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Introduction

As part of NASA's Advanced Subsonic Technology (AST) Composite Wing Program, a full scale all-composite wing box for a commercial transport aircraft is to be built. The design will address the requirements of the Federal Aviation Regulation (FAR) Airworthiness Standards. This includes the requirement that the wing maintain adequate strength after sustaining discrete source damage from events such as uncontained engine failure (reference 1). In an effort to obtain further insight into the strength and failure modes of composite specimens with through-thickness damage, the experimental study presented in this paper was undertaken. This study is intended to aid in the understanding of how the damaged wing will behave in combined bending and tension loading and to help guide the development of an analytical model of the damaged structure.

Most studies that examine the residual strength of damaged material have used only one mode of loading (i.e. tension, compression or bending); however, in practical applications, a damaged composite will probably experience combined stresses. Combined bending and tension loading of edge-delamination composite specimens has been examined (reference 2) as has fatigue crack growth in aluminum sheet (reference 3). Results for combined tension and bending tests of tapered glass/epoxy rotor hubs for helicopters have been reported (reference 4).

This report describes the results of a series of tests conducted to support development of an analytical model for predicting the failure strains of stitched warp-knit carbon/epoxy composite materials with through-thickness damage in the form of a crack-like notch. Test specimens were subjected to loading in pure bending, pure tension, and combined bending and tension.

A description of the composite material tested is provided in the next section. A definition of the test specimens and apparatuses, the experimental procedures, and a review of the experimental results follow this description. The final section of the report provides summary observations.

Materials

The composite specimens tested in this study were fabricated from a warp-knit fabric made of Tenax™ carbon fiber using technologies adapted from the textile industry (reference 5). The fabric, which was designed for the skin of an all-composite subsonic transport wing, contained fibers in the 0°, ±45°, and 90° directions. By areal weight, 44% of the fibers were configured in the 0° direction, 44% in the ±45° directions, and 12% in the 90° direction. Layers of fabric were stacked and stitched together using a modified lock stitch to form a 3-dimensional preform of the required thickness. Kevlar 29 yarns of 1600 and 400 denier were used for the needle and bobbin threads, respectively. The thickness of the stitched warp-knit fabric is 0.056 inches per layer. The specimens used in this study contained eight layers of this fabric, with a total thickness of 0.445 inches. The panels were stitched in the 0° fiber direction at a stitch density of 8 stitches/inch, and the rows of stitches were 0.2 inches apart.
After stitching, the preforms were infiltrated with 3501-6 epoxy using a resin-film-infusion process. The specimens were machined from a 35 by 44 inch plate fabricated at NASA Langley Research Center.

Test Specimens and Apparatuses

Three test methods were used to measure the material’s notched failure strain: tension, combined bending and tension, and four-point bending. Each specimen was 0.445 inches thick and 4.00 inches wide, with a 3.00 inch center crack-like notch. The notches were made using an ultrasonic cutting machine and carborundum slurry. All notches had a width of 0.020 inches. Four strain gages were mounted back to back on all specimens. The gages were centered halfway between the notch tip and specimen edge. The gage had a 0.125 inch wide footprint, with a 0.063 inch wide active sensing area. The geometry of all the test specimens (4.00 inches wide, 4.00 inches long between the grips with a 3.00 inch notch length) was designed for the combined bending and tension test. The geometry was selected to force the specimen to fail at small loads while there was still significant bending, which will be discussed later. The crack opening displacement (COD) gage was located at the center of the notch and measured the displacement on the specimen surface.

The exact location of the strain gage is critical because the strain gradient is very large in the area between the notch tip and the specimen edge. Small variations in gage location can result in large differences in readings. Also material non-uniformity and twisting or uneven loading in the test fixture can create measurable deviations in the recorded data.

Center Notch Tension Test

The tension test specimens were 11.5 inches long and mounted directly in the grips of the testing machine. The longitudinal test area between the grips was 4.0 inches. Two specimens were tested in this load condition. The results were consistent for both specimens, therefore the results from one of the specimens will be shown later in this report. The specimen geometry is shown in figure 1. Loads were directly applied to the specimen through the grips of the testing machine.

Center Notch Combined Bending and Tension Test

The combined bending and tension test specimens were 14.0 inches in length. The specimen geometry and the test fixture diagram are shown in figures 2 and 3, respectively. Loads were transmitted to the specimen through the pin/clevis connections at the top and bottom grips. The axial load provided the mean tensile strains in the specimen, and using offset shims of various thicknesses placed between the plane of the specimen and the loading line provided the bending strains. The offset values used were approximately 0.22, 0.42, 0.61, 0.80, and 0.96 inches, with one specimen tested for each offset value. Figure 4 is a photograph of the specimen and test apparatus mounted in the testing machine.
Center Notch Four-point Bend Test

The four-point bend test specimen was 17.5 inches long. The center crack-like notch was 3.00 inches long. The center 2.50 inches of notch length had an enlarged width of 0.045 inches, as shown in figure 5, to prevent the notch from fully closing in compression. Two specimens were tested in this load condition. The results were consistent for both specimens, therefore the results from one of the specimens will be shown later in this report. The specimen in the test fixture is schematically illustrated in figure 6. Loads were applied to the top and bottom rollers resulting in constant bending moments between the two top (interior) rollers.

Experimental Procedures

All tests were conducted with displacement controlled at a fixed ramp rate for each of the different test conditions. Measurements of strain near notch tips, crack opening displacement (COD), and applied load were monitored in all tests. The out-of-plane displacement at the center of the notch was also measured, with a Linear Variable Differential Transformer (LVDT), when the specimen was subjected to bending. The LVDT instrument slipped during testing of the specimen in combined bending and tension with an offset of 0.61 inches; therefore, out of plane displacement measurements are not available for that test. Data was recorded once per second for the combined bending and tension tests. Data was obtained by stopping the loading process at given increments and manually recording the displayed instrument values, for pure bending and pure tension tests.

Experimental Results

The geometry of the specimens (4 inches wide, 4 inches long between the grips with a 3 inch notch length) was selected to force the specimen to fail at small loads while there was still significant bending. This problem is illustrated in figure 7. The non-linearity of the bending strains along the length of the specimen is due to the apparatus design which introduced bending into the specimen by applying an eccentric tension load. Because strength increases with decreasing notch length, the bending strain at failure (the difference in the compressive and tensile strains) would decrease with decreasing notch length. Thus, the long notch length caused the specimen to break at a low load while bending was still significant.

Another problem that was realized was that the apparatus design for the combined bending and tension tests created a non-linear relationship between bending and tension as illustrated in figure 8. As \( \frac{L_0}{L} \) (the ratio of the distance between pin and fixture end to the length of the test section) increases, so does the non-linearity. The apparatus for combined bending and tension tests was designed with \( \frac{L_0}{L} \) equal to 1.875.
Center Notch Tension Test

The measured strains of one of the center notch tension tests are summarized in figure 9. Note the different strains measured by each of the four gages. This difference highlights the criticality of strain gage placement and the influence of non-homogeneous characteristics of the specimens because the load versus strain curves should have been approximately the same. All gages exhibited non-linear behavior at approximately 4,000 με. When surface strains reached approximately 6,000 με, significant damage occurred. Figure 10 shows the crack opening displacement (COD) measured from the test. The COD measurement becomes nonlinear at approximately 30,000 lbs, which agrees with the start of non-linear behavior in the strain data presented in figure 9. This nonlinearity is probably due to the start of crack extension (damage onset).

Center Notch Combined Bending and Tension Test

Five combined bending and tension tests were conducted, one each for the five offset values described previously. The results of the center notch combined bending and tension tests are summarized in figures 11 through 13 for the 0.22 inch offset. These plots illustrate the strains and displacements of the experimental data. For completeness, all results for the other offset values are included in figures 14-16.

During testing of the combined bending and tension and the pure bending specimens, the specimens were loaded so that strain gages on one side were being compressed while the gages on the other side were in tension; strain gages 1 and 3 were on the compressed side of the specimens while strain gages 2 and 4 were on the tension side of the specimens. In figure 11 it can be seen that the failure strain at the surface was greater than the 6,000 με found in the pure tension tests. The strain from gage 4 is increasing faster than the strain from gage 2. The difference is probably due to the notch advancing unevenly. Therefore, gages 1 and 3 are providing a more accurate picture of the trend that generally occurs with increased load. Thus, as shown by gages 1 and 3, when bending was involved, the failure strain measured by the strain gages increased. In figure 12, it is interesting to observe that the out of plane displacement increases till the offset (eccentricity) is significantly reduced. Thus, bending moment decreases with increasing load. This non-linear relationship between bending and tension is due to the apparatus design. In figure 13, the crack opening displacement data responses distorted at the same load as the compressive side strain gages, as indicated in figure 11.

As also seen in the specimens with the larger eccentricities (figure 14), as more bending moment was introduced into the specimen, the measured strain at failure increased. The 0.96 inch offset specimen failed in compression before the tensile side had a chance to reach the larger strains. The 0.80 inch offset specimen was observed to have some compressive failure, but this does not entirely explain why the failure strain of this specimen was lower than those with less bending. The 0.22, 0.42, and 0.61 inch offset specimens appeared to have tensile failure.
Center Notch Four-point Bend Test

Test data from one of the center notch four-point bend tests are shown in figures 17 and 18. The specimen withstood surface strains at the strain gage location of approximately 10,000 με in tension and approximately 11,000 με in compression prior to failure. The specimen failed on the compressive side because the material has a lower compressive strength. A series of tests were performed on test coupons, the tensile strength along the 0° fibers is 131,000 PSI and the compressive strength is 87,000 PSI. The combined bending and tension specimens with the two largest offset values also failed on the compressive side. A comparison of the tension only and bending only tests show that these specimens experienced a much larger strain in flexure than in pure tension. In figure 18, the crack opening displacement data responses distorted at the same moment load as the compressive side strain gages indicated in figure 17, approximately 2,300 in-lbs.

Discussion

Material non-uniformity including stitching location relative to gage location, twisting or uneven loading in the test fixture, and slight deviations of the location of the gages created measurable deviations in the recorded data. Also, the strain gages average the strain field under the gages. Thus, the measured strains were assumed to exist at the centroid of the gage. This assumption is exact only for linearly varying strains.

The strains due to bending were larger at failure than those for pure tension. Similar results for unnotched composite specimens have been reported in several studies (references 6, 7, 8 and 9), which show that a larger failure stress (strain) is measured in flexural (bending) specimens because much less material is under the maximum tension stress. In a tension test, the entire volume under the gage is at the same applied tensile stress. A Weibull statistical analysis, which accounts for probability of defects in a given volume of material, agrees well with the measured data for flexural specimens. This concept has also been used to explain the differences in tensile strength between small and large test specimens in which the smaller specimens are always stronger (reference 10).

The results of the center notch four-point bend test indicated that the maximum tensile strain at the strain gage location was approximately 10,000 με and the compressive strain was approximately 11,000 με before failure. The specimen failed on the compressive side because the material has a lower compressive strength. The combined bending and tension specimens with the two largest offset values also failed on the compressive side. By comparing the tension only and bending only tests, it was shown that these specimens could experience a much larger strain in flexure than in pure tension.

The non-linear relationship due to the combined bending and tension apparatus was significant. However, this did not preclude the validity of the observations that were made. The results of the combined bending and tension test indicated that the
maximum tensile strain at the strain gage location, for those specimens that failed in
tension (small offset, 0.22 inches), was approximately 10,000 με before failure. For
those specimens that failed in compression (large offset, 0.96 inches) was
approximately 9,000 με. When no bending stresses were present in the pure tension
test, however, the maximum tensile strain at the strain gage location only reached
about 6,000 με before failure. These results indicate that the presence of bending
stresses in the specimens significantly increased the maximum strain experienced
before failure.

Figure 19 shows the true bending moment to tension (axial) relationship for the
different load configurations just before failure. The true bending moment was
determined using the LVDT values and shim offset values to compute the actual
moment arm at the failure load. The 0.22, 0.42, and 0.61 inch offset specimens
appeared to have tensile failure while the 0.80 and 0.96 inch offset specimens appear
to have compressive failure.

Summary

A series of exploratory tests were conducted to determine the failure strain of
stitched multi-axial warp-knit composite material containing through-thickness damage.
Specimens subjected to pure tension, combined bending and tension, and pure
bending were evaluated. The bending strain contribution in the combined bending and
tension tests was significant and should not be ignored.

These data provide information on the effectiveness of the test methods and on
general trends in the material response. The limited amount of test material and time
available limited the scope of the investigation.

The values of failure strain indicate that the more a specimen bends, the larger
the amount of strain the outer surface can experience before failure. A larger failure
stress (strain) has been shown to exist in composite specimens while under flexure
compared to pure tension testing. A Weibull statistical analysis, which takes into
account the volume of material experiencing the maximum stress, has done well in
predicting the maximum stress (strain) experienced by a specimen due to these two
types of loading. Apparently, the presence of a notch, which greatly reduces the
volume of material that experiences the maximum stress in a tension test, does not
diminish the effect of flexure strength being greater than tensile strength. Thus one
cannot assume a composite will fail whenever a volume of material, regardless of size,
experiences a maximum stress or strain value as determined from tensile testing.
Fortunately, if this assumption is made, the error will be on the conservative side.

Any attempt at developing an analytical model for failure when subjected to
combined bending and tension loads must take into account the volume of material
experiencing the maximum stress, even when a stress riser such as a notch is present.
Care must also be taken to account for compressive stresses which can cause failure
of the specimen, as they did for the 0.96 inch offset value.
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A series of tests was conducted to measure the strength of stitched carbon/epoxy composites containing through-thickness damage in the form of a crack-like notch. The specimens were subjected to three types of loading: pure bending, pure tension, and combined bending and tension loads. Measurements of applied loads, strains near crack tips, and crack opening displacements (COD) were monitored in all tests. The transverse displacement at the center of the specimen was measured using a Linear Variable Differential Transformer (LVDT). The experimental data showed that the outer surface of the pure tension specimen failed at approximately 6,000 microstrain, while in combined bending and tension loads the measured tensile strains reached 10,000 microstrain.