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ABSTRACT

The advent of global markets elevates the role and importance of culture as a mitigating factor in the diffusion of knowledge and technology and in product and process innovation. This is especially true in the large commercial aircraft (LCA) sector where the production and market aspects are becoming increasingly international. As firms expand beyond their national borders, using such methods as risk-sharing partnerships, joint ventures, outsourcing, and alliances, they have to contend with national and corporate cultures. Our focus is on Japan, a "program participant" in the production of the Boeing Company's 777; the influence of Japanese culture on the diffusion of knowledge and technology in aerospace at the national and international levels; those cultural determinants—the propensity to work together, a willingness to subsume individual interests to a greater good, and an emphasis on consensual decisionmaking—that have a direct bearing on the ability of Japanese firms to form alliances and compete in international markets; and those cultural determinants thought to influence the information-seeking behaviors and workplace communication practices of Japanese aerospace engineers and scientists. In this paper, we report selective results from a survey of Japanese and U.S. aerospace engineers and scientists that focused on workplace communications. Data are presented for the following topics: importance of and time spent communicating information, collaborative writing, need for an undergraduate course in technical communication, use of libraries, use and importance of electronic (computer) networks, and the use and importance of foreign and domestically produced technical reports.

INTRODUCTION

The technological advancements and achievements made by post-World War II Japan are nothing short of extraordinary. The Japanese "economic miracle," as it is often called, remains the focus of scholars and policymakers. Indeed, the number of essays, articles, studies, dissertations, and books dealing with Japan is voluminous and shows no signs of abatement. A review of the available literature and research indicates the following: Japanese public policy (e.g., economic, industrial, and technology) is focused, consistent, pragmatic, and adaptive, and it recognizes that knowledge and technological leadership are critical to national economic performance. Unlike those policies in the U.S., Japanese technology policies incorporate many "diffusion-like" features identified by Branscomb (1993). Chief among these are the capacity to adjust to technological change across the entire industry structure and the effective diffusion of imported and domestically produced knowledge and technology. Of particular importance is the role played by the Ministry of International Trade and Industry (MITI), the leading state actor in the Japanese economy. MITI maintains close and continual contact with industry, fosters industrial collaboration and the diffusion of knowledge among firms, and uses industry associations and advisory committees to review and endorse technology projects and policies. As a matter
of national policy, MITI nurtures the development of such knowledge-intensive industries as aircraft manufacturing as sources of knowledge that can be "spun on" to other industries. It fosters research collaborations, alliances, and linkages as a means of accessing and importing (external) knowledge and technology.

Innovation, a catalyst for growth, can be divided into three types—organizational, product, and technological. Organizational innovation in Japan has been achieved by streamlining the structure of the company, wisely managing the enterprise, and organizing the production and distribution systems to optimize marketing and export goals. Product innovation in Japan involves the manufacture of goods that reflect customer requirements and are readily adaptable to changes in consumer behavior and spending. Technological innovation in Japan involves the importation, absorption and adaptation of, and the development of new knowledge and technology to produce new products, processes, or services and to improve existing ones (Herbig, 1995). Technological innovation in Japan, as distinguished from that in the United States, is characterized by, among other things, globalization and international networks and international collaboration. It is also distinguished from that in the United States by its culture and patent system and the use and management of knowledge and technology.

Japanese companies are exceptional innovators. Japanese firms, have been described as knowledge companies that are constantly importing and creating knowledge, diffusing it throughout the organization, and quickly embodying it in new and existing products, processes, or services. The firms' efforts are assisted by a (national) system of innovation that stimulates research and development (R&D), promotes technological innovation, and excels at taking knowledge and technology from around the world and using them to develop and improve products, processes, or services. Westney (1993) states that a widespread consensus has emerged on some key characteristics of the technological behavior of Japanese firms, when compared to those in the United States: (a) shorter (product) development time cycles; (b) more effective design for manufacturability; (c) more incremental product, process, and service improvement; (d) innovation dominated by large, rather than small firms; (e) a stronger propensity to competitive matching of products and processes; (f) a greater propensity for interfirm collaboration in developing and diffusing technology; (g) a higher propensity to patent; (h) weakness in science-based industries, and (i) more effective identification and acquisition of external knowledge and technology on a global scale.

Finally, the diffusion of knowledge and technology is encouraged by the fact that Japanese industries and firms have developed cooperative vertical, and sometimes horizontal, relationships. The keiretsu, a group of cooperative, and often subcontracting, firms is an example. A long-term, semi-fixed relationship between users and suppliers and among affiliated firms, subcontractors, vendors, and others enables the participants to share knowledge and technology related to product and process innovation. The long-term transaction involved in such relationships includes not only an economic component, but also a social one comprised of trust, loyalty, and power. Moreover, the importation, absorption, diffusion, and application of knowledge and technology are facilitated by a number of determinants in the Japanese culture, a point on which we elaborate in the background section of this paper.

BACKGROUND

Cultural, ontological, and epistemological principles are thought to influence the organization and diffusion of knowledge in a society. A variety of cultural determinants is responsible for the unique position that knowledge holds in Japanese society. Although the Japanese attitude toward science and the organization of knowledge assumes similar organizational and phenomenal forms as in Western countries, the attitude is based on different cultural principles. Two examples. First, in the U.S., the results of science that are paid for with public (i.e., taxpayer) money are considered to be public knowledge. Hence, scientific knowledge is published and made accessible to any and all for critical assessment. Science in Japan is formed not as public knowledge but as corporate knowledge; knowledge belongs first to the corporation; it is acquired and developed, organized, and used chiefly within the corporation as insider knowledge. Thus, knowledge is neither individual nor public property. Furthermore, in Japan, knowledge is a commodity and possessing knowledge is a privilege. Second, the U.S. and Japanese patent systems are shaped by fundamentally different purposes. Whereas the American system protects individuals, the Japanese system balances individual rights with broader social and industrial interests. In the United States, the patent system exists to provide an incentive for innovation by rewarding an individual inventor with the right to exclude others from practicing his or her invention. That reward is made in exchange for a full, complete,
and enabling disclosure of the invention to the public. Unlike in the United States, in Japan a family philosophy exists. In contrast, the Japanese system focuses more on the goal of promoting Japanese industry and technological development by diffusing patent information through Japanese industry. An innovation does not exist merely for the inventor or inventing firm but for the benefit of the country as a whole. The entire Japanese patent system is aimed at avoiding conflict and promoting cooperation through cross-licensing.

Next, we review seven cultural determinants—(a) group think versus individual expression, (b) differences in high-context and low-context communications, (c) attitudes about contractual agreements, (d) the influence of religion on Japanese culture, (e) "mental telepathy" and "apparent" versus "real" messages as communications norms, (f) surface/bottomline messages, and (g) the Japanese preference for informal (oral) communications over formal (written) communications—to assess how these determinants influence the organization and diffusion of knowledge in Japan. Although our review provides useful insights into understanding how culture affects the organization and diffusion of knowledge in Japan, our review is not exhaustive. Missing from this discussion, for example, is the influence of linguistics and non-verbal communication.

Group Think Versus Individual Expression

Perhaps the most striking feature that distinguishes the organization and diffusion of knowledge in Japan from that of Westerners is the concept of group think based on hierarchy. Ford and Honeycutt (1992) trace the existence of a hierarchical structure to Confucianism that was brought from China to Japan during the fifth century. Confucianism teaches that "the need for submission to elders and those of superior position in the group" is a prerequisite of a society (Ford and Honeycutt, 1992, p. 31). Group think is an extension of the holism in society that provides a basis for corporate decision making (McNamara and Hayashi, 1994, p. 7). Individualism, which is cherished in the West, is not considered a virtue in Japanese society. The Japanese expression, "the nail that stands up will be pounded down," exemplifies the clear distaste for individualism that most Westerners note as one of the distinct features of Japanese unwritten codes (Maher and Wong, 1994, p. 43; Buckett, 1991, p. 88). In considering the role of the individual in society, Nakane (1972) asserts that an individual is defined by an attribute that makes up a frame. A group or a frame is formed when individuals share common attributes (Nakane, 1972, p. 7). Thus, the individual has meaning only within the context of a group. The notion of collectivism is ubiquitous from private to public, from family to corporate organizations, and from local to national levels. The emphasis on harmony among individuals in groups mirrors "the communal ethic of Shinto" (Maher and Wong, 1994, p. 43); it is assumed that the homogeneous nature of Japanese society makes it possible to carry out groupthink.

High Context/Low Context Communication

Hall and Hall (1987) define a high context (HC) communication as one in which most of the information is already in the person, while very little is in the coded, explicit, transmitted part of the message. A low context (LC) communication is just the opposite; that is, the mass of the information is vested in the explicit code (p. 8). Japan has never been invaded by another nation. Thus, a homogeneous and isolated Japanese society could afford to foster HC communication in which almost everyone understands the beliefs, principles, and assumptions about how to go about interacting with people (McNamara and Hayashi, 1994, p. 10). Conversely, the United States is a heterogeneous, LC society in which a melting pot approach to communication is the norm. In a society whose citizens have diverse national and ethnic backgrounds, it is inevitable that everything to be communicated to others has to be described explicitly. Assumptions also have to be explained because there is no single set of beliefs or rules of conduct governing society. Therefore, "explicit digital and verbal communication is an essential element in Western, and especially American, culture" (McNamara and Hayashi, 1994, p. 10). It is worth mentioning that there is always a danger in classifying everything in dichotomous fashion. For example, Inaba (1988) argues that Hall and Hall's (1987) classification of Japanese and U.S. citizens as HC and LC respectively may be shortsighted, for it excludes nonverbal behavior. However, the literature supports Hall and Hall's (1987) assertions about Japanese and U.S. communications norms.

Contractual Agreements

The concept of a contractual agreement is foreign to the Japanese. Nakane (1972) states that "any sense of contract is completely lacking in the Japanese, and to hope for any change along the lines of a contractual relationship is almost useless" (p. 80). The influence of common law may provide the foundation of contractual agreements that are so important in the United States. Goldman (1994) suggests that it is so important for
Traditional Mental Telepathy: *Ishin-denshin* and *Haragei*

As a homogeneous society, Japan has nurtured its people to communicate according to the principle of *Ishin-denshin* or "if it is in one heart, it will be transmitted to another heart" (Kato and Kato, 1992, p. x). In essence, a message should be conveyed to a receiver without using many words because both parties are capable of understanding each other wordlessly. Gudykunst and Nishida (1993) describe *Ishin-denshin* as "traditional mental telepathy" (p. 150), for it assumes that a transmitted message will be understood by a receiver. *Ishin-denshin* is closely related to another Japanese concept *haragei*, literally meaning "belly language." *Haragei* can be understood as "the center of abdominal respiration that is in charge of *ki*, which is the mind and the body that acts almost like air that is inhaled and exhaled by a person" (Lebra, 1993, p. 65).

**Surface/Bottomline Messages (*Tatemae*/*Honne*)**

Human relationships in Japan have two sides, *tatemae* and *honne*. "*Tatemae* is front face or what is presented and *honne* is true feelings privately held" (Hall and Hall, 1987, p. 61). "*Honne* is what a person really wants to do, and *tatemae* is his submission to moral obligation" (Gudykunst and Nishida, 1993, p. 152). The Japanese have two modes of communication; *tatemae* is a formal communication and *honne* is the language of the heart (Kato and Kato, 1992, p. 22). *Tatemae* usually is exchanged during business hours and *honne* surfaces outside office hours. The meanings of *tatemae* and *honne* are closely associated with what Ford and Honeycutt (1992) call "surface or appearance versus result or bottomline" (p. 29). The same concepts can be thought of as "the apparent versus real" (Maher and Wong, 1994, p. 44). The Japanese tend to place greater importance on process than the results (Ford and Honeycutt, p. 29). Thus, such seemingly meaningless rituals as an exchange of business cards and conversations without much essence in *tatemae* mode can be viewed as a way of showing respect for each other.

**Emphasis on Informal Communication**

The literature establishes that the Japanese rely heavily on informal communication (Kato and Kato, 1992). Personal contact or "knowing who" is extremely important. Of course, informal communication is very important in the U.S., but for the Japanese, informal communication has some peculiar features. For example, "the old boys' network provides links to practically every board room, laboratory, and factory in Japan" (Cutler, 1989, p. 22). This network is based on alumni networks of major colleges and universities that actually connect academia, government, and industry. Kokubo (1992) notes that "researchers make courtesy calls on university professors, who serve as middlemen to relay information to their networks of alumni" (p. 34). In addition to relying on colleges and universities, the Japanese extend their networking capability through such various "people links" as professional societies, consulting groups, collaborative

The Influence of Religion

In Japan, religious beliefs are assumed to be an integral part of an individual's history. Although Japanese society is experiencing a noticeable decline in religious affiliation, religious ritual, symbolism, and attitude continue to play an important role among the Japanese people (Maher and Wong, 1994). The Japanese are deeply influenced by ideas and concepts coming from animism, Buddhism, Confucianism, Shinto, Taoism, and Zen. Elements of Confucianism, Buddhism, and Shinto continue to affect the daily lives of the Japanese although the trend toward secularism noted recently in the West actually began almost three centuries ago in Japan (Reichauer and Jansen, 1995, p. 203). The strong work ethic and an emphasis on harmony come from Confucianism. Matsuda (1991) correlates the ideas of group actions, shared responsibility, harmony, and a strong loyalty to the group with Buddhism, which teaches that everything in nature has life, and therefore one's life is a part of nature (p. 106). Shinto has been the official national religion since the Meiji Restoration of 1868. Originating from Buddhism, Shinto evolved as a set of beliefs associated with the foundation myths of Japan and with the cult of imperial ancestors. Shinto focused attention within a Japan that was becoming more nationalistic and "eventually came to seek a new unity under symbolic imperial rule" (Reichauer and Jansen, 1995, p. 209).

Traditional Mental Telepathy: *Ishin-denshin* and *Haragei*

As a homogeneous society, Japan has nurtured its people to communicate according to the principle of *Ishin-denshin* or "if it is in one heart, it will be transmitted to another heart" (Kato and Kato, 1992, p. x). In essence, a message should be conveyed to a receiver without using many words because both parties are capable of understanding each other wordlessly. Gudykunst and Nishida (1993) describe *Ishin-denshin* as "traditional mental telepathy" (p. 150), for it assumes that a transmitted message will be understood by a receiver. *Ishin-denshin* is closely related to another Japanese concept *haragei*, literally meaning "belly language." *Haragei* can be understood as "the center of abdominal respiration that is in charge of *ki*, which is the mind and the body that acts almost like air that is inhaled and exhaled by a person" (Lebra, 1993, p. 65).

**Surface/Bottomline Messages (*Tatemae*/*Honne*)**

Human relationships in Japan have two sides, *tatemae* and *honne*. "*Tatemae* is front face or what is presented and *honne* is true feelings privately held" (Hall and Hall, 1987, p. 61). "*Honne* is what a person really wants to do, and *tatemae* is his submission to moral obligation" (Gudykunst and Nishida, 1993, p. 152). The Japanese have two modes of communication; *tatemae* is a formal communication and *honne* is the language of the heart (Kato and Kato, 1992, p. 22). *Tatemae* usually is exchanged during business hours and *honne* surfaces outside office hours. The meanings of *tatemae* and *honne* are closely associated with what Ford and Honeycutt (1992) call "surface or appearance versus result or bottomline" (p. 29). The same concepts can be thought of as "the apparent versus real" (Maher and Wong, 1994, p. 44). The Japanese tend to place greater importance on process than the results (Ford and Honeycutt, p. 29). Thus, such seemingly meaningless rituals as an exchange of business cards and conversations without much essence in *tatemae* mode can be viewed as a way of showing respect for each other.

**Emphasis on Informal Communication**

The literature establishes that the Japanese rely heavily on informal communication (Kato and Kato, 1992). Personal contact or "knowing who" is extremely important. Of course, informal communication is very important in the U.S., but for the Japanese, informal communication has some peculiar features. For example, "the old boys' network provides links to practically every board room, laboratory, and factory in Japan" (Cutler, 1989, p. 22). This network is based on alumni networks of major colleges and universities that actually connect academia, government, and industry. Kokubo (1992) notes that "researchers make courtesy calls on university professors, who serve as middlemen to relay information to their networks of alumni" (p. 34). In addition to relying on colleges and universities, the Japanese extend their networking capability through such various "people links" as professional societies, consulting groups, collaborative
work groups, and professional and technical conferences and meetings (Cutler, 1989, p. 20).

Information gathering through informal contacts is central to the idea of Japanese competitive intelligence. Kokubo (1992) states that "competitive intelligence consists of: (a) gathering technical information, (b) distributing the acquired information to "linking agents," and (c) analyzing and arranging information for decisionmaking" (p. 35). In Japanese business and industry, each project has a "champion" who works with staff members in the technology information office and patent department, senior researchers, and information professionals (e.g., librarians). Japanese managers at all levels are expected to gather, disseminate, and utilize the latest information available through the company grapevine and from industry-wide conferences and trade shows.扎氏 groups or clubs, and business, professional, and technical societies (Kokubo, 1992).

METHODS AND SAMPLE DEMOGRAPHICS

This research was conducted as a Phase 4 activity of the NASA/DOD Aerospace Knowledge Diffusion Research Project (Pinelli, Kennedy, & Barclay, 1991). Phase 4 of the project focuses on the diffusion of knowledge and technology at the national and international levels and the cultural, political, and social factors that influence diffusion.

Mail (self-reported) Japanese-language questionnaires were sent to 13 Japanese aerospace engineers and scientists in academia and industry (in Japan) who have collaborated with the project team in other Phase 4 activities and understood the objectives of the study. We asked our colleagues to identify appropriate subjects to complete the questionnaires. A total of 94 surveys was completed during March-June 1994. We used the 340 surveys completed in 1992 by U.S. aerospace engineers and scientists at the NASA Ames and Langley Research Centers as our baseline for comparison with all Phase 4 survey data. For the complete methodology and results of the Japanese/U.S. study, see Pinelli, Barclay, and Kennedy (1994).

A t-test (for interval data) was used to estimate if the observed differences between Japanese and U.S. aerospace engineers and scientists are statistically significant. A significant test result \( p \leq .05 \) indicates that there is only a 5% probability that the observed difference between the two responses can be attributed to chance. A significant result is therefore interpreted as evidence that a difference between the responses of the two groups of respondents on the factors or variables in question are influenced by (vary systematically with) cultural differences between the two groups.

Finally, every research design and methodology has its weakness. Ours is no different. The fact that neither the Japanese nor the U.S. samples were randomly drawn lessens the generalizability of the results. The fact that the U.S. sample was composed of government-affiliated aerospace engineers and scientists working almost entirely in research also lessens the generalizability of the data.

Demographic Findings

The professional duties of the 94 Japanese aerospace engineers and scientists in this study are equally divided among design/development, research, and teaching/academic responsibilities. Most work in academia or government and very few work in industry. All of their U.S. counterparts work in government and most perform research duties. The Japanese respondents reported an average of 15 years of professional work experience, and the U.S. respondents reported an average of 17 years of professional work experience.

In terms of education, 45% of the Japanese respondents held master's degrees and 32% held doctorates; 95% of them were educated as engineers and 100% perform engineering duties. Among the U.S. respondents, 46% held master's degrees and 27% held doctorates; 80% were educated as engineers and 17% as scientists. In terms of their current duties, 69% of the U.S. respondents performed engineering duties and 27% performed science duties. Eighty-nine percent of the Japanese respondents reported membership in a professional/technical society, and 78% of the U.S. respondents were members of a professional/technical society. Because personal contacts are very important for the Japanese, it is reasonable to speculate that Japanese join such professional/technical societies to get to know the right people, to exchange information, and ultimately to work on projects jointly.

Language Fluency

Japanese respondents reported proficiency in reading and speaking English whereas the U.S. respondents reported little proficiency in reading and speaking Japanese (Table 1). The study of the English language is compulsory in Japan beginning in the seventh grade, and proficiency in a third language is compulsory in colleges and universities in Japan, giving the Japanese "a major linguistic advantage over their U.S. counterparts" (Grayson, 1984, p. 216). German
Table 1. Language Fluency of Japanese and U.S. Aerospace Engineers and Scientists

| Language  | Read % | Speak % | X Ability*
|-----------|--------|---------|----------------
| Japan (n = 94) |        |         |                |
| English   | 100    | 99      | 3.8 3.0       |
| French    | 30     | 22      | 1.7 1.6       |
| German    | 71     | 40      | 1.7 1.6       |
| Japanese  | 100b   | 100b    | ----- -----   |
| Russian   | 18     | 10      | 1.3 1.6       |

U.S. (n = 340)

| Language  | Read % | Speak % | X Ability*
|-----------|--------|---------|----------------
| English   | 100b   | 100b    | ----- ----- |
| French    | 32     | 22      | 1.7 1.6       |
| German    | 21     | 15      | 1.7 1.6       |
| Japanese  | 3      | 5       | 1.7 1.7       |
| Russian   | 6      | 5       | 1.6 1.5       |

*A 5-point scale was used to measure ability with "1" being passably and "5" being fluently; hence, the higher the average (mean) the greater the ability of survey respondents to speak/read the language.

This is the native language for these respondents.

was the third most popular third language among the Japanese respondents. The preference for German as a third language may be attributed to the fact that German systems influenced the modernization of Japan during and after the Meiji Restoration. The Japanese Constitution, parliament, and judicial systems that were created closely resembled those of German system during the Bismarck era (Sansom, 1950). Among the U.S. engineers and scientists, 5% reported proficiency in speaking Japanese and 3% reported proficiency in reading Japanese. French and German ranked second and third in terms of speaking (22%) (15%) and reading proficiency (32%) (21%) among the U.S. respondents.

PRESENTATION OF THE DATA

Data are presented for the following topics: importance of and time spent communicating technical information, collaborative writing, need for an undergraduate course in technical communications, use of libraries, the use and importance of electronic (computer) networks, and the use and importance of foreign and domestically produced technical reports.

Importance of and Time Spent Communicating Information

Japanese and U.S. aerospace engineers and scientists were asked a series of questions regarding (1) the importance of the ability to communicate technical information effectively, (2) change over the past five years in the amount of time spent communicating information, and (3) change in the amount of time spent communicating information as a function of professional (career) advancement. About 1% and 8% of the Japanese and U.S. respondents indicated that the ability to communicate information effectively was unimportant. About 95% and 91% of the Japanese and U.S. respondents reported that the ability to communicate information effectively was important. About 60% and 26% of the Japanese respondents indicated that over the past 5 years, the amount of time they spent communicating information had increased or had stayed the same. About 70% and 24% of the U.S. respondents reported that over the past 5 years the amount of time they spent communicating information had increased or had stayed the same. About 35% of the Japanese and about 65% of the U.S. respondents reported that as they have advanced professionally, the amount of time they spent communicating information had increased. About 34% of the Japanese and about 26% of the U.S. respondents indicated that the amount of time had stayed the same.

Survey respondents were asked to report the number of hours they spent each week producing (i.e., written and oral) and communicating information and the number of hours they spent each week working with information (i.e., writing and orally) received from others (Table 2). Data appearing in Table 2 indicate that the Japanese aerospace engineers and scientists in this study devoted significantly more hours each week
than did their U.S. counterparts to preparing written communication. Conversely, U.S. respondents spent more hours each week communicating information orally than did their Japanese counterparts. Similarly, the U.S. respondents spent significantly more hours each week working with written communications received from others. Likewise, the U.S. respondents devoted significantly more hours receiving information orally from others than did their Japanese counterparts.

Collaborative Writing

The process of collaborative writing was examined as part of this study. Survey participants were asked whether they wrote alone or as part of a group (Table 3). Approximately 21% of the Japanese respondents and 15% of the U.S. respondents wrote alone. Although a higher percentage of the U.S. respondents than the Japanese respondents wrote with a group of 2 to 5 people or with a group of 5 or more people, writing appears to be a collaborative process for both groups.

Table 3. Collaborative Writing Practices of Japanese and U.S. Aerospace Engineers and Scientists

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Collaborative Practices</th>
<th>Japan</th>
<th></th>
<th>U.S.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I write alone</td>
<td>70.1</td>
<td>21 (20)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I write with one other person</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>57 (54)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I write with a group of two to five people</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>53 (50)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I write with a group of five or more people</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>11 (10)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Japanese and U.S. aerospace engineers and scientists were asked to assess the influence of group participation on writing productivity (Table 4). Only 35% of the Japanese respondents and 32% of the U.S. respondents indicated that group writing is more productive than writing alone. Eighteen percent of the Japanese respondents and 32% of the U.S. respondents found that group writing is about as productive as writing alone, and 26% of the Japanese respondents and 20% of the U.S. respondents found that writing in a group is less productive than writing alone.

Of the respondents who did not write alone, 48% of the Japanese group and 47% of the U.S. group worked with the same group when producing written technical communications (Table 5). The average number of people in the Japanese group was $\bar{X} = 5.11$,
and the average number of people in the U.S. group was $X = 3.21$. Thirty-one percent of the Japanese respondents worked in an average (mean) number of 3.10 groups, each group containing an average of 3.14 people. Forty percent of the U.S. respondents worked in an average (mean) number of 2.82 groups, each group containing an average (mean) of 3.03 people.

An Undergraduate Course in Technical Communication

Japanese and U.S. participants were asked their opinions regarding the desirability of undergraduate aerospace engineering and science students taking a course in technical communications. Approximately 72% of the Japanese respondents and 96% of the U.S. participants indicated that aerospace engineering and science students should take such a course. Approximately 44% of the Japanese participants and about 90% of the U.S. participants indicated that the course should be taken for credit (Table 6).

Table 6. Need for an Undergraduate Course in Technical Communications for Aerospace Engineering and Science Students

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Options</th>
<th>Japan</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Taken for credit</td>
<td>44 (41)</td>
<td>90 (259)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not taken for credit</td>
<td>15 (14)</td>
<td>4 (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't know</td>
<td>13 (12)</td>
<td>2 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Should not have to take</td>
<td>28 (27)</td>
<td>4 (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>course in technical</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>communications</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Japanese and U.S. participants who thought that undergraduate aerospace engineering and science students should take a course in technical communications were asked how the course should be offered. About 19% of the Japanese respondents indicated that the course should be taken as part of a "required" course, about 43% thought the course should be taken as part of an "elective" course, none thought it should be taken as a "separate" course, about 10% did not have an opinion, but only 28% of the Japanese respondents indicated that undergraduate aerospace engineering and science students should not have to take a course in technical communications/writing.

About 82% of the U.S. respondents indicated that the course should be taken as part of a "required" course, about 12% thought the course should be taken as part of an "elective" course, none thought it should be taken as a "separate" course, about 2% did not have an opinion, but only 4% of the U.S. respondents indicated that undergraduate aerospace engineering and science students should not have to take a course in technical communications/writing. A simple majority of the U.S. respondents (51%) indicated that the technical communications/writing instruction should be taken as a separate course, while only 21% of the Japanese respondents indicated that the technical communications/writing instruction should be taken as a separate course.

Use of Libraries

Almost all of the respondents indicated that their organization has a library. Unlike the U.S. participants (9%), about 43% of the Japanese respondents indicated that the library was located in the building where they worked. About 55% of the Japanese and 88% of the U.S. respondents indicated that the library was outside the building in which they worked but was located nearby. For 52% of the Japanese group, the library was located 1 kilometer or less from where they worked. For about 81% of the U.S. respondents, the library was located 1.0 mile or less from where they worked.

Respondents were asked to indicate the number of times they had visited their organization's library in the past 6 months (Table 7). Overall and statistically, the Japanese respondents used their organization's library more than their U.S. counterparts did. The average use rate for Japanese respondents was $X = 20.9$ during the past 6 months compared to $X = 9.2$ for the U.S. respondents. The median 6-month use rates for the two groups were 10.0 and 4.0, respectively.

Table 7. Use of the Organization's Library in Past 6 Months by Japanese and U.S. Aerospace Engineers and Scientists

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Visits</th>
<th>Japan</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>%</td>
<td>(n)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>12 (11)</td>
<td>11 (37)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-5</td>
<td>16 (15)</td>
<td>43 (145)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-10</td>
<td>29 (27)</td>
<td>21 (72)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-25</td>
<td>19 (18)</td>
<td>14 (49)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26-50</td>
<td>16 (15)</td>
<td>7 (22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51 or more</td>
<td>6 (6)</td>
<td>1 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does not have a library</td>
<td>2 (2)</td>
<td>3 (11)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean 20.9 9.2*
Median 10.0 4.0

*p < .05
Respondents were also asked to rate the importance of their organization's library (Table 8). Importance was measured on a 5-point scale with 1 = not at all important and 5 = very important. A majority of both groups indicated that their organization's library was important to performing their present professional duties. About 73% of the Japanese aerospace engineers and scientists indicated that their organization's library was important or very important to performing their present professional duties. About 68% of the U.S. aerospace engineers and scientists indicated that their organization's library was important or very important to performing their present professional duties. Approximately 7% of the Japanese respondents and approximately 13% of the U.S. respondents indicated that their organization's library was very unimportant to performing their present professional duties.

Table 8. Importance of the Organization's Library to Japanese and U.S. Aerospace Engineers and Scientists

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Importance</th>
<th>Japan</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very important</td>
<td>47.9 (45)</td>
<td>68.2 (232)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neither important nor unimportant</td>
<td>42.6 (40)</td>
<td>15.6 (53)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very unimportant</td>
<td>7.4 (7)</td>
<td>12.9 (44)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do not have a library</td>
<td>7.1 (2)</td>
<td>3.2 (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Use and Importance of Electronic (Computer) Networks

Survey participants were asked if they use electronic (computer) networks at their workplace in performing their present duties. Approximately 55% of the Japanese respondents use electronic networks, and about 45% either do not use (30%) or do not have access to (15%) electronic networks (Table 9). About 89% of the U.S. respondents use electronic networks in performing their present duties and about 12% either do not use (9%) or do not have access to (3%) electronic networks. Statistically, U.S. respondents made greater use of electronic (computer) networks than did their Japanese counterparts.

Respondents were also asked to rate the importance of electronic networks in performing their present duties (Table 10). Importance was measured on a 5-point scale with 1 = not at all important and 5 = very important. Statistically, U.S. respondents rated electronic networks more important than did their Japanese counterparts. More Japanese (18.1%) than U.S. respondents (11.2%) indicated that electronic (computer) networks were neither important nor unimportant in performing their present professional duties.

Table 9. Use of Electronic (Computer) Networks by Japanese and U.S. Aerospace Engineers and Scientists

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentage of a 40-hour Work Week</th>
<th>Japan</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>4 (4)</td>
<td>1 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-25</td>
<td>50 (47)</td>
<td>53 (180)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26-50</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
<td>17 (57)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51-75</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>8 (26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76-99</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>9 (30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>1 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do not use or have access to electronic networks</td>
<td>45 (42)</td>
<td>12 (38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>30.1*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p < .05.

Table 10. Importance of Electronic (Computer) Networks to Japanese and U.S. Aerospace Engineers and Scientists

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Importance</th>
<th>Japan</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very important</td>
<td>34.1 (32)</td>
<td>65.0 (221)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neither important nor unimportant</td>
<td>18.1 (17)</td>
<td>11.2 (38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very unimportant</td>
<td>3.2 (3)</td>
<td>7.6 (43)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do not use or have access to electronic networks</td>
<td>44.7 (42)</td>
<td>16.2 (38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>4.1*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p < .05.

Use and Importance of Foreign and Domestically Produced Technical Reports

To better understand the transborder migration of scientific and technical information (STI) via the technical report, survey participants were asked about
their use of foreign and domestically produced technical reports (Table 11) and the importance of these reports in performing their professional duties (Table 12). Both groups make great use of their own technical reports (87% of the Japanese respondents use NAL reports and 97% of the U.S. group use NASA technical reports). In addition to their own reports, the Japanese respondents use NASA (89%); AGARD (60%); German DFVLR, DLR, and MBB (53%); and British ARC and RAE (48%) technical reports.

In addition to their own reports, the U.S. group uses AGARD (82%) and British ARC and RAE (54%) technical reports. Neither group makes great use of Indian NAL, Dutch NLR, ESA, or Russian TsAGI technical reports. Survey participants were also asked about their access to these technical report series. Overall, the U.S. group appears to have better access to foreign technical reports than do their Japanese counterparts. Both groups have about equal access to NASA technical reports.

Technical report importance was measured on a 5-point scale with 1 = not at all important and 5 = very important. Both groups were asked to rate the importance of selected foreign and domestic technical reports in performing their present professional duties. The average (mean) importance ratings are shown in Table 12. The Japanese respondents rated the importance of U.S. NASA reports (X = 4.46), followed by NATO AGARD (X = 3.67), and German DFVLR, DLR, and MBB reports (X = 3.15). The U.S. group rated NASA reports most important (X = 4.26), followed by NATO AGARD (X = 3.42) and British ARC and RAE reports (X = 2.89).

DISCUSSION

Given the limited purposes of this study, the overall response rates, and the research design, no claims are made regarding the extent to which the attributes of the respondents in the studies accurately reflect the attributes of the populations being studied. A much more rigorous research design and methodology and larger samples would be needed before any claims could be made. Nevertheless, the findings do permit the formulation of the following general statements regarding the technical communications practices of the Japanese and U.S. aerospace engineers and scientists who participated in this study.

1. The ability to communicate technical information effectively is important to Japanese and U.S. aerospace engineers and scientists.

2. The Japanese engineers and scientists possess greater language fluency (i.e., reading and speaking) than their U.S. counterparts.
3. Statistically, U.S. aerospace engineers and scientists spent more time (e.g., hours each week) communicating information, orally and in writing, to others than did their Japanese counterparts.

4. Statistically, U.S. aerospace engineers and scientists spent more time (e.g., hours each week) working with written information received from others and receiving information orally from others than did their Japanese counterparts.

5. More Japanese respondents write alone than did their U.S. counterparts. Of those Japanese respondents who write with others, the average number of persons per group, the average number of groups, and the average number of people in each group exceeded the number in each category for their U.S. counterparts.

6. Both Japanese and U.S. respondents indicated that aerospace engineering and science students should take a course in technical communications. Both groups of respondents indicated that the course should be taken for academic credit.

7. Statistically, Japanese aerospace engineers and scientists had used a library more times in the past 6 months than did their U.S. counterparts. Both groups of respondents reported that a library is important to performing their present professional duties.

8. Statistically, U.S. aerospace engineers and scientists made greater use of electronic (computer) networks in performing their professional duties than did their Japanese counterparts. Statistically, the U.S. aerospace engineers and scientists in this study rated electronic (computer) networks more important in performing their present professional duties than their Japanese counterparts rated them.

9. U.S. and Japanese respondents made the greatest use of NASA technical reports and rank them highest in terms of importance in performing their professional duties. Both groups make extensive use of (and consider important) NATO, AGARD technical reports.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

Communicating with people with whom one does not share the same culture and native language creates significant challenges in a technical environment. Nowhere is this more apparent than between Japan and the U.S., two major industrialized nations that are engaged in a number of collaborative as well as competitive business ventures in high technology fields. Perry notes that "when East meets West, the biggest abnormality is in communications," and he attributes most communication problems to differences in culture and language (1990, p. 53). Although expanding telecommunications networks are rapidly bridging geographic distances, cultural differences among nations that are involved in collaborative business ventures may actually be contributing to a "new era of cultural confrontations and value conflicts" (Koizumi, 1990, p. 220).

The aerospace industry provides an excellent platform for investigating the influence of cultural differences on technical communication, for Japanese and U.S. manufacturers have enjoyed collaborative relationships since the end of World War II. After the Japanese aircraft industry was destroyed by the U.S. occupation forces, it gradually rebuilt itself by producing U.S. military aircraft (F-86s and F-15s) under the Japanese/U.S. Mutual Defense Assistance Agreement.

During the 1960s and early 1970s, Japanese firms were subcontractors for major U.S. commercial aircraft firms, but by the 1980s, the Japanese producers had begun to play an active role in all phases of the production and sales of the new aircraft (Mowery & Rosenberg, 1985, pp. 74-76). Japan and the United States continue to participate as active members of multinational collaborative efforts in the aerospace industry, and joint ventures between Japan and the United States are expected to flourish in commercial aerospace engineering throughout the 1990s. Through such collaborative projects, the Japanese aircraft industry is expected to transform itself from a supporting player with the West to a true joint venture member contributing its own talent (Mowery & Rosenberg, 1985, p. 79). However, much of the success or failure of these collaborative projects may depend on the ability of the individual participants to communicate effectively and to identify and bridge the communication gaps created by cultural differences.

The 1980s witnessed an expansion of international commerce in terms of multinational production and joint manufacturing ventures. This is especially true in aerospace and the production of large commercial aircraft. This expansion has triggered interest in understanding the role of language and culture in the success of such ventures. Although a considerable body of knowledge about employee management practices has been developed, very little is known about how language and culture affect communication practices and information-seeking behaviors of engineers and scientists and how language and culture influence production, transfer, and use of scientific and technical information. Although the results of this study add to the knowledge base, they are more
exploratory than conclusive and should be followed up with a larger study that will render results that are generalizable and can be used by managers and information developers and providers. A better understanding of and exposure to foreign language, culture, and business practices by Japanese and U.S. aerospace engineers and scientists can be an important step toward successful collaboration and may help create a "level playing field" for competition.
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