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Abstract

This paper describes the recognition of implicit serialization due to coarse-grain, synchronous communication and demonstrates the conversion to asynchronous communication for the exchange of boundary condition information in the Thin-Layer Navier Stokes 3-Dimensional Multi Block (TLNS3DMB) code. The implementation details of using asynchronous communication is provided including buffer allocation, message identification, and barrier control. The IBM SP2 was used for the tests presented.

Introduction

A coarse-grained parallel version of Thin-Layer Navier Stokes 3-Dimensional Multi Block (TLNS3DMB) was developed by Dr. Veer Vatsa and Bruce Wedan [1]. The goal was to develop a parallel and scalable version with minimal code changes to the sequential code. Since the code is multi-block, it was structured to readily incorporate coarse-grain parallelism, wherein one or more blocks of the global grid are assigned to each processor of a workstation cluster or parallel computer. More than one block may reside on a processor, but coarse granularity implies that a block cannot be split among multiple processors.

The developed code was written to run in either a serial or distributed environment. The user chooses between two underlying message passing libraries, either Message Passing Interface (MPI) or Parallel Virtual Machine (PVM). The primary considerations of the parallelization task were minimal code changes to the original sequential version, and the capability to generate sequential, distributed, and parallel versions from one code using simple compiler directives.

The parallel implementation of TLNS3DMB maintained almost all of its original features, yet yielded significant performance speedups when used in a high-performance environment. Linear speedups are approachable if the biggest blocks are partitioned to allow good load (i.e., data) balancing. The distributed version displays a near-linear speedup with the number of processors on the IBM SP2, Intel Paragon, and a heterogeneous cluster of workstations (SGI, SUN, etc.).

Load Balancing and Scalability

Program performance depends largely on the effective mapping of blocks to the processors. The more load balanced the workload among the processors, the smaller the idle time for nodes with smaller blocks. This results in a reduction of the overall time and memory requirements. To assist the TLNS3DMB user in achieving the most effective use of the resources, a program called Mapper has been developed and is available in the TLNS3DMB executables directory (i.e., tlns3d-dist/RS6K).

The Mapper provides information on the grid block sizes and the effectiveness of using a varying number of processors for the user’s problem. The Mapper requires the standard TLNS3D-MB input file as input, and reads the associated grid file for the problem. The following example illustrates the use of Mapper and the results for the 8block case:

```
    cd tlns3d-dist/8block
    ../RS6K/mapper < m6w8b.inp

    8-block m6 wing
    formatted grid from m6w8b.gr.fmt

    Grid Block Sizes
    block  imax  jmax  kmax  total
         ---  ---  ---  ---
        1  137  25  17   58225
        2  137  25  17   58225
        3   57  25  17   24225
```
This case has eight blocks, four with 58225 points and four with 24225 points. If only one processor is used, then over 18 megawords would be required, and the execution time would be 1.00 (no reduction as a result of parallelization). If two processors are used, the memory requirements per node would be half and the execution time half (.500). When using 4 nodes, each node would process a 58225 and 24225 block (as shown by maxpts), and therefore have an execution time of .250. For 5 nodes, the maximum number of points by at least one block remains 82450 (58225+24225). For 6 nodes, the largest work load (maxpts) is 58225, and therefore the execution time is .177. As can be seen, there is no advantage of using more than six nodes for this test, as the memory requirements and execution time are unaffected.

For an 8 even block case, the estimated execution time (exetime) decreases near linearly as expected.

The Mapper's estimates closely match actual performance as demonstrated with the 8 block case and 8 even block case. Note, slight deviations in performance for nodes 4 through 7 of the 8 even block case, are due system background noise (i.e., the job mix affecting the High Performance Switch on the IBM SP2).
Case: M8 Wing, 8 (even) blocks

Figure 1. Scalability of TLNS3D on IBM/SP2 (eight even blocks)

Case: M8 Wing, 8 (uneven) blocks

Figure 2. Scalability of TLNS3D on IBM/SP2 (eight uneven blocks)
As larger problems were tried, the performance degraded. A 32 block patched grid test case, this time with uneven sized blocks, was also used for performance tests. The Mapper’s output, as shown completely in Appendix A, accounts for the estimated execution time based on the block sizes. The results of the execution of this 32 block case for 50/50 cycles, along with the Mapper’s execution estimate follows.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sync (Mapper)</th>
<th>Ideal (sync/2525)</th>
<th>Actual</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2525.00</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1431.00</td>
<td>0.500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>840.00</td>
<td>0.250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>557.00</td>
<td>0.125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>410.00</td>
<td>0.062</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>356.00</td>
<td>0.036</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Synchronous Communication

An analysis of the program’s scalability performance indicated the communication bottleneck for the exchange of boundary conditions. The communications concerning the boundary condition within TLNS3DMB are contained within two routines: bcflow and bcturb. The communication was performed with synchronous communication (i.e., send/recv pairs). The processor issuing the send blocks until the associated processor completes the receive. The following is an outline of communication sequence of the routine bcflow.

```c
/* ... declarations */
...
/* begin outer loop on the blocks for interface blocks */
do 1000 ibloc = 1,nbloc
   ns = nseg(ibloc)

/* begin outer loop on the segments */
do 100 iseg = 1,ns
   < ... code to compute cell attributes based on block and segment >

   /* get ghost cell variables from source block */
   if (src.eq.me) then /* (nodes(nblocs).eq.myrank) */
      < get ghost cell values at block interfaces and interior cuts >
      if (dst.ne.me) call MPI_Send /* (nodes(ibloc).ne.myrank) */
   endif

   /* update ghost cell variables on target block */
   if (dst.eq.me) then /* (nodes(ibloc).eq.myrank) */
      /*receive ghost cell variables from node if not already local */
      if (src.ne.me) call MPI_Recv /* (nodes(nblocs).ne.myrank) */
         < ... code to compute pressure at ghost cells >
   endif

100 continue
1000 continue
```
The following graph depicts the communication exchange for the eight (uneven block) case on eight nodes using synchronous SENDs/RECVs. The communication graph was created with the Visualization Tool (VT) [3]. The Parallel Programming Environment's Visualization Tool (VT) is designed to show graphically the performance and characteristics of an application program and also to act as an on-line monitor.

![Interprocessor Communication](image)

**Figure 3. Synchronous communication exchange for eight uneven block**

Although not obvious, the communication pattern establishes an implicit sequentialization. The sequentialization is caused by sends blocking until the matching receives are posted and the pattern of exchange for this program. See Chapter 4 of Using MPI [2] for a complete description of sequentialization. In TLNS3D, because the time to perform the calculations and the determination of whether to send/receive a message is small, the overhead should be minor for the entire process. However, as shown by the above communication pattern, the time does accumulate.

**Asynchronous Communication**

One method to overcome the delay associated with implicit sequentialization is asynchronous communication. Generally the send and receive operations in asynchronous communication place no constraints on each other in terms of completion, and thereby enable overlap of computation. In a typical implementation, the nonblocking receives (RECVs) are posted by the receiving nodes prior to the associated sends from the sending nodes. Then, the sends are issued, followed by a barrier (e.g., MPI_WAITALL) to ensure all send/recv pairs have completed. This method allows the intermediate calculations to be computed in a natural progression not waiting for the receive.

For bcflow, asynchronous communications could have been performed over the segment (inner loop) or over the block (outer loop). Optimizing over the block loop, provides nonblocking communications over a larger number of cells, and offers a greater potential. The outline of the asynchronous code over the entire block for bcflow is shown below.
Post receives

```fortran
do 999 ibloc = 1,nbloc
  do 99 iseg = 1,ns
    < ... code to compute cell attributes based on block and segment >
    if (dst.eq.me) then
      if (src.ne.me) then
        call MPI_IRecv
      endif
    endif
  99 continue
999 continue
```

Send data

```fortran
Send d_a
  do 1000 ibloc = 1,nbloc
    do i000 iseg = 1,ns
      < ... code to compute cell attributes based on block and segment >
      if (src.eq.me) then
        if (dst.ne.me) then
          < get ghost cell values at block interfaces and interior cuts>
          call MPI_Send
        endif
      endif
    I00 continue
1000 continue
```

Wait All

```fortran
  call mpi_waitall
```

Compute calculations

```fortran
  do 1001 ibloc = 1,nbloc
    do 101 iseg = 1,ns
      < ... code to compute cell attributes based on block and segment >
      if (dst.eq.me) then
        if (src.ne.me) then
          < ... code to compute pressure at ghost cells >
        endif
      endif
    101 continue
1001 continue
```

**Asynchronous Conditions**

In order to use asynchronous communication, the order of the calculations being sent must be order independent (i.e., loop independent). In the case of bcflow, this means converting from a loop containing a SEND/RECV pair, to a separate loop containing calculations and a SEND. The underlying condition is that the values being sent for subsequent loop iterations are independent of the information received. This is necessary if multiple SENDs can be posted without intermediate RECVs.
If the received information in Flow 1 (above) affects the calculation C0 of the next loop iteration, then there is a loop dependence, and asynchronous communication cannot be performed as shown in Flow 2.

Implementation Issues

Asynchronous communication requires the handling of several factors: the allocation and management of message buffers, the identification of messages (message tags), and the use of a barrier.

In synchronous communication, a blocking send waits until the associated receive completes. This approach ensures that the contents of the message buffer remains intact until the operation is complete. For asynchronous communication, the user must ensure that the operation is completed before the message buffer is reused. Since the nonblocking receives are posted first, a buffer for each message is needed.

The number and size of the asynchronous messages, and therefore buffers, is problem dependent. For TLNS3DMB, an associated program called the Sizer was written to create a parameter file defining the workspace needed for a given problem. See URL


for more detail. The Sizer program has been augmented to accommodate the asynchronous buffer sizes, and in turn represent them as constants (parameters) in the generated parameter file.

Because TLNS3DMB is designed to handle multiple blocks per nodes, it is often the case that a process will send multiple messages to another processor over the block in which messages are being changed. In this event, it is necessary for the receiving process to distinguish between messages. The concept of a “message tag” (message identification) is often used. A tag is an arbitrary nonnegative integer to used to restrict receipt of the message (sometimes also called “message type”).

Additionally, the use of a barrier is required to ensure all processes have completed exchanging messages. This requires knowing the number of messages being sent by each individual processor. The following is an outline of the asynchronous approach including the message tags and barrier call.

Post receives

```plaintext
isetag=0 /* counter for every cell to make unique message tag */
isegnum=0 /* count number of message received */
doi n=1,nbloc
    do 99 iseg = 1,ns
```
< code for cell attributes (i.e., imap array) indexed by iseg,ibloc 
example: nblocs = imap(7,iseg,ibloc) >
isegtag=isegtag+1 /* increment tag tp from unique message id */
< ... code to compute cell attributes based on block and segment >
if (dst.eq.me) then
  if (src.ne.me) then
    isegnum=isegnum+1 /* increment offset into temporary buffer */
    call MPI_IRecv(recv_buffer(isegnum*maxbufsize),....TAG_FLOW+isegtag,...,ireq(isegnum),ierr)
  endif
endif
99 continue
999 continue

Send data
iseqtag=0 /* counter for every cell to make unique message tag */
do 1000 ibloc = 1,nbloc
do 100 iseg = 1,ns
  iseqtag=iseqtag+1 /* increment tag to form unique message id */
  < ... code for cell attributes; i.e., imap(n,iseg,ibloc) >
  < ... code to compute cell attributes based on block and segment >
  if (src.eq.me) then
    if (dst.ne.me) then
      < get ghost cell values at block interfaces and interior cuts>
      call MPI_Send(buffer,...,TAG_FLOW+iseqtag,...)
    endif
  endif
100 continue
1000 continue

Wait All
/* barrier to wait until all messages to be received are processed */
call mpi_waitall(isegnum,ireq,status_array,ierr)

Compute calculations
/* after mpi_waitall, all message have been exchanged */
iseqnum=0 /* count messages received for offset into received buffer */
do 1001 ibloc = 1,nbloc
do 101 iseg = 1,ns
  < ... code for cell attributes; i.e., imap(n,iseg,ibloc) >
  < ... code to compute cell attributes based on block and segment >
  if (dst.eq.me) then
    if (src.ne.me) then
      isegnum=iseqnum+1 /* increment offset */
      do 1=1,msgsize
        /* copy values from temp. buffer to array based on offset */
        wk2d(i)=buffer(iseqnum*maxbufsize+idana)
      enddo
      < ... code to compute pressure at ghost cells >
    endif
  endif
101 continue
1001 continue
The following is the communication exchange for the eight (uneven block) case on eight nodes using asynchronous SENDs/RECVs. The pattern shows that the SENDs are sent when encountered thereby preventing any sequentialization. Each node blocks with a barrier, until all messages are received.
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Figure 4. Asynchronous communication exchange for eight uneven block

For larger size problems, asynchronous communication clearly outperforms synchronous communication.

### 32-block Asynchronous Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Sync</th>
<th>Async</th>
<th>Ideal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2525.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1431.00</td>
<td>1383.00</td>
<td>0.500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>840.00</td>
<td>741.00</td>
<td>0.250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>557.00</td>
<td>412.00</td>
<td>0.125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>410.00</td>
<td>246.00</td>
<td>0.062</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>356.00</td>
<td>179.00</td>
<td>0.036</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Summary

In summary, the boundary exchange for TLNS3DMB was presented using both synchronous and asynchronous communications. An explanation of the necessary conditions (data independence) and the method (buffers and tags) to convert from isochronous to asynchronous was described. As expected with larger problems and larger number of nodes, the asynchronous communication performed better.
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Appendix A. Complete Mapper Output for a 32-block Case

The following is the complete Mapper output for a 32 (uneven) block case. The first table represents the grid block sizes. Based on the block sizes, the Mapper distributes the blocks achieving the best possible workload balance. The column \(\text{maxpts}\) represents the largest number of points held by any single block for the given workload. That number is divided by the "\(\text{maxpts for 1-node}\)" to determine the projected execution time (i.e., the \(\text{exetime}\) column). The "\(\text{exetime}\)" value in the second table estimates the ideal execution time based on the workload distributed to the associated number of processors.

It should be noted, that adding an additional node does not always re-distribute the workload to reduce the \(\text{maxpts}\). Therefore, adding additional nodes does not always decrease the projected execution time.

```
0:32-block m6 wing
0:formatted grid from m6w32b.gr.fmt
0:
0:Grid Block Sizes
0:block  imax  jmax  kmax  total
0:---  ----  ----  ----  -----  
0: 1    49    13   17  10829
0: 2    49    13   17  10829
0: 3    49    13   17  10829
0: 4    49    13   17  10829
0: 5    49    15   17  12495
0: 6    49    15   17  12495
0: 7    49    15   17  12495
0: 8    49    15   17  12495
0: 9    49    11   17  9163
0: 10   49    11   17  9163
0: 11   49    11   17  9163
0: 12   49    11   17  9163
0: 13   49    13   17  10829
0: 14   49    13   17  10829
0: 15   49    13   17  10829
0: 16   49    13   17  10829
0: 17   49    13   17  10829
0: 18   49    13   17  10829
0: 19   49    13   17  10829
0: 20   49    13   17  10829
0: 21   49    11   17  9163
0: 22   49    11   17  9163
0: 23   49    11   17  9163
0: 24   49    11   17  9163
0: 25   49    15   17  12495
0: 26   49    15   17  12495
0: 27   49    15   17  12495
0: 28   49    15   17  12495
0: 29   49    13   17  10829
0: 30   49    13   17  10829
0: 31   49    13   17  10829
0: 32   49    13   17  10829
0:nodes  maxpts  minpts  avgpts  %avgdev  megawords  exetime
0:---  ----  ----  ------  ------  --------  -------
0: 1  346528  346528  346528  .000    19.406   1.000
0: 2  173264  173264  173264  .000    9.703    .500
```
<p>| | | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0:</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>119.119</td>
<td>109956</td>
<td>115509</td>
<td>3.205</td>
<td>6.671</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0:</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>86632</td>
<td>86632</td>
<td>86632</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>4.851</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0:</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>74137</td>
<td>64974</td>
<td>69305</td>
<td>5.577</td>
<td>4.152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0:</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>63308</td>
<td>54145</td>
<td>57754</td>
<td>6.410</td>
<td>3.545</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0:</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>54145</td>
<td>44982</td>
<td>49504</td>
<td>7.830</td>
<td>3.032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0:</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>43316</td>
<td>4316</td>
<td>43316</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>2.426</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0:</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>43316</td>
<td>34153</td>
<td>38503</td>
<td>10.043</td>
<td>2.426</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0:</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>41650</td>
<td>32847</td>
<td>34652</td>
<td>8.076</td>
<td>2.332</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0:</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>32547</td>
<td>23324</td>
<td>31502</td>
<td>5.115</td>
<td>1.819</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0:</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>32487</td>
<td>23324</td>
<td>28877</td>
<td>12.821</td>
<td>1.819</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0:</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>30821</td>
<td>21658</td>
<td>26656</td>
<td>14.423</td>
<td>1.726</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0:</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>30821</td>
<td>21658</td>
<td>24752</td>
<td>14.011</td>
<td>1.726</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0:</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>30821</td>
<td>21658</td>
<td>23101</td>
<td>9.165</td>
<td>1.726</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0:</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>21658</td>
<td>21658</td>
<td>21658</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>1.213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0:</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>21658</td>
<td>12495</td>
<td>20384</td>
<td>9.559</td>
<td>1.213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0:</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>21658</td>
<td>12495</td>
<td>19251</td>
<td>15.600</td>
<td>1.213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0:</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>21658</td>
<td>12495</td>
<td>18238</td>
<td>19.889</td>
<td>1.213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0:</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>21658</td>
<td>12495</td>
<td>17326</td>
<td>22.308</td>
<td>1.213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0:</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>21658</td>
<td>10829</td>
<td>16501</td>
<td>25.046</td>
<td>1.213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0:</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>21658</td>
<td>10829</td>
<td>15751</td>
<td>26.398</td>
<td>1.213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0:</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>21658</td>
<td>10829</td>
<td>15066</td>
<td>26.546</td>
<td>1.213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0:</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>19992</td>
<td>10829</td>
<td>14438</td>
<td>25.639</td>
<td>1.213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0:</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>19992</td>
<td>10829</td>
<td>13861</td>
<td>23.807</td>
<td>1.210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0:</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>19992</td>
<td>10829</td>
<td>13328</td>
<td>21.154</td>
<td>1.210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0:</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>19992</td>
<td>10829</td>
<td>12834</td>
<td>17.769</td>
<td>1.210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0:</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>18326</td>
<td>10829</td>
<td>12376</td>
<td>14.286</td>
<td>1.026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0:</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>18326</td>
<td>9163</td>
<td>11949</td>
<td>13.561</td>
<td>1.026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0:</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>18326</td>
<td>9163</td>
<td>11550</td>
<td>12.177</td>
<td>1.026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0:</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>18326</td>
<td>9163</td>
<td>11178</td>
<td>10.204</td>
<td>1.026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0:</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>12495</td>
<td>9163</td>
<td>10829</td>
<td>7.692</td>
<td>0.700</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
This paper describes the recognition of implicit serialization due to coarse-grain, synchronous communication and demonstrates the conversion to asynchronous communication for the exchange of boundary condition information in the Thin-Layer Navier Stokes 3-Dimensional Multi Block (TLNS3DB) code. The implementation details of using asynchronous communication is provided including buffer allocation, message identification, and barrier control. The IBM SP2 was used for the tests presented.