flux.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>12</th>
<th>W1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maximum local count (counts per pixel)</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum apparent emission rate Lyman bands and continuum (MR)</td>
<td>&gt;3.6</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local energy flux (W m⁻²)</td>
<td>&gt;0.75</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total counts</td>
<td>18,100</td>
<td>5,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observed radiated power (H₂ bands) (10¹² W)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated precipitated power (electrons) (10¹² W)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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magnetosphere is no longer in hydrostatic equilibrium and literally blows off, creating a hydrogen corona. A very high temperature may help explain the highly Doppler-broadened Lyman α profiles that have been observed by IUE and more recently by HST (21). They may also explain why the aurora seems to often occur near the homopause level rather than in the thermosphere (where cooling by hydrocarbons is negligible), particularly at its brightest intensity (22). Indeed, the only stable situation in the case of energy input over 50 ergs cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ is when the altitude of the heating and cooling rates nearly coincide.

In the case of the previous exceptional Jovian auroral event observed with IUE, it was demonstrated that the auroral enhancement coincided with the arrival at Jupiter of a solar density disturbance, identified as a coronal mass ejection (5). Therefore, we searched for similar associations with the July 1993 event. The Ulysses spacecraft was at high heliographic latitude in July 1993, and the plasma data confirm that it was out of the streamer belt at the time of our observations (23). Therefore, the Ulysses data are not useful in determining the solar wind conditions at Jupiter during the event.

The characteristic time of the observed brightness variation was less than 20 hours. This factor, together with the auroral location at high latitudes (30 R$_J$), indicates that the origin of auroral particles is not directly connected to the lo plasma torus (6 R$_J$). Rather, they appear to originate from the more distant (middle) magnetosphere and may be linked to field-aligned currents, observed during the Ulysses encounter with the jovian magnetosphere, associated with a high-latitude auroral arc observed at the Lyman α wavelength with the HST (24). It is interesting to compare the auroral processes at Jupiter with the better documented and understood terrestrial counterpart. The above arguments (high latitude, short time scale) suggest field-aligned current-driven auroral precipitations analogous to the terrestrial discrete aurora.

The strongly enhanced auroral emission reported here was observed on dayside field lines and was essentially fixed in magnetic longitude, not in local time. Longitudinally fixed auroral forms are consistent with the dominance of the corotational convective flow within the jovian magnetosphere. In the inner magnetosphere (<22 R$_J$), the plasma motion is dominated by the corotation electric field generated by the rapid rotation of the jovian atmosphere-ionosphere. Outside of this distance (20 R$_J$), the plasma acceleration time becomes longer than the plasma outflow time. This suggests a decoupling of the ionosphere from the magnetosphere, that is, a departure from rigid corotation (25). This presents the possibility that reconnection processes near the magnetopause may produce localized solar wind convection cells in the outer magnetosphere, which may result in shears in the plasma flow near the plasma corotation boundary, in turn producing the field-aligned currents that are responsible for the high-latitude aurora. Evidence therefore suggests that auroral precipitations, similarly driven on Jupiter and the Earth by field-aligned currents, nevertheless originate from different mechanisms.
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This value can be considered as a lower limit because the slit path enhancement is reduced somewhat by smearing during the exposure. During a typical exposure of 15 min, the planet rotates by about 9° of longitude. The slit path enhancement is also reduced if the actual auroral structure is unresolved by the FOC. A resolution of about 0.1 arc sec implies that structures smaller than about 400 km are diluted. For comparison, the scale height near the homopause on Jupiter is likely to be about 100 km or so, and a degree of latitude at 60°N subtends about 600 km. We assumed that the auroral vertical emission rate can be represented by a Chapman profile, used the nominal value of 100 km for the atmospheric scale height and an auroral height of 500 km above the cloud tops, and accounted for the dilution caused by the spatial resolution of the FOC (but not for smearing from the rotation of Jupiter).

The bulk of the H Lyman emissions are emitted in bands near 160 and 161 nm and are optically thin in H Lyman. Because the northern auroral oval is offset from the rotational pole, the effect of the slit path brightening is reduced somewhat by smearing during the exposure. During a typical exposure of 15 min, the planer rotates by about 9° of longitude. The slit path enhancement is also reduced if the actual auroral structure is unresolved by the FOC. A resolution of about 0.1 arc sec implies that structures smaller than about 400 km are diluted. For comparison, the scale height near the homopause on Jupiter is likely to be about 100 km or so, and a degree of latitude at 60°N subtends about 600 km. We assumed that the auroral vertical emission rate can be represented by a Chapman profile, used the nominal value of 100 km for the atmospheric scale height and an auroral height of 500 km above the cloud tops, and accounted for the dilution caused by the spatial resolution of the FOC (but not for smearing from the rotation of Jupiter).

14 The value can be considered as a lower limit because the aberration point spread function of the telescope and the blurring effect of the planetary rotation spreads the light of a point source over many pixels and decreases the apparent emission rate of localized emission. A rough estimate of this effect may be obtained from the deconvolved (Wiener) version of Fig. 2A, although this method does not necessarily provide correct photometric values. The brightest region in this case reaches 10^5 R of H Lyman emission.


18 The results of the 1 W m^-2 aurora excited by electrons with the Ulysses energy spectrum imply an H Lyman production rate exceeding 2 × 10^-11 cm^-2 s^-1 with a peak production expected near the 1 bar pressure level and a peak H Lyman density on the order of 10^6 cm^-3. The corresponding heating rate also peaks at this pressure with a vertically integrated heat flux of over 450 ergs cm^-2 s^-1. The heating rate profile calculated from this model can be combined with recent cooling rate calculations (scaled so that the vertically integrated cooling rate equals the vertically integrated heating rate and peaks at a pressure level of 1 bar, just above the methane homopause, that is, close to space approximation). These values were used as inputs in a 1D thermal conduction equation (26) to estimate the auroral thermal profile.

