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Abstract
The driving forces for a generally oriented crack embedded in a Functionally Graded strip sandwiched between two half planes are analyzed using singular integral equations with Cauchy kernels, and integrated using Lobatto-Chebyshev collocation. Mixed-mode Stress Intensity Factors (SIF) and Strain Energy Release Rates (SERR) are calculated. The Stress Intensity Factors are compared for accuracy with previously published results. Parametric studies are conducted for various non-homogeneity ratios, crack lengths, crack orientation and thickness of the strip. It is shown that the SERR is more complete and should be used for crack propagation analysis.

1. Introduction
One way to reduce the residual stresses in composites is to process fully tailored materials and interfacial zones with predetermined continuously varying mechanical properties. Such materials are known as Functionally Graded Materials (FGM) (see Asish et. al., 1997 and Holt et. al., 1993). Some FGM could be described as two-phase particulate composites where the volume fractions of its constituents differ continuously in the thickness direction (see Niino and Maeda, 1990; Hirano and Yamada, 1988; Hirano et. al., 1988; and Kawasaki and Watanabe R., 1990). This implies that the composition profile could be tailored to give desired thermomechanical properties. One of the most important of these properties is the minimization of crack propagation. In order to design FGM components, then, the driving forces of crack propagation must be fully understood.

The problem under consideration here is that of a generally oriented crack embedded in a nonhomogeneous strip sandwiched between two isotropic half planes. A system of singular integral equations with Cauchy kernels is used to analyze the driving forces (Stress Intensity Factors (SIF) and Strain Energy Release Rates (SERR)) of crack propagation.

The present work is a generalization of a sequence of papers (Delale and Erdogan (1983), (1988a), (1988b), Konda and Erdogan (1994), and Chen and Ergodan (1996)) concerning driving forces of crack propagation for problems involving various boundary conditions and crack geometry. In these papers, an exponential variation in material properties within the FGM is assumed, and it is shown that Poisson's ratio has little effect on stress intensity factors. Therefore, in the present formulation, the same Poisson ratio
is used in all three materials, while the shear modulus has an exponential form. Also, these papers considered only horizontally oriented cracks, while the present paper addresses a crack with arbitrary orientation angle.

The solution methodology follows the basic steps presented in the previous papers. Specifically, the problem is cast in perturbation form. First, the crack surface tractions are computed for an FGM embedded between the two half planes with given far field stresses when no crack is present. In the second step, these tractions are used to compute the stresses at the crack tips for the perturbation problem. These steps are depicted in Figures 1b and 1c. In order to account for the arbitrary orientation angle, the perturbation problem is separated into two parts, depicted in Figures 2b and 2c. The first part includes the influence of the interfaces, and the second part examines the crack in an infinite FGM. Details are shown in the next section.

2. Formulation of the problem

The geometry of the problem is shown in Figure 1a. The two dissimilar materials, which are perfectly bonded to the FGM, are isotropic and homogeneous, the FGM has a finite thickness h, and is denoted as Material 2. Material 1 occupies the lower half plane, for \( y < 0 \), while Material 3 occupies the upper half plane for \( y > h \).

In global coordinates \((x,y)\), the shear modulus of the FGM is assumed to be as follows:

\[
\mu_2(y) = \mu_1 e^\gamma y, \tag{1}
\]

and in local coordinates \((x_1,y_1)\) as:

\[
\mu_2(x_1,y_1) = \mu_1 e^{\beta x_1 + \delta y_1}, \tag{2}
\]

where

\[
\gamma = \frac{1}{h} \ln \left( \frac{\mu_2}{\mu_1} \right) \]

\[
\delta = \gamma \cos(\theta) \]

\[
\beta = \gamma \sin(\theta) \tag{3}
\]

Hooke’s law relates strain and stress using two independent material constants:

\[
\varepsilon_{xx} = \frac{1}{8\mu} \left[ (\kappa + 1) \sigma_{xx} + (\kappa - 3) \sigma_{yy} \right]
\]

\[
\varepsilon_{yy} = \frac{1}{8\mu} \left[ (\kappa - 3) \sigma_{xx} + (\kappa + 1) \sigma_{yy} \right] \tag{4}
\]

\[
\varepsilon_{xy} = \frac{1}{2\mu} \tau_{xy}
\]
where the bulk modulus, \( \kappa \), is defined as,

\[
\kappa = 3 - 4\nu \quad \text{for plane strain}
\]

or

\[
\kappa = \frac{3 - \nu}{1 + \nu} \quad \text{for plane stress}
\]

The solution strategy is shown in Figures 1b and 1c. The governing equation for the half plane is

\[
\frac{\partial^4 F_i(x, y)}{\partial x^4} + 2 \frac{\partial^4 F_i(x, y)}{\partial x^2 \partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^4 F_i(x, y)}{\partial y^4} = 0
\]

The solution of (7) is found by applying the Fourier Transform:

\[
F_i(x, y) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} V(\alpha, y)e^{-i\alpha x} d\alpha
\]

and solving the characteristic equation

\[
\alpha^4 V - 2\alpha^2 \frac{d^2 V}{dy^2} + \frac{d^4 V}{dy^4} = 0
\]

\[
\Rightarrow m^4 - 2\alpha^2 m^2 + \alpha^4 = 0
\]

\[
\Rightarrow m_1 = m_3 = |\alpha| \quad \& \quad m_2 = m_4 = -|\alpha|
\]

so that,

\[
F_i(x, y) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ (D_1(\alpha) + yD_2(\alpha))e^{i\alpha y} + (D_3(\alpha) + yD_4(\alpha))e^{-i\alpha y} \right] e^{i\alpha x} d\alpha
\]

Due to the condition of irregularity at \( y<0 \) (the stress function vanishes as \( y \to -\infty \)), \( D_3(\alpha) \) and \( D_4(\alpha) \) must be zero, therefore

\[
F_i(x, y) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ (D_1(\alpha) + yD_2(\alpha))e^{i\alpha y} \right] e^{i\alpha x} d\alpha
\]

Similarly for Material 3, the application of the condition of irregularity at \( y>0 \) yields

\[
F_i(x, y) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ (D_1(\alpha) + yD_2(\alpha))e^{i\alpha y} \right] e^{i\alpha x} d\alpha
\]
The solution strategy of the perturbation problem is shown in Figure 2. The Airy stress function method is adopted in this study, mainly for making use of the technique developed by Delale and Erdogan (1988). It is assumed that the Airy stress function for the FGM is composed of two functions; one is associated with an infinite plane containing the crack on the $x_1$-axis, $U_2(x_1,y_1)$ (see Figure 2c), while the second is an uncracked strip, $F_2(x,y)$ (see Figure 2b).

The governing equation in the global coordinate system is

$$
\nabla^2 (\sigma_{xx} + \sigma_{yy}) + \gamma^2 \left[ \sigma_{xx} + \frac{\kappa_2 - 3}{\kappa_2 + 1} \sigma_{yy} \right] - 2\gamma \frac{\partial}{\partial y} (\sigma_{xx} + \sigma_{yy}) = 0
$$

$$
\Rightarrow \nabla^4 F_2(x,y) + \gamma^2 \left[ \frac{\partial^2 F_2(x,y)}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\kappa_2 - 3}{\kappa_2 + 1} \frac{\partial^2 F_2(x,y)}{\partial x^2} \right] - 2\gamma \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \nabla^2 F_2(x,y) = 0
$$

The characteristic equation of (13) is

$$
m^4 - 2\gamma m^3 + (\gamma^2 - 2\alpha^3)m^2 + 2\gamma\alpha^2 m + (\alpha^4 - \alpha^2 \gamma^2 \frac{\kappa_2 - 3}{\kappa_2 + 1}) = 0
$$

Four roots of (14) and the stress function are obtained in the following forms:

$$
m_1 = \frac{\gamma}{2} - \sqrt{\alpha^2 + \frac{\gamma^2}{4} + i\alpha\gamma \frac{3 - \kappa_2}{\kappa_2 + 1}}
$$

$$
m_2 = \frac{\gamma}{2} + \sqrt{\alpha^2 + \frac{\gamma^2}{4} + i\alpha\gamma \frac{3 - \kappa_2}{\kappa_2 + 1}}
$$

$$
m_3 = \frac{\gamma}{2} - \sqrt{\alpha^2 + \frac{\gamma^2}{4} - i\alpha\gamma \frac{3 - \kappa_2}{\kappa_2 + 1}}
$$

$$
m_4 = \frac{\gamma}{2} + \sqrt{\alpha^2 + \frac{\gamma^2}{4} - i\alpha\gamma \frac{3 - \kappa_2}{\kappa_2 + 1}}
$$

$$
\Rightarrow F_2(x,y) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [A_1(\alpha)e^{m_1\gamma} + A_2(\alpha)e^{m_2\gamma} + A_3(\alpha)e^{m_3\gamma} + A_4(\alpha)e^{m_4\gamma}] e^{i\alpha x} d\alpha
$$

The governing equation in the local crack coordinate system is
\[ \nabla^4 U_2(x_1, y_1) - 2\delta \frac{\partial}{\partial y_1} (\nabla^2 U_2(x_1, y_1)) - 2\beta \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} (\nabla^2 U_2(x_1, y_1)) + \frac{8\beta\delta}{1 + \kappa_2} \frac{\partial^2 U_2(x_1, y_1)}{\partial x_1 \partial y_1} + \beta^2 \left( \frac{\kappa_2 - 3\partial^2 U_2(x_1, y_1)}{1 + \kappa_2} + \frac{\partial^2 U_2(x_1, y_1)}{\partial x_1^2} \right) + \delta^2 \left( \frac{\partial^2 U_2(x_1, y_1)}{\partial y_1^2} + \frac{\kappa_2 - 3\partial^2 U_2(x_1, y_1)}{1 + \kappa_2} \right) = 0 \] (17)

The characteristic equation of (17) is

\[ n^4 - 2\delta n^3 + \left( \frac{\beta^2\kappa_2 - 3}{\kappa_2 + 1} + \delta^2 - 2\alpha(i\beta + \alpha) \right) n^2 + \alpha \delta \left( \frac{8\beta}{\kappa_2 + 1} + 2\alpha \right) n + \alpha^2 \left( \beta^2 + \delta^2 + \frac{3 - \kappa_2}{\kappa_2 + 1} + \beta(2i\alpha - \beta) \right) = 0 \] (18)

The four roots of (18) are

\[ n_1 = \frac{1}{2} \left( \delta + \beta \sqrt{\frac{3 - \kappa_2}{\kappa_2 + 1}} - \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\left( \delta + \beta \sqrt{\frac{3 - \kappa_2}{\kappa_2 + 1}} \right)^2 + 4(\alpha^2 + i\alpha \left( \beta - \delta \sqrt{\frac{3 - \kappa_2}{\kappa_2 + 1}} \right))} \right) \]

\[ n_2 = \frac{1}{2} \left( \delta - \beta \sqrt{\frac{3 - \kappa_2}{\kappa_2 + 1}} - \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\left( \delta - \beta \sqrt{\frac{3 - \kappa_2}{\kappa_2 + 1}} \right)^2 + 4(\alpha^2 + i\alpha \left( \beta + \delta \sqrt{\frac{3 - \kappa_2}{\kappa_2 + 1}} \right))} \right) \] (19)

\[ n_3 = \frac{1}{2} \left( \delta + \beta \sqrt{\frac{3 - \kappa_2}{\kappa_2 + 1}} + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\left( \delta + \beta \sqrt{\frac{3 - \kappa_2}{\kappa_2 + 1}} \right)^2 + 4(\alpha^2 + i\alpha \left( \beta - \delta \sqrt{\frac{3 - \kappa_2}{\kappa_2 + 1}} \right))} \right) \]

\[ n_4 = \frac{1}{2} \left( \delta - \beta \sqrt{\frac{3 - \kappa_2}{\kappa_2 + 1}} + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\left( \delta - \beta \sqrt{\frac{3 - \kappa_2}{\kappa_2 + 1}} \right)^2 + 4(\alpha^2 + i\alpha \left( \beta + \delta \sqrt{\frac{3 - \kappa_2}{\kappa_2 + 1}} \right))} \right) \]

By examining the roots carefully, it can be noticed that \( n_1 \) and \( n_2 \) are always negative as \( \alpha \rightarrow \pm\infty \), while \( n_3 \) and \( n_4 \) are always positive as \( \alpha \rightarrow \pm\infty \). This implies that the stress function can be expressed in the following form:

\[ U_2(x_1, y_1) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ B_1(\alpha) e^{in_1} + B_2(\alpha) e^{in_2} \right] e^{i\theta \alpha} \, d\alpha; \quad y_1 > 0 \] (20)

\[ U_2(x_1, y_1) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ B_3(\alpha) e^{in_3} + B_4(\alpha) e^{in_4} \right] e^{i\theta \alpha} \, d\alpha; \quad y_1 < 0 \]
Two constants in the system of equation (20) can be determined by application of the continuity of stresses at $y_{1}=0$, as follows:

$$\frac{\partial U_{y}(x_{1},0^{+})}{\partial y_{1}} = \frac{\partial U_{y}(x_{1},0^{-})}{\partial y_{1}}$$

$$U_{y}(x_{1},0^{+}) = U_{y}(x_{1},0^{-})$$

$$\Rightarrow B_{1}(\alpha) = \frac{n_{4} - n_{1}}{n_{4} - n_{3}} B_{1}(\alpha) + \frac{n_{4} - n_{2}}{n_{4} - n_{3}} B_{2}(\alpha)$$

$$B_{2}(\alpha) = \frac{n_{1} - n_{3}}{n_{4} - n_{3}} B_{1}(\alpha) + \frac{n_{1} - n_{2}}{n_{4} - n_{3}} B_{2}(\alpha)$$

The general forms of the stress functions used to generate the stress components due to each problem have been obtained. Next, formulate the stresses for the infinite plate with a crack by differentiating the stress function $U_{y}$ ($B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$ are still functions of $\alpha$):

$$\sigma_{y_{1}y_{1}}^{(U_{y})}(x_{1},y_{1}^{+}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ n_{1}^{2} B_{1} e^{n_{1}y_{1}} + n_{2}^{2} B_{2} e^{n_{2}y_{1}} \right] e^{i\alpha y_{1}} d\alpha$$

$$\sigma_{y_{1}y_{1}}^{(U_{y})}(x_{1},y_{1}^{-}) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ \alpha^{2} \left( B_{1} e^{n_{1}y_{1}} + B_{2} e^{n_{2}y_{1}} \right) e^{i\alpha y_{1}} \right] e^{i\alpha y_{1}} d\alpha$$

$$\sigma_{y_{1}y_{1}}^{(U_{y})}(x_{1},y_{1}^{+}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ n_{1}^{2} (w_{1} B_{1} + w_{2} B_{2}) e^{n_{1}y_{1}} + n_{1}^{2} (w_{3} B_{1} + w_{4} B_{2}) e^{n_{2}y_{1}} \right] e^{i\alpha y_{1}} d\alpha$$

$$\sigma_{y_{1}y_{1}}^{(U_{y})}(x_{1},y_{1}^{-}) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ \alpha^{2} \left( (w_{1} B_{1} + w_{2} B_{2}) e^{n_{1}y_{1}} + (w_{3} B_{1} + w_{4} B_{2}) e^{n_{2}y_{1}} \right) e^{i\alpha y_{1}} \right] e^{i\alpha y_{1}} d\alpha$$

$$w_{1} = \frac{n_{1} - n_{2}}{n_{1} - n_{3}}; w_{2} = \frac{n_{2} - n_{4}}{n_{1} - n_{3}}; w_{3} = \frac{n_{3} - n_{1}}{n_{3} - n_{4}}; w_{4} = \frac{n_{3} - n_{2}}{n_{3} - n_{4}}$$

The singular integral equations for this class of problems are formulated in terms of two auxiliary functions:

$$f_{1}(x_{1}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}} \left[ u_{1}(x_{1},0^{+}) - u_{1}(x_{1},0^{-}) \right]$$

$$f_{2}(x_{1}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}} \left[ v_{1}(x_{1},0^{+}) - v_{1}(x_{1},0^{-}) \right]$$

Notice that the auxiliary functions are valid for any $x_{1}$, but are nonzero only within the crack $(a, b)$.

Using Hooke's law for the stresses given by (23), local strains and displacements can be calculated. For example,
\[
\begin{align*}
\varepsilon_{v_1}(x_1, y_1^-) &= \frac{1}{8\mu_1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{(\kappa_2 + 1)}{2\pi} \left( n_1^2 B_1 e^{n_1 y_1^-} + n_2^2 B_2 e^{n_2 y_1^-} \right) ight. \\
&\quad - \alpha^2 (\kappa_2 - 3)(B_1 e^{n_1 y_1^-} + B_2 e^{n_2 y_1^-})] e^{i\alpha y} \, d\alpha \\
\varepsilon_{v_2}(x_1, y_1^-) &= \frac{1}{8\mu_2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{(\kappa_2 + 1)}{2\pi} \left( n_1^2 (w_1 B_1 + w_2 B_2) e^{n_1 y_1^-} + n_2^2 (w_3 B_1 + w_4 B_2) e^{n_2 y_1^-} \right) \\
&\quad - \alpha^2 (\kappa_2 - 3)((w_1 B_1 + w_2 B_2) e^{n_1 y_1^-} + (w_3 B_1 + w_4 B_2) e^{n_2 y_1^-})] e^{i\alpha y} \, d\alpha
\end{align*}
\] (25)

Using (25) and first equation of (24) it can be shown that

\[
8\mu_1 e^{B_1} f_1(x_1) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( \frac{(\kappa_2 + 1)}{2\pi} \left[ (n_1^2 - n_3) w_1 - n_4 w_3 \right] B_1 \\
+ (n_2^2 - n_3^2 w_2 - n_4^2 w_4) B_2 \right] e^{i\alpha y} \, d\alpha
\] (26)

The Fourier transform of (26) yields

\[
F_1(\alpha) = h_{11} B_1 + h_{12} B_2
\]

\[
h_{11} = \frac{\kappa_2 + 1}{8\mu_1} (n_1 - n_3)(n_1 - n_4)
\]

\[
h_{12} = \frac{\kappa_2 + 1}{8\mu_1} (n_2 - n_3)(n_2 - n_4)
\] (27)

\[
F_1(\alpha) = \int_{a}^{b} f_1(t) e^{iB_1} \, dt
\]

In order to determine \( \varepsilon_2(x_1) \), it is necessary to find \( v(x_1, y_1) \) by integrating the normal strains in the y direction:

\[
\varepsilon_1(x_1, y_1^-) = \frac{1}{8\mu_1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{(\kappa_2 - 3)}{2\pi} \left( \frac{n_1^2}{n_1 - \delta} B_1 e^{n_1 y_1^-} + \frac{n_2^2}{n_2 - \delta} B_2 e^{n_2 y_1^-} \right) e^{i\alpha y} \, d\alpha \\
- \alpha^2 \left( \frac{n_1}{n_1 - \delta} B_1 e^{n_1 y_1^-} + \frac{n_2}{n_2 - \delta} B_2 e^{n_2 y_1^-} \right) \right]
\] and

\[
\varepsilon_2(x_1, y_1^-) = \frac{1}{8\mu_2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{(\kappa_2 - 3)}{2\pi} \left( \frac{n_1^2}{n_1 - \delta} B_1 e^{n_1 y_1^-} + \frac{n_2^2}{n_2 - \delta} B_2 e^{n_2 y_1^-} \right) e^{i\alpha y} \, d\alpha \\
- \alpha^2 \left( \frac{n_1}{n_1 - \delta} B_1 e^{n_1 y_1^-} + \frac{n_2}{n_2 - \delta} B_2 e^{n_2 y_1^-} \right) \right]
\] (28)
The constant of integration can be set to zero due to the fact that the plate is fixed at the origin. Differentiating (28) and substituting into second equation of (24), then taking the Fourier transform yields

\[
F_2(\alpha) = h_{21}B_1 + h_{22}B_2
\]

\[
h_{21} = \frac{i\alpha - \beta}{8\mu_1}(\alpha^2(1 + \kappa_2) - \delta^2(\kappa_2 - 3))\left[\frac{(n_1 - n_3)(n_1 - n_4)}{(\delta - n_1)(\delta - n_3)(\delta - n_4)}\right]
\]

\[
h_{22} = \frac{i\alpha - \beta}{8\mu_1}(\alpha^2(1 + \kappa_2) - \delta^2(\kappa_2 - 3))\left[\frac{(n_2 - n_3)(n_2 - n_4)}{(\delta - n_2)(\delta - n_3)(\delta - n_4)}\right]
\]

(29)

\[
F_2(\alpha) = \int_a^b f_2(t)e^{i\beta - i\alpha u}dt
\]

Equations (27) and (29) can then be solved for \(B_1\) and \(B_2\):

\[
B_1 = \frac{F_1(\alpha)h_{22} - F_2(\alpha)h_{12}}{h_{11}h_{22} - h_{21}h_{12}}
\]

\[
B_2 = \frac{-F_1(\alpha)h_{21} + F_2(\alpha)h_{11}}{h_{11}h_{22} - h_{21}h_{12}}
\]

(30)

The stresses at any given point in the cracked FGM strip can be expressed by the sum of stresses obtained from the \(U_2\) and \(F_2\) Airy stress functions, namely:

\[
\sigma_y^{(1)}(x_1, y_1) = \sigma_y^{(U_2)}(x_1, y_1) + \sigma_y^{(F_2)}(x_1, y_1) \quad \text{for} \quad (i, j = x_1, y_1)
\]

These are expressed in \((x,y)\) or \((x_1, y_1)\) coordinates using the regular stress transformation:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\sigma_{xx} \\
\sigma_{yy} \\
\tau_{xy}
\end{bmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
m^2 & n^2 & -2mn \\
n^2 & m^2 & 2mn \\
2mn & -mn & m^2 - n^2
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\sigma_{x_1x_1} \\
\sigma_{y_1y_1} \\
\tau_{x_1y_1}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

(32)

\[
m = \cos(\theta); n = \sin(\theta)
\]

thus the stresses for the FGM are.
\[ \sigma_{xx}^{(2)}(x, y) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ \alpha^2 |D_1| + yD_2 \right] e^{i\alpha y} e^{i\alpha x} d\alpha \]
\[ + \cos(\theta)^2 \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ \alpha^2 |B_1| + yB_2 \right] e^{i\alpha y} e^{i\alpha x} d\alpha \]
\[ - \sin(\theta)^2 \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ \alpha^2 |B_1| + xB_2 \right] e^{i\alpha x} e^{i\alpha y} d\alpha \]
\[ + 2 \sin(\theta) \cos(\theta) \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} i\alpha [n_1 B_1 e^{n_1 y} + n_2 B_2 e^{n_2 y}] e^{i\alpha y} d\alpha \]
\[ \sigma_{yy}^{(2)}(x, y) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ \alpha^2 |A_1| e^{m_1 y} + A_2 e^{m_2 y} + A_3 e^{m_3 y} + A_4 e^{m_4 y} \right] e^{i\alpha y} d\alpha \]
\[ + \sin(\theta)^2 \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ \alpha^2 |B_1| e^{n_1 y} + n_2 B_2 e^{n_2 y} \right] e^{i\alpha y} d\alpha \]
\[ - \cos(\theta)^2 \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ \alpha^2 |B_1| e^{n_1 y} + B_2 e^{n_2 y} \right] e^{i\alpha y} d\alpha \]
\[ - 2 \sin(\theta) \cos(\theta) \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} i\alpha [n_1 B_1 e^{n_1 y} + n_2 B_2 e^{n_2 y}] e^{i\alpha y} d\alpha \]
\[ \tau_{xy}^{(2)}(x, y) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ \alpha^2 |A_1| e^{m_1 y} + A_2 e^{m_2 y} + A_3 e^{m_3 y} + A_4 e^{m_4 y} \right] e^{i\alpha y} d\alpha \]
\[ + \sin(\theta) \cos(\theta) \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ \alpha^2 |B_1| e^{n_1 y} + n_2 B_2 e^{n_2 y} \right] e^{i\alpha y} d\alpha \]
\[ + \sin(\theta) \cos(\theta) \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ \alpha^2 |B_1| e^{n_1 y} + B_2 e^{n_2 y} \right] e^{i\alpha y} d\alpha \]
\[ - (\cos(\theta)^2 - \sin(\theta)^2) \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} i\alpha [n_1 B_1 e^{n_1 y} + n_2 B_2 e^{n_2 y}] e^{i\alpha y} d\alpha \]

The stresses for Material 1 are

\[ \sigma_{xx}^{(1)}(x, y) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ \alpha^2 |D_1| + yD_2 \right] e^{i\alpha y} e^{i\alpha x} d\alpha \]
\[ \sigma_{yy}^{(1)}(x, y) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ \alpha^2 |D_1| + yD_2 \right] e^{i\alpha y} e^{i\alpha x} d\alpha \]
\[ \tau_{xy}^{(1)}(x, y) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} i\alpha |\alpha| (D_1 + yD_2) e^{i\alpha y} e^{i\alpha x} d\alpha \]

(33)
and for Material 3 are

\[
\sigma_{yy}^{(3)}(x, y) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ \alpha^2 (C_1 + yC_2) - 2|\alpha| C_2 \right] e^{-|\alpha|y} e^{i\alpha x} d\alpha
\]

\[
\sigma_{xy}^{(3)}(x, y) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ \alpha^2 (C_1 + yC_2) \right] e^{-|\alpha|y} e^{i\alpha x} d\alpha
\]

\[
\tau_{xy}^{(3)}(x, y) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} i\alpha \left[ |\alpha|(C_1 + yC_2) + C_2 \right] e^{-|\alpha|y} e^{i\alpha x} d\alpha
\]

From (33), (34) and (35), it can be seen that there are 10 constants, D_1, D_2, C_1, C_2, A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4, B_1 and B_2 (still are functions of \(\alpha\) in the Fourier space) which must be determined using 10 boundary conditions. There are eight stress and displacement continuity conditions:

\[
\sigma_{yy}^{(1)}(x, 0) = \sigma_{yy}^{(2)}(x, 0)
\]

\[
\sigma_{xy}^{(1)}(x, h) = \sigma_{xy}^{(2)}(x, h)
\]

\[
\tau_{xy}^{(1)}(x, 0) = \tau_{xy}^{(2)}(x, 0)
\]

\[
\tau_{xy}^{(1)}(x, h) = \tau_{xy}^{(2)}(x, h)
\]

\[
u^{(1)}(x, 0) = \nu^{(2)}(x, 0)
\]

\[
u^{(3)}(x, h) = \nu^{(2)}(x, h)
\]

\[
u^{(3)}(x, h) = \nu^{(2)}(x, h)
\]

From (36), the other constants can be expressed in terms of B_1 and B_2, which in turn are expressed in terms of the two unknown auxiliary functions. The remaining two boundary conditions come from the perturbation problem, namely,

\[
\sigma_{yy}^{(1)}(x_i, 0) = -p_1(x_i) \quad \text{for} \quad a < x_i < b
\]

\[
\tau_{xy}^{(1)}(x_i, 0) = -p_2(x_i) \quad \text{for} \quad a < x_i < b
\]

Here, \(p_1\) and \(p_2\) are the traction forces on the crack surfaces. D_1, D_2, C_1 and C_2 are found in terms of A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4, B_1 and B_2 by using the stress continuity conditions of (36). Further, by using the displacement continuity conditions of (36), the following linear system can be constructed:
where \( D_1, D_2, C_1, C_2, C_{11} \) through \( C_{44} \) and \( J_1 \) through \( J_4 \) are functions of \( \alpha \) derived using MATHEMATICA, see Shbeeb (1998).

The system of equations (38) can be solved for \( A_i, (i=1,...,4) \) in terms of the unknowns \( F_1(\alpha) \) and \( F_2(\alpha) \), which are components of \( J_i, (i=1..4) \) as follows:

\[
A_i = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{4} Q_{ij} J_j}{Q}, \quad i = 1...4
\]  

(39)

Here, \( Q \) is the determinant of the 4 by 4 coefficient matrix and the \( Q_{ij} \) are the corresponding 3 by 3 cofactors.

To make use of (37), the stresses of the FGM must be formulated in \((x_1, y_1)\) coordinates as

\[
\sigma^{(2)}_{y_1}(x_1, y_1) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \alpha^2 (B_1 e^{\alpha y_1} + B_2 e^{\alpha y_1}) e^{i\alpha \theta} d\alpha
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{n=1}^{4} (m_n \sin(\theta) + i\alpha \cos(\theta))^2 A_n e^{i\alpha y_1} \right) e^{i\alpha \theta} d\alpha
\]  

(40)

\[
\sigma^{(2)}_{x_1}(x_1, y_1) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} i\alpha n_1 B_1 e^{\alpha y_1} + n_2 B_2 e^{\alpha y_1}) e^{i\alpha \theta} d\alpha
\]

\[=-\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( (\alpha^2 + m_n^2) \sin(\theta) \cos(\theta) \right) + i\alpha m_n (\cos(\theta)^2 - \sin(\theta)^2) A_n e^{i\alpha \theta} d\alpha
\]  

(41)

Each term in (40) and (41) must be examined for singular behavior. Upon substitution of (30), (27) and (29) into (40), the first integral above can be written as follows:

\[
(\sigma^{(2)}_{y_1}(x_1, y_1))^{(i)} = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{b} f_1(t) e^{i\alpha y_1} k^{(i)}_{11}(x_1, t) dt - \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{b} f_2(t) e^{i\alpha y_1} k^{(ii)}_{11}(x_1, t) dt
\]  

(42)

where
\[ k^{(1)}_{12}(x_1, t) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \alpha^2 \frac{h_{11}e^{\alpha y_1} - h_{12}e^{\alpha y_1}}{h_{11}h_{22} - h_{12}h_{21}} e^{i\alpha(x_1-t)} d\alpha \] (43)

\[ k^{(2)}_{12}(x_1, t) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \alpha^2 \frac{h_{11}e^{\alpha y_1} - h_{12}e^{\alpha y_1}}{h_{11}h_{22} - h_{12}h_{21}} e^{i\alpha(x_1-t)} d\alpha \] (44)

Since the integrands are continuous functions of \( \alpha \) and vanish at \( \alpha = 0 \), then any singularity must occur as \( \alpha \) goes to infinity. The integrand of (43) vanishes as \( \alpha \to \pm \infty \), while that of (44) is as follows:

\[ \frac{h_{11}e^{\alpha y_1} - h_{12}e^{\alpha y_1}}{h_{11}h_{22} - h_{12}h_{21}} \to \left( \frac{h_{11} - h_{12}}{h_{11}h_{22} - h_{12}h_{21}} \right) e^{-|\alpha|y_1} \to \frac{2ie^{i\alpha y_1}}{\alpha^2(\kappa_2 + 1)} \quad \alpha \to \pm \infty \]

By subtracting and adding this asymptotic value from (44) and taking the limit as \( y_1 \) goes to zero, the following is produced:

\[ k^{(1)}_{12}(x_1, t) = \frac{1}{\pi} \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{0} \alpha^2 \left( \frac{h_{11} - h_{12}}{h_{11}h_{22} - h_{12}h_{21}} \right) e^{i\alpha y_1} d\alpha + \right. \]

\[ \left. \int_{0}^{\infty} \alpha^2 \left( \frac{h_{11} - h_{12}}{h_{11}h_{22} - h_{12}h_{21}} + \frac{2i}{\alpha^2(\kappa_2 + 1)} \right) e^{i\alpha(x_1-t)} d\alpha + \text{SIP} \right] \]

where

\[ \text{SIP} = \lim_{y_1 \to 0} \int_{-\infty}^{0} \frac{2ie^{i\alpha y_1}}{\alpha^2(\kappa_2 + 1)} e^{i\alpha(x_1-t)} d\alpha - \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{2ie^{-i\alpha y_1}}{\alpha^2(\kappa_2 + 1)} e^{i\alpha(x_1-t)} d\alpha \] (45)

Let \( \alpha' = \alpha \) in the first integral in (46) to obtain

\[ -\frac{4}{(\kappa_2 + 1)} \lim_{y_1 \to 0} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-i\alpha y_1} \sin(\alpha(t-x_1)) d\alpha = -\frac{4}{(\kappa_2 + 1)} \lim_{y_1 \to 0} \frac{t-x_1}{(t-x_1)^2 + y_1^2} \]

\[ = -\frac{4}{(\kappa_2 + 1)} \frac{1}{t-x_1} \] (47)

and

\[ \Rightarrow k^{(1)}_{12}(x_1, t) = \frac{1}{\pi} \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{0} \alpha^2 \left( \frac{h_{11} - h_{12}}{h_{11}h_{22} - h_{12}h_{21}} \right) e^{i\alpha y_1} d\alpha + \right. \]

\[ \left. \int_{0}^{\infty} \alpha^2 \left( \frac{h_{11} - h_{12}}{h_{11}h_{22} - h_{12}h_{21}} + \frac{2i}{\alpha^2(\kappa_2 + 1)} \right) e^{i\alpha(x_1-t)} d\alpha - \frac{4}{(\kappa_2 + 1)} \frac{1}{t-x_1} \right] \] (48)
Similarly, let \( \alpha' = -\alpha \) in (48). Note that every odd power of \( \alpha \) becomes negative.

\[
\Rightarrow k^{(1)}_{12}(x_1, t) = \frac{1}{\pi} \left[ \alpha^2 \left( \frac{H_{11} - H_{12}}{H_{11} H_{22} - H_{12} H_{21}} + \frac{h_{11} - h_{12}}{h_{11} h_{22} - h_{12} h_{21}} \right) \cos(\alpha(t - x_1)) 
+ i\alpha^2 \left( \frac{H_{11} - H_{12}}{H_{11} H_{22} - H_{12} H_{21}} + \frac{h_{11} - h_{12}}{h_{11} h_{22} - h_{12} h_{21}} \right) \sin(\alpha(t - x_1)) \right] dt
\]

(49)

The \( H_{ij} \) (\( i, j = 1, 2 \)) have the same forms as the \( h_{ij} \), with the only difference being in the sign of the odd powers of \( \alpha \) where they are negative. It is worth noting that:

\[
\frac{H_{11} - H_{12}}{H_{11} H_{22} - H_{12} H_{21}} = \text{conjugate} \left( \frac{h_{11} - h_{12}}{h_{11} h_{22} - h_{12} h_{21}} \right)
\]

(50)

Repeating the same procedure for (43) by splitting the integral in the same manner as in (48) and substituting the result along with (49) into (42) yields the following:

\[
\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \sigma^{(2)}_{xy}(x_1, y_1)^{(1)} = \frac{\mu_i e^{\beta_i}}{2} \left[ \frac{4}{\pi (\kappa_z + 1)} \int_{t-x}^{b} f_2(t) dt + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{a}^{b} f_1(t) K_{11}^{(1)}(x_1, t) dt \right]
+ \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{a}^{b} f_2(t) K_{12}^{(1)}(x_1, t) dt
\]

(51)

where

\[
K_{11}^{(1)}(x_1, t) = -\int_{0}^{\infty} \left[ \alpha^2 [X_{11} + \text{conj}(X_{11})] \cos(\alpha(t - x_1)) \right. 
+ i\alpha^2 [-X_{11} + \text{conj}(X_{11})] \sin(\alpha(t - x_1))] d\alpha
\]

\[
K_{12}^{(1)}(x_1, t) = -\int_{0}^{\infty} \left[ \alpha^2 [X_{12} + \text{conj}(X_{12})] \cos(\alpha(t - x_1)) \right. 
+ i\alpha^2 [-X_{12} + \text{conj}(X_{12})] - \frac{4i}{\alpha^2 (\kappa_z + 1)} \sin(\alpha(t - x_1))] d\alpha
\]

(52)

\[
X_{11} = \left( \frac{h_{22} - h_{21}}{h_{11} h_{22} - h_{12} h_{21}} \right)
\]

\[
X_{12} = \left( \frac{h_{11} - h_{12}}{h_{11} h_{22} - h_{12} h_{21}} \right)
\]

The same procedure can be repeated for the first part of the shear stress (41) to obtain
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\[
\lim_{y_1 \to 0} (\tau_{y_{1}}^{2}(x_1, y_1))^{(1)} = \frac{\mu_x e^{\beta_{y_1}}}{\pi} \left( \frac{4}{\kappa_2 h_2} \int_{a}^{b} f_1(t) \frac{K_{21}(x_1, t)}{t-x_1} dt + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{a}^{b} f_2(t) K_{22}^{(1)}(x_1, t) dt \right) 
\]

(53)

where

\[
K_{21}^{(1)}(x_1, t) = -\int_{0}^{\alpha} [i\alpha X_21 - \text{conj}(X_21)] \cos(\alpha(t-x_1)) d\alpha + \alpha [X_21 + \text{conj}(X_21)] + \frac{4i}{\alpha(\kappa_2 + 1)} \sin(\alpha(t-x_1)) d\alpha
\]

(54)

\[
K_{22}^{(1)}(x_1, t) = -\int_{0}^{\alpha} [i\alpha X_22 - \text{conj}(X_22)] \cos(\alpha(t-x_1)) d\alpha + \alpha [X_22 + \text{conj}(X_22)] \sin(\alpha(t-x_1)) d\alpha
\]

The examination of the remaining two parts of the stress equations (40) and (41) and application of the asymptotic expansion and the limit as \(y_1\) goes to zero yields the following terms:

\[
\lim_{y_1 \to 0} (\sigma_{y_{1}}^{(2)}(x_1, y_1))^{(1)} = \frac{\mu_x e^{\beta_{y_1}}}{\pi} \left( \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{a}^{b} f_1(t) K_{11}^{(2)}(x_1, t) dt + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{a}^{b} f_2(t) K_{12}^{(2)}(x_1, t) dt \right) 
\]

(55)

\[
\lim_{y_1 \to 0} (\sigma_{y_{1}}^{(2)}(x_1, y_1))^{(2)} = \frac{\mu_x e^{\beta_{y_1}}}{\pi} \left( \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{a}^{b} f_1(t) K_{11}^{(2)}(x_1, t) dt + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{a}^{b} f_2(t) K_{12}^{(2)}(x_1, t) dt \right) 
\]

(56)

where
where \( Y_{ij}, a_{ij}, b_{ij}, c_{ij} \) can be found in Shbeeb (1998).
By substituting these expressions into (40) and (41), the final system of singular equation is formulated:

\[
\frac{\kappa_2 + 1}{2\mu_1} e^{-\beta_1 x_2} (-p_2(x_2)) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_a^b f_1(t) K_{11}(x_1,t) dt + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_a^b f_2(t) K_{12}(x_1,t) dt
\]

\[
\frac{\kappa_2 + 1}{2\mu_1} e^{-\beta_1 x_2} (-p_2(x_2)) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_a^b f_1(t) K_{21}(x_1,t) dt + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_a^b f_2(t) K_{22}(x_1,t) dt
\]

(59)

where,

\[
K_{11}(x_1,t) = \frac{\kappa_2 + 1}{4} (K_{11}^{(1)}(x_1,t) + K_{11}^{(2)}(x_1,t))
\]

\[
K_{12}(x_1,t) = \frac{\kappa_2 + 1}{4} (K_{12}^{(1)}(x_1,t) + K_{12}^{(2)}(x_1,t))
\]

\[
K_{21}(x_1,t) = \frac{\kappa_2 + 1}{4} (K_{21}^{(1)}(x_1,t) + K_{21}^{(2)}(x_1,t))
\]

\[
K_{22}(x_1,t) = \frac{\kappa_2 + 1}{4} (K_{22}^{(1)}(x_1,t) + K_{22}^{(2)}(x_1,t))
\]

3. Solution of Singular Integral Equations

The singular integral equations (59) with the Cauchy kernels are solved for the unknown auxiliary functions, \(f_1(t)\) and \(f_2(t)\), by transforming them into a system of linear algebraic equations. In order to obtain unique results, the following conditions need to be incorporated with the solution:

\[
\int_a^b f_i(t) dt = 0 \quad i = 1, 2
\]

(60)

The singular integral equation (59) can be solved using Gaussian quadrature. For example, using Lobatto-Chebyshev collocation as described in Theocaris and Ioakimidis (1977), we obtain the system of algebraic equations in terms of discrete unknowns \(g(t_k)\) in the following form:

\[
\sum_{j=1}^n b_j \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{g_j(t_k)w_k}{t_k - x_p} + \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n k_{ij}(x_p,t_k)g_j(t_k)w_k + R_p(x_p) = f_i(x_p)
\]

(61)

where \(p=1,\ldots,n\), the \(w_k\) are the weights, the abscissas \(t_k\) are the roots of the related orthogonal polynomial, and \(R_p\) is the error. The abscissas are
\[ t_k = \cos\left(\frac{(k - 1)\pi}{n - 1}\right) \quad k = 1, \ldots, n. \]  

(62)

The corresponding weights are

\[ w_i = w_{n-i} = \frac{\pi}{2(n-1)} \quad w_r = \frac{\pi}{n-1} \quad r = 2, \ldots, n-1. \]  

(63)

The collocation points are

\[ x_p = \cos\left(\frac{(2p-1)\pi}{2n-2}\right) \quad p = 1, \ldots, n-1. \]  

(64)

Two additional equations are needed, which are generated using (60) in the following form:

\[ \sum_{k=1}^{n} g_1(s_k)w_k = 0 \]  

(65)

\[ \sum_{k=1}^{n} g_2(s_k)w_k = 0 \]  

(66)

By combining (61), (65) and (66), the system of equations can be represented as follows:

\[ [A]_{2n \times 2n} \{g\}_{2n} = \{P\}_{2n} \]  

(67)

This system can be solved by any standard method. Formally, the unknowns are

\[ \{g\} = [A]^{-1}\{P\} \]  

(68)

Finally, the goal is to obtain the SIF in terms of \(g_1(t)\) and \(g_2(t)\). The SIF are defined as follows:

\[ k_1(a) = \lim_{x_1 \to a} \sqrt{2(a-x)\sigma_{y_1y_1}}(x_1,0) \]

\[ k_1(b) = \lim_{x_1 \to b} \sqrt{2(x_1-b)\sigma_{y_1y_1}}(x_1,0) \]

\[ k_2(a) = \lim_{x_1 \to a} \sqrt{2(a-x)\tau_{y_1y_1}}(x_1,0) \]

\[ k_2(b) = \lim_{x_1 \to b} \sqrt{2(x_1-b)\tau_{y_1y_1}}(x_1,0) \]  

(69)
From the principal part the expressions for $g_1(t)$ and $g_2(t)$, Muskhelishvili (1953), the following is obtained for $k_1(a)$:

$$k_1(a) = \frac{2\sqrt{2} \mu_1}{(\kappa_2 + 1)\sqrt{b-a}} e^{\beta_1} g_2(a)$$

(70)

Similarly,

$$k_1(b) = \frac{2\sqrt{2} \mu_1}{(\kappa_2 + 1)\sqrt{b-a}} e^{\beta_1} g_2(b)$$

(71)

$$k_2(a) = \frac{2\sqrt{2} \mu_1}{(\kappa_2 + 1)\sqrt{b-a}} e^{\beta_1} g_1(a)$$

(72)

$$k_2(b) = \frac{2\sqrt{2} \mu_1}{(\kappa_2 + 1)\sqrt{b-a}} e^{\beta_1} g_1(b)$$

(73)

Note that $a = -1$ and $b = 1$ when solving (68).

The strain energy release rate (SERR) can be calculated from Erdogan and Konda (1994). They are

$$G_1(a) = \frac{\pi (\kappa_2 + 1)}{8 \mu_1(a,0)} k_1(a)^2$$

$$G_1(b) = \frac{\pi (\kappa_2 + 1)}{8 \mu_1(b,0)} k_1(b)^2$$

$$G_2(a) = \frac{\pi (\kappa_2 + 1)}{8 \mu_2(a,0)} k_2(a)^2$$

(74)

$$G_2(b) = \frac{\pi (\kappa_2 + 1)}{8 \mu_2(b,0)} k_2(b)^2$$

where $G_1$ is the opening mode SERR and $G_2$ is the sliding mode SERR. The total SERR is expressed as

$$G_T(a) = \frac{\pi (\kappa_2 + 1)}{8 \mu_1(a,0)} (k_1(a)^2 + k_2(a)^2)$$

$$G_T(b) = \frac{\pi (\kappa_2 + 1)}{8 \mu_2(b,0)} (k_1(b)^2 + k_2(b)^2)$$

(75)

The verification of the solution above is accomplished by comparing the results of this model with that of Erdogan and Konda (1994). In this model, $h$ is set to $\infty$ to
simulate an infinite FGM plate, with various values of $\gamma_c$. The two models give virtually identical results, as seen in Table 1. For $\gamma=0$ (the homogeneous case), the singular integral equations can be reduced to a closed form solution (see for example Tada et. al. (1973)) producing SIF proportional to normal and shear tractions applied on the crack surface.

4. Parametric Studies

The focus of the following parametric study is limited to investigating the influence of the material properties of the half planes, crack length and orientation, and thickness of the FGM interface on the resulting driving force as measured by the SIF and SERR. To accomplish this, the normalized nonhomogeneity constant $\gamma_h = \ln(\mu_3/\mu_1)$ is defined using (3). The range of the constant is assumed to be between $-3$ and $3$, which includes all known engineering materials. A negative $\gamma_h$ represents a problem where the bottom half plane is stiffer than the upper half plane. A positive $\gamma_h$ represents a problem where the upper half plane is stiffer than the lower half plane. Hence, if the shear moduli of all three phases are normalized with respect to $\mu_1$, the equivalent variation of the shear modulus of the upper half plane takes values of approximately 0.05 to 20 times the lower half plane shear modulus.

In this study, all the cases were considered under plane stress conditions with Poisson’s ratio = 0.3 and the materials were subjected to far field normal stress in the y direction. The length of the crack is chosen to be $2c$, and the thickness of the interface is $h$. All geometrical dimensions are normalized with respect to $c$ or $h$. Results are presented for the normalized mode-I and mode-II SIF, i.e., $k_1/k_0$ and $k_2/k_0$, and normalized SERR, i.e., $G_1/G_0$ and $G_2/G_0$, where $k_0 = \sigma_{y_0} (c)^{1/2}$ and $G_0 = k_0 \pi (\kappa+1)/8\mu_1$.

In the first study, consider the influence of the thickness of the interface $h/c$ and non-homogeneity constant $\gamma_h = \ln(\mu_3/\mu_1)$ on a crack inclined at 30 degrees such that the center of the crack is always kept in the middle of the interface. The distances $a$ and $b$ from the crack tips are the same from the lower and upper half plane, respectively.

Figures 3 and 4 show mode I and II of the normalized SIF at crack tip a versus the non-homogeneity constant $\ln(\mu_3/\mu_1)$. Observe that as $\ln(\mu_3/\mu_1)$ increases, both $k_1$ and $k_2$ decrease. The strongest effect is observed for the smallest thickness of the interface, while $h/c = 100$ may be considered as an infinite FGM plate, for which the SIF are virtually constant. When $\ln(\mu_3/\mu_1) = 0$, the plate is homogeneous, so the influence of the thickness of the interface disappears and the SIF become the same as for the infinite FGM plate.

Figures 5 and 6 represent mode I and II normalized SIF at crack tip b versus $\ln(\mu_3/\mu_1)$. The SIF curves increase with increasing $\ln(\mu_3/\mu_1)$, which is different from the behavior at tip a, except for the case of the infinite FGM plate. In addition to this behavior, the magnitude of the SIF tends to be higher at crack tip b than at tip a, especially for extreme values of $\ln(\mu_3/\mu_1)$.

Modes I and II of SERR are shown in Figure 7 and 8 for crack tip a and in Figure 9 and 10 for crack tip b. Notice that at both tips, SERR are decreasing with increasing stiffness of the upper half plane. Specifically, SERR at crack tip b behaves differently from the SIF at this tip. The behavior of SERR is more physically intuitive than the
unexpected behavior of the SIF. It should be recalled that the SERR is calculated using
SIF and local material properties, so it contains more information than the SIF. For this
reason the remaining parametric studies are discussed using only SERR data.

The influence of the orientation angle $\theta$ on the relation between SERR and the non-
homogeneity constant at crack tip a for the case of the interface thickness $h/c=2$ under
uniform shear stress at infinity is shown in Figures 11 and 12. As expected, the highest
mode-I SERR is obtained for the smallest angle because of the high normal traction
component acting on the surface of the crack. It can also be expected that the highest
magnitude mode-II SERR is produced for $\theta=45$ degrees, since the shear traction
component is maximized then. The behavior of the SERR at crack tip b is similar to the
behavior at crack tip a, as can be deduced by comparison of Figures 7 and 9, and of 8 and
10. Hence, the magnitudes of the mode-I SERR at crack tip b are higher than at crack tip
a, while the magnitudes of the mode-II SERR are smaller at crack tip b. In fact, the total
SERR at crack tip b is equal to the total SERR at crack tip a.

In the next study, assume that the crack orientation is 30 degrees from the horizontal,
and the thickness of the interface is $h=1$. Crack tip a is fixed at the distance $a/h = 0.1$
from the origin while crack tip b is at distance equal to $b/h= 0.3, 0.7$ and 1.1 along the $x_1$
axis, making the half of the crack length $c/h = 0.1, 0.3$ and 0.5, respectively. Modes I and
II normalized SERR at crack tip b are shown in Figures 13 and 14, respectively. Notice
that the crack length significantly changes both SERR modes in the case of negative non-
homogeneity constant. For the case where the upper half plane is stiffer than the lower
half plane, the longer crack produces smaller normalized SERR.

Finally, assume constant crack length, constant orientation at 30 degrees and
constant thickness of the interface FGM $h/c = 2$, and examine the influence of the
position of the crack along the $x_1$ axis. Figures 15 and 16 show modes I and II normalized
SERR at crack tip a versus the non-homogeneity constant for the crack defined by tip
positions varying from 0.2 to 1.6 from the origin. Notice that the largest modes I and II
SERR are obtained when $a/c = 1.6$ for negative non-homogeneity constant and when $a/c
= 0.2$ for positive $\ln(\mu_2/\mu_1)$. Hence, the closer the crack tip is embedded to the stiffer
material, the smaller the normalized SERR.

5. Conclusions

The analysis of an arbitrarily oriented crack in a strip of FGM sandwiched between
two isotropic homogeneous half planes is done using singular integral equations. The
equations are solved using Lobatto-Chebyshev integration, and give accurate results for
mix-mode SIF and SERR.

Parametric studies show that SERR contain relevant information that is missing in
the SIF, and therefore it is recommended that SERR be used as a driving force parameter
for fracture problems of a crack in FGM. The model has shown that SERR are sensitive
to the ratio of the shear moduli used as non-homogeneity constant. They are also
sensitive to the ratio of thickness to the crack length. The longer the crack or the thinner
the interface, the larger the SERR produced for negative values of $\ln(\mu_2/\mu_1)$, and the
smaller the SERR produced for positive values of $\ln(\mu_2/\mu_1)$. 
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The crack orientation influence shows that SERR is proportional to the traction forces at the crack surface assumed in the perturbation problem. As the lower half plane becomes stiffer ($\ln(\mu_2/\mu_1)$ becomes more negative), both modes of the SERR become larger for every crack orientation. Clearly, the proper selection of the FGM parameters can reduce the driving forces of a crack embedded in the interface material.
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Table I Verification of the Solution.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>γc</th>
<th>Konda and Erdogan (1994) $k_1(a)/\sqrt{c}$</th>
<th>Present Study $k_1(a)/\sqrt{c}$</th>
<th>Konda and Erdogan (1994) $k_2(a)/\sqrt{c}$</th>
<th>Present Study $k_2(a)/\sqrt{c}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>1.036</td>
<td>1.036</td>
<td>0.065</td>
<td>0.062</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>1.101</td>
<td>1.101</td>
<td>0.129</td>
<td>0.122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.258</td>
<td>1.260</td>
<td>0.263</td>
<td>0.243</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$c=(b-a)/2$
APPENDIX A

EXPRESSIONS OF THE CONSTANTS

It should be pointed out that all the algebraic manipulation were either verified or done by MATHEMATICA®.

\[ D_1 = A_1 + A_2 + A_3 + A_4 + g_1 B'_1 + g_2 B'_2 \]

\[ D_2 = (m_1 - |\alpha|)A_1 + (m_2 - |\alpha|)A_2 + (m_3 - |\alpha|)A_3 + (m_4 - |\alpha|)A_4 \]

\[ -(|\alpha|g_1 - \frac{ig_1}{\alpha})B'_1 - (|\alpha|g_2 - \frac{ig_2}{\alpha})B'_2 \]

\[ C_1 = e^{i\alpha h} [(1 - h(m_1 + |\alpha|))A_1 e^{m_1 h} + (1 - h(m_2 + |\alpha|))A_2 e^{m_2 h} \]

\[ + (1 - h(m_3 + |\alpha|))A_3 e^{m_3 h} + (1 - h(m_4 + |\alpha|))A_4 e^{m_4 h} \]

\[ + e^{i\theta \tan(\theta)} [(g_1 (1 - h|\alpha|) - \frac{ihg_1}{\alpha})B'_1 e^{cn_1 h \sec(\theta)} + (g_2 (1 - h|\alpha|) - \frac{ihg_2}{\alpha})B'_2 e^{cn_2 h \sec(\theta)}] \]

\[ C_2 = e^{i\alpha h} [(m_1 + |\alpha|)A_1 e^{m_1 h} + (m_2 + |\alpha|)A_2 e^{m_2 h} + (m_3 + |\alpha|)A_3 e^{m_3 h} \]

\[ + (m_4 + |\alpha|)A_4 e^{m_4 h} + e^{i\theta \tan(\theta)} [(|\alpha|g_1 + \frac{ig_1}{\alpha})B'_1 e^{cn_1 h \sec(\theta)} \]

\[ + (|\alpha|g_2 + \frac{ig_2}{\alpha})B'_2 e^{cn_2 h \sec(\theta)}] \]

\[ g_1 = -\frac{\cos(\theta)}{\alpha^2} (cn_1 - i\alpha \cos(\theta))^2 \]

\[ g_2 = -\frac{\cos(\theta)}{\alpha^2} (cn_2 - i\alpha \cos(\theta))^2 \]

\[ g_3 = \cos(\theta)^2 (\alpha \sin(\theta) - i cn_1)(\alpha \cos(\theta) + i cn_1 \sin(\theta)) \]

\[ g_4 = \cos(\theta)^2 (\alpha \sin(\theta) - i cn_2)(\alpha \cos(\theta) + i cn_2 \sin(\theta)) \]
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\[ cn_1 = \frac{1}{2} \left( \delta + \beta \sqrt{\frac{3 - \kappa_2}{\kappa_2 + 1}} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\left( \delta + \beta \sqrt{\frac{3 - \kappa_2}{\kappa_2 + 1}} \right)^2 + 4((\alpha \cos(\theta))^2 + i\alpha \cos(\theta) \left( \beta - \delta \sqrt{\frac{3 - \kappa_2}{\kappa_2 + 1}} \right) + 1} \]

\[ cn_2 = \frac{1}{2} \left( \delta - \beta \sqrt{\frac{3 - \kappa_2}{\kappa_2 + 1}} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\left( \delta - \beta \sqrt{\frac{3 - \kappa_2}{\kappa_2 + 1}} \right)^2 + 4((\alpha \cos(\theta))^2 + i\alpha \cos(\theta) \left( \beta + \delta \sqrt{\frac{3 - \kappa_2}{\kappa_2 + 1}} \right) + 1} \]

\[ cn_3 = \frac{1}{2} \left( \delta + \beta \sqrt{\frac{3 - \kappa_2}{\kappa_2 + 1}} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\left( \delta + \beta \sqrt{\frac{3 - \kappa_2}{\kappa_2 + 1}} \right)^2 + 4((\alpha \cos(\theta))^2 + i\alpha \cos(\theta) \left( \beta - \delta \sqrt{\frac{3 - \kappa_2}{\kappa_2 + 1}} \right) + 1} \]

\[ cn_4 = \frac{1}{2} \left( \delta - \beta \sqrt{\frac{3 - \kappa_2}{\kappa_2 + 1}} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\left( \delta - \beta \sqrt{\frac{3 - \kappa_2}{\kappa_2 + 1}} \right)^2 + 4((\alpha \cos(\theta))^2 + i\alpha \cos(\theta) \left( \beta + \delta \sqrt{\frac{3 - \kappa_2}{\kappa_2 + 1}} \right) + 1} \]

\[ B'_1 = \frac{F_1(\alpha \cos(\theta))ch_{22} - F_2(\alpha \cos(\theta))ch_{12}}{ch_{11}ch_{22} - ch_{12}ch_{11}} \]

\[ B'_2 = -\frac{F_1(\alpha \cos(\theta))ch_{21} + F_2(\alpha \cos(\theta))ch_{11}}{ch_{11}ch_{22} - ch_{12}ch_{11}} \]

\[ ch_{11} = \frac{\kappa_2 + 1}{8\mu_0} (cn_1 - cn_3)(cn_1 - cn_4) \]

\[ ch_{12} = \frac{\kappa_2 + 1}{8\mu_0} (cn_2 - cn_3)(cn_2 - cn_4) \]

\[ ch_{21} = \frac{i\alpha \cos(\theta) - \beta}{8\mu_0} ((\alpha \cos(\theta))^2 (1 + \kappa_2) - \delta^2 (\kappa_2^2 - 3)) \frac{(cn_1 - cn_3)(cn_1 - cn_4)}{(\delta - cn_1)(\delta - cn_3)(\delta - cn_4)} \]

\[ ch_{22} = \frac{i\alpha \cos(\theta) - \beta}{8\mu_0} ((\alpha \cos(\theta))^2 (1 + \kappa_2) - \delta^2 (\kappa_2^2 - 3)) \frac{(cn_2 - cn_3)(cn_2 - cn_4)}{(\delta - cn_2)(\delta - cn_3)(\delta - cn_4)} \]
\[ C_{11} = \frac{1}{\alpha} m_1(\kappa_1 + 1) + \alpha(\kappa_2 - 2\kappa_1 - 1) - \frac{m_1^2(\kappa_1 + 1)}{\alpha} \]

\[ C_{12} = \frac{1}{\alpha} m_2(\kappa_1 + 1) + \alpha(\kappa_2 - 2\kappa_1 - 1) - \frac{m_2^2(\kappa_2 + 1)}{\alpha} \]

\[ C_{13} = \frac{1}{\alpha} m_3(\kappa_1 + 1) + \alpha(\kappa_2 - 2\kappa_1 - 1) - \frac{m_3^2(\kappa_1 + 1)}{\alpha} \]

\[ C_{14} = \frac{1}{\alpha} m_4(\kappa_1 + 1) + \alpha(\kappa_2 - 2\kappa_1 - 1) - \frac{m_4^2(\kappa_2 + 1)}{\alpha} \]

\[ C_{21} = 2m_1(\kappa_1 - 1) - 2[\alpha(\kappa_1 + 1) - \frac{(\kappa_2 - 3)m_1^2 - \alpha^2(\kappa_2 + 1)}{m_1 - \gamma}] \]

\[ C_{22} = 2m_2(\kappa_1 - 1) - 2[\alpha(\kappa_1 + 1) - \frac{(\kappa_2 - 3)m_2^2 - \alpha^2(\kappa_2 + 1)}{m_2 - \gamma}] \]

\[ C_{23} = 2m_3(\kappa_1 - 1) - 2[\alpha(\kappa_1 + 1) - \frac{(\kappa_2 - 3)m_3^2 - \alpha^2(\kappa_2 + 1)}{m_3 - \gamma}] \]

\[ C_{24} = 2m_4(\kappa_1 - 1) - 2[\alpha(\kappa_1 + 1) - \frac{(\kappa_2 - 3)m_4^2 - \alpha^2(\kappa_2 + 1)}{m_4 - \gamma}] \]

\[ C_{31} = [(2\alpha(1 - \kappa_1) - 2[\alpha m_1(1 + \kappa_1)) + \alpha(\kappa_2 - 3) - \frac{m_1^2(\kappa_1 + 1)}{\alpha}]e^{m_1b} \]

\[ C_{32} = [(2\alpha(1 - \kappa_1) - 2[\alpha m_2(1 + \kappa_1)) + \alpha(\kappa_2 - 3) - \frac{m_2^2(\kappa_1 + 1)}{\alpha}]e^{m_2b} \]

\[ C_{33} = [(2\alpha(1 - \kappa_1) - 2[\alpha m_3(1 + \kappa_1)) + \alpha(\kappa_2 - 3) - \frac{m_3^2(\kappa_1 + 1)}{\alpha}]e^{m_3b} \]

\[ C_{34} = [(2\alpha(1 - \kappa_1) - 2[\alpha m_4(1 + \kappa_1)) + \alpha(\kappa_2 - 3) - \frac{m_4^2(\kappa_1 + 1)}{\alpha}]e^{m_4b} \]

\[ C_{41} = [(4\alpha + 2(\kappa_3 - 1)(m_1 + \alpha)) - \frac{(\kappa_2 - 1)m_1^2 - \alpha^2(\kappa_2 + 1)}{m_1 - \gamma}]e^{m_1b} \]

\[ C_{42} = [(4\alpha + 2(\kappa_3 - 1)(m_2 + \alpha)) - \frac{(\kappa_2 - 1)m_2^2 - \alpha^2(\kappa_2 + 1)}{m_2 - \gamma}]e^{m_2b} \]

\[ C_{43} = [(4\alpha + 2(\kappa_3 - 1)(m_3 + \alpha)) - \frac{(\kappa_2 - 1)m_3^2 - \alpha^2(\kappa_2 + 1)}{m_3 - \gamma}]e^{m_3b} \]

\[ C_{44} = [(4\alpha + 2(\kappa_3 - 1)(m_4 + \alpha)) - \frac{(\kappa_2 - 1)m_4^2 - \alpha^2(\kappa_2 + 1)}{m_4 - \gamma}]e^{m_4b} \]
\[ \begin{aligned}
J_1 &= S_1 \int_a^b f_1(t)e^{\beta t}e^{-i\alpha \cos(\theta)}dt + R_1 \int_a^b f_2(t)e^{\beta t}e^{-i\alpha \cos(\theta)}dt \\
S_1 &= \frac{g_s c_{12} - g_s c_{21}}{ch_{12}c_{21} - ch_{21}c_{12}} + \frac{2\alpha(1 - \kappa_s)(g_s c_{21} - g_s c_{12})}{ch_{12}c_{21} - ch_{21}c_{12}} + \frac{2i(\kappa_s + 1)(g_s c_{21} - g_s c_{12})}{|\alpha|(ch_{12}c_{21} - ch_{21}c_{12})} \\
R_1 &= \frac{g_s c_{12} - g_s c_{21}}{ch_{12}c_{21} - ch_{21}c_{12}} + \frac{2\alpha(1 - \kappa_s)(g_s c_{21} - g_s c_{12})}{ch_{12}c_{21} - ch_{21}c_{12}} + \frac{2i(\kappa_s + 1)(g_s c_{21} - g_s c_{12})}{|\alpha|(ch_{12}c_{21} - ch_{21}c_{12})} \\
J_2 &= S_2 \int_a^b f_1(t)e^{\beta t}e^{-i\alpha \cos(\theta)}dt + R_2 \int_a^b f_2(t)e^{\beta t}e^{-i\alpha \cos(\theta)}dt \\
S_2 &= \frac{g_s c_{21} - g_s c_{12}}{ch_{12}c_{21} - ch_{21}c_{12}} + \frac{2\alpha(1 + \kappa_s)(g_s c_{12} - g_s c_{21})}{ch_{12}c_{21} - ch_{21}c_{12}} + \frac{2i(\kappa_s - 1)(g_s c_{12} - g_s c_{21})}{|\alpha|(ch_{12}c_{21} - ch_{21}c_{12})} \\
R_2 &= \frac{g_s c_{12} - g_s c_{21}}{ch_{12}c_{21} - ch_{21}c_{12}} + \frac{2\alpha(1 + \kappa_s)(g_s c_{12} - g_s c_{21})}{ch_{12}c_{21} - ch_{21}c_{12}} + \frac{2i(\kappa_s - 1)(g_s c_{12} - g_s c_{21})}{|\alpha|(ch_{12}c_{21} - ch_{21}c_{12})} \\
J_3 &= S_3 \int_a^b f_1(t)e^{\beta t}e^{-i\alpha \cos(\theta)}dt + R_3 \int_a^b f_2(t)e^{\beta t}e^{-i\alpha \cos(\theta)}dt \\
S_3 &= e^{i(\alpha t - \beta t)} \left[ \frac{g_s c_{12}e^{(\alpha t - \beta t)\sec(\theta)} - g_s c_{21}e^{(\alpha t - \beta t)\sec(\theta)}}{ch_{12}c_{21} - ch_{21}c_{12}} + \frac{\mu_1 e^{\beta t}}{\mu_3} \right] \\
&\quad \times \left[ \frac{2\alpha(1 - \kappa_s)(g_s c_{21}e^{(\alpha t - \beta t)\sec(\theta)} - g_s c_{12}e^{(\alpha t - \beta t)\sec(\theta)})}{|\alpha|(ch_{12}c_{21} - ch_{21}c_{12})} \right] \\
R_3 &= e^{i(\alpha t - \beta t)} \left[ \frac{g_s c_{21}e^{(\alpha t - \beta t)\sec(\theta)} - g_s c_{12}e^{(\alpha t - \beta t)\sec(\theta)}}{ch_{12}c_{21} - ch_{21}c_{12}} + \frac{\mu_1 e^{\beta t}}{\mu_3} \right] \\
&\quad \times \left[ \frac{2\alpha(1 - \kappa_s)(g_s c_{12}e^{(\alpha t - \beta t)\sec(\theta)} - g_s c_{21}e^{(\alpha t - \beta t)\sec(\theta)})}{|\alpha|(ch_{12}c_{21} - ch_{21}c_{12})} \right] \\
J_4 &= S_4 \int_a^b f_1(t)e^{\beta t}e^{-i\alpha \cos(\theta)}dt + R_4 \int_a^b f_2(t)e^{\beta t}e^{-i\alpha \cos(\theta)}dt \\
S_4 &= e^{i(\alpha t - \beta t)} \left[ \frac{g_s c_{12}e^{(\alpha t - \beta t)\sec(\theta)} - g_s c_{21}e^{(\alpha t - \beta t)\sec(\theta)}}{ch_{12}c_{21} - ch_{21}c_{12}} + \frac{\mu_1 e^{\beta t}}{\mu_3} \right] \\
&\quad \times \left[ \frac{2\alpha(1 + \kappa_s)(g_s c_{21}e^{(\alpha t - \beta t)\sec(\theta)} - g_s c_{12}e^{(\alpha t - \beta t)\sec(\theta)})}{|\alpha|(ch_{12}c_{21} - ch_{21}c_{12})} \right] \\
R_4 &= e^{i(\alpha t - \beta t)} \left[ \frac{g_s c_{21}e^{(\alpha t - \beta t)\sec(\theta)} - g_s c_{12}e^{(\alpha t - \beta t)\sec(\theta)}}{ch_{12}c_{21} - ch_{21}c_{12}} + \frac{\mu_1 e^{\beta t}}{\mu_3} \right] \\
&\quad \times \left[ \frac{2\alpha(1 + \kappa_s)(g_s c_{12}e^{(\alpha t - \beta t)\sec(\theta)} - g_s c_{21}e^{(\alpha t - \beta t)\sec(\theta)})}{|\alpha|(ch_{12}c_{21} - ch_{21}c_{12})} \right] \\
\end{aligned} \]
\[ g_5 = \cos(\theta)\left[ \frac{\alpha^2 \cos(\theta)^2 (\kappa_2 - 3) - cn_i^2 (\kappa_2 + 1)}{\alpha \cos(\theta) + i\beta} \cos(\theta) - \frac{\alpha^2 \cos(\theta)^2 (\kappa_2 + 1) - cn_i^2 (\kappa_2 - 3)}{i(\delta - cn_i)} \sin(\theta) \right] \]

\[ g_6 = \cos(\theta)\left[ \frac{\alpha^2 \cos(\theta)^2 (\kappa_2 - 3) - cn_i^2 (\kappa_2 + 1)}{\alpha \cos(\theta) + i\beta} \cos(\theta) - \frac{\alpha^2 \cos(\theta)^2 (\kappa_2 + 1) - cn_i^2 (\kappa_2 - 3)}{i(\delta - cn_i)} \sin(\theta) \right] \]

\[ g_7 = \cos(\theta)\left[ \frac{\alpha^2 \cos(\theta)^2 (\kappa_2 + 1) - cn_i^2 (\kappa_2 - 3)}{\delta - cn_i} \cos(\theta) + \frac{1}{\delta - cn_i} \frac{\alpha^2 \cos(\theta)^2 (\kappa_2 - 3) - cn_i^2 (\kappa_2 + 1)}{i(\delta - cn_i)} \sin(\theta) \right] \]

\[ g_8 = \cos(\theta)\left[ \frac{\alpha^2 \cos(\theta)^2 (\kappa_2 + 1) - cn_i^2 (\kappa_2 - 3)}{\delta - cn_i} \cos(\theta) + \frac{1}{\delta - cn_i} \frac{\alpha^2 \cos(\theta)^2 (\kappa_2 - 3) - cn_i^2 (\kappa_2 + 1)}{i(\delta - cn_i)} \sin(\theta) \right] \]

\[ Y_{11} = (i\alpha \cos(\theta) + m_1 \sin(\theta))^2 \left( \frac{Q_{11}}{Q} S_1 - \frac{Q_{12}}{Q} S_2 + \frac{Q_{13}}{Q} S_3 - \frac{Q_{14}}{Q} S_4 \right) e^{m_1 x_1 \sin(\theta)} \]

\[ + (i\alpha \cos(\theta) + m_2 \sin(\theta))^2 \left( -\frac{Q_{21}}{Q} S_1 + \frac{Q_{22}}{Q} S_2 - \frac{Q_{23}}{Q} S_3 + \frac{Q_{24}}{Q} S_4 \right) e^{m_2 x_1 \sin(\theta)} \]

\[ + (i\alpha \cos(\theta) + m_3 \sin(\theta))^2 \left( \frac{Q_{31}}{Q} S_1 - \frac{Q_{32}}{Q} S_2 + \frac{Q_{33}}{Q} S_3 - \frac{Q_{34}}{Q} S_4 \right) e^{m_3 x_1 \sin(\theta)} \]

\[ + (i\alpha \cos(\theta) + m_4 \sin(\theta))^2 \left( -\frac{Q_{41}}{Q} S_1 + \frac{Q_{42}}{Q} S_2 - \frac{Q_{43}}{Q} S_3 + \frac{Q_{44}}{Q} S_4 \right) e^{m_4 x_1 \sin(\theta)} \]

\[ Y_{12} = (i\alpha \cos(\theta) + m_1 \sin(\theta))^2 \left( \frac{Q_{11}}{Q} R_1 - \frac{Q_{12}}{Q} R_2 + \frac{Q_{13}}{Q} R_3 - \frac{Q_{14}}{Q} R_4 \right) e^{m_1 x_1 \sin(\theta)} \]

\[ + (i\alpha \cos(\theta) + m_2 \sin(\theta))^2 \left( -\frac{Q_{21}}{Q} R_1 + \frac{Q_{22}}{Q} R_2 - \frac{Q_{23}}{Q} R_3 + \frac{Q_{24}}{Q} R_4 \right) e^{m_2 x_1 \sin(\theta)} \]

\[ + (i\alpha \cos(\theta) + m_3 \sin(\theta))^2 \left( \frac{Q_{31}}{Q} R_1 - \frac{Q_{32}}{Q} R_2 + \frac{Q_{33}}{Q} R_3 - \frac{Q_{34}}{Q} R_4 \right) e^{m_3 x_1 \sin(\theta)} \]

\[ + (i\alpha \cos(\theta) + m_4 \sin(\theta))^2 \left( -\frac{Q_{41}}{Q} R_1 + \frac{Q_{42}}{Q} R_2 - \frac{Q_{43}}{Q} R_3 + \frac{Q_{44}}{Q} R_4 \right) e^{m_4 x_1 \sin(\theta)} \]
\[ Y_{21} = (\sin(\theta) \cos(\theta)(m_1^2 + \alpha^2) + \text{im}_1 \alpha(\cos(\theta)^2 - \sin(\theta)^2)(\frac{Q_{11}}{Q} S_1 - \frac{Q_{12}}{Q} S_2 + \frac{Q_{13}}{Q} S_3) \\
- \frac{Q_{14}}{Q} \), e^{m_{x_1} \sin(\theta)} \\
+ \sin(\theta) \cos(\theta)(m_2^2 + \alpha^2) + \text{im}_2 \alpha(\cos(\theta)^2 - \sin(\theta)^2)(\frac{Q_{21}}{Q} S_1 - \frac{Q_{22}}{Q} S_2 - \frac{Q_{23}}{Q} S_3) \\
+ \frac{Q_{24}}{Q} S_4) e^{m_{x_1} \sin(\theta)} \\
+ \sin(\theta) \cos(\theta)(m_3^2 + \alpha^2) + \text{im}_3 \alpha(\cos(\theta)^2 - \sin(\theta)^2)(\frac{Q_{31}}{Q} S_1 - \frac{Q_{32}}{Q} S_2 + \frac{Q_{33}}{Q} S_3) \\
- \frac{Q_{34}}{Q} S_4) e^{m_{x_1} \sin(\theta)} \\
+ \sin(\theta) \cos(\theta)(m_4^2 + \alpha^2) + \text{im}_4 \alpha(\cos(\theta)^2 - \sin(\theta)^2)(\frac{Q_{41}}{Q} S_1 + \frac{Q_{42}}{Q} S_2 - \frac{Q_{43}}{Q} S_3) \\
+ \frac{Q_{44}}{Q} S_4) e^{m_{x_1} \sin(\theta)} \]

\[ Y_{22} = (\sin(\theta) \cos(\theta)(m_1^2 + \alpha^2) + \text{im}_1 \alpha(\cos(\theta)^2 - \sin(\theta)^2)(\frac{Q_{11}}{Q} R_1 - \frac{Q_{12}}{Q} R_2 + \frac{Q_{13}}{Q} R_3) \\
- \frac{Q_{14}}{Q} R_4) e^{m_{x_1} \sin(\theta)} \\
+ \sin(\theta) \cos(\theta)(m_2^2 + \alpha^2) + \text{im}_2 \alpha(\cos(\theta)^2 - \sin(\theta)^2)(\frac{Q_{21}}{Q} R_1 + \frac{Q_{22}}{Q} R_2 - \frac{Q_{23}}{Q} R_3) \\
+ \frac{Q_{24}}{Q} R_4) e^{m_{x_1} \sin(\theta)} \\
+ \sin(\theta) \cos(\theta)(m_3^2 + \alpha^2) + \text{im}_3 \alpha(\cos(\theta)^2 - \sin(\theta)^2)(\frac{Q_{31}}{Q} R_1 - \frac{Q_{32}}{Q} R_2 + \frac{Q_{33}}{Q} R_3) \\
- \frac{Q_{34}}{Q} R_4) e^{m_{x_1} \sin(\theta)} \\
+ \sin(\theta) \cos(\theta)(m_4^2 + \alpha^2) + \text{im}_4 \alpha(\cos(\theta)^2 - \sin(\theta)^2)(\frac{Q_{41}}{Q} R_1 + \frac{Q_{42}}{Q} R_2 - \frac{Q_{43}}{Q} R_3) \\
+ \frac{Q_{44}}{Q} R_4) e^{m_{x_1} \sin(\theta)} \]
APPENDIX B

\[ a_{11} = \text{real } (i_{11}), \quad c_{11} = \text{real } (\text{const}i_{11}), \quad b_{11} = \text{imaginary } (i_{11}), \quad d_{11} = \text{imaginary } (\text{const}i_{11}) \]

\[ a_{12} = \text{real } (i_{12}), \quad c_{12} = \text{real } (\text{const}i_{12}), \quad b_{12} = \text{imaginary } (i_{12}), \quad d_{12} = \text{imaginary } (\text{const}i_{12}) \]

\[ a_{21} = -\text{real } (i_{21}), \quad c_{21} = -\text{real } (\text{const}i_{21}), \quad b_{21} = -\text{imaginary } (i_{21}), \quad d_{21} = -\text{imaginary } (\text{const}i_{21}) \]

\[ a_{22} = -\text{real } (i_{22}), \quad c_{22} = -\text{real } (\text{const}i_{22}), \quad b_{22} = -\text{imaginary } (i_{22}), \quad d_{22} = -\text{imaginary } (\text{const}i_{22}) \]

where

\[ l_{11} = \frac{21E^{\text{imag1}+11\theta} (-1 + E^{\text{imag1}}) (1 + E^{\text{imag1}}) \sigma \left( \frac{1}{1+e_2} \right)^{3/2} (\cos[\theta] + E^{i}\kappa_2)}{\gamma \sqrt{3 - \kappa_2}} \]

\[ \text{const}i_{11} = E^{\text{imag1}} \left( \gamma (\cos[\theta] + I \sin[\theta]) \sin[\theta] \left( 1 + \sqrt{\frac{3 - \kappa_2}{1 + \kappa_2}} \right) \right) \]

\[ \left( \frac{1}{1+e_2} \right)^{3/2} (\sin[\theta] + (I \cos[3 \theta] + \sin[\theta] + \sin[3 \theta]) \kappa_1 + (-1 \cos[\theta] + \sin[\theta]) \kappa_2) + \frac{I \left( \frac{1}{1+e_2} \right)^{3/2} (4 \cos[3 \theta] - 4 \cos[\theta] \sin[\theta]) \kappa_1 + 4 \cos[\theta] (-1 + \kappa_2) + 4 \cos[\theta] (2 + \kappa_2))}{4 \gamma \sqrt{3 - \kappa_2}} \]

\[ (\cos[\theta] + I \sin[\theta])^2 \left( \frac{1}{4 (1 + \kappa_1) (-3 + \kappa_2) (1 + \kappa_2)^2} \right) \]

\[ \left( I \sin[\theta] + (1 \cos[3 \theta] + \sin[\theta] + \sin[3 \theta]) \kappa_1 + (-1 \cos[\theta] + \sin[\theta]) \kappa_2 \right) \]

\[ \left( -6 - 3 \kappa_1 + \kappa_2 (-1 + \kappa_1 + \kappa_2) + \sqrt{3 - \kappa_2} (1 + \kappa_2) (-4 - 3 \kappa_1 - \kappa_2 - \kappa_1 \kappa_2 + \kappa_2^2) \right) + \frac{1}{16 (1 + \kappa_1) (-3 + \kappa_2) (1 + \kappa_2)^2} \]

\[ \left( 4 \cos[3 \theta] - 4 \cos[\theta] \sin[\theta] \kappa_1 + 4 \sin[\theta] (-1 + \kappa_2) + 4 \cos[\theta] (2 + \kappa_2) \right) \]

\[ \left( -3 \kappa_1 - \kappa_2 (-3 - \kappa_1 + \kappa_2) - \sqrt{3 - \kappa_2} (2 + 3 \kappa_1 + \kappa_2 (1 + \kappa_1 + \kappa_2)) \right) \]
\[
\begin{align*}
E^{\text{imag}} = & -\gamma (\cos[\theta] + i \sin[\theta]) \sin[\theta] \left( -1 + \sqrt{\frac{3 - \kappa_2}{1 + \kappa_2}} \right) \\
& + \sqrt{\frac{\frac{3}{1 + \nu_2}}{1 + \nu_2}} (\cos[\theta] + (i \cos[3 \theta] + \sin[\theta] + \sin[3 \theta]) \gamma_1 + (i \cos[\theta] + \sin[\theta]) \gamma_3) \\
& \frac{(-3 + \gamma_3)(1 + \gamma_3)}{\gamma (-3 + \gamma_3)(1 + \gamma_3)} + \\
& \frac{1}{4 \gamma (-3 + \gamma_3)(1 + \gamma_3)} \left( 4 (\cos[3 \theta] - 4 \cos[\theta]^2 \sin[\theta]) \gamma_1 + 4 \sin[\theta] (-1 + \gamma_3) + 4 \cos[\theta] (2 + \gamma_3) \right) \\
& (\cos[\theta] + i \sin[\theta])^2 \left( \frac{1}{4 (1 + \gamma_1)(-3 + \gamma_2)(1 + \gamma_2)^2} \\
& \left( 6 - 3 \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 (-1 + \gamma_1 + \gamma_3) - i \sqrt{\frac{3 - \gamma_2}{1 + \gamma_2}} (-4 - 3 \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 (-1 - \gamma_1 + \gamma_3)) \right) \right) + \\
& \frac{1}{16 (1 + \gamma_1)(-3 + \gamma_2)(1 + \gamma_2)^2} \left( 4 (\cos[3 \theta] - 4 \cos[\theta]^2 \sin[\theta]) \gamma_1 + 4 \sin[\theta] (-1 + \gamma_3) + 4 \cos[\theta] (2 + \gamma_3) \right) \\
& \left( -3 \gamma_1 - \gamma_2 (-3 - \gamma_1 + \gamma_3) + i \sqrt{\frac{3 - \gamma_2}{1 + \gamma_2}} (2 + 3 \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 (1 + \gamma_1 + \gamma_3)) \right) \right) \\
\text{II2} = & \frac{2 E^{\text{imag}12} (\gamma - 1 + E^{\text{imag}} \gamma) \alpha \left( \frac{1}{1 + \nu_2} \right)^{3/2} (\cos[\theta] + E^{\theta} \gamma_3)}{\gamma \sqrt{3 - \gamma_2}} \\
\text{const12} = & E^{\text{imag}} \left( \gamma (\cos[\theta] + i \sin[\theta]) \sin[\theta] \left( 1 + \sqrt{\frac{3 - \gamma_2}{1 + \gamma_2}} \right) \\
& \left( \frac{1}{1 + \nu_2} \right)^{3/2} (-2 i \cos[\theta] - \sin[\theta] - 1 \cos[\theta] \gamma_1 - i \cos[\theta] \gamma_3) \right) - \\
& \frac{1}{\gamma \sqrt{3 - \gamma_2}} \left( \frac{1}{1 + \nu_2} \right)^{3/2} (\sin[\theta] + i \cos[\theta] \gamma_1 - i \cos[\theta] \gamma_3 + \sin[\theta] \gamma_3) \\
& \left( \frac{1}{1 + \nu_2} \right)^{3/2} (\cos[\theta] + i \cos[\theta] \gamma_1 - i \cos[\theta] \gamma_3 + \sin[\theta] \gamma_3) \\
& \gamma \sqrt{3 - \gamma_2}
\end{align*}
\]
\[
(Cos[\theta] + I Sin[\theta])^3
\]
\[
- \frac{1}{4 (1 + \kappa_1) (-3 + \kappa_2) (1 + \kappa_2)^2} \left( -2 I Cos[\theta] - Sin[\theta] - I Cos[\theta] \kappa_1 - I Cos[\theta] \kappa_2 + Sin[\theta] \kappa_2 \right)
\]
\[
\left[ -6 - 3 \kappa_1 + \kappa_2 (-1 + \kappa_1 + \kappa_2) + I \sqrt{\frac{3 - \kappa_1}{1 + \kappa_2}} (-4 - 3 \kappa_1 - \kappa_2 - \kappa_2 + \kappa_2^2) \right] -
\]
\[
(Sin[\theta] + I Cos[\theta] \kappa_1 - I Cos[\theta] \kappa_2 + Sin[\theta] \kappa_2)
\]
\[
\left[ -3 \kappa_1 - \kappa_2 (-3 - \kappa_1 + \kappa_2) + I \sqrt{\frac{3 - \kappa_1}{1 + \kappa_2}} (2 + 3 \kappa_1 + \kappa_2 (1 + \kappa_1 + \kappa_2)) \right] / 
\]
\[
(4 (1 + \kappa_1) (-3 + \kappa_2) (1 + \kappa_2)^2) \right)
\]

\[
E^{\text{imag}}
\]
\[
- \gamma (Cos[\theta] + I Sin[\theta]) Sin[\theta] - I + \sqrt{\frac{3 - \kappa_2}{1 + \kappa_2}}
\]
\[
\left[ I \sqrt{\frac{3 - \kappa_2}{1 + \kappa_2}} (-2 I Cos[\theta] - Sin[\theta] - I Cos[\theta] \kappa_1 - I Cos[\theta] \kappa_2 + Sin[\theta] \kappa_2)
\left[ - \gamma (-3 + \kappa_2) (1 + \kappa_2) \right] - 
\]
\[
\left[ I \sqrt{\frac{3 - \kappa_2}{1 + \kappa_2}} (Sin[\theta] + I Cos[\theta] \kappa_1 - I Cos[\theta] \kappa_2 + Sin[\theta] \kappa_2)
\left[ - \gamma (-3 + \kappa_2) (1 + \kappa_2) \right] - 
\]
\[
(Cos[\theta] + I Sin[\theta])^3
\]
\[
- \frac{1}{4 (1 + \kappa_1) (-3 + \kappa_2) (1 + \kappa_2)^2} \left( -2 I Cos[\theta] - Sin[\theta] - I Cos[\theta] \kappa_1 - I Cos[\theta] \kappa_2 + Sin[\theta] \kappa_2 \right)
\]
\[
\left[ -6 - 3 \kappa_1 + \kappa_2 (-1 + \kappa_1 + \kappa_2) + I \sqrt{\frac{3 - \kappa_1}{1 + \kappa_2}} (-4 - 3 \kappa_1 - \kappa_2 - \kappa_2 + \kappa_2^2) \right] -
\]
\[
(Sin[\theta] + I Cos[\theta] \kappa_1 - I Cos[\theta] \kappa_2 + Sin[\theta] \kappa_2)
\]
\[
\left[ -3 \kappa_1 - \kappa_2 (-3 - \kappa_1 + \kappa_2) + I \sqrt{\frac{3 - \kappa_1}{1 + \kappa_2}} (2 + 3 \kappa_1 + \kappa_2 (1 + \kappa_1 + \kappa_2)) \right] / 
\]
\[
(4 (1 + \kappa_1) (-3 + \kappa_2) (1 + \kappa_2)^2) \right)
\]
\[
\text{L21} = \frac{2 e^{-im\theta} + 21\theta}{-1 + e^{im\theta}} \cdot \alpha \left( \frac{1}{1+\omega} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{(\cos[\theta] + \cos[\kappa_2])}{\gamma \sqrt{3 - \kappa_2}}
\]

\[
\text{const21} = E^{\text{imag}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \gamma (cos[\theta] + i \sin[\theta])^2 \left( 1 + \sqrt{\frac{3 - \kappa_2}{1 + \kappa_2}} \right) \right\}
\]

\[
\left( -\frac{1}{1+\omega} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{(\sin[\theta] + (1 \cos[3 \theta] + \sin[\theta] + \sin[3 \theta]) \kappa_1 + (-1 \cos[\theta] + \sin[\theta]) \kappa_2)}{\gamma \sqrt{3 - \kappa_2}} + \frac{1}{4 \gamma \sqrt{3 - \kappa_2}}
\]

\[
\left\{ \frac{1}{1+\omega} \right\}^{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{(4 \cos[3 \theta] - 4 \cos[\theta]^2 \sin[\theta]) \kappa_1 + 4 \sin[\theta] (-1 + \kappa_2) + 4 \cos[\theta] (2 + \kappa_2)}{1 \cos[\theta] + i \sin[\theta]^2}
\]

\[
1 \left( \sin[\theta] + (1 \cos[3 \theta] + \sin[\theta] + \sin[3 \theta]) \kappa_1 + (-1 \cos[\theta] + \sin[\theta]) \kappa_2 \right)^2
\]

\[
\left\{ -6 - 3 \kappa_1 + \kappa_2 (-1 + \kappa_1 + \kappa_2) + 1 \sqrt{\frac{3 - \kappa_2}{1 + \kappa_2}} \left( -4 - 3 \kappa_1 - \kappa_2 - \kappa_2 \kappa_1 + \kappa_2 \kappa_1 \right) \right\} +
\]

\[
\frac{1}{16 \gamma \sqrt{3 - \kappa_2}} \left\{ (4 \cos[3 \theta] - 4 \cos[\theta]^2 \sin[\theta]) \kappa_1 + 4 \sin[\theta] (-1 + \kappa_2) + 4 \cos[\theta] (2 + \kappa_2) \right\}
\]

\[
\left\{ -3 \kappa_1 - \kappa_2 (-3 - \kappa_1 + \kappa_2) - 1 \sqrt{\frac{3 - \kappa_2}{1 + \kappa_2}} \left( 2 + 3 \kappa_1 + \kappa_2 (1 + \kappa_1 + \kappa_2) \right) \right\} +
\]

\[
E^{\text{imag}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \gamma (cos[\theta] + i \sin[\theta])^2 \right\}
\]

\[
\left\{ -1 + \sqrt{\frac{3 - \kappa_2}{1 + \kappa_2}} \right\}
\]

\[
\left\{ \sqrt{\frac{3 - \kappa_2}{1 + \omega}} \right\} \frac{(\sin[\theta] + (1 \cos[3 \theta] + \sin[\theta] + \sin[3 \theta]) \kappa_1 + (-1 \cos[\theta] + \sin[\theta]) \kappa_2)}{\gamma (-3 + \kappa_2) (1 + \kappa_2)} +
\]

\[
\left\{ \frac{1}{4 \gamma (-3 + \kappa_2) (1 + \kappa_2)} \right\}
\]

\[
\left\{ \frac{1}{4 \gamma (-3 + \kappa_2) (1 + \kappa_2)} \right\}
\]
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\[
I (\cos[\theta] + i \sin[\theta])^2 \left( \frac{1}{4 (1 + \kappa_1) (-3 + \kappa_2) (1 + \kappa_2)^2} \right)
\]

\[
\left( I (\sin[\theta] + (1 \cos[3 \theta] + \sin[\theta] + \sin[3 \theta]) \kappa_1 + (-I \cos[\theta] + \sin[\theta]) \kappa_2 \right)
\]

\[
\left( -6 - 3 \kappa_1 + \kappa_2 (-1 + \kappa_1 + \kappa_2) - I \sqrt{\frac{3 - \kappa_2}{1 + \kappa_2}} (-4 - 3 \kappa_1 + \kappa_2 (-1 - \kappa_1 + \kappa_2)) \right)
\]

\[
\frac{1}{16 (1 + \kappa_1) (-3 + \kappa_2) (1 + \kappa_2)^3}
\]

\[
\left( (4 \cos[3 \theta] - 4I \cos[\theta]^2 \sin[\theta]) \kappa_1 + 4I \sin[\theta] (-1 + \kappa_2) + 4 \cos[\theta] (2 + \kappa_2)) \right)
\]

\[
\left( -3 \kappa_1 - \kappa_2 (-3 - \kappa_1 + \kappa_2) + I \sqrt{\frac{3 - \kappa_2}{1 + \kappa_2}} (2 + 3 \kappa_1 + \kappa_2 (1 + \kappa_1 + \kappa_2)) \right)
\]

\[
x_{21} = \left( i \alpha m_1 \cos[2 \theta] + \frac{1}{2} \sin[2 \theta] (\alpha^2 + m_1^2) \right) \left( \frac{D_{11}}{\text{Den}} (S_1) - \frac{D_{12}}{\text{Den}} (S_2) + \frac{D_{13}}{\text{Den}} S_3 - \frac{D_{14}}{\text{Den}} S_4 \right)
\]

\[
\text{Exp}[m_1 x_1 \sin[\theta]] - \left( i \alpha m_2 \cos[2 \theta] + \frac{1}{2} \sin[2 \theta] (\alpha^2 + m_2^2) \right)
\]

\[
\left( - \frac{D_{21}}{\text{Den}} S_1 + \frac{D_{22}}{\text{Den}} S_2 - \frac{D_{23}}{\text{Den}} (S_3) + \frac{D_{24}}{\text{Den}} (S_4) \right) \text{Exp}[m_2 x_1 \sin[\theta]] - \left( i \alpha m_3 \cos[2 \theta] + \frac{1}{2} \sin[2 \theta] (\alpha^2 + m_3^2) \right)
\]

\[
\left( - \frac{D_{31}}{\text{Den}} (S_1) - \frac{D_{32}}{\text{Den}} (S_2) + \frac{D_{33}}{\text{Den}} S_3 - \frac{D_{34}}{\text{Den}} S_4 \right) \text{Exp}[m_3 x_1 \sin[\theta]] - \left( i \alpha m_4 \cos[2 \theta] + \frac{1}{2} \sin[2 \theta] (\alpha^2 + m_4^2) \right)
\]

\[
\left( - \frac{D_{41}}{\text{Den}} S_1 + \frac{D_{42}}{\text{Den}} S_2 - \frac{D_{43}}{\text{Den}} (S_3) + \frac{D_{44}}{\text{Den}} (S_4) \right) \text{Exp}[m_4 x_1 \sin[\theta]]
\]

\[
x_{21c} = \text{Conjugate}[x_{21}]
\]

\[
x_{21c} = \text{Conjugate}[x_{21}]
\]

\[
2 I E^{-i \text{imag1} + 2I \theta} (-1 + E^{i \text{imag1}}) \alpha \left( \frac{1}{1 + \kappa_1} \right)^{3/2} (\cos[\theta] + E^{i \theta} \kappa_2)
\]

\[
\frac{1}{\gamma \sqrt{3 - \kappa_2}}
\]

\[
\text{const}_{22} = \frac{1}{(1 + \kappa_1) (-3 + \kappa_2) (1 + \kappa_2)^2} \left( E^{2i \theta} (-1 \cosh[\text{imag1}] \sin[\theta] (-3 + \kappa_2) (1 (2 + \kappa_1) \kappa_2) + \sinh[\text{imag1}] \sqrt{-1 + \frac{4}{\kappa_1 + \kappa_2} (-\sin[\theta] (-1 + \kappa_2) (-1 + \kappa_1 \kappa_2) + 2 I \cos[\theta] (1 + \kappa_1) (-1 + \kappa_2))} \right)
\]

and where,

\[
\text{imag1} = \left( -\frac{1}{2} I \gamma \sqrt{\frac{3 - \kappa_2}{1 + \kappa_2}} \right) x_1 \sin[\theta], \text{ real1} = \left( -\alpha + \frac{\gamma}{2} \right) x_1 \sin[\theta]
\]

\[
\text{imag3} = \left( \frac{1}{2} I \gamma \sqrt{\frac{3 - \kappa_2}{1 + \kappa_2}} \right) x_1 \sin[\theta], \text{ real3} = \left( -\alpha + \frac{\gamma}{2} \right) x_1 \sin[\theta]
\]
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Figure 1. Formulation of the Perturbation Problem.
(a). The Original Problem.
(b). The Elasticity Problem.
(c). The Mixed Boundary Value Problem.
Figure 2. Methodology of the solution of the Perturbation Problem
(a). The Mixed Boundary Value Problem
(b). Infinite FGM Strip Without Crack
(c). Infinite FGM Plate With Crack
Figure 3. Normalized mode I SIF at crack tip (a) for various h/c, θ=30 deg. and center of the crack is located at h/2, under loading of uniform normal stress.
Figure 4. Normalized mode II SIF at crack tip (a) for various h/c, θ=30 deg. and center of the crack is located at h/2, under loading of uniform normal stress.
Figure 5. Normalized mode I SIF at crack tip (b) for various h/c, θ=30 deg. and center of the crack is located at h/2, under loading of uniform normal stress.
Figure 6. Normalized mode II SIF at crack tip (b) for various h/c, θ=30 deg. and center of the crack is located at h/2, under loading of uniform normal stress.
Figure 7. Normalized mode I SERR at crack tip (a) for various h/c, θ=30 deg. and center of the crack is located at h/2, under loading of uniform normal stress.
Figure 8. Normalized mode II SERR at crack tip (a) for various h/c, θ=30 deg. and center of the crack is located at h/2, under loading of uniform normal stress.
Figure 9. Normalized mode I SERR at crack tip (b) for various h/c, $\theta=30$ deg. and center of the crack is located at h/2, under loading of uniform normal stress.
Figure 10. Normalized mode II SERR at crack tip (b) for various h/c, $\theta$=30 deg. and center of the crack is located at h/2, under loading of uniform normal stress.
Figure 11. Normalized mode I SERR at crack tip (a) for various $\theta$, $h/c=2$ and center of the crack is located at $h/2$, under loading of uniform normal stress.
Figure 12. Normalized mode II SERR at crack tip (a) for various $\theta$, $h/c=2$ and center of the crack is located at $h/2$, under loading of uniform normal stress.
Figure 13. Normalized mode I SERR at crack tip (b) for \( \theta = 30 \) deg., same \( h \) and fixed crack tip (a) and movement of crack tip (b), under loading of uniform normal stress.
Figure 14. Normalized mode II SERR at crack tip (b) for $\theta=30$ deg., same $h$ and fixed crack tip (a) and movement of crack tip (b), under loading of uniform normal stress.
Figure 15. Normalized mode I SERR at crack tip ($a$) for $\theta=30$ deg., $h/c=2$, constant crack length and various positions of crack, under loading of uniform normal stress.
Figure 16. Normalized mode II SERR at crack tip (a) for $\theta=30$ deg., $h/c=2$, constant crack length and various positions of crack, under loading of uniform normal stress.
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#### Abstract
The driving forces for a generally oriented crack embedded in a Functionally Graded strip sandwiched between two half planes are analyzed using singular integral equations with Cauchy kernels, and integrated using Lobatto-Chebyshev collocation. Mixed-mode Stress Intensity Factors (SIF) and Strain Energy Release Rates (SERR) are calculated. The Stress Intensity Factors are compared for accuracy with previously published results. Parametric studies are conducted for various nonhomogeneity ratios, crack lengths, crack orientation and thickness of the strip. It is shown that the SERR is more complete and should be used for crack propagation analysis.