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The lethargy-dependent equations of the consistent Pl approximation to the Boltzmann transport equation for slowing down neutrons have been used as the basis of an IBM 704 computer program. Some of the effects included are (1) linearly anisotropic center of mass elastic scattering, (2) heavy element inelastic scattering based on the evaporation model of the nucleus, and (3) optional variation of the buckling with lethargy. The microscopic cross-section data developed for this program covered 473 lethargy points from lethargy \( u = 0 \) (10 Mev) to \( u = 19.8 \) (0.025 ev).

The value of the fission neutron age in water calculated here is 26.5 square centimeters; this value is to be compared with the recent experimental value given as 27.86 square centimeters. The Fourier transform of the slowing-down kernel for water to indium resonance energy calculated here compared well with the Fourier transform of the kernel for water as measured by Hill, Roberts, and Fitch.

This method of calculation has been applied to uranyl fluoride - water solution critical assemblies. Theoretical results established for both unreflected and fully reflected critical assemblies have been compared with available experimental data. The theoretical buckling curve derived as a function of the hydrogen to uranium-235 atom concentration for an energy-independent extrapolation distance was successful in predicting the critical heights of various unreflected cylindrical assemblies. The critical dimensions of fully water-reflected cylindrical assemblies were reasonably well predicted using the theoretical buckling curve and reflector savings for equivalent spherical assemblies.

**INTRODUCTION**

The NASA Lewis Research Center has for some time operated a Zero Power Reactor. This reactor consists of uranyl fluoride salt (\( \text{UO}_2\text{F}_2 \))
dissolved in water and contained in a cylindrical aluminum tank. The parameters of the system are the diameter of the cylindrical tank, use of a side reflector, and concentration of uranyl fluoride salt in water. Criticality is achieved by varying the height of the solution in the tank. No control rods are inserted into the solution while the reactor is critical. Thus, good experimental conditions exist for obtaining criticality data and performing reactivity measurements by measuring changes in the solution height due to insertions in the reactor. Description of this reactor and its various characteristics are found in the final hazards report (ref. 1) prepared for the Atomic Energy Commission.

The criticality data obtained from the NASA Zero Power Reactor as well as that obtained from extensive experimental studies performed at the Oak Ridge National Laboratory (refs. 2, 3, and 4) can be analyzed by various theoretical models. Callihan (ref. 5) describes the use of Fermi age-diffusion and Goertzel-Selengut analytical models as well as a method due to Greuling for predicting critical reactor diameters of various Oak Ridge experiments. A more recent study of the Oak Ridge experimental data by Gwin and others (ref. 6) makes use of the empirical kernel method, which is based on the measured slowing-down distribution in water rather than on any theoretical model of the neutron slowing-down process. In addition, the use of the Los Alamos DSN transport code for obtaining minimum critical dimensions for water solution is described by Mills (ref. 7).

The objective of the present study is to provide a theoretical model based on the numerical solution of the lethargy-dependent consistent PI approximation to the Boltzmann transport equation for slowing down neutrons. This model is coded for the IBM 704 electronic computer and employs microscopic nuclear data (refs. 8, 9, and 10). This theoretical model is tested by comparison with the experimental age and Fourier transform of the slowing-down kernel of fission neutrons in water. This model is further employed in estimating the absolute reactivity of unreflected \( \text{UO}_2\text{F}_2 \)-water systems and is used as a basis for evaluating consistent three-group parameters for the interpretation of water-reflected \( \text{UO}_2\text{F}_2 \)-water systems.

The next section gives the derivation of the general lethargy-dependent consistent PI equations used as the basis for an IBM 704 code. Next follows a section giving the analytical results based on this model and comparison with experimental data obtained with the NASA Zero Power Reactor as well as data obtained at Oak Ridge.

**THEORY**

**Slab Geometry Spherical Harmonics Form of Transport Equation**

Let \( n(r,v)dr dv \) be the probable number of neutrons in the space volume element \( dr^2 \) about position \( \vec{r} \) and in the velocity element \( dv \).
about velocity $\vec{v}$ per unit time. Then, the Boltzmann transport equation for slowing down neutrons at steady-state, which equates the losses per unit time of neutrons, due to transport out of and collision removal from the phase volume element $d\vec{r} \, d\vec{v}$, to the gain per unit time of neutrons, due to elastic and inelastic in-scattering and fissioning of the fissile nuclei in the phase volume element $d\vec{r} \, d\vec{v}$, has the form

$$\vec{n} \cdot \nabla \phi(\vec{r}, \vec{v}) + \Sigma_t(v) \phi(\vec{r}, \vec{v}) = \int d\vec{v}' \Sigma_{es}(v') \phi(\vec{r}, \vec{v}') P(\vec{v}' \rightarrow \vec{v})$$

$$+ \int d\vec{v}' \Sigma_{in}(v') \phi(\vec{r}, \vec{v}') H(\vec{v}' \rightarrow \vec{v}) + S(\vec{r}, \vec{v})$$

where the neutron flux is defined as $\phi(\vec{r}, \vec{v}) = v \phi(\vec{r}, \vec{v})$ with $v$ being the neutron speed; $S(\vec{r}, \vec{v})$ is the source of neutrons due to fission; $\Sigma_t(v)$, $\Sigma_{es}(v)$, $\Sigma_{in}(v)$ are the macroscopic total, elastic scattering, and inelastic scattering cross sections, respectively, at speed $v$; $\vec{n}$ is a unit vector along the direction of motion of the neutron; $P(\vec{v}' \rightarrow \vec{v})$ is the probability that a neutron in the phase volume element $d\vec{r} \, d\vec{v}'$ will, after an elastic scattering collision, be in the phase volume $d\vec{r} \, d\vec{v}$. Similarly, $H(\vec{v}' \rightarrow \vec{v})$ is the probability that a neutron in the phase volume element $d\vec{r} \, d\vec{v}'$ will, after an inelastic scattering collision, be in the phase volume $d\vec{r} \, d\vec{v}$.

Now transform equation (1) from $(\vec{r}, \vec{v})$ space to $(\vec{r}, v, u)$ space and finally from $(\vec{r}, v, u)$ space to $(\vec{r}, u, \mu)$ space where $u$ is the neutron lethargy defined by the relation $u = \ln(E_0/E)$ with $E_0$ usually taken as $10^7$ ev. The result of these transformations and writing an explicit form for the fission source is

$$\vec{n} \cdot \nabla \phi(\vec{r}, u, \mu) + \Sigma_t(u) \phi(\vec{r}, u, \mu) = \int du' \Sigma_{es}(u') \int d\Omega' \phi(\vec{r}, u', \mu') P(u-u', \mu)$$

$$+ \int du' \Sigma_{in}(u') \frac{H(u' \rightarrow u)}{4\pi} \int d\Omega' \phi(\vec{r}, u', \mu')$$

$$+ \frac{f(u)}{4\pi K_{eff}} \int du' v(u') \Sigma_f(u') \int d\Omega' \phi(\vec{r}, u', \mu')$$

where $v(u)$ is the number of neutrons released per fission occurring at lethargy $u$, $\Sigma_f(u)$ is the macroscopic fission cross section, $f(u)$ is the fraction of the fission neutrons born in the lethargy element $du$ about $u$ (the integral of $f(u)$ over all lethargies is normalized to unity), $K_{eff}$ is the static criticality factor, and $d\Omega$ is the element of solid angle about the direction $\vec{n}$. The inelastic scattering kernel is assumed to depend only on the initial lethargy $u'$ and the final lethargy $u$ of an inelastically scattered neutron and to be isotropic in the laboratory coordinate system. The elastic scattering kernel is assumed to
depend only on the difference between the final and initial lethargies and on the cosine of the angle $\mu_L$ between the initial and final directions of motion of a neutron undergoing an elastic scattering collision. Davison (ch. II, ref. 11) gives additional details concerning equation (2).

If the neutron distribution varies only along the Z-direction and is azimuthally symmetric about that axis, if equation (2) is integrated over the azimuthal angle, and if the flux $\phi = \phi(Z, u, \mu)$ (the quantity $\mu$ is the cosine of the angle between the Z-direction and the direction of neutron motion $\vec{v}$) and the elastic scattering kernel are expanded into a series of Legendre polynomials, that is,

$$
\phi(Z, u, \mu) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{2l + 1}{2} \phi_l(Z, u) P_l(\mu) \tag{3a}
$$

$$
P(u-u', \mu_L) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{2l + 1}{2} P_l(u-u') P_l(\mu_L) \tag{3b}
$$

and these expansions are substituted into the equation for $\phi(Z, u, \mu)$, which is then multiplied by a Legendre polynomial $P_K(\mu)$ and integrated over all $\mu$, then the result will be the infinite sequence of integro-differential equations given as

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial Z} \left[ \frac{l}{2l + 1} \phi_{l-1}(Z, u) + \frac{l + 1}{2l + 1} \phi_{l+1}(Z, u) + \Sigma_l(u) \phi_l(Z, u) \right] + \frac{\partial}{\partial Z} \left[ \frac{l}{2l + 1} \phi_{l-1}(Z, u) + \frac{l + 1}{2l + 1} \phi_{l+1}(Z, u) \right] + \Sigma_l(u) \phi_l(Z, u)
$$

$$
= \int du' \Sigma_{es}(u', \mu) P_l(u-u') + \delta_{0, l} \int du' \Sigma_{ln}(u', \mu) \phi_0(Z, u') \delta(u' \rightarrow u)
$$

$$
+ \delta_{0, l} \frac{f(u)}{K_{\text{eff}}} \int_0^\infty du' v(u') \Sigma_f(u') \phi_0(Z, u'); \quad (l = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, \infty) \tag{4}
$$

where $\delta_{0, l}$ is the Kronecker delta defined here by the properties

$$
\delta_{0, l} = 1, \quad l = 0
$$

$$
= 0, \quad l \neq 0 \tag{5}
$$

Equation (4) represents the spherical harmonics form of the transport equation in slab geometry.
Slab Geometry Spherical Harmonics Form of Angular Slowing-Down Density Due to Elastic Scattering

Another important characteristic of slowing down neutrons is the slowing-down density \( Q(\vec{r}, u, \vec{n}) \). The slowing-down density due to elastic scattering \( Q(\vec{r}, u, \vec{n}) \) is defined as the number of neutrons which pass the lethargy mark \( u \) per unit time per unit phase volume \( (d\vec{r} \ d\Omega) \). This quantity is easily derivable as

\[
Q(\vec{r}, u, \vec{n}) = \int_0^u du' \int_u^\infty du'' \int_{\vec{n}'} d\Omega' \Sigma_{es}(u')\varphi(\vec{r}, u; \vec{n}') \varphi'(u'' - u'; \mu_L) \tag{6}
\]

If the slowing-down density varies only along the Z-direction and is azimuthally symmetric about that axis, if equation (6) is integrated over the azimuth angle \( \varphi \), if the expressions for \( \varphi(Z, u, \mu) \) and \( P(u-u'; \mu_L) \), given by equations (3a) and (3b), respectively, are substituted into (6) and then integrated over \( d\Omega' \), and if the resulting equation is multiplied by a Legendre polynomial \( P_K(\mu) \) and integrated over all \( \mu \), then the result will be the moments \( Q_\ell(Z, u) \) of the slowing-down density \( Q(Z, u, \vec{n}) \); that is,

\[
Q_\ell(Z, u) = \int d\Omega Q(Z, u, \vec{n}) P_\ell(\mu) = \int_0^u du' \int_u^\infty du'' \Sigma_{es}(u')\varphi_\ell(Z, u') P_\ell(u'' - u')
\]

\((\ell = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, \infty) \tag{7}\)

Equation (7) represents the spherical harmonics form of the slowing-down density in slab geometry.

Lethargy-Dependent Consistent Pl Equations in Slab Geometry

To obtain the Pl equations from equation (4) the assumption is now made that \( \varphi_\ell(Z, u) = 0 \) for \( \ell \geq 2 \), which implies for equation (7) that \( Q_\ell(Z, u) = 0 \) for \( \ell \geq 2 \) also. The lethargy-dependent consistent Pl equations can now be obtained by assuming the following spatial and lethargy dependence for \( \varphi_0(Z, u) \) and \( \varphi_1(Z, u) \):

\[
\varphi_0(Z, u) = \varphi(u)G\left[B_m(u)Z\right] \tag{8a}
\]
\[
\varphi_1(Z, u) = J(u)F\left[B_m(u)Z\right] \tag{8b}
\]
In addition, the material buckling \( B_m(u) \) is assumed to be a slowly varying function of lethargy. Therefore, the integral terms arising in equation (4) can be evaluated by taking

\[
\Phi_0(Z,u') = \Phi(u')G[B_m(u)Z] \quad (8c)
\]
\[
\Phi_1(Z,u') = J(u')F[B_m(u)Z] \quad (8d)
\]

The lethargy and space variation for the \( l = 0,1 \) terms of equation (7) follows from equations (8a) and (8b) and is

\[
Q_0(Z,u) = Q(u)G[B_m(u)Z] \quad (8e)
\]
\[
Q_1(Z,u) = P(u)F[B_m(u)Z] \quad (8f)
\]

where \( G \) and \( F \) are spatial functions and \( B_m(u) \) is defined as the lethargy-dependent material buckling. In addition, the spatial functions \( G \) and \( F \) must satisfy the conditions

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial Z} \left\{ G[B_m(u)Z] \right\} = -B_m(u)F[B_m(u)Z] \quad (9a)
\]
\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial Z} \left\{ F[B_m(u)Z] \right\} = B_m(u)G[B_m(u)Z] \quad (9b)
\]

With the assumptions implied by equations (8a) to (8d) and (9a) and (9b), the spatial dependence can be divided out, and the lethargy-dependent consistent PI equations obtained from equation (4) are

\[
B_m(u)J(u) + \Sigma_t(u)\Phi(u) = \int du'\Sigma_{es}(u')\Phi(u')P_0(u-u')
\]
\[
+ \int du'\Sigma_{in}(u')\Phi(u')H(u' \rightarrow u)
\]
\[
+ \frac{f(u)}{K_{eff}} \int_0^\infty du'\nu(u')\Sigma_{f}(u')\Phi(u') \quad (10a)
\]
\[
- \frac{1}{3} B_m(u)\Phi(u) + \Sigma_t(u)J(u) = \int du'\Sigma_{es}(u')J(u')P_1(u-u') \quad (10b)
\]

together with

\[
Q(u) = \int_0^u du' \int_u^\infty du''\Sigma_{es}(u')\Phi(u')P_0(u'' - u') \quad (11a)
\]
In reference 12 Simon discusses some analytical solutions of the lethargy-dependent consistent Pl equations for hydrogen. To obtain the analytical results, special cross-section variations were assumed for hydrogen.

Elastic Scattering Kernel for Linearly Anisotropic Center of Mass Elastic Scattering

Since most light elements exhibit anisotropic elastic scattering in the center of mass coordinate system for high-energy neutrons, it is important to include this effect if accurate values of the neutron age are to be computed. Therefore, by following Marshak (ref. 13) it is easy to show that for linearly anisotropic scattering in the center of mass coordinate system the elastic scattering kernel \( P(u-u;\mu_L) \) is given by

\[
P(u-u;\mu_L) = \int_0^\infty du' \int_0^\infty du'' \Sigma_{es}(u') J(u') P_1(u'' - u')
\]

(11b)

Elastic Scattering Kernel for Linearly Anisotropic Center of Mass Elastic Scattering

where \( f_\perp(u) \) is the average cosine of the angle between the initial and final direction of motion in the center of mass coordinate system of the elastically scattered neutron of initial lethargy \( u \), \( A \) is the ratio of the mass of the scattering nucleus to that of the neutron, \( \delta \) is the Dirac delta function, and \( \Phi_L \) is an appropriate azimuthal angle in the laboratory coordinate system. The moments \( P_l(u-u') \) of the expansion of \( P(u-u;\mu_L) \) into a series of Legendre polynomials, as in equation (3b), are given by the orthogonality property of these polynomials as

\[
P_l(u-u') = \int d\Phi_L P_l(\mu_L) P(u-u;\mu_L)
\]

(13)

Substituting equation (12) into equation (13) and performing the indicated integrations for \( l = 0,1 \) will give
\[ P_0(u-u') = \frac{(A + 1)^2}{4A} e^{-(u-u')} \left\{ 1 + 3f_1(u') \left[ \frac{(A + 1)^2}{2A} e^{-(u-u')} - \frac{A^2 + 1}{2A} \right] \right\}, \quad -1 \leq \mu_L(u-u') \leq 1 = 0, \text{ otherwise} \] (14a)

\[ P_1(u-u') = \mu_L(u-u') P_0(u-u'), \quad -1 \leq \mu_L(u-u') \leq 1 = 0, \text{ otherwise} \] (14b)

If \( t = u-u' \), equations (14a) and (14b) can be rewritten into the following useful forms:

\[ P_0(t) = \frac{(A + 1)^2}{4A} e^{-t} + f_1(u') \left[ \frac{3(A + 1)^4}{8A^2} e^{-2t} - \frac{3(A^2 + 1)(A + 1)^2}{8A^2} e^{-t} \right] \] (15a)

\[ P_1(t) = \frac{(A + 1)^3}{8A} e^{-\frac{3}{2}t} - \frac{(A - 1)(A + 1)^2}{8A} e^{-\frac{1}{2}t} \\
+ f_1(u') \left[ \frac{3(A + 1)^5}{16A^2} e^{-\frac{5}{2}t} - \frac{3(A + 1)^3}{8} e^{-\frac{3}{2}t} \right. \\
+ \left. \frac{3(A - 1)(A^2 + 1)(A + 1)^2}{16A^2} e^{-\frac{1}{2}t} \right] \] (15b)

Equations (15a) and (15b) reduce to their isotropic center of mass elastic scattering values if \( f_1(u') = 0 \).

**Differential Form of Consistent P1 Equations**

Although equations (10a) and (10b) are the lethargy-dependent consistent P1 equations, a differential form of these equations would be more suitable for computation. To obtain these differential equations differentiate equations (11a) and (11b) with respect to lethargy \( u \):

\[ \frac{dQ(u)}{du} = - \int_0^u du' \Sigma_{es}(u') \varphi(u') P_0(u-u') + \Sigma_{es}(u) \varphi(u) \int_u^\infty du'' P_0(u'' - u) \]

\[ = - \int_0^u du' \Sigma_{es}(u') \varphi(u') P_0(u-u') + \Sigma_{es}(u) \varphi(u) \] (16a)
\[ \frac{dP(u)}{du} = -\int_0^u du' \Sigma_{es}(u')J(u')P_1(u-u') + \Sigma_{es}(u)J(u) \int_u^\infty du'' P_1(u'' - u) \]

\[ = -\int_u^\infty du' \Sigma_{es}(u')J(u')P_1(u-u') + \mu_0(u)\Sigma_{es}(u)J(u) \]  

(16b)

The integral \( \int_u^\infty du'' P_0(u'' - u) \) is evaluated using equation (15a) and is equal to unity. The integral \( \int_u^\infty du'' P_1(u'' - u) \) is evaluated using (15b) and is equal to \( \mu_0(u) \) - the average cosine of the angle between the initial and final direction of motion, as measured in the laboratory coordinate system, of the elastically scattered neutrons. The first integral on the right side of equation (10a) can be evaluated using equation (16a), and the integral term on the right side of equation (10b) can be evaluated using equation (16b). Equations (10a) and (10b) now become

\[ B_m(u)J(u) + [\Sigma_a(u) + \Sigma_{in}(u)]\phi(u) + \frac{d\phi(u)}{du} \]

\[ = \frac{f(u)}{K_{eff}} \int_0^\infty du' \nu(u')\Sigma_f(u')\phi(u') + \int du' \Sigma_{in}(u')\phi(u')H(u' - u) \]

\[ - \frac{1}{3} B_m(u)\phi(u) + [\Sigma_t(u) - \mu_0(u)\Sigma_{es}(u)]J(u) + \frac{dP(u)}{du} = 0 \]  

(17a)

(17b)

where \( \Sigma_a(u) \) is the macroscopic absorption cross section. Equations (17a) and (17b) represent the basic lethargy-dependent equations of the consistent Pl approximation to the Boltzmann transport equation for slowing-down neutrons.

Two more equations are needed to complete the Pl description of the slowing-down process as given by equations (17a) and (17b). These are coupling equations between \( \phi(u) \) and \( Q(u) \), and \( J(u) \) and \( P(u) \), which can be obtained by writing approximate forms for equations (11) and (16). These additional equations are obtained in the following manner. Assume that the functions \( \Sigma_{es}(u')\phi(u') \) and \( \Sigma_{es}(u')J(u') \) are slowly varying functions and that they can be approximated by the first two terms of a Taylor's series expansion about lethargy \( u \). That is,

\[ \Sigma_{es}(u')\phi(u') \approx \Sigma_{es}(u)\phi(u) + (u' - u) \frac{d}{du} [\Sigma_{es}(u)\phi(u)] \]

(16a)

\[ \Sigma_{es}(u')J(u') \approx \Sigma_{es}(u)J(u) + (u' - u) \frac{d}{du} [\Sigma_{es}(u)J(u)] \]

(16b)
Substitute equations (18a) into (11a) and (16a) and equation (18b) into (11b) and (16b). Then, evaluating the various integrals over lethargy of $P_0(u-u')$ and $P_1(u-u')$ and eliminating the terms involving $\frac{d}{du} [\Sigma_{es}(u) \varphi(u)]$ and $\frac{d}{du} [\Sigma_{es}(u) J(u)]$ result in

$$\gamma(u) \frac{dQ(u)}{du} + Q(u) = \xi_1(u) \Sigma_{es}(u) \varphi(u) \quad (19a)$$

$$\rho(u) \frac{dP(u)}{du} + P(u) = \mu_1(u) \Sigma_{es}(u) J(u) \quad (19b)$$

The parameters appearing in equations (19a) and (19b) are defined, in terms of the quantity $t = u-u'$, as averages over the distribution function $P_0(t)$. That is,

$$\xi_1 = \bar{t} \quad (20a)$$

$$2\xi_1 \gamma = \bar{t^2} \quad (20b)$$

$$\mu_1 = \bar{t \mu_L(t)} \quad (20c)$$

$$2\mu_1 \rho = \bar{t^2 \mu_L(t)} \quad (20d)$$

where the bar denotes an average value. Of course, the various parameters defined by equation (20) depend on lethargy since $P_0(u-u')$ and $P_1(u-u')$ depend upon $f_1(u)$.

Thus, equations (17a), (17b), (19a), and (19b) form the four coupled first-order ordinary differential equations describing the neutron slowing-down process in the consistent Pl approximation to the Boltzmann transport equation. Included are the effects of linearly anisotropic center of mass elastic scattering, inelastic neutron scattering, and lethargy-dependent geometric buckling. Although equations (17) and (19) apply for only one nuclear specie, the extension of the equations to include additional nuclear species follows directly. In particular a set of equations (19) is written for each element with appropriate modifications of equations (17).

Comparison of Lethargy-Dependent Consistent Pl Equations

With Various Approximate Theories

Equations (17) and (19) are fairly general, and it is worth noting that under various restrictions simpler sets of equations, which have been used frequently in reactor analysis, can be easily obtained. This
will be done for a few typical cases. The first of these is the age-
diffusion equation with no inelastic scattering which can be obtained
from (17) and (19) by setting \( \Sigma_{in}(u) = 0 \) in (17a), \( dP(u)/du = 0 \) in
(17b), \( dQ(u)/du = 0 \) in (19a), and \( dP(u)/du = P(u) = 0 \) in equation
(19b).

The familiar Fermi age-diffusion equations result and are given as
(ref. 14)

\[
B_m(u)J(u) + \Sigma_a(u)\varphi(u) + \frac{dQ(u)}{du} = \frac{f(u)}{K_{eff}} \int_0^\infty du'v(u')\Sigma_f(u')\varphi(u') \tag{21a}
\]

\[
J(u) = D(u)B_m(u)\varphi(u) \tag{21b}
\]

\[
Q(u) = \xi_1(u)\Sigma_{es}(u)\varphi(u) \tag{21c}
\]

where the diffusion coefficient \( D(u) \) has been defined as

\[
D(u) = \frac{1}{3\left\{\Sigma_a(u) + \Sigma_{es}(u)[1 - \mu_0(u)]\right\}} \tag{22}
\]

The Selengut-Goertzel approximation is basically the age-diffusion set
given by equations (21a) to (21c) but with the element hydrogen treated
by equation (19a) - other elements still being treated by equation (21c).
To see this, recall that hydrogen exhibits isotropic center of mass
elastic scattering collisions over energies of interest in reactors.
Thus \( \xi_1(u) \) and \( \gamma(u) \) for hydrogen are both equal to unity for all
lethargies giving

\[
\frac{dQ_H(u)}{du} + Q_H(u) = \Sigma_{es}(u)\varphi(u) \tag{23}
\]

which is the Selengut-Goertzel equation relating the hydrogen slowing-
down density \( Q_H(u) \) to the lethargy-dependent flux \( \varphi(u) \) (p. 348, ref.
15). The Greuling-Goertzel approximation (p. 390, ref. 15) includes
both equations (21a) and (21b) of the age-diffusion formalism but re-
tains equation (19a) for all elements. Other approximations are also
possible but will not be discussed because machine programs are just as
easily formulated for the basic set of equations given by (17) and (19)
as for even the simple age-diffusion set of equations.

**Equations for Thermal Group**

The slowing-down equations given by (17a), (17b), (19a), and (19b)
are assumed to apply to some cutoff lethargy \( u_T \) corresponding to an
energy \( E_T \). The energy \( E_T \) is usually taken as about \( 5kT \) where \( T \)
is the temperature of the moderator in °K and \( k \) is the Boltzmann con-
stant. In the energy range from 0 to \( E_T \), the neutrons have energies
comparable to the energies of the thermally agitated nuclei and can gain as well as lose energy upon making a collision. However, if the absorption and leakage of neutrons are small, the neutron energy spectrum will approach a Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution in speed with a temperature corresponding to that of the moderator. Under these conditions and using the principle of detailed balance, the thermal equation in the Pl approximation will be, after separating the spatial function as before,

\[ B_{mT} J_T + \Sigma_{aT} \Phi_T = Q(u_T) + \int_{u_T}^{\infty} du \int_{u_T}^{u_T'} du' \Sigma_{in}(u') \Phi(u') H(u' - u) \quad (24a) \]

\[ -\frac{1}{3} R_{mT} \Phi_T + \Sigma_{trT} J_T = P(u_T) \quad (24b) \]

where \( \Sigma_{aT} \) and \( \Sigma_{trT} \) are the appropriate averaged values of the macroscopic absorption and transport cross sections over the thermal speed range. Thus, equations (17a), (17b), (19a), (19b), (24a), and (24b) form the complete set of consistent Pl equations extended to include many elements, which are the basis for an IBM 704 code.

Discussion of Boundary Conditions, Fission Spectrum, and Inelastic Scattering Kernel

If the zero of lethargy is taken sufficiently lower than the lowest lethargy in the source spectrum, the initial conditions for the set of consistent Pl equations will be

\[ Q(u=0) = 0, \ \varphi(u=0) = 0 \quad (25a) \]

\[ F(u=0) = 0, \ \mathcal{J}(u=0) = 0 \quad (25b) \]

Depending upon the reactor, a number of possible source spectrums can be used. For this study the uranium-235 fission spectrum, given in analytic form as

\[ f(u) = 0.45270 \ E \exp \left( \frac{-E}{0.965} \right) \sinh \sqrt{2.29} \ E \quad (26) \]

has been used. The quantity \( E \) is the neutron energy in Mev. The spectrum is normalized to unity; that is,

\[ \int_0^\infty du f(u) = 1.0 \quad (27) \]

This normalization of the source spectrum permits the evaluation of the static criticality factor of the reactor as
\[ K_{\text{eff}} = \int_0^{\infty} du v(u) \Sigma_f(u) \varphi(u) \]  \hspace{1cm} (28)

The characteristic dimension of the reactor is needed to compute the lethargy-dependent buckling \( B_m(u) \). For example, in the case of a spherical reactor the equation used for \( B_m(u) \) is

\[ B_m(u) = \frac{\pi}{r + 0.71 \lambda_{tr}(u)} \]  \hspace{1cm} (29)

where \( r \) is the radius and where

\[ \lambda_{tr}(u) = 3D(u) \]  \hspace{1cm} (30)

The inelastic scattering kernel in equation (17a) has been obtained from the evaporation model of the nucleus as described by Blatt and Weisskopf (ref. 16). The evaporation model of the nucleus appears to give the gross features of heavy element inelastic scattering. In terms of energy, this model gives for the inelastic kernel the following result:

\[ H(E' \rightarrow E) = \text{const.} \left[ e^{-\zeta(E',E)} \right] \]  \hspace{1cm} (31)

where the constant is determined from the normalization requirement that

\[ \int_0^{E'} dE \, H(E' \rightarrow E) = 1.0 \]  \hspace{1cm} (32)

In equation (31) \( E' \) represents the initial energy of the neutron and \( E \) the energy after an inelastic scattering collision has occurred. The quantity \( \zeta(E') \) is the reciprocal nuclear temperature in MeV\(^{-1}\) and is a function of the scattering nucleus and initial energy of the neutron. It has been approximated by

\[ \zeta(E') = \left( \frac{a}{4E'} \right)^{1/2} \]  \hspace{1cm} (33)

with the parameter \( a \) being experimentally determined for each nucleus of interest.

**Discussion of Neutron Cross Sections**

The lethargy-dependent consistent P1 equations described along with the initial conditions, source spectrum, and approximate inelastic scattering kernel are integrated for a homogeneous unreflected reactor system over the microscopic cross-section data of the elements involved
in a stepwise fashion from $10^7$ ev to 0.025 ev (or from lethargy $u = 0$ to $u = 19.8$). This lethargy range is spanned by 473 mesh points such that the cross sections of the various elements are well represented in the resonance energy range. The microscopic cross-section data of 29 elements are represented in such a fashion for use with the IBM 704 code. These cross-section data include $\sigma_a$, $\sigma_{es}$, $\nu\sigma_f$, $f_1$, and $\sigma_{in}$ where $\sigma_a$ is the microscopic absorption cross section, $\sigma_{es}$ is the microscopic elastic scattering cross section, $\nu\sigma_f$ is the microscopic production cross section, $f_1$ is the average cosine of the angle between the initial and final direction of motion of an elastically scattered neutron as measured in the center of mass coordinate system, and $\sigma_{in}$ is the microscopic inelastic scattering cross section. The quantity $\nu$ is the average number of neutrons produced in fission, and $\sigma_f$ is the microscopic fission cross section. The quantity $\nu$ is taken to be energy-dependent according to the data of reference 17. In the thermal energy range the absorption and fission cross sections are corrected for non-1/$\nu$ behavior as well as for hardening of the thermal spectrum, due to absorption, using the data of reference 18. The transport cross section at thermal energy is similarly corrected.

THEORETICAL RESULTS AND COMPARISON WITH EXPERIMENT

Age of Fission Neutrons in Water

One of the important parameters describing moderating materials is the fission neutron age $\tau$. For hydrogenous media the age becomes especially important because of the well-known discrepancy for water between the experimental measurements and various theoretical calculations. For any homogeneous medium the lethargy-dependent consistent Pl equations can be solved for a given set of microscopic cross-section data, the uranium-235 fission spectrum, and for a constant buckling $B_m$. From the neutron fluxes and currents generated as a function of lethargy, it is possible to compute the weighted fission age.

In this work, the weighted fission age for water to indium resonance energy is computed to be 26.5 square centimeters. Oxygen was considered to have linearly anisotropic center of mass elastic scattering. For isotropic center of mass scattering for oxygen, the age is calculated to be 24.7 square centimeters. This demonstrates the importance of precise calculation of the various competing effects on the neutron spectrum. For comparison of the age of 26.5 square centimeters calculated in this work, the weighted mean of a number of different types of calculations is given in reference 19 as 26.0(0.5) square centimeters. It is also of interest to note that a recent measurement of the fission neutron age in water is 27.86 square centimeters (ref. 20).
Fourier Transform of Slowing-Down Kernel in Water

Another important property of homogeneous moderating media can be described in terms of the Fourier transform $\overline{K}(B_m)$ of the slowing-down kernel. Physically, the Fourier transform $\overline{K}(B_m)$ is the nonleakage probability of the source neutrons to a given energy. The transform $\overline{K}(B_m)$ can be derived from a solution of the lethargy-dependent consistent $P_l$ equations for a given source spectrum and a constant value of the buckling $B_m$.

Figure 1 is a plot of the transform $\overline{K}(B_m)$ as a function of $B_m$ for fission neutrons in water to indium resonance energy. Shown on the curve are values of the transform computed by Gwin, Trubey, and Weinberg (fig. 1, ref. 6) from the experimental slowing-down kernel as measured by Hill, Roberts, and Fitch (ref. 21). The agreement over a wide range of buckling is seen to be good. The value of the fission neutron age in water reported in reference 21 is higher than the recent value of reference 20 by about 3 square centimeters. This is believed due to differences between the two experiments of measurements near the source. This difference near the source of the reference 21 measurement of the slowing-down kernel in water is expected to have a small effect on the calculation of the transform $\overline{K}(B_m)$.

Table I compares the transform $\overline{K}(B_m)$ to indium resonance energy for water and two concentrations of uranyl fluoride salt in water solution. The differences as compared to water are slight except for extreme values of the buckling.

Calculation of Critical Dimensions of Unreflected Homogeneous $UO_2F_2$-H$_2$O Assemblies

The lethargy-dependent equations of the consistent $P_l$ slowing-down model described in the section on theory will be used to calculate the parameters of critical assemblies of unreflected uranyl fluoride salt $UO_2F_2$ dissolved in water. Comparison of theoretical results with available experimental data will also be made.

Before any calculations can be performed, the physical properties of uranyl fluoride - water solutions must be known. Figure 2 is a curve of density of uranyl fluoride - water solutions as a function of hydrogen atom concentration for a temperature of 25° C obtained from available experimental data (ref. 22). The point on the curve for pure water is for a density of 0.997 gram per cubic centimeter and a hydrogen atom concentration of 6.66x10$^{22}$ atoms per cubic centimeter. Since the uranium in the solution is considered to contain 93.2 percent by weight of the
uranium-235 isotope, the data of figure 2 can be used to derive a curve, figure 3, of the uranium-235 atom concentrations $N^{235}$ in atoms per cubic centimeter as a function of the hydrogen atom concentration $N^H$ in atoms per cubic centimeter. On figure 3 are also indicated various values of $R$ defined to be the ratio of the hydrogen atom concentration to uranium-235 atom concentration, $R = N^H/N^{235}$. Various important characteristics of the $\text{UO}_2\text{F}_2$-$\text{H}_2\text{O}$ critical assemblies will be given in terms of this parameter $R$.

Thus, specification of the solution density for the $\text{UO}_2\text{F}_2$-$\text{H}_2\text{O}$ solution reactor in question gives the hydrogen atom concentration from the curve given by figure 2. Then, from figure 3 the uranium-235 concentration can be determined. The atom densities for the other constituents of the solution are determined from the following relations:

\begin{align*}
\text{Uranium-238:} & \quad N^{238} = 0.0730 N^{235} \\
\text{Fluorine:} & \quad N^F = 2(N^{25} + N^{28}) \\
\text{Oxygen:} & \quad N^{18} = \frac{1}{2} N^H + N^F
\end{align*}

By using the atom concentration for the various constituents of the $\text{UO}_2\text{F}_2$-$\text{H}_2\text{O}$ solutions in the manner described, calculations were performed, using the lethargy-dependent consistent Pl equations over the 473 lethargy points of the microscopic cross-section data extending from $10^7$ ev to 0.025 ev, over a wide range of solution concentrations. In terms of the parameter $R$, the solution concentrations were varied from $R = 25$ to 700. As a result of these many calculations, the static criticality factor $K_{\text{eff}}$ is obtained for a given hydrogen to uranium-235 atom concentration ($R$) as a function of the buckling $B_m$ of the system. The buckling, although capable of being varied with the lethargy in the IBM 704 code, was considered to be lethargy-independent for these calculations.

As examples, the lethargy-dependent flux $\phi(u)$ is plotted as a function of lethargy $u$ for critical reactors having solution concentration values of $R = 40$ and 472. The source term for the calculations corresponds to 1 fission neutron. The flux for $R = 40$, a system heavily loaded with uranium-235, is shown in figure 4. In the fission spectrum lethargy range from about lethargy $u = 0$ to $u = 6$ a resonance structure in the flux is noted which corresponds to various high-energy scattering resonances of oxygen. In the resonance energy range from lethargy $u = 6$ to $u = 18.2$, the decrease in the flux with lethargy occurs because of the absorption by the uranium-235 nuclei. The dips in the flux correspond to absorption resonances in the uranium-235. The effect on the flux of the approximately $1/v$ capture is
evident from lethargy $u = 15.0$ to 18.2. The flux for $R = 472$, a system lightly loaded with uranium-235, is shown in figure 5. In the lethargy range from $u = 0$ to 6 the flux for $R = 472$ appears roughly the same as for the $R = 40$ system. In the lethargy range from $u = 6$ to $u = 18.2$ the flux is constant from about lethargy $u = 7$ to $u = 12.0$. From lethargy $u = 12.0$ to 18.2 the effects of uranium-235 absorption resonances and $1/v$ capture are evident but are not as drastic as for the heavily loaded $R = 40$ reactor system.

Figure 6 is a plot of the static criticality factor $K_{eff}$ for unreflected $UO_2F_2-H_2O$ assemblies as a function of the parametric $R$ and the buckling $B_m^2$. Curves of the criticality factor varying from 0.98 to 1.12 are shown. All the curves exhibit a broad minimum in the buckling over values of $R$ from 40 to 70. For the static criticality factor equal to unity the radius of the equivalent unreflected sphere, which includes the extrapolation distance, varies from about 18.25 to 25 centimeters over the range of the parameter $R$.

Comparison of Calculated Critical Dimensions with Experimental Values for Unreflected $UO_2F_2-H_2O$ Assemblies

In order to compare calculated critical dimensions with experimentally determined values, the effect of the aluminum containment vessels on measured critical dimensions of unreflected $UO_2F_2-H_2O$ assemblies must be known. The worth of the aluminum bottom plate for the NASA experiments was measured in terms of the change in critical height as a function of the thickness of the bottom plate for a 12-inch-diameter cylindrical tank. The solution concentration $R$ was 475. These results are shown in figure 7 where it is seen that, for example, a 1-centimeter-thick bottom plate is worth about 0.3 centimeter of solution height for this particular reactor. It is expected that the bottom plate worths for other values of $R$ would be nearly the same as for the values for $R = 475$ since the fraction and spectrum of neutrons leaking from these do not differ greatly. It is estimated that the reported critical height for the NASA experiments could be too low by a maximum of about 0.5 centimeter since the wall thickness of the aluminum cylinder is $1/8$ inch. Thus, because of the expected smallness of this correction, none of the Oak Ridge and NASA criticality data have been corrected for the criticality effect of the aluminum containment vessels.

Since figure 6 is based on an energy-independent buckling, this implies an energy-independent extrapolation distance $8$. This value of $8$ can be deduced from experimental criticality data on unreflected cylinders if the top, bottom, and side extrapolation distances are assumed to be equal. Then, comparison with a wide range of reactor...
dimensions and solution concentrations will establish the validity of a constant value for $S$. Figure 8 shows theoretical curves based on values of $S = 2.5$, $3.0$, and $3.5$ centimeters for unreflected 12-inch-diameter cylindrical reactors of $\text{UO}_2\text{F}_2-\text{H}_2\text{O}$ solution in which the critical height is plotted as a function of the parameter $R$. These curves are based on the following equation for the critical height $h$ of the cylinders:

$$h = \sqrt{\frac{\pi^2}{B^2_v}} - 28 \quad (35a)$$

where

$$B^2_v = B^2_m - \left(\frac{2.4048}{r + S}\right)^2 \quad (35b)$$

and $r$ is the physical radius of the cylinders. For a given value of $R$, $B^2_m$ is evaluated from figure 6 for a $K_{\text{eff}}$ of unity, and then, since the values of $r$ and $S$ have been fixed, the physical height $h$ is given by equations (35a) and (35b). It is evident from figure 8 that these critical assemblies are quite sensitive to the value of the extrapolation distance which is used. For the 12-inch-diameter cylindrical reactors considered the value of $S = 3.0$ centimeters seems to predict the experimental critical heights listed in table II quite well as a function of the atom ratio parameter $R$. This insensitivity of $S$ with $R$ is probably due to the fact that the hydrogen atom density, and hence the leakage fraction, changes but little over the range of $R$ considered. Therefore, it was decided to use this value of $S = 3.0$ centimeters for the extrapolation distance for all values of the parameter $R$ for the various diameters of the unreflected cylindrical critical assemblies of $\text{UO}_2\text{F}_2-\text{H}_2\text{O}$. Reference 6 reports using values of $S = 2.5$ to 3.7 for the empirical kernel method of calculation.

The curves of figure 9 represent calculations of the critical heights of cylindrical reactors for various diameters and as a function of the parameter $R$. These calculations are based on the theoretical buckling curve given as figure 6 and the assumed constant value of the extrapolation distance $S = 3.0$ centimeters. The experimental points, listed in table II, represent data from references 2, 3, 4, and the NASA solution reactor. The agreement for various diameter reactors as a function of $R$ is quite good between the theoretical model described in this work and the various experiments. Thus, this provides the verification of the general buckling curve (fig. 6) and of the constant value of 3.0 centimeters for the extrapolation distance for these unreflected cylindrical reactors.
Calculation of Nonthermal Fission Fractions, Leakage Fractions, Neutron Age, and Two- and Three-Group Macroscopic Cross Sections as a Function of Solution Concentration for UO$_2$F$_2$-H$_2$O Assemblies

In solving for the critical dimensions of unreflected UO$_2$F$_2$-H$_2$O reactors using the consistent P1 equations, the lethargy-dependent flux $\varphi(u)$ and current $J(u)$ are generated. Since all the information about a given reactor is contained by the flux and current, it is possible to derive many useful quantities pertaining to the UO$_2$F$_2$-H$_2$O reactors. Figures 10, 11, and 12 present some of this information.

In figure 10 is plotted the nonthermal fission fraction as a function of the parameter $R$ for various cutoff energies. For a heavily loaded UO$_2$F$_2$-H$_2$O system having $R = 25$, about 65 percent of the nonthermal fissions occur between energies of $10^7$ ev to 0.125 ev, while about 43 percent of the total fissions occur between energies of $10^7$ ev to 1.44 ev. For a UO$_2$F$_2$-H$_2$O reactor having $R = 700$ the corresponding numbers are about 6 and 3 percent.

Figure 11 is a plot of the fraction of the neutrons which escape from critical UO$_2$F$_2$-H$_2$O systems as a function of $R$. This fraction is nearly constant over the range of $R$ from 25 to about 300, varying between 44 and 47 percent. For larger values of $R$ this function decreases and is about 35 percent at $R = 700$.

Figure 12 is a plot of the fission neutron age $\tau$ as a function of the parameter $R$ both for the indium resonance detector energy of 1.44 ev and the thermal cutoff energy of 0.125 ev. From about $R = 100$ to $R = 700$ the age is nearly constant. For values of $R$ less than 100 the age increases because of the decreased hydrogen atom concentration. In the limit as $R \to \infty$ the 1.44-ev curve would approach the fission neutron age in water.

The neutron fluxes generated in solving for the critical dimensions of unreflected UO$_2$F$_2$-H$_2$O systems can also be used as a weighting function for obtaining two- and three-group macroscopic cross-section parameters. These two- and three-group cross sections can be used in calculating reflected UO$_2$F$_2$-H$_2$O solution reactors.
Both three- and two-group constants were generated as a function of $R$. Group I of the three-group split extends from $u = 0$ to $u = 6.1$, group II from $u = 6.1$ to $u = 18.2$, and the thermal group is centered about the lethargy of 19.8 corresponding to the room temperature $kT$ of 0.025 ev. For the two-group split, the thermal group is the same as that of the three-group split, and the fast group (group I) extends from lethargy $u = 0$ to $u = 18.2$. For the few-group calculations performed with a one-dimensional multigroup IBM 704 code, the parameters needed are the group diffusion coefficient $D^G$, group macroscopic absorption cross section $\Sigma^G_a$, group macroscopic production cross section $\nu^G_p$, and the group removal cross section $\Sigma^G_q$. These group parameters are defined over the fluxes and currents generated for a given value of $R$ as

$$D^G = \frac{\int_{\text{group}} J(u) \, du}{\int_{\text{group}} H_m(u) \varphi(u) \, du} \quad (36a)$$

$$\Sigma^G_a = \frac{\int_{\text{group}} \Sigma_a(u) \varphi(u) \, du}{\int_{\text{group}} \varphi(u) \, du} \quad (36b)$$

$$\nu^G_p = \frac{\int_{\text{group}} \nu(u) \Sigma_p(u) \varphi(u) \, du}{\int_{\text{group}} \varphi(u) \, du} \quad (36c)$$

The quantity $\Sigma^G_q$ is evaluated by making a neutron balance over the group in question. Over the range of buckling considered, the group constants were not sensitive to the choice of buckling $B_m$.

The few-group constants obtained by the method described are shown in figures 13, 14, 15, and 16 for both the three- and two-group splits over the range of $R$ values. The diffusion coefficient $D$ is plotted in figure 13 and is relatively constant over the entire range of $R$ for most of the fast groups; however, the effect of uranium-235 absorption is seen for all the $R$ values for the thermal neutron group. The absorption cross section $\Sigma^G_a$ is shown in figure 14 and varies strongly with the atom ratio $R$. The production cross section $\nu^G_p$ is shown in figure 15 and exhibits similar behavior as the absorption cross section. The removal cross section $\Sigma^G_q$ is shown in figure 16 and increases as
the parameter $R$ increases. Table III gives the corresponding three- and two-group data for water at room temperature.

Comparison of Calculated and Experimental Critical Dimensions for Water-Reflected $\text{UO}_2\text{F}_2-\text{H}_2\text{O}$ Reactors

In order to perform theoretical calculations on fully reflected cylinders, the reflector savings must be established as a function of reflector thickness and concentration parameter $R$. Using the three-group parameters plotted in figures 13 to 16 for various values of $R$ and the water constants listed in table III, the critical core radius $r$ was calculated for spherical reactors of $\text{UO}_2\text{F}_2-\text{H}_2\text{O}$ solutions with various thicknesses of water using an IBM 704 diffusion code. The reflector savings $RS$ is defined as

$$RS = \sqrt{\frac{\pi^2}{N_Z^2} - r}$$

where for a given value of $R$ the value of $E_m^2$ is obtained from figure 6. The results of these calculations are shown in figure 17. The curves show a slight increase in the reflector savings with $R$. For the completely reflected case (60 cm of water) the value of reflector savings is 6.5 centimeters at $R = 25$ and 6.0 centimeters at $R = 700$. For the range of $R$ values considered the reflector savings reached its maximum value at about 15 centimeters thickness of water.

The critical heights $h$ of fully reflected cylindrical assemblies were computed for cores of radius $r$ as a function of the parameter $R$ using the critical buckling curve of figure 8 and the infinite water reflector savings curve of figure 17. These results are shown in figure 18 and were calculated with the following two formulas:

$$h = \sqrt{\frac{\pi^2}{N_Z^2} - 2RS}$$

$$E_Z^2 = E_m^2 - \left(\frac{2.4048}{r + RS}\right)^2$$

Experimentally obtained data listed in table IV are also shown on the curves. The agreement is generally good. The dashed curves were computed by arbitrarily increasing the reflector savings by 0.5 centimeter for all values of $R$. The two sets of curves bracket the experimental data quite well and serve to indicate the sensitivity of the calculations with small changes in the value of the reflector savings.
CONCLUSION

The lethargy-dependent equations of the consistent P1 approximation to the Boltzmann transport equation for slowing down neutrons, as developed in this present study, have been used as the basis of an IBM 704 computer program. This program has been used to compute the age of fission neutrons in water as 26.5 square centimeters as compared to a recent experimental value given as 27.86 square centimeters. The Fourier transform of the slowing-down kernel for water to indium resonance energy calculated here compares well with the Fourier transform of the kernel for water measured by Hill, Roberts, and Fitch. This method of calculation has also been applied to uranyl fluoride - water solution critical assemblies. Theoretical results established for both unreflected and fully reflected critical assemblies compare well with available experimental data.

Lewis Research Center
National Aeronautics and Space Administration
Cleveland, Ohio, August 22, 1961
### APPENDIX - SYMBOLS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$A$</td>
<td>ratio of nuclear mass to neutron mass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$a$</td>
<td>inelastic scattering parameter defined by eq. (33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$B_m(u)$</td>
<td>lethargy-dependent material buckling defined by eqs. (8) and (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$B_z^2$</td>
<td>transverse buckling for cylindrical geometry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D(u)$</td>
<td>diffusion coefficient at lethargy $u$ defined by eq. (22), cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D^G$</td>
<td>neutron diffusion coefficient for group $G$ defined by eq. (36a), cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$dr$</td>
<td>spatial volume element</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$du$</td>
<td>element of lethargy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$dv$</td>
<td>velocity volume element</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E$</td>
<td>neutron energy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E_T$</td>
<td>thermal cutoff energy separating thermal energy range from fast energy range</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F$</td>
<td>spatial function defined by eq. (8b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f(u)du$</td>
<td>fraction of source neutrons in lethargy interval $du$ about lethargy $u$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f_1(u)$</td>
<td>average cosine of angle between initial and final direction of motion, measured in the center of mass coordinate system, for elastically scattered neutrons of lethargy $u$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$G$</td>
<td>spatial function defined by eq. (8a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$H(\vec{v}' \to \vec{v})d\vec{r}d\vec{v}$</td>
<td>probability that a neutron in phase volume element $(d\vec{r} , d\vec{v})$ will, after an inelastic scattering collision, be in the phase volume $(d\vec{r} , d\vec{v})$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$h$</td>
<td>physical height of cylindrical reactor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$J(u)$</td>
<td>lethargy-dependent neutron current defined by eq. (17b)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
\begin{align*}
\bar{K}(B_m) &\quad \text{Fourier transform of neutron slowing-down kernel} \\
K_{\text{eff}} &\quad \text{static criticality factor for a reactor} \\
k &\quad \text{Boltzmann constant} \\
N &\quad \text{concentration of an element, atoms/cm}^3 \\
n(\vec{r},\vec{v})d\vec{r}d\vec{v} &\quad \text{probable number of neutrons in space volume element } d\vec{r} \\
&\quad \text{about position } \vec{r} \text{ and in velocity element } d\vec{v} \text{ about} \\
&\quad \text{velocity } \vec{v} \text{ per unit time} \\
P(u) &\quad \text{anisotropic slowing-down density defined by eq. (19b)} \\
P(\vec{v}',\vec{v})d\vec{r}'d\vec{v}' &\quad \text{probability that a neutron in the phase volume element} \\
&\quad (d\vec{r}'d\vec{v}') \text{ will, after an elastic scattering collision,} \\
&\quad \text{be in the phase volume } (d\vec{r}d\vec{v}) \\
P_l(u' \rightarrow u) &\quad \text{lethargy-dependent coefficient of index } l, \text{ in expansion} \\
&\quad \text{of elastic scattering kernel into a series of Legendre} \\
&\quad \text{polynomials, defined by eq. (13)} \\
P_l(u) &\quad \text{Legendre polynomial or argument } u \text{ and index } l \\
Q(\vec{r},u,\Omega) &\quad \text{slowing-down density defined as number of elastic scattered neutrons which pass lethargy mark } \Omega \text{ per unit} \\
&\quad \text{time per unit phase volume } (d\vec{r}d\Omega) \\
Q(u) &\quad \text{isotropic slowing-down density defined by eq. (19a)} \\
Q_l(Z,u) &\quad \text{coefficient of index } l \text{ in expansion of slowing-down} \\
&\quad \text{density into a series of Legendre polynomials defined} \\
&\quad \text{by eq. (7)} \\
R &\quad \text{ratio of hydrogen atom concentration to uranium atom concentration for the } UO_2F_2-H_2O \text{ solution reactors} \\
RS &\quad \text{reflector savings defined by eq. (37)} \\
r &\quad \text{physical radius of cylindrical reactors} \\
\vec{r} &\quad \text{position vector} \\
S(\vec{r},\vec{v})d\vec{r}d\vec{v} &\quad \text{source of neutrons due to fission which are produced in} \\
&\quad \text{phase volume element } (d\vec{r}d\vec{v}) \\
T &\quad \text{moderator temperature, } ^0\text{C}
\end{align*}
contraction for lethargy difference $u-u'$

neutron lethargy defined in terms of neutron energy $E$ by equation $u = \ln(E_0/E)$, $E_0$ usually taken as $10^7$ ev

cutoff lethargy corresponding to cutoff energy $E_T$

neutron speed corresponding to energy $E$

neutron velocity

coordinate axis

quantity related to mean squared lethargy increase per collision, defined by eq. (20b)

Dirac delta function used in eq. (12)

extrapolation distance defined by eqs. (35a) and (35b)

Kronecker delta defined by eq. (5)

reciprocal nuclear temperature defined by eq. (35)

neutron transport mean free path defined by eq. (30)

cosine of angle between $Z$-axis and direction of motion of neutron in planar geometry

cosine of angle between initial and final directions of motion measured in laboratory coordinate system of a neutron undergoing an elastic scattering collision

average cosine, measured in laboratory coordinate system, for elastically scattered neutrons

mean of the product of lethargy increase in a collision times angle of scattering, defined by eq. (20c)

average number of neutrons produced per fission occurring at energy $E$

average increase in lethargy per collision

quantity related to mean of the product of squared lethargy increase per collision times angle of scattering, defined by eq. (20d)
\( \Sigma_a \) macroscopic neutron absorption cross section, cm\(^{-1}\)

\( \Sigma_{es} \) macroscopic neutron elastic scattering cross section, cm\(^{-1}\)

\( \Sigma_f \) macroscopic neutron fission cross section, cm\(^{-1}\)

\( \Sigma_{in} \) macroscopic neutron inelastic scattering cross section, cm\(^{-1}\)

\( \Sigma_t \) macroscopic neutron total cross section, \( \Sigma_a + \Sigma_{es} + \Sigma_{in} \), cm\(^{-1}\)

\( \Sigma^G_a \) macroscopic neutron absorption cross section for group G, defined by eq. (36b), cm\(^{-1}\)

\( \Sigma^G_f \) macroscopic neutron fission cross section for group G, defined by eq. (36c), cm\(^{-1}\)

\( \Sigma^G_{in} \) macroscopic neutron removal cross section for group G, cm\(^{-1}\)

\( \sigma_a \) microscopic neutron absorption cross section, cm\(^2\)

\( \sigma_{es} \) microscopic neutron elastic scattering cross section, cm\(^2\)

\( \sigma_f \) microscopic neutron fission cross section, cm\(^2\)

\( \sigma_{in} \) microscopic neutron inelastic scattering process, cm\(^2\)

\( \tau(E',E) \) neutron age, defined as one-sixth the mean squared slowing-down distance to energy \( E \) of a neutron originating from a point source emitting neutrons of energy \( E' \) in an infinite medium

\( \phi \) neutron flux, nv

\( \hat{\Omega} \) unit vector in direction of motion of neutron
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TABLE I. - FOURIER TRANSFORM $\tilde{K}(B_m)$ OF SLOWING-DOWN KERNAL FOR FISSION NEUTRONS IN WATER AND IN $\text{UO}_2\text{F}_2$-$\text{H}_2\text{O}$ SOLUTIONS WITH ATOM RATIOS OF HYDROGEN TO URANIUM-235, $R$, EQUAL TO 50, 150

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$B_m$</th>
<th>$\tilde{K}(B_m)$</th>
<th>$\text{H}_2\text{O}(R=\infty)$</th>
<th>$R = 150$</th>
<th>$R = 50$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.03142</td>
<td>.9745</td>
<td>.9748</td>
<td>.9752</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.06283</td>
<td>.9047</td>
<td>.9057</td>
<td>.9069</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.12566</td>
<td>.6944</td>
<td>.6970</td>
<td>.7000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.20944</td>
<td>.4188</td>
<td>.4220</td>
<td>.4263</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.26180</td>
<td>.2881</td>
<td>.2922</td>
<td>.2970</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.31416</td>
<td>.1923</td>
<td>.1963</td>
<td>.2013</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.3927</td>
<td>.0995</td>
<td>.1030</td>
<td>.1079</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.5236</td>
<td>.0285</td>
<td>.0310</td>
<td>.0349</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.7854</td>
<td>.0005</td>
<td>.0013</td>
<td>.0028</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**TABLE II. - EXPERIMENTAL CRITICALITY DATA FOR UO₂F₂-H₂O UNREFLECTED SOLUTION REACTORS CONTAINED BY ALUMINUM VESSELS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cylinder diameter, in.</th>
<th>Atom ratio, $R = N_{H}/N_{U-235}$</th>
<th>Critical height, cm</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Cylinder diameter, in.</th>
<th>Atom ratio, $R = N_{H}/N_{U-235}$</th>
<th>Critical height, cm</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>52.9</td>
<td>34.0</td>
<td>2, p. 75</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>169.0</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>2, p. 75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>169.0</td>
<td>41.2</td>
<td>2, p. 75</td>
<td></td>
<td>328.7</td>
<td>21.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>27.1</td>
<td>38.9</td>
<td>3, p. 24</td>
<td></td>
<td>499.0</td>
<td>27.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>73.4</td>
<td>33.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>755.0</td>
<td>45.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>43.2</td>
<td>34.9</td>
<td>4, p. 73-74</td>
<td></td>
<td>27.1</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>3, p. 25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>44.7</td>
<td>34.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>44.3</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50.1</td>
<td>34.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>74.6</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>51.5</td>
<td>35.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>50.1</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>4, p. 73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>55.4</td>
<td>34.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>331.1</td>
<td>22.9</td>
<td>4, p. 73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>60.8</td>
<td>34.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>66.1</td>
<td>34.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>71.5</td>
<td>34.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>83.1</td>
<td>34.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>85.7</td>
<td>34.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>44.3</td>
<td>23.2</td>
<td>3, p. 25</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>27.1</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>3, p. 25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50.1</td>
<td>22.6</td>
<td>4, p. 75</td>
<td></td>
<td>44.3</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>51.5</td>
<td>22.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>75.4</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>55.4</td>
<td>22.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>50.1</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>4, p. 73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>60.8</td>
<td>22.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>60.8</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>331.1</td>
<td>32.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>325.0</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>185</td>
<td>25.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>Present work</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>44.3</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>3, p. 25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>218</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>72.4</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>3, p. 25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250</td>
<td>28.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>50.1</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>4, p. 73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>253</td>
<td>28.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>35.1</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>285</td>
<td>30.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>320</td>
<td>32.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>335</td>
<td>35.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>405</td>
<td>57.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>455</td>
<td>43.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>455</td>
<td>46.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>460</td>
<td>47.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>465</td>
<td>49.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>485</td>
<td>48.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Value too low according to ref. 4.*
TABLE III. - THREE- AND TWO-GROUP CONSTANTS FOR WATER REFLECTOR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lethargy, $u$</th>
<th>Diffusion coefficient, $D^G$</th>
<th>Absorption cross section, $\Sigma_a^G$</th>
<th>Removal cross section, $\Sigma_q^G$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-18.2</td>
<td>1.274</td>
<td>0.00066</td>
<td>0.04598</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-6.1</td>
<td>1.722</td>
<td>0.0012</td>
<td>0.07837</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.1-18.2</td>
<td>0.612</td>
<td>0.00145</td>
<td>0.11392</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>0.1329</td>
<td>0.01962</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE IV. - EXPERIMENTAL CRITICALITY DATA FOR $\text{UO}_2\text{F}_2$-$\text{H}_2\text{O}$ REACTORS

FULLY REFLECTED BY AN INFINITE WATER REFLECTOR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cylinder diameter, in.</th>
<th>Atom ratio, $R = N^H/N$</th>
<th>Critical height, cm</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>26.2</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>2, p. 69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>29.9</td>
<td>20.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>52.9</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>58.8</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>99.5</td>
<td>22.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>192.0</td>
<td>28.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>290.0</td>
<td>40.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>43.2</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>4, p. 72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>52.9</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>2, p. 69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>328.7</td>
<td>22.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>499</td>
<td>35.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>43.2</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>4, p. 72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>51.5</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>4, p. 72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>52.9</td>
<td>7.90</td>
<td>2, p. 69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>56.7</td>
<td>8.50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>221.0</td>
<td>11.30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>499.0</td>
<td>16.90</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>755.0</td>
<td>27.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1. Fourier transform $\tilde{K}(E_m)$ of slowing-down kernel in water to indium resonance energy.
Figure 2. Density of $\text{UO}_2\text{F}_2$-$\text{H}_2\text{O}$ solutions at $25^\circ \text{C}$. Uranium enriched to 0.952 $\text{U-235}$; water density 0.9970 gram per cubic centimeter.
Figure 4. - Lethargy-dependent flux \( \phi(u) \) plotted against lethargy \( u \) for a critical DpF solution reactor of \( \rho_{\text{DpF}}/\rho_{\text{U-235}} = 40 \). Source normalization, 1 fission neutron. Thermal neutron flux \( \phi_0 = 0.4704 \); \( \int_0^{18.2} \phi(u)du = 12.562 \).
Figure 5. - Lethargy-dependent flux $\phi(u)$ plotted against lethargy $u$ for a critical UC$_2$F$_4$ solution reactor of $\chi^{255} = 472$. Source normalization, 1 fission neutron. Thermal neutron flux $\phi_0 = 5.861; \int_0^{\infty} \phi(u)du = 14.353$. 
Figure 6. Material buckling $B_m$ as a function of atom ratio $NH_{4}/U-235$ for various values of static criticality factor $K_{eff}$ for unreflected $U_3O_8$ solution reactors.
Figure 7. - Change in critical height of unreflected UO₂F₂ solution reactor contained in aluminum cylinder as a function of cylinder bottom plate thickness. $MH/NU-235 = 475$; diameter = 12 inches.
Figure 8. - Critical height for several values of extrapolation length plotted against atom ratio $\frac{N^H}{N^{U-235}}$ for 12-inch-diameter unreflected $\text{UO}_2\text{F}_2$ solution reactors. Theoretical curves based on total buckling from figure 6.
Experimental data

- Ref. 2
- Ref. 3
- Ref. 4
- NASA unpublished

Figure 9. - Critical height plotted against atom ratio \( \frac{N^4}{n^{235}} \) for various diameters of unreflected \( \text{UO}_2\text{F}_2 \) solution reactors. (Theoretical curves based on total buckling from figure 6 and extrapolation distance \( d = 3.0 \) cm.)
Figure 10. - Fraction of nonthermal fissions to total fissions plotted against atom ratio $\text{NH}/\text{NU-235}$ for several cutoff energies for $\text{UO}_2\text{P}_4\text{-H}_2\text{O}$ solution reactors.
Figure 11. - Fraction of neutrons which escape from unreflected critical UO$_2$ solution reactors as a function of atom ratio $\text{M}/\text{M}-235$.

Neutron Leaksage Fraction

Atom ratio, $\text{M}/\text{M}-235$
Figure 12. - Fission neutron age $\tau$ as a function of atom ratio $\text{NH}/\text{NU}-235$ to indium resonance energy (1.44 ev) and to thermal cutoff energy (0.125 ev = 5 kT) for $\text{UO}_2\text{F}_2-\text{H}_2\text{O}$ solution reactors.
For the N0s's solution reactors,

Figure 17. - Two- and three-group diffusion coefficients D plotted against atom ratio.
Figure 14. - Two and three-group absorption cross sections $\Sigma_a$ plotted against atom ratio $\text{N}/\text{U-235}$ for UC$_2$P$_2$ solution reactor.
Figure 15. - Two- and three-group production cross sections $\sigma_p$ plotted against atom ratio $\text{NH} / \text{NU-235}$ for $\text{UC}_2\text{F}_2$ solution reactors.
Figure 16. - Two- and three-group slowing-down cross sections $\Sigma_a$ as a function of atom ratio $N/H$.

Atom ratio $N/H$ vs. Slowing-down cross section $\Sigma_a$.
Figure 17. - Reflector savings calculated using a three-group spherical model for various thicknesses of water reflector as a function of atom ratio $\text{H}/\text{U-235}$. 
Figure 18. - Critical height plotted against atom ratio $N^3/N^{235}U$ for various diameters of cylindrical $UO_2F_2$ solution reactors having both end and side reflectors of water (infinite thickness). Theoretical curves obtained using calculated values of total buckling given in figure 6 and reflector savings given in figure 17.
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