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Solar Dynamic (SD) power systems have been investigated by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) for electrical power generation in space. As part of the International Space Station (ISS) program, NASA Lewis Research Center (LeRC) teamed with the Russian Space Agency (RSA) to build a SD system to be flown on the Russian Space Station MIR. Under the US/Russian SD Flight Demonstration (SDFD) program, LeRC worked with AlliedSignal Aerospace, the heat receiver contractor, on the development, characterization and durability testing of materials to obtain appropriate optical and thermal properties for the SDFD heat receiver aperture shield. The aperture shield is composed of refractory metal multifoil insulation (MFI) attached to an aperture back plate. Because of anticipated off-pointing periods, the aperture shield was designed to withstand the extreme temperatures that 80 W/cm² would produce. To minimize the temperature that the aperture shield will reach during off-pointing, it was desired for the aperture shield exterior layer to have a solar absorptance (α_s) to thermal emittance (ε) ratio as small as possible. In addition, a very low specular reflectance (ρ_s < 0.1) was also necessary, because reflected concentrated sunlight could cause overheating of the concentrator which is undesirable.

Testing was conducted at LeRC to evaluate pristine and optical property enhanced molybdenum and tungsten foils and screen covered foils. Molybdenum and tungsten foils were grit-blasted using silicon carbide or alumina grit under various grit-blasting conditions for optical property enhancement. Black rhenium coated tungsten foil was also evaluated. Tungsten, black rhenium-coated tungsten, and grit-blasted tungsten screens of various mesh sizes were placed over the pristine and grit-blasted foils for optical property characterization. Grit-blasting was found to be effective in decreasing the specular reflectance and absorptance/emittance ratio of the refractory foils. The placement of a screen further enhanced these optical properties, with a grit-blasted screen over a grit-blasted foil producing the best results. Based on the optical property enhancement results, samples were tested for atomic oxygen (AO) and vacuum heat treatment (VHT) durability. Grit-blasted (Al₂O₃ grit) 2 mil tungsten foil was chosen for the exterior layer of the SDFD heat receiver aperture shield. A 0.007 in. diameter, 20 x 20 mesh tungsten screen was chosen to cover the tungsten foil. Based on these test results, a heat receiver aperture shield test unit has been built by Aerospace Design and Development (A.D.D.) with the screen covered grit-blast tungsten foil exterior layers. The aperture shield was tested in LeRC’s Solar Dynamic Ground Test Demonstration (SDGTD) system to verify the thermal and structural durability of the outer foil layers during an off-pointing period.

Introduction

Solar Dynamic power systems have been investigated by NASA for electrical power generation in space. In a SD power system, a solar concentrator reflects or refracts solar energy into the receiver of a heat engine. In the NASA developed heat engine, reflected solar energy is transferred to a Xe-He gaseous working fluid in a closed-
Brayton cycle heat engine through thermal energy storage (TES) containment canisters (Strumpf, 1994). The heated gas drives a turbo-alternator to produce electric power. The TES canisters contain LiF-CaF₂ eutectic salt, and uses the heat of fusion of the TES material to provide heat for power generation during the eclipse portion of the orbit. A 2 kW SDGTD system has been developed and built by NASA LeRC (Shaltens, 1995, 1996). The SDGTD system has demonstrated the feasibility of solar dynamic power generation during simulated sun and eclipse cycles in LeRC’s large thermal/vacuum space facility (Shaltens 1996). With the completion of the SDGTD system, NASA LeRC started development work on a SD Flight Demonstration (SDFD) program. This program was originally a joint effort with RSA to build a SD system to be tested on the Russian Space Station MIR. Unfortunately, due to budget constraints and MIR logistics, the SDFD program was demanifested in 1996. However, under the SDFD program, AlliedSignal Aerospace designed and qualification tested, in large part, the flight heat receiver. Figure 1 shows a sketch of the SDGTD receiver. NASA LeRC was requested to help AlliedSignal Aerospace with the development, characterization, and durability testing of materials to obtain appropriate optical and thermal properties for the SDFD heat receiver aperture shield.

The aperture shield for the SDFD heat receiver serves three functions: to keep heat inside the receiver cavity, to provide structural stiffness for the receiver during launch, and to protect the receiver structure during periods of off-pointing. The SDFD aperture shield is composed of refractory metal multifoil insulation (MFI) attached to an aperture back plate (see Fig. 2) (Strumpf 97). This design was chosen because it is structurally superior to the brittle graphite aperture shield used in the SDGTD system (Strumpf 97). The interior of the heat receiver will reach approximately 1,100 K during normal operating conditions. During periods of off-pointing, a portion of the aperture shield will be exposed to an incident solar flux of 80 W/cm² (Kerslake 97). Because of this off-pointing condition, the aperture shield was designed to withstand the extreme temperatures that 80 W/cm² will induce. To minimize the temperature that the aperture shield will reach during off-pointing, it was desired for the aperture shield exterior layer to have a solar absorptance (αₛ) to thermal emittance (e) ratio as small as possible. In addition, a very low specular reflectance (ρₛ < 0.1) was necessary, because reflected concentrated sunlight could cause overheating of the concentrator which is undesirable. Decreasing ρₛ would drive the αₛ up, therefore an αₛ/e ratio goal of 1 was chosen.

Tests were conducted at LeRC to evaluate pristine and optical property enhanced refractory metal foils. Foils were grit-blast with different grit and various grit-blasting conditions for optical property enhancement. A screen was chosen as the outer most layer of the aperture shield to support the attachment wires which tie the MFI together (see Fig. 2) (Strumpf 97). Therefore pristine and grit-blast screens of various diameters and mesh sizes were placed over the foils for optical property characterization. Based on the optical properties, several foil and screen samples were tested for AO and high temperature VHT durability. It is necessary that the optical properties are maintained during AO exposure and under the expected high temperature load during off-pointing in space. Previous research has provided evidence of optical property degradation of grit-blast metals with high temperature vacuum exposure (de Groh 1992, de Groh 1994, Touloukian 70). Atomic oxygen, which is formed by photodissociation of molecular oxygen by ultraviolet radiation, is the predominant species at LEO altitudes. At orbital velocities, spacecraft surfaces are impacted by energetic AO (≈4.5 eV) and are susceptible to AO degradation. Solar dynamic systems receive sweeping AO impact, because of their solar facing orbits. Although the aperture shield itself will be shielded from most direct AO attack, AO will scatter off the solar concentrator’s exterior surface (SiO₂) and impact the aperture shield. This paper describes the optical property characterization, optical property enhancement, and durability testing of refractory metals for the SDFD aperture shield.

Materials and Experimental Procedures

Materials

Molybdenum foil (0.001 in. (0.025 mm) thick) and tungsten foils (0.001 in. (0.025 mm) and 0.002 in. (0.051 mm) thick) were evaluated for optical properties as-received and after grit-blasting. These refractory metals were chosen because of their high temperature properties (Mo m.p. 2623 °C, W m.p. 3422 °C). Tungsten screens were placed over the foils for optical property characterization because of their structural need. Screens of various sizes (0.01 in. (0.254 mm)) wire diameter 10 × 10 mesh (10 × 10 openings/in.), 0.007 in. (0.178 mm) wire diameter 20 × 20 mesh, 0.004 in. (0.102 mm) wire diameter 30 × 30 mesh, 0.003 in. (0.076 mm) wire diameter 40 × 40 mesh, and 0.002 in. (0.051 mm) wire diameter 50 × 50 mesh) were characterized over various foils. Black Rhenium (Re) coated 0.001 in. (0.025 mm) thick W foil and Black Re coated 0.010 in. (0.254 mm) wire diameter...
10 × 10 mesh W screen was also evaluated because of their excellent optical properties.

**Optical Property Enhancement**

Molybdenum and tungsten foils were grit-blasted using silicon carbide or alumina grit under various pressures and distances for optical property enhancement. SiC grit-blasting was done at 70 psi, while the pressure was varied from 35 to 50 psi for the Al₂O₃ grit. The distance from the grit-blasting nozzle to the sample varied from 3 to 10 in. and <1 to 8 in. for the SiC and Al₂O₃, respectively. Pristine grit-blasting nozzle to the sample varied from 3 to 10 in. from 35 to 50 psi for the Al₂O₃ grit. The distance from the blasting was done at 70 psi, while the pressure was varied using silicon carbide or alumina grit under various pressures.

Optical Property Enhancement

Excellent optical properties.

(10 x 10 mesh, 0.01 in. wire diameter) was also evaluated coated W foil (0.001 in. thick) and W screen at AlliedSignal Aerospace under a certain set of conditions (45 to 50 psi, <1-1 in.). Foils and screens were grit-blast with either SiC grit (70 psi, 1 in.) or Al₂O₃ grit for the best foil and screen combinations. Screens were grit blast with either SiC grit (70 psi, 1 in.) or Al₂O₃ grit (45 to 50 psi, <1-1 in.). Foils and screens were grit-blast at AlliedSignal Aerospace under a certain set of conditions until they developed a uniform texture. Black rhenium-coated W foil (0.001 in. thick) and W screen (10 × 10 mesh, 0.01 in. wire diameter) was also evaluated for optical properties.

**Optical Properties**

Solar integrated total (ρₜₜ), diffuse (ρₜₜ) and specular reflectance (ρₛ), solar absorptance (αₛ), and thermal emittance at 1900 °C (ε₁₉₀₀°C) and at 2600 °C (ε₂₆₀₀°C) were obtained for all samples. Spectral reflectance was obtained using a Perkin-Elmer λ-9 Spectrophotometer operated with a 150 mm integrating sphere. Spectral reflectance uncertainty is ±2 percent, while repeatability ±0.5 percent. Total and diffuse reflectance was obtained from 250 to 2500 nm, and the data were convoluted into the air mass zero solar spectrum to obtain solar integrated values. Specular reflectance was obtained by subtracting ρₜ from ρₛ. Solar absorptance was calculated by subtracting ρₛ from 1 because the samples are opaque (1 - ρₛ = αₛ). Thermal emittance was calculated by integrating the spectral reflectance data (1 - ρₜₜₚₚ) into blackbody curves at 1900 and 2600 °C. This was accomplished by first extrapolating the data to higher wavelengths in order to encompass 95 percent of the blackbody spectrum at the desired temperature. A LeRC written program, Emitcalc, was used to extrapolate the data to the appropriate wavelength (5749 nm for 1900 °C, and 4349 nm for 2600 °C). Using Emitcalc the spectral absorptance was then calculated from 1 - ρₜₜₚₚ and the data was convoluted into the blackbody curve for the temperature of interest and integrated to give the thermal emittance.

**Durability Testing**

**Atomic Oxygen**. Samples were exposed to atomic oxygen in an RF plasma asher operated on air at ambient temperature. The samples were exposed to a total effective fluence of 1.61 × 10²¹ atoms/cm², based on the mass loss of a polyimide Kapton witness coupon. The effective fluence was calculated from Kapton's known erosion yield in space of 3.0 × 10⁻²⁴ cm³/atom.

**Vacuum Heat Treatment**. Samples which were exposed to atomic oxygen were then exposed to two separate vacuum heat treatments at 2000 °C with a vacuum of between 2.0 × 10⁻⁵ to 6.5 × 10⁻⁶ torr. A temperature of 2000 °C was chosen to simulate the hot spot temperature prior to knowing what the actual temperature would be. Tantalum foil was used to wrap the samples to act as an oxygen getter during high temperature exposure. Temperature ramping was monitored to achieve maximum vacuum during heat-up. Ramp up time was 10 hr, soak at 2000 °C was 1 hr, and ramp down was 1/2 hr. After optical characterization, the heat treated samples were examined in a scanning electron microscope (SEM) and compared to similarly grit-blast samples which had not been durability tested.

**Results and Discussion**

A total of 60 sets of data were obtained for optical property characterization. In general grit-blasting was found to be effective in decreasing the specular reflectance and the αₛ/ε ratios of the refractory foils. The placement of a screen was found to further enhance these optical properties, with a grit-blast screen covering a grit-blast foil being most effective.

**Molybdenum Foil**. The results of grit-blasting Mo foil are listed in Table I. The αₛ of the grit blasted Mo aperture shield samples ranged from 0.396 to 0.696. Differences in optical properties are due to a difference in pressure, distance, and grit-blast material. The best results for these samples were achieved with an Al₂O₃ grit applied at 45 psi at a distance of <1 in. This treatment dropped ρₛ to 0.304 and ρₛ to 0.001. This is significantly better than values for pristine Mo which show ρₛ to be approximately 0.6 and ρₛ to be on the order of 0.4. Absorptance over emittance ratios for 2600 and 1900 °C dropped from 1.7 to 1.2 and from 2.3 to 1.3 respectively. Even though these results are very good, Mo was subsequently excluded as an aperture shield candidate material because its melting temperature was decided to be too close to the estimated temperature that would be achieved during off pointing, and that would warrant an unnecessary safety risk.

**Black Rhenium Coated W Foil**. Black Re coated 0.001 in. thick W provided excellent optical properties. The αₛ was 0.981, the ρₛ was 0.000, and the αₛ/ε ratios for 2600 and 1900 °C were both 1.1. The addition of a black
Re coated W screen (0.01 in. diameter, 10 x 10 mesh) dropped \(\alpha/\varepsilon\) to 1.0. Unfortunately, the black Re was found to be very unstable in the atomic oxygen environment and contaminated the other samples. Therefore, the black Re coated foil and screen were eliminated as potential aperture shield candidate materials and no further tests were conducted.

**Tungsten Foils and Screens.** The effect of grit-blasting on the optical properties of W foil are presented in Table II. The various W foil thickness and grit-blasting conditions are listed in Table II. The \(\alpha_s\) of the grit-blasted W samples ranged from 0.469 to 0.669. Like the Mo foil samples, the differences in optical properties are due to a difference in grit, pressure, and distance. The best results for these samples were achieved with Al\\_2O\\_3 grit applied at 35 psi at a distance of 1 in. This treatment dropped \(\rho_s\) to 0.346 to 0.398 and \(\rho_s\) to 0.006 to 0.037 (samples WA6GB and WA7GB, respectively). This is significantly better than values for pristine W which had \(\rho_s\) of 0.552 to 0.569 and \(\rho_s\) of 0.365 to 0.480 for the two sides of the as received foil. Absorptance over emittance ratios for 2600 °C and 1900 °C dropped from 1.5 to 1.6 to 1.3 to 1.4 and from 2.2 to 1.6 to 1.8, respectively. Originally 0.001 in. thick W foils were treated. Samples of 0.002" thick were treated with the most promising grit-blast conditions (samples W1BGB and W2BGB). Slight improvements in the optical properties were observed for the thicker foil samples.

Table III lists the W screens which were placed over the W foil samples. Table IV lists the various screens (pristine and grit-blast) which were placed over the foils (pristine and grit-blast) and their corresponding optical properties. The addition of a screen generally decreased both the specular reflectance and the \(\alpha_s/\varepsilon\) ratios slightly. The addition of a grit-blast screen further decreased the values. For example, grit-blast foil WA6GB had \(\alpha_s/\varepsilon\) ratios at 2600 and 1900 °C of 1.3 and 1.6, respectively and \(\rho_s\) of 0.006. The addition of a pristine screen (WS1) drops the \(\alpha_s/\varepsilon\) ratio at 1900 °C to 1.5 (it stayed the same at 2600 °C), and \(\rho_s\) stayed at 0.006. The addition of a grit-blast screen (WSA10G) dropped the \(\alpha_s/\varepsilon\) ratios at 2600 °C and 1900 °C to 1.2 and 1.4, respectively, and \(\rho_s\) decreased to 0.005.

Based on the optical property enhancement results, one W foil sample (WA6GB) and two W screens (WS1, WSA10G) were AO durability tested along with black Re samples. The black Re was found to degrade under the AO environment and contaminated the W samples. New W foil samples (WA7GB and WA9GB) and screens (WS2 and WSA11G) were then exposed to AO and VHT for durability testing. The optical properties for AO and VHT exposures for these samples are listed in Table V.

Atomic oxygen caused a slight decrease in \(\alpha_s\) and increase in \(\alpha_s/\varepsilon\), and essentially no change in \(\varepsilon\). The \(\alpha_s/\varepsilon\) ratios generally remained the same. The changes in \(\rho_s\) and \(\alpha_s\) may be due to the formation of tungsten oxide (de Groh 92). Exposure to the initial VHT caused a slight increase in \(\rho_s\) and significant decreases in \(\alpha_s\) and \(\varepsilon\). These changes are most noticeable with the foil samples not covered with a screen. Although there were significant changes in \(\alpha_s\) and \(\varepsilon\), the \(\alpha_s/\varepsilon\) ratios remained essentially the same. Only slight increases in \(\alpha_s/\varepsilon\) occurred, typically with the first VHT exposure. The \(\rho_s\) and \(\alpha_s\) remained essentially unchanged with the second VHT exposure, implying that the optical properties should become stable with time. The effect of grit-blasting on improving optical properties and high temperature exposure on decreasing optical properties of grit-blasted metals is well known (Bice 85, Touloukian 70, de Groh 92).

The foil samples were found to be brittle after VHT with corner pieces breaking off when handled, as seen in the photograph in Fig. 4. Grain growth was evident and can be seen as bright and dark areas in Fig. 4. Grain growth and embrittlement is consistent with the fact that VHT was conducted in excess of tungsten’s recrystallization temperature (=1,300 °C). Surface examination with SEM revealed a smoothing of the grit-blasted texture, as one might expect due to high temperature relaxation. Figures 5 and 6 compare the surface morphologies of a grit-blast sample which was not heat treated (WA12GB) with a sample that was atomic oxygen exposed and heat treated, WA7GB. The smoothing does not appear to become more pronounced with the second VHT (compare Figs. 5(b) and (c)). Also evident during SEM examination was grain structures in the heated sample, as seen by both boundaries (see the fine lines in Figs. 6(b) and (c)) and dark and light regions. Cracking at the grain boundaries was also visible as seen in Figs. 5(b) and (c). Cracking appeared more numerous and severe after the second VHT (again compare Figs. 5(b) and (c)).

As a result of this test program, grit-blasted (Al\\_2O\\_3 grit, 120 grit size, applied at 35 psi at a distance of 1 in.) 2 mil tungsten foil was chosen for the exterior layer of the SDFD heat receiver aperture shield. A 0.007 in. diameter wire, 20 x 20 mesh tungsten screen was chosen to cover the tungsten foil. The resulting optical properties for this foil and screen combination (W1BGB and WSPRIS) were \(\rho_s = 0.006\), \(\varepsilon_{2600 °C} = 1.2\) and \(\varepsilon_{1900 °C} = 1.4\). Although a grit-blast screen provided the best optical properties, it was not chosen for programmatic reasons. The center support ring (shown in Fig. 3) has also been grit-blasted for optical property enhancement. The company contracted by AlliedSignal to build the aperture shield, A.D.D., produced a test sample of the chosen foil material and grit-
Blasting conditions. Optical properties were obtained for this A.D.D. sample (W3GB) to verify the optical properties of the aperture shield production line. As seen in Table II, the values vary somewhat from the AlliedSignal grit-blast 2 mil thick foils (W1BGB and W2BGB).

A heat receiver aperture shield test unit has been built with the screen covered grit-blast tungsten foil exterior layers (see Fig. 7). The aperture shield has been thermal shock tested twice in LeRC's SDGTD system utilizing the solar simulator and solar concentrator to evaluate the effectiveness of the optical property enhanced exterior surfaces in maintaining acceptable temperatures during a period of off-pointing (Kerslake 97). To demonstrate the structural adequacy of the aperture shield outer MFI layers under severe solar thermal loading, test conditions were set up to achieve a peak solar flux of 80 W/cm² on the aperture shield test article, the expected solar beam during off-pointed on MIR (Kerslake 97, Strumpf 97). Near-equilibrium temperatures of 1862 K (1,589 °C) and 2072 K (1,799 °C) were attained in the centers of the hot spots during the two 1 hour exposures (Kerslake 97). High-flux testing was found to be successful and is described in detail by Kerslake. It should be noted that although the VHT samples became embrittled, there was no visible signs of foil cracking in the flux impingement zone with thermal shock testing (Kerslake 97). A full-size aperture shield assembly for the heat receiver component of the joint U.S./Russian SDFD program has been fabricated (Strumpf 97).

Conclusions

Molybdenum and tungsten foils and tungsten screens were grit-blast for optical property enhancement for SD heat receiver aperture shield applications. Based on the optical property enhancement results, samples were atomic oxygen and high temperature vacuum heat treatment durability tested. Alumina grit-blasted (120 grit at 35 psi pressure and 1 in. distance) 2 mil tungsten foil was chosen for the exterior layer of the SDFD heat receiver aperture shield. A 0.007 in. diameter wire, 20 x 20 mesh tungsten screen was chosen to cover the tungsten foil. The aperture center support ring was also grit-blasted for optical property enhancement. Based on this test program, a heat receiver aperture shield test unit has been built by Aerospace Design and Development with the screen covered grit-blast tungsten foil exterior layers. The aperture shield test unit was thermal shock tested in LeRC's SDGTD system to evaluate the effectiveness of the optical property enhanced exterior layer in maintaining temperature and structure during a period of off-pointing. Thermal shock testing of the aperture shield test article was successful. A full-size aperture shield assembly was fabricated for the heat receiver component of the joint U.S./Russian SDFD program.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample description</th>
<th>Sample name</th>
<th>$\rho$</th>
<th>$\alpha_\lambda$</th>
<th>$\varepsilon_{2000\cdot C}$</th>
<th>$\varepsilon_{1000\cdot C}$</th>
<th>$\alpha_{\lambda}/\varepsilon_{2000\cdot C}$</th>
<th>$\alpha_{\lambda}/\varepsilon_{1000\cdot C}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.001 in. Mo</td>
<td>MOFVP</td>
<td>0.632</td>
<td>0.368</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pristine, convex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.001 in. Mo</td>
<td>MOFCP</td>
<td>0.599</td>
<td>0.401</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pristine, concave</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.001 in. Mo</td>
<td>MOA8GB</td>
<td>0.604</td>
<td>0.396</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiC, 70 psi, 10 in.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.001 in. Mo</td>
<td>MOA9GB</td>
<td>0.548</td>
<td>0.452</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiC, 70 psi, 06 in.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.001 in. Mo</td>
<td>MOA10G</td>
<td>0.417</td>
<td>0.583</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiC, 70 psi, 03 in.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.001 in. Mo</td>
<td>MOA11G</td>
<td>0.427</td>
<td>0.573</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al$_2$O$_3$, 45 psi, 08 in.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.001 in. Mo</td>
<td>MOA12G</td>
<td>0.344</td>
<td>0.656</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al$_2$O$_3$, 45 psi, 03 in.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.001 in. Mo</td>
<td>MOA13G</td>
<td>0.354</td>
<td>0.646</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al$_2$O$_3$, 45 psi, &lt;1 in.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.001 in. Mo</td>
<td>MOA14G</td>
<td>0.304</td>
<td>0.696</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE II.—OPTICAL PROPERTIES OF TUNGSTEN FOIL SAMPLES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample description</th>
<th>Sample name</th>
<th>$\rho$</th>
<th>$\alpha_s$</th>
<th>$\varepsilon_{300\times C}$</th>
<th>$\varepsilon_{100\times C}$</th>
<th>$\alpha_s/\varepsilon_{300\times C}$</th>
<th>$\alpha_s/\varepsilon_{100\times C}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.001 in. W</td>
<td>WFPX</td>
<td>T: 0.569</td>
<td>0.431</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pristine convex</td>
<td></td>
<td>D: 0.203</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S: 0.365</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.001 in. W</td>
<td>WFPV</td>
<td>T: 0.552</td>
<td>0.448</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pristine concave</td>
<td></td>
<td>D: 0.072</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S: 0.480</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.001 in. W</td>
<td>WA4GB</td>
<td>T: 0.531</td>
<td>0.469</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiC, 70 psi, 9 in.</td>
<td></td>
<td>D: 0.275</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S: 0.256</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.001 in. W</td>
<td>WA6GB</td>
<td>T: 0.346</td>
<td>0.654</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al$_2$O$_3$ (120 grit)</td>
<td></td>
<td>D: 0.340</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35 psi, 1 in.</td>
<td></td>
<td>S: 0.006</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.001 in. W</td>
<td>WA7GB</td>
<td>T: 0.398</td>
<td>0.602</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al$_2$O$_3$ (120 grit)</td>
<td></td>
<td>D: 0.361</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35 psi, 1 in.</td>
<td></td>
<td>S: 0.037</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.001 in. W</td>
<td>WA8GB</td>
<td>T: 0.375</td>
<td>0.625</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al$_2$O$_3$ (120 grit)</td>
<td></td>
<td>D: 0.358</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35 psi, 2 in.</td>
<td></td>
<td>S: 0.017</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.001 in. W</td>
<td>WA9GB</td>
<td>T: 0.446</td>
<td>0.554</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al$_2$O$_3$ (120 grit)</td>
<td></td>
<td>D: 0.376</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 psi, 2 in.</td>
<td></td>
<td>S: 0.070</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.001 in. W</td>
<td>WA12GB</td>
<td>T: 0.448</td>
<td>0.552</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al$_2$O$_3$ (120 grit)</td>
<td></td>
<td>D: 0.365</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 psi, 3 in.</td>
<td></td>
<td>S: 0.083</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.002 in. W foil, #1</td>
<td>W1BGB</td>
<td>T: 0.331</td>
<td>0.669</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al$_2$O$_3$ (120 grit)</td>
<td></td>
<td>D: 0.325</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35 psi, 1 in.</td>
<td></td>
<td>S: 0.006</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.002 in. W foil, #2</td>
<td>W2BGB</td>
<td>T: 0.372</td>
<td>0.628</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al$_2$O$_3$ (120 grit)</td>
<td></td>
<td>D: 0.350</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35 psi, 1 in.</td>
<td></td>
<td>S: 0.022</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.D.D. 0.002 in. W foil</td>
<td>W3GB</td>
<td>T: 0.409</td>
<td>0.591</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al$_2$O$_3$ (120 grit)</td>
<td></td>
<td>D: 0.370</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35 psi</td>
<td></td>
<td>S: 0.039</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE III.—TUNGSTEN SCREENS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample description</th>
<th>Sample name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10 × 10 mesh, 0.01 in. dia. W screen</td>
<td>WS1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pristine</td>
<td>WS2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 × 10 mesh, 0.01 in. dia. W screen</td>
<td>WSA10G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al$_2$O$_3$ (120 grit), 45 psi, &lt; 1 in.</td>
<td>WSA11G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 × 10 mesh, 0.01 in. dia. W screen</td>
<td>WSA5GB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiC, 70 psi, &lt; 1 in.</td>
<td>WSPRIS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 × 20 mesh, 0.007 in. dia. W screen</td>
<td>WSGFB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pristine</td>
<td>WS3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 × 20 mesh, 0.007 in. dia. W screen</td>
<td>WSGGB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al$_2$O$_3$ (120 grit), 50 psi, 1 in.</td>
<td>WS4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 × 30 mesh, 0.004 in. dia. W screen</td>
<td>WS5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pristine</td>
<td>WS6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35 × 35 mesh, 0.003 in. dia. W screen</td>
<td>Pristine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 × 40 mesh, 0.003 in. dia. W screen</td>
<td>Pristine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 × 50 mesh, 0.002 in. dia. W screen</td>
<td>Pristine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample description</td>
<td>Sample name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WFPV and WSI</td>
<td>WFWSP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WA4GB and WSA5GB</td>
<td>W4P5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WA6GB and WSI</td>
<td>W6PWS1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WA6GB and WSA10G</td>
<td>W6P10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WA6GB and WSA11G</td>
<td>W6P11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WA7GB and WSI</td>
<td>W7PWS1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WA7GB and WSA10G</td>
<td>W7P10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WA8GB and WSI</td>
<td>W8PWS1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WA8GB and WSA10G</td>
<td>W8P10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WA9GB and WSA10G</td>
<td>W9P10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WA12GB and WSA10G</td>
<td>W12P10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WA12GB and WSA11G</td>
<td>W12P11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WA12GB and WS3</td>
<td>W12P3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WA12GB and WS4</td>
<td>W12P4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WA12GB and WS5</td>
<td>W12P5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WA12GB and WS6</td>
<td>W12P6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W1BGB and WSGGB</td>
<td>W1PWSF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W1BGB and WSGGB</td>
<td>W1PWSG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W2BGB and WSGGB</td>
<td>W2PWSF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W2BGB and WSGGB</td>
<td>W2PWSG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W3GB and WSGGB</td>
<td>W3PWSG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample description</td>
<td>Sample id.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.001 in. W foil</td>
<td>WA7GB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ (120 grit), 35 psi, 1 in.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WA7GB and Pristine W screen</td>
<td>WSA9GB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.001 in. dia., 10 x 10 mesh</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ (120 grit), 45 psi, &lt;1 in.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WA7GB and 10 x 10 mesh, 0.01 in. dia. W screen</td>
<td>WSA11G*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ (120 grit), 45 psi, &lt;1 in.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.001 in. W foil</td>
<td>WA9GB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ (120 grit), 45 psi, 2 in.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WA9GB and Pristine W screen</td>
<td>WSA9GB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 x 10 mesh, 0.01 in. dia. W screen</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ (120 grit), 45 psi, &lt;1 in.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Pristine data is listed for identically prepared screens (WS2 or WSA10G).
Figure 1.—SDFD heat receiver.

Figure 2.—SDFD Aperture shield cross section.

Figure 3.—SDFD Aperture shield section view.
Figure 4.—Grit-blasted W foil (sample WA7GB) after AO and VHT exposures.

Figure 5.—Pristine and durability tested (AO and VHT) grit-blasted W foil. (a) Pristine sample WA12GB. (b) Sample WA7GB after 1st VHT. (c) Sample WA7GB after 2nd VHT.
Figure 6.—High magnification of pristine and durability tested (AO and VHT) grit-blasted W foil. (a) Pristine sample WA12GB. (b) Sample WA7GB after 1st VHT. (c) Sample WA7GB after 2nd VHT.

Figure 7.—Aperture shield test article used for thermal shock test.
Under the Solar Dynamic Flight Demonstration (SDFD) program, NASA Lewis Research Center worked with AlliedSignal Aerospace, the heat receiver contractor, on the development, characterization and durability testing of refractory metals to obtain appropriate optical and thermal properties for the SDFD heat receiver aperture shield. Molybdenum and tungsten foils were grit-blasted using silicon carbide or alumina grit under various grit-blasting conditions for optical property enhancement. Black rhenium coated tungsten foil was also evaluated. Tungsten, black rhenium-coated tungsten, and grit-blasted tungsten screens of various mesh sizes were placed over the pristine and grit-blasted foils for optical property characterization. Grit-blasting was found to be effective in decreasing the specular reflectance and the absorptance/emittance ratio of the refractory foils. The placement of a screen further enhanced these optical properties, with a grit-blasted screen over a grit-blasted foil producing the best results. Based on the optical property enhancement results, samples were tested for atomic oxygen and vacuum heat treatment durability. Grit-blasted (Al2O3 grit) 2 mil tungsten foil was chosen for the exterior layer of the SDFD heat receiver aperture shield. A 0.007 in. wire diameter, 20 x 20 mesh tungsten screen was chosen to cover the tungsten foil. Based on these test results, a heat receiver aperture shield test unit has been built with the screen covered grit-blast tungsten foil exterior layers. The aperture shield was tested and verified the thermal and structural durability of the outer foil layers during an off-pointing period.