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AEROACOUSTICS COMPUTATION FOR NEARLY FULLY EXPANDED SUPersonic JETS USING THE CE/SE METHOD

Ching Y. Loh; Lennart S. Hultgren; Xiao Y. Wang; Sin-Chung Chang; and Philip C. E. Jorgenson
National Aeronautics and Space Administration
Glenn Research Center at Lewis Field
Cleveland, Ohio 44135, USA

Abstract
In this paper, the space-time conservation element solution element (CE/SE) method [1] is tested in the classical axisymmetric jet instability problem, rendering good agreement with the linear theory. The CE/SE method is then applied to numerical simulations of several nearly fully expanded axisymmetric jet flows and their noise fields and qualitative agreement with available experimental and theoretical results is demonstrated.

1 Introduction
Jet noise is a challenging topic in computational aeroacoustics. Generally, the computational scheme is required on the one hand to resolve the acoustic waves without introducing too much dispersion and dissipation, while on the other hand, it is required to capture shocks, or other nonlinear phenomena, near or inside the jet correctly. In addition, non-reflecting boundary conditions must be implemented in an efficient way either in the near field or in the far field.

The recent ‘Space-Time Conservation Element and Solution Element Method’ [2], or the CE/SE method in short, is a scheme that meets the above requirements. The CE/SE scheme possesses attractive properties for aeroacoustics computations in that: (i) it possesses low dispersion and dissipation errors; (ii) its shock-capturing nature makes the computation of shock-cell structures simple and accurate; (iii) the non-reflecting boundary conditions are simple and effective and can be applied in the near field of the jet without introducing excessive errors; and (iv) the vorticity, which plays an important role in noise generating mechanisms, is determined without formal loss of accuracy. A detailed description of the CE/SE method can be found in the reports of Chang et al [3,4]. As demonstrated in our previous papers [5-8], the CE/SE scheme is well suited for computing waves in compressible shear flows [5], vorticity/shock interaction [5-7], as well as the near-field noise of an underexpanded axisymmetric supersonic jet with a shock cell structure [7]—all of the examples being corner stones of the jet-noise phenomena.

In this paper, another aspect of jet aeroacoustics—the nearly fully expanded axisymmetric supersonic jet is investigated numerically by using the CE/SE Euler solver. The paper is arranged as follows: The axisymmetric CE/SE scheme is briefly discussed in Section 2. Section 3 considers the classical jet shear layer instability problem and numerical results are compared to those obtained by linear normal-mode instability theory. In Section 4, the numerical results for several different types of noise fields of nearly fully expanded supersonic axisymmetric jets are presented and compared to experimental findings [10-12]. Conclusions are drawn in Section 5.

2 Axisymmetric CE/SE Euler Solver
In general, the CE/SE method systematically solves a set of integral equations derived directly from the physical conservation laws. It is distinguished by the simplicity of its conceptual basis—flux conservation in both space and time. Because of its integral form of the physical conservation laws, the scheme naturally captures shocks and other discontinuities in the flow. Both dependent variables and their derivatives are solved for simultaneously and, consequently, the flow vorticity can be obtained without reduction in accuracy. Non-reflective boundary conditions are also easily implemented because of the flux-conservation formulation.

2.1 Conservation Form of the Unsteady Axisymmetric Euler Equations
Consider a dimensionless conservation form of the unsteady axisymmetric Euler equations of a perfect gas. Let \( \rho, u, v, p, \) and \( \gamma \) be the density, streamwise velocity component, radial velocity component, static pressure, and...
constant specific heat ratio, respectively. The axisymmetric Euler equations then can be written in the following vector form:

\[
U_t + F_x + G_y = Q,
\]

where \(x, y \geq 0\), and \(t\) are the streamwise and radial coordinates and time, respectively, and the conservative flow variable vector \(U\) and the flux vectors in the streamwise and radial directions, \(F\) and \(G\), are given by:

\[
U = \begin{pmatrix} U_1 \\ U_2 \\ U_3 \\ U_4 \end{pmatrix}, \quad F = \begin{pmatrix} F_1 \\ F_2 \\ F_3 \\ F_4 \end{pmatrix}, \quad G = \begin{pmatrix} G_1 \\ G_2 \\ G_3 \\ G_4 \end{pmatrix},
\]

where \(U_m, F_m, G_m, m = 1, 2, 3, 4\) are the same as in the standard 2-D CE/SE formulation [3, 4] and

\[
Q = \begin{pmatrix} Q_1 \\ Q_2 \\ Q_3 \\ Q_4 \end{pmatrix},
\]

with

\[
Q_1 = -U_3/y, \quad Q_2 = -U_2U_3/U_1y, \quad Q_3 = -U_2^2/U_1y, \quad Q_4 = -G_4/y.
\]

The axisymmetric Euler equations can be thought of in this formulation as a variation of their two-dimensional counterparts with 'source' terms on their right-hand sides. Of course, these additional terms do depend implicitly on the solution to the equations and, hence, are not true source terms, but they can formally be treated as such for the purpose of deriving the numerical scheme.

By considering \((x, y, t)\) as coordinates of a three-dimensional Euclidean space \(E_3\) and using Gauss divergence theorem, it follows that Eq. (1) is equivalent to the following integral conservation law:

\[
\int_{S(V)} H_m \cdot dS = \int_V Q_m dV, \quad m = 1, 2, 3, 4, \tag{2}
\]

where \(S(V)\) denotes the surface around a volume \(V\) in \(E_3\) and \(H_m = (F_m, G_m, U_m)\).

2.2 CE/SE Structure

In the CE/SE scheme, the flux conservation relation in space-time is the only mechanism that transfers information between node points. The conservation element \(CE\), or computational cell, is the finite volume to which the integral flux condition (2) is to be applied. Discontinuities are allowed to occur in the interior of a conservation element as long as the integrals on the right-hand side of (2) exist. A solution element \(SE\) associated with a grid node is here a set of three interface planes in \(E_3\) that passes through this node. The solution, \(i.e.\) \(U, U_z, U_y\) are calculated at this node. Within a given solution element \(SE(j, k, n)\), where \((j, k, n)\) is the node index, the flow variables are not only considered continuous but are also approximated by linear Taylor expansions. This procedure is identical to the one in the standard 2-D CE/SE Euler solver [3, 4].

The discrete approximation of (2) is then

\[
\int_{S(CE)} H_m^* \cdot dS = V(CE) \sum_{(j, k, n) \in S(CE)} \beta_{j,k}^m (Q_m)_{j,k}^n,
\]

for \(m = 1, 2, 3, 4\), where \(H_m^* = (F_m^*, G_m^*, U_m^*)\) denotes the linear Taylor-series approximation of the corresponding 'unstarred' variables and \(\sum_{(j, k, n) \in S(CE)} \beta_{j,k}^m = 1\).

The right-hand side of (3), in general, is the volume of the \(CE\) times a weighted average of the 'source' term evaluated at the nodes on \(S(CE)\). Each \(S(CE)\) is made up by surface segments belonging to two neighboring \(SE\)'s. All the unknowns are solved for based on these relations. No extrapolations (interpolations) across a stencil of cells are needed or allowed. In order that the num-

![Figure 1: Double staggered grid in \(E_3\).](image)
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2.3 Treatment of the Source Term

In solving (3), only the \( \beta_k^{n} \) corresponding to the new (half) time level is taken to be nonzero (and equal to unity). This strategy has successfully been applied by Yu et al [9] to problems with very stiff source terms.

As the source term \( Q = Q(U) \) itself is a function of the unknown \( U \) at the new (half) time level, a local iterative procedure is needed to determine \( U \). For our particular choice of \( \beta_k^{n} \), the discretized integral equation (3) reduces to the form

\[
U - Q(U) \frac{\Delta t}{2} = U_H,
\]

where \( U_H \) is the local homogeneous solution \( (Q = 0 \text{ locally}) \). Note that \( U_H \) is in fact the standard 2-D Euler solution at the previous (half) time step, obtained by explicit formulas. A Newton iterative procedure to determine \( U \) is then

\[
U^{(i+1)} = U^{(i)} - \left[ \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial U} \right]^{-1} \left[ \Phi(U^{(i)}) - U_H \right],
\]

where \( i \) is the number of iteration and \( \Phi(U) = U - Q(U) \frac{\Delta t}{2} \).

Normally, \( U \) at the previous (full) time step is a good initial guess \( U^{(0)} \) and the procedure takes about 2-3 iterations to convergence. The Jacobian matrix is given by

\[
\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial U} = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & -\frac{U_3 \Delta t}{2U_1 y} & 0 & \frac{2U_1 \Delta t}{U_1^3 y} & 0 \\
-\frac{U_3 \Delta t}{2U_1 y} & 1 + \frac{U_2 \Delta t}{2U_1^2 y} & \frac{U_2 \Delta t}{2U_1^2 y} & 0 & 0 \\
-\frac{U_3 \Delta t}{2U_1 y} & 0 & 1 + \frac{U_2 \Delta t}{2U_1^2 y} & 0 & 0 \\
A_1 & A_2 & A_3 & 1 + \frac{U_2 \Delta t}{2U_1^2 y}
\end{pmatrix}
\]

where

\[
A_1 = -\frac{U_3 \Delta t}{2U_1 y} \gamma U_4 - \frac{(\gamma - 1)(U_2^2 + U_3^2)}{U_1},
\]

\[
A_2 = -(\gamma - 1) \frac{U_2 U_3 \Delta t}{2U_1^2 y},
\]

\[
A_3 = \frac{\Delta t}{2y} \left[ -\gamma - U_2^2 + 3U_3^2 - U_4 \right].
\]

The inverse of the Jacobian, i.e., \( \left( \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial U} \right)^{-1} \) can easily be derived analytically for this particular case, thus, leading to savings in CPU time.

3 Free Jet Shear Layer Instability

In the first example, we study the inviscid linear and nonlinear instability properties of an axisymmetric free shear layer (jet). This important class of flows has the basis of jet noise generation theory and have been the subject of many detailed analytical and experimental studies.

The direct numerical computation of the shear-layer instability, using the CE/SE Euler scheme, is compared with linear results obtained using the normal mode approach. The background mean flow consists of a fast jet stream and a slow ambient stream around it, with the two parallel streams connected by a continuously changing annular shear layer. The streamwise flow variables in the fast jet stream are taken as the corresponding scales for the non-dimensionalization. The length scale is taken as \( \delta/2 \), where \( \delta \) is the vorticity thickness and is defined as

\[
\delta = \frac{(U_{1*} - U_{2*})}{(dU_{1*}/dy_{1*})_{\text{max}}}
\]

with the subscript 's' here denoting dimensional quantities. In a parallel flow, the mean pressure is constant and the mean transverse velocity vanishes all over the domain, while the mean streamwise velocity and density profiles must be specified.

In the linear stability theory, the normal-mode assumption is made, i.e.,

\[
\Phi(x, y, t) = \tilde{\phi}(y) \exp[i(\alpha x - \omega t)],
\]

where \( \Phi(x, y, t) \) denotes the perturbation streamwise and radial velocities \( \tilde{u}', \tilde{v}' \), pressure \( \tilde{p}' \), and density \( \tilde{\rho}' \); and \( \alpha, \omega \) are the complex wave number and real angular frequency of the disturbance, respectively. We note that \( \tilde{u}, \tilde{v}, \tilde{p} \) and \( \beta \) are also complex functions in general. Adding the above perturbations to the corresponding mean flow variables and substituting them into the 3-D axisymmetric Euler equations, a set of equations for the unknown perturbation flow variables is obtained. Assuming that the mean flow variables satisfy the Euler equations and by eliminating those terms of second order or higher, the compressible Rayleigh equation in terms of the perturbation pressure eigenfunction component \( \beta \) can be achieved after some manipulation.

To be specific, the streamwise mean flow velocity \( U \) is here taken as

\[
U(y) = \frac{1 + R \tanh y}{1 + R},
\]

where \( R \) is the velocity ratio of the shear layer. We further assume for simplicity that the Prandtl number is unity and the mean flow temperature \( T \) distribution is then obtained from the Buseman-Crocco relation:

\[
T = T_2 + \frac{1 - T_2}{1 - U_2} (U_{1*} - U_2) + \frac{1}{2} (\gamma - 1) M_1^2 (U_{1*} - U_2)(1 - U),
\]

where the subscripts 1 and 2 denote the fast stream and slow stream variables respectively. \( T \) in turn yields the density distribution across the shear layer. As in [6], we choose the parameters

\[
R = 0.15, \quad M_1 = 1.5, \quad T_2 = 1.85
\]
Then, the nondimensional flow states are worked out as:

\[ U_1 = 1, \quad V_1 = 0, \quad p_1 = 1/3.15, \]
\[ T_1 = 1, \quad \rho_1 = 1, \quad M_1 = 1.5, \]
\[ U_2 = 0.7391304, \quad V_2 = 0, \quad p_2 = 1/3.15, \]
\[ T_2 = 1.85, \quad \rho_2 = 0.5405405. \]

Under the above conditions, with an appropriate \( \omega \) chosen, the complex eigenfunction component \( \phi = \phi_r + i\phi_i \) and the corresponding eigenvalue \( \alpha = \alpha_r + i\alpha_i \), where the subscripts \( r \) and \( i \) denote real and imaginary parts respectively, can be found by solving the compressible Rayleigh equation.

### 3.1 Linear, Nonlinear Instability of an Axisymmetric Jet and Vortex Roll-Up

In studying the instability of a free jet shear layer and the consequent vortex roll-up and noise generation, one is mainly interested in the most unstable mode which dominates the nonlinear vortex roll-up. In order to investigate such instabilities, we shall enforce a small harmonic perturbation at a single frequency at the inlet boundary and compute the resulting development of the spatial instability wave. In this test example, the computational domain spans between \( 0 \leq x \leq 300 \) and \( 0 \leq y \leq 20 \), with a grid of \( 601 \times 101 \), respectively (i.e. \( \Delta x = 0.5 \) and \( \Delta y = 0.2 \)). A time step size \( \Delta t = 0.15 \), \( \epsilon = 0.5 \) and the weighted average index \( \alpha = 0 \) were found appropriate.

The computation of the unsteady jet flow is carried out until \( t = 390 \) ensuring that the spatial instability is fully developed.

From a chart of \( \omega \) versus \( -\alpha \), for the case under consideration here, it can be observed that the exponential growth rate \( -\alpha \) reaches its maximum of about 0.0244 when the angular frequency \( \omega = 0.405 \) and the latter is the value used in the following test example. The complex spatial eigenvalue \( \alpha = 0.44726 - 0.02444i \) and the corresponding eigenmode is then determined by solving the compressible Rayleigh equation for this value of \( \omega \). The eigenfunction is normalized such that the maximum r.m.s value of the streamwise velocity component is unity. The forcing at the inlet boundary \( (x = 0) \) then has the form

\[ \phi = \delta [\phi_r \cos \omega t + \phi_i \sin \omega t], \quad (8) \]
\[ \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} = \delta [- (\phi_r \alpha_i + \phi_i \alpha_r) \cos \omega t - (\phi_r \alpha_i - \phi_i \alpha_r) \sin \omega t], \quad (9) \]
\[ \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y} = \delta [\phi'_r \cos \omega t + \phi'_i \sin \omega t], \quad (10) \]

where \( \phi \) denotes any of the eigenfunction components \( u', v', p', \) or \( p' \), and \( \delta \) is the forcing amplitude.

To fully demonstrate the capability of the CE/SE scheme to compute both linear and nonlinear instability waves, the forcing amplitude \( \delta \) is taken to be 1/1000.

Fig. 2 displays the flow variables contours. Vortex roll-up is clearly observed in this figure. This figure clearly demonstrates the effectiveness of the nonreflecting boundary conditions at the top, bottom and outlet. We emphasize that the domain shown in the figures is exactly the computational domain, no buffer zones, cut-offs or other numerical fixes are applied.

To assess the grid dependence of the numerical results, the computation was repeated with half grid sizes and time step size. Fig. 3 shows that they are quite similar except that, as expected, the finer grid allows higher harmonics to be better resolved.

Fig. 4 shows the power spectral density \( PSD \) of the computed \( p' \) in log scale at the streamwise station \( x = 150 \). The spectral peak at the forcing frequency \( f = \frac{\omega}{2\pi} = 0.06446 \), the second, third, and fourth harmonic thereof are clearly displayed.

![Figure 4: Power spectral density of the jet shear layer at x = 150.](image_url)
where $\delta$ is a small number ($0.00005 < \delta < 0.001$), $\omega = 2\pi St$, and the constant $B = 8$. Even though the $CE/SE$ implementation of non-reflective boundary conditions is very effective (generally less than 1% reflection), it is of advantage to also add a (streamwise) buffer zone to the outflow side of the computational domain to further reduce numerical reflections from radial regions where the mean flow changes rapidly. A buffer zone of 20 cells with exponentially increasing size was used in the computations presented below and effectively kept the computed acoustic field free of such reflections. Due to the intrinsic properties of the $CE/SE$ scheme, it is likely that the number of buffer region cells could be substantially reduced without a significant degradation of the computed results—this is yet to be studied, however.

4.1 Mach radiation from a supersonic axisymmetric jet

In this test example, a supersonic jet with Mach number $M_j = 2.0$ is considered. As the jet flow is fully expanded, the jet nozzle exit pressure is the same as the ambient pressure. However, tiny fluctuations of pressure are still allowed and accounts for the acoustic wave generation and propagation. The overall motion is approximately in an axisymmetric mode [14] at the Strouhal number $St = 0.2$. We note that since the Euler solution does not account for the shear layer spreading due to viscous effects, our numerical results are not expected to perfectly match the experimental [15] sound pressure level, SPL.

The computational domain spans between $0 < x < 33D$ and $0 < y < 19D$, with a non-uniform grid of $350 \times 281$ nodes, where $D$ is the diameter of the jet at the nozzle exit. More grid points are packed around the shear layer. The last 50 cells in $x$ direction grow exponentially in length and are used as buffer zone. The perturbation source strength $\delta = 0.001$. A time step size $\Delta t = 0.002$, $\epsilon = 0.5$ and the weighted average index $\alpha = 0$ are found appropriate. The computation of the unsteady jet flow is carried out until $t = 100$ ensuring that the spatial instability is fully developed.

Fig. 5 illustrates the isobars and $v$-velocity contours in the near and intermediate field. The Mach radiation flow pattern agrees qualitatively with experimental [15] and other computational [14] results and is categorized by Oertel [10] as Type I Mach radiation.

4.2 Mach radiation from a supersonic axisymmetric jet at low Strouhal number

In this test, the same supersonic $M_j = 2.0$ jet is considered but a low Strouhal number $St = 0.07$ is chosen. The computational domain spans between $0 \leq x \leq 8D$ and $0 \leq y \leq 2.5D$, with a non-uniform grid of $630 \times 151$ nodes. The last 30 cells in $x$ direction grow exponentially in length and are used as buffer zone. The perturbation source strength $\delta = 0.0001$. A time step size $\Delta t = 0.002$, $\epsilon = 0.5$ and the weighted average index $\alpha = 0$ are found appropriate. The computation of the unsteady jet flow is carried out until $t = 27$.

Fig. 6 demonstrates the isobars in the near field. At a low Strouhal number, the radiated Mach waves are quite weak and rather steeply inclined. They look almost vertical. This is categorized as Type II Mach radiation by Oertel [11]. Tam and Hu [13] also give an explanation of the phenomena in their analysis. Inside the jet core, the cross-hatch pattern of a trapped Mach wave system is observed. As will be seen in the next subsection, such a phenomenon is more pronounced when $M_j$ is low.

4.3 Mach wave system inside the jet

This is the Type III experimental result by Oertel [12]. It was found that, as analyzed by Tam and Hu [13], the Mach radiation attenuates exponentially outside the jet shear layer, while the Mach wave system is trapped inside the jet and forms a cross-hatch pattern. Here the jet shear layer plays a role as a ‘wave guide’. We consider two cases in this example.

4.3.1 Case 1: $M_j = 1.2$ In the first case, a supersonic jet with low Mach number $M_j = 1.2$ is considered. The computational domain is $0 \leq x \leq 30D$ and $0 \leq y \leq 1D$, with a non-uniform grid of $330 \times 101$ nodes, where again $D$ is the diameter of the jet at the nozzle exit. The last 30 cells in $x$ direction are used as buffer zone. Here, the near field is chosen for investigation since our attention is focussed on the Mach wave system inside the jet. The perturbation source strength $\delta = 0.00005$, with a high Strouhal number $St = 2.0$. A time step size $\Delta t = 0.0015$, $\epsilon = 0.5$ and the weighted average index $\alpha = 0$ are chosen. The computation of the unsteady jet flow is carried out for 12000 steps to ensure that the spatial instability is fully developed.

Fig 7 clearly demonstrates the cross-hatch pattern of the Mach wave system inside the ‘wave guide’—the jet core. The Mach waves are repeatedly reflected because they do not penetrate the annular shear layer. The pattern qualitatively agrees with Oertel’s experiment [12] (Type III) and the analysis of Tam and Hu [13]. At a low supersonic Mach number $M_j = 1.2$, at the outer surface of the shear layer, the local phase velocity can hardly exceed the local speed of sound and hence practically no exterior Mach radiation occurs.

4.3.2 Case 2: $M_j = 2.0$ In the second case, the Mach number $M_j$ is increased to 2.0. The computational domain is $0 \leq x \leq 7.5D$ and $0 \leq y \leq 1D$, with a non-uniform grid of $280 \times 101$ nodes. As before, the last 30 cells in $x$ direction are used as buffer zone. The perturbation source strength $\delta = 0.001$, with the Strouhal number $St = 2.0$. A time step size $\Delta t = 0.002$, $\epsilon = 0.5$
and the weighted average index $a = 0$ are chosen. The computation of the unsteady jet flow is again carried out for 12000 steps.

Fig. 8 displays the cross-hatch pattern of the Mach wave system inside the 'wave-guide' jet core. The pattern qualitatively agrees with the analysis of Tam and Hu [13]. At a supersonic Mach number $M_j = 2$, the local phase velocity at the outer shear layer may exceed the local speed of sound and hence Mach radiation is generated.

5 Concluding Remarks

In this paper, the noise field of nearly fully expanded axisymmetric jets are investigated by using the recent CE/SE Euler scheme. For the classical jet-shear-layer instability problem, the numerical results show a linear initial development of the spatially growing instability wave (in good agreement with stability theory) followed by nonlinear effects leading to vortex roll up. Many aspects of the computed results for Mach radiation are also in good agreement with Oertel's experimental findings [10-12] and Tam's analysis [13].

The advantages of the scheme as claimed in Section 1 are confirmed in the present simulations. The implementation is 'effortless' in that no special treatment and parameter selections are needed.
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Figure 2: Contours for jet instability problem, with coarse grid at $t = 390$. Eigenfunctions, 300x20 domain, dt=0.15.
Figure 3: Comparison of density contours for coarse and fine grids at $t = 390$. 
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Figure 5: Type I Mach radiation of a supersonic jet at $M_j = 2.0$ and Strouhal number $St = 0.2$, domain size 33Dx19D, non-uniform grid.
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Figure 6: Type II Mach radiation of a supersonic $M_j = 2.0$ jet at low Strouhal number $St=0.07$, domain size $8Dx5D$, non-uniform grid $630x150$.

Figure 7: Mach wave system within a supersonic jet of $M_j = 1.2$ at high Strouhal number $St = 2.0$, isobars show the cross-hatch pattern.
Figure 8: Type III Mach wave system within a supersonic jet of $M_f = 2$ at high Strouhal number $St = 2.0$, isobars show also weak Mach radiation.
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