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Abstract

A series of electrically heated tube experiments was conducted to investigate the potential of JP-7 as a coolant under conditions relevant to a Mach 8 propulsion system. The heat transfer capabilities, carbon deposition, and material compatibility of JP-7 at surface temperatures up to 1700 °F (927 °C) were tested in 0.125 in. diameter tubes of 304 SS, Inconel 617, Haynes 188, Haynes 230, and 50/50 Moly-Rhenium. The heat transfer to the coolant was modeled well by a Dittus-Boelter correlation at lower heat fluxes. At higher heat fluxes, audible instabilities were observed and corresponded to a significant enhancement in the coolant heat transfer. The carbon deposition rates in these tests were comparable to those in previous experiments at lower heat fluxes and much longer residence times. This result suggests that alternative paths of the deposition mechanism may be enhanced under high heat flux test conditions. Microscopic investigation of the post test tubes indicated that there was a significant layer of ordered carbon deposits that had not been seen in the tests at lower heat flux.

Introduction

Hypersonic flight places severe requirements on the fuel, both as a propellant and as a coolant. Hydrocarbon fuels are limited to approximately Mach 8 primarily by their coolant capabilities, although their combustion properties also have significant limitations. Steady state temperatures in Mach 8 combustors can reach as high as 5000 °F (2760 °C) which will require regenerative cooling of the combustor. As part of the Air Force Hypersonic Technology (HyTech) program, the Materials and Aero Propulsion and Power Directorates of Wright Laboratory are examining fuel/material compatibility issues for hydrocarbon-fueled Mach 8 vehicles. Various metallic alloy candidates for regenerative cooling systems have been examined, including commercial superalloys and moly-rhenium (MoRe) alloys which were previously studied under the National Aerospace Plane program.

A major concern for high temperature hydrocarbon fuels is the formation of coke. There are various types of coke that can be formed, with the most deleterious being filamentous (catalytic) coke. Filamentous coke is formed by surface carbon diffusing through metals, precipitating out at grain boundaries, and catalytically growing carbon filaments that include grains of the metal surface. This type of carbon formation reduces metal strength and ductility and is obviously undesirable. In general, all types of coke formation are undesirable in that they reduce heat transfer by acting as an insulator on surfaces, and can clog small passages in fuel systems and injectors. Most of the literature on high temperature coke formation is based on industrial applications at near-atmospheric pressures, often diluted with steam; there is little research at the high pressures expected in hypersonic vehicle fuel systems (1000 psi, 6.9 MPa).

Wright Laboratories has confirmed the formation of filamentous carbon for fuels heated to 1000 °F (540 °C) at 1000 psi (6.9 MPa), but the heat flux was much lower than the 500 Btu/ft²-s (570 W/cm²) or more that is expected in
a Mach 8 propulsion system. In addition, it is anticipated that wall temperatures may reach 1800 °F (980 °C) during hypersonic flight. Previous work has determined that some of the key parameters that affect the coke deposition are heat flux, fuel temperature and pressure, surface temperature, fuel residence time, and fuel composition. Further tests at Wright Laboratories extended the database by reaching surface temperatures of 1400 °F (760 °C). No reduction in material strength was found after 2 hours of exposure for a variety of superalloys and a MoRe alloy. These tests, however, were also limited to low heat fluxes and long fuel residence times (i.e., low flow rates).

This paper describes results from heated tube tests with JP-7 cooling with surface temperatures up to 1700 °F (927 °C). Fuel flow rate and heat flux were also much higher than the previous tests, and were more typical of conditions expected in hypersonic flight. The experimental cooling capability of the JP-7 was compared to two standard heat transfer coefficient correlations. The carbon deposition rate was also quantified, and compared with the earlier results at lower heat flux. Finally, several tubes were sectioned and examined microscopically for failure and coking mechanisms.

Test Facility, Hardware, and Procedure

The tests were conducted in the NASA Lewis Research Center Heated Tube Facility. The combustible liquids system was used for these tests. The entire facility is described in detail in reference 9.

Facility

A simplified schematic of the combustible liquids system is shown in Fig. 1. The test section was mounted vertically within a vacuum chamber that was kept below 0.01 psi (69 Pa). The vacuum environment minimized heat losses due to convection and provided a measure of safety in the event of a fuel leak. The test section was heated electrically by passing a current through the tube. Four direct current power supplies were available, each capable of 1500 amps and 80 V. The coolant fuel was stored in a supply tank rated for pressures up to 1650 psi. The coolant flowed from the supply tank through the test section and back through a heat exchanger to the return tank. Separate valves were used for flow rate and test section back pressure control. For this test series, the control valves were pneumatically actuated and were

---

**Figure 1.**—Schematic of combustible liquid flow system in the heated tube facility.
sized for a nominal flow rate of 0.0345 lbrn/s (15.6 gm/s). A Coriolis-force flow meter was used to provide accurate flow measurement of the JP-7 fuel. The coolant temperature and pressure were measured at the inlet and exit of the test section by thermocouples and pressure transducers, and heat input was determined by recording the voltage and current applied to the test section. Each of the facility and research instrumentation was recorded on the facility's data system at a rate of one complete cycle per second.

Test Hardware

The test sections for these tests were fabricated from 0.125 in. (0.318 cm) outside diameter by 0.015 in. (0.038 cm) wall tubes of the following alloys: 304 stainless steel, Inconel 617, Haynes 188, Haynes 230, and Molybdenum/Rhenium at approximately 50 percent each (MoRe). The one exception was that the wall thickness of the stainless steel tube was 0.016 inch (0.041 cm). The total test section length was 18 in. (45.7 cm) and was connected to 0.25 in. (0.64 cm) tubing at the inlet. Of the total length, 14 in. (35.6 cm) were heated electrically. Copper disks of 0.5 in. (1.3 cm) thickness were brazed to the tube in a vacuum furnace braze process. The brazing alloy was selected for a liquidus temperature low enough that the tube material properties would not be affected during brazing but a remelt temperature higher than it would be exposed to during testing. The copper disks provided convenient electrical connection for heating.

The test sections were instrumented with six type K thermocouples which were spot welded directly to the outer surface of the tube. The thermocouples were located at 1, 2, 5, 9, 12, and 13 in. (2.5, 5.1, 12.7, 22.9, 30.5, and 33.0 cm) from the start of the heated section (Fig. 2). Each test section was calibrated in a water cooled calibration rig prior to testing to check and correct for induced temperature error caused by the voltage in the tube. Reference 10 discusses this error potential, and the method used to correct for it if present.

At an operating pressure of 1000 psi (6.9 MPa), hoop stress on the tubes was approximately 3200 psi (22 MPa). Table I lists the tensile strength of the materials tested. It can be seen that the hoop stresses encountered in these experiments were still well below the failure point of the tubes.

Coolant Properties

Thermophysical properties of n-dodecane (C_{12}H_{26}) were used to model the JP-7 fuel.\textsuperscript{11} The n-dodecane properties were obtained from the National Institute of Standards and Technology reference database.\textsuperscript{12} Prandtl
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\caption{Schematic of test section hardware and instrumentation. (TC = thermocouple)}
\end{figure}
TABLE I. — HIGH-TEMPERATURE TENSILE STRENGTH OF TESTED MATERIALS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Temperature, °F</th>
<th>Tensile strength, kibf/in²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>304 SS</td>
<td>1800</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INCONEL 617</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAYNES 188</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>18.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAYNES 230</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>19.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MoRe</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

number varied from 21 at ambient temperature to approximately 3.5 at temperatures near 850 °F (450 °C). Critical conditions were assumed to be 260 psi and 760 °F (1.8 MPa and 404 °C).

Procedure

A typical test run procedure started by filling the supply tank with JP-7 and pressurizing the ullage with gaseous nitrogen. The empty return tank was vented to the atmosphere. The coolant flow was stabilized at flow rate (0.0345 lbm/sec) and back pressure (1000 psi) set points prior to turning on the electrical heating power supplies. The power was increased in steps until the desired wall temperatures were achieved. Figure 3 shows the profiles of fluid outlet temperature, heat flux, and coolant-side wall temperature versus test time for a representative test. The plots show the gradual increase in heat flux and temperatures that was necessary to avoid unstable conditions which could result in test section failure. The figure also shows a large fluctuation in wall temperature at the maximum heat flux that occurred in all of the tests.

The test objective was to maintain wall temperature at a specified value (between 1400 °F and 1800 °F; 760 and 980 °C) for 15 min. Most tests, however, were ended before 15 min due to either a tube failure or rapidly rising temperatures. For example, the test shown in Fig. 3 was terminated when wall temperature began to rise rapidly at constant heat flux just after 900 sec. After a test was completed, the test section was removed and prepared for post-test carbon deposition and microscopic analysis.

Coolant side wall temperature was calculated from the hot side wall thermocouples using two methods. The first method was an iterative procedure that calculated local heat flux, electrical resistivity, and thermal conductivity for each tube portion containing a thermocouple. The second method used direct radial conduction assuming equal power distribution throughout the tube. Coolant wall temperatures calculated from the two methods were within one degree of each other. The temperature delta across the wall for 304 SS, Haynes 188, Haynes 230, and Inconel 617 were similar; they ranged from 85 °F (47 °C) at a heat flux of 575 Btu/ft²-s (653 W/cm²) to 106 °F (59 °C) at a heat flux of 720 Btu/ft²-s (817 W/cm²). For the Moly-Rhenium tube, the temperature delta across the wall was approximately 40 °F (22 °C) at a heat flux of 575 Btu/ft²-s (653 W/cm²).

Results and Discussion

Table II summarizes the tests that were completed during this test program. Note that the hottest point in the tube was not always at the same location, even with the same tube material. Test sections number 2 (Haynes 188), 4, and 5 (Haynes 230) were the only test sections that did not fail. All other test sections listed experienced some type of failure.

Flow Quality at Entry

At ambient temperature and 1000 psi (6.9 MPa) pressure, the viscosity of JP-7 is 0.00105 lbm/ft·sec (0.00156 N·s/m²). These conditions provided an initial Reynolds number based on diameter of approximately 5275. As the JP-7 was heated, Reynolds number increased due to the decreasing viscosity. Typical Reynolds number calculated with bulk fluid conditions at the tube exit during a test was approximately 140 000. Both inlet and exit Reynolds number, therefore, were in the turbulent regime. For turbulent flow in a tube, the hydrodynamic entry length is independent of Reynolds number. Reference 13 suggests between 10 and 60 diameters for a first approximation, and states that 10 diameters is usually appropriate. With an internal diameter of 0.095 in.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tube material</th>
<th>Test section number</th>
<th>Maximum heat flux, Btu/ft²-sec</th>
<th>Maximum coolant-wall temperature at location for duration</th>
<th>Comments (including type and location of failure)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>304 SS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>720</td>
<td>1450 °F at 13 in. for 80 sec 1550 °F at 13 in. for 30 sec</td>
<td>Bulging of tube; pinhole leaks in two locations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haynes 188</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>1450 to 1550 °F at 9 in. for 250 sec 1500 to 1600 °F at 12 in. for 160 sec</td>
<td>At constant heat flux, wall temperatures began to rise steadily and quickly; test was stopped</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haynes 188</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>575</td>
<td>1400 to 1500 °F at 1 and 5 in. for 70 sec</td>
<td>Tube failed as heat flux was increasing from 575 to 600 Btu/sec; 0.5 in. axial split at 5.5 in.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haynes 230</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>575</td>
<td>1400 to 1600 °F at 2 in. for 370 sec (reached 1800 °F before shutdown)</td>
<td>At constant heat flux, wall temperatures began to rise steadily and quickly; test was stopped</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haynes 230</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>1650 to 1750 °F at 13 in. for 900 sec</td>
<td>Test was stopped after 15 min at target temperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haynes 230</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>720</td>
<td>1425 to 1575 °F at 13 in. for 350 sec</td>
<td>Low-frequency oscillation in flow rate and back pressure; lowered heat flux, then increased again; at heat flux of 500 Btu/sec, tube failed. Axial split at 5.5 in., and piece separated from tube from ~1.5 to 2.5 in.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inconel 617</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>1450 to 1550 °F at 13 in. for 320 sec</td>
<td>As heat flux was being raised to 685 Btu/sec, wall temperatures rose rapidly and tube failed; ~0.5 in. axial fracture at 7 in.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moly-Re</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>575</td>
<td>1200 to 1400 °F at 1 and 2 in. for 50 sec</td>
<td>Plastic deformation (bulge) and split at 2 in.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(0.24 cm), the 2.75 in. (7.0 cm) of tubing before the beginning of the heated section provided 29 diameters to develop flow before entering the heated test section. Therefore, fully developed turbulent flow was assumed throughout the test section.

The thermal entry length in a tube is also independent of Reynolds and Prandtl numbers for turbulent flow. Reference 13 recommends 10 diameters for the thermal entry length for turbulent flow. Ten diameters would occur just before the first thermocouple at 1 in. (2.5 cm). Therefore, the first thermocouple was considered to be at the end of the thermal entry region, with the remainder of the test section in the thermally fully developed region.

Heat Transfer Correlations

In order to determine the cooling characteristics of the JP-7 in the test section, the experimental Nusselt number was calculated according to:

\[
NU = \frac{h_x \cdot D_i}{k_f}
\]

where \( h_x \) is the experimental convective coefficient calculated by:

\[
h_x = \frac{q_x}{(T_{cw} - T_m)}
\]

where \( q_x \) is the experimental heat flux, \( T_{cw} \) is the coolant-side wall temperature, and \( T_m \) is the local mean fluid temperature.

The experimental Nusselt number was then compared to two empirical correlations. The first was the Dittus-Boelter equation:

\[
NU_{DB} = 0.023 \cdot Re^{0.8} \cdot Pr^{0.4} \quad \text{(for heating of the fluid)}
\]

The second correlation used was the Sieder-Tate equation, which is recommended when there are large differences in fluid properties between the free-stream and near the wall:

\[
NU_{ST} = 0.027 \cdot Re^{0.8} \cdot Pr^{0.333} \left( \frac{\mu}{\mu_w} \right)^{0.14}
\]

where all quantities are calculated with properties at the mean fluid temperature except for \( \mu_w \), which is the viscosity at the wall temperature.

These three values of Nusselt number were plotted as a function of time for each thermocouple location for each tube. The Nusselt number curves for test section 4
(Haynes 230) are representative of all the test sections, and are shown in Fig. 4. One consistent feature of the Nusselt number comparisons for all of the thermocouples on all of the tubes was that the experimental Nusselt number in the beginning of every test most closely resembled the Dittus-Boelter correlation. At some point during the test, however, as the heat flux was increased, the experimental Nusselt number transitioned from the Dittus-Boelter correlation to the Sieder-Tate correlation. This transition occurred around 160 sec for test section 4 as shown in Fig. 4. The cooling characteristics after this transition occurred were inconsistent from one test section to another and even at different thermocouple locations within a test section. Figure 4(b) shows that the experimental Nusselt number at 9 in. (22.9 cm) was higher than the Sieder-Tate correlation for 300 sec before returning to the Sieder-Tate value. At 12 in. (30.5 cm) (Fig. 4(c)), the experimental Nusselt number remained higher than the Sieder-Tate correlation for the remainder of the test.

As heat flux was increased during each test, the difference between wall and free stream temperature increased. It was therefore anticipated that the cooling characteristics would gradually transition from the Dittus-Boelter to the Sieder-Tate correlation. The difference between wall and free stream temperature, however, varied significantly along the length of the tube at any given time. This fact, along with the abruptness of the transition and the inconsistencies afterward implied that factors other than, or in addition to, radial viscosity variations caused the change in cooling characteristics.

The enhanced cooling that is indicated in the Nusselt number curves in Fig. 4 can be illustrated in a different manner as shown in Fig. 5. In this figure, heat flux is plotted as a function of coolant-side wall temperature. At lower heat flux, the wall temperature increases linearly with increasing heat flux. At some value of heat flux, however, there is a discontinuity where temperature drops, then increases more slowly and unevenly. This slower rate of wall temperature rise indicates an enhancement of the convective heat transfer coefficient. At the location shown in Fig. 5 (5 in.), this improvement is about 40 percent. The cooling enhancement, however, varied widely along the tube with an average of 100 percent.

Several factors could cause or contribute to the increase in the convective heat transfer coefficient of the JP-7 that is indicated in Figs. 4 and 5. The test data were reviewed in an attempt to discover a common variable that triggered the transition to the enhanced cooling regime. The first common variable was that the transition occurred at the same time throughout the length of the test section. That is, all six thermocouples measured the enhanced cooling.

![Figures 4(a) to 4(c)](image)
effect simultaneously. This discounted any correlation with local Reynolds number, wall temperature, and local mean fluid temperature as these properties all vary axially along the length of the test section at a given time.

Other possible causes that were considered include roughness of the tube as carbon deposition began, an increase in radiative losses from the test section, large property variations near critical temperature, heat flux level, and flow instabilities. Although roughness was not measured in these tests, previous experiments at lower heat flux showed that the insulating properties of the carbon deposits proved to be more significant than any advantage gained by carbon deposits roughening the wall.

Radiative emissivity of a metal will increase with time at elevated temperatures, and radiative losses were calculated to see if these could cause an apparent increase in fluid cooling capability. However, assuming a change in emissivity from 0.3 to 0.8, the radiative loss to the surroundings increases by only 1 percent of the total heat flux to the coolant, which is insufficient to account for a measured cooling capacity increase of over 40 percent.

The discontinuity and change in slope in Fig. 5 is similar to the experimental data discussed in reference 16, where nickel tubes of similar dimensions were used to electrically heat RP-1 at supercritical pressures and sub- and supercritical temperatures. In those experiments, the cooling transition occurred when the wall temperature approached the critical temperature of the fuel. In our experiments, however, the wall temperatures were significantly higher (a minimum of 900 °F) than the critical temperature of the JP-7 (760 °F) at the start of the transition.

Extensive theoretical and experimental analysis of subcritical fluids in heated tubes have also demonstrated similar curves. In subcritical fluids, the enhanced cooling region correlates to the onset of nucleate boiling. It has been suggested, therefore, that the enhanced cooling observed in fluids at supercritical pressures and sub- and supercritical temperatures is a supercritical fluid phenomena analogous to nucleate boiling, where pockets of low density fluid form near the wall like bubbles. This analogy has been proposed to explain experimental results of both hydrocarbon and hydrogen cooling. At the time of transition in our tests the density near the wall was 2.3 to 3.5 times lower than the bulk fluid density. However, the magnitude of the heat transfer enhancement was greater than expected because this density variation from bulk fluid temperature to wall temperature is much less at the operating pressures in these tests than at sub- or near-critical pressures. In a subcritical fluid, nucleate boiling changes to film boiling at a critical heat flux. This change is often abrupt, and can cause runaway temperatures resulting in failure of the tube material. The sudden, runaway temperatures experienced in this program are again similar to results from subcritical cooling.

The only variable that was consistent along the tube at any given time frame was heat flux. In order to investigate whether heat flux was the trigger for the onset of the enhanced cooling, the data from three test sections of Haynes 230 were compared (test sections 4, 5, and 6). The heat flux at which the transition to enhanced cooling occurred was similar for all three tubes. It occurred at a heat flux of approximately 240 Btu/ft²-s (272 W/cm²) for test section 4, 245 Btu/ft²-s (278 W/cm²) for test section 5, and 260 Btu/ft²-s (295 W/cm²) for test section 6. The bulk fluid outlet temperature was also similar for all three test sections, between 390 and 420 °F (200 and 216 °C). The wall temperature profiles, however, varied among the three tubes, both in temperature and in shape of the profile along the tube. Figure 6 shows the coolant-side wall temperatures for the three tubes just prior to the cooling transition.

The data from the two test sections of Haynes 188 were also compared (test sections 2 and 3) for similar trends. The heat flux at which the transition to enhanced cooling occurred was between 206 and 216 Btu/ft²-s (234 and 245 W/cm²) for both tubes. Fluid outlet temperature at this point was between 370 and 390 °F (188 and 200 °C). The lower heat flux trigger for the Haynes 188 tubes implies that tube material may also be a factor, although there were not enough materials tested to determine whether the difference between an average transition heat flux of 210 Btu/ft²-sec (238 W/cm²) (Haynes 188) and
250 Btu/ft²·sec (284 W/cm²) (Haynes 230) is a significant difference.

Another event that occurred at approximately the same time as the cooling transition was the onset of audible instabilities. These instabilities were normally pulsating, with several changes in pitch and frequency as heat flux increased. Similar audible instabilities have been noted in previous experiments with supercritical fluids, and several explanations of the cause have been proposed. In most of our test sections that were tested at higher heat fluxes (above approximately 600 Btu/ft²·s, 680 W/cm²), the instabilities would decay as heat flux was increased. The specific heat flux at which the instabilities ceased was not determined, as the necessary instrumentation to quantify the frequency and amplitude was not installed in the test rig. The approximate time the audible instabilities stopped was noted in the log books, but they tended to subside much more gradually than they had begun. Also, the cooling data did not show any consistent reaction or change that would help locate the end of the instabilities.

All of the tubes, except for the 304 stainless steel, exhibited the audible instabilities during some portion of the test. The stainless steel tubes, however, did experience the same type of enhanced cooling that was discussed for the Haynes tubes. This indicates that although there were no audible indications, the same supercritical cooling phenomena occurred in the stainless steel tubes.

Reference 16 concluded that the vibration stresses on their tubes caused an eventual failure. Because of the similarity of Fig. 5 to typical subcritical boiling curves, we assume that the enhanced cooling was triggered by the onset of a supercritical phenomena that produces results comparable to nucleate boiling, and that this phenomena then triggered the audible instabilities. The tube failures could therefore have been a result of either runaway temperatures caused by a change to this supercritical fluid phenomena, or vibration stress caused by the instabilities. Microscopic analysis presented later in this paper shows signs that some failures were due to melting, and some were due to stress fractures.

Analysis of Thermal Stability and Carbon Deposition

After testing, the tubes were drained, sealed, and sent to Wright Laboratories for further analysis. They were sectioned such that two 4-in. (10.2 cm) pieces were removed for burst testing, with other 0.5 to 1 in. (1.3 to 2.5 cm) pieces used for materials/surface characterization and carbon deposition measurement. No measurement of carbon deposition was made on the sections saved for burst testing. The carbon deposition measurements were performed by rinsing the tube sections in heptane to remove residual fuel and vacuum drying the tube sections at 230 °F (110 °C) for two hours. Carbon deposition measurements were performed in a Leco RC-412 Multiphase Carbon Determinator, where the carbon is "burned off" in oxygen, with the resulting CO₂ quantitatively measured in an IR cell. Typical sensitivities are on the 10 μg level for 1-in. tube segments.

Carbon deposits for three of the tests are shown in Figs. 7 to 9, along with wall temperature profiles at the maximum heat flux condition. The deposition is usually the highest at the "hot" end of the tube, where the fuel exits at 800 to 900 °F (427 to 482 °C). The dotted lines in the figures show the locations of the copper bus bars; the tube is actively heated between the dotted lines. Carbon deposition measurements were also made on tubing on either side of the actively heated section, where the deposition was consistently found to be very low. Note that the fuel temperature on either side of the bus bar at the hot end of the tube should be very similar, yet the deposition is much lower on the unheated surface (e.g., Fig. 8). This is likely due to a lower wall temperature outside of the actively heated zone.

The deposition results are summarized in Table III, along with data from earlier tests at Wright Laboratories at lower heat flux. Deposition can be expressed in several ways, as either a deposition rate (μg/cm²·hr) or as a deposition rate scaled by the total fuel flow (μg deposit per hour/g fuel flow per hour = ppm). The scaled deposition rate is similar for the (roughly) similar wall temperatures in the Wright Laboratories and Lewis Research Center tests, despite the much higher heat fluxes and flow rates.
(and thus the much shorter residence times) of the current tests. Note that the bulk fuel outlet temperature in the earlier tests was much higher (1200 to 1300 °F; 650 to 700 °C), with approximately 40 percent fuel conversion (amount of cracking) because of the longer residence time. Earlier data has indicated that deposition is a complex function of fuel temperature, wall temperature, residence time, and fuel conversion. This data set extends the pyrolytic deposition data to much lower residence times (approximately 0.05 sec versus approximately 1.2 sec for the earlier tests) and higher heat fluxes.

Some of the fuel cooling characteristics discussed earlier may be explained by the carbon deposition data. For example, the deposition data for test section 5 (Fig. 9) shows significantly higher deposition near the end of the tube. The cooling capability of the fuel in this test section started out low, similar to the Dittus-Boelter Nusselt number correlation, and then transitioned to the higher cooling capability predicted by the Sieder-Tate correlation. While the first four thermocouples (at 1, 2, 5, and 9 in. from the inlet) indicated that the fuel remained at this higher cooling capability, the last two thermocouples (at
One of the goals of this work is to understand fuel/material interactions under the high heat flux conditions (500 Btu/ft²-s) expected in hypersonic vehicles. Note that the conditions studied involve higher surface and bulk fuel temperatures than those usually encountered in regenerative cooling of rocket engines.22-24

With pyrolysis, surface deposits can form by several mechanisms. As illustrated in Fig. 11, deposits can grow via transport of large macromolecules or particles from the bulk flow, or via "catalytic" carbon formation from the surface. The illustrated mechanism is taken from the ethylene production literature, primarily for near atmospheric pressure cracking of steam-diluted hydrocarbons. It is not known at this point what effect the much higher fuel system pressures would have on this mechanism.

The previous tests at Wright Laboratories indicated that cracking of the fuel was required for deposition.8 Their data for deposition during jet fuel cracking showed a strong dependence on fuel and wall temperature and residence time. For a given level of conversion (cracking), deposition was minimized at the shortest residence time. In these tests, because bulk fluid outlet temperature reached a maximum of only 950 °F, it was assumed that very little cracking of the fuel occurred. However, the results presented in this paper show that the deposition rates are similar to the lower heat flux results, which had a much higher conversion level for the same wall temperature. The large wall-to-fuel temperature gradient, therefore, may have enhanced one of the parallel deposition pathways in Fig. 11. For example, the high wall temperature may have accelerated filamentous carbon formation, even in the absence of bulk fuel reactions. The carbon deposits in the earlier Wright Laboratories tests were mostly
amorphous, disordered deposits, indicative of deposition of particles from the bulk fluid. As discussed below, the deposits at high heat flux were quite different. More complete surface analyses may aid in identifying the deposition mechanism under high heat flux conditions.

Microscopic Analysis

A failure analysis was conducted on samples after testing in the NASA Lewis facility. The procedure for the failure analysis was to initially locate and characterize the appearance of the failure using low magnification photography in either the SEM or with a conventional macro camera. This was followed by sectioning the sample to expose the interior and to use optical and electron microscopy for further characterization. Elemental scans were also made in the electron microscope using energy dispersive x-ray spectroscopy to determine if the sample had been contaminated by the constituents in the fuel or whether the deposits from the fuel seemed to have been “seeded” by the constituents in the sample.

A typical failure of a 304 SS test section used for checkout is shown in Figs. 12 to 14. The large distortion seen in Fig. 12 indicates that the temperature of the sample was high enough to have large amounts of plastic flow due to the pressure in the tube. Several holes in the tube are also evident as is the sharp tearing indicated by the circumferential break in the tube. Figure 13 shows droplets on the torn edge as well as a small pinhole failure. At higher magnification the surface of the tube at the pinhole (Fig. 14) shows that extensive intergranular fractures have been initiated and there is a fracture that runs directly into the pinhole.

Analysis of test section 1 (304 SS) clearly showed the type of fuel decomposition that occurred during the test. The wall thickness of the sample near the failure (Fig. 15) shows a local reduction in thickness of the wall by a factor of 2, probably as a result of bulging and deformation just before failure. This would indicate that local heating was severe enough to permit deformation at low stress, which in turn led to uneven heating around the circumference of the resistively heated tube. This condition exacerbated the heating and the rate at which the strength of the tube decreased, leading rapidly to more deformation, melting, and failure. However, the thickness of the carbon deposit on each wall indicates that reaction of the fuel was nearly uniform around the circumference of the tube during most of the test.

A typical carbon deposit was examined a short distance from the failure (Fig. 16) and compared with the deposit immediately adjacent to the failure site (Fig. 17).
Figure 12.—Initial test failure showing pinholes and significant plastic deformation (304 SS).

Figure 13.—Failure showing sharp tearing and melted droplets on torn edge (304 SS).
Figure 14.—Local pinhole showing granular surface indicating a local, nonmelting failure.

Figure 15.—Cross-section of 304 SS tube at location of failure. Significant carbon deposition is evident.
Figure 16.—Typical carbon deposit in 304 SS tube. Granular structure indicating progressive nucleation and growth.

Figure 17.—Carbon deposit adjacent to failure site. Fractured and flake-like appearance.
Figure 18.—Carbon deposits of figure 16 at higher magnification. Parallel layers are typical of long range order in graphic crystals.

Figure 19.—Cross section of 304 SS tube showing significant layer of ordered carbon deposit with amorphous carbon deposit on top of ordered carbon.
The typical appearance showed the development of a coherent and ordered structure indicating that progressive nucleation and growth had occurred during the test. The fractured and flake-like appearance at the failure site indicated the presence of a more porous and rapidly deposited zone generated just before failure occurred. This type of coating would have also acted as a better insulator than the dense, coherent material observed away from the failure zone. The coherent nature of the typical coating along with its lamellar structure showing long range crystallographic order is evident in Figs. 18 and 19. The lamellae on the surface (like a fingerprint pattern) shown at high magnification in Fig. 18 are edge planes intersecting the surface. The “debris” on the surface consists of carbon particles that are similar to carbon black. The cross-section in Fig. 19 shows that the orientation of the planes in the coherent deposit is perpendicular to the tube surface and the high conductivity direction is radial. This would imply that the initial deposit permitted heat transfer to occur in both the radial and circumferential directions so that the test could continue with a relatively slow growth of ordered carbon. As the carbon layer grew thicker, it may have begun to insulate the tube wall from the fluid thus promoting more rapid formation of carbon deposits which, as can be seen in Figs. 18 and 19, are more amorphous and therefore a better insulator. It is this insulating effect that probably accelerated coking, local heating, and rapid failure in the material.

Conclusion

Inconel 617, Haynes 188, Haynes 230, Moly-Rhenium, and 304 stainless steel heated tubes were tested at heat fluxes and JP-7 fuel flow rates that are expected to be encountered during hypersonic flight. Audible instabilities occurred in all the tests (except for 304 SS) at supercritical pressure, supercritical wall temperature, and subcritical bulk fluid temperature. These instabilities occurred simultaneous to the onset of enhanced cooling similar to that experienced by subcritical liquids in the nucleate boiling regime. However, the vibrational stresses may also have contributed to several failures of the test sections. Failures also occurred when sudden runaway temperatures caused melting.

Carbon deposition data obtained from these tests and compared to previous tests indicate roughly similar deposition rates, when scaled by fuel flow, in spite of the much higher velocity and heat flux, and much lower bulk fluid temperatures. An alternative deposition pathway, therefore, may have occurred in these tests. This conclusion is also supported by the microscopic analysis which showed that the structure of the deposits was much more ordered in the high heat flux tests, when compared to the amorphous deposits seen earlier.
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A series of electrically heated tube experiments was conducted to investigate the potential of JP-7 as a coolant under conditions relevant to a Mach 8 propulsion system. The heat transfer capabilities, carbon deposition, and material compatibility of JP-7 at surface temperatures up to 1700 °F (927 °C) were tested in 0.125 in. diameter tubes of 304 SS, Inconel 617, Haynes 188, Haynes 230, and 50/50 Moly-Rhenium. The heat transfer to the coolant was modeled well by a Dittus-Boelter correlation at lower heat fluxes. At higher heat fluxes, audible instabilities were observed and corresponded to a significant enhancement in the coolant heat transfer. The carbon deposition rates in these tests were comparable to those in previous experiments at lower heat fluxes and much longer residence times. This result suggests that alternative paths of the deposition mechanism may be enhanced under high heat flux test conditions. Microscopic investigation of the post test tubes indicated that there was a significant layer of ordered carbon deposits that had not been seen in the tests at lower heat flux.