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ABSTRACT

Strong easterly flow of low-level moist air over the eastern slopes of the Black Hills on 9–10 June 1972 generated a storm system that produced a flash flood, devastating the area. Based on observations from this storm event, and also from the similar Big Thompson 1976 storm event, conceptual models have been developed to explain the unusually high precipitation efficiency. In this study, the Black Hills storm is simulated using the Colorado State University Regional Atmospheric Modeling System. Simulations with homogeneous and inhomogeneous initializations and different grid structures are presented. The conceptual models of storm structure proposed by previous studies are examined in light of the present simulations.

Both homogeneous and inhomogeneous initialization results capture the intense nature of the storm, but the inhomogeneous simulation produced a precipitation pattern closer to the observed pattern. The simulations point to stationary tilted updrafts, with precipitation falling out to the rear as the preferred storm structure. Experiments with different grid structures point to the importance of removing the lateral boundaries far from the region of activity. Overall, simulation performance in capturing the observed behavior of the storm system was enhanced by use of inhomogeneous initialization.

1. Introduction

The 9–10 June 1972 Black Hills storm resulted in the most devastating flash flood in the recorded history of South Dakota. The storm was characterized by convective cells of high precipitation efficiency characteristic of tropical precipitation systems rather than that of a typical midlatitude convective system. The intense precipitation from the orographically forced storm system over the basins of Rapid, Boxelder, Spring, and Battle Creeks (Schwarz et al. 1975) led to severe flooding, resulting in 237 deaths and property damage in excess of $160 million (see Fig. 1).

Large-scale features associated with the Black Hills storm and the Big Thompson (Colorado) storm of 1 August 1976, which was similar in nature, are summarized by Maddox et al. (1978). Conceptual models that explain high precipitation efficiency were suggested for the Black Hills storm by St. Amand et al. (1972) and for the Big Thompson storm by Caracena et al. (1979). Dennis et al. (1973) discuss the motion of convective cells observed for the Black Hills storm. Numerical simulations of the Black Hills storm were previously made using a two-dimensional, time-dependent cloud model (Kopp and Orville 1973). More recently, mesoscale simulations have been made by Chang and Perkey (1995). Yoshizaki and Ogura (1988) investigated the Big Thompson storm using two- and three-dimensional cloud models. This study focuses on testing the ability of the Colorado State University Regional Atmospheric Modeling System (CSU RAMS) to capture the essential nature and fury of the storm and to examine the various hypotheses for structure and motion of the storm in light of the simulation results.

Section 2 describes the observations of the storm event. The hypotheses about the storm structure for the Black Hills storm (St. Amand et al. 1972) and the Big Thompson storm (Caracena et al. 1979) will be examined in section 3. A brief description of the model initialization is provided in section 4. The results from the model simulations are described in sections 5, 6, and 7, and the study is summarized in section 8.

2. Observations

The 0000 UTC surface chart for 10 June 1972 (Fig. 2) shows a polar front to the southeast of the Black Hills region. To the rear, east of the front, a band of moist air with dewpoints ranging from 18° to 21°C can be
observed. The dominant feature of the wind fields in the surface chart is strong easterlies of 10–15 m s⁻¹ to the east of the front.

A strong negatively tilted ridge over South Dakota is the main feature observed on the 0000 UTC 500-mb chart (Fig. 3). A weak trough can be seen approaching the Black Hills region from the southwest. The 0000 UTC 10 June 1972 Rapid City sounding (Fig. 4) shows strong east-southeasterly flow through a deep layer above the surface. The sounding exhibits high instability with a lifted index of −6. The wind veers to light westerlies in the upper levels. The water vapor mixing ratio in the lower layer is of the order of 14 g kg⁻¹. The layer immediately above the surface is conditionally unstable. If the surface air is forced upward, it could become saturated and positively buoyant above 750 mb.

The 1200 UTC 9 June 1972 sounding for Huron (figure not shown), which is characteristic of the air mass
FIG. 2. Surface chart for 0000 UTC 10 June 1972 (Dennis et al. 1973).

FIG. 3. The 500-mb map for 0000 UTC 10 June 1972 (Schwarz et al. 1975). Bold solid lines are height contours in dekameters and the bold dashed lines are isotherms in degrees Celsius.
3. Hypothesis on storm motion and intensity

Based on these observations, models have been proposed as to the structure of the storm and also the motion of convective cells. Based on radar observations prior to 0000 UTC 10 June, Dennis et al. (1973) suggest a long succession of convective cells moving from the southeast and suddenly intensifying to drop heavy showers on the eastern Black Hills and rapidly diminishing as they continue northwest or north-northwest. Dennis et al. (1973) also note the pronounced absence of downdrafts during the storm event.

Dennis et al. (1973) estimate the precipitation efficiency of the storm system between 80% and 90%. To account for the high precipitation efficiency of the system, St. Amand et al. (1972) invoke recycling of water substance between mature and newly forming cells. The St. Amand et al. (1972) model (Fig. 8) has a mature cloud mass over the Black Hills due to lifting of moist easterly flow that slopes strongly to the east above 450 mb. Precipitation from the upper sheared region of mature cloud mass is introduced into newly formed cells downstream. This recycling of precipitation enhances the precipitation efficiency of the storm system.

Based on observations from the Big Thompson storm of 1976, Caracena et al. (1979) proposed a conceptual model of the storm structure that would explain the high precipitation efficiency and quasi-steady state. The precipitation efficiency for the Big Thompson storm was estimated to be around 85%. According to Caracena et al. (1979), for orographic convective storms in reverse shear, the strong boundary layer shear underlying weak upper-level winds roots the lower portion of cloud on the mountain. The vertical transport of easterly momentum causes the updraft structure to tilt westward with height. The resulting structure (Fig. 9) allows the precipitation to fall to the rear of the updraft. This enables the updraft to be relatively free of precipitation loading and thus exist in a quasi-steady state.

Numerical simulation of the Black Hills storm by Kopp and Orville (1973) using a two-dimensional time-dependent model showed a tendency for the storm to drift over the hills and out of the domain (westward drift). They increased the strength of the upper-level winds, which countered this tendency of westward drift. The numerical simulation of the Big Thompson flood by Yoshizaki and Ogura (1988) showed storm structures similar to that proposed by Caracena et al. (1979). Their experiments using homogeneous initialization of moisture resulted in a region of intense precipitation located at the peak of the terrain, contrary to observations. They also noted the formation of a cold pool underneath the convective cells.

4. Model description and initialization

The CSU RAMS is a mesoscale cloud model that has both hydrostatic and nonhydrostatic formulations. In-

Fig. 4. Rapid City sounding for 0000 UTC 10 June 1972 (Dennis et al. 1973).
The interactive nested grid structure is available to simulate phenomena that require finer grid spacing. In these simulations, the model utilizes a bulk water parameterization for representing cloud microphysics. A detailed description of RAMS can be found in Pielke et al. (1992).

For the present study, the nonhydrostatic formulation was utilized. Four experiments were performed, three with horizontally homogeneous initialization and one with inhomogeneous initialization. For both modes of initialization, 0000 UTC data were used. The horizontally homogeneous grid used in the first experiment (referred to from here on as HH1) was initialized using the 0000 UTC Rapid City sounding. The model domain (Fig. 7), spanned most of the region of the Black Hills where the greatest amount of precipitation was observed (90 km × 150 km region centered at -103.5°/44°). The grid spacing utilized was 1 km in the horizontal. In the vertical, the grid spacing was 100 m near the surface, with a grid stretch ratio of 1.15 and maximum vertical spacing of 1 km above 7 km. The time step used for the horizontally homogeneous simulation was 10 s.

The inhomogeneous initialization experiment (referred to from here on as IH1) utilized a two-way interacting nested-grid configuration. Three grids were used to nest down to the region of interest in the Black Hills. The outermost, coarse-grid spacing (grid 1) of 18 km covered most of South Dakota and parts of bordering states (North Dakota, Wyoming, Nebraska, Montana). Nested within this grid was a finer grid (grid 2) of 6-km grid interval that covered most of the Black Hills region. The finest grid (grid 3), nested in the second grid, had a grid interval of 2 km and spanned the region of the Black Hills where most of the precipitation from the storm fell (Fig. 7). The vertical grid spacing for all three grids was 100 m near the surface, with a grid stretch ratio of 1.2 and maximum grid spacing of 750 m above 4 km. Variable initialization utilized soundings and surface observations at 0000 UTC from South Dakota and neighboring states. The time steps for grids 1, 2, and 3 were 30, 15, and 5 s, respectively. The model topography was derived from the 30° U.S. Navy terrain dataset. Figure 10 shows the topography for the horizon-
tally homogeneous (HH1) grid and grid 3 from the inhomogeneous initialization grid. The boundary conditions used for both the HH1 and IH1 runs are a rigid-top boundary and the Klemp–Wilhelmson (1978) radiation boundary condition for lateral boundaries. For the inhomogeneous initialization simulation, the nudging option that allows nudging of boundaries toward the next 12-h observation was also activated. In the outer two grids for the inhomogeneous initialization run, the microphysical parameterization was not activated and water vapor was treated as a tracer.

Two additional experiments with horizontal-homogeneous initialization were made using the following grid structures: 1) single grid with the same vertical and horizontal structure as that of the innermost grid used in IH1; 2) nested grid structure, the same as that used in IH1. These experiments will be referred to from here on as HH2 and HH3, respectively. Except for the vertical and horizontal grid spacing, all the other model settings are the same for HH1 and HH2. Experiments HH3 and IH1 differ only in that for HH3 all the grids are initialized using the 0000 UTC Rapid City sounding and the nudging option is not used.

5. HH1 experiment results

The model was integrated for 6 h, starting from initial conditions at 0000 UTC. Clouds started developing after 15 min of integration as the moist conditionally unstable air was forced up the slopes by low-level easterly flow. In early stages of the simulation, clouds form in bands oriented in a north–south direction in the center of the grid. At later times, clouds drift to the left side of the model grid, that is, toward the west. An hour into the simulation, cloud fields start exhibiting a pattern of new cells developing in the southwestern part of model grid with individual cells propagating in a northwest direction. The cells align themselves parallel to the flow. Figure 11 shows clouds at 15-min intervals starting from an hour of model integration, illustrating this pattern. This pattern of movement persisted throughout the 6 h of simulation and is reminiscent of radar observations (Fig. 5) reported by Dennis et al. (1973) discussed in section 3. Precipitation is delayed until the cells have reached the higher elevations of the central hills.

The simulated storm system exhibited a precipitation pattern different from that observed. The observed precipitation pattern over the region covered by the grid (Fig. 12b) was oriented north–south approximately symmetric about the center of the grid, while the simulated precipitation pattern is oriented with major axis southeast–northwest (Fig. 12a) and lies in the western region of the grid. The maximum accumulated precipitation at the end of 6 h was 275 mm, compared to an observed maximum of 380 mm. During the simulation, some of the cells drifted westward and moved out of the model domain. This tendency of westward drift was also noted in a modeling study by Kopp and Orville (1973). The region of intense precipitation for the simulation occurs at the high terrain region, in contradiction to the ob-
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Fig. 6. Composite of radar echoes for the period of 0000–0700 UTC 10 June 1972 (Schwarz et al. 1975).
Fig. 7. Total accumulated precipitation for 9–10 June 1972 for a period of 16 h. Contour interval is 50 mm. Also shown are the locations of the grids used in the experiments. Rectangle labeled A is the location of the grid used in homogeneous initialization (HHI), and rectangle labeled B is location of grid 3 in inhomogeneous simulation (adapted from Schwarz et al. 1975).

Fig. 8. Conceptual model for storm structure proposed by St. Amand et al. (1972).
observation. Similar results were obtained in the modeling study of the Big Thompson flood by Yoshizaki and Ogura (1988). One of their experiments that had horizontally homogeneous initial distribution of moisture resulted in heavy precipitation occurring at the mountain peak, inconsistent with the observations.

Vertical cross sections through the convective cells (Fig. 13) show an updraft with very little tilt with height. Another interesting feature is the absence of any significant strong downdrafts or cold outflows, particularly in regions of precipitation shafts. This is consistent with the observations by Dennis et al. (1973) but is in contrast to the cold air pools beneath the storms reported by Yoshizaki and Ogura (1988) in their modeling study of the Big Thompson storm. The lack of downdrafts has been attributed to moist midlevel air and low cloud bases, which restrict evaporative cooling. Model results indicate graupel melting to form rain was an important
FIG. 10. The topography for (a) HH1 and (b) grid 3 of IH1. Contours in intervals of 100 m.

FIG. 11. Cloud field at 3.7 km for the homogeneous initialization at (a) 60, (b) 75, and (c) 90 min into the model integration. The contour interval is 0.75 g kg$^{-1}$. 
microphysical process, but it appears that cooling achieved from the melting process was not strong enough to initiate strong downdrafts.

6. Inhomogeneous initialization (IH1) experiment results

The inhomogeneous simulation was integrated for 6 h starting from the initial conditions. Clouds started forming near 30 min of simulated time, about 15 min later than in the HH1 simulation. The storm structure and motion were significantly different than for the horizontally homogeneous simulation. The storms formed in the center of the model grid (grid 3) in a band aligned in a north–south direction and tended to be stationary. This is consistent with the long-term trend of radar echoes (Fig. 6) discussed in section 2.

The vertical section through the cells (Fig. 14) shows a pattern very similar to the conceptual model proposed by Caracena et al. (1979). A very pronounced tilt of the updraft in the east–west direction with height can be seen. Also, the rainfield overlaid on the vertical velocity field shows the precipitation falling to the rear of the updraft. The IH1 simulation lacked any strong downdraft features, but compared to the HH1 simulations it had some regions of weak downward motion.

The accumulated precipitation field for the IH1 simulation compares more favorably with the observed precipitation pattern than did the HH1 simulation. Most of the precipitation from the simulation is in the eastern half of the domain (Fig. 15a), as is the observed precipitation pattern (Fig. 15b). Also, the simulation succeeds in approximating the locations of “hot spots,” or areas of most intense precipitation. The maximum 6-h accumulated precipitation for the IH1 is 220 mm.

7. HH2 and HH3 experiment results

The HH2 and HH3 experiments were conducted to investigate substantial differences between the HH1 and IH1 experiments. In the HH2 simulation (single grid but with a larger grid spacing, similar to that in grid 3 for the nested cases), the cloud patterns and motion were similar to that of HH1. The general pattern of 6-h accumulated precipitation field (Fig. 16a) was similar to that obtained for the HH1 experiment. The magnitude, however, was very different. The maximum value of the
6-h accumulated precipitation for HH2 was 106 mm, compared to 275 mm obtained for the HH1 experiment. The HH3 experiment utilized the nested grid of IH1 but with a homogeneous initialization. The nature of cloud patterns for the HH3 experiment was very similar to that of IH1. The 6-h accumulated precipitation pattern (Fig. 16b) was oriented in a north–south direction, similar to the IH1 experiment results. Experiments IH1 and HH3 have different values of maximum accumulated precipitation. The HH3 experiment has a maximum value of 305 mm, compared to 220 mm obtained for the IH1 experiment. The HH3 experiment, however, concentrates the precipitation to a single maximum, compared to four local maxima for the IH1 experiment. The precipitation field of the IH1 experiment compares better with the observed precipitation pattern in that respect.

8. Conclusions

Based on comparison of the observed and simulated precipitation patterns, it could be concluded that the inhomogeneous simulation (IH1) performed better than the horizontally homogeneous simulations. Both types of simulations rule out the St. Amand et al. (1972) conceptual model for the structure of the Black Hills 9 June 1972 storm. The IH1 simulation results strongly support the conceptual model suggested by Caracena et al. (1979) for the Big Thompson flood storm structure as also being applicable to the Black Hills storm. Homogeneous simulation results are consistent with early observations (before 0000 UTC 10 June 1972) of the cell motions reported by Dennis et al. (1973), but the long-term trend of radar echoes is more consistent with the IH1 simulation.
In our preliminary study (Nair et al. 1995), we attributed the differences between the simulations HH1 and IH1 to differences in the spatial distribution of moisture between the two initializations. It was proposed that IH1 succeeded in including the air mass to the east of the Black Hills, thus incorporating the characteristics of air mass in which the storm actually developed after 0000 UTC 10 June 1972. The experiments HH2 and HH3 were conducted to investigate the effect of boundary conditions and grid spacing on the solution. The HH2 grid, which has the same structure as that of the innermost grid of the IH1 nested grid, showed similar behavior to the HH1 experiment. The larger grid spacing used in HH2 resulted in lower values of 6-h accumulated precipitation. Thus, the difference in grid spacing cannot explain the differences in the nature of evolution of storms in the HH1 and IH1 experiments. The HH3 experiment, which has the same nested grid structure and boundary conditions as the IH1 experiment (except for nudging), resulted in storm evolution and a precipitation pattern similar to that obtained in IH1. This would imply that the differences between HH1 and IH1 are primarily due to the differences in boundary conditions. The nested grid structure, which moves the artificial lateral boundary away from the region of interest, provides results in better agreement with the observations. The pattern of the precipitation field from the IH1 experiment provides the best match with the observed precipitation field. However, the maximum values of accumulated precipitation for the HH3 simulation are closer to the observations. Since the spatial distribution of precipitation is important for flood events, and the magnitude of accumulated precipitation from the IH1 simulation is high enough to cause flooding (Kenner et al.
Fig. 15. (a) The 6-h accumulated precipitation for the LH1 simulation in millimeters; (b) total accumulated precipitation from the storm event for the same area in millimeters (adapted from Schwarz et al. 1975). Contour interval is 50 mm.

Fig. 16. (a) The 6-h accumulated precipitation for the HH2 simulation in millimeters, contour interval of 25 mm; (b) same for HH3 simulation, contour intervals in 50 mm.
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1996), we conclude that the IH1 simulation compares most favorably with observations. Inhomogeneous initialization with nested grid structure is preferred for good predictions in situations similar to the present study.
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