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1 Introduction

There is a growing tendency these days to use higher and higher temperatures at the inlet to a turbine since it yields higher thermal efficiency. Modern gas turbine engines are designed to operate at inlet temperatures of 1800–2000 K, which are far beyond the allowable metal temperatures. Thus, to maintain acceptable life and safety standards, the structural elements need to be protected against the severe thermal loads. This calls for an efficient cooling system. One such cooling technique currently used for high-temperature turbines is film cooling. In this technique, cooler air is injected into the high-temperature boundary layer on the blade surface. Since the cooler air is bled directly from the compressor before it passes through the combustion chamber, it represents a loss in the total power output. The designer’s goal is therefore to minimize the coolant necessary to insure adequate turbine life.

To this end, considerable effort has been devoted to understanding the coolant film behavior and its interaction with the mainstream flow. The film cooling performance is influenced by the wall turbulence, three-dimensional external flow structure, free-stream turbulence, compressibility, flow unsteadiness, the hole size, shape and location, and the angle of injection. Many studies on film cooling have been confined to simple geometries, for example, two-dimensional flat and curved plates in steady, incompressible flow. A survey of work up to 1971 has been provided by Goldstein (1971). While several further studies in this field have been summarized by Garg and Gaugler (1993, 1994, 1996), we will discuss some relevant ones here from the point of view of the present study. Bergeles et al. (1980) devised a finite-difference code with a semi-elliptic treatment of the flow field in the neighborhood of the injection holes. The comparison of predicted results with experimental data on a flat plate showed poor agreement in the vicinity of the hole partly due to the assumption of a uniform coolant velocity at the hole exit. Schöning and Rodi (1987) presented a two-dimensional boundary layer model with a modification for three-dimensional elliptic flow for simulating the effects of film cooling by a single row of holes. The region near the injection was leapt over and new profiles were prescribed at a certain distance behind the blowing region. Later, Haas et al. (1992) extended Schöning and Rodi's (1987) model to account for density differences between the hot gas and the injected coolant gas. However, both the models did not account for the effects of curvature and multiple rows of holes. Tafti and Yavuzkurt (1990) developed a two-dimensional injection model for use with a two-dimensional low-Reynolds-number k–ε model boundary layer code for film-cooling applications. They specified a uniform coolant temperature but nonuniform velocity profile at the hole exit. Dibelius et al. (1990) developed an elliptic procedure near the injection area but a partially parabolic procedure far downstream for film cooling on a flat plate. They prescribed a uniform coolant velocity but slightly nonuniform coolant temperature at the hole exit.

Vogel (1991) described a three-dimensional Navier–Stokes code for film-cooled gas turbine blades that couples the flow problem over the blade with the three-dimensional heat conduction problem within the blade. Using a uniform coolant velocity at the hole exit, Vogel compared the heat transfer coefficients with experimental data for injection on a flat plate. He could not get reliable results on a turbine blade due to the computer storage limitations that did not allow more than four to six mesh cells within the hole opening on the blade surface. Benz and Wittig (1992) analyzed the elliptic interaction of film-cooling air with the main flow by simultaneously computing the coolant and main flows for film cooling at the leading edge of a turbine blade. They prescribed a uniform coolant flow at entry to the injection pipe, and found a nonuniform velocity profile at the hole exit. They did not provide any information about the temperature field. Amer et al. (1992) compared two forms each of the k–ε and k–ω family of turbulence models for film cooling.
and found all of them to be inappropriate. Realizing the importance of coolant distribution at the hole exit, they tried a linear, a parabolic, and the 4th power-law velocity profile for the coolant at the hole exit. Finding only small differences due to the parabolic and power-law profiles, they provided most results using the latter profile.

Dorney and Davis (1993) analyzed the film-cooling effectiveness from one and two rows of holes on a turbine vane, using Ral’s (1989) numerical technique. They carried out both one- and three-dimensional simulations, but represented each hole by just two grid points, and specified uniform velocity and temperature of the coolant at the hole exit. Choi (1993) developed a multizone code for film cooling with a fine mesh near the coolant hole and injection pipe overlapped on the global coarse grid. He specified uniform conditions for the coolant at entry to the injection pipe but provided no details for the coolant profiles at the hole exit. Leylek and Zerkle (1994) analyzed the coupled problem of flow in the plenum, film-hole, and cross-stream region for film cooling on a flat plate using the k−ε model of turbulence in a three-dimensional Navier–Stokes code. They found the flow within the film-hole to be extremely complex, containing counterrotating vortices and local jetting effects that make the flow field in this region highly elliptic. The distribution of dependent variables at the hole exit plane resulted from the interaction of three competing mechanisms, namely, counterrotating structure and local jetting effects within the film-hole, and crossflow blockage.

Fougeres and Heider (1994) solved the unsteady three-dimensional Navier–Stokes equations, completed by a mixing-length turbulence model, using a finite volume technique. They presented two applications of the multidomain code: one for a single row of hot jets injected into a flat-plate turbulent boundary layer, and another for a plane nozzle guide vane with two rows of staggered holes on the pressure as well as suction side of the vane. They specified uniform conditions for the coolant at entry to the injection pipe but provided no details for the coolant profiles at the hole exit. Weigand and Harasgama (1994) conducted a numerical investigation of film cooling on a flat plate by just two grid points, and specified uniform conditions for the coolant at entry to the injection pipe but provided no details for the coolant distribution at the hole exit. This survey indicates that the effect of coolant distribution at the hole exit, though important, has not yet been investigated on real turbine blades. This is precisely the objective of the present study. Herein, an existing three-dimensional Navier–Stokes code (Arnone et al., 1991), modified to include film-cooling considerations (Garg and Gaugler, 1994), has been used to study the effect of coolant velocity and temperature profiles at the hole exit on the heat transfer characteristics of film-cooled turbine blades. Comparison with experimental data for a C3X vane with nine rows of film cooling holes (Hyton et al., 1988), for a VKI rotor with six rows of holes (Camci and Arts, 1990), and for the ACE rotor with three rows of holes (Norton et al., 1990), is provided.

2 Analysis

The three-dimensional Navier–Stokes code of Arnone et al. (1991) for the analysis of turbomachinery flows was modified by Garg and Gaugler (1994) to include film-cooling effects and Mayle’s transition criterion (Mayle, 1991). It was further modified to include Forest’s model for augmentation of leading edge heat transfer due to free-stream turbulence (Forest, 1977), and Crawford’s model for augmentation of eddy viscosity due to film cooling (Crawford et al., 1980). Briefly, the code is an explicit, multigrid, cell-centered, finite volume code with an algebraic turbulence model. The Navier–Stokes equations in a rotating Cartesian coordinate system are mapped onto a general body-fitted coordinate system using standard techniques. Viscous effects in the streamwise direction are neglected in comparison to those in the other two directions. Justification for this assumption is provided by Garg and Gaugler (1994). The multistage Runge–Kutta scheme developed by Jameson et al. (1981) is used to advance the flow solution in time from an initial guess to the steady state. A spatially varying time step along with a CFL number of 5 was used to speed convergence

Nomenclature

\( a, b \) = semi-axes of the ellipse describing the hole exit on the blade surface
\( a_1 - a_2 \) = coefficients in Eq. (1)
\( B_r \) = blowing ratio = \((\rho_v V)/(\rho_u U)\)
c = true chord of the blade
\( C_p \) = coefficient in Eq. (2)
d = coolant hole diameter
\( h \) = heat transfer coefficient based on \((T_w - T_e)\)
\( h_s \) = standard value = 1135.6 W/m²K
\( k = \) von Karman constant
\( l \) = mixing length
\( L = \) length of the injection pipe
\( M = \) Mach number
\( p \) = pressure
\( PD = \) penetration distance (Crawford’s model)
r = coolant hole radius = \(d/2\)
Re = Reynolds number based on the true chord length
\( s = \) distance from the leading edge along the pressure or suction surface
\( s_c, z_c = \) coordinates of the center of hole exit on the blade surface
\( T = \) temperature
\( Tu = \) turbulent intensity
\( V = \) coolant velocity at any point within the hole exit
\( x = \) streamwise distance from the point of injection
\( y^* = \) dimensionless distance of the first point off the blade surface
\( z = \) distance along the span
\( \delta = \) boundary layer thickness
\( e_M = \) eddy diffusivity for momentum
\( \gamma = \) ratio of specific heats
\( \eta, \zeta = \) coordinates from the center of hole exit (see Eq. (1))
\( \theta = \) momentum thickness
\( \nu = \) kinematic viscosity
\( \rho = \) density

Subscripts

1 = at inlet
2 = at exit
\( c = \) for coolant (average value)
\( e = \) edge of boundary layer
\( m = \) maximum value
\( n = \) corresponding to uncooled blade
\( o = \) stagnation value
\( w = \) at the blade surface
\( \alpha = \) local free-stream value
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to the steady state. Eigenvalue-scaled artificial dissipation and variable-coefficient implicit residual smoothing are used along with a full-multigrid method.

The effects of film cooling have been incorporated into the code in the form of appropriate boundary conditions at the hole locations on the blade surface. Each hole exit (generally an ellipse with semi-axes $a$ and $b$ in the plane of the blade surface) is represented by several control volumes (about 20) having a total area equal to the area of the hole exit, and passing the same coolant mass flow. Different velocity and temperature profiles for the injected gas can be specified at the hole exit. Earlier studies indicate that typical gas turbine film cooling situations involve steep polynomial profiles with their maxima shifted in upstream or downstream direction from the jet centerline. Based on a detailed computation through the plungem chamber and injection pipe, Leylek and Zerkle (1994) found that for high $L/d (\geq 3.0)$ and high blowing ratio ($\geq 1.0$) or for low $L/d$ and low blowing ratio, the exit velocity profile is very much like a fully developed turbulent pipe flow profile. For other combinations of $L/d$ and blowing ratio, Leylek and Zerkle (1994) found that the exit velocity profile is polynomial with shifted maxima. For the cases reported here, turbulent (1th power-law) and polynomial profiles of the form

$$V = \alpha_1 \frac{T - T_c}{T - T_u} = (1 - \eta^2 - \xi^2)(\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 \eta + \alpha_4 \xi^2)$$

where

$$\eta = (z - z_e)/a, \quad \xi = (y - z_e)/b$$

were specified, in order to study their effect on the heat transfer coefficient at the blade surface. Note that Eq. (1) automatically satisfies $V = 0$ condition on the boundary of the hole exit, and in it $(z_e, z_e)$ denotes the center of hole exit, and $\eta$ and $\xi$ are interchangeable. Using the coolant mass conservation condition, coefficients $\alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4$ can be related to $V_u$, the maximum value of $V$ and its location, $V_l$. Then using the coolant energy conservation, $\alpha_2$ can be related to the other coefficients. These relations are

$$\alpha_2 = \frac{1}{1 + 6V_u^2} \left[ 12 - 6V_u(1 - 3V_u^2)/(1 - V_u^2) \right],$$

$$\alpha_1 = \frac{2V_u}{(1 - V_u^2)} - 2a_2V_l, \quad a_2 = 2 - a_2/6,$$

$$\alpha_3 = \frac{a_2^2/3 + (a_1^2 + 2a_2a_3)/24 + a_3/80}{a_2}.$$

For all three blades analyzed here, the experimentally determined temperatures were specified at the blade surface, and wall heat flux was calculated. The algebraic mixing length turbulence model of Baldwin and Lomax (1978) was used. This model has been used satisfactorily by Boyle and Giel (1992), Ameri and Arnone (1994, 1996), and Boyle and Ameri (1997) for heat transfer calculations on turbine blades without film cooling, and by Hall et al. (1994), and Garg and Gaugler (1994) with film cooling. In fact, Ameri and Arnone (1994) compared the Baldwin–Lomax model and Coakley’s $\kappa-\omega$ model against experimental data of Graziani et al. (1980), and found that the algebraic model was able to produce many of the flow features better than the two-equation model. They further state that this conclusion is strengthened when one takes into account the relative economy of computations with the algebraic model.

Moreover, Mayle’s transition criterion (1991) has been implemented in the code. The incoming flow in the experimental tests on the ACE rotor (Norton et al., 1990) had a turbulence intensity of 4.0 percent, and it is assumed constant for application of Mayle’s model. For the C3X vane and the VKI rotor, Mayle’s criterion is immaterial since the flow turns turbulent at the leading edge itself due to showerhead injection.

2.1 Forest’s Model. The strong favorable free-stream pressure gradients near the leading edge result in laminar flow, even with high free-stream turbulence. The laminar heat transfer at the leading edge is, however, increased by the free-stream turbulence. The model of Forest (1977) was incorporated in order to account for this increase. According to this model

$$\varepsilon_m = C_F T u_a U_r$$

(2)

where the mixing length, $l$, is defined as

$$l = \min(ky, 0.085)$$

While several ways to calculate the boundary layer thickness were tried following Davis et al. (1988), it was found that the best method was to relate it to the momentum thickness whose calculation is described later on. The coefficient $C_F$ is found from

$$C_F = \frac{0.75}{1 + 0.041/|\lambda|}$$

The momentum thickness is calculated using Thwaites’ method, as given by White (1974). According to this, the momentum thickness at a location $s$, along the blade surface is given by

$$\theta^2(s) = \frac{0.45\nu}{U_s''(s)} \int_0^s U_s' ds$$

The origin in this calculation is taken as the geometric stagnation point. This calculation of $\varepsilon_m$ due to free-stream turbulence was carried out only when the flow was laminar. Otherwise, the analysis would give significantly increased heat transfer for fully turbulent flow (Boyle, 1991). For the cases analyzed here, it implies that Forest’s model is used only for the ACE rotor since for both the VKI rotor and the C3X vane, the flow is turbulent at the leading edge itself due to the shower-head injection.

2.2 Crawford’s Model. Crawford’s model for augmentation of eddy viscosity due to film cooling (Crawford et al., 1980) was developed for use in a two-dimensional boundary layer code to predict heat transfer in full-coverage film cooling situations on flat surfaces. Though not really applicable to the situation, it was used by Steptka and Gaugler (1983) to compare the predicted Stanton numbers with the experimental data for rows of film-cooling holes around the circumference of a cylinder in crossflow. In the absence of a better model, we adopted it also for the present calculations. According to this model, the eddy diffusivity for momentum in the presence of film cooling is modeled by algebraically augmenting the Prandtl mixing length using

$$\delta = \left( \frac{\delta}{\delta} \right)_{3-D}, \frac{l}{\delta} = \left( \frac{l}{\delta} \right)_{3-D} + \left( \frac{l}{\delta} \right)_{a}$$

(3)

where the "3-D" subscript refers to the three-dimensional mixing length from the Baldwin and Lomax model, and the "a" denotes the departure due to jet-boundary layer interaction, given by

$$\left( \frac{l}{\delta} \right)_{a} = \lambda_{max} \cdot F \cdot f,$$

$$F = 2.718 \left( \frac{y}{PD} \right)^2 \exp \left[ -\left( \frac{y}{PD} \right)^2 \right]$$
where \( x \) is the streamwise distance measured from the point of injection, and \( PD \) is the penetration distance. While Crawford's model is essentially two-dimensional, we extended it to three dimensions by linearly augmenting the eddy viscosity in the spanwise direction between holes.

3 Blades and Experimental Details

The experimental data on the C3X vane have been provided by Hylton et al. (1988). Figure 1 shows the C3X vane with the film-cooling hole details. The test vane was internally cooled by an array of ten radial cooling holes (not shown in Fig. 1) in the active part of the vane. No heat transfer measurements were made in the actual film-cooled nose piece of the vane as it was thermally isolated from the rest of the vane. In addition to the details available in Fig. 1, the two rows of holes on the pressure surface were located at \( s/s_{o} = 0.197, 0.225 \), and on the suction surface at \( s/s_{o} = 0.254, 0.276 \). One row of showerhead holes was located at the geometric leading edge, with one on the suction side and three on the pressure side. The active part of the test vane surface was instrumented with 123 0.51-mm-dia sheathed CA thermocouples to measure the temperature at the blade surface. The thermocouple junctions were located in a plane 2.54 mm off midspan. The heat transfer coefficient for each radial cooling hole was calculated from the hole diameter, measured coolant flow rate, and coolant temperature with a correction applied for thermal entry region effects. The internal temperature field of the test vane was obtained from a finite element solution of the steady-state heat conduction equation, using the measured surface temperatures as boundary conditions. Experimental values of the heat flux at the blade surface were then obtained from the normal temperature gradient at the blade surface, and these were used to derive the local heat transfer coefficient at a spanwise location 2.54 mm off the midspan of the blade. More details are available from Hylton et al. (1988).

The experimental data on the VKI rotor have been provided by Camci and Arts (1990), using the short-duration VKI Isentropic Compression Tube facility. Figure 2 shows the VKI rotor geometry along with cooling hole details. Three staggered rows of cylindrical cooling holes \( (d = 0.65 \text{ mm}; s/c = -0.0285, 0, 0.0285) \) were located around the leading edge. The row and hole spacings were 2.48 and 2.64 mm. These holes were inclined at 37 and 43 deg with respect to the local blade surface and drilled in a plane perpendicular to the span. One row of conical holes \( (d = 0.8 \text{ mm}; s/c = -0.315) \) was located along the suction side. The row and hole spacings were respectively 2.48 and 2.64 mm. These holes were inclined at 37 and 43 deg with respect to the local blade surface and drilled in a plane perpendicular to the span. The blade instrumented for heat flux measurements was milled from “Macor” glass ceramic and 45 platinum thin films were applied on its surface. Three independent cavities were drilled along the blade height to act as plenum chambers. The local wall convective heat flux was deduced from the corresponding time-dependent surface temperature evolution, provided by the platinum thin-film gages. The wall temperature/wall heat flux conversion was obtained from an electrical analogy, simulating a one-dimensional semi-infinite body configuration. More details are available from Camci and Arts (1990).

The experimental data on the ACE rotor have been provided by Norton et al. (1990), using the Isentropic Light Piston Tunnel at Oxford University. The blade profile tested was the midheight streamline section of a highly loaded, transonic, research turbine rotor. Build X of the ACE rotor, shown in Fig. 3, was used for comparison purposes. In this, one row of cylindrical holes \( (d = 0.65 \text{ mm}; s/s_{o} = 0.7) \) was located on the suction surface, with a hole spacing of 4\( d \). Two staggered rows of cylindrical holes \( (d = 0.65 \text{ mm}; s/s_{o} = 0.5, 0.56) \) were located on the pressure surface, with a row and hole spacing of 4\( d \) each. All holes were inclined 30 deg to the local blade surface and drilled in a plane perpendicular to the span. The instrumented blades were manufactured from “Macor” glass ceramic onto which were placed thin film platinum resistance thermometers and pads. The heat transfer measurement technique is simi-

4 Computational Details

For all the blades, the computational span, shown in Fig. 4, is different from the real span. The ordinate in each part of Fig. 4 denotes the distance along the blade surface in the spanwise direction, while the abscissa denotes the distance along the blade surface in the chordwise direction, both normalized by the hole

radius, r. For the VKI rotor, the hole spacing in the span direction was assumed to be 2.56 mm (=6.4r) for all holes, representing a deviation of ±3 percent from the actual values of 2.48 and 2.64 mm. It may be noted that the ordinate in Fig. 4 has breaks so as to accommodate all the rows of holes. The shape and orientation of the hole openings in Fig. 4 are a direct consequence of the angles the holes make with the spanwise or chordwise direction. For all the blades, the pattern of holes shown in Fig. 4 is repeated in the spanwise direction. For the C3X vane and the VKI rotor, periodic boundary conditions are imposed due to showerhead injection, while for the ACE rotor, symmetry conditions are imposed on the ends of the computational span.

Since the hole diameter on all the blades is less than 1 mm, the grid size has to be varied along the blade chord. For computational accuracy, the ratio of two adjacent grid sizes in any direction was kept within 0.76 to 1.3. A periodic C-grid with up to one million grid points was used. For the C3X vane, the grid used was $281 \times 45 \times 81$, while for the VKI and ACE rotors, it was $353 \times 53 \times 17$ and $313 \times 53 \times 17$, respectively, where the first number represents the number of grid points along the main flow direction, the second in the blade-to-blade direction, and the third in the span direction. Normal to the blade surface is the dense viscous grid, with $y^+ < 1$ for the first

Table 1 Parameter values for the cases analyzed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Blade</th>
<th>Case</th>
<th>$p_1$ (kN/m$^2$)</th>
<th>$T_1$ (K)</th>
<th>$M_1$</th>
<th>$Re_1$</th>
<th>$M_2$</th>
<th>$Re_2$</th>
<th>$T_2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C3X</td>
<td>44135</td>
<td>281.55</td>
<td>705</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>$6.2 \times 10^6$</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>$2.0 \times 10^6$</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3X</td>
<td>44135</td>
<td>280.35</td>
<td>705</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>$6.0 \times 10^6$</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>$2.0 \times 10^6$</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3X</td>
<td>44355</td>
<td>284.02</td>
<td>702</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>$5.8 \times 10^6$</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>$2.0 \times 10^6$</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VKI</td>
<td>all</td>
<td>200.70</td>
<td>415</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>$2.3 \times 10^6$</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACE</td>
<td>6113</td>
<td>286.22</td>
<td>463</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>$5.5 \times 10^6$</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>$1.0 \times 10^6$</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACE</td>
<td>6109</td>
<td>238.83</td>
<td>402</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>$5.4 \times 10^6$</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>$1.0 \times 10^6$</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 5 Coolant velocity and temperature profiles at the hole exit
point off the blade surface, following Boyle and Giel (1992). Computations were run on the 8-processor Cray Y-MP supercomputer at the NASA Lewis Research Center, and on the 16-processor C-90 supercomputer at NASA Ames Research Center. The code requires about 60 million words (Mw) of storage and takes about 20 seconds per iteration (full-multigrid) on the C-90 machine for one million grid points. For a given grid the first case requires about 1100 iterations to converge, while subsequent cases for the same grid require about 300 iterations starting with the solution for the previous case.

5 Results and Discussion

Three experimental cases for the C3X vane, three for the VKI rotor, and two for the ACE rotor were analyzed for comparison. The values of various parameters for these cases are given in Table 1. In this table, the derived film-cooling parameters are based upon the assumption of one-dimensional compressible flow through the hole. For the C3X vane, cases 44135 and 44155 represent the minimum and maximum blowing ratio, respectively, for the showerhead holes, while case 44355 represents the warmest coolant. For the VKI rotor, case number is designated by the ratio of coolant mass to the main-flow mass; the main difference between the 3.09 and 3.32 percent cases being the colder coolant for the latter. For the ACE rotor, case 6115 represents about half the blowing ratio for the case 6109. In all cases except case 6115 for the ACE rotor, the blowing ratio is high ($B > 1.0$).

Figure 5 shows the coolant velocity and temperature profiles at the hole exit, the effect of which was studied on the heat transfer coefficient at various blade surfaces. The profiles shown in Fig. 5 are at the centerline through the hole, with the abscissa covering the hole. Clearly, the 4th power law profile does not satisfy the zero gradient condition at the centerline. The polynomial profile shown is for $V_w = 2.449 = twice the maximum velocity for the 4th power law profile, and $V_t = 0$. It was found, however, that results for the heat transfer coefficient corresponding to the polynomial profile did not change more than $\pm 5$ percent for $-0.4 < V_t < 0.4$.

We now present results for the three blades separately. Figure 6 shows the nonuniform experimentally determined temperature on the C3X vane surface for the three cases. These temperature values were specified as the boundary condition for the blade surface temperature in the code as well. In this and later figures, except Figs. 10–12, $s$ represents the normalized distance along the pressure or suction surface of the blade. Besides the somewhat erratic temperature variation over $|s| > 0.25$, caused by the internal cooling holes (not shown in Fig. 1) in the active part of the blade, there is a sharp drop in temperature at each end of the insulated portion of the blade ($|s| = 0.25$).

Figures 7–9 provide the effect of coolant velocity and temperature distribution at the hole exit on the normalized heat transfer coefficient (solid and dash curves) at the blade surface in comparison with experimental data (Hylton et al., 1988), denoted by $\bigcirc$, for the cases 44135, 44155, and 44355, respectively, at a spanwise location (near midspan) where the experi-
The heat transfer coefficient values in these figures have been normalized with respect to an arbitrary value, $h_0 = 1135.6$ kW/m$^2$·K, as per Hylton et al. (1988). There are no data given for about 25 percent of surface length on either side of the leading edge since this portion contained the plenum chambers for injection of the colder gas and was insulated from the rest of the blade in the experimental tests (cf. Fig. 1). The fluctuations in the data are due to the nonuniform blade surface temperature in the experimental data. The nine short vertical lines near the center-bottom of these figures denote the location of film cooling rows. For all three cases the normalized heat transfer coefficient corresponding to the polynomial profiles of coolant velocity and temperature at the hole exit is about 50–60 percent higher than that corresponding to the $1/7$th power law over most of the suction surface. However, the difference over the pressure surface is only about ±10 percent for the case 44135 (Fig. 7), about ±20 percent for the case 44155 (Fig. 8), and about 35 percent for the case 44355 (Fig. 9). Also, the $1/7$th power law profile results seem to match better with the experimental data than the polynomial profile results for all the cases.

For the C3X vane, we may point out that experimentally, the heat flux on the blade (inner) surface was calculated from a finite element analysis of conduction within the blade, and the heat transfer coefficient was then found by dividing this heat flux by $(T_w - T_a)$. The present study computes the heat transfer coefficients on the blade (outer) surface from the three-dimensional Navier–Stokes analysis. Also, the uncertainty in the heat transfer coefficient measurement varies from about 10 percent near $|s| = 0.3$ to about 22 percent near $|s| = 0.95$ (Hylton et al., 1988).

Let us now turn to the comparison of results for the VKI rotor. For this rotor, the blade was specified to be isothermal with $T_c/T_w = 0.7$. Figures 10–12 display the effect of coolant velocity and temperature distribution at the hole exit on the normalized heat transfer coefficient (solid and dash curves) at the blade surface in comparison with experimental data (Camci and Arts, 1990). The six short vertical lines in the center-bottom of these figures denote the location of film-cooling rows. From these figures we find, in contrast to the results for the C3X vane, that the differences in $h/h_0$ values corresponding to the two coolant profiles at the hole exit are negligible over most of the suction surface and considerable (about 30 percent) over the pressure surface. The heat transfer coefficient corresponding to the polynomial profiles of coolant injection is generally larger than that corresponding to the $1/7$th power law profiles. Also, comparison with experimental data is fair for either of the coolant profiles results. The experimental uncertainty in the measurement of heat transfer coefficient is about 5 percent except...
in the shower-head region where it is estimated to be as high as 10–15 percent (Camci and Arts, 1990). Due to normalization with respect to \( h_u \), however, each experimental data point represents two measurements, one for the cooled and another for the uncooled blade. The same is true for the computations as well, and may be the reason for the relatively good comparison with the experimental data for the VKI rotor in contrast to that for the C3X vane in Figs. 7–9. We may also point out that the suction and pressure surface holes on the VKI rotor are conical while the showerhead holes on the VKI rotor as well as all holes on the C3X vane are cylindrical. This may account for the relatively smaller effect of coolant velocity and temperature distribution at the hole exit on the heat transfer coefficient at the VKI rotor surface in contrast to that for the C3X vane.

We now turn to the discussion of results for the ACE rotor. Figure 13 shows the nonuniform experimentally determined temperature on the ACE rotor surface for the two cases analyzed. These temperature values were specified as the boundary condition for the blade surface temperature in the code as well. Figures 14 and 15 show the effect of coolant velocity and temperature distribution at the hole exit on the heat transfer coefficient (solid and dash curves) at the blade surface in comparison with experimental data (Norton et al., 1990), denoted by \( \bullet \), for the two cases at midspan. The short vertical lines in these figures as well as Fig. 16 denote the location of film cooling rows. Due to the absence of showerhead cooling, this is the only case where Mayle's transition criterion and Forest's model for augmentation of laminar heat transfer at the leading edge due to free-stream turbulence are useful. Clearly, both models seem to be effective in yielding results that compare well with the experimental data. While the effect of coolant profiles at the hole exit is negligible for the low blowing ratio case 6115 in Fig. 14, it is considerable (as much as 50 percent higher \( h \) corresponding to the polynomial profile) over the pressure surface for the high blowing ratio case 6109 in Fig. 15. For both cases, however, the effect on the suction surface is negligible, in conformity with the results for the VKI rotor but in direct contrast to those for the C3X vane. The polynomial profile for the coolant velocity and temperature distribution at the hole exit results in a very good comparison with the experimental data downstream of the cooling holes on the pressure surface in Fig. 15. Norton et al. (1990) could not get such a good comparison on the pressure surface; their prediction is similar to ours for the 4th power law profile.

Figure 16 shows the effect of Crawford's model on the heat transfer coefficient at the ACE rotor surface for the case 6109. The results in Fig. 16 correspond to the polynomial profiles for coolant velocity and temperature at the hole exit. Clearly, the effect of Crawford's model is felt only within the region of holes; it is negligible downstream of the holes. We may note that due to the absence of showerhead cooling, the flow upstream of the holes is essentially two dimensional (no spanwise variation) for the ACE rotor.

### 6 Conclusions

A three-dimensional Navier–Stokes code has been used to study the effect of coolant velocity and temperature distribution at the hole exit on the heat transfer coefficient on three film-cooled turbine blades, namely, the C3X vane, the VKI rotor, and the ACE rotor. Results are also compared with the experimental data for all the blades. Moreover, Mayle's transition criterion (Mayle, 1991), Forest's model for augmentation of leading edge heat transfer due to free-stream turbulence (Forest, 1977), and Crawford's model for augmentation of eddy viscosity due to film cooling (Crawford et al., 1980) are used. It is found that different velocity and temperature distributions of coolant at the hole exit can lead to as much as a 60 percent change in the heat transfer coefficient at the blade surface in some cases. Also, different effects are observed on the pressure and suction surface depending upon the blade as well as upon the hole shape, conical or cylindrical. Thus specification of proper conditions at the hole exit is important in film-cooling applications. This calls for a detailed analysis of the in-hole and near-hole physics under conditions relevant to the gas turbine.
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