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The intrinsic carrier concentrations, Fermi energies, and the electron effective masses are calculated for Hg$_{1-x}$Zn$_x$Te with 0<x<0.4 and 50 K<T<400 K. The numerical calculations are based on the Kane k.p model and no further analytical simplification or approximation is made for the energy band structure beyond those inherent in the Kane model. The results are compared to the previous calculations. © 1997 American Institute of Physics.

I. INTRODUCTION

For over a decade, HgZnTe has been considered as a potentially superior infrared detector material due to its high bond strength and material stability compared to HgCdTe. A major effort is being devoted to the growth of bulk crystals and epitaxial layers of HgZnTe as well as their characterizations. Recent results on the HgZnTe devices confirm the advantages predicted by theory of HgZnTe over HgCdTe.

Intrinsic carrier concentration is a quantity of fundamental importance to a wide range of solid-state material and device applications. A precise knowledge of the intrinsic carrier concentration should benefit in understanding the performance of HgZnTe detectors. The earliest calculation of the intrinsic carrier concentration in Hg$_{1-x}$Zn$_x$Te was carried out by Shneider et al. who used an energy gap relation, $E_e(x,T)$, as a linear function of alloy composition. In contrast to it, Dziuba et al. described a nonlinear dependence of the energy gap on composition from their optical and electrical measurements. Recently, more accurate expressions for $E_e(x,T)$ have been reported by Sher et al. and Toulouse et al. both from infrared transmission measurements and the latter has been used by Józwiakowski and Rogalski in the calculation of the intrinsic carrier concentrations and the electron effective masses in Hg$_{1-x}$Zn$_x$Te. However, this particular calculation was based on an approximated solution of the well known Kane k.p model for the case of $E_e<\Delta$, where $\Delta$ is the spin-orbit splitting, which is applicable only for the narrow band gap materials such as HgSeTe. (For Hg$_{1-x}$Zn$_x$Te, e.g., for $x=0.2$ and $T=300$ K, $E_e=0.3$ eV, compared to $\Delta=1.0$ eV.) The work subsequently adopted an expression given by Harman and Strauss for calculating the electron concentration in which the free-electron (parabolic) term in the energy dispersion relation was neglected. For the calculation of the electron effective mass, Józwiakowski and Rogalski adopted a relation which was derived by Wright et al. using a series of approximations including the one for sharp degeneracy which ignores the statistical distribution of the electrons. The authors presented results for two different electron effective masses without appropriate interpretations. Given the restrictive nature of the approximations in their calculations and the ambiguities in the differentiation between the two electron effective masses, we felt another more exact and more specific calculation of these properties was merited.

In this work, the intrinsic carrier concentration and electron effective mass in Hg$_{1-x}$Zn$_x$Te were numerically calculated. We adopt the procedures similar to those used by Su et al. for calculating the intrinsic carrier concentrations in Hg$_{1-x}$Cd$_x$Te which solve the exact dispersion relation in Kane model for the calculation of the conduction band electron concentrations and the corresponding electron effective masses. No approximation beyond those inherent in the k.p model was used here.

II. THEORY AND CALCULATIONS

The nonparabolicity in the dispersion relation of the energy bands in the Kane k.p theory arises from the secular equation describing the conduction, light-mass and split-off valence bands,

$$\epsilon(e+E_e)(e+E_e+\Delta)-k^2P^2\left(e+E_e+\frac{2\Delta}{3}\right)=0, \quad (1)$$

where $\Delta$ and $P$, the momentum matrix element, are assumed constant throughout the Hg$_{1-x}$Zn$_x$Te alloy system with the only variable being $E_e$, and the zero of energy, $\epsilon$, is taken at the bottom of the conduction band. For the conduction band, the energy dispersion relation is written as

$$E=\frac{h^2k^2}{2m}+\epsilon_c, \quad (2)$$

where $m$ is the free electron mass and, for positive $E_e$, $\epsilon_c$ is the largest root of Eq. (1). The density of states in the conduction band is then given by

$$N_c(E)=\frac{k}{\pi^2}\left(\frac{h^2}{m}\right)^{1/2}\left(\frac{\partial E_c}{\partial k}\right)^{-1}. \quad (3)$$

Since there is no identical root for Eq. (1), it can be shown that $\partial E_c/\partial k$ can be obtained directly from Eq. (1) by taking derivative $\partial E_e/\partial k$ and replacing $\epsilon$ by $\epsilon_c$. Thus,
where the subscript c of \( e \) has been dropped. With Eqs. (1), (2), (5), and (6), integration (7) can be performed without any approximation.

Since the contribution of the nonparabolic light-mass valence band to the hole concentration is at least two orders of magnitude less than that of the parabolic heavy-mass valence band, only the latter is used to calculate the valence band hole concentration. Thus, we have

\[
p = 4\pi \left( \frac{2m^*_h kT}{h^2} \right)^{3/2} m^*_h \left( -E_f - E_g \right),
\]

where \( F_{1/2}(x) \) is the Fermi–Dirac function.

The energy gap in eV for Hg\(_{1-x}\)Zn\(_x\)Te as a function of composition and temperature given by Toulouse et al.,

\[
E_g(x,T) = -0.3 + 3.24 \times 10^{-2} \sqrt{x} + 2.731x - 0.629x^2 + 0.533x^3 + 5.3 \times 10^{-4} \times (1 - 0.76\sqrt{x} - 1.29x)T,
\]

was used for the calculations. A similar relation given by Sher et al. shows a weaker composition dependence and gives a value of \( E_g(0.4, 300 K) \) about 13% lower than that by Eq. (9) while coincides with the latter at \( x=0.095 \) and \( T=300 \) K.

For negative \( E_g \), i.e., semimetal, \( E_g + \Delta \) and \( E_g + 2\Delta/3 \) should be replaced by \( \Delta \) and \( 2\Delta/3 \), respectively, and \( E_g \) by \( |E_g| \) in Eqs. (1), (5), (6), and (7) and \( E_x \) in Eq. (8) should be replaced by zero.

### III. INTRINSIC FERMI ENERGY AND CARRIER CONCENTRATION

The intrinsic Fermi energy, \( E_f^i \), can be solved numerically by equating \( n \) given by Eq. (7) and \( p \) by Eq. (8). Using the calculated \( E_f^i \), either Eq. (7) or (8) can be used to calculate the intrinsic carrier concentration, \( n_i \). Same band parameters were assumed as those used in the recent calculation for electron mobilities in Hg\(_{1-x}\)Zn\(_x\)Te: \( \Delta=0.95 \) eV, \( P=8.2 \times 10^{-18} \) eV cm, and \( m^*_h = 0.6 \) m, where the value for \( \Delta \) is the average of those found in literature. During the calculation, the upper limit of \( e \) in the integral (7) was selected for each \( x \) and \( T \) such that changing to a higher value did not essentially affect the calculated result and that the integrand, at this upper limit, had decreased to less than one half of one thousandth of its maximum. This upper limit corresponds to a conduction band energy that ranges from 11 kT for \( x=0.1 \) at 400 K to 97 kT for \( x=0.4 \) at 50 K above the calculated \( E_f(x,T) \). The \( E_f^i \) was considered to be solved when \( |n/p-1|<10^{-4} \) was satisfied. The calculated intrinsic carrier concentrations in semiconductor Hg\(_{1-x}\)Zn\(_x\)Te as a function of temperature for various compositions in the range \( 0<x<0.4 \) are plotted in Fig. 1. The differences in the

![Fig. 1. Calculated intrinsic carrier concentrations in Hg\(_{1-x}\)Zn\(_x\)Te as functions of temperature. The x value is 0.06 for the uppermost curve and, with a 0.02 interval, in an ascending order for the other curves from the top to bottom, as indicated by the labels.](image-url)
TABLE I. Differences in the intrinsic carrier concentrations between the current and previous calculations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$x$</th>
<th>350 K</th>
<th>300 K</th>
<th>250 K</th>
<th>200 K</th>
<th>150 K</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>This work</td>
<td>Ref. 19</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>This work</td>
<td>Ref. 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>$1.33 \times 10^{17}$</td>
<td>$1.21 \times 10^{17}$</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>$9.65 \times 10^{16}$</td>
<td>$8.75 \times 10^{16}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>$8.00 \times 10^{15}$</td>
<td>$6.96 \times 10^{15}$</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>$3.16 \times 10^{14}$</td>
<td>$2.76 \times 10^{14}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>$2.96 \times 10^{14}$</td>
<td>$2.60 \times 10^{14}$</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>$5.88 \times 10^{13}$</td>
<td>$5.21 \times 10^{13}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>$1.01 \times 10^{13}$</td>
<td>$9.22 \times 10^{12}$</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>$1.01 \times 10^{12}$</td>
<td>$9.12 \times 10^{11}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

intrinsic carrier concentrations between the present calculation and that in Ref. 19 at several $x$ and $T$ values are listed in Table I. The numerical data for Ref. 19 were scaled from the plotted curves. The previous results are consistently lower by 10%-15% and more so at lower temperatures. Even when using exactly the same values for band parameters $\Delta$ and $P$ as in Ref. 19, the present results are still about 7%-25% higher than those previously reported. The temperature dependence of the reduced Fermi energy, $E_f/kT$, for the intrinsic semiconductor material is shown in Fig. 2. The figure indicates that intrinsic Hg$_{1-x}$Zn$_x$Te remains nondegenerate for $x$ value greater than about 0.2 for the entire temperature range.

IV. NEAR THE BAND CROSS-OVER

As suggested by Eq. (9), the energy band gap in Hg$_{1-x}$Zn$_x$Te decreases with temperature and approaches zero at 334, 211, and 68 K for $x=0.06$, 0.08, and 0.1, respectively. Below these temperatures, the band gaps, defined as $\Gamma_x-\Gamma_y$, become negative and $\Gamma_x$ becomes the conduction band for these compositions. For $x=0.04$, the band gap stays negative for the entire temperature range of calculation. After the cross over, the effective energy gap remains zero. Figure 3(a) and 3(b) show the calculated intrinsic carrier concentrations and reduced intrinsic Fermi energies, respectively, for those compositions near the band cross over. The curves reflect two competing mechanisms that are present near the band cross over as temperature decreases: (a) decreasing temperature tends to confine the electrons to near the band edge and (b) the closing band gap makes the thermally activated electrons easy to occupy the higher levels in the conduction band. The intrinsic carrier concentrations seem to be not affected by the band cross over indicating that mechanism (a) has the predominant influence on their behavior throughout the entire temperature range. The intrinsic Fermi energies for these compositions are pushed well into the conduction band due to the large density of states in the heavy-mass valence band and $E_f/kT$ keeps increasing with $T$.

FIG. 2. Calculated reduced Fermi energies for the intrinsic Hg$_{1-x}$Zn$_x$Te as functions of temperature. The $x$ value is 0.06 for the upper most curve and, with a 0.02 interval, in an ascending order for the other curves from the top to bottom, as indicated by the labels near the curves or on the right margin.

FIG. 3. Calculated intrinsic carrier concentrations (a) and reduced intrinsic Fermi energies (b) as functions of temperature for Hg$_{1-x}$Zn$_x$Te with 0.04$\leq x \leq$0.1. The arrows in plot (b) indicate the temperatures where the band cross over occurred.
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FIG. 4. Calculated transport electron effective masses as functions of alloy composition for Hg$_{1-x}$Zn$_x$Te at 300 K. Each curve is calculated at the Fermi energies corresponding to a fixed $n-p$ value as indicated by the labels. The bottom curve is the band-edge values for the property.

decreasing temperature before the band cross over, reflecting a stronger effect of mechanism (b). After the cross over, mechanism (a) comes into play and eventually, by overcoming the effect of mechanism (b), reverses the direction of change. (The actual $E_f$ values decrease.) For $x=0.04$, the Fermi energy decreases with decreasing temperature.

V. TRANSPORT EFFECTIVE MASS

In general, the expression for the electron effective mass that appears in the description of transport phenomena is given by $m^*_e = h^2 k (dE/dk)^{-1}$ for a given energy band $E(k)$ with arbitrary nonparabolicity. It is also referred to as susceptibility effective mass or cyclotron effective mass. From Eq. (2), we have

$$m^*_e = \left(1 + \frac{m}{\hbar^2 k} \frac{dE}{dk}\right)^{-1}.$$ (10)

Jóźwikowski and Rogalski adopted a relation for $m^*_e$ which was derived by Wright et al. from the Kane model using a series of approximations for the case of semimetals and small carrier concentrations. Among those approximations, the Fermi–Dirac distribution for the conduction band electrons was replaced by a step function, the electrons were treated as occupying all the states inside a sphere in $k$ space, and constant electron levels, $n$, were used instead of the more meaningful $n-p$. In this work, no approximation was made in calculating the effective mass from the Kane model and the Fermi energies that correspond to given $n-p$ values were used in the calculation. For a given energy $E$, Eqs. (1) and (2) were first used to solve for $\varepsilon$, and $k$, which were subsequently used in evaluating the derivative in Eq. (10).

Figure 4 shows the electron transport effective masses calculated at the Fermi energies corresponding to different levels of the net carrier concentration, $n-p$. Calculations were performed at $T=300$ K and only for those compositions at which the Fermi energy is within the conduction band. To solve the Fermi energies corresponding to a given $n-p=N$ value, the $E_f$ in Eqs. (7) and (8) was varied until $|n-p/N-1|<10^{-4}$ was satisfied. Comparing to the results in Ref. 19, one can notice the difference in the shape of the curves, especially for the low net carrier concentrations ($n-p$) on the right-hand side of the band cross over, where the Fermi energies are below the conduction band edge and the Fermi energies become well below the conduction band edge and the semimetal approximations do not hold. At large net carrier concentrations, where direct comparison can be made (since $n-p\approx n$ for $N\approx10^{18}$), the exact calculations given here yield $m^*_e$ values that are about 7%-10% smaller than those given in Ref. 19. Of particular interest, the electron transport effective mass at the bottom of the conduction band, given by

$$m^*_e = \left(1 + \frac{4mP^2}{3\hbar^2} \frac{\Delta + 1.5E_g}{E_g}\right)^{-1}, \text{ for } E_g>0,$$ (11)

and

$$m^*_e = \left(1 + \frac{4mP^2}{3\hbar^2|E_g|}\right)^{-1}, \text{ for } E_g<0,$$ (12)

is also shown in Fig. 4 as a function of composition.

In Ref. 19, the parabolic equivalent density-of-states effective masses were calculated for compositions as low as $x=0.12$ for $50\leq T\leq350$ K, where the presumption of nondegenerate semiconductor in deriving the quantity became invalid, rendering the density-of-states effective force meaningless. It should be noted that the density-of-states effective mass has no inherent physical significance and its main utility is in simplifying the formalism for the computation of $n_i$.

VI. SUMMARY

Intrinsic carrier concentrations, intrinsic Fermi energies, and electron effective mass were calculated for the Hg$_{1-x}$Zn$_x$Te alloys in the range of $0<x<0.4$ and $50$ K $\leq T \leq 400$ K. Unlike previous calculations, no approximation to the band structure, and hence to the density of states, was made beyond those inherent in the Kane $k\cdot p$ theory. The Kane secular equation was solved numerically and the exact energy dispersion relation for the conduction band was used in calculating the carrier densities. The previous calculated intrinsic carrier concentrations are about 10%-30% lower than obtained here. The difference is believed to be a result of the approximation made previously to the energy band structure. The first order derivatives of the energy dispersion relation from the Kane model was derived analytically without any approximation. The calculations included the band cross-over region where band interactions have large effects on the carrier concentrations, Fermi energies, and the effective mass of the alloy system.
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