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1. Introduction

The FIRE program has the goal of improving our capabilities to understand, model and detect the properties of climatically-important clouds. This is being undertaken through a three-pronged effort of modeling, long-term observations and short-term intensive field studies. Through examination of satellite and other data it is apparent that stratus and cirrus cloud types have the greatest impact on climate due to their radiative effects and ubiquitous nature. As a result, the FIRE program has developed two paths of investigation, each having its own subset of research objectives and measurement programs. The work conducted under this grant was directed toward furthering our understanding of cirrus cloud systems.

While it is known that cirrus are climatically important, the magnitude and even sign of the impact is unclear. Cirrus clouds affect the transfer of radiation according to their physical depth and location in the atmosphere and their microphysical composition (Liou, 1986). However, significant uncertainties still exist in how cirrus clouds form and how they are maintained, what their physical properties are and how they can be parameterized in numerical models. Better remote sensing techniques for monitoring cirrus cloud systems and improved modeling of radiative transfer through ice particles are also needed. A critical element in resolving these issues is a better understanding of cirrus cloud microphysical properties and how they vary.

Important microphysical parameters include ice water content and integrated ice water path, particle size distribution and crystal habit; the significance of these parameters has been shown in a number of applications. For example, Starr and Cox (1985) found notable interactions between cloud processes and microphysical properties in their modeling studies. They concluded that the bulk physical properties of cirrus are affected by crystal habit and size distribution, and that cirrus cannot be represented by analogy to warmer stratiform clouds. Stackhouse and Stephens (1994) illustrated how biases in particle size parameterizations can lead to biases in model results and interpretations. Model results of Mitchell and Arnott (1994) showed a strong dependence of cirrus cloud radiative properties on particle habit. Significant differences resulted from the use of non-spherical particles versus equivalent spheres. Another critical area is the retrieval of cloud properties from remote measurements which requires some assumptions concerning the composition of the clouds. For example, Intrieri, et al, (1993), have developed a method for determining cirrus cloud particle sizes using lidar and radar measurements based on a modified gamma distribution of particle sizes. Other retrievals such as those using satellite data are also dependent on similar assumptions (e.g., Ackerman, et al, 1993).

Our understanding of cirrus cloud microphysical characteristics has lagged to a large extent because of the relative inaccessibility of these upper-tropospheric cloud systems and a lack of adequate instrumentation for their measurement. Prior to 1991, only a limited number of in situ measurement programs had been conducted, and these included a variety of cirrus types and geographical locations. Perhaps not surprisingly, analyses of these data sets have found a high degree of variability in particle sizes and
concentrations (for reviews, see Liou, 1986, and Dowling and Radke, 1990). While there have been some parameterizations derived for modeling use (Heymsfield and Platt, 1984, Stephens, et al, 1990), there remains a significant amount of uncertainty as to the source and nature of this variability. This is particularly true at the small end of the size spectrum because most of the particle probes used in the past could not detect particles smaller than approximately 50 μm. There is evidence which suggests that there are enough ice particles in cirrus at sizes smaller than this to have a significant radiative impact (e.g., Platt, et al, 1989). The shape of the particle spectrum in this range is also needed for accurate retrievals of cirrus properties from remote sensors. Fortunately, the microphysical data collected in situ during the FIRE Cirrus IFO II included measurements of small ice particles. Analysis of these data was conducted to help reduce the uncertainties in cirrus microphysical properties.

The focus of the research to be conducted under this grant was the data collected in situ by the University of North Dakota Citation aircraft. The goals of this research were to:

- add to the body of knowledge of cirrus cloud microphysics, particularly at the small end of the size spectrum; and
- analyze the spatial variation of cirrus clouds.

2. Microphysical Data

The FIRE Cirrus IFO II was conducted over southeastern Kansas in late fall of 1991, to sample clouds of a more sub-tropical origin and to expand upon the knowledge gained from the first IFO. Sampling was conducted simultaneously by active and passive remote sensors and by in situ aircraft and sonde-born instruments. The mission of the UND Citation aircraft was to collect cloud microphysical and supporting data. A compliment of cloud particle sizing probes included a continuous formvar replicator and four standard Particle Measuring Systems (PMS) instruments:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FSSP</th>
<th>Cloud water droplets 3 - 47 μm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1D-C</td>
<td>Cloud particles 20 - 600 μm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2D-C</td>
<td>Cloud particles 33 - 1056 μm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1D-P</td>
<td>Large particles 0.3 - 4.5 mm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The replicator, supplied by the University of Nevada Desert Research Institute (DRI), is capable of capturing particles as small as approximately 7 μm. The FSSP is a light scattering instrument and its response to ice particles is uncertain (but it still may be possible to use its data to derive small particle information under some conditions). The other three are optical array probes. Liquid and supercooled water contents were measured with a Johnson-Williams probe and Rosemount ice detector, respectively. Other measured parameters included the state parameters (temperature, pressure and dew point), three-dimensional wind flow and turbulence, condensation nuclei (CN), ozone and NOx concentrations, and aircraft dynamics.
A variety of mid and upper-tropospheric cirriform clouds were sampled during the IFO. A thorough overview of the conditions encountered is given in a technical report by Poellot and Henderson (1994); the highlights may be summarized as follows. Ten missions were flown for a total of 35 flight hours at cloud altitudes ranging from approximately 6.6 to 12.2 km with in-cloud temperatures of -23 °C to -64 °C. The typical flight profile consisted of step climbs and descents on an along-wind track; several spiral descents were also performed. Cirrus conditions sampled included layers which were optically thin, optically thick, “patchy”, multi-layered, deepening or dissipating. Supercooled water was encountered on two flights. Many of the clouds appeared to contain an abundance of small (<100 μm diameter) ice particles.

A recent analysis of a portion of these data compared the performance of the 2D-C optical array probe, which is the type most often used in previous measurement programs, and the formvar replicator (Arnott, et al, 1994). The comparison of 2D-C and replicator data revealed that for this sample cloud there were some tens to hundreds of small particles which went undetected by the 2D-C. Calculations showed that these particles could significantly contribute to and even dominate the solar extinction and infrared emission of cirrus. Therefore, the replicator data were used in the analyses to extend our knowledge of cirrus microphysics to the smaller sizes.

3. Approach

3.1 Microphysical Characterization of Cirrus

Ice water content, particle size distribution and habit are microphysical parameters important to the proper modeling and monitoring of cirrus clouds and their radiative effects. The method of analysis followed that of Heymsfield and Platt (1984; hereafter referred to as HP), where pass average values of particle concentrations by size were calculated. Data from the DRI replicator were used to count and size particles with diameters up to 150 μm and the 2D-C for larger ones. Crystal habits were determined from the replicator data for particles smaller than 300 μm and from the 2D-C data for larger ones through the use of an automatic classification scheme (Heymsfield and Parrish 1979). (Particles larger than about 300 μm tend to fragment when impacting the replicator film surface.) Ice water contents (IWC) were calculated using the pass-averaged concentrations and crystal habits using relationships cited in Heymsfield (1977) and Mitchell (1994).

Following HP, the size distributions were parameterized for each 5 °C temperature range analyzed, and the pass average IWC used to normalize the spectra. Values obtained for the clouds sampled in this study were compared with those derived by HP. Some differences were expected due to the cloud types sampled and the instrumentation used to collect the data. The data set used by HP was taken from primarily deep, uniform cirrus generally associated with synoptic depressions, while the FIRE samples were mostly thin, banded features associated with jet stream circulations or
baroclinic ascent. Also in contrast with the present study, the small particle size spectra (down to 20 μm) were derived by HP from 1D probe data.

In addition to the stratification by temperature, an effort was made to determine relationships between the microphysical and macrophysical cloud properties such as cloud depth, distance from cloud boundary, and large-scale forcing. A review of complimentary aircraft, lidar and radar data was performed to determine the study clouds' top and base heights and the relative position of each Citation sample leg within cloud. Supporting synoptic descriptions and satellite data were also reviewed to determine the large scale forcing of the sampled cirrus cloud systems.

Analysis of the replicator data for this study was accomplished under subcontract with DRI. The tedious job of counting and sizing particle replica has been somewhat streamlined by the acquisition of equipment and development of software to make the process semi-automatic (Arnott and Hallett, 1994). Even so, it is still a time-consuming procedure and on the order of a half million frames of replicator film were exposed during the FIRE IFO alone. Therefore, it was not within the scope of this proposal to analyze all of the replicator data; rather, portions of the film from a representative portion of each data leg were processed.

3.2 Analysis of the Spatial Variation of Cirrus Clouds.

The variation of the microphysical characteristics of the cirrus clouds over distances of a few meters to tens of kilometers is important when trying to interpret data with relatively coarse resolution, such as radiometric measurements of cloud characteristics. The manner in which the cirrus microphysical characteristics vary would be expected to be closely related to the dynamics of the generating mechanism. Sassen et al. (1989) have shown by a spectral analysis of aircraft-derived vertical velocities that there is an apparent convective structure present in cirrus with a horizontal scale of approximately 1-2 km. Therefore, an analysis of the vertical velocities derived from the UND Citation data for the legs flown in the FIRE cirrus was accomplished. The purpose of this analysis was to see if the type of convective structures reported by Sassen et al. are apparent in this larger data base. In addition, similar analyses were performed on several of the cirrus microphysical parameters. The parameters included ice crystal concentration, crystal size, and ice water content. The output of this work was a description of the variation of these parameters as a function of scale, to see if the apparent fluctuations in vertical velocity at the 1 km scale are indicative of fluctuations in cloud microphysical characteristics of the same scale.

In a similar vein, if there are sustained convective structures responsible for the peaks in the spectral density described earlier (rather than random fluctuations on that scale) there would be a reasonable likelihood of finding a significant positive eddy flux of ice crystals (or of ice water content) that is independent of the larger scale (i.e., >100 km) vertical motions. This would be expected since a sustained downdraft would contain
crystals that are sublimating while the updraft would contain crystals that are growing. Non-sustained vertical motions, lasting for relatively short time periods would result in very little vertical displacement and any effects would not be likely to be seen in the microphysics data. Therefore, the eddy flux computations should be near zero. The presence or absence of sustained convective elements within the cirrus would be of major importance in understanding the microphysical characteristics of these clouds.

4. Results

4.1 Cloud Microphysics

The detailed results of the cirrus cloud microphysics are given in Poellot, et al., 1998. The following is a summary of these results.

This analysis of the cloud microphysical data collected during FIRE II by the UND Citation found that there are a number of factors which influence cirrus cloud microphysics and which must be taken into account for parameterization of these cloud systems. These include but are not necessarily limited to temperature, synoptic forcing, position in cloud and cloud ice water content. The key results are summarized as follows: 1) A dependence of particle size spectra shape on temperature was found which differed from that previously reported for deeper, frontal overrunning cirrus. 2) There was no marked dependence of crystal habit on temperature other than columns were most prevalent in clouds colder than −50°C. 3) When synoptic forcing was considered, clouds generated by closed low systems and the sub-tropical jet (southwesterly flow cases) contained many more particles at all sizes than those produced by short wave and baroclinic lift (northwesterly flow cases). 4) A position-in-cloud stratification supported earlier studies with evidence that ice particles are nucleated in the upper portion of the cirrus cloud, grow by diffusion and aggregation as they fall, and finally evaporate as they reach cloud base. 5) A look at the variation of spectral form with ice water content (IWC, a common model-predicted variable) found that as IWC increased, an increase in particle number concentration was accompanied by a broadening of the distribution to larger sizes. 6) Analysis of the replicator data suggests that the small particle end of the size spectrum may be best represented by a modified Gamma distribution.

4.2 Spectral Analysis

The spectral analysis performed on each of the components of the wind velocity showed that, with few exceptions, the shape of the spectra was similar and the turbulence intensities were comparable. The slopes of the power spectra for each of the wind velocity components were close to $\frac{5}{3}$ for the high wavenumber end of the spectrum. The vertical velocity spectra were run on most of the days. Some examples of the vertical component spectra are shown in figures 1-3. These spectra are unfiltered, so there are no known biases for any particular portion of the spectrum. On many of the time blocs...
investigated, the spectra showed a significant change in the slope for wavenumbers corresponding to wavelengths of between 1 and 2 km (wavenumbers of between .003 and .006 m\(^{-1}\)). Generally, the slope became closer to zero at the very low wavenumbers. This would indicate that there was an input of energy at the 1-2 km scale.

The spectral analyses on the microphysics data showed little consistent difference from one parameter to another in terms of the shapes of the curves. That is, the power spectra for the 2D-C concentrations were not markedly different from the spectra of the 1D-C concentrations. Some typical spectral density curves for the 1D-C probe are shown in figures 4-6. These are for data taken in the same time periods as was done for the vertical velocity spectra in figures 1-3. In general, the spectra of the hydrometeor concentrations tended to be fairly flat (i.e., near zero slope) at the high wavenumber end of the spectrum. This “whiteness” of the spectrum implies that there are no preferences for variations in the microphysical data for one size scale to another. There was substantial variability at the low wavenumber end of the spectrum and some variability in the point where the slope of the spectra began to deviate from zero. There was also significant day to day differences in the magnitude of the variations as indicated by the spectral magnitudes.
Figure 1. Spectral density for the vertical velocity as a function of wavenumber for the period 16:10:00 to 16:23:50 on 14 November 1991. The dashed lines represent a $-5/3$ slope.

$M = 2047$  
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Figure 2. Same as Figure 1, but for the time period 18:30:40 to 18:34:20 on 22 November 1991.
Figure 3. Same as Figure 1, but for the time period 16:27:00 to 16:30:00 on 30 November 1991.
Figure 4. Spectral density for the 1D-C concentrations as a function of wavenumber for the period 16:10:00 to 16:23:50 on 14 November 1991. The dashed lines represent a $-5/3$ slope.
Figure 5. Same as Figure 4, but for the time period 18:30:40 to 18:34:20 on 22 November 1991.
Figure 6. Same as Figure 4, but for the time period 16:27:00 to 16:30:00 on 30 November 1991.
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Appendix: Particle Size Spectra

This appendix contains a series of graphs showing detailed particle size spectra and distribution parameters derived from the Citation microphysical data. The data consisted of aircraft pass-averaged spectra, which were divided into temperature categories and location in cloud categories. The temperature categories were intervals of 5°C, the location categories were either bottom, bottom-middle, middle, top-middle, or top. These data are summarized in the attached figures.

In the case of the 1DC and 2DC data set, exponential distributions were fit to the data in a manner similar to that used by Heymsfield and Platt (1984). The parameters they discussed are compared with the data collected here and summarized in the attached figures and table.

The empirical corrections made to the 1DC data were then removed and a gamma distribution was fit to this uncorrected 1DC and 2DC data. These can be found in the attached figures for both temperature and location in cloud categories. The figures titled “1DC Gamma Distribution Parameters vs. Temperature” and “1DC Gamma Distribution Parameters vs. Location in Cloud” give a graphical representation of the parameters obtained from the best-fit gamma distribution. The parameter labeled Gamma is representative of the ‘average’ concentration in the distribution and the parameter labeled Peak is representative of the size at which the maximum concentration in the distribution occurred. Similar figures are given for gamma distributions fit to the replicator and 2DC data.

Replicator ice particle habits: the ice particle habit data from the replicator was also obtained and partially analyzed. Two figures are included from this limited analysis.
Particle Size Distributions

by Temperature and Location in Cloud
Temperature Averaged Spectra, Replicator & 2DC Probe
(-35°C to -40°C, N = 7)
Temperature Averaged Spectra, Replicator & 2DC Probe

(-40°C to 45°C, N = 4)
Temperature Averaged Spectra, Replicator & 2DC Probe
(-45°C to -50°C, N = 6)
Temperature Averaged Spectra, Replicator & 2DC Probe
(-50°C to -55°C, N = 15)
Temperature Averaged Spectra, Replicator & 2DC Probe

(-55°C to -60°C, N = 6)
Location-in-Cloud Averaged Spectra, Replicator & 2DC Probe

![Graph showing particle size distribution](image-url)
Temperature Averaged Spectra, Replicator & 2DC Probes

(Curve #1 = -65°C to -60°C)
Temperature Averaged Spectra, 1DC & 2DC Probes
(Curve #1 = -65°C to -60°C)
Temperature Averaged Spectra, 1DC & 2DC Probes
(Curve #1 = -65°C to -60°C)
Exponential Distribution Fit to Size Spectra
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temp Range</th>
<th>Number of Samples</th>
<th>Max IWC (g m⁻³)</th>
<th>Min IWC (g m⁻³)</th>
<th>Avg IWC (g m⁻³)</th>
<th>N₁₀₀ (m⁻³ μm⁻¹)</th>
<th>N₁₀₀/IWC (g⁻¹ μm⁻¹)</th>
<th>B₁</th>
<th>N₁₀₀₀ (m⁻³ μm⁻¹)</th>
<th>N₁₀₀₀/IWC (g⁻¹ μm⁻¹)</th>
<th>B₂</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-20 to -25</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.039749</td>
<td>0.010797</td>
<td>0.022327</td>
<td>66.1</td>
<td>2960.4845</td>
<td>-1.913</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>5.5984956</td>
<td>-6.268</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-25 to -30</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.033549</td>
<td>0.011848</td>
<td>0.023491</td>
<td>60.5</td>
<td>2575.4916</td>
<td>-1.669</td>
<td>0.108</td>
<td>4.5975717</td>
<td>-6.089</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-30 to -35</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.083412</td>
<td>0.000288</td>
<td>0.02045</td>
<td>86.3</td>
<td>4220.0855</td>
<td>-1.611</td>
<td>0.0222</td>
<td>1.085584</td>
<td>-7.551</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-35 to -40</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.086635</td>
<td>0.000507</td>
<td>0.016647</td>
<td>224.4</td>
<td>13479.977</td>
<td>-1.013</td>
<td>0.00676</td>
<td>0.4060813</td>
<td>-5.338</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-40 to -45</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.050385</td>
<td>0.000588</td>
<td>0.017805</td>
<td>275.1</td>
<td>15450.315</td>
<td>-0.9056</td>
<td>0.00107</td>
<td>0.0600939</td>
<td>-6.483</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-45 to -50</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.015015</td>
<td>0.00065</td>
<td>0.006093</td>
<td>106.5</td>
<td>17478.15</td>
<td>-0.4887</td>
<td>0.000482</td>
<td>0.079103</td>
<td>-6.106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-50 to -55</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.023245</td>
<td>0.000206</td>
<td>0.006313</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>15998.019</td>
<td>-0.612</td>
<td>1.77E-05</td>
<td>0.0028036</td>
<td>-8.351</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-55 to -60</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.022735</td>
<td>0.000524</td>
<td>0.005164</td>
<td>83.6</td>
<td>16189.327</td>
<td>-0.886</td>
<td>3.63E-05</td>
<td>0.0070296</td>
<td>-7.914</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-60 to -65</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.001468</td>
<td>0.000186</td>
<td>0.000827</td>
<td>40.3</td>
<td>48738.001</td>
<td>-0.442</td>
<td>7.58E-08</td>
<td>9.167E-05</td>
<td>-8.474</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Temperature Averaged Spectra, 1DC & 2DC Probes
(-20°C to -25°C, N = 4)

\[ y = 442.11x^{-1.9126} \]
\[ R^2 = 0.9515 \]

\[ y = 8E+14x^{-6.2683} \]
\[ R^2 = 0.9544 \]
Temperature Averaged Spectra, 1DC & 2DC Probes
(-25°C to -30°C, N = 5)

\[ y = 131.82x^{-1.669} \]
\[ R^2 = 0.9497 \]

\[ y = 2E+14x^{-6.0888} \]
\[ R^2 = 0.9964 \]
Temperature Averaged Spectra, 1DC & 2DC Probes
(-30°C to -35°C, N = 16)

\[ y = 143.83x^{-1.6108} \]
\[ R^2 = 0.9478 \]

\[ y = 1E+18x^{-7.5513} \]
\[ R^2 = 0.9855 \]
Temperature Averaged Spectra, 1DC & 2DC Probes
(-35°C to -40°C, N = 21)

\[ y = 23.839x^{-1.0131} \]
\[ R^2 = 0.9283 \]

\[ y = 7 \times 10^{-5.3383} \]
\[ R^2 = 0.9856 \]
Temperature Averaged Spectra, 1DC & 2DC Probes
(-40°C to -45°C, N = 12)

\[ y = 17.808x^{-0.9056} \]
\[ R^2 = 0.924 \]

\[ y = 3E+13x^{-6.4825} \]
\[ R^2 = 0.9779 \]
Temperature Averaged Spectra, 1DC & 2DC Probes
(-45°C to -50°C, N = 12)

\[ y = 1.0109x^{-0.4887} \]
\[ R^2 = 0.7758 \]

\[ y = 1E+12x^{-6.1058} \]
\[ R^2 = 0.9732 \]
Temperature Averaged Spectra, 1DC & 2DC Probes
(-50°C to -55°C, N = 21)

\[ y = 1.6917x^{-0.612} \]
\[ R^2 = 0.7185 \]

\[ y = 2E+17x^{-8.3509} \]
\[ R^2 = 0.9623 \]
Temperature Averaged Spectra, 1DC & 2DC Probes
(-55°C to -60°C, N = 8)

\[ y = 4.9312x^{-0.8835} \]
\[ R^2 = 0.9527 \]

\[ y = 2E+16x^{-7.9139} \]
\[ R^2 = 0.9647 \]
Temperature Averaged Spectra, IDC & 2DC Probes
(-60°C to 65°C, N = 2)

\[ y = 2 \times 10^{4.4737} \times e^{-0.417} \]

\[ R^2 = 0.9409 \]
Average IWC
($T_1 = -20^\circ C, \Delta T = 5^\circ C$)
$N_{100}/IWC$

(T1 = -20°C, DT = 5°C)
Slope Value, $B_1$
$(T_1 = -20^\circ C, DT = 5^\circ C)$
Gamma Distribution Fit to Size Spectra - 1DC and 2DC

by Temperature
IDC Gamma Distribution Parameters vs Temperature

Gamma

Peak

Temperature (°C)

-20 to -25 -25 to -30 -30 to -35 -35 to -40 -40 to -45 -45 to -50 -50 to -55 -55 to -60 -60 to -65

Gamma

Peak
Temperature Averaged Spectra (1DC & 2DC Probe) and Best-Fit Gamma Distribution

(-20°C to -25°C, N = 4)
Temperature Averaged Spectra (1DC & 2DC Probe) and Best-Fit Gamma Distribution

(-25°C to -30°C, N = 5)
Temperature Averaged Spectra (1DC & 2DC Probe) and Best-Fit Gamma Distribution

(-30°C to -35°C, N=16)
Temperature Averaged Spectra (1DC & 2DC Probe) and Best-Fit Gamma Distribution
(-35°C to -40°C, N = 21)
Temperature Averaged Spectra (1DC & 2DC Probe) and Best-Fit Gamma Distribution
(-40°C to -45°C, N = 12)
Temperature Averaged Spectra (1DC & 2DC Probe) and Best-Fit Gamma Distribution

(-45°C to -50°C, N = 12)
Temperature Averaged Spectra (1DC & 2DC Probe) and Best-Fit Gamma Distribution

(-50°C to -55°C, N = 21)
Temperature Averaged Spectra (1DC & 2DC Probe) and Best-Fit Gamma Distribution

(-55°C to -60°C, N = 8)
Temperature Averaged Spectra (1DC & 2DC Probe) and Best-Fit Gamma Distribution
(-60°C to -65°C, N = 2)

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{dN/dD} &= \#/(\text{lit}\text{er micron}) \\
\text{Diameter (microns)} &= 0, 50, 100, 150, 200, 250, 300, 350, 400, 450, 500
\end{align*}
\]
Gamma Distribution Fit to Size Spectra - 1DC and 2DC

by Location in Cloud
Location in Cloud Averaged Spectra (1DC & 2DC Probe) and Best-Fit Gamma Distribution
(top, N = 14)
Distribution
Location in Cloud Averaged Spectra (1DC & 2DC Probe) and Best Fit Gamma

(top-middle, N = 13)
Location in Cloud Averaged Spectra (1DC & 2DC Probe) and Best-Fit Gamma Distribution
(middle, N = 17)
Location in Cloud Averaged Spectra (1DC & 2DC Probe) and Best-Fit Gamma Distribution
(bottom-middle, N = 15)
Location in Cloud Averaged Spectra (IDC & 2DC Probe) and Best-Fit Gamma Distribution (bottom, N=19)
Gamma Distribution Fit to Size Spectra - Replicator and 2DC

by Temperature
Replicator Gamma Distribution Parameters vs Temperature

![Graph showing the relationship between temperature (°C) and gamma and peak values.](image-url)

- **Gamma** values show a trend where they generally increase with decreasing temperature, except for a dip in the -40 to -45°C range.
- **Peak** values exhibit a more erratic pattern, with a significant increase towards the -60 to -65°C range.

Temperature (°C):
- -30 to -35
- -35 to -40
- -40 to -45
- -45 to -50
- -50 to -55
- -55 to -60
- -60 to -65
Temperature Averaged Spectra (Replicator & 2DC Probe) and Best-Fit Gamma Distribution

(-35°C to -40°C, N = 6)
Temperature Averaged Spectra (Replicator & 2DC Probe) and Best-Fit Gamma Distribution

(-35°C to -40°C, N = 6)
Temperature Averaged Spectra (Replicator & 2DC Probe) and Best-Fit Gamma Distribution

(-40°C to -45°C, N = 5)
Temperature Averaged Spectra (Replicator & 2DC Probe) and Best-Fit Gamma Distribution

(-45°C to -50°C, N = 6)
Temperature Averaged Spectra (Replicator & 2DC Probe) and Best-Fit Gamma Distribution

(-50°C to -55°C, N = 15)
Temperature Averaged Spectra (Replicator & 2DC Probe) and Best-Fit Gamma Distribution

(-55°C to -60°C, N = 6)
Temperature Averaged Spectra (Replicator & 2DC Probe) and Best-Fit Gamma Distribution

(-55°C to -60°C, N = 6)
Temperature Averaged Spectra (Replicator & 2DC Probe) and Best-Fit Gamma Distribution
(-60°C to -65°C, N = 2)
Gamma Distribution Fit to Size Spectra - Replicator and 2DC

by Location in Cloud
Replicator Gamma Distribution Parameters vs Location-in-Cloud
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Crystal Habit Information
Replicator Habit Percentages vs In-Cloud Location
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