Production of NO₂ from Photolysis of Peroxyacetyl Nitrate
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Peroxyacetyl nitrate (PAN) vapor was photolyzed at 248 nm, and the NO₂ photoproduction was detected by laser-induced fluorescence. The quantum yield for the production of NO₂ from PAN photolysis was determined by comparison to HNO₃ photolysis data taken under identical experimental conditions. The average of data collected over a range of total pressures, precursor concentrations, and buffer gases was 0.83 ± 0.09 for the NO₂ quantum yield, where the statistical uncertainty is 2 standard deviations.

Introduction

Peroxyacetyl nitrate or PAN, CH₃C(O)OONO₂, is a well-known and important component of the atmosphere. It was first detected in urban photochemical smog in the early 1960s. Subsequent field observations and laboratory studies have concluded that PAN is primarily formed in situ from reactions of NO₂ with oxidation products of small nonmethane hydrocarbons. PAN has received much attention in the literature because it is a known eye irritant and phytotoxin in polluted urban air and serves as a long range transport vehicle of NOx. PAN has been detected globally from ground level to 10 km altitude at concentrations that are significant relative to the total NOx budget. In the lower troposphere the concentration of PAN is controlled by thermal decomposition, which is strongly temperature dependent. At higher altitudes, PAN has a long thermal lifetime and other loss mechanisms become important. Because of the resistance of PAN to chemical attack by scavengers such as OH and Cl the stability against heterogeneous reaction, photoysis is the dominant sink for PAN at altitudes greater than approximately 7 km.

Although the ultraviolet absorption cross sections of PAN have been measured by several investigators with better than 10% precision from 200 to 300 nm, there are no experimental measurements of the product yields. Current data assessments employed in atmospheric models recommend only one PAN photolysis channel, namely,

\[ \text{PAN} + hv \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{O} + \text{NO}_2, \ \lambda_T = 990 \pm 240 \text{ nm} \]  

(1a)

where the threshold wavelength is at 298 K. The low threshold for dissociation allows for the possibility of large amounts of internal energy disposal in the products. If the NO₂ product from channel 1a is sufficiently excited, it may undergo secondary dissociation, forming NO and O.

\[ \text{PAN} + hv \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{O} + \text{NO} + \text{O}(^3\text{P}), \ \lambda_T = 281 \pm 35 \text{ nm} \]  

(1b)

\[ \text{PAN} + hv \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{O} + \text{NO} + \text{O}(^3\text{D}), \ \lambda_T = 195 \pm 15 \text{ nm} \]  

(1c)

Other energetically accessible channels for the ultraviolet photolysis of PAN can be proposed. One channel that is direct, and nearly isoenergetic with channel 1a, is

\[ \text{PAN} + hv \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{O} + \text{NO}_3, \ \lambda_T = 1025 \pm 215 \text{ nm} \]  

(2a)

The energy thresholds for the related reactions involving secondary dissociation of the NO₃ are

\[ \text{PAN} + hv \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{O} + \text{NO}_2 + \text{O}(^3\text{P}), \ \lambda_T = 375 \pm 30 \text{ nm} \]  

(2b)

\[ \text{PAN} + hv \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{O} + \text{NO}_2 + \text{O}(^3\text{D}), \ \lambda_T = 235 \pm 10 \text{ nm} \]  

(2c)

PAN may also dissociate through concerted reactions, such as

\[ \text{PAN} + hv \rightarrow \text{CH}_3 + \text{CO}_2 + \text{NO}_3, \ \lambda_T = 1395 \pm 340 \text{ nm} \]  

(3a)

\[ \text{PAN} + hv \rightarrow \text{CH}_3 + \text{CO}_2 + \text{NO}_2 + \text{O}(^1\text{P}), \ \lambda_T = 415 \pm 30 \text{ nm} \]  

(3b)

\[ \text{PAN} + hv \rightarrow \text{CH}_3 + \text{CO}_2 + \text{NO}_2 + \text{O}(^1\text{D}), \ \lambda_T = 250 \pm 10 \text{ nm} \]  

(3c)

or

\[ \text{PAN} + hv \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O}) + \text{O}_2 + \text{NO}_2, \ \lambda_T = 445 \pm 35 \text{ nm} \]  

(4a)

\[ \text{PAN} + hv \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O}) + \text{O}_2 + \text{NO} + \text{O}(^1\text{P}), \ \lambda_T = 209 \pm 10 \text{ nm} \]  

(4b)

\[ \text{PAN} + hv \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O}) + \text{O}_2 + \text{NO} + \text{O}(^1\text{D}), \ \lambda_T = 157 \pm 3 \text{ nm} \]  

(4c)

Several exothermic dissociation channels may also be conceived which require the rearrangement and breaking of several bonds. Examples include

\[ \text{PAN} \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{O} + \text{CO}_2 + \text{NO}_2, \ \Delta H_{\text{rxn}} = -20 \pm 5 \text{ kcal/mol} \]  

(5)
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The PAN samples were prepared by the method of Gaffney et al.²⁸ In accordance with this procedure the synthesized PAN was extracted from an acidic aqueous solution into n-tridecane (C₁₃H₂₈). The organic solution was then washed with 0 °C water three times to remove water soluble impurities. The PAN vapor was introduced into the photolysis cell by one of two methods. The organic solution was used directly as a source of gaseous PAN by transferring it to a reservoir and bubbling the buffer gas through the sample which was kept in a 0 °C ice bath. Because of the negligible vapor pressure of tridecane compared to PAN, this method provided essentially pure PAN in the gas phase. Fourier transform infrared spectra taken of the gaseous samples confirmed that the fraction of PAN in the vapor was greater than 98%, in agreement with previous investigators.²⁸,²⁹ For some trials, multiple samples of pure PAN were collected by passing the vapor from the tridecane solution through a reservoir immersed in liquid nitrogen. The solid PAN, now containing only a trace amount of tridecane, was used as the sample for photolysis experiments. Appropriate precautions were taken in handling the pure PAN samples to protect against possible explosive decomposition. During photolysis experiments the pure PAN samples were maintained at a temperature of either -48 °C using a n-hexanol slush or approximately -20 °C using an aqueous CaCl₂ slush. The PAN was found to be quite stable at these temperatures. The advantages of the second operation were that (1) large quantities of PAN could be synthesized and collected at one time providing a longer lasting batch and (2) a more stable PAN vapor pressure was maintained compared to direct extraction from the tridecane. Both methods yielded identical results within the experimental error.

The vapor pressure of the PAN was determined by optical absorption through a 50 cm absorption cell. The 214 nm line of a Zn lamp was employed as the light source, and the total pressure in the absorption cell was varied between 5 and 40 Torr. The optical cross sections for PAN have substantial more uncertainty as compared to HNO₃. An average of experimental results from three independent studies was utilized to convert the absorbance to a concentration.¹⁷,²¹,²² As will be discussed further in the Uncertainty Analysis part of the Results section, the magnitude of the absolute concentrations of PAN will vary, depending on which experimental sets of cross sections are utilized; however, the measured relative quantum yield of NO₂ production is independent of whichever PAN absorption spectrum is employed in the analysis. As an additional test to confirm our ability to accurately measure the concentration of PAN, absorption measurements were also made at 254 nm. The calculated concentrations at the two wavelengths agreed well, however, the 214 nm determination was preferred because the optical density of the PAN at this wavelength closely matched that of the HNO₃ at 254 nm using the same absorption cell path length.

The concentrations of the gases in the quartz photolysis cell were reduced relative to those in the upstream absorption cell by injection of additional buffer gas in a mixing manifold located between the cells. The concentration of HNO₃ in the photolysis cell ranged between (0.1–45.0) × 10⁻⁴ cm⁻³ and that of PAN was varied between (0.5–5.0) × 10⁻⁴ cm⁻³. In all experiments, the partial pressures of the precursors were maintained low enough that the fluorescence quenching was controlled solely by the carrier gas.³⁰ The relative optical densities of PAN and HNO₃ in the photolysis cell were maintained within approximately an order of magnitude of each other. The total pressures in the photolysis cell ranged from 1 to 16 Torr. Depending on total pressure, the flow rate into the cell was

\[
\text{PAN} \xrightarrow{hv} \text{CH}_3\text{O}_2 + \text{CO}_2 + \text{NO}_3, \quad \Delta H_{\text{rxn}} = -6 \pm 5 \text{ kcal/mol (6)}
\]

where \(\Delta H_{\text{rxn}}\) is at 298 K. The large uncertainties in the energetics of many of the above channels are a consequence of imprecision in the experimental heats of formation of CH₃C-(O)O (-52 ± 3 kcal/mol), CH₃C(O)O₂ (-41 ± 5 kcal/mol), and PAN (-62 ± 5 kcal/mol).²³-²⁷

Because PAN has a structureless ultraviolet absorption spectrum, one expects the photodissociation pathways to be direct. Consequently, channels 5 and 6 and other mechanisms which require rearrangement of an excited intermediate prior to dissociation are not anticipated to be primary photolysis routes. In the Earth's atmosphere some of the photodissociation pathways lead effectively to the same products due to rapid secondary chemistry. For instance, the acetyl radical produced in channel 4 will rapidly combine with O₂ to form the same organic product, peroxo acetyl radical, as obtained from channel 1. In addition, the unstable CH₃C(O)O product of channel 2 will decompose into CO₂ and CH₃, yielding the same products as channel 3.²⁴ On account of these pathways, the atmospheric roles of the various photolytic channels reduce to two general possibilities. First, if the photodissociation is through channel 1a or 4a, NO₂ and the peroxy acetyl radical are ultimately regenerated. Since PAN is largely formed in the atmosphere from the three-body combination of these two products, this reaction pathway results in a chemical null cycle and the role of PAN at higher altitudes is solely as a reservoir and transport vehicle of nitrogen oxides. On the other hand, if the photolysis is through other channels, especially channel 2a, new chemical pathways arise which produce odd oxygen. In particular, the NO₃ formed will dissociate into NO₂ and O, either by solar photolysis or by unimolecular dissociation of the excited product, and the organic photoproducts will decompose to CO₂ and CH₃. Since the oxidation of the methyl radical also produces odd oxygen, both the organic and nitrogen containing photoproducts will affect the ozone budget.

In this work we have investigated NO₂ production from the photolysis of PAN at 248 nm. The NO₂ was detected by laser-induced fluorescence (LIF). By comparison to the NO₂ production from the photolysis of HNO₃ under identical experimental conditions, we have obtained a relative quantum yield for the production of NO₂. The implications of these results for atmospheric PAN chemistry will be discussed.

**Experimental Section**

A schematic of the experimental apparatus is shown in Figure 1. Gaseous samples of HNO₃ and PAN were introduced into the flowing system by passing a carrier gas (He, Ar, N₂, or O₂) through a Pyrex reservoir containing PAN or HNO₃. In the case of HNO₃ the reservoir contained a neat solution of nitric acid, prepared by collecting the vacuum distillate of a 50:50 by volume mixture of 95% H₂SO₄ with NaN₃O₃. The HNO₃ was maintained at 0 °C by placing the sample in an ice bath.

The HNO₃ vapor concentration was determined by optical absorption at 254 nm in a 50 cm long absorption cell upstream of the photolysis cell. The pressure in the HNO₃ reservoir and absorption cell (25–150 Torr) was adjusted using a Teflon needle valve located between the absorption cell and the photolysis cell. The pressure in the HNO₃ reservoir and absorption cell (25-150 Torr) was adjusted using a Teflon needle valve located between the absorption cell and the photolysis cell (25-150 Torr) was adjusted using a Teflon needle valve located between the absorption cell and the photolysis cell. The concentration of HNO₃ in the photolysis cell was varied between 5 and 40 Torr. The optical cross sections for PAN have substantial more uncertainty as compared to HNO₃. An average of experimental results from three independent studies was utilized to convert the absorbance to a concentration.¹⁷,²¹,²² As will be discussed further in the Uncertainty Analysis part of the Results section, the magnitude of the absolute concentrations of PAN will vary, depending on which experimental sets of cross sections are utilized; however, the measured relative quantum yield of NO₂ production is independent of whichever PAN absorption spectrum is employed in the analysis. As an additional test to confirm our ability to accurately measure the concentration of PAN, absorption measurements were also made at 254 nm. The calculated concentrations at the two wavelengths agreed well, however, the 214 nm determination was preferred because the optical density of the PAN at this wavelength closely matched that of the HNO₃ at 254 nm using the same absorption cell path length.

The concentrations of the gases in the quartz photolysis cell were reduced relative to those in the upstream absorption cell by injection of additional buffer gas in a mixing manifold located between the cells. The concentration of HNO₃ in the photolysis cell ranged between (0.1–45.0) × 10⁻⁴ cm⁻³ and that of PAN was varied between (0.5–5.0) × 10⁻⁴ cm⁻³. In all experiments, the partial pressures of the precursors were maintained low enough that the fluorescence quenching was controlled solely by the carrier gas.³⁰ The relative optical densities of PAN and HNO₃ in the photolysis cell were maintained within approximately an order of magnitude of each other. The total pressures in the photolysis cell ranged from 1 to 16 Torr. Depending on total pressure, the flow rate into the cell was
maintained between 250 and 4000 sccm which was adequate to remove photoproducts from the detector viewing zone between photolysis laser shots.

The weakly focused output of an excimer laser (Questek Model 2240) operating at 248 nm and at a pulse repetition rate of 30 Hz was used to photolyze the precursors. The energy density ranged from 35 to 75 mJ/cm² per pulse and was monitored with a Joule meter (Scientech P50). Under these conditions the photolytic signal was observed to be linear with laser pulse energy. Typically 25 000–50 000 laser shots were averaged for one experiment.

A pulsed copper vapor laser (CVL: Oxford model CU15A) operating at an adjustable repetition rate between 10 to 20 kHz was utilized for LIF detection of NO₂. The 578 nm output from the CVL was eliminated by passage of the beam through two short wavelength pass filters. The transmitted 511 nm line was directed through a telescope to reduce the diameter of the beam to approximately 0.5 cm. The weakly convergent beam was then sent to the photolysis cell. The output power of the laser was monitored and found to vary less than 1% over the course of an experimental run.

The LIF signal was detected by a cooled photomultiplier tube (PMT: Burle C31034-02) that was oriented perpendicular to the laser beam axes. The LIF signal was amplified, discriminated, and counted on a multichannel scalar card (MCS: Canberra Accuspec) configured with bin widths of either 5 or 10 μs. A 550 nm long pass filter was placed in front of the PMT to block excimer and CVL laser scatter. An LIF detection sensitivity of approximately $3 \times 10^9$ NO₂ cm⁻³ was achieved with signal averaging of 25 000 CVL pulses.

The timing and synchronization of the two lasers were controlled by a high-frequency master clock (10–20 kHz), a frequency divider circuit, and two delay generators. The master clock pulses were used to trigger the CVL, and the appropriately delayed low-frequency (30 Hz) outputs of the frequency divider were used to trigger the excimer and the MCS data collection. This arrangement allowed for selection of a wide range of delay times between the excimer laser pulse, the copper vapor laser pulse, and the signal collection.

**Results**

**Experimental Observations.** Fluorescence data following photolysis of PAN and HNO₃ were collected at total pressures from 1 to 16 Torr in four different carrier gases: He, Ar, N₂, and O₂. The high repetition frequency of the probe laser resulted in acquisition of an LIF data point every 50 to 100 μs, depending on selected CVL repetition rate. The rapid temporal sampling of the NO₂ produced very well resolved profiles of NO₂ loss (i.e., diffusion and flow) from the viewing zone. In Figure 2A raw data from HNO₃ photolysis in 3.5 Torr of Ar are shown. The probe laser was operating at 10 kHz, and the LIF signal is clearly seen above the more rapidly decaying background noise, which originates from cell and filter fluorescence induced by the excimer laser. The background noise was removed from the data, and the residual LIF signal from the probe laser is shown in Figure 2B. The line in Figure 2B is a fourth-order polynomial regression to guide the eye. The temporal profile of the probe signal was analyzed until the NO₂ photoproduction completely left the detection viewing zone, at which time the LIF signal was constant and finite due to background NO₂ from slight decomposition of the precursors (HNO₃ and PAN) and leakage of the probe laser light through the detection filters. The background probe signal was removed from the data to obtain the actual signal from photolysis. The error bars in Figure 2 represent the statistical (photon) noise of the data.
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Figure 2. (A) Raw data from the photolysis of 2.9 x 10$^5$ HNO$_3$ cm$^{-1}$ in 3.5 Torr of Ar. The signal from 16 000 excimer shots was summed to obtain this temporal profile. The densely spaced lower trace is from cell and filter fluorescence induced by the excimer. The upper trace is LIF from the probe laser superimposed on the background noise. (B) The background noise from the excimer is removed from the data in part A. The residual signal is the LIF solely due to the probe laser. The signal consists of three components: (1) NO$_2$ generated from HNO$_3$ photolysis, (2) NO$_2$ from slight HNO$_3$ decomposition, and (3) probe laser scatter through the optical filters.

Under otherwise identical experimental conditions, the loss rates of the LIF signals generated from PAN and HNO$_3$ were the same. This is illustrated in Figure 3A, where all sources of background signal have been removed from the data. In order to determine the relative production of NO$_2$ from each of the precursors, absolute signals from each, at set delays between pump and probe pulses, were ratioed, as shown in Figure 3B, and an average of these independent values yielded the relative yield of NO$_2$. The normalization of this average to the initial optical densities of the precursors converts this quantity to a relative quantum yield. Details are presented in the next section. Typically, data were averaged from the first probe pulse after the photolysis, $t \leq 50 \mu$s, to approximately 1500 $\mu$s after the photolysis to determine the average relative signal. Because of the rapid loss of the NO$_2$ photoproducts at early time, the "point by point" analysis, shown in Figure 3B, results in better precision than an analysis based on extrapolating the LIF temporal profile back to the time of the pump pulse and comparing the calculated nascent signals.

The best LIF signal to noise ratios were obtained with Ar as a carrier, due to its less efficient fluorescence quenching compared to O$_2$ and N$_2$. Although Helium has an electronic quenching rate coefficient similar to Argon, its diffusion rate is nearly 4 times as great. The rapid diffusion characteristic limited the temporal range over which useful LIF data could be obtained in He, except at higher total pressures, i.e., >10 Torr, where increased fluorescence quenching became the major limitation in all the buffer gases. Relaxation rates of excited
Figure 3. (A) NO₂ LIF signal vs time is shown for photolysis of similar optical densities of PAN (3.2 × 10⁻⁴ cm⁻¹) and HNO₃ (1.0 × 10⁻⁴ cm⁻¹) in 7 Torr of O₂. The decay of the signal is largely due to diffusion. Solid lines through the data are biexponential fits to the data which provide a visual guide. (B) Relative signal strengths of NO₂ fluorescence from PAN and HNO₃. The average of the relative signal from data taken between 0 and 1400 μs after the excimer pulse is 1.50 ± 0.30, where the error bar is two standard deviations in experimental precision. The employment of eq 9 converts the signal ratio to a quantum yield of 0.75 ± 0.15.

NO₂ in the presence of different colliders has been discussed previously. Under all conditions, loss of NO₂ by secondary chemical reactions was negligible.

Our previous investigations of HNO₃ photolysis at 248 nm revealed that the nascent NO₂ produced from HNO₃ is internally excited. Most of the NO₂ is produced in the A(2B₂) and B(2B₁) states and undergoes rapid relaxation through the higher vibrational levels of the X(2A₁) state. On the basis of observations of the early time profile of the fluorescence from PAN, we also conclude that the majority of the nascent NO₂ from PAN photolysis is also electronically excited. At total pressures below 3 Torr, this excitation complicated the early time interpretation of the LIF signal in all of the buffer gases except for O₂ whose vibrational collisional quenching of NO₂ in the X(2A₁) state is markedly more efficient. This internal excitation, combined with faster diffusional loss of the NO₂, hindered data acquisition at the lower pressures. A consideration of effects associated with diffusion, fluorescence quenching, and collisional deactivation of the hot nascent NO₂ indicated that the maximum signal to noise ratio was achieved at a total pressure of approximately 7 Torr. Consequently, the majority of the data was taken at this pressure.

Quantum Yield Determination Methodology. The nascent NO₂ concentration, [NO₂]₁, produced from monochromatic photolysis of a precursor gas, i, may be expressed by the following:

\[ [NO₂]_1 = A \phi_i^{NO₂}(\lambda_{photo})[i] \sigma(\lambda_{photo}) \]  (7)

where \( \lambda_{photo} \) is the photolysis wavelength. The NO₂ quantum yield, \( \phi_i^{NO₂} \), is defined as the ratio of the total number of NO₂ molecules produced relative to the number of photons absorbed by the precursor, i, which has an initial concentration, [i], and optical cross section, \( \sigma(\lambda_{photo}) \). The quantity A is a detection response function and depends on the energies of both the pump and probe lasers, the photon detection geometry, and, in the case of fluorescence detection, the extent of collisional quenching. The direct determination of A is, in general, very difficult, largely due to the spatial inhomogeneities of the energy in the laser beams. For this reason, a relative measurement scheme
was utilized in order to determine the quantum yield of NO₂ production from PAN photolysis.

The photolysis of a reference gas whose optical cross section and NO₂ quantum yield are known may be employed as a calibrant in determining the quantum yield of the molecule of interest. By measuring the relative NO₂ production from these two species, under otherwise identical experimental conditions, the quantum yield for the gas under study is obtained without the direct need for knowledge of $\Lambda$. The photolysis of HNO₃ was chosen as a calibrant to determine the quantum yield of NO₂ from PAN. The relative NO₂ concentrations produced from each of the experiments is related to the quantum yield by the following expression:

$$\frac{[\text{NO}_2]_{\text{PAN}}}{[\text{NO}_2]_{\text{HNO}_3}} = \frac{S_{\text{PAN}}(\tau)}{S_{\text{HNO}_3}(\tau)} = \frac{\phi_{\text{PAN}}(\lambda_{\text{photo}}) S_{\text{PAN}}(\tau)}{\phi_{\text{HNO}_3}(\lambda_{\text{photo}}) S_{\text{HNO}_3}(\tau)} \frac{[\text{PAN}]}{[\text{HNO}_3]} \frac{\sigma_{\text{PAN}}(\lambda_{\text{photo}})}{\sigma_{\text{HNO}_3}(\lambda_{\text{photo}})}$$

(8)

where $\Lambda$ has now been eliminated by ratioing the two. In eq 8, $S(\tau)$ is the fluorescent signal from each precursor at time, $\tau$, after photolysis. Rearrangement gives

$$\phi_{\text{PAN}}(\lambda_{\text{photo}}) = \frac{\phi_{\text{HNO}_3}(\lambda_{\text{photo}}) S_{\text{PAN}}(\tau)}{S_{\text{HNO}_3}(\tau)} \frac{[\text{HNO}_3]}{[\text{PAN}]} \frac{\sigma_{\text{HNO}_3}(\lambda_{\text{photo}})}{\sigma_{\text{PAN}}(\lambda_{\text{photo}})}$$

(9)

Equation 9 is the fundamental equation to convert the raw data to a quantum yield. In eq 9 the experimental observables are the relative concentrations and the relative signals. If these quantities are plotted against one another, the slope is proportional to the relative quantum yield. An example of this analysis is shown in Figure 4, where each data point was obtained by the analysis illustrated in Figure 3. In order to convert this slope into a relative quantum yield, the optical cross sections of each precursor at both the photolysis and, in the present case, absorption wavelengths must be known. LIF signals were observed at sufficiently long times following the excimer pulse that the all the NO₂ produced from both HNO₃ and PAN photolysis was fully vibrationally relaxed. Plots of the type shown in Figure 4 generally showed small $y$-intercepts. Although eq 9 suggests that the $y$-intercepts should be zero, least-squares slopes were used to derive the quantum yields because the intercepts were randomly distributed and the contribution of the nonzero intercepts to the uncertainty were negligible compared with the random error.

**Uncertainty Analysis.** The ultraviolet optical cross sections for PAN have been independently measured by several investigators. Senum et al. recorded the absorption spectrum from 200 to 300 nm, and values were tabulated every 5 nm with an assigned uncertainty of 8%. An interpolated fit of their data yields values of $(12.0 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-20}$ cm² molecule⁻¹ and $(123 \pm 10) \times 10^{-20}$ cm² molecule⁻¹ at 248 and 214 nm, respectively, which are the wavelengths employed in the present study for photolysis and concentration determination by absorption. Slightly larger magnitudes at these two wavelengths have been obtained recently by Talukdar et al., (14.6 ± 0.7) × 10⁻²⁰ and (143 ± 7) × 10⁻²⁰ cm² molecule⁻¹ and Libuda and Zabel, (14.6 × 10⁻²⁰ and 145 × 10⁻²⁰ cm² molecule⁻¹ (uncertainties for these values were not reported). Although the magnitude of the absolute cross sections differ between the earlier work of Senum et al. and the two more recent observations, the values of the relative cross sections agree well: the ratios of the reported cross section at 214 nm to that of 248 nm are 10.2 ± 1.2, 9.8 ± 0.7, and 9.9 for the results of Senum et al., Talukdar et al., and Libuda and Zabel, respectively. The accuracy of the relative measurements likely exceed those of the absolute ones because systematic uncertainties cancel. The average of the three cross-sectional data sets is

$$\frac{\sigma_{\text{PAN}}(\lambda_{\text{abs}} = 214 \text{ nm})}{\sigma_{\text{PAN}}(\lambda_{\text{abs}} = 248 \text{ nm})} = 10.0 \pm 0.4$$

(10)

where the uncertainty is 2 standard deviations in the average of the three data sets and the subscripts $\text{abs}$ and $\text{photo}$ refer to the absorption and photolysis wavelength, respectively.

In the present studies the initial precursor concentrations were obtained by measuring the optical absorbance at a particular absorption wavelength, $A(\lambda_{\text{abs}})$, i.e.,

$$A(\lambda_{\text{abs}}) = \sigma(\lambda_{\text{abs}}) L[i]$$

(11)
where \( L \) is the cell path length. Replacing the concentration terms in eq 9 with the actual observable, the absorbance, yields

\[
\phi_{\text{PAN}}(\lambda_{\text{photo}}) = \frac{\phi_{\text{HNO}_3}(\lambda_{\text{photo}})}{\phi_{\text{HNO}_3}(\lambda_{\text{abs}})} = \frac{\sigma_{\text{PAN}}(\lambda_{\text{photo}}) A_{\text{PAN}}(\lambda_{\text{photo}})}{\sigma_{\text{HNO}_3}(\lambda_{\text{photo}}) A_{\text{PAN}}(\lambda_{\text{abs}})}
\]

(12)

Because the same absorption cell was employed in the measurement of the absorbance of each precursor, the cell path length cancels out of the expression. The use of different absorption wavelengths for PAN and HNO\(_3\) are distinguished by an asterisk in eq 12.

The absorption cross sections are better established for HNO\(_3\) than for PAN. The results of Johnston and Graham,\(^{33}\) Biaume,\(^{34}\) and Molina and Molina\(^{35}\) all agree well at 248 and 254 nm. Biaume reported cross sections of 1.98 \( \times 10^{-20} \) and 1.95 \( \times 10^{-20} \) cm\(^2\) molecule\(^{-1}\) at the Hg lines at 248.48 and 253.65 nm, respectively. These values were used for the analysis of our data. The estimated error of the HNO\(_3\) cross sections at these wavelengths is 1%. These values result in the following ratio, where the uncertainty is 2 standard deviations,

\[
\frac{\sigma_{\text{HNO}_3}(\lambda_{\text{photo}})}{\sigma_{\text{HNO}_3}(\lambda_{\text{abs}})} = 1.02 \pm 0.03
\]

(13)

Incorporating eqs 10 and 13 into eq 12 yields

\[
\phi_{\text{PAN}}(\lambda_{\text{photo}}) = (10.2 \pm 0.5) \phi_{\text{HNO}_3}(\lambda_{\text{photo}}) \frac{A_{\text{PAN}}(\lambda_{\text{photo}})}{A_{\text{PAN}}(\lambda_{\text{abs}})}
\]

(14)

The relative quantum yield from the photolyses of PAN and HNO\(_3\) has now been put into a functional form which is dependent on the experimental observables. The only remaining scaling quantity to convert the data to an absolute yield is \( \phi_{\text{HNO}_3}(\lambda_{\text{photo}}) \).

The photolysis of HNO\(_3\) has been studied at a variety of wavelengths.\(^{36-41}\) At a photolysis wavelength of 248 nm the following four channels are energetically allowed:

\[
\text{HNO}_3 + h\nu \rightarrow \text{NO}_2 + \text{OH}, \quad \lambda_T \leq 601 \text{ nm} \quad (15)
\]

\[
- \text{O}^{3}\text{P} + \text{HONO}, \quad \lambda_T \leq 400 \text{ nm} \quad (16)
\]

\[
- \text{H}^{(2)}\text{S} + \text{NO}_3, \quad \lambda_T \leq 286 \text{ nm} \quad (17)
\]

\[
- \text{H}^{(2)}\text{S} + \text{NO} + \text{O}_2, \quad \lambda_T \leq 278 \text{ nm} \quad (18)
\]

where the threshold wavelengths are given at 0 K. No H atom production has been detected from HNO\(_3\) photolysis over the wavelength range from 193 to 266 nm,\(^{36,37}\) indicating that both channels 17 and 18 are insignificant dissociation pathways at 248 nm; however, channels 15 and 16 are both active ultraviolet photolysis channels for HNO\(_3\) with branching ratios that are strongly wavelength dependent. In the recent work of Turnipseed \textit{et al.},\(^{37}\) which employed a relative quantum yield determination scheme similar to the present approach for PAN, values of \( \phi_{\text{HNO}_3}^\text{OH} \) (and \( \phi_{\text{PAN}}^\text{OH} \)) were reported to be 0.95 ± 0.06 (0.05 ± 0.009), 0.90 ± 0.11 (0.20 ± 0.03), and 0.33 ± 0.09 (0.81 ± 0.13) at 248, 222, and 193 nm, respectively. Schifffman \textit{et al.}\(^{41}\) also observed a decrease in \( \phi_{\text{HNO}_3}^\text{OH} \) at lower photolysis wave-lengths, obtaining values of 0.75 ± 0.10 and 0.47 ± 0.06 at 248 and 193 nm, respectively.

Using stable end product analysis, Johnston \textit{et al.}\(^{38}\) concluded that the quantum yield of channel 15 is unity over the wavelength range 200–300 nm. Also, in a direct quantum yield determination, Jolly \textit{et al.}\(^{39}\) measured \( \phi_{\text{HNO}_3}^\text{OH} = 0.89 \pm 0.08 \). Taken together, these investigations indicate that the lowest energy photodissociation pathway, channel 15, is the dominant pathway at 248 nm and channel 16 becomes important possibly at 222 nm and certainly by 193 nm. Except for the results of Schiffman \textit{et al.},\(^{41}\) these studies also suggest \( \phi_{\text{HNO}_3}^\text{OH} = 1 \).

In the analysis of the present study we assume, on the basis of the majority of the literature results, a unity quantum yield for channel 15 at 248 nm. The retrieved quantum yield from PAN is, therefore, an upper limit to the actual value. Future refinements in the HNO\(_3\) quantum yield values will require appropriate scaling of the PAN results. The summary of data obtained over a range of total pressures, carrier gases, and concentrations of PAN is shown in Table 1. A variance weighted average of the relative product yields listed in Table 1 is 0.83 ± 0.08, where the quoted uncertainty is two standard deviations in data precision. When the uncertainties in the ratio of the PAN optical cross sections at the absorption and photolysis wavelengths are incorporated into the result the error increases slightly to ±0.09.

**Discussion**

In the analysis of our data we have assumed a unity quantum yield for production of NO\(_2\) from HNO\(_3\) photolysis at 248 nm. This assumption is supported by results of several previous investigations. However, recent results of Schiffman \textit{et al.}\(^{41}\) point to a significantly lower quantum yield. Employment of these latter results would substantially lower our calculated \( \phi_{\text{PAN}}^\text{NO}_2 \) (248 nm) to a value of 0.62 ± 0.11. As an internal test, we conducted a few photolysis experiments with CIONO\(_2\) at 248 nm and compared the signal strengths with HNO\(_3\) photolysis data taken under the same conditions. Referencing the relative signals to the recently published literature value of \( \phi_{\text{CIONO}_2}^\text{NO}_2 \) (248 nm)\(^{42}\) leads to better consistency if \( \phi_{\text{CIONO}_2}^\text{NO}_2 \) (248 nm) is assumed to be unity rather than 0.75, as reported by Schiffmann \textit{et al.} Consequently, these conclusions support the results of Turnipseed \textit{et al.}\(^{37}\) and others\(^{38,39}\) which imply a unit quantum yield of NO\(_2\) from HNO\(_3\) photolysis at 248 nm. However, the CIONO\(_2\) photochemical system is complex and further quantitative measurements of HNO\(_3\) photolysis products are warranted in order to resolve this discrepancy.

The analysis of the present data suggests that NO\(_2\) is the major nitrogen-containing product from PAN photolysis at 248 nm;
Production of NO₂ from Photolysis of Peroxyacetyl Nitrate

however, because of large experimental uncertainties we cannot preclude the possibility of other nitrogen-containing photoproducts, such as NO₃ from a direct mechanism (channels 2a or 3a) or NO formed from the secondary dissociation of internally excited NO₂ (channels 1b). The mechanism(s) for NO₂ generation from 248 nm PAN photolysis can be direct (channels 1a or 4a) and/or indirect from secondary dissociation of NO₂ (channels 2b, 3b, or 3c). Although the present data cannot unambiguously resolve which of these channels give rise to the NO₂, we consider channels 1a and 4a, where one and two bonds are broken, respectively, to be the most likely photolysis pathways. Both 1a and 4a are expected to produce the same atmospheric effect, because the acetyl radical produced in 4a will rapidly recombine with molecular oxygen to form peroxy acetyl radical which is the organic product of 1a. Moreover, the formation of NO₂ as the nitrogen-containing photoproduct of these reaction channels represents a net chemical null cycle for PAN. Consequently, the major role of PAN in the stratosphere and upper troposphere is as a long range transport vehicle for NO₂.

We consider other NO₂ forming mechanisms to be unlikely. Production of NO₂ from the secondary dissociation of the NO₂ product from channels 2 and 3 requires that the NO₂ be substantially internally excited. This requirement is not simultaneously compatible with our finding that the NO₂ product from PAN photolysis at 248 nm contains a significant amount of internal energy. In order for the NO₂ to be electronically excited, as is observed, it must have energy in excess of approximately 10 000 cm⁻¹. The lower limit of the total energy necessary to form electronically excited NO₂ by channels 2b and 3b is 105 kcal/mol and 97.8 kcal/mol, respectively. Since the energy of the 248 nm photon is 115.3 kcal/mol, this leaves less than 20 kcal/mol of energy available for internal excitation of the other photoproducts and for product translational energy. An investigation of the photolysis of methyl nitrate at 248 nm, a molecule similar to PAN, has shown that an average of 23 kcal/mol is deposited into product translational energy and between 47 to 72 kcal/mol of energy is in the internal energy of the photoproducts. Similar energy disposal was observed in the photolysis of chlorite nitrate, another closely related molecule. On the basis of these results we conclude on energetic grounds that the NO₂ is being produced directly from either channel 1a or 4a and NO₂ production from secondary dissociation of NO₂ is highly unlikely.
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