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Studies have been made on several wing leading-edge modifications applicable to present single-engine light aircraft, which produce stabilizing vortices at stall and beyond. These vortices have the effect of fixing the stall pattern of the wing such that the various portions of the wing upper surface stall nearly symmetrically. The lift coefficient produced is maintained at a high level to angles of attack significantly above the stall angle of the unmodified wing, and the divergence in roll usually is reduced to a controllable level. It is hypothesized that these characteristics will help prevent inadvertent spin entry after a stall. Results are presented from recent large-scale wind-tunnel tests of a typical light aircraft, both with and without the modifications. The data indicate that the static stall and poststall characteristics of this aircraft, in a typical landing-approach condition, are noticeably improved when a suitable leading-edge modification is employed; and also that no appreciable aerodynamic penalties are evident in the normal flight envelope.

Introduction

STALLS and spins have continued to be a major cause of fatal and nonfatal accidents involving general aviation aircraft. As discussed in a historical overview of stall/spin characteristics, the aerodynamic factors that affect stall/spin behavior have been studied for many years and are well known; however, the incorporation of the proper combination of these factors to provide stall/spin avoidance in current general aviation aircraft has proved to be a difficult design challenge.

A key part of providing acceptable stall/spin behavior involves the wing aerodynamics. Lateral instabilities and the loss of lateral control, common to most aircraft when in a stall, are due in large part to a rapid asymmetrical spread of flow separation on the outer portions of the wings. Many methods to control wing-flow separation have been examined. These include aerodynamic twist or geometric washout, wing slots or slats, change in airfoil section, variable thickness ratio, and the use of leading-edge stall strips. Although some of these methods have been somewhat successful in improving stall/spin resistance, either the increased complexity of the wing design and/or loss of performance have acted as deterrents to widespread acceptance by the general aviation industry.

Recently, an improvement in poststall aerodynamic flow control has been made in a research program conducted jointly at Ames Research Center and at the University of Michigan. Basically, as illustrated schematically in Fig. 1, the concept involves the forced shedding of vortices at stall at the midsemispan leading edge. This can serve to preserve the lift, both inboard and outboard, to very large angles of attack.

Recent radio-controlled model tests and full-scale flight tests of a similar flow-control concept performed at Langley Research Center are reported in Ref. 2. These tests seem to support the effectiveness of the concept in preventing inadvertent spin entry, as well as facilitating spin recovery. Further research along these lines is being pursued at Langley Research Center and elsewhere (cf., Refs. 3 and 4).

History of Development

A preliminary three-dimensional analysis that used a nonlinear-lifting-line approach with a simulated stalled wing section suggested that strong vorticity would be shed at the edges of the unattached section. A wind-tunnel model was fabricated with partial span slats added along the entire leading edge except for a small length near the midsemispan. This difference in leading-edge configuration was intended to produce a strong streamwise vorticity around the unprotected section at stall and thus, due to a decrease in the local induced angle of attack, keep the other areas attached to high angles of attack (i.e., causing them to behave similarly to low-aspect-ratio surfaces). By varying the spanwise position and width of the unslatted section, a poststall lift curve shape could then be produced which varied from practically flat on top to double-peaked, depending on the spanwise position of the gap in the leading-edge slats.

This midsemispan flow control technique was developed in experiments in the University of Michigan 5×7 ft Wind Tunnel and in the NASA Ames 7×10 ft Wind Tunnel. A second series of tests was performed in the NASA Ames 7×10 ft Wind Tunnel using a half-span model; the results are reported in Ref. 6. In these studies the slats were replaced by leading-edge gloves which added camber and a larger radius to the airfoil leading edge. The results showed similar flow control capabilities, but the effect was not quite as dramatic on the poststall lift curve shape as the slats. However, the gloves were capable of producing a flat-top lift curve without showing perceptible drag penalty with respect to the clean wing. In addition, they were simple enough to constitute an acceptable type of add-on to general aviation aircraft.

Subsequent wind-tunnel studies of an isolated full-span wing in the NASA Ames 7×10 ft Wind Tunnel showed, among other things, that sideslip did not significantly alter the effectiveness of this flow control concept. The unyawed data from this test (Fig. 2) illustrate the variety of lift curve shapes obtainable with different leading-edge configurations.
Full-Scale Wind-Tunnel Tests

The flow control method was tested on a typical light airplane in the NASA Ames 40 × 80 ft Wind Tunnel, with and without engine power and with various control surface deflections. This paper presents and discusses some results of these recent studies. The airplane was a Beechcraft Musketeer, Model 23A Fig. 3. The aircraft/wind-tunnel model in the tunnel is shown in Fig. 3.

The aircraft wing was modified by attaching a removable fiberglass leading-edge glove which was capable of being installed in segments. The design of the glove was similar to that used in the earlier 7 × 10 ft wind-tunnel tests, that is, a matching of the nose of a GAW-1 airfoil to the leading edge of the wing such that the upper surface of the two airfoils approximately coincide over 20-30% of the chord (a sketch is shown in the lower part of Fig. 4). This design results in a larger leading-edge radius as well as greater camber at the nose; the lower surface was faired flat so that it blended with the bottom of the wing at about 30% chord. This simple modification served to delay leading-edge separation on the protected span to significantly higher angles of attack.

The leading-edge glove segments were designed so they could be removed and rearranged to produce an unprotected gap, varying from 1/16 to 1/2 of the exposed semispan in width, at various spanwise positions on each of the wings. A sketch of the layout and nomenclature is shown in Fig. 4. Figure 5 is a close-up photograph of a typical modification.

The tests were run at an airspeed of about 77 mph (124 kph).

Results with Modified Leading Edge (Gap-in-Glove) and for Basic Aircraft—Clean Condition

These tests with the full-scale airplane in the 40 × 80 ft wind tunnel confirmed the results obtained earlier with a model semispan wing in the 7 × 10 ft wind tunnel. That is, the most desirable position for the leading-edge discontinuity (based upon the shape of the lift curve, the rolling moments produced at stall, and the effectiveness of the ailerons) was a modification, 1/8 semispan in length (in this case, a gap-in-the-glove), located just inboard of the exposed midsemispan (position 4 in Fig. 4).

Longitudinal Characteristics

The lift curve for the modified configuration is compared with the basic aircraft characteristics in Fig. 6. (Note that
these data are for the configuration with tail off, power off, and flaps up.) As can be seen, the modified configuration has a slightly higher $C_{L_{\text{max}}}$. The significant effect, however, is that a high level of lift is maintained to a 32-34 deg angle of attack—instead of steadily decreasing at these high angles, as does the basic aircraft. From the tuft photographs, discussed below, it can be observed that the flow on the outer portion of the wings remains attached, with separation occurring in the vicinity of the midsemispan and inboard; the outboard areas then, which are the larger contributors to roll, presumably still have a positive lift curve slope, $C_{L_{o}}$. It is hypothesized that this characteristic implies improved roll damping in the poststall region. The extended negative slope of the basic configuration and the observed tip-flow separation, on the other hand, imply reduced roll damping—as it is known to occur in the classic poststall case.

Flow Visualization

The tuft photographs in Fig. 7 correspond to the lift curves shown in Fig. 6. They illustrate the flow structure over the
wing for a range of angles of attack from immediately prestall to deep poststall. The photographs of the unmodified wing are on the left and those of the modified wing are on the right.

Starting with the bottom pair of photographs, the angle of attack (α) is 12 deg. As expected for this prestall angle, the flow is about the same on both the modified and unmodified wings, with a small amount of separation occurring at the trailing edge in the wing-root regions. The tuft patterns at α = 16 and 20 deg (not shown) reveal little to distinguish between the two configurations. At α = 24 deg in the next pair of photographs, the favorable effect of the leading-edge modification is especially well illustrated, with the flow ahead of the aileron separated on the unmodified wing while it is still attached on the modified version; this combination remains through α = 28 deg. In the final set of photographs at α = 36 deg, the flow separation on the outboard portions of the modified wing, which was partial at 32 deg (not shown), is complete. It is interesting to note that the tuft pattern for the modified wing at α = 36 deg is similar to that for the unmodified wing at α = 24 deg.

Lateral Characteristics

The rolling moment data for these two configurations, with neutral controls, and with approximate maximum roll-control limits (full aileron deflection, based upon data from aileron sweeps at selected angles of attack) are shown in Fig. 8. As would be expected from the tuft behavior, the rolling moments for the modified wing (in Fig. 8a) are fairly well behaved to an angle of attack of 32–34 deg, above which they start to depart. The excursion at α = 18 deg (point "A") is thought to be due to the leading-edge stall in the unprotected gap occurring on one wing first. The gradual divergence in the angle-of-attack range of α = 20–34 deg is probably due to
Fig. 10 Drag data for three configurations (tail off, power off, flaps up).

Fig. 11 Basic aircraft with stall strip at position 4 (tail off, power off, flaps up). a) Lift characteristics; b) rolling moment.

Fig. 12 Tail-off lift curves in landing approach condition (power on, flaps down), spoiler-on-glove configuration with basic aircraft superimposed.

Fig. 13 Tail-off rolling-moment data, landing approach condition (power on, flaps down). a) Basic configuration; b) spoiler-on-glove configuration.
asymmetric separation in the root, which was characteristic of this aircraft. For the unmodified wing (Fig. 4b) the divergence in rolling moment at \( \alpha = 12-20 \) deg is more extreme. These large excursions are due to random asymmetric wing-flow separation which was observed in the tufts. In addition, the aileron effectiveness was substantially greater for the modified wing at the higher angles of attack, compared to that of the unmodified wing which dropped to very low values for angles of attack greater than 24-28 deg. The yawing moment for the (2) was relatively small.

A cursory look at the contribution of the modification to dihedral effect \( C_{\delta d} \) and, to a lesser extent directional stability \( C_{\delta q} \) (for the configurations tested with tail on), indicates that these parameters are enhanced somewhat at the higher angles of attack. The sensitivity of the poststall lateral characteristics of these parameters are enhanced somewhat at the higher angles of attack. The shape of the lift curve is improved, however, maintaining a higher \( C_L \) level at higher angles of attack. Of greatest significance is the improvement in rolling moment which shows no appreciable divergence up to 40 deg angle of attack. This is probably due to strong vortices being shed symmetrically, on both wings, by the leading-edge spoilers. A study has been made of several wing leading-edge modifications that change the stall pattern so that the onset of separation tends to occur at the midsemispan leading edge. Vortices shed at this position reduce the tendency for flow separation to occur on the inboard and outboard portions of the wing, so that the flow separation pattern is stabilized, maintaining high lift to large angles of attack and causing the attached portions of the wing to behave similarly to low-aspect-ratio surfaces. The resulting aerodynamic characteristics of the airplane are improved in several of the important aspects affecting spin tendencies. For example, while \( C_{\text{Lmax}} \) is about the same as for the unmodified wing, the shape of the top of the lift curve for the modified wing is flatter so that it maintains a higher level of lift to approximately 32-34 deg angle of attack. In addition, flow visualization studies show that the flow over the outboard portion of the wing remains attached to much higher angles of attack than for the unmodified case, indicating that favorable effects on poststall roll damping would be expected. The poststall excursions of the rolling moment are decreased, so that they stay within acceptable levels to at least 20 deg angle of attack. Yawing moments also are within satisfactory limits. Finally, the effectiveness of the ailerons is maintained to much higher angles of attack with the modified configuration.

Results with Two Other Types of Leading-Edge Modifications—Clean Condition

To investigate other means for generating a strong leading-edge vortex flow, two additional leading-edge modifications were tested. Both incorporated a discontinuity at position 4 (Fig. 4) which was found to be the optimum location for the configuration with the gap in the leading-edge glove. The first used the original leading-edge glove, fullspan, in combination with a 1 in. (2.5 cm) wide horizontally disposed leading-edge spoiler 1/8 semispan long. The data for this variation, along with a sketch, are shown in Figs. 9a and 9b. (Fig. 9c is a close-up photograph of the modification.) In this case, the maximum lift is about the same as for the basic wing. The shape of the lift curve is improved, however, maintaining a higher \( C_L \) level at higher angles of attack. Of greatest significance is the improvement in rolling moment which shows no appreciable divergence up to 40 deg angle of attack. For the modified configuration (Fig. 13a) there is a large roll divergence at \( \alpha = 14 \) deg with greatly diminished aileron effectiveness at the higher angles (\( \alpha > 20-24 \) deg). The data for the modified aircraft (Fig. 13b) on the other hand, show much less roll divergence along with more adequate aileron effectiveness beyond stall, implying that the pilot would have an easier time maintaining control in this critical condition.

These data for the spoiler-on-glove configuration are deemed consistent and repeatable, since the sharp leading edge of the spoiler assures a precise separation point. It was found, however, for the gap-in-glove configuration in the landing approach condition (the results from one test of which are shown in Ref. 7) that high rolling moments were occasionally obtained. This was probably due to separation occurring earlier at angle of attack in one gap than the other. Based upon results from the configurations tested, the leading-edge spoiler-on-glove is considered to be the more practical modification since it consistently assures a more precise symmetrical separation at a particular angle of attack and, at the same time, produces no significant drag penalty in the normal flight regime. It is also more universally applicable to a variety of airfoils and entails less structural modification to the wing.

The model was also tested with a full leading-edge glove having no discontinuities. As expected, this configuration (data not shown), with no fixed spanwise position for the onset of separation to occur, exhibited extreme roll-off tendencies in the landing approach condition. (This result is consistent with the poor spin characteristics observed for a similar configuration in Ref. 5).

Conclusion

A study has been made of several wing leading-edge modifications that change the stall pattern so that the onset of separation tends to occur at the midsemispan leading edge. Vortices shed at this position reduce the tendency for flow separation to occur on the inboard and outboard portions of the wing, so that the flow separation pattern is stabilized, maintaining high lift to large angles of attack and causing the attached portions of the wing to behave similarly to low-aspect-ratio surfaces. The resulting aerodynamic characteristics of the airplane are improved in several of the important aspects affecting spin tendencies. For example, while \( C_{\text{Lmax}} \) is about the same as for the unmodified wing, the shape of the top of the lift curve for the modified wing is flatter so that it maintains a higher level of lift to approximately 32-34 deg angle of attack. In addition, flow visualization studies show that the flow over the outboard portion of the wing remains attached to much higher angles of attack than for the unmodified case, indicating that favorable effects on poststall roll damping would be expected. The poststall excursions of the rolling moment are decreased, so that they stay within acceptable levels to at least 20 deg angle of attack. Yawing moments also are within satisfactory limits. Finally, the effectiveness of the ailerons is maintained to much higher angles of attack with the modified configuration.
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