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Abstract

This paper presents a simple universal parameterization of total reaction cross sections for any system of colliding nuclei that is valid for the entire energy range from a few AMeV to a few AGeV. The universal picture presented here treats proton-nucleus collision as a special case of nucleus-nucleus collision, where the projectile has charge and mass number of one. The parameters are associated with the physics of the collision system. In general terms, Coulomb interaction modifies cross sections at lower energies, and the effects of Pauli blocking are important at higher energies. The agreement between the calculated and experimental data is better than all earlier published results.

Introduction

Transportation of energetic ions in bulk matter is of direct interest in several areas (ref. 1), including shielding against ions originating from either space radiations or terrestrial accelerators, cosmic ray propagation studies in a galactic medium, or radiobiological effects resulting from the workplace or clinical exposures. For carcinogenesis, terrestrial radiation therapy, and radiobiological research, knowledge of the beam composition and interactions is necessary to properly evaluate the effects on human and animal tissues. For proper assessment of radiation exposures, both reliable transport codes and accurate input parameters are needed.

One such important input is the total reaction ($\sigma_R$) cross section, defined as the total ($\sigma_T$) minus the elastic ($\sigma_{el}$) cross sections for two colliding ions:

$$\sigma_R = \sigma_T - \sigma_{el} \tag{1}$$

In view of its importance, the total reaction cross section has been extensively studied both theoretically (refs. 1–14) and experimentally (refs. 15–24) for the past five decades. A detailed list of references is given in references 1, 13, and 16. Empirical prescriptions have been developed (refs. 2–4, 10, 11, and 13) for the total reaction cross sections working in various energy ranges and combination of interacting ions. The present model works in all energy ranges with uniform accuracy for any combination of interacting ions, and is more accurate than earlier reported empirical models (ref. 10), which were accurate above 100 AMeV but showed large errors up to 25 percent at lower energies.

Model Description

Most of the empirical models approximate the total reaction cross section of Bradt-Peters form with

$$\sigma_R = \pi r_0^2 \left( A_p^{1/3} + A_T^{1/3} - \delta \right)^2 \tag{2}$$

where $r_0$ is energy-independent, $\delta$ is either an energy-independent or energy-dependent parameter, and $A_p$ and $A_T$ are the projectile and target mass numbers, respectively. This form of parameterization works nicely for higher energies. However, for lower energies, Coulomb interaction becomes important and modifies reaction cross sections significantly. Incorporating these effects, and other effects discussed later in the text, we propose the following form for the reaction cross section:

$$\sigma_R = \pi r_0^2 \left( A_p^{1/3} + A_T^{1/3} + \delta E \right)^2 \left( 1 - \frac{B}{E_{cm}} \right) \tag{3}$$

where $r_0 = 1.1$ fm, and $E_{cm}$ is the colliding system center of mass energy in MeV. The last term in equation (3) is the Coulomb interaction term, which modifies the cross section at lower energies and becomes less important as the energy increases (typically after several tens of AMeV). In equation (3), $B$ is the energy-dependent Coulomb interaction barrier (factor on right side of eq. (3)) and is given by

$$B = \frac{1.44Z_pZ_T}{R} \tag{4}$$

where $Z_p$ and $Z_T$ are the atomic numbers of the projectile and target, respectively, and $R$, the distance for evaluating the Coulomb barrier height, is

$$R = r_p + r_T + \frac{1.2 \left( A_p^{1/3} + A_T^{1/3} \right)}{E_{cm}^{1/3}} \tag{5}$$

where $r_i$ is equivalent sphere radius and is related to the $r_{rms,i}$ radius by

$$r_i = 1.29 r_{rms,i} \tag{6}$$

with $(i = P, T)$. 
The energy dependence in the reaction cross section at intermediate and higher energies results mainly from two effects—transparency and Pauli blocking. This energy dependence is taken into account in $\delta_E$, which is given by

$$\delta_E = 1.855 + \left(0.16S/E_{cm}^{1/3}\right) - C_E$$

$$+ \left[0.91(A_T^2 - 2Z_T)Z_P/(A_TA_P)\right]$$

(7)

where $S$ is the mass asymmetry term given by

$$S = \frac{A_P^{1/3}A_T^{1/3}}{A_P^{1/3} + A_T^{1/3}}$$

(8)

and is related to the volume overlap of the collision system. The last term on the right side of equation (7) accounts for the isotope dependence of the reaction cross section. The term $C_E$ is related to both the transparency and Pauli blocking and is given by

$$C_E = D[1 - \exp(-E/40)] - 0.292\exp(-E/792)$$

$$\times \cos(0.229E^{0.453})$$

(9)

where the collision kinetic energy $E$ is in units of AMeV. Here, $D$ is related to the density dependence of the colliding system, scaled with respect to the density of the $^{12}$C +$^{12}$C colliding system:

$$D = 1.75\frac{\rho_{A_P} + \rho_{A_T}}{\rho_{A_C} + \rho_{A_T}}$$

(10)

The density of a nucleus is calculated in the hard-sphere model. Important physics is associated with constant $D$. In effect, $D$ simulates the modifications of the reaction cross sections caused by Pauli blocking. The Pauli blocking effect, which has not been taken into account in other empirical calculations, is being introduced here for the first time. Introduction of the Pauli blocking effect helps present a universal picture of the reaction cross sections.

At lower energies (below several tens of AMeV) where the overlap of interacting nuclei is small (and where the Coulomb interaction modifies the reaction cross sections significantly), the modifications of the cross sections caused by Pauli blocking are small and gradually play an increasing role as the energy increases, which leads to higher densities where Pauli blocking becomes increasingly important. Interestingly enough, for the proton-nucleus case, because there is not much compression effect, a single constant value of $D = 2.05$ gives very good results for all proton-nucleus collisions. For alpha-nucleus collisions, where there is a little compression, the best value of $D$ is given by

$$D = 2.77 - \left(8.0 \times 10^{-3}A_T\right) + \left(1.8 \times 10^{-5}A_T^2\right)$$

$$- 0.8/\left[1 + \exp\left((250 - E)/75\right)\right]$$

(11)

For lithium nuclei, because of the “halos” (ref. 21), compression is less; therefore, the Pauli blocking effect is less important. A reduced value of $D/3$ gives better results for the reaction cross sections at the intermediate and higher energies.

There are no adjustable parameters in the model except that, for proton-nucleus collisions, this method of calculating the Coulomb interaction barrier underestimates its value for the very light closed-shell nuclei of alpha and carbon, which are very tightly bound and, therefore, compact. Consequently, for these two cases, the Coulomb barrier should be increased by a factor of 27 and 3.5, respectively, for a better fit.

**Results and Conclusions**

Figures 1–45 show the plots of available results for proton-nucleus, alpha-nucleus, and nucleus-nucleus collisions. Figures 6 and 18 also show comparisons with reference 10. The data set used for figures 1–5 was collected from references 15 and 23 and, for figures 6–14, was obtained from references 16, 17, 22, and 23. Extensive data available for a $C + C$ system (fig. 18) were taken from references 16, 17, 23, and 24. For the remaining figures, data were collected from the compilation of data sets from references 9 and 16-20. The agreement with experiment is excellent and is better than all other empirical models reported earlier, which is particularly important in view of the fact that the agreement is excellent throughout the whole energy range—up to a few AGeV. We notice, again, that at the lower energy end, the cross sections are modified by the Coulomb interaction, and at the intermediate and high energy end, Pauli blocking effects become increasingly important. It will be interesting to see how the model compares with the new experimental data as and when these become available.
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Figure 1. Reaction cross sections as a function of energy for $p + {}^9_{4}\text{Be}$ collisions.

Figure 2. Reaction cross sections as a function of energy for $p + {}^{12}_{6}\text{C}$ collisions.
Figure 3. Reaction cross sections as a function of energy for $p + ^{27}_{13}$Al collisions.

Figure 4. Reaction cross sections as a function of energy for $p + ^{nat}_{26}$Fe collisions.
Figure 5. Reaction cross sections as a function of energy for $\alpha + {}^1_1\text{H}$ collisions.

Figure 6. Reaction cross sections as a function of energy for $\alpha + {}^{12}_6\text{C}$ collisions; dashed line is from reference 10.
Figure 7. Reaction cross sections as a function of energy for $\alpha + {}^{16}\text{O}$ collisions.

Figure 8. Reaction cross sections as a function of energy for $\alpha + {}^{28}\text{Si}$ collisions.
Figure 9. Reaction cross sections as a function of energy for $\alpha + ^{40}\text{Ca}$ collisions.

Figure 10. Reaction cross sections as a function of energy for $\alpha + ^{48}\text{Ca}$ collisions.
Figure 11. Reaction cross sections as a function of energy for $\alpha + ^{28}_2\text{Ni}$ collisions.

Figure 12. Reaction cross sections as a function of energy for $\alpha + ^{60}_2\text{Ni}$ collisions.
Figure 13. Reaction cross sections as a function of energy for $\alpha + {}^{124}_{50}\text{Sn}$ collisions.

Figure 14. Reaction cross sections as a function of energy for $\alpha + {}^{208}_{82}\text{Pb}$ collisions.
Figure 15. Reaction cross sections as a function of energy for $^6_3\text{Li} + ^{40}_{20}\text{Ca}$ collisions.

Figure 16. Reaction cross sections as a function of energy for $^6_3\text{Li} + ^{90}_{40}\text{Zr}$ collisions.
Figure 17. Reaction cross sections as a function of energy for $^{9}\text{Be} + ^{28}\text{Si}$ collisions.

Figure 18. Reaction cross sections as a function of energy for $^{12}\text{C} + ^{12}\text{C}$ collisions; dashed line is from reference 18.
Figure 19. Reaction cross sections as a function of energy for $^{12}$C + $^{27}$Al collisions.

Figure 20. Reaction cross sections as a function of energy for $^{12}$C + $^{40}$Ca collisions.
Figure 21. Reaction cross sections as a function of energy for $^{12}\text{C} + ^{56}\text{Fe}$ collisions.

Figure 22. Reaction cross sections as a function of energy for $^{12}\text{C} + ^{64}\text{Zn}$ collisions.
Figure 23. Reaction cross sections as a function of energy for $^{16}\text{O} + ^{28}\text{Si}$ collisions.

Figure 24. Reaction cross sections as a function of energy for $^{16}\text{O} + ^{40}\text{Ca}$ collisions.
Figure 25. Reaction cross sections as a function of energy for $^{16}\text{O} + ^{59}\text{Co}$ collisions.

Figure 26. Reaction cross sections as a function of energy for $^{16}\text{O} + ^{58}\text{Ni}$ collisions.
Figure 27. Reaction cross sections as a function of energy for $^{16}_8$O + $^{208}_8$Pb collisions.

Figure 28. Reaction cross sections as a function of energy for $^{20}_{10}$Ne + $^{12}_6$C collisions.
Figure 29. Reaction cross sections as a function of energy for $^{20}_{10}$Ne + $^{27}_{13}$Al collisions.

Figure 30. Reaction cross sections as a function of energy for $^{20}_{10}$Ne + $^{40}_{20}$Ca collisions.
Figure 31. Reaction cross sections as a function of energy for $^{20}_{10}$Ne + $^{208}_{82}$Pb collisions.

Figure 32. Reaction cross sections as a function of energy for $^{20}_{10}$Ne + $^{235}_{92}$U collisions.
Figure 33. Reaction cross sections as a function of energy for $^{16}\text{S} + ^{24}\text{Mg}$ collisions.

Figure 34. Reaction cross sections as a function of energy for $^{32}\text{S} + ^{27}\text{Al}$ collisions.
Figure 35. Reaction cross sections as a function of energy for $^{35}\text{Cl} + ^{58}\text{Ni}$ collisions.

Figure 36. Reaction cross sections as a function of energy for $^{35}\text{Cl} + ^{62}\text{Ni}$ collisions.
Figure 37. Reaction cross sections as a function of energy for $^{40}$Ar $+ ^{109}$Ag collisions.

Figure 38. Reaction cross sections as a function of energy for $^{40}$Ar $+ ^{209}$Bi collisions.
Figure 39. Reaction cross sections as a function of energy for $^{40}\text{Ar} + ^{238}\text{U}$ collisions.

Figure 40. Reaction cross sections as a function of energy for $^{40}\text{Ca} + ^{40}\text{Ca}$ collisions.
Figure 41. Reaction cross sections as a function of energy for $^{36}_{84}$Kr + $^{29}_{65}$Cu collisions.

Figure 42. Reaction cross sections as a function of energy for $^{36}_{84}$Kr + $^{139}_{57}$La collisions.
Figure 43. Reaction cross sections as a function of energy for $^{136}_{54}$Xe + $^{209}_{83}$Bi collisions.

Figure 44. Reaction cross sections as a function of energy for $^{84}_{36}$Kr + $^{208}_{82}$Pb collisions.
Figure 45. Reaction cross sections as a function of energy for $^{84}$Kr + $^{209}$Bi collisions.
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