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Abstract

For a decade, induction motor drive-based electrical actuators have been under investigation as potential replacement for the conventional hydraulic and pneumatic actuators in aircraft. Advantages of electric actuator include lower weight and size, reduced maintenance and operating costs, improved safety due to the elimination of hazardous fluids and high pressure hydraulic and pneumatic actuators, and increased efficiency.

Recently, the emphasis of research on induction motor drives has been on sensorless vector control which eliminates flux and speed sensors mounted on the motor. Also, the development of effective speed and flux estimators has allowed good rotor flux-oriented (RFO) performance at all speeds except those close to zero. Sensorless control has improved the motor performance, compared to the Volts/Hertz (or constant flux) controls.

This report evaluated documented schemes for speed sensorless drives, and discusses the trends and tradeoffs involved in selecting a particular scheme. These schemes combine the attributes of the direct and indirect field-oriented control (FOC) or use model adaptive reference systems (MRAS) with a speed-dependent current model for flux estimation which tracks the voltage model-based flux estimator.

Many factors are important in comparing the effectiveness of a speed sensorless scheme. Among them are the wide speed range capability, motor parameter insensitivity and noise reduction. Although a number of schemes have been proposed for solving the speed estimation, zero-speed FOC with robustness against parameter variations still remains an area of research for speed sensorless control.

1. Introduction

The induction motor has been the workhorse of industry for many years. In particular, the squirrel cage motor is one of the most important ac machines because of its low cost, high reliability, low inertia and high transient torque capacity. Significant advances in power electronics have permitted the implementation of sophisticated methods for control of induction motors, using FOC which allows decoupling and separate control of the torque and flux components of the stator currents. There are a number of trends and tradeoffs involved in implementing the different forms of field-oriented control. First, most of the field orientation methods require precise estimation of either the rotor position or speed. This implies the need for speed sensors such as shaft-mounted tacho-generators, resolvers or digital shaft encoders. The speed sensors lower the system reliability and, also, require special attention to measurement noise. Second, the direct field-oriented control requires the rotor flux which is measured using Hall effect sensors or search coils. The Hall effect sensors degrade the performance and reliability of the drive system. Third, the implementation of direct field orientation uses an open-loop integration of the machine voltage to estimate the flux, which gives problems at low speeds. Finally, although the indirect field-oriented control scheme is simple
and preferred, its performance is highly dependent on an accurate knowledge of the machine parameters.

Research in induction motor drives, for the past fifteen years, has focused on improving the different field-oriented schemes to remedy the above problems. In particular, much work has been done in decreasing the sensitivity of the control system to the motor parameter estimates, and estimating, rather than measuring, the rotor flux or speed from the terminal voltages and currents. This eliminates the flux or speed sensor, thereby achieving sensorless control. The estimators are, also, known as observers. Another control scheme, known as direct self control (DSC) or direct torque control (DTC), requires only stator parameters, and has been developed as an alternative sensor-less drive.

Fig 1 shows a general sensorless drive. Signals representing the terminal voltages and currents are fed to observers for estimating the rotor flux magnitude, angle and speed. The estimated quantities are compared with their respective command values. The errors are fed into the controllers which feed the power electronic converters.

Fig. 1 Speed Sensorless FOC System

A number of developed approaches for flux and speed observers are documented in the literature. In the past two years the University of Akron, and the Power and On-Board Propulsion Technology Division at NASA Lewis Research Center have been investigating and developing an induction motor drive system for aerospace applications. An example of such applications is replacing hydraulic actuators in launch vehicles and aircraft with electrical actuators [43]-[44]. The motor drive system implemented uses a direct FOC. A rotor flux observer has been developed to overcome problems encountered in previous flux observers. However, the observer requires the motor rotor speed and a shaft encoder for speed sensing.

Research reported here aimed at eliminating the speed sensor. This required a survey on and evaluation of more than thirty technical papers on sensorless techniques for induction motor drives. This report summarizes our findings. A follow-up of the study is to adopt either one of the searched techniques, or combine the attributes of many techniques to obtain a better sensorless drive.

2. Essentials of Observer Theory

Most systems can be modeled by a state-space description of the form [1]:

\[
\frac{dx(t)}{dt} = Ax(t) + Bu(t) + Gd(t)
\]
\[
y(t) = Cx(t) + Hd(t)
\]

(1)

where:

- \(x(t)\) is a state vector,
- \(u(t)\) is a vector of known inputs,
- \(d(t)\) is a vector of unknown inputs,
- \(y(t)\) is a vector of outputs and
- \(A, B, C, G \& H\) are matrices of appropriate dimensions.

The observer theory aims at providing real-time estimate of the state \(x(t)\) using only \(u(t)\) and \(y(t)\). A state equation representing the estimated state vector, \(\hat{x}\), is

\[
\frac{d\hat{x}(t)}{dt} = A\hat{x}(t) + Bu(t) + K(C\hat{x}(t) - y(t))
\]

(2)

where \((C\hat{x}(t) - y(t))\) is known as the prediction error and \(K\) is known as the observer gain. The effectiveness of the observer is assessed by examining the dynamics of the estimation error

\[
e(t) = \hat{x}(t) - x(t)
\]

the state equation of which is:

\[
\frac{de(t)}{dt} = (A + KC)e(t) + (G + KH)d(t)
\]

(4)

The dynamics of Equation (4) is governed by the eigenvalues of the matrix \((A + KC)\). If these eigenvalues have negative real part, then the estimate \(\hat{x}\) will approach the actual \(x\). Fig. 2 shows a block diagram of a linear state observer.

The literature shows many approaches for manipulating the induction machine equations so as to develop observers for estimating the machine fluxes, speed or position. This report summarizes the observers used to estimate the rotor speed, or eliminate the need for it, in field-oriented schemes. The various approaches on sensorless control are discussed in the sections that follow.
3.0 Speed Identification Schemes

3.1 Kalman Filter Schemes

The Kalman filter algorithm and its extension are robust and efficient observers for linear and nonlinear systems, respectively. The observers use knowledge about the system dynamics and statistical properties of the system, and measurement noise sources to produce an optimal state estimation. A continuous time model is used in the case of the Kalman filter, whereas the extended Kalman filter requires a discrete state-space model. A major advantage of the Kalman filtering approach is its fault tolerance which permits system parameter drifts. Therefore, exact models are not required.

The application of full- and reduced-order versions of Kalman and Extended-Kalman filters to speed estimation in induction motor and drives has been investigated [11], [12] and [31]. Fig. 3 shows a typical structure of a Kalman filtering approach.

![Fig. 2 Linear State Observer](image)

Different models of the induction machine for use with Kalman filter have been proposed in Ref. [31]. The results indicate that the operating range of the sensorless drive is not reduced for static, dynamic or field weakening operation.

In Refs. [11] and [12] the full- and reduced-order models Extended Kalman filter are used for rotor flux and speed estimation, using direct field orientation. The use of reduced-order model has the advantage of saving computation time, in comparison with the full-order extended Kalman filter. The developments in the real time computational speed of digital signal processing chips make the Kalman filter a powerful approach to sensorless vector control. However, the robustness and sensitivity to parameter variation needs further study [11].

3.2 Model Reference Adaptive Schemes

Adaptive control has emerged as a potential solution for implementing high performance control systems, especially when dynamic characteristics of a plant are poorly known, or have large and unpredictable variations.

Model reference adaptive system (MRAS) achieves robust and high performance. The main innovation of MRAS is the presence of a reference model which specifies the desired performance. The output of the reference model is compared with an adjustable observer-based model. The error is fed into an adaptation mechanism which is designed to assure the stability of the MRAS.

A number of MRAS-based speed sensorless schemes have been described in the literature for field-oriented induction motor drives [5], [8], [9], [13], [15]-[17], [20], [23], [27]-[30]. Fig. 4 shows a typical MRAS speed estimator. The output of the reference model does not have an explicit dependence on the motor speed. The output of the adjustable model has a speed-dependence. For example, the inputs to both the reference and adjustable models can be stator voltages, and the outputs are fluxes or back emf. The difference between the outputs is fed into a speed adaptive scheme the output of which is the estimated speed used to correct the adjustable model.

Many simplified motor models have been devised to estimate the speed of the induction motor, using MRAS schemes. The voltage model, shown in Fig. 5, for rotor flux estimation is commonly used as a reference model, since it does not depend on the rotor speed [36]-[37]. The current model, shown in Fig. 6, is used for the adjustable model, since it is speed-dependent [36]-[37]. The implementation of the two models in different reference frames affects the complexity and robustness of the MRAS scheme [5]. Recently, a number of closed-loop observers that

NASA TM-107466
combine the best attributes of the voltage and current models with MRAS and other sensorless approaches have been developed. This has resulted in increased research in direct field orientation, as compared to the standard indirect field orientation employed in induction motor drives [36]-[38].

The speed adaptive algorithm used affects the stability and dynamic performance of the closed-loop MRAS. In many cases, a proportional-integral (PI) controller is found to be satisfactory for the adaptive scheme.

![Fig. 4 Typical MRAS For Speed Estimation.](image)

Fig. 4 Typical MRAS For Speed Estimation.

The above approaches have been shown to have the potential for wide-speed and parameter-insensitive sensorless control, particularly during low speed operation, including zero speed. Increased research is expected in this area.

### 3.4 Direct Self Control Schemes

A number of field-orientation methods have been developed. These methods use only measured stator voltages and currents to implement a sensorless control [4], [31]-[33]. The most promising scheme is the direct self control (DSC), also known as direct torque control (DTC), shown in Fig. 7. It is a variation of field oriented control but uses only the stator resistance in its calculations. This makes the DSC less sensitive to parameter changes [4]. Such a control is. In DSC, the flux position and the errors in the torque and flux are directly used to choose the inverter switching state.

![Fig. 7. Direct Self Controller.](image)

Due to estimation of flux based on integration of a voltage signal, DSC has limitations at low speeds. Also, frequency and temperature variations tend to cause corresponding change in the actual motor resistance, thereby creating an error in the estimate of the stator flux. Tuning the stator resistance used in the controller to track the above changes in the actual motor resistance will improve the DSC scheme, and increase its potential as a simple sensorless control.

### 3.5 Intelligent Control Techniques

Neural Networks (NNs) and Fuzzy Logic are gaining potential as estimators and controllers for many industrial applications, due to the fact that they present better properties than the conventional controllers.
NNs have learning capability to approximate very complicated nonlinear functions, and therefore considered as universal approximation. Also, they have adaptive capability which makes them very powerful in applications where the dynamics of a plant are time-variant or where the model of the system is partially known. The main advantage of NNs is their inherent fault tolerance. Fig. 8 shows a typical NN and a general architecture of NN control of a plant. Fig. 8 shows a typical neuron, Artificial Neural Network (ANN) structure and NN control of a plant (Fig. 8c).

4.0 Conclusions

A summary of the literature on schemes for speed sensorless drives has been given. The trends and tradeoffs of the different speed sensorless schemes are discussed. Further research areas needed in each scheme are noted.

Although a number of schemes have been proposed for solving the speed estimation, many factors remain important in comparing their effectiveness. Among these factors are the wide speed range capability, motor parameter insensitivity and noise reduction. In particular, zero-speed vector control with robustness against parameter variations yet remains an area of research for speed sensorless control.

Future work on induction motor drive-based electrical actuators should develop a speed sensorless scheme that will investigate and effectively incorporate the above factors. Such a sensorless drive is expected to yield more reliable, high performance and cost-effective electrical actuators which will benefit thrust vector control of launch vehicles and, also, aircraft upgrade.
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